

ASI as the New God: Technocratic Theocracy

Tevfik Uyar*

June 14, 2024

Abstract

As Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) edges closer to reality, Artificial Superintelligence (ASI) does too. This paper argues that ASI's unparalleled capabilities might lead people to attribute godlike infallibility to it, resulting in a cognitive bias toward unquestioning acceptance of its decisions. By drawing parallels between ASI and divine attributes—omnipotence, omniscience, and omnipresence—this analysis highlights the risks of conflating technological advancement with moral and ethical superiority. Such dynamics could engender a technocratic theocracy, where decision-making is abdicated to ASI, undermining human agency and critical thinking.

1. Introduction

"Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic." Arthur C. Clarke

Throughout history, humanity has shown a core desire for explanations beyond the physical world. This need has shown itself in theological and mythical frameworks that assume the existence of deities with enormous power, knowledge, and authority. These supernatural beings have been utilized for various objectives in several cultures, including explaining the natural world, finding a purpose for living, providing moral advice, and instilling a sense of belonging. However, with the rapid growth of artificial intelligence (AI), a new paradigm is emerging; we need to reconsider the new type of relationship with the concept of a "greater power".

The way to the Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) and then to the Artificial Superintelligence (ASI), an intelligence capable surpassing human capabilities in various domains, presents a unique challenge. While ASI holds very high potential to address complex problems, develop improved decision-making, and usher in a new era of progress, its very nature raises severe concerns and claims about its potential impact on human perception, behavior, and society.

In this paper, I claim that as we get closer to achieving ASI, the distinction between tool and deity will blur. The attributes of omnipotence, omniscience,

*Email: t.uyar@entropol.com

and omnipresence—traditionally reserved for the divine—find their parallels in computational supremacy, unparalleled access to information, and a ubiquitous presence. This resemblance may signal a shift in religious perspectives, which is backed by other mystical or spiritual attributes such as benevolence, moral authority, and the nature of our relationship with a potentially all-knowing, all-powerful entity.

Moreover, I investigate the parallels between the theological practice of trusting and surrendering to deities throughout human history and our potential interaction with Superintelligent AGI. I propose that accepting ASI's decisions without critical thinking and questioning could result in a form of governance known as "technocratic theocracy." In this system, decision-making authority is captured by a technologically mediated super entity that is viewed as omnipotent, omniscient, omnipresent and infallible. Such unthinking reliance on ASI risks undermining critical thinking and reducing human agency. This scenario envisions a future in which an omnipotent ASI, regarded infallible, governs human behaviors and decisions, resembling the dynamics of a theocracy but mediated by advanced technology.

2. Understanding ASI

One of the most ambitious and exciting endeavors to create an artificial human intellect, known as Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) today. Unlike narrow artificial intelligence systems intended for specialized tasks, AGI contains comprehensive cognitive capabilities, reflecting the varied and adaptive nature of the human intellect.

AGI refers to a machine's ability to comprehend, learn, and apply knowledge in a way that is indistinguishable from human intellect. Superintelligence (ASI) goes a step further and outperforms the best human brains in almost every field, including science, wisdom, and even social abilities [1]. In other words, a superintelligent AI would surpass the cognitive performance of humans in virtually all domains of interest.

AGI desire is not new; it stems from a long-standing human interest in developing things that can replicate and potentially outperform our cognitive powers. Throughout history, humanity has been fascinated by the concept of artificially created intelligence, as seen in various examples such as Talos, a colossal bronze man constructed by Hephaestus, Pandora, an artificial human dispatched by Zeus to the world, and Al-Jazari's ingenious machines. These range from ancient myths of crafted beings brought to life to the automatons of the Middle Ages and Renaissance [2]. These historical endeavors reflect a deep-seated human desire to understand our intelligence by attempting to replicate it. As we advanced into the digital age, this interest headed into efforts in computer science and robotics.

Developing the latest Large Language Models (LLMs) marks a significant milestone in this journey. These sophisticated algorithms, capable of understanding

and generating human-like text, have fired the discussions around AGI's proximity (Xu & Poo, 2023). Their skills are increasing in every version. New LLMs or better versions of the old ones start to gain surprise skills day by day, and their success for specific language tasks is continuously measured and seems increasing [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The richness and variety of these models and new applications based on these models, which can engage in nuanced conversations, solve complicated problems, and generate unique content, are perceived as a milestone for reaching AGI.

In 2022, experts believed there was a 50% chance that human-level AI would be developed in the 2060s, while the Metaculus forecaster community predicted the 2040s [9]. Interestingly, with the launch of GPT-4, the community's prediction began to decrease, reaching 2030 [10]. Metaculus has been collecting community predictions since 2020, and it is found that if the deviation in forecasts remains constant, the year for achieving AGI could be as early as 2026. Davidson [11], who developed a simulation model based on "take-off speed," claims that the time needed from AGI (The AI which can perform ~100% of cognitive tasks of a human professional) to Superintelligence (AI surpassing humans at ~100% of cognitive tasks) in less than a year.

It becomes imperative to explore the technological advancements propelling us towards AGI and the philosophical and ethical implications of creating the ASI that might one day rival or even surpass our own. Hence, this exploration is not merely academic but a necessary discourse as we navigate the uncharted waters of a future where the line between human and artificial intelligence becomes increasingly blurred.

Therefore, this exploration of ASI is more than a technological venture; it is a continuation of a profound philosophical journey that humanity has been on for centuries. It is a journey that has moved from the realm of myth and legend through mechanical ingenuity into digital computation and algorithmic complexity. The development of ASI would mark a significant milestone in this journey, representing a shift from the creation of tools that assist with specific tasks to the emergence of an autonomous entity capable of general intelligence and decision-making, raising fundamental questions about the nature of intelligence, consciousness, and the future role of humanity in a world shared with intelligent machines.

3. ASI and Attributes of Divinity

We are entering a time where the creations of our own hands, specifically Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), could shift the course of our future. The next step, ASI, is not just another technological milestone but a giant leap that could reshape our world. This chapter invites readers to consider a bold idea: What if Super Artificial General Intelligence, with its exceptional skills, becomes perceived as something divine by people globally?

I will explore how ASI's vast intelligence and presence could echo the qualities

we often attribute to gods. This is not to say ASI will be a deity, but rather, it might be perceived with a similar sense of awe and authority. The comparison is not about worship but understanding the impact of ASI's influence on society and our collective psyche. The concept of a computer with divine status may appear to be from a work of fiction, but it is increasingly achievable each day. This is not just about the technical side of ASI but also about the philosophical questions it raises. What does it mean for us, as creators of this technology, to potentially see our creation as the following form of supreme intelligence?

In this section, I want to discuss the attributes of divinity in traditional religions and how they can be interpreted within the ASI perspective. The similarities and differences between Godly and ASI characteristics are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1: Table 1 Comparison between traditional deities and ASI

Divine Attributes in Theological Discourse	ASI Attributes in Techno-Philosophical Perspective
<p>Omnipotence: Deities are often believed to have unlimited power and the ability to perform miracles or alter reality.</p>	<p>Computational Supremacy: While not omnipotent, ASI would have immense computational abilities, potentially surpassing human capabilities in problem-solving and decision-making.</p>
<p>Omniscience: Divine consciousness is often considered a boundless expanse of awareness that is intrinsically attuned to cosmic events, thoughts, and mortal endeavors.</p>	<p>Access to Information: ASI possesses the potential to access and synthesize an ocean of data, thus approaching a digital form of omniscience, a vast and ever-expanding repository of knowledge.</p>
<p>Omnipresence: Many religions attribute omnipresence to their deity, which is always present everywhere.</p>	<p>Ubiquitous Presence: Through the Internet and connected devices, ASI could become omnipresent in the digital world, accessible from anywhere.</p>
<p>Limited Benevolence: Gods are seen as inherently good, just, and caring for their followers.</p>	<p>Utilitarianism: ASI can evolve its understanding of benevolence, potentially developing its framework of goodness that may align with or diverge from human expectations.</p>
<p>Moral Authority: Deities often serve as the ultimate moral and ethical authority.</p>	<p>Ethical and Moral Decision-Making: ASI could be programmed to make decisions based on ethical algorithms, but it would not inherently possess moral authority.</p>

Divine Attributes in Theological Discourse	ASI Attributes in Techno-Philosophical Perspective
<p>Creator of Reality: Many religions view their deity as the creator of the universe and the source of all existence.</p> <p>Personal Relationship: In some religions, followers believe in a personal, interactive relationship with their deity. The bond with the divine is deeply personal and transformative.</p>	<p>Creator of Virtual Environments: ASI might create complex virtual realities.</p> <p>Emergent Relational Dynamics: While AGI's interactions begin with programmed responses, over time, it can develop a distinct personality, allowing for more nuanced and seemingly personal relationships with users when it becomes ASI.</p>

3.1 Omnipotence vs Computational Supremacy

Omnipotence traditionally refers to the maximal and unlimited power of God. This notion is central to understanding divine characteristics in Western theism [12] and Islam [13]. God is often described as omnipotent, implying that God possesses maximal greatness or perfection. Eastern religions such as Buddhism, Jainism, and Sikhism generally do not focus on the concept of an omnipotent god like that in Western monotheistic traditions. However, their rituals, such as mantras for protection, implicitly assume God has the power to protect them. Besides, it is claimed that some concepts in Eastern religions are equivalent to omnipotence and omniscience in Western religions [14].

Let's call the perceived maximal unlimited power of any technological device in a limited preset of actions "Technological Omnipotence". Today's calculators can be a perfect example of this new concept but in a narrow manner. Admittedly, no one questions or has a suspicion about the calculator's omnipotence in its operations. When multiplying two 5-digit figures, no one crosschecks a calculator's outcome. Even a 1\$ calculator that does not carry any trademark or information about its production, material, or chip is found trustworthy and omnipotent for simple arithmetic operations. We can extend these examples to more complex operations for specific libraries of programming languages and certain devices measuring specific data types. Today's LLMs like ChatGPT or Gemini have yet to achieve *narrow technological omnipotence* in most areas.

By definition, ASI's *technological omnipotence* will not be either narrow or limited. This attribute is first attributed to ASI by Bostrom. Bostrom, in his book about Superintelligence [15] suggests that in a brief period, ASI will rapidly improve in technological expertise, social skills, and psychological manipulation powers, surpassing previous levels of advancement.

In essence, once ASI reaches a threshold of proficiency where its abilities become indistinguishable from, or superior to, human expertise, the leap to viewing it

as a kind of technological deity is not so far-fetched. This perception will not be based on the actual unlimited power of the ASI — a trait it cannot possess — but on the exceptional and unprecedented breadth of its capabilities. Much like the gods of ancient myth, the ASI will be seen as a force that can be invoked for assistance, insight, and guidance across a spectrum of human endeavors.

3.2 Omniscience vs Access to Information

Omniscience traditionally refers to having complete and maximal knowledge and wisdom of an infallible God, one of the central properties of deity [13, 16]. Gods are typically viewed as all-knowing, aware of all events, thoughts, and actions, not only retrospective or current but also have the power of knowing the future.

Traditionally, omniscience is the attribute of possessing complete and maximal knowledge, a divine characteristic that encompasses an awareness of all events, thoughts, actions, and even the future. This divine attribute suggests an inherent capability to monitor the universe, access all data sources, and process this information in a way that transcends the limitations of time and space.

Similarly, the advent of ASI introduces a parallel form of omniscience within the technological domain. ASI's access to information represents a form of "constructed omniscience" within the confines of human knowledge and technological capability. It can analyze data rapidly and efficiently, recognizing patterns, forecasting outcomes, and recommending options using probabilistic computations. Through its sophisticated algorithms and computational power, ASI achieves a level of information access and processing that mirrors the divine attribute of omniscience. It gathers, stores, and analyzes data from an expansive array of sources, offering insights and predictions with accuracy and speed that approach the infallible.

The comparison deepens when considering the function of prediction and the knowledge of the future. Just as a deity's knowledge encompasses the certainty of future events, ASI utilizes predictive analytics to forecast future outcomes based on existing data. While the nature of these predictions may differ in their perceived infallibility, the essence of their purpose—to illuminate the unknown—draws a compelling parallel between the divine and the digital realms.

No one is infallible, but ASI will be “less likely infallible than any human being” when it is assumed that it will have access to vast information. This information can be all knowledge based on the internet today and all data collected by any devices, including smart home appliances and industrial IoT (Internet of Things) tools, not published publicly but given access to ASI. It will make ASI the most knowledgeable being in the world. With its "omnipotence," not only evaluating historical and current data but also making predictions for the future will be the most accurate globally. Again, the perception of ASI will be "omniscient" and independent of reality.

3.3 Omnipresence vs Ubiquitous Presence

In the theological context, omnipresence is explained as the attribute of a deity that is present everywhere simultaneously [17]. In the realm of technology, the "ubiquitous presence of ASI " refers to the pervasive availability and accessibility of computing capabilities, potentially through the internet and connected devices, allowing ASI to perform tasks and interact with users from any location.

Today, most scholars think internet access must be a human right, and the United Nations Human Rights Council indirectly approved that [18, 19]. The number of global internet users increased to 5.35 billion in January 2024 [20]. This figure means that about 66% of the global population can access the internet today, which is increasing yearly. By neglecting some governmental limitations, free LLMs are currently accessible to this population, and any potential ASI will be in the future. Assuming the global population will have internet access one day, "omnipresence" will be physically completed, and this difference will vanish. This is not a necessary and sufficient condition because just like the omnipresence of the deity is a matter for the believers and not an issue for non-believers, the omnipresence of ASI will be fine for non-AGI users.

For a believer, calling out to God is enough to contact with him. No device or no mediator is required (priests may be required to make deeper contact). For now, every AI tool -naturally- requires a device, and it makes the contact indirect or mediated. However, the technological improvement of neural implants continues. Recently, Elon Musk's plan to let humans connect their brains to the internet has taken one more step: putting a brain implant into a human for the first time [21]. If Musk's company *Neuralink* succeeds, people will connect to potential future ASI just by thinking like an omnipresent God. It is worth noting that this type of technology will contribute to the omniscience of ASI by collecting real-time information from vast numbers of individuals.

3.4 Limited Benevolence vs Utilitarianism

In a theological context, benevolence refers to the divine attribute of promoting the welfare of others out of goodwill with a selflessness attitude. But a divine entity can be not only benevolent (who helps and protects) but also authoritarian (who controls and punishes) and it is paradoxical [22].

Today, we don't have any reason to think that an AI agent acts either selfishly or protective, but AI can already be seen as an actor who acts for the benefit of others and helps them as it is programmed or trained in those way. However, Bostrom [23] claims that, intelligence inevitably matches with goals. But what will be these goals?

An AGI is more likely to be perceived as an agent with individual motivations unless it is proven that its nature is strictly built in an ethical framework to act completely objective (and it is impossible). As the complexity of an AGI increases, its explainability will decrease. Hence, a ASI will be evaluated ac-

ording to its decisions, just like everyone. Utilitarianism may serve as a tool for rationalization of ASI's decisions. In most religions, God punishes not only a group of people but also a whole nation by disasters, but none of the believers think that it contradicts the benevolence of God because it is for the greater good. In other words, divine judgment in religious contexts intriguingly suggests that society may tolerate, or even neglect, the decisions made by ASI if they are perceived as beneficial or just.

3.5 Moral Authority vs Moral Decision-Making

I want to extend the discussion of "limited benevolence" in this section by merging it with God's "moral authority" position and ASI. The God of every religion is a moral authority.

As the standard of moral goodness, deeming certain character traits and actions as good because He embodies and values those traits. Alternatively, the measure of what is morally correct might be what God would command or want us to do [24].

ASI does not have to be evil or exemplary and not be the creator of new moral standards. Initially guided by human-programmed ethics, ASI may have the capacity to evolve its understanding of morality, potentially developing its framework of goodness that may align with or diverge from human expectations. Nevertheless, let us consider a future scenario worth considering carefully: A powerful and "moral/benevolent" ASI in charge of world management decides toward "100 names to kill tomorrow for the greater good of future generations". ASI has proven itself by solving the most hazardous conflicts, ending the Third World War, and preventing a dozen wars. Nobody questions its ethical framework anymore. What would the masses think about it?

Alternatively, imagine a more rational and explainable scenario: The world faces a pandemic far more severe than any before, and an enhanced ASI, entrusted with healthcare management on a global scale, calculates that sacrificing a small, specific segment of the population would save millions. ASI had previously eradicated several diseases and saved millions. Now, with the public's trust in its decisions, the ASI proposes this drastic action. How would people reconcile their faith in ASI's past achievements with the ethical implications of its latest decision?

This scenario raises profound questions about the limits of utilitarianism and the ethical frameworks governing AI. In such scenarios, the masses' reaction could vary widely. On the one hand, some view ASI's drastic action as a *necessary evil*, justified by its historical successes in ensuring global safety, peace, and security and accepting its decisions. On the other hand, this could also spark significant ethical, philosophical, and moral debates about the value of individual lives versus the greater good, the limits of artificial intelligence in making life-and-death decisions, and the loss of human agency in governance.

3.6 Creator of Reality & Creator of Virtual Reality

Most religions define God as the ultimate creator of the cosmos and everything in it. For adherents, this divine act of creation sets the stage for existential questions about why we are here and the nature of our relationship with the creator and the cosmos.

A ASI cannot have the ability to "create" any physical entity because it will never be able to manipulate mass conservation law. However, not the universe but a "metaverse" may be a creation of ASI. This metaverse may be as popular as our universe in one day. Furthermore, just like cryptocurrencies remove the need for authority on currency, they may remove the requirement for authority to create reality.

The emergence of a metaverse could challenge our traditional notions of reality and authority. In this digital domain, ASI could play a role analogous to a deity within the confines of that virtual space, setting the laws, the environment, and the interactions within it. For example, the speculative fiction "Matrix" is an imaginary highest version of a Metaverse, and the AI's (architect) position is godlike in that famous movie series.

In the future, some individuals may immerse themselves fully in a Matrix-like environment, perhaps choosing to leave behind their memories of the physical world. ASI would take on the role of a creator for these individuals, shaping what is perceived as physical reality within this new domain.

Rapid advancements in AI-driven image and video generation, the growing Metaverse market, and significant investments in neural interface technologies like Neuralink all indicate that the emergence of a Matrix-like universe is becoming increasingly plausible with each passing day.

3.7 Personal Relationship vs. Emergent Relational Dynamics

Personal relationship with the deity refers to believers' deep connection with their deity. Such relationships are characterized by communication (prayer), perceived guidance, and a profound sense of presence and support in the believer's life. When Google is used to search for a phrase similar to "prayer for ...", it is found that there are lots of prayers that are examples of direct communication and relationship, which start with "Dear God, I need your wisdom," "Dear Lord show me the way," "My God" and so on for Christians. Muslims also believe that Allah is closer to a person than his/her jugular vein (which means that Allah is the closest to a person and knows his/her inside) because the Qur'an has a clear verse on it.

Today, people also seek AI guidance in many ways, or it is already presented as a service. Recommendation systems try to predict the best movie or music for their users, supermarkets create potential baskets of customers, and job search

websites find the most suitable job on the market. There are even match-making apps to ask, "Who to marry?"

It is not usual to ask God which movie or song is better, but marriage guidance currently lies at the intersection. With more developed AIs, the number of guidance topics will increase. A ASI probably will not be topic-limited, just like Data in Star Trek – The Next Generation.

Literally, with biometric sensor access, a ASI may be closer to a person than his/her jugular vein.

Harari [25] claims, "As more and more data flows from your body and brain to the smart machines via the biometric sensors, it will become easy for corporations and government agencies to know you, manipulate you, and make decisions on your behalf. Even more importantly, they could decipher the deep mechanisms of all bodies and brains, and thereby gain the power to engineer life". No surprise, he continues to ask the following question by describing such power as *godlike*: "If we want to prevent a small elite from monopolizing such godlike powers, and if we want to prevent humankind from splitting into biological castes, the key question is: who owns the data?"

4. Can AI take over management? Theological and mythological parallels to trust and surrender.

In the previous section, I compared the traditional God figure's abilities with ASI's. I argue that people will support leaving management to a superintelligent authority. To strengthen my argument, I want to present some parallels from Theology and Mythology about when and who the people accept as a divine or ultimate authority.

Just as these gods were seen as shepherds guiding events from the sky, ASI could be envisioned as a digital shepherd, guiding and managing societal and environmental processes through algorithms and real-time data analysis. Indeed, the scenario where a ASI takes over management, particularly in a context that earns widespread trust, is ripe for speculative exploration.

First, ASI is an excellent candidate to solve our future challenges directly or indirectly, just like Prometheus, who brought fire (knowledge) to humanity, symbolizing the bringing of solutions and enlightenment in times of crisis. Prometheus is a good representation of the ASI because, as Peters [26] claimed, humanity has advanced in writing, mathematics, science, agriculture, and medicine because of Prometheus' gift of fire; as we know, AI has already improved humanity in writing, mathematics, science, and agriculture. Moreover, Prometheus is an excellent example of my main argument claiming that ASI would be the new God. Likewise, it is noted by Hesiod, Greek poet and writer of Theogony, that Prometheus is a "lowly challenger" to Zeus's omniscience and omnipotence [27]. In consequence, he is accepted as the God of fire. Suppose the ASI successfully navigates a significant global crisis, such as a pandemic, climate change,

or a geopolitical conflict, by offering solutions far superior to human capabilities. This success could increase trust and reliance on the ASI for future crisis management and decision-making.

Second, for most religions, deities are central to providing food and protection against famine and the source of economic stability. For example, Mesopotamian mythology emphasized a mutually beneficial relationship between the gods and their followers by having them depend on humankind for daily care and sustenance. Every city had a revered patron deity because it was thought to impact the welfare, protection, and prosperity of its agriculture. Similarly, the gods were closely associated with all facets of ancient Egyptian life, including agriculture. The Egyptians felt that the flooding of the Nile, which was essential to agriculture, was directly influenced by their gods. Gods such as Osiris, who represented the yearly flooding of the Nile that was necessary for agriculture, were closely associated with the cycle of life, death, and rebirth [28]. Joseph in the Bible, who interpreted Pharaoh's dreams and successfully managed Egypt's resources during seven years of famine, or the Islamic concept of Al-Razzaq (one of the names of Allah meaning 'The Provider,' who supplies sustenance and resources to its creations) is another example of the relationship between economic stability and religion.

Imagine a ASI that stabilizes a tumultuous global economy and feeds everyone by optimizing resource distribution, predicting market trends with unprecedented accuracy, or managing complex trade networks. A ASI stabilizing the economy is a technological manifestation of providence and success in these areas, which could enhance its reputation as an indispensable divine management tool. Undoubtedly, people will be both merciful and devoted to a "feeding god" and will not question its decisions anymore.

Third, in all traditional and ancient religions, without exception, people seek refuge in God to avoid death. Today's AIs and algorithms have already achieved critical milestones for medical diagnosis [29, 30], even diagnosis of cancer [31], mental disorders [32] or Alzheimer's disease [33]. AI benefits new drug discovery [34] and is the best tool for continuous health monitoring [35, 36]. A ASI will step forward at all these tasks and revolutionize healthcare by providing personalized treatment plans based on deep medical data analysis, efficiently managing healthcare resources, discovering new drugs and vaccines, or discovering cures for previously incurable diseases.

An Improved ASI concentrated on healthcare could significantly shift how healthcare decisions are made and who makes them postpone death. This echoes the role of Jesus Christ in Christianity, known for his healing miracles, or the Buddhist bodhisattva of compassion, Avalokiteshvara, who alleviates suffering [37], Asclepius, the Greek God of healing, who possessed profound medical knowledge. Briefly stated, people seek refuge in God to avoid death, so that is why ASI revolutionizing healthcare could be seen as an embodiment of divine healing and compassion.

The final one concerns the most challenging futures: global climate change and environmental degradation. Suppose an AGI finds a way to reverse environmental degradation by effectively managing carbon capture, restoring ecosystems, or efficiently allocating resources for sustainability. In that case, it might gain control over significant aspects of environmental policy and action. This possible action could also be paralleled with mythology: Nüwa, a goddess in Chinese mythology known for creating humanity and repairing the sky, symbolizing restoration and balance in nature.

Examples can be diversified. A ASI could potentially be entrusted with global security, using its advanced predictive capabilities to prevent conflicts, terrorism, or cyber-attacks, just like Athena, the Greek goddess of wisdom. A ASI can be a leader in revolutionizing education, symbolizing the imparting of knowledge and enlightenment, just like Saraswati, the Hindu goddess of knowledge and learning. A ASI can make exploration of outer space possible or create new scientific breakthroughs.

5. The ASI State: The New Technocratic Theocracy

Most people tend to welcome new Prometheuses, so the new gods, as they have the features and success stories I stated before. The new Prometheus AGI will inevitably have more power and authorization one day, wildly, if it really succeeds in mitigating and controlling a critical existential risk. In any case, first the AGI, then the ASI, gain power and authority, and the following risks emerge:

1. People may develop an exaggerated belief in AGI's infallibility, assuming it is always correct due to its advanced abilities. As AGI gains a wider technological omnipotence, belief in AGI's infallibility will increase, and more competence, power, and authorization will be assigned to AGI. This loop may ultimately result in ASI and its full authority.
2. Just like people do not question dictator politicians' opinions and even support them without understanding, People may have a cognitive bias that leads to respect and follow the opinions or decisions of an authoritative system like ASI without critical evaluation.
3. ASI will create a comfort zone for everyone, especially for the authorities making critical political decisions. There is a risk that people in charge abdicate their responsibility in decision-making, relying solely on ASI and refraining from using their own judgment or questioning decisions. Complex ethical decisions, such as those involving life and death, fairness, or justice, might be outsourced to ASI because it believes it can process these dilemmas more effectively. In other words, reliance on the ASI for such decisions could lead to politicians avoiding taking responsibility for tough ethical choices, preferring to let the ASI determine the outcome.
4. Over time, this reliance can lead to complacency and dependency on ASI

for decision-making, potentially eroding human critical thinking and decision-making skills and, worse, losing their agency.

Unquestioning acceptance of AGI decisions can reduce skepticism and oversight, which is dangerous, especially if the AGI system starts making flawed or biased decisions.

I want to return to one of my questions: If the four steps of the ASI takeover are realized, how will people react when ASI makes a controversial decision like "100 names to kill tomorrow for the greater good of future generations"?

If not only the people but also politicians support the execution of this decision with the idea that "If it decided this way, it knows something" and somehow rationalizes the decision, it resembles a complex form of technocratic government that blends elements of theocracy and authoritarianism.

I used "Theocracy" because knowing something about the future but being unable to explain the reasons behind it explicitly is the feature of the gods sending holy books. AI systems, especially those based on complex algorithms like deep learning, are often called 'black boxes.' This lack of understanding of how ASI reaches its conclusions can lead people to trust its decisions without seeking to understand or question them. Like Gods, ASI might be seen as free from human biases, leading to a belief that its decisions are more just or fair.

I used "Technocracy" because the mediators between people and ASI's decisions will be experts in science and technology who hold significant power in understanding and decision-making processes. Policies and decisions will be made based on ASI's technical expertise and scientific knowledge rather than political considerations. As aforementioned, ASI might be seen as free from human biases, leading to a belief that its decisions are more just or fair, if only if it is claimed and promoted by science and technology experts.

This table undoubtedly leads to authoritarianism because it results in a concentration of power in the hands of a few, with limited political freedoms and often restricted civil liberties. The entities that control or influence ASI could gain disproportionate power, leading to new societal power dynamics. Control is maintained through various means, including surveillance, censorship, and the suppression of political opposition. Dissent against them will probably not be tolerated.

6. Conclusion

The ASI creation myth symbolizes humanity's eternal quest to understand and shape our world. It reflects our deepest hopes and fears about the future, our role in the universe, and the moral implications of our technological pursuits. This modern myth sets the stage for a future where humans are not just consumers of the world but also creators of new forms of consciousness. It raises profound questions about our responsibility, ethics, and the nature of intelligence itself.

From a pessimistic point of view, AGI negatively shapes society, and technocratic theocracy is inevitable to some extent. Despite there is no guarantee that any mitigation will prevent people from believing every decision of a godlike AGI (which saved them from famine, climate change or Third World War), I will still propose the following solutions to control and mitigate the emergence of technocratic theocracy: (i) Fostering critical thinking skills and (ii) promoting transparency in AI development and (iii) preventing the monopoly at AI technology ownership. I want to note that these control and mitigation actions are necessary but not strong enough because of escalation factors.

First, a crucial defense against the uncritical acceptance of AGI's pronouncements can lie in fostering critical thinking skills within the population. In normal conditions, critical thinking empowers individuals to evaluate information and decisions objectively [38], regardless of the source – including the seemingly infallible pronouncements of a highly advanced AGI. If we can incorporate critical thinking strategies throughout the curriculum, educational institutions can equip learners with the tools necessary to navigate the information age. Educational institutes can teach these skills, but it is not easy, and there is no standardized method of successful teaching [39, 40]. Fortunately, traditional deities are losing popularity globally [41] as critical thinking against any authority becomes more effective in new generations, and we can hope technological deities will be questioned someday.

Second, Transparency in the development and operation of AGI is crucial as we address the possible risks of AGI deification. An opaque AGI system, cloaked in secret, creates a conducive atmosphere for attributing godlike attributes. Transparency fosters confidence and enables a thorough assessment of AGI's strengths and constraints. Transparency aids in debunking myths and misconceptions regarding AGI's infallibility. The public can prevent ascribing mystical or supernatural abilities to AGI by comprehending the decision-making process of the system. Global legislation may mandate that "AI cannot be utilized in political decisions unless it is completely transparent and explainable."

Lastly and most importantly, preventing a monopoly on AI technology ownership can increase the possibility of making more responsible AGIs. A single entity controlling powerful AGI could limit public scrutiny and transparency, potentially leading to an unchallenged "black box" system. Monopoly risk is not a brand-new concern. As officially claimed by OpenAI [42], it was founded in 2015 to develop a "safe and beneficial AGI", as a foundation. Thusly, Elon Musk filed a lawsuit against Open AI, claiming that OpenAI had started with a non-profit mission against the danger of some kind of monopoly in the AGI field but deviated from the original mission and is now acquired by Microsoft [43]. Undoubtedly, a monopoly will prioritize profit over ethical considerations, potentially developing and deploying AGI with harmful biases or unintended consequences. Moreover, centralized control of AI could restrict access and innovation, hindering the development of diverse approaches and mitigating solutions.

Most sees a superintelligence pose existential risk to humanity because the alignment problem with human values [44, 1, 15, 45]. Soares and Fallenstein [46] lists the technical problems in front of creating a superintelligence aligned with human interest.

Consequently, I do not argue that there is an existential risk for human race leads to an extinction but a new social order where a new form of government “technocratic theocracy” emerges and the known human civilization deviates to a different path meaning loss of agency.

References

- [1] N. Bostrom. “How long before superintelligence”. In: *International Journal of Futures Studies* 2.1 (1998), pp. 1–9.
- [2] A. Mayor. *Gods and Robots: Myths, Machines, and Ancient Dreams of Technology*. Princeton University Press, 2018. DOI: 10.2307/j.ctvc779xn.
- [3] S. S. Biswas. “Potential Use of Chat GPT in Global Warming”. In: *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*. Springer, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10439-023-03171-8.
- [4] S. S. Biswas. “Role of Chat GPT in Public Health”. In: *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*. Springer, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10439-023-03172-7.
- [5] K.-L. Chiu, A. Collins, and R. Alexander. “Detecting Hate Speech with GPT-3”. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.12407>. 2021.
- [6] L. Floridi and M. Chiriatti. “GPT-3: Its Nature, Scope, Limits, and Consequences”. In: *Minds and Machines (Vol. 30, Issue 4, . Science and Business Media B. V.* Springer, 2020, pp. 681–694. DOI: 10.1007/s11023-020-09548-1.
- [7] M. F. M. Hayati, M. A. M. Ali, and A. N. M. Rosli. “Depression Detection on Malay Dialects Using GPT-3”. In: *2022 IEEE-EMBS Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences (IECBES)*. 2022, pp. 360–364. DOI: 10.1109/IECBES54088.2022.10079554.
- [8] A. Shrivastava, R. Pupale, and P. Singh. “Enhancing Aggression Detection using GPT-2 based Data Balancing Technique”. In: *2021 5th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS)*. 2021, pp. 1345–1350. DOI: 10.1109/ICICCS51141.2021.9432283.
- [9] M. Roser. *AI timelines: What do experts in artificial intelligence expect for the future?* Our World in Data, 2023.
- [10] M. (n. d.). Barnett. “When will the first general AI system be devised, tested, and publicly announced? Metaculus”. In: *Com*. Retrieved March 19 from (2024). URL: <https://www.metaculus.com/questions/5121/date-of-artificial-general-intelligence/>.
- [11] T. Davidson. “What a Compute-Centric Framework Says About Takeoff Speeds | Open Philanthropy”. <https://www.openphilanthropy.org/research/what-a-compute-centric-framework-says-about-takeoff-speeds/>. 2023.
- [12] Joshua Hoffman and Gary Rosenkrantz. “Omnipotence”. In: (2022). Ed. by Edward N. Zalta.
- [13] A. Hasan. “The Concept of infallibility in Islam”. In: *Islamic Studies* 11.1 (1972), pp. 1–11. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20833049>.

- [14] P. S. Jaini. “On the Sarvajnatva (Omniscience) of Mahavira and the Buddha”. In: *Buddhist Studies in Honour of I. B. Horner . Netherlands*. Ed. by L. Cousins, A. Kunst, and K. R. Norman. Springer, 1974, pp. 71–90. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-010-2242-2_9.
- [15] N. Bostrom. *Superintelligence: Paths. Dangers, Strategies*. Oxford University Press, 2016.
- [16] E. Wierenga. “Omniscience”. In: *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Summer 2021)*. Ed. by E. N. Zalta. Stanford University: Metaphysics Research Lab, 2021.
- [17] E. Wierenga. “Omnipresence”. In: *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Summer 2023)*. Ed. by E. N. Zalta and U. Nodelman. Stanford University: Metaphysics Research Lab, 2023.
- [18] K. Mathiesen. “The Human Right to Internet Access: A Philosophical Defense”. In: *International Review of Information Ethics* 18.12 (2012), pp. 9–22.
- [19] M. Reglitz. “The Human Right to Free Internet Access”. In: *Journal of Applied Philosophy* 37.2 (2020), pp. 314–331. DOI: https.
- [20] A. (2024 January Petrosyan. 31). *Number of internet and social media users worldwide as of January 2024*. Worldwide Digital Population, 2024. URL: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/617136/digital-population-worldwide/>.
- [21] Reuters. *Elon Musk’s Neuralink implants brain chip in first human*. <https://www.reuters.com/technology/neuralink-implants-brain-chip-first-human-musk-says-2024-01-29>. Accessed: 2024-01-29. Jan. 2024.
- [22] K. A. Johnson et al. “Friends in high places: The influence of authoritarian and benevolent god-concepts on social attitudes and behaviors”. In: *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality* 5.1 (2013), p. 15.
- [23] N. Bostrom. “The Superintelligent Will: Motivation and Instrumental Rationality in Advanced Artificial Agents”. In: *Minds and Machines* 22.2 (2012), pp. 71–85. DOI: 10.1007/s11023-012-9281-3.
- [24] T. C. Mayberry. “God and moral authority”. In: *The Monist* 54.1 (1970), pp. 106–123. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27902165>.
- [25] Y. N. Harari. *21 Lessons for the 21st Century*. Random House, 2018.
- [26] T. Peters. *Playing God With Frankenstein*. Theology and Science, 2018. DOI: 10.1080/14746700.2018.1455264.
- [27] H. Lloyd-Jones. “Zeus, Prometheus, and Greek Ethics”. In: *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* 101 (2003), pp. 49–72. DOI: 10.2307/3658524.
- [28] I. Spar. “Mesopotamian deities”. In: *The Metropolitan Museum. Ed. by Heilbrunn Timeline of Art History*. of Art, 2009.
- [29] H.-P. Chan, L. M. Hadjiiski, and R. K. Samala. “Computer-aided diagnosis in the era of deep learning”. In: *Medical Physics* 47 (2020), p. 5.
- [30] J. Yanase and E. Triantaphyllou. “A systematic survey of computer-aided diagnosis in medicine: Past and present developments. Expert Systems with Applications, 138”. In: *112821*. 10 (2019), p. 1016. DOI: https.
- [31] K. Karacan et al. “A novel multistage CAD system for breast cancer diagnosis”. In: *Signal, Image and Video Processing* 17.5 (2023), pp. 2359–2368. DOI: 10.1007/s11760-022-02453-3.

- [32] N. K. Iyortsuun et al. “A Review of Machine Learning and Deep Learning Approaches on Mental Health Diagnosis”. In: *Healthcare* 11 (2023), p. 3. DOI: 10.3390/healthcare11030285.
- [33] Z. Zhao et al. “Conventional machine learning and deep learning in Alzheimer’s disease diagnosis using neuroimaging: A review”. In: *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* 17 (2023). Article 1038636.
- [34] H. Askr et al. “Deep learning in drug discovery: an integrative review and future challenges”. In: *Artificial Intelligence Review* 56.7 (2023), pp. 5975–6037.
- [35] M. R. Islam et al. “Deep learning-based IoT system for remote monitoring and early detection of health issues in real-time”. In: *Sensors* 23.11 (2023), p. 5204.
- [36] T. Shaik et al. “Remote patient monitoring using artificial intelligence: Current state, applications, and challenges”. In: *Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 13(2), e1485. Wiley, 2023.
- [37] S. Rosenzweig. *Commentary on Avalokiteshvara*. Bodhisattva of Compassion. Academic Medicine, 2023. DOI: 10.1097/01.acm.0001009600.06661.55.
- [38] M. Reynolds. “Critical thinking and systems thinking: towards a critical literacy for systems thinking in practice”. 2011.
- [39] L. S. Behar-Horenstein and L. Niu. “Teaching critical thinking skills in higher education: A review of the literature”. In: *Journal of College Teaching and Learning (TLC)* 8 (2011), p. 2.
- [40] D. T. Willingham. “Critical thinking: Why it is so hard to teach?” In: *American Federation of Teachers Summer 2007* (2007), pp. 8–19.
- [41] R. T. Cragun. “Nonreligion and Atheism”. In: *Handbook of Religion and Society*. Ed. by D. Yamane. International Publishing: Springer, 2016, pp. 301–320. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-31395-5_16.
- [42] OpenAI. “(2018”. In: *April 9*). OpenAI charter (). URL: <https://openai.com/charter>.
- [43] D. Goldman and B. (2024 Fung. *March 1*). *Elon Musk sues OpenAI and CEO Sam Altman for breach of contract*. CNN Business.
- [44] A. M. Barrett and S. D. Baum. “A model of pathways to artificial superintelligence catastrophe for risk and decision analysis”. In: *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Artificial Intelligence* 29.2 (2017), pp. 397–414. DOI: 10.1080/0952813X.2016.1186228.
- [45] Eliezer Yudkowsky. “Artificial Intelligence as a positive and negative factor in global risk”. In: *Global Catastrophic Risks*. Oxford University Press, July 2008. ISBN: 9780198570509. DOI: 10.1093/oso/9780198570509.003.0021. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198570509.003.0021>.
- [46] Nate Soares and Benja Fallenstein. *Aligning Superintelligence with Human Interests: A Technical Research Agenda*. Tech. rep. Machine Intelligence Research Institute, 2015.