

Resummation of Multi-Stress Tensors in Higher Dimensions

Kuo-Wei Huang

*Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton,
Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK*

Abstract

In the context of holographic conformal field theories (CFTs), a system of linear partial differential equations was recently proposed to be the higher-dimensional analogs of the null-state equations in $d = 2$ CFTs at large central charge. Solving these equations in a near-lightcone expansion yields solutions that match the minimal-twist multi-stress tensor contributions to a heavy-light four-point correlator (or a thermal two-point correlator) computed using holography, the conformal bootstrap, and other methods. This note explores the exact solutions to these equations. We begin by observing that, in an expansion in terms of the ratio between the heavy operator's dimension and the central charge, the $d = 2$ correlator involving the level-two degenerate scalars at each order can be represented as a Bessel function; the resummation yields the Virasoro vacuum block. We next observe a relation between the $d = 2$ correlator and the $d = 4$ near-lightcone correlator involving light scalars with the same conformal dimension. The resummed $d = 4$ correlator takes a simple form in the complex frequency domain. Unlike the Virasoro vacuum block, the resummation in $d = 4$ leads to essential singularities. Similar expressions are also obtained when the light scalar's dimension takes other finite values. These CFT results correspond to a holographic computation with a spherical black hole. In addition, using the differential equations, we demonstrate that the correlators can be reconstructed via certain modes. In $d = 2$, these modes are related to the Virasoro algebra.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Motivations and assumptions	2
1.2	Summary of the main results	6
2	Multi-stress tensor exchanges as a Bessel function	8
2.1	$d = 2$	9
2.2	$d = 4$	11
3	Patterns in the s-plane	14
3.1	$d = 2$	15
3.2	$d = 4$	15
4	Solve the equations directly in the s-plane	18
4.1	$d = 2$	19
4.2	$d = 4$	19
5	Correlators from modes	22
5.1	$d = 2$	22
5.2	$d = 4$	24
6	Discussion	25
	Appendix A	27
	Appendix B	30

1 Introduction

The aim of the present work is to obtain some analytic, exact structures relevant to a four-point scalar correlator in a class of higher-dimensional Conformal Field Theories (CFTs) that have an Einstein gravity dual description. Rather than performing a gravity computation, our approach here is to solve a set of linear partial differential equations.

1.1 Motivations and assumptions

A motivation behind this work is the desire to develop field-theoretic approaches to better organise the computational complexity of strongly-coupled quantum field theories (QFTs). From the renormalisation group perspective [1], defining a QFT is equivalent to understanding continuous phase transitions described by CFTs. Since the 1984 landmark paper by Belavin, Polyakov, and Zamolodchikov (BPZ) [2], which introduced a powerful analytic framework for solving a class of $d = 2$ systems, *i.e.*, minimal models, by leveraging the Virasoro symmetry and the conformal bootstrap, the CFT formulation has been extended and further elaborated over the past four decades, yielding a vast array of remarkable results in both two-dimensional and higher-dimensional theories. Recent advances in the conformal bootstrap are reviewed in, *e.g.*, [3–6].

An analytic approach to $d > 2$ CFTs is the lightcone bootstrap, which is based on the universal observation that every CFT has a large-spin expansion accessible via the near-lightcone limit of the crossing equations [7, 8] (see also [9, 10]). In the near-lightcone regime, the t -channel operator product expansion (OPE) is controlled by the minimal-twist operators, where the twist of an operator is the difference between its conformal dimension and its spin. In general, it is challenging to explicitly sum over contributions from all relevant minimal-twist exchanged operators. In this work, we would like to make progress in the context of holographic CFTs. According to [11], these CFTs require a large central charge $C_T \rightarrow \infty$, and a large gap to the lightest spin- $\ell > 2$ single-trace primary. Related discussions can be found in, *e.g.*, [12–18]. In this note, we focus on the contributions from minimal-twist multi-stress tensors, *i.e.*, the stress tensor and its infinite number of composites, to a four-point correlator involving two heavy scalars with a large dimension $\Delta_H \rightarrow \infty$, and two light probe scalars with certain restricted, finite dimensions $\Delta_L = \Delta$.

Another motivation arises from the quest to understand the nature of black holes and quantum gravity via the holographic framework [19–21] in a higher-dimensional setting. A substantial amount of work has been devoted to the lower-dimensional cases. Most relevant to the motivation of this work is the exact expression of the $d = 2$ Virasoro vacuum block

at large central charge, which presents the resummation of all minimal-twist multi-stress tensors in $d = 2$ [22, 23] and captures many important aspects of two-dimensional CFTs and three-dimensional quantum gravity, *e.g.*, [24–74]. In particular, the exchanges of multi-stress tensors in $d = 2$ are dual to the exchanges of multi-gravitons in AdS_3 , describing how light probe fields interact with a heavy object such as a BTZ black hole [75]. Higher-dimensional CFTs are less constrained, and the dual gravity theories involve propagating gravitational waves, making the higher-dimensional systems more complex and intriguing.

In [76], a computational scheme was developed to analytically solve the bulk scalar field equation in higher-dimensional AdS gravity with a black-hole background, enabling one to compute multi-stress tensor contributions to the thermal two-point scalar correlator (in position space). The thermal correlator is interpreted as a heavy-light four-point correlator, where two heavy scalar operators create a thermal background. This scheme relies on a near-boundary expansion and a choice of variables, allowing one to also incorporate higher-derivative corrections to the gravitational action. In the case of AdS_3 , this scheme reproduces the $d = 2$ Virasoro vacuum block at large central charge.¹ Some extensions can be found in [77–83]. Based on the OPE coefficients computed via holography with a spherical black hole, a simple closed-form expression that represents summing over $d = 4$ double-stress tensor exchanges, which already involve an infinite number of operators, was obtained in [84]. Based on such a computational scheme in holography, a recent paper [83] showed that the information about the black-hole singularity is encoded in the multi-stress tensor exchanges.²

We note that, in the geodesic limit, where the conformal dimension of the light scalars is large, using holography with a planar black hole, [90] obtained a closed-form scalar correlator in $d = 4$. Utilising a connection to the Nekrasov-Shatashvili partition function [91], the work [92] computed the exact $d = 4$ thermal scalar correlator via holography with a Schwarzschild black hole. Related holographic computations can be found in, *e.g.*, [93–103].

Here, we are interested in the same CFT observable, but we would like to make progress towards computing the exact correlator that encapsulates all minimal-twist multi-stress tensor contributions at finite Δ , without relying on a gravity computation. In addition, we would like to know how to resum all the contributions explicitly in the field theories.

Several CFT approaches have been developed to compute the $d > 2$ multi-stress tensor contributions in an OPE expansion. Using the Lorentzian inversion formula [104, 105], the $d = 4$ double-stress tensor contributions were computed in [106, 107]. The lightcone bootstrap was employed in [108, 109] to obtain the closed-form expressions for both double- and triple-

¹The scheme does not determine the double-trace contributions made out of two light probe scalars, as these contributions depend on an interior boundary condition.

²See also [85–89] for previous works on probing the black-hole singularity via conformal correlators.

stress tensor exchanges in $d = 4$ by adopting a correlator ansatz. More recently, [98, 102] applied the ambient space approach [110, 111] to compute the $d = 4$ multi-stress tensor contributions. To our knowledge, the available results obtained from CFT and holography are mutually consistent, providing non-trivial cross-checks for each method.

This note builds on the previous work [112], which put forward a set of linear partial differential equations as the higher-dimensional generalisations of the $d = 2$ null-state differential equations at large central charge. In a near-lightcone expansion, the solutions of these equations are consistent with the available results regarding the contributions from multi-stress tensors to the heavy-light correlator at large central charge. In this note, we discuss the exact solutions to these differential equations, assuming their validity. The exact solutions then represent the resummation of all minimal-twist multi-stress tensors. For concreteness, we will focus on $d = 4$ as a higher-dimensional example and compare our results with those obtained in the more familiar $d = 2$ case.

A restriction of this type of linear differential equations is that the corresponding scalar correlators at large central charge require that the light scalars to have certain *negative* integer conformal dimensions. Specifically, at large central charge c , the level-2 degenerate scalar in $d = 2$ has the following conformal dimension:

$$d = 2 : \quad h = \frac{\Delta}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c}\right) \quad (1)$$

This required value can be derived using the Virasoro algebra [2]. While having a non-unitary value may seem like a significant limitation, the information about the Virasoro blocks at general Δ may be obtained by analytically continuing the correlators involving degenerate operators. See, *e.g.*, [43] for a related discussion.

It remains an intriguing question whether an infinite-dimensional symmetry structure exists in a class, or a subsector, of higher-dimensional CFTs with a gravity dual. Here, we shall simply assume that similar degenerate-like scalars exist, with conformal dimensions taking three special values at large central charge C_T :

$$d = 4 : \quad \Delta = -1, -2, -3 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{C_T}\right) \quad (2)$$

It was observed that certain simple patterns of the $d = 4$ thermal correlator emerge at these three special values [76]. (See also [78] for a closely related discussion.) Furthermore, the work [79] noted that certain non-minimally coupled bulk interactions affect the $d = 4$ near-lightcone scalar correlator, but their corrections vanish at these special values. While this note assumes CFTs with a simple gravity dual, it would be interesting to understand why these special scalars do not “feel” the non-minimally coupled bulk interactions, and how generalisable this phenomenon is. Moreover, it would be useful to identify physical quantities

that are insensitive to the Δ dependence, which could be probed by these degenerate-like fields in higher dimensions.

At large central charge, the enhancement due to heavy scalars plays an important role. We take $C_T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta_H \rightarrow \infty$, with the ratio Δ_H/C_T held fixed. We denote the (renormalised) heavy-light scalar correlator in $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ as

$$d = 2 : \quad F(z) = \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_H(\infty) \mathcal{O}_L(z) \mathcal{O}_L(0) \mathcal{O}_H(1) \rangle}{\langle \mathcal{O}_H(\infty) \mathcal{O}_H(1) \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_L(z) \mathcal{O}_L(0) \rangle} \Big|_{T^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(z) \eta^n \quad (3)$$

$$d = 4 : \quad F(z, \bar{z}) = \lim_{\bar{z} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}_H(\infty) \mathcal{O}_L(z, \bar{z}) \mathcal{O}_L(0) \mathcal{O}_H(1) \rangle}{\langle \mathcal{O}_H(\infty) \mathcal{O}_H(1) \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_L(z, \bar{z}) \mathcal{O}_L(0) \rangle} \Big|_{T^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(z) (\mu \bar{z})^n \quad (4)$$

where T^n indicates the exchanged operators made out of n stress tensors (and derivatives). The holomorphic $d = 2$ correlator has an expansion in term of $\eta \sim \frac{\Delta_H}{c}$ while the $d = 4$ correlator has an expansion in term of $\mu \sim \frac{\Delta_H}{C_T}$.³ Note that the $d = 4$ expression (4) assumes a near-lightcone limit, $\bar{z} \rightarrow 0$. If one begins with the full $d = 4$ correlator, one may perform an expansion in μ and subsequently an expansion in \bar{z} , retaining the leading \bar{z} term. In both $d = 2$ and $d = 4$, the Δ -dependent functions $G_n(z)$ satisfy $G_0(z) = 1, G_{n>1}(0) = 0$ – these will be treated as boundary conditions of the differential equations we consider in what follows.

The parameters η and μ are related to the Hawking temperature T_H of the BTZ black hole and the AdS₅-Schwarzschild black hole, respectively, [113]:

$$d = 2 : \quad T_H = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{24\eta - 1} \quad (5)$$

$$d = 4 : \quad T_H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \sqrt{\frac{4\mu + 1}{\sqrt{4\mu + 1} - 1}} \quad (6)$$

The AdS radius is set to 1. A planar black hole, *i.e.*, a black brane, is often adopted as a simplification which corresponds to the high-temperature limit, where μ approaches infinity. In this case, the relation between μ and T_H is simplified to be $\mu = (\pi T_H)^4$. In this work, we expect that our correlator results correspond to a holographic computation with a *spherical* black hole. In $d = 4$, for simplicity, we will often absorb the parameter μ into \bar{z} in the near-lightcone expression.

We will consider a linear ordinary differential equation in $d = 2$, which is the level-2 null-state equation at large c applied to the four-point scalar correlator, and three linear

³This ratio is the coefficient of the first correction to the AdS bulk metric in a near-boundary expansion. In the convention of, *e.g.*, [76], $\eta = \frac{\Delta_H}{2c}$, $\mu = \frac{160}{3} \frac{\Delta_H}{C_T}$, where the proportionality constants will not be important in the analysis of this note. In $d = 2$, the (heavy-light) Virasoro vacuum block corresponds to summing over all orders of contributions in an expansion in terms of this ratio [22, 23]. See also, *e.g.*, [33, 57] for related discussions.

partial differential equations in $d = 4$, proposed in [112]. The equations that require $\Delta = -1$ will serve as our primary examples; they may be succinctly represented as

$$d = 2 : \quad x^2 u_{xx} + c_2 u = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$d = 4 : \quad x^3 u_{xxxy} + u = 0 \quad (8)$$

with $c_2 = 6\eta$, $x = 1 - z$, $y = -\bar{z}$. We have rescaled \bar{z} to absorb μ in $d = 4$. The relations between the correlators and the functions $u(z)$ and $u(z, \bar{z})$ in $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ are given by $u(z) = zF(z)$ and $u(z, \bar{z}) = (z^2 \bar{z})F(z, \bar{z})$, respectively. The exact solution to the $d = 2$ equation corresponds to the large- c Virasoro vacuum block, evaluated at the degenerate value $\Delta = -1$. The $d = 4$ equation is straightforward to solve in a small \bar{z} expansion using the boundary conditions mentioned above; these solutions are consistent with the available results computed via holography and CFT methods. In this note, we will discuss the exact solution. Similar $d = 4$ equations for the $\Delta = -2$ and $\Delta = -3$ cases were also proposed in [112], and we will discuss their exact solutions as well.

The underlying reason why the $d = 4$ large- C_T correlator satisfies a set of linear differential equations at special Δ remains to be better understood. In this work, we do not address this question, but instead assume the validity of these equations and focus on solving them. One of our motivations is to obtain more results based on these differential equations, which may help test their validity. We hope that the new results presented in this note will be verifiable via other independent methods and may shed light on the reason for the existence of these equations in higher dimensions.

1.2 Summary of the main results

Here, we provide a brief overview of the simplest expressions from the $\Delta = -1$ case. We will discuss other cases later in the text.

We adopt a convenient variable $v = \ln(1 - z)$, which helps identify patterns in the solutions and simplifies various intermediate computations. Using the differential equations, we find that, for any number n of the multi-stress tensor exchanges, the correlators have the following closed forms in terms of the modified Bessel function of the first kind:

$$d = 2 : \quad G_n^{\Delta=-1}(z) = -\frac{(-6)^n \sqrt{\pi} (1-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(n+1) z} v^{n+\frac{1}{2}} I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{v}{2}\right) \Big|_{v=\ln(1-z)} \quad (9)$$

$$d = 4 : \quad G_n^{\Delta=-1}(z) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{z^2 \Gamma^2(n+1) \Gamma(n+2)} \int_0^v dt (v-t)^n e^{\frac{3t}{2}} t^{n+\frac{1}{2}} I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \Big|_{v=\ln(1-z)} \quad (10)$$

We will refer to these n -dependent expressions as $\mathcal{O}(n)$ correlators. Even in the $d = 2$ case, the explicit n -dependent structure involving degenerate scalars, to our knowledge, has not

been pointed out in the literature. Summing over n in the $d = 2$ case yields the large- c Virasoro vacuum block evaluated at $\Delta = -1$.

A direct summation in $d = 4$ is more challenging, but we find that the expression simplifies in the complex frequency domain, *i.e.*, the s -plane. We can express the exact, resummed correlator as an inverse Laplace transform. This method is also applicable to the $d = 2$ case. The results are

$$d = 2 : \quad F^{\Delta=-1}(z) = -\frac{1}{z} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s(s-1) + 6\eta} \right\} (v) \Big|_{v=\ln(1-z)} \quad (11)$$

$$d = 4 : \quad F^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{2(1-z)}{z^2 \bar{z}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ e^{\frac{\bar{z}}{s(s^2-1)}} \right\} (v) \Big|_{v=\ln(1-z)} \quad (12)$$

In this $d = 4$ expression, the parameter μ is absorbed into \bar{z} and we assume $v \neq 0$.⁴ An interesting feature of the $d = 4$ correlator is its presence of *essential singularities* in the s -plane. We also obtain the resummed expressions for the cases with $\Delta = -2$ and $\Delta = -3$ in $d = 4$, which exhibit similar essential singularities.

We will derive the above exact expressions using two distinct methods: (i) By examining correlator patterns in an expansion, we obtain the explicit $\mathcal{O}(n)$ expressions, then we sum over n ; (ii) We solve the linear differential equations in the s -plane with suitable boundary conditions. The first method allows us to obtain the $\mathcal{O}(n)$ expressions, while the second method yields the exact results directly.

Another independent observation based on the differential equations is that the correlators can be expressed as certain mode summations. In $d = 2$, these modes correspond to the Virasoro modes L_m , which form a closed algebra. We find similar mode representations for the $d = 4$ correlator. Denoting $\{k_i\}$ as a set of independent modes, we find that the contributions of multi-stress tensors at large central charge can be organised as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d = 2 : \quad F^{\Delta=-1}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{k_i\}=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-6)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (k_i - 1)}{\prod_{l=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 1 \right)} \eta^n z^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i} \right] \\ &= 1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{6(m-1)z^m}{m(m+1)} \eta \\ &\quad + \sum_{m,n=2}^{\infty} \frac{36(m-1)(n-1)z^{m+n}}{m(m+1)(m+n)(m+n+1)} \eta^2 + \mathcal{O}(\eta^3) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$d = 4 :$

$$F^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{k_i\}=3}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^n}{2^n \Gamma(n+2)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \left((k_i - 1)(k_i - 2) \right)}{\prod_{l=1}^n \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 1 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 2 \right) \right]} \bar{z}^n z^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i} \right]$$

⁴The shifting property of the inverse Laplace transform can be used to adjust expressions in the s -plane, but this does not alter the results in position space.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 1 - \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)(m-2)z^m}{4m(m+1)(m+2)} \bar{z} \\
&\quad + \sum_{m,n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)(m-2)(n-1)(n-2)z^{m+n}}{24m(m+1)(m+2)(m+n)(m+n+1)(m+n+2)} \bar{z}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\bar{z}^3) \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Similar mode expressions for $\Delta = -2, -3$ are also worked out based on the corresponding $d = 4$ differential equations. In the $d = 2$ case, the mode pattern can be organised in a diagrammatic way for any Δ , as developed in [35].⁵ While we have not found the organising rules in $d = 4$, we hope that these mode representations of the near-lightcone correlator might be helpful in developing an effective field theory in higher dimensions.

In Section 2, we use the level-2 null-state equation at large central charge to show that the $d = 2$ correlator in an expansion can be expressed as a Bessel function. We show the resummation gives the Virasoro vacuum block. We then point out a relation between the $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ correlators, both evaluated at $\Delta = -1$. This enables us to consider the resummation in $d = 4$. In Section 3, we observe a simple pattern in the s -plane which facilitates the computation. We also discuss the $\Delta = -2$ and $\Delta = -3$ cases in $d = 4$. In Section 4, we solve both the $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ differential equations directly in the s -plane and verify the resummation results. Using the differential equations, in Section 5 we show that the correlators can be expressed as modes. In Section 6, we conclude with some future questions. Some explicit $\mathcal{O}(n)$ correlators are collected in Appendix A, and we list the mode expressions in the first few orders in Appendix B.

2 Multi-stress tensor exchanges as a Bessel function

In this section, we begin by examining the more familiar context of $d = 2$ CFTs at large central charge. We focus on the heavy-light 4-point scalar correlator with the level-2 degenerate light scalars. We find that the contributions to the correlator from any number of the exchanged stress tensors can be written as a Bessel function. This expression is useful as it allows us to see the explicit n -dependence of the correlator, where n is the power of the expansion parameter η . Furthermore, by focusing on the light scalars with $\Delta = -1$, we observe that the $d = 4$ correlator in the near-lightcone expansion can be related to the $d = 2$ correlator. Consequently, the contributions of the $d = 4$ minimal-twist multi-stress tensors at $\mathcal{O}(n)$ are given by a Bessel function as well. In this section, we present the $d = 4$ resummation as double integrals. In the next section, we will revisit the resummation structure and discuss other values of Δ in $d = 4$.

⁵An extension to the $d = 2$ \mathcal{W} -vacuum blocks, determined by the higher-spin symmetries [114], was discussed in [70].

2.1 $d = 2$

The level-2 null-state equation [2], when applied to the (holomorphic) heavy-light four-point correlator at large central charge, can be written as an ordinary differential equation:

$$\left(\partial_z^2 + \frac{6\eta}{(1-z)^2}\right)Q^{\Delta=-1}(z) = 0 \quad (15)$$

where $\eta = \frac{h_H}{c} = \frac{\Delta_H}{2c}$. The equation for $F^{\Delta=-1}(z) = z^{-1}Q^{\Delta=-1}(z)$ is

$$\left(\partial_z^2 + \frac{2}{z}\partial_z + \frac{6\eta}{(1-z)^2}\right)F^{\Delta=-1}(z) = 0. \quad (16)$$

Note the equation transforms covariantly under $z \rightarrow \frac{z}{z-1}$, which corresponds to the crossing symmetry due to the exchange of two identical light scalars.

We can solve the $d = 2$ equation exactly, but instead, we will adopt an expansion in powers of η , which enables us to derive a closed-form $\mathcal{O}(n)$ expression valid at all orders. This approach will allow us to uncover the detailed structure of the correlator, and we will apply a similar strategy in $d = 4$ later.

We write

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(z)\eta^n = G_0(z) + G_1(z)\eta + G_2(z)\eta^2 + G_3(z)\eta^3 + \dots \quad (17)$$

and require

$$G_0(z) = 1, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} G_i(z) = 0 \quad (\text{for } i > 0). \quad (18)$$

The solutions satisfy a recursive relation [112]:

$$G_n^{\Delta=-1}(z) = \frac{1}{z}R_n^{\Delta=-1}(z), \quad R_{n+1}^{\Delta=-1}(z) = -6 \int_0^z ds_2 \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 \frac{R_n^{\Delta=-1}(s_1)}{(1-s_1)^2} \quad (19)$$

with $R_0^{\Delta=-1}(z) = z$ as the initial condition. The $\mathcal{O}(n)$ solutions correspond to n numbers of stress-tensor exchanges. For $n > 1$, the corresponding solution contains the contributions from an infinite number of primary operators built from stress tensors and derivatives.

To identify a general patten, we adopt the following variable:

$$v = \ln(1-z). \quad (20)$$

The solutions to the $d = 2$ differential equation in the first few orders can be written as

$$R_0^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 1 - e^v \quad (21)$$

$$R_1^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 6(v + 2 + e^v(v - 2)) \quad (22)$$

$$R_2^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 18(v^2 + 6v + 12 - e^v(v^2 - 6v + 12)) \quad (23)$$

$$R_3^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 36(v^3 + 12v^2 + 60v + 120 + e^v(v^3 - 12v^2 + 60v - 120)) \quad (24)$$

$$R_4^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 54(v^4 + 20v^3 + 180v^2 + 840v + 1680 - e^v(v^4 - 20v^3 + 180v^2 - 840v + 1680)) \quad (25)$$

Higher-order solutions can be worked out readily, and we collect more explicit expressions in Appendix A.

Let us make some observations on these $d = 2$ solutions.

(i) The overall coefficients satisfy

$$\frac{6^n}{\Gamma(n+1)}. \quad (26)$$

(ii) The e^v part of the solutions is completely fixed by the part not multiplied by e^v : one can write $R_n(v)$ as $A_n(v) - e^v A_n(-v)$.

(iii) Focusing on the part not multiplied by e^v , the coefficients are listed below:

$$n = 0 : \quad \{1\} \quad (27)$$

$$n = 1 : \quad \{1, 2\} \quad (28)$$

$$n = 2 : \quad \{1, 6, 12\} \quad (29)$$

$$n = 3 : \quad \{1, 12, 60, 120\} \quad (30)$$

$$n = 4 : \quad \{1, 20, 180, 840, 1680\} \quad (31)$$

$$n = 5 : \quad \{1, 30, 420, 3360, 15120, 30240\} \quad (32)$$

$$n = 6 : \quad \{1, 42, 840, 10080, 75600, 332640, 665280\} \quad (33)$$

$$n = 7 : \quad \{1, 56, 1512, 25200, 277200, 1995840, 8648640, 17297280\}. \quad (34)$$

For a fixed n , the sequence can be organised by

$$\frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!m!}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n. \quad (35)$$

The power of v is given by $n - m$. One may verify this pattern using higher-order terms.⁶ The $\mathcal{O}(n)$ correlator, $G_n(v)$, can be obtained by summing over m with a range set by n .

Putting things together, including the part multiplied by e^v and the overall coefficient (26), we obtain (to our knowledge) a new expression in $d = 2$:

$$G_n^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{1 - e^v} R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{1 - e^v} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{6^n}{\Gamma(n+1)} \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!m!} (v^{n-m} - e^v(-v)^{n-m})$$

⁶This pattern appears as the sequence *A160481* in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequence [115].

$$= \frac{(-6)^n \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(n+1)} \frac{e^{\frac{v}{2}}}{e^v - 1} v^{n+\frac{1}{2}} I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{v}{2}\right) \quad (36)$$

where $I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{v}{2}\right)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind.

Therefore, the resummed $d = 2$ correlator is

$$F^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} v^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{v}{2}}}{e^v - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-6)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)} v^n I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{v}{2}\right) \eta^n \quad (37)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} v^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{v}{2}}}{e^v - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-24\eta)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{v}{4}\right)^n I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{v}{2}\right) \quad (38)$$

where, in the second line, we have arranged the expression to fit the multiplication theorem of the Bessel function:

$$I_a(\lambda x) = \lambda^a \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 - 1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^n I_{n+a}(x) . \quad (39)$$

Let $a = \frac{1}{2}$, $x = \frac{v}{2}$ and

$$\lambda^2 - 1 = -24\eta \quad (40)$$

which has two solutions, $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{1-24\eta}$. The different sign choices give the same $F(z)$. We obtain

$$F^{\Delta=-1}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} v^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{v}{2}}}{e^v - 1} \frac{I_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-24\eta} v\right)}{\left(\sqrt{1-24\eta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big|_{v=\ln(1-z)} = -\frac{\sqrt{1-z} \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-24\eta} \ln(1-z)\right)}{z \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-24\eta}} . \quad (41)$$

This reproduces the $d = 2$ Virasoro vacuum block at large c obtained in [22, 23] via different methods. (For $\eta > \frac{1}{24}$, the sinh becomes a sine.) As we start with the null-state equation, this result requires the level-2 degenerate scalars.

In this work, we focus on the $\Delta = -1$ case in $d = 2$, and the $\Delta = -1, -2, -3$ cases in $d = 4$. To study from a first principle the $d = 2$ correlators involving higher-level degenerate scalars with other dimensions, one needs to consider other null-state differential equations. We will not discuss these higher-order equations in $d = 2$.

2.2 $d = 4$

In a recent study [112], a system of linear partial differential equations was proposed as the governing equations for the heavy-light correlator in the near-lightcone regime in $d = 4$ CFTs with a simple gravity dual. These equations are restricted to specific values of Δ .

Nevertheless, we hope that the analysis presented in this note may provide further insights into a more general correlator structure at other values of Δ .

The simplest case requires $\Delta = -1$. The equation is [112]

$$\left[(1 + \bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}})(\partial_z^3 + \frac{6}{z}\partial_z^2 + \frac{6}{z^2}\partial_z) + \frac{\mu\bar{z}}{(1-z)^3} \right] F^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z}) = 0 . \quad (42)$$

In this expression, we adopt a conformal frame in which all four scalars live on a two-dimensional plane, and we keep the explicit μ dependence; in what follows, we will absorb μ into \bar{z} . The equation transforms covariantly under the crossing symmetry due to the presence of two identical light scalars. This is a Fuchsian-type equation, with factorised z - and \bar{z} -derivative parts. Denote

$$D_z^k = (z\partial_z)^k , \quad D_{\bar{z}}^k = (\bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}})^k . \quad (43)$$

The equation (42) can be represented as

$$\left[(1 + D_{\bar{z}})(D_z^3 + 6D_z^2 + 6D_z) + \frac{z^3\bar{z}}{(1-z)^3} \right] F^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z}) = 0 . \quad (44)$$

As mentioned in [112], a concise form of the equation is

$$\left(\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z^3 + \frac{1}{(1-z)^3} \right) Q^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z}) = 0 \quad (45)$$

where $Q^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z}) = z^2\bar{z}F^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z})$. The equation can be solved order by order in a small \bar{z} expansion using the boundary conditions (18). In such an expansion, the solutions satisfy a recursion relation [112]:

$$Q^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n=0} R_n^{\Delta=-1}(z) \bar{z}^{n+1} , \quad (46)$$

$$R_{n+1}^{\Delta=-1}(z) = \frac{-1}{n+2} \int_0^z ds_3 \int_0^{s_3} ds_2 \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 \frac{R_n^{\Delta=-1}(s_1)}{(1-s_1)^3}$$

with the initial condition $R_0^{\Delta=-1}(z) = z^2$.

Given the $d = 2$ $\mathcal{O}(n)$ expression in Section 2, let us try to find the corresponding expression in $d = 4$. Using the v -variable, the $d = 4$ solutions in the near-lightcone expansion are given by

$$R_0^{\Delta=-1}(v) = (1 - e^v)^2 \quad (47)$$

$$R_1^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{4} (v + 3 + e^{2v}(v - 3) + 4e^v v) \quad (48)$$

$$R_2^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{48} (v^2 + 9v + 24 - 8e^v (v^2 + 6) + e^{2v} (v^2 - 9v + 24)) \quad (49)$$

$$R_3^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{1152} \left(v^3 + 18v^2 + 123v + 315 + 16e^v (v^2 + 24)v + e^{2v} (v^3 - 18v^2 + 123v - 315) \right) \quad (50)$$

$$R_4^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{46080} \left(v^4 + 30v^3 + 375v^2 + 2295v + 5760 - 32e^v (v^4 + 60v^2 + 360) + e^{2v} (v^4 - 30v^3 + 375v^2 - 2295v + 5760) \right) . \quad (51)$$

We collect more expressions in Appendix A.

The pattern is less transparent compared to the $d = 2$ case, but we observe a connection between the $d = 4$ and $d = 2$ correlators in the similar expansion:

$$\partial_v^{n+1} R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=4} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2^{n-1} 3^n \Gamma(n+2)} e^v R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=2} \quad (52)$$

where the functions $R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=2}$ were discussed in Section 2. It is straightforward to verify this relation to higher orders in n .

Using the relation (52) and the $\mathcal{O}(n)$ expression of the $d = 2$ function $R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)$ obtained in (36), we obtain the corresponding expression in $d = 4$

$$\begin{aligned} G_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=4} &= \frac{1}{(1 - e^v)^2} R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=4} \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{(1 - e^v)^2 \Gamma^2(n+1) \Gamma(n+2)} \int_0^v dt (v-t)^n e^{\frac{3t}{2}} t^{n+\frac{1}{2}} I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

To perform the $(n+1)$ -fold integrals, we have used the Cauchy reduction formula

$$\int_0^x \cdots \int_0^{x_3} \int_0^{x_2} A(x_1) dx_1 \cdots dx_k = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^x (x-t)^{k-1} A(t) dt . \quad (54)$$

Note that $\partial_v^{(k)} R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{v=0, d=4} = 0$ for $k = n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 0$.

To sum over n , we may adopt the following integral representation of the Bessel function:

$$I_a(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^a}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{-1}^1 (1 - \sigma^2)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\sigma x} d\sigma . \quad (55)$$

Setting $a = n + \frac{1}{2}$, $x = \frac{t}{2}$, using the expression (53) we obtain a resummed $d = 4$ correlator

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\Delta=-1}(v, \bar{z})|_{d=4} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{z}^n G_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=4} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - e^v)^2} \int_0^v dt \int_{-1}^1 d\sigma \left[t e^{-\frac{t}{2}(\sigma-3)} {}_0F_3\left(; 1, 1, 2; \frac{t^2(t-v)(\sigma^2-1)\bar{z}}{4}\right) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

In a small \bar{z} expansion, this gives the first few orders of $R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=4}$ listed in Appendix A.

3 Patterns in the s -plane

The $d = 4$ resummed correlator (56) is more complex than the $d = 2$ Virasoro vacuum block at large central charge (41). However, we observe that the $d = 4$ structure simplifies in the complex frequency domain.

Let s be a complex variable. Define the Laplace transform as

$$A(s) = \mathcal{L}\{A(v)\}(s) = \int_0^\infty A(v)e^{-sv} dv . \quad (57)$$

The inverse transform is

$$A(v) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{A(s)\}(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} A(s)e^{sv} ds \quad (58)$$

where a constant $\gamma > 0$ is chosen so that the integration contour lies to the right of all singularities in $A(s)$. Transforming $R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=4}$ obtained in (53) to the s -plane gives

$$R_n^{\Delta=-1}(s)|_{d=4} = \frac{2}{\Gamma(n+2)} \left(\frac{1}{(s-2)(s-1)s} \right)^{n+1} . \quad (59)$$

Performing an inverse transform leads to

$$R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=4} = \frac{2e^v v^{3n+2}}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(3n+3)} {}_1F_2 \left(n+1; \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3n}{2} + 2; \frac{v^2}{4} \right) . \quad (60)$$

The function $G_n(v)$ can be obtained via $G_n(v) = (1 - e^v)^{-2} R_n(v)$ in this $d = 4$ case.

We may sum over n directly in the s -plane. Using (59), we obtain a simple form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{z}^n R_n^{\Delta=-1}(s)|_{d=4} = \frac{2}{\bar{z}} \left(e^{\frac{\bar{z}}{(s-2)(s-1)s}} - 1 \right) . \quad (61)$$

The correlator $F^{\Delta=-1}(v, \bar{z})|_{d=4}$ then can be expressed as the inverse transform

$$F^{\Delta=-1}(v, \bar{z})|_{d=4} = \frac{2}{(1 - e^v)^2 \bar{z}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ e^{\frac{\bar{z}}{(s-2)(s-1)s}} - 1 \right\}(v) . \quad (62)$$

This is one of the main results of the present note. It is remarkable that such a simple analytic structure encodes the complete information about all minimal-twist exchanged multi-stress tensors (probed by light scalars with dimension $\Delta = -1$), effectively capturing the complexity of the interactions of the “minimal-twist gravitons” in the dual gravity.

We may further simplify the expression (62) by noting that $\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{-1\} = -\delta(v)$ can be dropped for $v \neq 0$ (*i.e.* $z \neq 0$). In addition, recall the shifting property of the inverse Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{A(s+a)\}(v) = e^{-av} A(v) . \quad (63)$$

By shifting $s \rightarrow s + 1$ and focusing on the correlator at $v \neq 0$, we write

$$\frac{2e^v}{(1 - e^v)^2 \bar{z}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ e^{\frac{\bar{z}}{s(s^2-1)}} \right\} (v) . \quad (64)$$

We remark that the previous expression (62) in a small \bar{z} expansion does not produce a $\frac{\delta(v)}{\bar{z}}$ term, as it is cancelled by the constant, -1 , piece. Different expressions that can be related by (63) should be considered equivalent.

The inverse Laplace transform on the resummed $d = 4$ correlator is challenging to carry out explicitly due to the essential singularities arising from the exponential term, *i.e.*, $\exp\left(\frac{\bar{z}}{s(s^2-1)}\right)$. However, a small \bar{z} expansion allows for a straightforward inverse transform, giving results consistent with the listed $R_n^{\Delta=-1}(v)|_{d=4}$.

Several immediate questions arise: How does the $d = 2$ correlator look like in the s -plane? And how about the $d = 4$ correlator at other values of Δ ? We will discuss these questions in what follows. In particular, we will find that essential singularities are absent in the $d = 2$ case, and we will see that these singularities arise due to the additional \bar{z} derivative in the partial differential equations in higher dimensions.

3.1 $d = 2$

Motivated by the above observation, let us revisit the $d = 2$ structure. Focusing on the level-2 degenerate scalar, the $d = 2$ solutions (21)-(25) (see also Appendix A) can be organised in a simple way in the s -plane

$$R_n^{\Delta=-1}(s)|_{d=2} = 6^n \left(\frac{-1}{s(s-1)} \right)^{n+1} . \quad (65)$$

The inverse transform gives the Bessel function (36). Summing over n in the s -plane gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta^n R_n^{\Delta=-1}(s)|_{d=2} = \frac{-1}{s(s-1) + 6\eta} . \quad (66)$$

The inverse transform gives the Virasoro vacuum block at large central charge (41), after multiplied by the overall factor $(1 - e^v) = z$.

3.2 $d = 4$

The $\Delta = -1$ case in $d = 4$ was discussed and now we consider the $\Delta = -2, -3$ cases. We assume that the scalars with Δ at these three special values are at the “same level” in $d = 4$ at large central charge.

$\Delta = -2$ case

The corresponding Fuchsian-type linear differential equation is [112]

$$\left[\left(2 + \bar{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \right) \left(\partial_z^4 + \frac{12}{z} \partial_z^3 + \frac{36}{z^2} \partial_z^2 + \frac{24}{z^3} \partial_z \right) + \frac{6\mu\bar{z}}{(1-z)^3} \left(\partial_z + \frac{3(2-z)}{2z(1-z)} \right) \right] F^{\Delta=-2}(z, \bar{z}) = 0 \quad (67)$$

which can be written as

$$\left[\left(2 + D_{\bar{z}} \right) \left(D_z^4 + 12D_z^3 + 36D_z^2 + 24D_z \right) + \frac{6z^3\bar{z}}{(1-z)^3} \left(D_z + \frac{3(2-z)}{2(1-z)} \right) \right] F^{\Delta=-2}(z, \bar{z}) = 0 . \quad (68)$$

where we have rescaled \bar{z} to absorb μ . Under the crossing symmetry due to two identical light scalars, the equation transforms covariantly. A simpler form is [112]

$$\left(\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z^4 + \frac{6}{(1-z)^3} \partial_z + \frac{9}{(1-z)^4} \right) Q^{\Delta=-2}(z, \bar{z}) = 0 \quad (69)$$

where $Q^{\Delta=-2}(z, \bar{z}) = z^3 \bar{z}^2 F^{\Delta=-2}(z, \bar{z})$. The boundary conditions in a small \bar{z} expansion are given in (18); the solutions satisfy a recursion relation [112]

$$Q^{\Delta=-2}(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n=0} R_n^{\Delta=-2}(z) \bar{z}^{n+2} ,$$

$$R_{n+1}^{\Delta=-2}(z) = \frac{-1}{n+3} \int_0^z ds_4 \int_0^{s_4} ds_3 \int_0^{s_3} ds_2 \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 \left(\frac{9R_n^{\Delta=-2}(s_1)}{(1-s_1)^4} + \frac{6\partial_{s_1} R_n^{\Delta=-2}(s_1)}{(1-s_1)^3} \right) \quad (70)$$

with $R_0^{\Delta=-2}(z) = z^3$ as the initial condition.

The solutions in the first few orders are listed in Appendix A. We find that these solutions can be organised in a simple way in the s -plane

$$R_n^{\Delta=-2}(v) = -\frac{2^{n+2} 3^{n+1} e^{\frac{3v}{2}}}{\Gamma(n+3)} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{s^n}{\left((s^2 - \frac{9}{4})(s^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \right)^{n+1}} \right\} (v) . \quad (71)$$

We have used the shifting property of the inverse transform to adjust the expression. Summing over n gives

$$F^{\Delta=-2}(v, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{(1-e^v)^3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n^{\Delta=-2}(v) \bar{z}^n$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{3v}{2}}}{48(1-e^v)^3 \bar{z}^2} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ 9s^{-2} + 8s^{-1} (2s^3 - 5s + 12\bar{z}) - 16s^{-2} \left(s^2 - \frac{9}{4} \right) \left(s^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) e^{\frac{6s\bar{z}}{(s^2 - \frac{9}{4})(s^2 - \frac{1}{4})}} \right\} (v) . \quad (72)$$

In a small \bar{z} expansion this result reproduces $R_n^{\Delta=-2}(v)$ listed in Appendix A. Like the $\Delta = -1$ case in $d = 4$, the resummation at $\Delta = -2$ also produces essential singularities.

We may simplify the expression by dropping the contact terms $\sim \delta(v)$ in the part that does not involve the essential singularities and write

$$F^{\Delta=-2}(v, \bar{z}) = \frac{e^{\frac{3v}{2}}}{48(1-e^v)^3 \bar{z}^2} \left(9v + 96\bar{z} - 16\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ s^{-2} \left(s^2 - \frac{9}{4} \right) \left(s^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) e^{\frac{6s\bar{z}}{(s^2-\frac{9}{4})(s^2-\frac{1}{4})}} \right\} (v) \right). \quad (73)$$

Note that the role of the part that does not involve the essential singularities is to cancel the divergences at orders $1/\bar{z}^2$ and $1/\bar{z}$ in a small \bar{z} expansion. Thus, the relevant correlator structure is fully encoded in the term involving the essential singularities.

$\Delta = -3$ case

The corresponding $d = 4$ equation is [112]

$$\left[(3 + \bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}}) \left(\partial_z^5 + \frac{20}{z}\partial_z^4 + \frac{120}{z^2}\partial_z^3 + \frac{240}{z^3}\partial_z^2 + \frac{120}{z^4}\partial_z \right) + \frac{21\mu\bar{z}}{(1-z)^3} \left(\partial_z^2 + \frac{8-5z}{(1-z)z}\partial_z + \frac{24z^2-84z+84}{7(1-z)^2z^2} \right) \right] F^{\Delta=-3}(z, \bar{z}) = 0. \quad (74)$$

We can express the equation as

$$\left[(3 + D_{\bar{z}}) (D_z^5 + 20D_z^4 + 120D_z^3 + 240D_z^2 + 120D_z) + \frac{21z^3\bar{z}}{(1-z)^3} \left(D_z^2 + \frac{8-5z}{(1-z)}D_z + \frac{24z^2-84z+84}{7(1-z)^2} \right) \right] F^{\Delta=-3}(z, \bar{z}) = 0 \quad (75)$$

where the parameter μ has been absorbed into \bar{z} .⁷ A more compact way to express the equation is [112]

$$\left(\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z^5 + \frac{21}{(1-z)^3}\partial_z^2 + \frac{63}{(1-z)^4}\partial_z + \frac{72}{(1-z)^5} \right) Q^{\Delta=-3}(z, \bar{z}) = 0 \quad (76)$$

where $Q^{\Delta=-3}(z, \bar{z}) = z^4\bar{z}^3 F^{\Delta=-3}(z, \bar{z})$. In a small \bar{z} expansion, the solutions between different orders are related to each other through a recursion relation [112]:

$$Q^{\Delta=-3}(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n=0} R_n^{\Delta=-3}(z) \bar{z}^{n+3},$$

$$R_{n+1}^{\Delta=-3}(z) = \frac{-1}{n+4} \int_0^z ds_5 \int_0^{s_5} ds_4 \int_0^{s_4} ds_3 \int_0^{s_3} ds_2 \int_0^{s_2} ds_1$$

⁷In passing, we note that, using $D_z^k = (z\partial_z)^k$ and $D_{\bar{z}}^k = (\bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}})^k$, the resulting $d = 4$ differential equations for all $\Delta = -1, -2, -3$ cases have the remaining \bar{z} -dependent coefficients only via $z^3\bar{z}$.

$$\times \left[\frac{72R_n^{\Delta=-3}(s_1)}{(1-s_1)^5} + \frac{63\partial_{s_1}R_n^{\Delta=-3}(s_1)}{(1-s_1)^4} + \frac{21\partial_{s_1}^2R_n^{\Delta=-3}(s_1)}{(1-s_1)^3} \right] \quad (77)$$

with $R_0^{\Delta=-3}(z) = z^4$.

The solutions in the first few orders are collected in Appendix A. We find that these solutions can be organised in a simple way:

$$R_n^{\Delta=-3}(v) = \frac{16e^{2v}3^{n+2}}{\Gamma(n+4)} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(7s^2-4)^n}{(s(s^2-1)(s^2-4))^{n+1}} \right\} (v) . \quad (78)$$

The shifting property of the inverse transform has been used to simplify the expression.

Summing over n leads to

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\Delta=-3}(v, \bar{z}) &= \frac{1}{(1-e^v)^4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n^{\Delta=-3}(v) \bar{z}^n \\ &= \frac{e^{2v}}{(1-e^v)^4} \bar{z}^3 \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{8}{3(7s^2-4)^3} \left(2s^2(s^2-1)^2(s^2-4)^2 e^{\frac{3(7s^2-4)\bar{z}}{s(s^2-1)(s^2-4)}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 9(7s^2-4)^2 \bar{z}^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 2s^2(s^2-1)^2(s^2-4)^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 6s(7s^2-4)(s^2-1)(s^2-4)\bar{z} \right) \right\} (v) . \quad (79) \end{aligned}$$

Essential singularities again appear. Dropping the contact terms gives a simpler expression

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\Delta=-3}(v, \bar{z}) &= \frac{e^{2v}}{(1-e^v)^4} \bar{z}^3 \left[\frac{1}{823543} \left(3024(22v + 343\bar{z}) \cosh\left(\frac{2v}{\sqrt{7}}\right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 12\sqrt{7} \left((12v + 343\bar{z})^2 + 2156 \right) \sinh\left(\frac{2v}{\sqrt{7}}\right) \right) + \frac{16}{3} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{s^2(s^2-1)^2(s^2-4)^2}{(7s^2-4)^3} e^{\frac{3(7s^2-4)\bar{z}}{s(s^2-1)(s^2-4)}} \right\} (v) \right] . \quad (80) \end{aligned}$$

In a small \bar{z} expansion this result reproduces $R_n^{\Delta=-3}(v)$ in Appendix A.

We remark that the role of the cosh and sinh pieces in (80) is to cancel the divergences at orders $1/\bar{z}^3$, $1/\bar{z}^2$, and $1/\bar{z}$ in a small \bar{z} expansion. Therefore, like the $\Delta = -1, -2$ cases, the information of the minimal-twist multi-stress tensor exchanges is completely encoded in the term involving the essential singularities.

4 Solve the equations directly in the s -plane

In this section, we solve the linear differential equations directly in the s -plane, in both $d = 2$ and $d = 4$, with suitable boundary conditions. While this approach does not allow us to

obtain explicit $\mathcal{O}(n)$ expressions for the correlators, it provides a streamlined computation for verifying the exact, resummed correlators relevant to the minimal-twist multi-stress tensor exchanges at large central charge.

4.1 $d = 2$

We start with the $d = 2$ case, again focusing on the simplest, level-2 null-state equation at large c . Using the v -variable, the equation (15) can be written as

$$\left(\partial_v^2 - \partial_v + 6\eta\right)Q(v) = 0 . \quad (81)$$

We drop the superscript $\Delta = -1$. In this form, the coefficients are constants. Transforming the equation to the s -plane, we find

$$\left(s(s-1) + 6\eta\right)\mathcal{L}\{Q(v)\}(s) = (s-1)Q(0) + Q'(0) \quad (82)$$

where $Q(0)$ and $Q'(0) = \partial_v Q(v)|_{v=0}$ are evaluated in position space. To be consistent with the boundary conditions (18), we impose $Q(0) = 0$ and $Q'(0) = -1$, which do not refer to a small η limit. The equation then reduces to

$$\left(s(s-1) + 6\eta\right)\mathcal{L}\{Q(v)\}(s) = -1 \quad (83)$$

which gives

$$Q(v) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{-1}{s(s-1) + 6\eta}\right\}(v) = \frac{-e^{\frac{v}{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-24\eta}v\right)}{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-24\eta}} . \quad (84)$$

Recall $Q(v) = (1 - e^v)F(v)$ in the $d = 2$ case. The result is consistent with (66), reproducing the large- c Virasoro vacuum block (41) involving the level-2 degenerate scalar, which we previously obtained through an explicit summation.

4.2 $d = 4$

$\Delta = -1$ case

The $d = 4$ equation (45) can be written as

$$\left(\partial_v^3 \partial_{\bar{z}} - 3\partial_v^2 \partial_{\bar{z}} + 2\partial_v \partial_{\bar{z}} - 1\right)Q(v, \bar{z}) = 0 \quad (85)$$

where the coefficients are independent of coordinates. Again, the $\Delta = -1$ superscript is dropped. Transforming the equation to the s -plane gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\{V(v, \bar{z})\}(s) - s(s-1)(s-2)\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) \\ + (s-1)(s-2)Q^{(0,1)}(0, \bar{z}) + (s-3)Q^{(1,1)}(0, \bar{z}) + Q^{(2,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

We impose $Q^{(2,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = 2$ and $Q^{(1,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = Q^{(0,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = 0$ to be consistent with the boundary conditions (18). Here the slightly more general boundary conditions are imposed without requiring a small \bar{z} expansion. The equation becomes

$$\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) - s(s-1)(s-2)\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) + 2 = 0. \quad (87)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) = c_1(s)e^{\frac{\bar{z}}{s(s-1)(s-2)}} - 2. \quad (88)$$

To determine the function $c_1(s)$, we consider a small \bar{z} expansion:

$$\lim_{\bar{z} \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}\{(1 - e^v)^2 F(v, \bar{z})\}(s) = \lim_{\bar{z} \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}\left\{\frac{Q(v, \bar{z})}{\bar{z}}\right\}(s) = \frac{c_1(s) - 2}{\bar{z}} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{z}^0) \quad (89)$$

where we have included the relative factor $z^2\bar{z}$ between the functions F and Q . Setting $c_1(s) = 2$ to remove the divergence, we obtain

$$F(v, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{(1 - e^v)^2 \bar{z}} Q(v, \bar{z}) = \frac{2}{(1 - e^v)^2 \bar{z}} \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{e^{\frac{\bar{z}}{s(s-1)(s-2)}} - 1\right\}(v). \quad (90)$$

This agrees with the result (62) obtained through a summation.

As a byproduct of this direct computation, we note that the essential singularities from $\exp(\frac{\bar{z}}{s(s-1)(s-2)})$ originate from the \bar{z} -derivative part of the $d = 4$ partial differential equation. (This is also the case when $\Delta = -2, -3$, which we discuss next.) The corresponding $d = 2$ equation is an ordinary differential equation and essential singularities are absent.

$\Delta = -2$ case

We write the corresponding $d = 4$ equation (69) as

$$\left(\partial_v^4 \partial_{\bar{z}} - 6\partial_v^3 \partial_{\bar{z}} + 11\partial_v^2 \partial_{\bar{z}} - 6\partial_v \partial_{\bar{z}} - 6\partial_v + 9\right)Q(v, \bar{z}) = 0 \quad (91)$$

where the coefficients are constants and we drop the superscript. In the s -plane, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9 - 6s)\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) + s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) \\ - Q^{(3,1)}(0, \bar{z}) - (s-6)Q^{(2,1)}(0, \bar{z}) - (s-1)(s-2)(s-3)Q^{(0,1)}(0, \bar{z}) \end{aligned}$$

$$- (s(s-6) + 11)Q^{(1,1)}(0, \bar{z}) + 6Q(0, \bar{z}) = 0 . \quad (92)$$

Requiring in this case $Q^{(3,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = -12\bar{z}$, $Q(0, \bar{z}) = Q^{(0,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = Q^{(1,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = Q^{(2,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = 0$, which are motivated by the boundary conditions (18) on the function F , the equation reduces to

$$(9 - 6s)\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) + s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) + 12\bar{z} = 0 . \quad (93)$$

The solution is

$$\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) = \frac{4(s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3) + 6s\bar{z} - 9\bar{z})}{3(3-2s)^2} + c_1(s)e^{\frac{3(2s-3)\bar{z}}{s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)}} . \quad (94)$$

As before, we determine the function $c_1(s)$ by examining the small \bar{z} behaviour:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\bar{z} \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}\{(1 - e^v)^3 F(v, \bar{z})\}(s) &= \lim_{\bar{z} \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}\left\{\frac{Q(v, \bar{z})}{\bar{z}^2}\right\}(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{z}^2} \left(\frac{4s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)}{3(3-2s)^2} + c_1(s) \right) + \frac{1}{\bar{z}} \left(\frac{4}{2s-3} + \frac{3(2s-3)c_1(s)}{s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{z}^0) . \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

To remove the divergences, set

$$c_1(s) = -\frac{4}{3(3-2s)^2} s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3) . \quad (96)$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(v, \bar{z}) &= \frac{1}{(1 - e^v)^3 \bar{z}^2} Q(v, \bar{z}) \\ &= \frac{4}{3(1 - e^v)^3 \bar{z}^2} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(3-2s)^2} \left(s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3) + 3(2s-3)\bar{z} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)e^{\frac{3(2s-3)\bar{z}}{s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)}} \right) \right\} (v) . \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

After shifting $s \rightarrow s + \frac{3}{2}$, this agrees with (72) obtained via a summation.

$\Delta = -3$ case

The equation (76) can be written as

$$\left(\partial_v^5 \partial_{\bar{z}} - 10 \partial_v^4 \partial_{\bar{z}} + 35 \partial_v^3 \partial_{\bar{z}} - 50 \partial_v^2 \partial_{\bar{z}} - 21 \partial_v^2 + 24 \partial_v \partial_{\bar{z}} + 84 \partial_v - 72 \right) Q(v, \bar{z}) = 0 \quad (98)$$

with constant coefficients in this form. The superscript is dropped. After transforming the equation to the s -plane and imposing $Q^{(4,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = 72\bar{z}^2$ and $Q^{(3,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = Q^{(2,1)}(0, \bar{z}) =$

$Q^{(1,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = Q^{(1,0)}(0, \bar{z}) = Q^{(0,1)}(0, \bar{z}) = Q(0, \bar{z}) = 0$, which generalise (18), the equation reduces to

$$3(7s(s-4) + 24)\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) - s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)(s-4)\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{L}\{Q(v, \bar{z})\}(s) + 72\bar{z}^2 = 0. \quad (99)$$

The rest of computation is essentially identical to the previous cases, and we shall simply state the result:

$$\begin{aligned} F(v, \bar{z}) &= \frac{1}{(1-e^v)^4 \bar{z}^3} Q(v, \bar{z}) \\ &= -\frac{8}{3(1-e^v)^4 \bar{z}^3} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(7(s-4)s+24)^3} \left[2\kappa^2(s) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 6\kappa(s) \left(7(s-4)s+24 \right) \bar{z} + 9 \left(7(s-4)s+24 \right)^2 \bar{z}^2 - 2\kappa^2(s) e^{\frac{3(7(s-4)s+24)\bar{z}}{\kappa(s)}} \right] \right\} (v) \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

where $\kappa(s) = s(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)(s-4)$. This result, after shifting $s \rightarrow s+2$, agrees with (79) obtained via a summation.

As previously discussed, for all $\Delta = -1, -2, -3$ cases, the information about the minimal-twist multi-stress tensors at large central charge is fully encoded in the term involving the essential singularities.

5 Correlators from modes

In this section, we discuss an alternative approach to probing the correlator structure. By focusing on minimal-twist multi-stress tensor exchanges at large central charge, we demonstrate that the relevant part of the conformal correlators may be reconstructed from certain modes. Our derivations are based on the linear differential equations.

5.1 $d = 2$

Let us start with the $d = 2$ case. The basic idea is to replace the $(1-s)^{-2}$ factor in the recursion relation (19) by a summation

$$\frac{1}{(1-s)^2} = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (m-1) s^{m-2}. \quad (101)$$

We then write

$$R_{n+1}^{\Delta=-1}(z) = -6 \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (m-1) \int_0^z ds_2 \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 s_1^{m-2} R_n^{\Delta=-1}(s_1) \quad (102)$$

with $R_0^{\Delta=-1}(z) = z$. After performing the integrals, the correlator involving the level-2 degenerate scalars with $\Delta = -1$ can be represented purely as summing over modes.

In the first few orders, the explicit expressions are

$$R_1^{\Delta=-1}(z) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{-6(m-1)z^{m+1}}{m(m+1)} \quad (103)$$

$$R_2^{\Delta=-1}(z) = \sum_{m,n=2}^{\infty} \frac{36(m-1)(n-1)z^{m+n+1}}{m(m+1)(m+n)(m+n+1)} \quad (104)$$

$$R_3^{\Delta=-1}(z) = \sum_{m,n,q=2}^{\infty} \frac{-216(m-1)(n-1)(q-1)z^{m+n+q+1}}{m(m+1)(m+n)(m+n+1)(m+n+q)(m+n+q+1)}. \quad (105)$$

Higher-order expressions can be readily worked out. Recall $F(z) = z^{-1}Q(z) = z^{-1} \sum_j^{\infty} \eta^j R_j(z)$ in this $d = 2$ case.

To evaluate these summations, we introduce the following auxiliary-variable method. Taking $R_3(z)$ as an example, we can write (105) as

$$R_3^{\Delta=-1}(z) = -216 \lim_{t_3 \rightarrow 1} \int_0^z ds_2 \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 \int_0^{t_3} dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 \times \sum_{m,n,q=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)(n-1)(q-1)}{m(m+1)} t_1^{m+n-1} s_1^{m+n+q-1} \quad (106)$$

where t_1 is an auxiliary variable whose role is to generate the $(m+n)(m+n+1)$ factor in the denominator via the double integrals. The summations over modes m, n, q in this form are simpler to carry out. After performing the integrals, the result reproduces (24). More auxiliary variables are required to evaluate higher-order mode summations. This method can be used to compute the similar summations in $d = 4$ which we will consider later.

After working out higher-order solutions, we identify a pattern – the $d = 2$ correlator can be represented as

$$F^{\Delta=-1}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{k_i\}=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-6)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (k_i - 1)}{\prod_{l=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 1 \right)} \eta^n z^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i} \right] \quad (107)$$

with independent modes k_i (e.g., $k_1 = m$).⁸ As this result is derived from the differential equation, we are restricted to the level-2 degenerate field.

⁸It should be evident that in this expression, n is the order of the expansion, instead of modes.

We note that, in $d = 2$, a set of diagrammatic rules was developed in [35], enabling the computation of the correlator via mode summations for any Δ . See also Appendix D in [33] for a related computation based on the Virasoro algebra. Summing the diagrams is the same as counting the numbers of certain on-shell tree diagrams with vertices, *i.e.*, the Catalan numbers. The mode summations we derived from the linear differential equation are consistent with the $d = 2$ diagrammatic rules.

5.2 $d = 4$

First we consider the simplest case with $\Delta = -1$. Using the identity

$$\frac{1}{(1-s)^3} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} (m-1)(m-2)s^{m-3} \quad (108)$$

we write the $d = 4$ recursion relation (46) as

$$R_{n+1}^{\Delta=-1}(z) = \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{(n+2)} \int_0^z ds_3 \int_0^{s_3} ds_2 \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 s_1^{m-3} R_n^{\Delta=-1}(s_1) \quad (109)$$

with $R_0^{\Delta=-1}(z) = z^2$. After carrying out the integrals, we can organise the correlator structure order by order as mode summations. Explicit expressions in the first few orders are collected in Appendix B. After working out higher-order terms, a pattern emerges and we find that the corresponding correlator can be written as

$$F^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{k_i\}=3}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^n}{2^n \Gamma(n+2)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n ((k_i-1)(k_i-2))}{\prod_{l=1}^n \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 1 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 2 \right) \right]} \right] \bar{z}^n z^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i} \quad (110)$$

with independent modes k_i . These $d = 4$ modes exhibit a striking similarity to those in the $d = 2$ case.

The $d = 4$ recursion relations for the $\Delta = -2$ and $\Delta = -3$ cases are given in (70) and (77), respectively. The computations are more complicated than the $\Delta = -1$ case but the basic idea is the same – we express the factors $(1-s)^{-2}$, $(1-s)^{-3}$, $(1-s)^{-4}$, etc., as mode summations, *e.g.*, (108). After performing the integrals, order by order we search for a pattern. We find

$$F^{\Delta=-2}(z, \bar{z}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{k_i\}=3}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-3)^n}{2^{n-1} \Gamma(n+3)} \right. \quad (111)$$

$$\left. \times \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n ((k_i-1)(k_i-2)) \prod_{l=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} k_i + 3 \right]}{\prod_{l=1}^n \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 1 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 3 \right) \right]} \bar{z}^n z^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{\Delta=-3}(z, \bar{z}) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{k_i\}=3}^{\infty} \left[\frac{-(-3)^{n+1}}{2^{n-1} \Gamma(n+4)} \right. \\
&\times \left. \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n (k_i - 1)(k_i - 2) \right) \prod_{l=1}^n \left[7k_l \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} k_i + 2 \right) + 7 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} k_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} k_i + 4 \right) + 2k_l^2 + 24 \right]}{\prod_{l=1}^n \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 1 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 3 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l k_i + 4 \right) \right]} \bar{z}^n z^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

In Appendix B, we present explicit expressions in the first few orders.

The motivation behind pointing out these observations regarding modes is not to evaluate the correlator at the special Δ . Indeed, we have already obtained the corresponding correlator in a more efficient manner in the preceding section. Instead, the motivation is that these modes might provide a way to search for the general rules governing the $d = 4$ correlators more broadly, without requiring the restricted Δ . It would be interesting to develop an effective field theory to organise these higher-dimensional mode structures.

6 Discussion

This note discusses the exact solutions to a system of $d = 4$ linear partial differential equations proposed in [112]. Without relying on a gravity computation, we obtain closed-form expressions (valid for specific values of Δ) which represent summing over all minimal-twist multi-stress tensor contributions to the thermal or heavy-light scalar correlator in $d = 4$ CFTs with a simple gravity dual. We find that the resummed $d = 4$ correlator has essential singularities in the s -plane. Our results are expected to correspond to a holographic computation with a spherical black hole. In addition, using the differential equations, we show that the correlators in $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ can be expressed as mode summations.

This work raises two immediate questions:

- (1) What is the origin and range of validity of these $d = 4$ differential equations?
- (2) How to go beyond the restrictions of $\Delta = -1, -2, -3$ to obtain the corresponding $d = 4$ exact correlator at general Δ using a field-theoretic method?

Let us conclude by presenting some thoughts on how to approach these questions.

To approach the first question, it may be useful to investigate whether these differential equations can be embedded within a larger set of differential equations that incorporate the contributions from higher-twist multi-stress tensors as well. Alternatively, it could be useful to explore whether these differential equations have an interpretation as a limit of a bulk equation of motion via holography.

Moreover, it would be interesting to construct a relevant null state in $d = 4$ at large central charge, and, in a similar spirit to the $d = 2$ BPZ approach, derive the $d = 4$ null-state differential equations at large C_T from CFT first principles. To construct a relevant null state, one may explore possible higher-derivative operators in $d = 4$. However, it is crucial to incorporate the enhancement from the heavy operators. Note that the $d = 4$ equations depend on the central charge C_T through μ . Although this parameter can be absorbed into \bar{z} in the near-lightcone limit, this does not imply that we are considering zero-temperature physics. Therefore, it may be necessary to construct a certain $d = 4$ analog of the L_{-2} operator, which is used to construct the $d = 2$ level-2 null state. It seems natural to try to construct such an object by integrating over the $d = 4$ stress tensor near a two-dimensional plane with a suitable regularisation. However, like the $d = 2$ case, this object may not have a transparent geometric interpretation. (For example, the work [116] attempted to isolate relevant stress-tensor OPE contributions in the near-lightcone regime. An algebraic-like structure was obtained by assuming a certain limiting procedure. It might be interesting to see if such a structure has an observable consequence, or to consider possible deformations.)

To address the second question, it may be useful to investigate whether the $d = 4$ correlator evaluated at $\Delta = -1, -2$, and -3 exhibits a pattern that holds for a broader range of Δ . In the $d = 2$ case, an analytic continuation was employed in [35] to extract the exact correlator at general Δ using the correlator involving degenerate fields. It would be interesting to explore whether a similar approach could be extended to $d = 4$. On the other hand, it is desirable to establish a connection between our work and the effective field theory approaches developed in [54, 63]. To compute the multi-stress tensor contributions in higher dimensions, developing a generalised effective field theory is of great interest.

It is also important to emphasise that our results do not account for the double-trace contributions made from two light probe scalars. It would be interesting to compute the corresponding exact conformal correlators in higher dimensions.

These investigations are left to future work.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Felix Haehl, Shota Komatsu, Kostas Skenderis, and Balt van Rees for related discussions. This research was supported in part by the UKRI grant EP/X030334/1.

Appendix A

In this appendix, we collect some $\mathcal{O}(n)$ expressions in both $d = 2$ and $d = 4$.

• $d = 2$ correlator at $\Delta = -1$

$$R_0^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 1 - e^v$$

$$R_1^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 6\left(v + 2 + e^v(v - 2)\right)$$

$$R_2^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 18\left(v^2 + 6v + 12 - e^v(v^2 - 6v + 12)\right)$$

$$R_3^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 36\left(v^3 + 12v^2 + 60v + 120 + e^v(v^3 - 12v^2 + 60v - 120)\right)$$

$$R_4^{\Delta=-1}(v) = 54\left(v^4 + 20v^3 + 180v^2 + 840v + 1680 - e^v(v^4 - 20v^3 + 180v^2 - 840v + 1680)\right)$$

$$R_5^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{324}{5}\left(v^5 + 30v^4 + 420v^3 + 3360v^2 + 15120v + 30240 + e^v(v^5 - 30v^4 + 420v^3 - 3360v^2 + 15120v - 30240)\right)$$

$$R_6^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{324}{5}\left(v^6 + 42v^5 + 840v^4 + 10080v^3 + 75600v^2 + 332640v + 665280 - e^v(v^6 - 42v^5 + 840v^4 - 10080v^3 + 75600v^2 - 332640v + 665280)\right)$$

$$R_7^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1944}{35}\left(v^7 + 56v^6 + 1512v^5 + 25200v^4 + 277200v^3 + 1995840v^2 + 8648640v + 17297280 + e^v(v^7 - 56v^6 + 1512v^5 - 25200v^4 + 277200v^3 - 1995840v^2 + 8648640v - 17297280)\right)$$

• $d = 4$ correlator at $\Delta = -1$

$$R_0^{\Delta=-1}(v) = (1 - e^v)^2$$

$$R_1^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{4}\left(v + 3 + e^{2v}(v - 3) + 4e^v v\right)$$

$$R_2^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{48}\left(v^2 + 9v + 24 - 8e^v(v^2 + 6) + e^{2v}(v^2 - 9v + 24)\right)$$

$$R_3^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{1152}\left(v^3 + 18v^2 + 123v + 315 + 16e^v(v^2 + 24)v + e^{2v}(v^3 - 18v^2 + 123v - 315)\right)$$

$$R_4^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{46080}\left(v^4 + 30v^3 + 375v^2 + 2295v + 5760 - 32e^v(v^4 + 60v^2 + 360) + e^{2v}(v^4 - 30v^3 + 375v^2 - 2295v + 5760)\right)$$

$$R_5^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{2764800}\left(v^5 + 45v^4 + 885v^3 + 9450v^2 + 54495v + 135135 + 64e^v(v^4 + 120v^2 + 2520)v + e^{2v}(v^5 - 45v^4 + 885v^3 - 9450v^2 + 54495v - 135135)\right)$$

$$R_6^{\Delta=-1}(v) = \frac{1}{232243200}\left(v^6 + 63v^5 + 1785v^4 + 28980v^3 + 283185v^2 + 1573425v + 3870720 - 128e^v(v^6 + 210v^4 + 10080v^2 + 60480)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + e^{2v}(v^6 - 63v^5 + 1785v^4 - 28980v^3 + 283185v^2 - 1573425v + 3870720)) \\
R_7^{\Delta=-1}(v) = & \frac{1}{26011238400} \left(v^7 + 84v^6 + 3234v^5 + 73710v^4 + 1070685v^3 + 9882810v^2 \right. \\
& + 53531415v + 130945815 + 256e^v(v^6 + 336v^4 + 30240v^2 + 604800)v + e^{2v}(v^7 \\
& \left. - 84v^6 + 3234v^5 - 73710v^4 + 1070685v^3 - 9882810v^2 + 53531415v - 130945815) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

• $d = 4$ correlator at $\Delta = -2$

$$R_0^{\Delta=-2}(v) = (1 - e^v)^3$$

$$R_1^{\Delta=-2}(v) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^v)(v + 3 + 4e^v v + e^{2v}(v - 3))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2^{\Delta=-2}(v) = & \frac{1}{96} \left(9v^2 + 75v + 185 - 27e^v(v^2 - 5v + 5) + 27e^{2v}(v^2 + 5v + 5) \right. \\
& \left. + e^{3v}(-9v^2 + 75v - 185) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_3^{\Delta=-2}(v) = & \frac{1}{320} \left(3v^3 + 48v^2 + 293v + 675 + 9e^v(v^3 - 12v^2 + 39v - 75) \right. \\
& \left. - 9e^{2v}(v^3 + 12v^2 + 39v + 75) + e^{3v}(-3v^3 + 48v^2 - 293v + 675) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_4^{\Delta=-2}(v) = & \frac{1}{15360} \left(9v^4 + 234v^3 + 2553v^2 + 13749v + 30631 - 27e^v(v^4 - 22v^3 + 153v^2 \right. \\
& \left. - 603v + 1179) + 27e^{2v}(v^4 + 22v^3 + 153v^2 + 603v + 1179) \right. \\
& \left. + e^{3v}(-9v^4 + 234v^3 - 2553v^2 + 13749v - 30631) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_5^{\Delta=-2}(v) = & \frac{1}{358400} \left(9v^5 + 345v^4 + 5825v^3 + 53850v^2 + 271205v + 592515 \right. \\
& + 27e^v(v^5 - 35v^4 + 425v^3 - 2850v^2 + 12045v - 21945) \\
& - 27e^{2v}(v^5 + 35v^4 + 425v^3 + 2850v^2 + 12045v + 21945) \\
& \left. + e^{3v}(-9v^5 + 345v^4 - 5825v^3 + 53850v^2 - 271205v + 592515) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_6^{\Delta=-2}(v) = & \frac{1}{103219200} \left(81v^6 + 4293v^5 + 103140v^4 + 1431720v^3 + 12063645v^2 + 58288035v \right. \\
& + 125737265 - 243e^v(v^6 - 51v^5 + 960v^4 - 9960v^3 + 68445v^2 - 279045v + 514485) \\
& + 243e^{2v}(v^6 + 51v^5 + 960v^4 + 9960v^3 + 68445v^2 + 279045v + 514485) + e^{3v}(-81v^6 \\
& \left. + 4293v^5 - 103140v^4 + 1431720v^3 - 12063645v^2 + 58288035v - 125737265) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_7^{\Delta=-2}(v) = & \frac{1}{4335206400} \left(81v^7 + 5670v^6 + 183330v^5 + 3537450v^4 + 43855245v^3 + 348298860v^2 \right. \\
& + 1636264175v + 3498489225 + 243e^v(v^7 - 70v^6 + 1890v^5 - 28350v^4 + 284445v^3 \\
& - 1905120v^2 + 7649775v - 14397075) - 243e^{2v}(v^7 + 70v^6 + 1890v^5 + 28350v^4 \\
& + 284445v^3 + 1905120v^2 + 7649775v + 14397075) + e^{3v}(-81v^7 + 5670v^6 \\
& \left. - 183330v^5 + 3537450v^4 - 43855245v^3 + 348298860v^2 - 1636264175v + 3498489225) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

• $d = 4$ correlator at $\Delta = -3$

$$R_0^{\Delta=-3}(v) = (1 - e^v)^4$$

$$R_1^{\Delta=-3}(v) = \frac{3}{4}(1 - e^v)^2(v + 3 + 4e^v v + e^{2v}(v - 3))$$

$$R_2^{\Delta=-3}(v) = \frac{1}{80}\left(18v^2 + 141v + 325 - 2e^v(9v^2 - 123v + 176) + 54e^{2v}(2v^2 + 1) - 2e^{3v}(9v^2 + 123v + 176) + e^{4v}(18v^2 - 141v + 325)\right)$$

$$R_3^{\Delta=-3}(v) = \frac{1}{160}\left(6v^3 + 87v^2 + 481v + 1006 + e^v(3v^3 - 96v^2 + 647v - 857) - 18e^{2v}v(2v^2 - 3) + e^{3v}(3v^3 + 96v^2 + 647v + 857) + e^{4v}(6v^3 - 87v^2 + 481v - 1006)\right)$$

$$R_4^{\Delta=-3}(v) = \frac{1}{17920}\left(72v^4 + 1656v^3 + 16014v^2 + 76743v + 152972 - 2e^v(9v^4 - 522v^3 + 8295v^2 - 40857v + 68224) + 216e^{2v}(2v^4 - 18v^2 - 153) - 2e^{3v}(9v^4 + 522v^3 + 8295v^2 + 40857v + 68224) + e^{4v}(72v^4 - 1656v^3 + 16014v^2 - 76743v + 152972)\right)$$

$$R_5^{\Delta=-3}(v) = \frac{3}{1433600}\left(144v^5 + 4800v^4 + 70700v^3 + 572850v^2 + 2543330v + 4930765 + 2e^v(9v^5 - 825v^4 + 23825v^3 - 263100v^2 + 1185305v - 2378215) - 432e^{2v}v(2v^4 - 50v^2 - 885) + 2e^{3v}(9v^5 + 825v^4 + 23825v^3 + 263100v^2 + 1185305v + 2378215) + e^{4v}(144v^5 - 4800v^4 + 70700v^3 - 572850v^2 + 2543330v - 4930765)\right)$$

$$R_6^{\Delta=-3}(v) = \frac{1}{154828800}\left(2592v^6 + 117936v^5 + 2441880v^4 + 29358000v^3 + 215505990v^2 + 913040835v + 1738983400 - 2e^v(81v^6 - 10773v^5 + 492345v^4 - 9702000v^3 + 88522245v^2 - 404456955v + 870410240) + 3888e^{2v}(4v^6 - 210v^4 - 5670v^2 + 945) - 2e^{3v}(81v^6 + 10773v^5 + 492345v^4 + 9702000v^3 + 88522245v^2 + 404456955v + 870410240) + e^{4v}(2592v^6 - 117936v^5 + 2441880v^4 - 29358000v^3 + 215505990v^2 - 913040835v + 1738983400)\right)$$

$$R_7^{\Delta=-3}(v) = \frac{1}{3612672000}\left(2592v^7 + 154224v^6 + 4256280v^5 + 70454160v^4 + 753629310v^3 + 5196824115v^2 + 21338803990v + 40150046895 + e^v(81v^7 - 14742v^6 + 978264v^5 - 30248190v^4 + 472613085v^3 - 3953470920v^2 + 18595335185v - 40478016495) - 3888e^{2v}v(4v^6 - 378v^4 - 13230v^2 + 57645) + e^{3v}(81v^7 + 14742v^6 + 978264v^5 + 30248190v^4 + 472613085v^3 + 3953470920v^2 + 18595335185v + 40478016495) + e^{4v}(2592v^7 - 154224v^6 + 4256280v^5 - 70454160v^4 + 753629310v^3 - 5196824115v^2 + 21338803990v - 40150046895)\right)$$

Appendix B

This appendix includes some explicit expressions of the $d = 4$ modes at $\Delta = -1, -2, -3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{\Delta=-1}(z, \bar{z}) &= 1 - \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)(m-2)z^m \bar{z}}{4m(m+1)(m+2)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{m,n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)(m-2)(n-1)(n-2)z^{m+n} \bar{z}^2}{24m(m+1)(m+2)(m+n)(m+n+1)(m+n+2)} \\
&\quad - \sum_{m,n,q=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(m-1)(m-2)(n-1)(n-2)}{192m(m+1)(m+2)(m+n)(m+n+1)(m+n+2)} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \frac{(q-1)(q-2)z^{m+n+q} \bar{z}^3}{(m+n+q)(m+n+q+1)(m+n+q+2)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{z}^4) \\
F^{\Delta=-2}(z, \bar{z}) &= 1 - \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)(m-2)z^m \bar{z}}{2m(m+1)(m+2)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{m,n=3}^{\infty} \frac{3(m-1)(m-2)(n-1)(n-2)(2m+n+3)z^{m+n} \bar{z}^2}{16m(m+1)(m+2)(m+n)(m+n+1)(m+n+2)(m+n+3)} \\
&\quad - \sum_{m,n,q=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{9(m-1)(m-2)(n-1)(n-2)(2m+n+3)}{160m(m+1)(m+2)(m+n)(m+n+1)(m+n+2)(m+n+3)} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \frac{(q-1)(q-2)(2m+2n+q+3)z^{m+n+q} \bar{z}^3}{(m+n+q)(m+n+q+1)(m+n+q+2)(m+n+q+3)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{z}^4) \\
F^{\Delta=-3}(z, \bar{z}) &= 1 - \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{3(m-1)(m-2)z^m \bar{z}}{4m(m+1)(m+2)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{m,n=3}^{\infty} \frac{9(m-1)(m-2)(n-1)(n-2)(7m^2 + 7m(n+4) + 2(n^2 + 7n + 12))z^{m+n} \bar{z}^2}{40m(m+1)(m+2)(m+n)(m+n+1)(m+n+2)(m+n+3)(m+n+4)} \\
&\quad - \sum_{m,n,q=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{9(m-1)(m-2)(n-1)(n-2)(7m^2 + 7m(n+4) + 2(n^2 + 7n + 12))}{160m(m+1)(m+2)(m+n)(m+n+1)(m+n+2)(m+n+3)(m+n+4)} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \times \frac{(q-1)(q-2)(7m^2 + 7m(2n+q+4) + 7n^2 + 7n(q+4) + 2(q^2 + 7q + 12))z^{m+n+q} \bar{z}^3}{(m+n+q)(m+n+q+1)(m+n+q+2)(m+n+q+3)(m+n+q+4)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{z}^4)
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, “The renormalization group and the ϵ expansion,” *Physics Reports* **12** no. 2, (1974) 75–199.
- [2] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, and A. Zamolodchikov, “Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory,” *Nuclear Physics B* **241** no. 2, (1984) 333–380.

- [3] D. Poland, S. Rychkov, and A. Vichi, “The Conformal Bootstrap: Theory, Numerical Techniques, and Applications,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91** (2019) 015002, [arXiv:1805.04405 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] A. Bissi, A. Sinha, and X. Zhou, “Selected topics in analytic conformal bootstrap: A guided journey,” *Phys. Rept.* **991** (2022) 1–89, [arXiv:2202.08475 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] T. Hartman, D. Mazac, D. Simmons-Duffin, and A. Zhiboedov, “Snowmass White Paper: The Analytic Conformal Bootstrap,” in *Snowmass 2021*. 2, 2022. [arXiv:2202.11012 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [6] S. Rychkov and N. Su, “New Developments in the Numerical Conformal Bootstrap,” [arXiv:2311.15844 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] Z. Komargodski and A. Zhiboedov, “Convexity and Liberation at Large Spin,” *JHEP* **11** (2013) 140, [arXiv:1212.4103 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, D. Poland, and D. Simmons-Duffin, “The Analytic Bootstrap and AdS Superhorizon Locality,” *JHEP* **12** (2013) 004, [arXiv:1212.3616 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] L. F. Alday and J. M. Maldacena, “Comments on operators with large spin,” *JHEP* **11** (2007) 019, [arXiv:0708.0672 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] S. Pal, J. Qiao, and S. Rychkov, “Twist accumulation in conformal field theory. A rigorous approach to the lightcone bootstrap,” [arXiv:2212.04893 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] I. Heemskerk, J. Penedones, J. Polchinski, and J. Sully, “Holography from Conformal Field Theory,” *JHEP* **10** (2009) 079, [arXiv:0907.0151 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] A. L. Fitzpatrick, E. Katz, D. Poland, and D. Simmons-Duffin, “Effective Conformal Theory and the Flat-Space Limit of AdS,” *JHEP* **07** (2011) 023, [arXiv:1007.2412 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [13] X. O. Camanho, J. D. Edelstein, J. Maldacena, and A. Zhiboedov, “Causality Constraints on Corrections to the Graviton Three-Point Coupling,” *JHEP* **02** (2016) 020, [arXiv:1407.5597 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] T. Hartman, S. Jain, and S. Kundu, “Causality Constraints in Conformal Field Theory,” *JHEP* **05** (2016) 099, [arXiv:1509.00014 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [15] N. Afkhami-Jeddi, T. Hartman, S. Kundu, and A. Tajdini, “Einstein gravity 3-point functions from conformal field theory,” *JHEP* **12** (2017) 049, [arXiv:1610.09378 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] A. Belin, D. M. Hofman, and G. Mathys, “Einstein gravity from ANEC correlators,” *JHEP* **08** (2019) 032, [arXiv:1904.05892 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] M. Kologlu, P. Kravchuk, D. Simmons-Duffin, and A. Zhiboedov, “Shocks, Superconvergence, and a Stringy Equivalence Principle,” *JHEP* **11** (2020) 096, [arXiv:1904.05905 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] S. Caron-Huot, D. Mazac, L. Rastelli, and D. Simmons-Duffin, “AdS bulk locality from sharp CFT bounds,” *JHEP* **11** (2021) 164, [arXiv:2106.10274 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252, [arXiv:hep-th/9711200](#).
- [20] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998) 105–114, [arXiv:hep-th/9802109](#).
- [21] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291, [arXiv:hep-th/9802150](#).
- [22] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, and M. T. Walters, “Universality of Long-Distance AdS Physics from the CFT Bootstrap,” *JHEP* **08** (2014) 145, [arXiv:1403.6829 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, and M. T. Walters, “Virasoro Conformal Blocks and Thermalities from Classical Background Fields,” *JHEP* **11** (2015) 200, [arXiv:1501.05315 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Conformal symmetry in two dimensions: an explicit recurrence formula for the conformal partial wave amplitude,” *Communications in mathematical physics* **96** (1984) 419–422.
- [25] A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Conformal symmetry in two-dimensional space: Recursion representation of conformal block,” *Theor Math Phys* **73** (1987) 1088–1093.
- [26] D. Harlow, J. Maltz, and E. Witten, “Analytic Continuation of Liouville Theory,” *JHEP* **12** (2011) 071, [arXiv:1108.4417 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [27] T. Hartman, “Entanglement Entropy at Large Central Charge,” [arXiv:1303.6955](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [28] A. Litvinov, S. Lukyanov, N. Nekrasov, and A. Zamolodchikov, “Classical Conformal Blocks and Painleve VI,” *JHEP* **07** (2014) 144, [arXiv:1309.4700](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [29] C. T. Asplund, A. Bernamonti, F. Galli, and T. Hartman, “Holographic Entanglement Entropy from 2d CFT: Heavy States and Local Quenches,” *JHEP* **02** (2015) 171, [arXiv:1410.1392](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [30] D. A. Roberts and D. Stanford, “Two-dimensional conformal field theory and the butterfly effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** no. 13, (2015) 131603, [arXiv:1412.5123](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [31] E. Hijano, P. Kraus, and R. Snively, “Worldline approach to semi-classical conformal blocks,” *JHEP* **07** (2015) 131, [arXiv:1501.02260](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [32] E. Perlmutter, “Virasoro conformal blocks in closed form,” *JHEP* **08** (2015) 088, [arXiv:1502.07742](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [33] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, M. T. Walters, and J. Wang, “Eikonalization of Conformal Blocks,” *JHEP* **09** (2015) 019, [arXiv:1504.01737](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [34] E. Hijano, P. Kraus, E. Perlmutter, and R. Snively, “Semiclassical Virasoro blocks from AdS₃ gravity,” *JHEP* **12** (2015) 077, [arXiv:1508.04987](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [35] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, M. T. Walters, and J. Wang, “Hawking from Catalan,” *JHEP* **05** (2016) 069, [arXiv:1510.00014](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [36] M. Beccaria, A. Fachechi, and G. Macorini, “Virasoro vacuum block at next-to-leading order in the heavy-light limit,” *JHEP* **02** (2016) 072, [arXiv:1511.05452](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [37] A. L. Fitzpatrick and J. Kaplan, “Conformal Blocks Beyond the Semi-Classical Limit,” *JHEP* **05** (2016) 075, [arXiv:1512.03052](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [38] K. B. Alkalaev and V. A. Belavin, “From global to heavy-light: 5-point conformal blocks,” *JHEP* **03** (2016) 184, [arXiv:1512.07627](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [39] A. L. Fitzpatrick and J. Kaplan, “A Quantum Correction To Chaos,” *JHEP* **05** (2016) 070, [arXiv:1601.06164](#) [[hep-th](#)].

- [40] P. Banerjee, S. Datta, and R. Sinha, “Higher-point conformal blocks and entanglement entropy in heavy states,” *JHEP* **05** (2016) 127, [arXiv:1601.06794 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] T. Anous, T. Hartman, A. Rovai, and J. Sonner, “Black Hole Collapse in the $1/c$ Expansion,” *JHEP* **07** (2016) 123, [arXiv:1603.04856 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, D. Li, and J. Wang, “On information loss in $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$,” *JHEP* **05** (2016) 109, [arXiv:1603.08925 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [43] H. Chen, A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, D. Li, and J. Wang, “Degenerate Operators and the $1/c$ Expansion: Lorentzian Resummations, High Order Computations, and Super-Virasoro Blocks,” *JHEP* **03** (2017) 167, [arXiv:1606.02659 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [44] B. Chen, J.-q. Wu, and J.-j. Zhang, “Holographic Description of 2D Conformal Block in Semi-classical Limit,” *JHEP* **10** (2016) 110, [arXiv:1609.00801 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [45] A. Maloney, H. Maxfield, and G. S. Ng, “A conformal block Farey tail,” *JHEP* **06** (2017) 117, [arXiv:1609.02165 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [46] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, D. Li, and J. Wang, “Exact Virasoro Blocks from Wilson Lines and Background-Independent Operators,” *JHEP* **07** (2017) 092, [arXiv:1612.06385 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] H. Chen, C. Hussong, J. Kaplan, and D. Li, “A Numerical Approach to Virasoro Blocks and the Information Paradox,” *JHEP* **09** (2017) 102, [arXiv:1703.09727 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] M. Cho, S. Collier, and X. Yin, “Recursive Representations of Arbitrary Virasoro Conformal Blocks,” *JHEP* **04** (2019) 018, [arXiv:1703.09805 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [49] M. Cho, S. Collier, and X. Yin, “Genus Two Modular Bootstrap,” *JHEP* **04** (2019) 022, [arXiv:1705.05865 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] A. Galliani, S. Giusto, and R. Russo, “Holographic 4-point correlators with heavy states,” *JHEP* **10** (2017) 040, [arXiv:1705.09250 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [51] A. Bombini, A. Galliani, S. Giusto, E. Moscato, and R. Russo, “Unitary 4-point correlators from classical geometries,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** no. 1, (2018) 8, [arXiv:1710.06820 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [52] Y. Kusuki, “Large c Virasoro Blocks from Monodromy Method beyond Known Limits,” *JHEP* **08** (2018) 161, [arXiv:1806.04352 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [53] A. Bombini, S. Giusto, and R. Russo, “A note on the Virasoro blocks at order $1/c$,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** no. 1, (2019) 3, [arXiv:1807.07886 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [54] J. Cotler and K. Jensen, “A theory of reparameterizations for AdS_3 gravity,” *JHEP* **02** (2019) 079, [arXiv:1808.03263 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [55] Y. Kusuki, “Light Cone Bootstrap in General 2D CFTs and Entanglement from Light Cone Singularity,” *JHEP* **01** (2019) 025, [arXiv:1810.01335 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [56] S. Collier, Y. Gobeil, H. Maxfield, and E. Perlmutter, “Quantum Regge Trajectories and the Virasoro Analytic Bootstrap,” *JHEP* **05** (2019) 212, [arXiv:1811.05710 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [57] M. Kulaxizi, G. S. Ng, and A. Parnachev, “Black Holes, Heavy States, Phase Shift and Anomalous Dimensions,” *SciPost Phys.* **6** no. 6, (2019) 065, [arXiv:1812.03120 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [58] C.-M. Chang, D. M. Ramirez, and M. Rangamani, “Spinning constraints on chaotic large c CFTs,” *JHEP* **03** (2019) 068, [arXiv:1812.05585 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [59] S. Giusto, R. Russo, and C. Wen, “Holographic correlators in AdS_3 ,” *JHEP* **03** (2019) 096, [arXiv:1812.06479 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [60] T. Anous and J. Sonner, “Phases of scrambling in eigenstates,” *SciPost Phys.* **7** (2019) 003, [arXiv:1903.03143 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [61] Y. Kusuki and M. Miyaji, “Entanglement Entropy, OTOC and Bootstrap in 2D CFTs from Regge and Light Cone Limits of Multi-point Conformal Block,” *JHEP* **08** (2019) 063, [arXiv:1905.02191 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [62] M. Beşken, S. Datta, and P. Kraus, “Quantum thermalization and Virasoro symmetry,” *J. Stat. Mech.* **2006** (2020) 063104, [arXiv:1907.06661 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [63] F. M. Haehl, W. Reeves, and M. Rozali, “Reparameterization modes, shadow operators, and quantum chaos in higher-dimensional CFTs,” *JHEP* **11** (2019) 102, [arXiv:1909.05847 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [64] M. Beşken, S. Datta, and P. Kraus, “Semi-classical Virasoro blocks: proof of exponentiation,” *JHEP* **01** (2020) 109, [arXiv:1910.04169 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [65] S. Collier, A. Maloney, H. Maxfield, and I. Tsiaras, “Universal dynamics of heavy operators in CFT_2 ,” *JHEP* **07** (2020) 074, [arXiv:1912.00222 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [66] K. Alkalaev and M. Pavlov, “Holographic variables for CFT_2 conformal blocks with heavy operators,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **956** (2020) 115018, [arXiv:2001.02604 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [67] T. Anous and F. M. Haehl, “On the Virasoro six-point identity block and chaos,” *JHEP* **08** no. 08, (2020) 002, [arXiv:2005.06440 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [68] D. Das, S. Datta, and M. Raman, “Virasoro blocks and quasimodular forms,” *JHEP* **11** (2020) 010, [arXiv:2007.10998 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [69] K. Nguyen, “Reparametrization modes in 2d CFT and the effective theory of stress tensor exchanges,” *JHEP* **05** (2021) 029, [arXiv:2101.08800 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [70] R. Karlsson, M. Kulaxizi, G. S. Ng, A. Parnachev, and P. Tadić, “CFT correlators, \mathcal{W} -algebras and Generalized Catalan Numbers,” [arXiv:2111.07924 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [71] K. Nguyen, “Virasoro blocks and the reparametrization formalism,” *JHEP* **04** (2023) 143, [arXiv:2212.02527 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [72] N. Benjamin, S. Collier, A. Maloney, and V. Meruliya, “Resurgence, conformal blocks, and the sum over geometries in quantum gravity,” *JHEP* **05** (2023) 166, [arXiv:2302.12851 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [73] L. Eberhardt, “Notes on crossing transformations of Virasoro conformal blocks,” [arXiv:2309.11540 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [74] D. Grabovsky, “Heavy States in 3d Gravity and 2d CFT,” [arXiv:2403.13757 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [75] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, “The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 1849–1851, [arXiv:hep-th/9204099](#).
- [76] A. L. Fitzpatrick and K.-W. Huang, “Universal Lowest-Twist in CFTs from Holography,” *JHEP* **08** (2019) 138, [arXiv:1903.05306 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [77] Y.-Z. Li, Z.-F. Mai, and H. Lü, “Holographic OPE Coefficients from AdS Black Holes with Matters,” *JHEP* **09** (2019) 001, [arXiv:1905.09302 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [78] A. L. Fitzpatrick, K.-W. Huang, and D. Li, “Probing universalities in $d > 2$ CFTs: from black holes to shockwaves,” *JHEP* **11** (2019) 139, [arXiv:1907.10810 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [79] A. L. Fitzpatrick, K.-W. Huang, D. Meltzer, E. Perlmutter, and D. Simmons-Duffin, “Model-dependence of minimal-twist OPEs in $d > 2$ holographic CFTs,” *JHEP* **11** (2020) 060, [arXiv:2007.07382 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [80] R. Karlsson, A. Parnachev, V. Prilepina, and S. Valach, “Thermal stress tensor correlators, OPE and holography,” *JHEP* **09** (2022) 234, [arXiv:2206.05544 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [81] K.-W. Huang, R. Karlsson, A. Parnachev, and S. Valach, “Freedom near lightcone and ANEC saturation,” *JHEP* **05** (2023) 065, [arXiv:2210.16274 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [82] C. Esper, K.-W. Huang, R. Karlsson, A. Parnachev, and S. Valach, “Thermal stress tensor correlators near lightcone and holography,” *JHEP* **11** (2023) 107, [arXiv:2306.00787 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [83] N. Čeplak, H. Liu, A. Parnachev, and S. Valach, “Black Hole Singularity from OPE,” [arXiv:2404.17286 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [84] M. Kulaxizi, G. S. Ng, and A. Parnachev, “Subleading Eikonal, AdS/CFT and Double Stress Tensors,” *JHEP* **10** (2019) 107, [arXiv:1907.00867 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [85] J. Louko, D. Marolf, and S. F. Ross, “On geodesic propagators and black hole holography,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 044041, [arXiv:hep-th/0002111](#).
- [86] J. M. Maldacena, “Eternal black holes in anti-de Sitter,” *JHEP* **04** (2003) 021, [arXiv:hep-th/0106112](#).
- [87] P. Kraus, H. Ooguri, and S. Shenker, “Inside the horizon with AdS / CFT,” *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 124022, [arXiv:hep-th/0212277](#).
- [88] L. Fidkowski, V. Hubeny, M. Kleban, and S. Shenker, “The Black hole singularity in AdS / CFT,” *JHEP* **02** (2004) 014, [arXiv:hep-th/0306170](#).
- [89] G. Festuccia and H. Liu, “Excursions beyond the horizon: Black hole singularities in Yang-Mills theories. I.,” *JHEP* **04** (2006) 044, [arXiv:hep-th/0506202](#).
- [90] A. Parnachev, “Near Lightcone Thermal Conformal Correlators and Holography,” [arXiv:2005.06877 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [91] N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, “Quantization of Integrable Systems and Four Dimensional Gauge Theories,” in *16th International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, pp. 265–289. 8, 2009. [arXiv:0908.4052 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [92] M. Dodelson, A. Grassi, C. Iossa, D. Panea Lichtig, and A. Zhiboedov, “Holographic thermal correlators from supersymmetric instantons,” *SciPost Phys.* **14** (2023) 116, [arXiv:2206.07720 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [93] L. F. Alday, M. Kologlu, and A. Zhiboedov, “Holographic correlators at finite temperature,” *JHEP* **06** (2021) 082, [arXiv:2009.10062 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [94] M. Grinberg and J. Maldacena, “Proper time to the black hole singularity from thermal one-point functions,” *JHEP* **03** (2021) 131, [arXiv:2011.01004 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [95] D. Rodriguez-Gomez and J. G. Russo, “Correlation functions in finite temperature CFT and black hole singularities,” *JHEP* **06** (2021) 048, [arXiv:2102.11891 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [96] D. Rodriguez-Gomez and J. G. Russo, “Thermal correlation functions in CFT and factorization,” *JHEP* **11** (2021) 049, [arXiv:2105.13909 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [97] H. Krishna and D. Rodriguez-Gomez, “Holographic thermal correlators revisited,” *JHEP* **11** (2021) 139, [arXiv:2108.00277 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [98] E. Parisini, K. Skenderis, and B. Withers, “Embedding formalism for CFTs in general states on curved backgrounds,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107** no. 6, (2023) 066022, [arXiv:2209.09250 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [99] B. Bajc and A. R. Lugo, “Holographic thermal propagator for arbitrary scale dimensions,” *JHEP* **05** (2023) 103, [arXiv:2212.13639 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [100] M. Dodelson, C. Iossa, R. Karlsson, and A. Zhiboedov, “A thermal product formula,” *JHEP* **01** (2024) 036, [arXiv:2304.12339 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [101] J. Abajian, F. Aprile, R. C. Myers, and P. Vieira, “Holography and correlation functions of huge operators: spacetime bananas,” *JHEP* **12** (2023) 058, [arXiv:2306.15105 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [102] E. Parisini, K. Skenderis, and B. Withers, “The ambient space formalism,” *JHEP* **05** (2024) 296, [arXiv:2312.03820 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [103] G. Fardelli, A. L. Fitzpatrick, and W. Li, “Holography and Regge Phases with $U(1)$ Charge,” [arXiv:2403.07079 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [104] S. Caron-Huot, “Analyticity in Spin in Conformal Theories,” *JHEP* **09** (2017) 078, [arXiv:1703.00278 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [105] D. Simmons-Duffin, D. Stanford, and E. Witten, “A spacetime derivation of the Lorentzian OPE inversion formula,” *JHEP* **07** (2018) 085, [arXiv:1711.03816 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [106] Y.-Z. Li, “Heavy-light Bootstrap from Lorentzian Inversion Formula,” *JHEP* **07** (2020) 046, [arXiv:1910.06357 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [107] Y.-Z. Li and H.-Y. Zhang, “More on heavy-light bootstrap up to double-stress-tensor,” *JHEP* **10** (2020) 055, [arXiv:2004.04758 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [108] R. Karlsson, M. Kulaxizi, A. Parnachev, and P. Tadić, “Leading Multi-Stress Tensors and Conformal Bootstrap,” *JHEP* **01** (2020) 076, [arXiv:1909.05775 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [109] R. Karlsson, M. Kulaxizi, A. Parnachev, and P. Tadić, “Stress tensor sector of conformal correlators operators in the Regge limit,” *JHEP* **07** (2020) 019, [arXiv:2002.12254 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [110] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, “Conformal invariants,” *Élie Cartan et les mathématiques d’aujourd’hui - Lyon, 25-29 juin 1984, Astérisque, no. S131 (1985), pp. 95-116*.
- [111] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, “The ambient metric,” [arXiv:0710.0919 \[math.DG\]](#).
- [112] K.-W. Huang, “Toward null-state equations in $d > 2$,” *JHEP* **11** (2023) 203, [arXiv:2308.03229 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [113] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 505–532, [arXiv:hep-th/9803131](#).
- [114] A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Infinite additional symmetries in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory,” *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **65** (1985).
- [115] N. J. A. Sloane, “The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences,” *OEIS Foundation Inc. (2024)*. <http://oeis.org>.
- [116] K.-W. Huang, “ $d > 2$ stress-tensor operator product expansion near a line,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** no. 12, (2021) 121702, [arXiv:2103.09930 \[hep-th\]](#).