
OmniCorpus: A Unified Multimodal Corpus of 10 Billion-Level Images Interleaved with Text

Qingyun Li^{2,1*}, Zhe Chen^{3,1*}, Weiyun Wang^{4,1*}, Wenhai Wang^{5,1*}, Shenglong Ye^{1*},
Zhenjiang Jin^{1*}, Guanzhou Chen^{1*}, Yinan He^{1*}, Zhangwei Gao^{1*}, Erfei Cui^{1*},
Jiashuo Yu^{1*}, Hao Tian^{6*}, Jiasheng Zhou^{6*}, Chao Xu^{1*}, Bin Wang^{1*}, Xingjian Wei^{1*},
Wei Li^{1*}, Wenjian Zhang^{1*}, Bo Zhang^{1*}, Pinlong Cai^{1*}, Licheng Wen^{1*}, Xiangchao Yan^{1*},
Zhenxiang Li^{1*}, Pei Chu^{1*}, Yi Wang^{1*}, Min Dou¹, Changyao Tian^{5,1}, Xizhou Zhu^{6,1,7},
Lewei Lu⁶, Yushi Chen², Junjun He¹, Zhongying Tu^{1*}, Tong Lu³, Yali Wang¹,
Limin Wang^{3,1}, Dahua Lin¹, Yu Qiao¹, Botian Shi¹, Conghui He^{1✉}, Jifeng Dai^{7,1✉}

¹Shanghai AI Laboratory, ²Harbin Institute of Technology, ³Nanjing University,

⁴Fudan University, ⁵The Chinese University of Hong Kong,

⁶SenseTime Research, ⁷Tsinghua University,

Abstract

Image-text interleaved data, consisting of multiple images and texts arranged in a natural document format, aligns with the presentation paradigm of internet data and closely resembles human reading habits. Recent studies have shown that such data aids multimodal in-context learning and maintains the capabilities of large language models during multimodal fine-tuning. However, the limited scale and diversity of current image-text interleaved data restrict the development of multimodal large language models. In this paper, we introduce OmniCorpus, a 10 billion-level image-text interleaved dataset. Using an efficient data engine, we filter and extract large-scale high-quality documents, which contain 8.6 billion images and 1,696 billion text tokens. Compared to counterparts (*e.g.*, MMC4, OBELICS), our dataset 1) has 15 times larger scales while maintaining good data quality; 2) features more diverse sources, including both English and non-English websites as well as video-centric websites; 3) is more flexible, easily degradable from an image-text interleaved format to pure text corpus and image-text pairs. Through comprehensive analysis and experiments, we validate the quality, usability, and effectiveness of the proposed dataset. We hope this could provide a solid data foundation for future multimodal model research. Code and data are released at <https://github.com/OpenGVLab/OmniCorpus>.

1 Introduction

With the rise of large language models (LLMs) [1, 4, 8, 12, 14, 65, 68, 69, 82, 85], multimodal large language models (MLLMs) [2, 5, 17, 18, 25, 39, 40, 49, 54, 63, 64, 86] have also made significant progress. These MLLMs typically integrate pre-trained LLMs with vision foundation models (VFM) [17, 30, 51, 62, 83], aligning them through extensive image-text pairing datasets (*e.g.*, LAION [57] and COYO [13]), thereby enabling the comprehension of visual cues within language models. These datasets, collected by web scraping to match images with their descriptive captions, establish robust links between visual and linguistic elements. Nonetheless, they neglect

* Equal contribution; ✉ Corresponding Authors: daijifeng@tsinghua.edu.cn; heconghui@pjlab.org.cn

the original structure of documents, leading to a loss of contextual details and resulting in lower text quality and lack of contextual richness compared to the training corpus of LLMs. Therefore, there is an imperative need to *investigate more natural and flexible multimodal data that go beyond naive image-text pairings, with the aim of enhancing the training efficacy of MLLMs.*

Pioneering studies [2, 35, 46, 88] have introduced image-text interleaved data, demonstrating their promise in preserving the linguistic prowess of LLMs and boosting few-shot capabilities in tasks such as image captioning and visual question answering (VQA). Despite this progress, the scale of these datasets remains relatively limited, with the most extensive containing approximately 140 million documents, significantly smaller than well-established text or image-text pair datasets. Moreover, their primary data sources, mostly English websites from Common Crawl (CC) [20], restrict content variety. These constraints hinder the datasets’ capacity to fully unleash the potential of MLLMs, restricting their advancement and performance.

Given these considerations, constructing large-scale high-quality image-text interleaved data for MLLMs involves addressing several key challenges: (1) *Diverse data sources*: existing sources like CC are relatively homogeneous, which are mainly text-centric with few images. In addition, the availability of CC images is nearing exhaustion, making it difficult to support the scaling up of future multimodal models. (2) *Large-scale data processing*: An efficient, scalable, and parallelizable data engine is required to handle the massive volumes of multimodal data involved in this task. (3) *High-quality multimodal data*: Comprehensive image and text filters are also crucial to ensure that the generated text corpus maintains the same high quality as the original training data of LLMs while interleaving high-quality images.

In this work, to establish a solid data foundation for MLLM research, we introduce OmniCorpus, a 10 billion-level image-text interleaved dataset. To expand data sources and address the exhaustion of CC images, we supplement our dataset with data from non-English websites and high-quality image content from video platforms. We propose a unified data format, termed streaming data format, which is not only flexible to store image and text data from different sources, but also facilitates subsequent data reading, visualization, and data cleaning. To efficiently leverage the large-scale data from multiple sources, we develop *an efficient data pipeline capable of scaling to thousands of CPU cores.* We carefully review the overall pipeline of the data engine and optimize each component (*e.g.*, main body extraction, preliminary text filtering) for higher efficiency and speedup ratio in a parallel framework. To enhance data quality, we implement a *human-feedback text filter* to reduce the noise within the texts, such as advertisements and other irrelevant content.

As shown in Figure 1 and Table 1, our OmniCorpus dataset demonstrates several advantages over its counterparts: (1) *Larger data scale*: Our dataset stands as the largest multimodal dataset to date, containing 8.6 billion images, 1,696 billion text tokens, and 2.2 billion documents. It is 1.7 times larger in images and 12.5 times larger in texts compared to the previously largest multimodal dataset, LAION-5B [57], while maintaining excellent data quality. (2) *Richer data diversity*: Drawing from a broader range of data sources, our dataset is more diverse than other image-text interleaved datasets. It includes bilingual multimodal data in both Chinese and English, and encompasses text-centric and vision-centric documents extracted from common websites and video platforms. (3) *More flexible format*: The streaming data format of our dataset offers exceptional flexibility, allowing adaptation to various data structures, including pure text corpora, image-text pairs, and interleaved data formats.




Figure 1: **Overview of our OmniCorpus dataset.** It comprises 8.6 billion images and 1,696 billion text tokens sourced from diverse origins. Additionally, our efficient data engine generalizes the data into various formats, such as text corpus, image-text interleaved, and image-text pairs.

In summary, our contributions are threefold:

Table 1: **Comparison with large-scale image-text pre-training datasets.** “#Avg.” denotes “#Images per sample | #Tokens per sample”. The concept of “#Docs” applies only to interleaved image-text datasets and is not relevant to paired image-text datasets. The proposed OmniCorpus dataset features a significantly larger scale and a broader range of sources compared to previous image-text datasets.

Dataset	#Images	#Tokens	#Docs	#Avg.	Language	Source
<i>Image-text Paired Datasets</i>						
COYO-700M [13]	747M	12.9B	—	1 17	English	Common Crawl
LAION-5B [57]	5B	135B	—	1 27	multilingual	Common Crawl
<i>Image-text Interleaved Datasets</i>						
KOSMOS-1 data [29]	—	—	71M	— —	English	Common Crawl
M3W (Flamingo) [2]	185M	—	43M	4.3 —	English	English Websites
Web Interleaved (MM1) [46]	1B	500B	500M	2 1K	English	English Websites
MMC4-Core [88]	29.9M	2.4B	7.3M	4.1 329	English	Common Crawl
MMC4 [88]	585M	43B	103M	5.7 417	English	Common Crawl
OBELICS [35]	353M	115B	141M	2.5 816	English	Common Crawl
OmniCorpus-YT (ours)	2.1B	7.7B	10M	210 770	English	YouTube Videos (YT)
OmniCorpus-CW (ours)	3.2B	940B	1196M	2 330	Chinese	Chinese Websites (CW)
OmniCorpus-CC (ours)	3.3B	748B	988M	3.3 757	English	Common Crawl (CC)
OmniCorpus (ours)	8.6B	1696B	2.2B	3.9 574	Bilingual	CC, CW, YT

(1) We introduce the OmniCorpus dataset, the largest multimodal dataset to date, which pushes the boundaries of scale and diversity by encompassing 8.6 billion images interleaved with 1,696 text tokens from diverse sources, significantly surpassing previous datasets.

(2) We propose a comprehensive set of tools and algorithms, including a streaming data format that unifies multimodal data from various sources, an efficient and scalable data engine capable of processing large-scale data, and human feedback filters to ensure high-quality data.

(3) Through extensive experiments, we validate the quality and effectiveness of our dataset. We show that image-text interleaved data enhances few-shot capabilities and maintains the language abilities of multimodal models. Additionally, we also gained some new findings that differ from prior findings.

2 Related Works

2.1 Image-Text Datasets

As one of the three pillars of deep learning, datasets play a critical role in advancing deep learning models, especially in vision-language models (VLMs). Prior to the era of large-scale models, image-text datasets [16, 19, 26, 43, 44, 45, 48, 58, 60, 76, 80] are primarily human-annotated and have limited data scale. For example, VQAv2 [26] annotated each image with several question-answer pairs, while Visual Genome [34] further provided region-level annotations. However, these datasets have limited data scales and fail to encompass diverse scenarios in the open world, hindering models’ generalization ability. To achieve open-world capability, CLIP [51] and ALIGN [31] proposed training models using web-scale image-text pairs collected from the internet. Subsequent works [13, 15, 24, 33, 50, 55, 56, 57, 59, 66, 74, 75] have also been introduced for open-source research. Among them, LAION-5B [57] is the pioneering dataset offering billion-scale image-text pairs, whereas AS-1B [75] is the first extensive dataset to provide region-level image-text pairs. However, these datasets contain limited world knowledge in each sample, affecting the performance of the underlying language model of VLMs. Recently, a series of interleaved datasets [35, 88] have been proposed to address these issues. Nonetheless, the data source and the languages involved in these datasets are limited. In this work, we propose the OmniCorpus, the first 10 billion-level image-text interleaved dataset comprising multiple data sources and languages.

2.2 Vision-Language Models

Significant advancements have been made in the field of vision-language models (VLMs) in recent years. Previous methods [6, 72] mainly focused on specific downstream tasks within predefined closed sets, while recent works have shifted towards understanding the open world. Models trained with contrastive learning-based methods [17, 23, 31, 51] are capable of recognizing and understanding open-world semantics through an image-text matching framework, although their lack of generative ability limits their applicability. In recent years, the advancement of large language models (LLMs) [1, 12, 68] has led to the emergency of many LLM-based VLMs [18, 37, 38, 42, 73, 75, 86, 89]. As one




Figure 2: **Overview of the data processing pipeline.** It contains five key stages: main body extraction, preliminary text filtering, document deduplication, image downloading & filtering, and detailed text filtering. Each stage efficiently reduces the dataset to retain only high-quality data.

of the representative works, InternVL-1.5 [18] achieves performance comparable to GPT-4V [49]. Additionally, models like Kosmos-2 [50] and ASMV2 [74] enable LLMs to comprehend specific regions within images. Recently, a series of works [22, 32, 36, 61, 63, 67, 87] have explored the use of image-text interleaved data to enhance VLM capabilities. However, the training corpora for these models remain limited to English data from Common Crawl. The effectiveness of image-text interleaved data from other sources or languages is still unexplored. In this work, we provide more empirical insights into the use of interleaved data.

3 Data Engine

3.1 Overall Pipeline

Figure 2 illustrates the overall pipeline of our data engine, which consists of five key stages as follows:

Main Body Extraction. We extract primary content from each web document using an improved version of Trafilatura [7], which can more accurately and efficiently extract main content and images while handling a broader range of languages (see Section 3.2). We enhance sections based on the HTML structure’s density if the extracted content is insufficient. HTML documents without images are dropped in this stage. Some explicit advertisements or sidebars are excluded through HTML structure analysis and URL pattern matching for images. Then, we convert the HTML structure into the streaming data format, which is a unified data format applicable to different data sources. It preserve tags for individual elements, including <text>, <image>, <code>, <header>, <detail>, <quote>, <video>, <audio>, <table>, and <list>. During this step, we remove 47% of documents.

Preliminary Text Filtering. Given the streaming data from the main body extraction, we perform preliminary text filtering by employing strategies from Gopher [52] and C4 [53] to eliminate extremely low-quality content, such as documents with excessive numbers, documents with texts that are too long or too short, and documents containing “lorem ipsum.” Additionally, we introduce some heuristic rules to further filter the text, such as removing documents with too many continuous line breaks or documents where a single word’s frequency is excessively high. During this step, we remove 80% documents from the remaining HTML documents.

Document Deduplication with Text. We remove duplicate documents by comparing their text content using minihash [11] values with a threshold of 0.8 and retaining the latest version. This step significantly reduces redundancy, discarding approximately 90% of duplicates.

Image Downloading & Filtering. In this step, we discard invalid images that were not successfully downloaded. Adhering to MMC4 [88] guidelines, we filter out images with a height or width of fewer than 150 pixels and an aspect ratio greater than 2 or less than 0.5. Following LAION-5B [57], we exclude images with an aesthetic score below 3.7 or a Not Safe for Work (NSFW) score above 0.8. Additionally, we identify and remove images that appear more than 10 times across HTML documents by computing perceptual hash (phash) and difference hash (dhash) values.

Detailed Text Filtering. We finetune models based on BERT [21] for scoring advertisement content, political content, toxic content, NSFW material, and document fluency. Using these models, we discard documents containing excessive ads, inappropriate content, or poor language quality. In addition, to further improve data quality, we use a human-feedback filtering strategy (see Section 3.3) to develop a multimodal filter suitable for English and non-English content.

In addition, we enhance the diversity of our dataset by creating storyboard datasets from various video sources. This includes extracting keyframes and transcribing audio content from YT-Temporal-1B [81], HD-VILA-100M [78], HowTo100M [47], and InternVid [77]. More details can be found in the supplementary material.

3.2 Tweakings

To enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of our pipeline, we carefully refine the data pipeline from key aspects as follows:

Pre-Deduplication. The resources required for image downloading, filtering, and detailed text filtering are substantial, involving significant bandwidth, GPU resources, and human feedback. Given that the deduplication step filters out a large number of documents and images, we choose to perform deduplication in advance. This approach effectively reduces the number of images to be downloaded and the volume of documents requiring detailed text filtering. As a result, it saves approximately 86 PB seconds of bandwidth in downloading images, 4500 A100 GPU days in image filtering, and 130 GPU days along with 45 person-days in detailed text filtering.

Improved Main Body Extraction. Our extraction algorithm has been significantly improved compared to the vanilla Trafilatura [7]. In terms of accuracy, we have addressed the issue where Trafilatura would overlook the main content of an HTML document when extracting images, and enhanced its capability to handle Chinese, Japanese, and Arabic documents. Additionally, we have incorporated techniques to trim web noise regions based on HTML structure (such as clusters of lists and navigation bars) and style (targeting elements like advertisements, comments, JavaScript, and CSS). In terms of efficiency, we optimized the process based on HTML nodes and streamlined the processing pipeline by eliminating the fallback process in challenging cases. With these two improvements, we can not only extract more informative content from the main body but also double the speed of the extraction process.

Improved Image Downloading. We integrate efficient download task scheduling and resource allocation while employing Bloom filtering technology [10] to deduplicate URLs of images that have been downloaded or are pending processing. This method effectively prevents redundant download requests, optimizing storage resources and bandwidth usage. Consequently, it provides robust technical support for the efficient collection and analysis of large-scale image data. Specifically, our approach reduces URL download requests from 30 billion to 9.65 billion and accelerates the downloading process by a factor of 1.5.

Pipeline Parallelism. Our pipeline runs in a modular parallel manner, offering several benefits. (1) The system will have greater fault tolerance since we can modify or improve each section of the pipeline independently. (2) Different parts of the pipeline require different types of resources, such as main body extraction runs on CPUs, image filtering runs on GPUs, and image downloading requires bandwidth, so a modular design is more reasonable. (3) by allocating resources based on throughput rather than evenly distributing them, we can significantly speed up the process. Compared to equal resource allocation, our parallel assembly line achieves a 1.39 times speed increase.

With all these improvements, the dataset processing pipeline can now scale up to thousands of CPUs, thousands of GPUs, and 3Gbps bandwidth, tripling its processing speed in that configuration.

3.3 Human-Feedback Filtering

Based on the pipeline introduced in Section 3.1, a significant portion of low-quality data has been removed. However, the remaining documents are still noisy. In this section, we introduce the human-feedback filtering method used to optimize the text filters, further improving the document quality. The optimized filter comprises nearly 30 filtering rules for English and 40 for Chinese. These filtering rules can be found in the Appendix.

To build these filtering rules, we first sample a subset of documents according to various criteria, including completeness, comprehensibility, fluency, relevance, and safety. After that, we manually design additional filtering rules to remove the low-quality documents from these sampled documents. These rules are then evaluated on a human-annotated evaluation set, and those achieving excellent performance are added to our filtering pipeline. The evaluation metric includes the miss rate and




Figure 3: **Joint distribution of the image and token numbers per document.** We use kernel density estimate to get the distribution.




Figure 4: **Joint distribution of text and image score PDFs.** We visualized and compared the joint distribution of the PDFs of the Text Scores and Image Scores across each dataset.

the false positive rate. By repeating the above process, we can iteratively optimize the quality and comprehensiveness of text filters based on human feedback.

3.4 Streaming Data Format

We use a comprehensive and unified streaming data format to preserve rich and diverse information about the original data. Given an HTML document, we first split it into several chunks according to its layout, each formulated as image-text interleaved sequences $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where x_i can be a text sentence or an image. Then we concatenate these chunks in a top-to-bottom, left-to-right order to obtain a streaming interleaved sequence.

Based on this data format, the formulation of HTML documents, image-text pairs, and video sequences can be easily unified, which means that we can process these heterogeneous data from different sources in a unified manner. In addition to the content of the given data, other meta-annotations, including image aesthetic scores, image/text NSFW scores, political scores, toxic scores, unsafe scores, and text fluency, are also included in the streaming data. We hope that these meta-annotations can help researchers to better understand and utilize the dataset for various applications.

4 Exploring OmniCorpus

General Statistics. As shown in Table 1, our OmniCorpus is currently the largest and the first open-source multilingual interleaved dataset. It surpasses the combined totals of all previous interleaved datasets [29, 35, 46, 88]. Figure 3 illustrates the joint distribution of text tokens and images in the interleaved sequences from OmniCorpus. See Appendix for more details.

Diversity Analysis. To measure and analyze the diversity of document content, we follow previous studies [35, 88] and employ Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) [9] to assess the topic diversity of the dataset. Figure 5 illustrates the significant differences in topics across documents from different sources, highlighting the importance of various sources in enhancing data diversity. The detailed topic modeling results are presented in the Appendix.

Qualitative Assessment of Dataset Samples. We randomly sample 200 documents from OmniCorpus-CC to evaluate their quality. There are 405 images in these documents. Among them, 88.4% are relevant to the documents, 8.0% contain watermarks, 4.0% contain logos, and 0.2% are advertisements. Additionally, 86.4% of the documents feature photographic images, while 13.6% included graphic images such as cartoons. Furthermore, 32.1% of the images contain at least one written word, and 22.7% of the images contain structured text. No NSFW images were found.

Quality Validation. As illustrated in Figure 4, we present the joint distribution of text scores and image scores across each set of 1 million sampled documents. The image score is calculated as the average of the aesthetic score and the NSFW score. The text score is determined by averaging the advertisement content score, the NSFW content score, and the document fluency score. In terms




Figure 5: **Visualization of topic clusters and example images.** The four diagrams from left to right correspond to MMC4 [88], OmniCorpus-CC, OmniCorpus-YT, and OmniCorpus-CW. The clusters are T-SNE [70] projection of LDA [9] topic modeling results. We select 2 topics for each dataset and show two image examples for each topic.




Figure 6: **Ablation on image position strategies.** Red solid: fully autoregressive. Blue dashed: cross-attention. Triangular: natural. Circular: retrieval-based.

Table 2: **Ablation on pre-training and SFT data types.** We report the zero/few-shot average accuracies of the four MLLM benchmarks and the text-only MMLU benchmark. The first row hosts the initialized model which has not been trained with vision-language data.

Pre-training Data	SFT Data	Avg. MLLM acc.				MMLU acc.	
		0	1	2	4	0	5
-	-	-	-	-	-	48.7	49.9
Interleaved	-	28.3	48.3	54.4	58.7	47.1	48.6
-	Common	76.3	71.7	72.6	73.1	50.3	50.5
Interleaved	Common	76.5	73.0	73.3	73.9	50.4	51.2
Interleaved	Interleaved	74.5	77.7	78.1	77.9	50.8	51.3

of image scores, all datasets perform similarly. The OmniCorpus-CC exhibits superior text quality. Specifically, our OmniCorpus-CC has a lower proportion of low-quality text compared to other datasets, with the difference diminishing as test quality increases. This indicates a higher proportion of high-quality tests in OmniCorpus-CC.

5 Experiments

5.1 Experimental Settings

Baselines. We construct our baseline models following LLaVA-1.5 [39], which comprises a vision encoder, a multimodal projector, and an LLM. The input sequence to the LLM is a token sequence consisting of interleaved visual and textual tokens. The language modeling loss is used to train the model, which is only calculated on text tokens. Unless otherwise specified, we employ CLIP-ViT-L-336px [51] as the vision encoder and Vicuna-1.5-7B [85] as the LLM.

Evaluation. We evaluate our models on VQA benchmarks [26, 27, 43, 60] and image captioning benchmarks [16, 80]. The accuracy score is used for VQA, while CIDEr [71] is used for image captioning. Following OpenFlamingo [3], we extend the benchmarks to few-shot settings to assess in-context learning. Specifically, in-context examples are sampled using RICES [79].

5.2 Main Findings

Different image position strategies excel in different architectures. Existing multimodal document datasets organize interleaved image and text sequences in two main ways. The MMC4 dataset [88] employed a retrieval strategy, inserting images into text sequence based on CLIP similarities, while the OBELICS dataset [35] maintained the natural layout of the source webpage. We conducted ablation

Table 3: **Pre-training ablation on curated subsets.** We report the zero/few-shot results on four MLLM benchmarks, including two VQA and two image captioning tasks. The first column shows the number of documents per subset, with 1M documents randomly sampled for training.

Eval Set #Shot	OKVQA				TextVQA				COCO				Flickr30k				Avg.			
	0	1	2	4	0	1	2	4	0	1	2	4	0	1	2	4	0	1	2	4
988M	15.2	34.1	31.8	32.8	21.7	30.5	34.6	37.7	41.9	73.6	85.0	94.9	34.2	41.4	47.5	52.6	28.2	44.9	49.7	54.5
600M	17.1	34.9	32.3	30.1	23.0	31.7	35.8	37.9	41.4	75.3	85.7	96.9	34.2	43.6	48.8	55.8	28.9	46.4	50.6	55.1
200M	12.7	36.0	38.8	41.1	17.7	32.6	38.0	42.0	46.9	80.8	92.2	97.2	36.1	43.9	48.6	54.3	28.3	48.3	54.4	58.7
40M	13.4	35.5	38.6	41.4	17.1	32.1	35.9	39.4	38.3	79.8	91.6	96.0	29.5	44.0	47.7	53.6	24.6	47.8	53.5	57.6
8M	12.2	35.6	38.2	40.8	15.9	32.9	36.3	38.2	41.5	78.2	89.4	93.5	32.4	42.9	49.0	51.6	25.5	47.4	53.2	56.0
2.5M	13.5	35.7	39.1	41.3	18.2	33.2	37.7	41.1	46.4	78.9	91.9	95.9	35.4	43.7	48.8	54.5	28.4	47.9	54.4	58.2

Table 4: **Comparison with open-source interleaved image-text datasets.** We report the zero/few-shot results on four MLLM benchmarks. The best two results are highlighted with bold font.

Eval Set #Shot	OKVQA				TextVQA				COCO				Flickr30k				Avg.			
	0	1	2	4	0	1	2	4	0	1	2	4	0	1	2	4	0	1	2	4
MMC4 [88]	15.1	29.0	24.0	23.2	21.2	27.6	30.3	33.8	45.7	70.9	82.1	88.4	36.3	32.5	39.0	43.8	29.6	40.0	43.9	47.3
MMC4-Core [88]	13.5	29.5	27.1	26.8	20.5	27.1	32.1	35.6	41.0	72.1	84.6	90.3	34.3	37.5	41.1	45.6	27.3	41.5	46.2	49.6
OBELICS [35]	13.9	35.0	36.8	40.2	17.9	30.3	35.7	40.7	50.7	74.7	91.3	97.1	42.7	41.4	47.5	54.7	31.3	45.3	52.9	58.2
OmniCorpus-YT	16.5	36.1	38.4	40.1	22.9	34.5	38.1	41.0	40.6	71.2	78.0	83.8	32.9	30.0	32.2	36.0	28.2	43.0	46.6	50.2
OmniCorpus-CC	12.7	36.0	38.8	41.1	17.7	32.6	38.0	42.0	46.9	80.8	92.2	97.2	36.1	43.9	48.6	54.3	28.3	48.3	54.4	58.7

studies on OmniCorpus-CC to evaluate both strategies using a fully autoregressive architecture like LLaVA-1.5 [39] and a cross-attention architecture like Flamingo [2]. As shown in Figure 6, the natural strategy performs better with the fully autoregressive architecture, whereas the retrieval-based strategy excels with the cross-attention architecture. This suggests that the cross-attention architecture benefits from optimal correlation between images and their surrounding paragraphs, while the fully autoregressive architecture prefers a natural arrangement that aligns with typical reading habits.

Data filtering benefits MLLMs to some extent. We further construct several curated subsets of approximately 600M, 200M, 40M, 8M, and 2.5M documents from OmniCorpus-CC, according to the meta-annotations introduced in Section 3.4. To validate the benefits of data filtering, we trained baseline models using 1M documents randomly sampled from subsets, separately. As shown in Table 3, the model trained on the 200M subset outperforms those trained on larger subsets and performs similarly to the model trained on smaller subsets. This suggests that data filtering can improve data quality, but over-filtering may harm performance due to data homogenization.

Image-text interleaved fine-tuning maintains in-context learning ability. We pre-train the baseline architecture with 1M documents randomly sampled from OmniCorpus-CC and fine-tune it using the LLaVA-665K dataset [39]. We compare zero-shot and few-shot performance on four MLLM benchmarks, as well as a text-only benchmark (*i.e.*, MMLU [28]), as shown in Table 2. The image-text interleaved pre-trained model shows a stepwise improvement with more in-context examples. After fine-tuning with high-quality conversation samples, there are overall enhancements for the average performance on four MLLM benchmarks, but the positive correlation with the example number is no longer maintained. Additionally, we replace the caption and VQA samples in the SFT data with few-shot samples whose format is aligned with the evaluation, yielding significantly improved few-shot performance. Despite the slight decline in zero-shot performance, the best few-shot average score shows considerable improvement compared to the baseline. Therefore, including image-text interleaved samples in SFT data is still essential. Furthermore, due to the absence of text-only instruction following samples in this setting, the model’s language capability decreased. However, the high-quality data used in SFT significantly improved the language ability, effectively mitigating the disadvantages introduced during the pre-training phase.

OmniCorpus-YT boosts VQA performance while degrading captioning ability. The previous studies have merely incorporated storyboard samples into a pre-training data mixture without thoroughly investigating the specific impact. Our goal is to pre-train an MLLM exclusively using documents collected from video and evaluate it on image-text benchmarks. We randomly selected 1M samples from OmniCorpus-YT. For each sample of video frames with text, we uniformly extracted six frames as images for the document and removed the remaining frames, constructing an image-text interleaved document. As shown in Table 4, the model trained on sampled OmniCorpus-YT achieves

Table 5: **Comparison with state-of-the-art MLLMs pre-trained with interleaved image-text data.** “*” indicates that the zero-shot evaluation follows Flamingo [2], which actually includes two text-only examples. The prompt for TextVQA [60] does not contain OCR tokens. To align with the evaluation setting of comparison models, we sample the in-context examples randomly.

Model	Pre-training Data	#Shot	COCO	Flickr30k	OKVQA	TextVQA	VQAv2	VizWiz
OpenFlamingo-9B [3]	MMC4 LAION	0*	79.5	59.5	37.8	24.2	52.7	27.5
		4	89.0	65.8	40.1	28.2	54.8	34.1
		8	96.3	62.9	41.1	29.1	54.8	38.5
IDEFICS-9B [35]	OBELICS Wikipedia LAION, PMD	0*	46.0	27.3	38.4	25.9	50.9	35.5
		4	93.0	59.7	45.4	27.6	55.4	36.9
		8	97.0	61.9	47.7	27.5	56.4	40.4
Emu-14B [63]	LAION, LAION-COCO MMC4, WebVid-10M YT-Storyboard-1B	0*	—	—	42.8	—	52.9	34.4
		4	—	—	—	—	58.4	41.3
		8	—	—	—	—	59.0	43.9
Ours (7B)	LAION OmniCorpus-CC	0*	81.2	59.2	45.0	43.0	63.2	49.8
		4	91.9	63.2	45.5	45.4	64.5	51.3
		8	97.6	63.5	46.6	45.6	64.7	52.2

the best VQA capabilities, but its captioning scores are the lowest. The results demonstrate the feasibility of extracting image-text interleaved documents from video resources.

OmniCorpus-CW improves the Chinese ability. We pre-train on 1M Chinese documents randomly sampled from OmniCorpus-CW and fine-tune with LLaVA-665K data [39]. We find that the scores improve from 59.8 to 62.5 (+2.7) for MMBench-CN [41] and from 23.6 to 24.9 (+1.3) for CMMU [84], demonstrating the effectiveness of our OmniCorpus-CW data.

5.3 Comparison Experiments

To compare the data quality to the related dataset, we train the same baseline architecture with 1M documents randomly selected from MMC4, MMC4-Core [88], OBELICS [35], and OmniCorpus-CC, respectively. As is shown in Table 4, the OmniCorpus-CC exhibits optimal few-shot performance and near-optimal zero-shot performance.

To demonstrate the potential of the OmniCorpus for large-scale MLLMs pre-training, we design a recipe for training a competitive 7B baseline foundation model with our dataset. We replace the LLM with InternLM2-7B [14]. Additionally, we collect a large-scale data mixture, including image-text interleaved data (OmniCorpus-CC), paired image-text data (LAION [57]), and text-only data. We compare our model with OpenFlamingo [3] mainly pre-trained with MMC4 [88] and IDEFICS mainly pre-trained with OBELICS [35]. We follow them to add two evaluation sets, VQAv2 [26] and VizWiz [27], for evaluating the pre-trained models. The evaluation setting is aligned with the OpenFlamingo [3]. The comparison performance is presented in Table 5. We can see that our 7B model is superior to the larger 9B OpenFlamingo and IDEFICS in most cases. Especially for VQAv2 and TextVQA, our model achieves a cliff lead.

6 Conclusion & Limitation

In this work, we introduce the OmniCorpus dataset, the largest multimodal dataset to date. This dataset contains 8.6 billion images, 1,696 billion text tokens, and 2.2 billion documents, which are collected from three data sources: Common Crawl, Chinese websites, and video platforms. We elaborate on the data engine used to construct this dataset and carefully analyze its diversity and quality. Experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of our OmniCorpus. We also provide some new insights according to these experiments.

Regarding limitations, the current filtering process offers limited improvements to the model’s performance. Demonstrating which specific factors meet the conditions that benefit the model is complicated and is not thoroughly explored in this study and will be left for future work.

Broader Impact. We hope this work can provide a solid data foundation for the future advancement of MLLMs. We do not foresee obvious undesirable ethical/social impacts at this moment.

References

- [1] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [2] Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katie Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, et al. Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. *NeurIPS*, 2022.
- [3] Anas Awadalla, Irena Gao, Josh Gardner, Jack Hessel, Yusuf Hanafy, Wanrong Zhu, Kalyani Marathe, Yonatan Bitton, Samir Gadre, Shiori Sagawa, et al. Openflamingo: An open-source framework for training large autoregressive vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.01390*, 2023.
- [4] Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Yunfei Chu, Zeyu Cui, Kai Dang, Xiaodong Deng, Yang Fan, Wenbin Ge, Yu Han, Fei Huang, et al. Qwen technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16609*, 2023.
- [5] Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Shusheng Yang, Shijie Wang, Sinan Tan, Peng Wang, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. Qwen-vl: A frontier large vision-language model with versatile abilities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12966*, 2023.
- [6] Hangbo Bao, Wenhui Wang, Li Dong, and Furu Wei. V1-beit: Generative vision-language pretraining. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.01127*, 2022.
- [7] Adrien Barbaresi. Trafilatura: A web scraping library and command-line tool for text discovery and extraction. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 122–131, 2021.
- [8] Xiao Bi, Deli Chen, Guanting Chen, Shanhuang Chen, Damai Dai, Chengqi Deng, Honghui Ding, Kai Dong, Qiu Shi Du, Zhe Fu, et al. Deepseek llm: Scaling open-source language models with longtermism. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.02954*, 2024.
- [9] David M Blei, Andrew Y Ng, and Michael I Jordan. Latent dirichlet allocation. *JMLR*, 3(Jan):993–1022, 2003.
- [10] Burton H Bloom. Space/time trade-offs in hash coding with allowable errors. *Communications of the ACM*, 13(7):422–426, 1970.
- [11] Andrei Z Broder. On the resemblance and containment of documents. In *Proceedings. Compression and Complexity of SEQUENCES 1997 (Cat. No. 97TB100171)*, pages 21–29. IEEE, 1997.
- [12] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst.*, 2020.
- [13] Minwoo Byeon, Beomhee Park, Haecheon Kim, Sungjun Lee, Woonhyuk Baek, and Saehoon Kim. Coyo-700m: Image-text pair dataset. <https://github.com/kakaobrain/coyo-dataset>, 2022.
- [14] Zheng Cai, Maosong Cao, Haojiong Chen, Kai Chen, Keyu Chen, Xin Chen, Xun Chen, Zehui Chen, Zhi Chen, Pei Chu, et al. Internlm2 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17297*, 2024.
- [15] Soravit Changpinyo, Piyush Sharma, Nan Ding, and Radu Soricut. Conceptual 12m: Pushing web-scale image-text pre-training to recognize long-tail visual concepts. In *CVPR*, 2021.
- [16] Xinlei Chen, Hao Fang, Tsung-Yi Lin, Ramakrishna Vedantam, Saurabh Gupta, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco captions: Data collection and evaluation server. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.00325*, 2015.
- [17] Zhe Chen, Jiannan Wu, Wenhai Wang, Weijie Su, Guo Chen, Sen Xing, Zhong Muyan, Qinglong Zhang, Xizhou Zhu, Lewei Lu, et al. Internvl: Scaling up vision foundation models and aligning for generic visual-linguistic tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14238*, 2023.
- [18] Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Hao Tian, Shenglong Ye, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Wenwen Tong, Kongzhi Hu, Jiapeng Luo, Zheng Ma, et al. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16821*, 2024.
- [19] Christopher Clark and Matt Gardner. Simple and effective multi-paragraph reading comprehension. In *ACL*, pages 845–855, 2018.

- [20] Common Crawl. Common crawl - open repository of web crawl data. <https://commoncrawl.org/>.
- [21] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *NAACL-HLT*, 2018.
- [22] Runpei Dong, Chunrui Han, Yuang Peng, Zekun Qi, Zheng Ge, Jinrong Yang, Liang Zhao, Jianjian Sun, Hongyu Zhou, Haoran Wei, et al. Dreamllm: Synergistic multimodal comprehension and creation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.11499*, 2023.
- [23] Yuxin Fang, Wen Wang, Binhui Xie, Quan Sun, Ledell Wu, Xinggang Wang, Tiejun Huang, Xinlong Wang, and Yue Cao. Eva: Exploring the limits of masked visual representation learning at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.07636*, 2022.
- [24] Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Gabriel Ilharco, Alex Fang, Jonathan Hayase, Georgios Smyrnis, Thao Nguyen, Ryan Marten, Mitchell Wortsman, Dhruva Ghosh, Jieyu Zhang, et al. Datacomp: In search of the next generation of multimodal datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14108*, 2023.
- [25] Chunjiang Ge, Sijie Cheng, Ziming Wang, Jiale Yuan, Yuan Gao, Jun Song, Shiji Song, Gao Huang, and Bo Zheng. Convllava: Hierarchical backbones as visual encoder for large multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15738*, 2024.
- [26] Yash Goyal, Tejas Khot, Douglas Summers-Stay, Dhruv Batra, and Devi Parikh. Making the v in vqa matter: Elevating the role of image understanding in visual question answering. In *CVPR*, 2017.
- [27] Danna Gurari, Qing Li, Abigale J Stangl, Anhong Guo, Chi Lin, Kristen Grauman, Jiebo Luo, and Jeffrey P Bigham. Vizwiz grand challenge: Answering visual questions from blind people. In *CVPR*, pages 3608–3617, 2018.
- [28] Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.03300*, 2020.
- [29] Shaohan Huang, Li Dong, Wenhui Wang, Yaru Hao, Saksham Singhal, Shuming Ma, Tengchao Lv, Lei Cui, Owais Khan Mohammed, Qiang Liu, et al. Language is not all you need: Aligning perception with language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.14045*, 2023.
- [30] Gabriel Ilharco, Mitchell Wortsman, Ross Wightman, Cade Gordon, Nicholas Carlini, Rohan Taori, Achal Dave, Vaishaal Shankar, Hongseok Namkoong, John Miller, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Ali Farhadi, and Ludwig Schmidt. Openclip. 2021.
- [31] Chao Jia, Yinfei Yang, Ye Xia, Yi-Ting Chen, Zarana Parekh, Hieu Pham, Quoc Le, Yun-Hsuan Sung, Zhen Li, and Tom Duerig. Scaling up visual and vision-language representation learning with noisy text supervision. In *ICML*, 2021.
- [32] Yang Jin, Kun Xu, Liwei Chen, Chao Liao, Jianchao Tan, Bin Chen, Chenyi Lei, An Liu, Chengru Song, Xiaoqiang Lei, et al. Unified language-vision pretraining with dynamic discrete visual tokenization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.04669*, 2023.
- [33] Sebastian Kalkowski, Christian Schulze, Andreas Dengel, and Damian Borth. Real-time analysis and visualization of the yfcc100m dataset. In *Proceedings of the 2015 workshop on community-organized multimodal mining: opportunities for novel solutions*, pages 25–30, 2015.
- [34] Ranjay Krishna, Yuke Zhu, Oliver Groth, Justin Johnson, Kenji Hata, Joshua Kravitz, Stephanie Chen, Yannis Kalantidis, Li-Jia Li, David A Shamma, et al. Visual genome: Connecting language and vision using crowdsourced dense image annotations. *IJCV*, 123:32–73, 2017.
- [35] Hugo Laurençon, Lucile Saulnier, Léo Tronchon, Stas Bekman, Amanpreet Singh, Anton Lozhkov, Thomas Wang, Siddharth Karamcheti, Alexander Rush, Douwe Kiela, et al. Obelics: An open web-scale filtered dataset of interleaved image-text documents. *NeurIPS*, 36, 2024.
- [36] Hugo Laurençon, Léo Tronchon, Matthieu Cord, and Victor Sanh. What matters when building vision-language models? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.02246*, 2024.
- [37] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.12597*, 2023.
- [38] KunChang Li, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yizhuo Li, Wenhui Wang, Ping Luo, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Videochat: Chat-centric video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06355*, 2023.

- [39] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03744*, 2023.
- [40] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *NerUPIS*, 2023.
- [41] Yuan Liu, Haodong Duan, Yuanhan Zhang, Bo Li, Songyang Zhang, Wangbo Zhao, Yike Yuan, Jiaqi Wang, Conghui He, Ziwei Liu, et al. Mmbench: Is your multi-modal model an all-around player? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.06281*, 2023.
- [42] Zhaoyang Liu, Yinan He, Wenhai Wang, Weiyun Wang, Yi Wang, Shoufa Chen, Qinglong Zhang, Yang Yang, Qingyun Li, Jiashuo Yu, et al. Interngpt: Solving vision-centric tasks by interacting with chatbots beyond language. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.05662*, 2023.
- [43] Kenneth Marino, Mohammad Rastegari, Ali Farhadi, and Roozbeh Mottaghi. Ok-vqa: A visual question answering benchmark requiring external knowledge. In *CVPR*, pages 3195–3204, 2019.
- [44] Ahmed Masry, Xuan Long Do, Jia Qing Tan, Shafiq Joty, and Enamul Hoque. Chartqa: A benchmark for question answering about charts with visual and logical reasoning. In *ACL*, pages 2263–2279, 2022.
- [45] Minesh Mathew, Viraj Bagal, Rubèn Tito, Dimosthenis Karatzas, Ernest Valveny, and CV Jawahar. Infographicvqa. In *WACV*, pages 1697–1706, 2022.
- [46] Brandon McKinzie, Zhe Gan, Jean-Philippe Fauconnier, Sam Dodge, Bowen Zhang, Philipp Dufter, Dhruvi Shah, Xianzhi Du, Futang Peng, Floris Weers, et al. Mm1: Methods, analysis & insights from multimodal llm pre-training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.09611*, 2024.
- [47] Antoine Miech, Dimitri Zhukov, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Makarand Tapaswi, Ivan Laptev, and Josef Sivic. Howto100m: Learning a text-video embedding by watching hundred million narrated video clips. In *ICCV*, pages 2630–2640, 2019.
- [48] Anand Mishra, Shashank Shekhar, Ajeet Kumar Singh, and Anirban Chakraborty. Ocr-vqa: Visual question answering by reading text in images. In *ICDAR*, pages 947–952, 2019.
- [49] OpenAI. Gpt-4v(ision) system card. https://cdn.openai.com/papers/GPTV_System_Card.pdf, 2023.
- [50] Zhiliang Peng, Wenhui Wang, Li Dong, Yaru Hao, Shaohan Huang, Shuming Ma, and Furu Wei. Kosmos-2: Grounding multimodal large language models to the world. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14824*, 2023.
- [51] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *ICML*, 2021.
- [52] Jack W Rae, Sebastian Borgeaud, Trevor Cai, Katie Millican, Jordan Hoffmann, Francis Song, John Aslanides, Sarah Henderson, Roman Ring, Susannah Young, et al. Scaling language models: Methods, analysis & insights from training gopher. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.11446*, 2021.
- [53] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *JMLR*, 21(140):1–67, 2020.
- [54] Machel Reid, Nikolay Savinov, Denis Teplyashin, Dmitry Lepikhin, Timothy Lillicrap, Jean-baptiste Alayrac, Radu Soricut, Angeliki Lazaridou, Orhan Firat, Julian Schrittwieser, et al. Gemini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05530*, 2024.
- [55] Christoph Schuhman, Andreas Köpf, Richard Vencu, Theo Coombes, and Romain Beaumont. Laion coco: 600m synthetic captions from laion2b-en. 2022.
- [56] Christoph Schuhmann, Richard Vencu, Romain Beaumont, Robert Kaczmarczyk, Clayton Mullis, Aarush Katta, Theo Coombes, Jenia Jitsev, and Aran Komatsuzaki. Laion-400m: Open dataset of clip-filtered 400 million image-text pairs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.02114*, 2021.
- [57] Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, et al. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. *Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst.*, 2022.
- [58] Dustin Schwenk, Apoorv Khandelwal, Christopher Clark, Kenneth Marino, and Roozbeh Mottaghi. A-okvqa: A benchmark for visual question answering using world knowledge. In *ECCV*, pages 146–162, 2022.

- [59] Piyush Sharma, Nan Ding, Sebastian Goodman, and Radu Soricut. Conceptual captions: A cleaned, hypertexted, image alt-text dataset for automatic image captioning. In *ACL*, 2018.
- [60] Amanpreet Singh, Vivek Natarjan, Meet Shah, Yu Jiang, Xinlei Chen, Devi Parikh, and Marcus Rohrbach. Towards vqa models that can read. In *CVPR*, pages 8317–8326, 2019.
- [61] Quan Sun, Yufeng Cui, Xiaosong Zhang, Fan Zhang, Qiyang Yu, Zhengxiong Luo, Yueze Wang, Yongming Rao, Jingjing Liu, Tiejun Huang, et al. Generative multimodal models are in-context learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.13286*, 2023.
- [62] Quan Sun, Yuxin Fang, Ledell Wu, Xinlong Wang, and Yue Cao. Eva-clip: Improved training techniques for clip at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.15389*, 2023.
- [63] Quan Sun, Qiyang Yu, Yufeng Cui, Fan Zhang, Xiaosong Zhang, Yueze Wang, Hongcheng Gao, Jingjing Liu, Tiejun Huang, and Xinlong Wang. Emu: Generative pretraining in multimodality. In *ICLR*, 2023.
- [64] Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, et al. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023.
- [65] InternLM Team. Internlm: A multilingual language model with progressively enhanced capabilities. 2023.
- [66] Bart Thomee, David A Shamma, Gerald Friedland, Benjamin Elizalde, Karl Ni, Douglas Poland, Damian Borth, and Li-Jia Li. Yfcc100m: The new data in multimedia research. *Communications of the ACM*, 59(2):64–73, 2016.
- [67] Changyao Tian, Xizhou Zhu, Yuwen Xiong, Weiyun Wang, Zhe Chen, Wenhai Wang, Yuntao Chen, Lewei Lu, Tong Lu, Jie Zhou, et al. Mm-interleaved: Interleaved image-text generative modeling via multi-modal feature synchronizer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10208*, 2024.
- [68] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- [69] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shrutu Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [70] Laurens Van der Maaten and Geoffrey Hinton. Visualizing data using t-sne. *JMLR*, 9(11), 2008.
- [71] Ramakrishna Vedantam, C Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. Cider: Consensus-based image description evaluation. In *CVPR*, 2015.
- [72] Wenhui Wang, Hangbo Bao, Li Dong, Johan Bjorck, Zhiliang Peng, Qiang Liu, Kriti Aggarwal, Owais Khan Mohammed, Saksham Singhal, Subhojit Som, et al. Image as a foreign language: Beit pretraining for all vision and vision-language tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.10442*, 2022.
- [73] Wenhai Wang, Zhe Chen, Xiaokang Chen, Jiannan Wu, Xizhou Zhu, Gang Zeng, Ping Luo, Tong Lu, Jie Zhou, Yu Qiao, et al. Visionllm: Large language model is also an open-ended decoder for vision-centric tasks. *NeurIPS*, 2023.
- [74] Weiyun Wang, Yiming Ren, Haowen Luo, Tiantong Li, Chenxiang Yan, Zhe Chen, Wenhai Wang, Qingyun Li, Lewei Lu, Xizhou Zhu, et al. The all-seeing project v2: Towards general relation comprehension of the open world. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19474*, 2024.
- [75] Weiyun Wang, Min Shi, Qingyun Li, Wenhai Wang, Zhenhang Huang, Linjie Xing, Zhe Chen, Hao Li, Xizhou Zhu, Zhiguo Cao, et al. The all-seeing project: Towards panoptic visual recognition and understanding of the open world. In *ICLR*, 2024.
- [76] Xinyu Wang, Yuliang Liu, Chunhua Shen, Chun Chet Ng, Canjie Luo, Lianwen Jin, Chee Seng Chan, Anton van den Hengel, and Liangwei Wang. On the general value of evidence, and bilingual scene-text visual question answering. In *CVPR*, pages 10126–10135, 2020.
- [77] Yi Wang, Yinan He, Yizhuo Li, Kunchang Li, Jiashuo Yu, Xin Ma, Xinhao Li, Guo Chen, Xinyuan Chen, Yaohui Wang, et al. Internvid: A large-scale video-text dataset for multimodal understanding and generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.06942*, 2023.
- [78] Hongwei Xue, Tiankai Hang, Yanhong Zeng, Yuchong Sun, Bei Liu, Huan Yang, Jianlong Fu, and Baining Guo. Advancing high-resolution video-language representation with large-scale video transcriptions. In *CVPR*, pages 5036–5045, 2022.

- [79] Zhengyuan Yang, Zhe Gan, Jianfeng Wang, Xiaowei Hu, Yumao Lu, Zicheng Liu, and Lijuan Wang. An empirical study of gpt-3 for few-shot knowledge-based vqa. In *AAAI*, pages 3081–3089, 2022.
- [80] Peter Young, Alice Lai, Micah Hodosh, and Julia Hockenmaier. From image descriptions to visual denotations: New similarity metrics for semantic inference over event descriptions. *TACL*, 2:67–78, 2014.
- [81] Rowan Zellers, Jiasen Lu, Ximing Lu, Youngjae Yu, Yanpeng Zhao, Mohammadreza Salehi, Aditya Kusupati, Jack Hessel, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. Merlot reserve: Neural script knowledge through vision and language and sound. In *CVPR*, pages 16375–16387, 2022.
- [82] Aohan Zeng, Xiao Liu, Zhengxiao Du, Zihan Wang, Hanyu Lai, Ming Ding, Zhuoyi Yang, Yifan Xu, Wendi Zheng, Xiao Xia, et al. Glm-130b: An open bilingual pre-trained model. *ICLR*, 2022.
- [83] Xiaohua Zhai, Basil Mustafa, Alexander Kolesnikov, and Lucas Beyer. Sigmoid loss for language image pre-training. In *ICCV*, pages 11975–11986, 2023.
- [84] Ge Zhang, Xinrun Du, Bei Chen, Yiming Liang, Tongxu Luo, Tianyu Zheng, Kang Zhu, Yuyang Cheng, Chunpu Xu, Shuyue Guo, et al. Cmmu: A chinese massive multi-discipline multimodal understanding benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.11944*, 2024.
- [85] Lianmin Zheng, Wei-Lin Chiang, Ying Sheng, Siyuan Zhuang, Zhanghao Wu, Yonghao Zhuang, Zi Lin, Zhuohan Li, Dacheng Li, Eric Xing, et al. Judging llm-as-a-judge with mt-bench and chatbot arena. *NeurIPS*, 36, 2024.
- [86] Deyao Zhu, Jun Chen, Xiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Minigpt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10592*, 2023.
- [87] Jinguo Zhu, Xiaohan Ding, Yixiao Ge, Yuying Ge, Sijie Zhao, Hengshuang Zhao, Xiaohua Wang, and Ying Shan. Vl-gpt: A generative pre-trained transformer for vision and language understanding and generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09251*, 2023.
- [88] Wanrong Zhu, Jack Hessel, Anas Awadalla, Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Jesse Dodge, Alex Fang, Youngjae Yu, Ludwig Schmidt, William Yang Wang, and Yejin Choi. Multimodal c4: An open, billion-scale corpus of images interleaved with text. *NeurIPS*, 36, 2024.
- [89] Xizhou Zhu, Jinguo Zhu, Hao Li, Xiaoshi Wu, Hongsheng Li, Xiaohua Wang, and Jifeng Dai. Uni-perceiver: Pre-training unified architecture for generic perception for zero-shot and few-shot tasks. In *CVPR*, 2022.