# A Well-Balanced Method for an Unstaggered Central Scheme, the two-space Dimensional Case

Yu-Chen Cheng<sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup>, Christian Klingenberg<sup>[2](#page-0-1)</sup>, Rony Touma<sup>[3](#page-0-2)</sup>

#### Abstract

We develop a second-order accurate central scheme for the two-dimensional hyperbolic system of in-homogeneous conservation laws. The main idea behind the scheme is that we combine the well-balanced deviation method with the Kurganov-Tadmor (KT) scheme. The approach satisfies the well-balanced property and retains the advantages of KT scheme: Riemann-solver-free and the avoidance of oversampling on the regions between Riemann-fans. The scheme is implemented and applied to a number of numerical experiments for the Euler equations with gravitational source term and the results are non-oscillatory. Based on the same idea, we construct a semi-discrete scheme where we combine the above two methods and illustrate the maximum principle.

Keywords: Euler equations, Deviation method, 2-d semi-discrete methods, Wellbalanced schemes.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 65M08, 76M12, 35L65

### 1 Introduction

The numerical methods for conservation laws is widely studied in recent years. In 1990, Nessyahu and Tadmor (NT) proposed the central scheme in [\[NT90\]](#page-23-0) which focuses on the average between two staggered grids. The feature of the NT scheme is to approximate the solutions by integrating over the Riemann fans to avoid solve the Riemann problem at interfaces. After that, authors in [\[JLLOT98\]](#page-22-0) developed an unstaggered version of the NT scheme. A modification of the NT scheme was introduced in [\[KT00\]](#page-23-1) (KT scheme). Compared to the NT scheme which approximate the solution over Riemann fans by integrating on the full-cell size, the KT scheme considers a narrower interval over Riemann fans by the use of the maximal local wave speeds. The idea of the one-dimensional KT scheme is to split the original cell into two intervals. One is the unsmooth region over the whole Riemann fans, and the other is the smooth region between Riemann fans in the original interval. By this approach, the solution is not oversampled at smooth region and can have higher precision. The concept of two dimensional  $(2-d)$  extension of the KT scheme is mentioned in [\[KP01\]](#page-23-2). Later, a modified 2-d KT scheme was introduced in [\[KPW17\]](#page-23-3), which considers the maximal local wave speed on the each side of x and y direction. The benefit of this scheme is that the more precise information is used for the solution of unsmooth region.

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute of Mathematics, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany, yu-chen.cheng@studmail.uni-wuerzburg.de

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Institute of Mathematics, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany, christian.klingenberg@uniwuerzburg.de

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Institute of Computer Science and Mathematics, Lebanese American University, Byblos, Lebanon, rony.touma@lau.edu.lb

The set-up of the 2-d KT scheme can be separated into two approaches: one considers the quadrilateral subdomains over the Riemann fans; the other considers the rectangular subdomains. Using rectangular subdomains is easier to formulize and compute for the construction of semi-discrete scheme. There is a list of the 2-d semi-discrete type KT scheme by adopting the rectangular subdomains from the original 2-d KT schemes: [\[KT02\]](#page-23-4), [\[KP01\]](#page-23-2), [\[KNP01\]](#page-23-5), and [\[KL07\]](#page-22-1).

In this paper, we focus on in-homogeneous conservation laws, which is given by

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\partial_t q(x,t) + \nabla_x f(q(x,t)) = S(q(x,t)),\tag{1}
$$

where  $q(x,t) = (q_1(x,t), q_2(x,t), ..., q_N(x,t))^T$  is an N-vector of conserved quantities in the d-spatial variables  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_d)$ , and  $f(q) = (f^1, f^2, ..., f^d)$  is a nonlinear flux.  $S(q) = (s^1, s^2, ..., s^d)$  is a source term. Eq.[\(1\)](#page-1-0) is also known as the balance laws. To solve this equation, a suitable discretization of the source term is needed for construction. There are some attempts for the so-called well-balanced scheme: [\[KTK20\]](#page-23-6), [\[TKK16\]](#page-23-7), [\[BCK21\]](#page-22-2), [\[ABBKP04\]](#page-22-3), [\[BKLL04\]](#page-22-4), [\[BEKP11\]](#page-22-5), [\[CP20\]](#page-22-6), [\[DK03\]](#page-22-7), [\[T16\]](#page-23-8), [\[TK12\]](#page-23-9), [\[XS06\]](#page-23-10).

Inspired by the modified KT scheme and the so-called Deviation method in [\[BCK21\]](#page-22-2), we construct a well-balanced scheme for the Eq.[\(1\)](#page-1-0) with a linear source term in next section. In section 3, considering the rectangle subdomains, we derive a semi-discrete scheme by combining the KT scheme and the Deviation method, and we also show the non-oscillation property of this semi-discrete scheme. A number of numerical experiments has been tested by our fully-discrete scheme in section 4. Finally, we end with a conclusion in section 5.

# <span id="page-1-4"></span>2 A new two-dimensional scheme for in-homogeneous conservation laws

In this section, we introduce a new two-dimensional scheme by using the combination of the Deviation method and the KT-type scheme. As in [\[KTK20\]](#page-23-6) we begin by deriving the Deviation method at the continuum level for 2-d balance laws with gravity. Then, at the discrete level, we use the the 2-d KT-type scheme in [\[KPW17\]](#page-23-3) to construct a new well-balanced two-dimensional fully-discrete scheme.

#### 2.1 Framework of the two-dimensional Deviation method

Consider the 2-d balance laws

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases} q_t + f(q)_x + g(q)_y = S(q, x, y), & (x, y) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, t > 0 \\ q(x, y, 0) = q_0(x, y), \end{cases}
$$
(2)

where  $f(q)$  and  $g(q)$  are the fluxes in x- and y directions and S is the source term.

Assume  $\tilde{q}$  is a given stationary solution of [\(2\)](#page-1-1). Then it satisfies

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
f(\tilde{q})_x + g(\tilde{q})_y = S(\tilde{q}, x, y). \tag{3}
$$

Define the deviation  $\Delta q = q - \tilde{q}$ . Applying  $q = \Delta q + \tilde{q}$  to [\(2\)](#page-1-1), we obtain

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
(\Delta q + \tilde{q})_t + f(\Delta q + \tilde{q})_x + g(\Delta q + \tilde{q})_y = S(\Delta q + \tilde{q}).
$$
\n(4)

Since  $\tilde{q}$  is a stationary solution, [\(4\)](#page-1-2) reduces to

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
(\Delta q)_t + f(\Delta q + \tilde{q})_x + g(\Delta q + \tilde{q})_y = S(\Delta q + \tilde{q}).
$$
\n(5)

 $\Box$ 

Then, we subtract [\(3\)](#page-1-3) from [\(5\)](#page-2-0), and assume that the source term  $S(\Delta q + \tilde{q})$  in [\(2\)](#page-1-1) is a linear functional in terms of the conserved variables, we obtain

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
(\Delta q)_t + [f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q})]_x + [g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q})]_y = S(\Delta q + \tilde{q}, x, y) - S(\tilde{q}, x, y)
$$
  
=  $S(\Delta q, x, y).$  (6)

<span id="page-2-6"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** Consider the balance law  $(2)$  and a given hydrostatic solution  $\tilde{q}$ . The deviation quantity  $\Delta q$  satisfying the modified balance law [\(6\)](#page-2-1) maintains the same local speeds as those in the original balance system [\(2\)](#page-1-1).

Proof. The proof is the extension of 1D case. We refer reader to [\[CKT\]](#page-22-8).

### <span id="page-2-3"></span>2.2 Application of the two-dimensional Kurganov-Tadmor-type scheme

Now we apply the idea of KT scheme. The derivation can be separated into three steps: Reconstruction, Evolution, and Projection. The first two steps are loosely based on the setup in [\[KPW17\]](#page-23-3).

#### 2.2.1 Reconstruction

Consider the control cell  $C_{j,k} = [x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}] \times [y_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}]$  for all j, k. To avoid oscillation, we define a piecewise-linear reconstruction  $Q$ ,

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
Q_{j,k}(x,y,t^n) = (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n + (x - x_j)((\Delta q)_x)_{j,k}^n + (y - y_k)((\Delta q)_y)_{j,k}^n, \quad \forall (x,y) \in C_{j,k}, \quad (7)
$$

where  $(\Delta q)_x$  and  $(\Delta q)_y$  are the x- and y derivatives of  $\Delta q$ , and apply the MC- $\vartheta$  limiter to estimate the numerical gradient of the solution. The  $MC<sub>-\theta</sub>$  limiter is defined as follows,

$$
((\Delta q)_x)_{j,k}^n = \text{minmod} \left( \vartheta \Delta_x^+(\Delta q)_{j,k}^n, \Delta_x^0(\Delta q)_{j,k}^n, \vartheta \Delta_x^-(\Delta q)_{j,k}^n \right),
$$
  

$$
((\Delta q)_y)_{j,k}^n = \text{minmod} \left( \vartheta \Delta_y^+(\Delta q)_{j,k}^n, \Delta_y^0(\Delta q)_{j,k}^n, \vartheta \Delta_y^-(\Delta q)_{j,k}^n \right), \quad 1 \le \vartheta \le 2,
$$
 (8)

<span id="page-2-4"></span>where the differences  $\Delta_x^{\pm}$ ,  $\Delta_y^0$ ,  $\Delta_y^{\pm}$ , and  $\Delta_y^0$  are defined as

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
\Delta_x^+(\cdot)_{j,k} := \frac{(\cdot)_{j+1,k} - (\cdot)_{j,k}}{\Delta x}, \Delta_x^0(\cdot)_{j,k} := \frac{(\cdot)_{j+1,k} - (\cdot)_{j-1,k}}{2\Delta x}, \Delta_x^-(\cdot)_{j,k} := \frac{(\cdot)_{j,k} - (\cdot)_{j-1,k}}{\Delta x},
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_y^+(\cdot)_{j,k} := \frac{(\cdot)_{j,k+1} - (\cdot)_{j,k}}{\Delta y}, \Delta_y^0(\cdot)_{j,k} := \frac{(\cdot)_{j,k+1} - (\cdot)_{j,k-1}}{2\Delta y}, \Delta_y^-(\cdot)_{j,k} := \frac{(\cdot)_{j,k} - (\cdot)_{j,k-1}}{\Delta y}.
$$
\n(9)

We will adopt this  $(MC - \vartheta)$  limiter to evaluate the values of the slopes  $(\Delta q)_x$  and  $(\Delta q)_y$ in the numerical experiments in section [4.](#page-15-0)

#### 2.2.2 Evolution

Before evolving the solution from  $t^n$  to the next time step  $t^{n+1}$ , we need to find the maximal local wave speeds on each side of cell interfaces, which is the most important key of the KT scheme and used to decide the region of the cell over Riemann fans. The local wave speeds at interfaces denoted by  $a_{\lambda}^{\pm}$  $\frac{\pm}{j+\frac{1}{2},k}$  and  $b_{j,}^{\pm}$  $j_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$  in x- and y directions, respectively, are determined by the eigenvalues of flux Jacobian:

$$
a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} := \max\left\{\lambda_{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}f(q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+})\right), \lambda_{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}f(q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-})\right), \epsilon\right\},\newline a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} := \min\left\{\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}f(q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+})\right), \lambda_{1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}f(q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-})\right), -\epsilon\right\},\newline b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} := \max\left\{\lambda_{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}g(q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+})\right), \lambda_{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}g(q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-})\right), \epsilon\right\},\newline b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} := \min\left\{\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}g(q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+})\right), \lambda_{1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}g(q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-})\right), -\epsilon\right\},\newline
$$
\n(10)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>where  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_N$  are the eigenvalues of the corresponding Jacobians, and  $\epsilon$  is a small positive number and

$$
q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^- := q_{j,k}^n + \frac{\Delta x}{2} (q_x)_{j,k}^n, \quad q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^+ := q_{j+1,k}^n - \frac{\Delta x}{2} (q_x)_{j+1,k}^n,
$$
  

$$
q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^- := q_{j,k}^n + \frac{\Delta y}{2} (q_y)_{j,k}^n, \quad q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^+ := q_{j,k+1}^n - \frac{\Delta y}{2} (q_y)_{j,k+1}^n.
$$
 (11)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>These local speeds split the control domain  $C_{j,k}$  into nine non-uniform subdomains including the unsmooth side subdomains  $D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}$ , unsmooth corner subdomain  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}$ , and the smooth central subdomain  $D_{j,k}$ , (see figure [1\)](#page-4-0).

The vertices  $z_{j\pm \frac{1}{4},k\pm \frac{1}{4}}$  of these subdomains are estimated by

$$
z_{j+\frac{1}{4},k+\frac{1}{4}} := (x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta t^n \min(a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^-, a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+1}^-, y_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta t^n \min(b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^-, b_{j+1,k+\frac{1}{2}}^-)),
$$
  
\n
$$
z_{j-\frac{1}{4},k+\frac{1}{4}} := (x_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta t^n \max(a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^+, a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k+1}^+, y_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta t^n \min(b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^-, b_{j-1,k+\frac{1}{2}}^-)),
$$
  
\n
$$
z_{j-\frac{1}{4},k-\frac{1}{4}} := (x_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta t^n \max(a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^+, a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k-1}^+, y_{k-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta t^n \max(b_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^+, b_{j-1,k-\frac{1}{2}}^+)),
$$
  
\n
$$
z_{j+\frac{1}{4},k-\frac{1}{4}} := (x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta t^n \min(a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^-, a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k-1}^-, y_{k-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta t^n \max(b_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^+, b_{j+1,k-\frac{1}{2}}^+)).
$$
\n(12)

Now we integrate the modified balance law [\(6\)](#page-2-1) over nine subdomains, respectively, to estimate the intermediate cell-averages at time  $t^{n+1}$ .

Consider a general quadrilateral D. The integration of [\(6\)](#page-2-1) over  $D \times [t^n, t^{n+1}]$ ,

$$
\int_{t^n}^{t^n+1} \iint_D (\Delta q(x, y, t))_t + [f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q})]_x + [g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q})]_y \, dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{t^n}^{t^n+1} \iint_D S(\Delta q, x, y) \, dx dy dt.
$$
\n(13)



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 1: Computational cells are split into smooth and unsmooth non-uniform quadrilateral subdomains by the maximal local wave speeds.

can be written as

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\iint_{D} \Delta q(x, y, t^{n+1}) dx dy - \iint_{D} \Delta q(x, y, t^n) dx dy
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D} [f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q})]_x + [g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q})]_y dx dy dt
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D} S(\Delta q, x, y) dx dy dt.
$$
 (14)

Moving the second and third integrals on the left-hand-side of [\(14\)](#page-4-1) to the right-hand-side, and dividing the area  $|D|$  in [\(14\)](#page-4-1), we obtain

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\overline{w}_{D}^{n+1} := \frac{1}{|D|} \iint_{D} \Delta q(x, y, t^{n+1}) dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{|D|} \iint_{D} \Delta q(x, y, t^{n}) dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{|D|} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D} [f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q})]_{x} + [g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q})]_{y} dx dy dt
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{|D|} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D} S(\Delta q, x, y) dx dy dt.
$$
\n(15)

where  $\overline{w}_{D}^{n+1}$  denotes the new cell-average over D at  $t^{n+1}$ . Applying the divergence theorem

to the flux integration, we rewrite the [\(15\)](#page-4-2) in the form of

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\overline{w}_{D}^{n+1} = \overline{\Delta q}_{D}^{n}
$$
\n
$$
-\frac{1}{|D|} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \oint_{\partial D} \eta^{x} [f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q})] + \eta^{y} [g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q})] dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
+\frac{1}{|D|} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D} S(\Delta q, x, y) dx dy dt, \qquad (16)
$$

where  $\eta^x$  and  $\eta^y$  are the outward unit normal vectors, and  $\overline{\Delta q}_D^n$  define the cell-average over D at  $t^n$ . Then, we discuss the approximations of  $\overline{\Delta q}_D^n$ , the flux integral, and the source integral on the right-hand-side of [\(16\)](#page-5-0) separately.

Due to the conservation property of the reconstruction Q, the cell-average  $\overline{\Delta q}_D^n$  satisfies the following relation

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\overline{\Delta q}_D^n := \frac{1}{|D|} \iint_D \Delta q(x, y, t^n) dx dy = \frac{1}{|D|} \iint_D Q(x, y, t^n) dx dy.
$$
 (17)

We approximate  $(17)$  by the following steps:

- 1) Apply the second order reconstruction [\(7\)](#page-2-2) to Q.
- 2) Consider the values over the related subdomains  $C_{j,k}^I$ ,  $I = \{E, N, W, S, NE, NW, SE, SW, C\}$ , where  $C_{j,k}^{I}$  represent the unions of the subdomain D and the control cell  $C_{i,k}$  (see figure [2\)](#page-6-0).
- 3) Use the fact that the cell-average over  $C_{j,k}^I$  can be regarded as the values at the centers of mass of  $C_{j,k}^I$  denoted by  $z_{j,k}^I$  (see figure [2\)](#page-6-0).
- 4) Calculate the weighted averages with the areas of corresponding  $C_{j,k}^I$  and their values at the centers of mass,  $\Delta q(z_{j,k}^I, t)$ , and the total area  $|D|$  as well.

The approach here we use for  $\overline{\Delta q}_D^n$  is inspired by [\[KPW17\]](#page-23-3), we refer the reader to pages A951-A952 for more specific details.

The evaluation of the flux integral on [\(16\)](#page-5-0) is also similar to the way in [\[KPW17\]](#page-23-3) that we integrate the fluxes along the four edges of  $D$ , and in order to present formula for different directions, we separate them into two cases. As shown in figure [3,](#page-6-1) in case 1, we consider the flux integral over the right and left edges, and on the other hand, we consider the flux integral over top and bottom edges in case 2.

Case 1.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Consider the edge connecting the nodes  $z_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}$  and  $z_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}$ , where  $\alpha=j+\frac{1}{4}$  $rac{1}{4}$  or  $j-\frac{1}{4}$  $rac{1}{4}$  and  $\beta = k \text{ or } k + \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The flux integration across the edge is defined by

$$
H_{\alpha,\beta} := \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{z_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}}^{z_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}} \left[ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^x \left( f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^y \left( g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}) \right) \right] ds dt. \tag{18}
$$



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 2: Nine subdomains  $C_{j,k}^I$  of the original control cell  $C_{j,k}$  and their centers of mass.



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Figure 3: A general quadrilateral with normal  $\eta$  split into two cases.

Applying the midpoint rule in time and the trapezoidal rule in space to [\(18\)](#page-5-2), we obtain

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
H_{\alpha,\beta} = \int_{z_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}}^{z_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}} \left[ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{x} \left( f(\Delta q^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}) \right) + \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{y} \left( g(\Delta q^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}) \right) \right] ds
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{|z_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}} - z_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}|}{2} \left\{ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{x} \left[ f(\Delta q_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}) - f(\tilde{q}_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}) \right. \\ \left. + f(\Delta q_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}) - f(\tilde{q}_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}) \right] \right\} \qquad (19)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{y} \left[ g(\Delta q_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}) - g(\tilde{q}_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}) \right] \qquad (19)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ g(\Delta q_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}) - g(\tilde{q}_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}) \right] \Big\} \,,
$$

where the unit normal vectors  $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}$  are

$$
\eta_{\alpha,k} = \frac{(y_{\alpha,k+\frac{1}{4}} - y_{\alpha,k-\frac{1}{4}}, x_{\alpha,k+\frac{1}{4}} - x_{\alpha,k-\frac{1}{4}})}{|z_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}} - z_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}|} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{\alpha,k+\frac{1}{2}} = (1,0), \quad (20)
$$

while  $x_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $y_{\alpha,\beta}$  denote the coordinates of  $z_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

Case 2.

Consider the edge connecting the nodes  $z_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}$  and  $z_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta}$ , where  $\alpha = j$  or  $j + \frac{1}{2}$  $rac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta = k + \frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{4}$  or  $k-\frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{4}$ . The flux integration across the edge is defined by

$$
H_{\alpha,\beta} := \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{z_{\alpha - \frac{1}{4},\beta}}^{z_{\alpha + \frac{1}{4},\beta}} \left[ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^x \left( f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^y \left( g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}) \right) \right] ds dt. \tag{21}
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Likewise, applying the midpoint rule in time and the trapezoidal rule in space to [\(21\)](#page-7-0) results in

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
H_{\alpha,\beta} = \int_{z_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}}^{z_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta}} \left[ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{x} \left( f(\Delta q^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}) \right) + \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{y} \left( g(\Delta q^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}) \right) \right] ds
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{|z_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta} - z_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}|}{2} \left\{ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{x} \left[ f(\Delta q_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}) - f(\tilde{q}_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}) \right. \\ \left. + f(\Delta q_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta}) - f(\tilde{q}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta}) \right] \right\} \tag{22}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \eta_{\alpha,\beta}^{y} \left[ g(\Delta q_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}) - g(\tilde{q}_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ g(\Delta q_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{q}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta}) - g(\tilde{q}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta}) \right] \right\},
$$

where the unit normal vectors  $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}$  are

$$
\eta_{j,\beta} = \frac{(y_{j-\frac{1}{4},\beta} - y_{j+\frac{1}{4},\beta}, x_{j+\frac{1}{4},\beta} - x_{j-\frac{1}{4},\beta})}{|z_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta} - z_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}|} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{j+\frac{1}{2},\beta} = (0,1). \tag{23}
$$

To obtain the solution at the nodes  $z_{j\pm \frac{1}{4},k\pm \frac{1}{4}}$ , we apply the Taylor expansion twice (once in time and once in space), and then get the second-order approximation

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\Delta q_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} := \Delta q_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^{n} + \frac{\Delta t}{2}((\Delta q)_t)_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^{n}
$$
\n
$$
= \Delta q_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left[ \left[ (f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}))_x \right]_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^{n} + \left[ (g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}))_y \right]_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^{n} \right] + \frac{\Delta t}{2} S(\Delta q)_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^{n} \tag{24}
$$
\n
$$
:= \Delta q_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left[ \left[ (f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}))_x \right]_{j,k}^{n} + \left[ (g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}))_y \right]_{j,k}^{n} \right] + \frac{\Delta t}{2} S(\Delta q)_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^{n},
$$

where the values of  $\Delta q_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^n$  are also estimated by the Taylor expansion:

$$
\Delta q_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}}^n := \Delta q_{j,k}^n + (x_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}} - x_j)(\Delta q_x)_{j,k}^n + (y_{j\pm\frac{1}{4},k\pm\frac{1}{4}} - y_k)(\Delta q_y)_{j,k}^n, \tag{25}
$$

and the slopes  $(F(\Delta q)_x)_{j,k}^n := ((f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}))_x)_{j,k}^n$  and  $(G(\Delta q)_y)_{j,k}^n := ((g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}))_x)_{j,k}^n$  $g(\tilde{q})_y_{j,k}^n$  which can be obtained by using the  $(MC - \vartheta)$  limiter:

$$
(F(\Delta q)_x)_{j,k}^n = \text{minmod} \left( \vartheta \Delta_x^+ \left( F(\Delta q_{j,k}^n) \right), \Delta_x^0 \left( F(\Delta q_{j,k}^n) \right), \vartheta \Delta_x^- \left( F(\Delta q_{j,k}^n) \right) \right),
$$
  

$$
(G(\Delta q)_y)_{j,k}^n = \text{minmod} \left( \vartheta \Delta_y^+ \left( G(\Delta q_{j,k}^n) \right), \Delta_y^0 \left( G(\Delta q_{j,k}^n) \right), \vartheta \Delta_y^- \left( G(\Delta q_{j,k}^n) \right) \right),
$$
  

$$
\vartheta \in [1, 2].
$$
 (26)

By the approximations [\(19\)](#page-7-1) and [\(22\)](#page-7-2), the sum of the flux along the edges yields the result of the flux integral on [\(16\)](#page-5-0),

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{|D_{\alpha,\beta}|}\int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}}\oint_{\partial D_{\alpha,\beta}}\eta^x[f(\Delta q+\tilde{q})-f(\tilde{q})]+\eta^y[g(\Delta q+\tilde{q})-g(\tilde{q})]dxdydt
$$
\n
$$
=\frac{\Delta t^n}{|D_{\alpha,\beta}|}\left[H_{\alpha+\frac{1}{4},\beta}-H_{\alpha-\frac{1}{4},\beta}+H_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{4}}-H_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{4}}\right],
$$
\n(27)

where  $\alpha = j$  or  $j \pm \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta = k$  or  $k \pm \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Finally, we consider the last integral in [\(16\)](#page-5-0). To evaluate the average of the source term, we use the midpoint rule in time and consider the value at center of mass  $\Delta q(z_{j,k}^I, t)$ (which is defined in the page A951-A952 of [\[KPW17\]](#page-23-3) and used for approximating  $\overline{\Delta q}_D^{\{p\}}$ . The approximation of the source term over the central subdomain  $D_{j,k}$  is then defined by

$$
\frac{1}{|D_{j,k}|} \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j,k}} S(\Delta q(x, y, t)) dx dy dt \n:= \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{j,k}|} \iint_{D_{j,k}} S(\Delta q(x, y, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) dx dy \n= \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{j,k}|} |D_{j,k}| S(\Delta q(z_{j,k}^C, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) =: \Delta t S_{D_{j,k}}(\Delta q),
$$
\n(28)

<span id="page-8-1"></span>The approximations of the side subdomain  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}$  and  $D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}$ , and the corner  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}$ are similar to [\(17\)](#page-5-1), and the detailed calculations can be found in [A.](#page-24-0)

To summarize this evolution step, we substitute the results of the cell-average  $(\Delta q)_D^n$ , the flux integral  $(27)$ , and the source integral  $(28)$ ,  $(61)-(63)$  $(61)-(63)$  $(61)-(63)$  for  $(16)$ ,

$$
\overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1} = \overline{\Delta q_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^n} - \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{\alpha,\beta}|} \left[ H_{\alpha + \frac{1}{4},\beta} - H_{\alpha - \frac{1}{4},\beta} + H_{\alpha,\beta + \frac{1}{4}} - H_{\alpha,\beta - \frac{1}{4}} \right] + \Delta t S_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}(\Delta q),\tag{29}
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>where  $\alpha = j$  or  $j \pm \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta = k$  or  $k \pm \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 2.2.3 Projection

At the final procedure, we project the intermediate solutions  $\overline{w}_{D}^{n+1}$  in [\(29\)](#page-9-0) back onto the original uniform cell  $C_{j,k}$ . As stated in the reconstruction step above, to smooth the solution, we need to define a piecewise-linear reconstruction  $\widetilde{W}^{n+1}(x, y)$  for  $\overline{w}^{n+1}_{D}$ .

We firstly consider the central smooth subdomain  $D_{j,k}$ . Since  $D_{j,k} \subset C_{j,k}$ , the intermediate solution  $\overline{w}_{D_{i,k}}^{n+1}$  $\sum_{D_{j,k}}^{n+1}$  is smooth enough and does not need a reconstruction. Thus,

$$
\widetilde{W}^{n+1}(x,y) = \overline{w}_{D_{j,k}}^{n+1} \quad \text{for } (x,y) \in D_{j,k}.\tag{30}
$$

Next, we consider the unsmooth subdomains  $D_{\alpha,\beta}$  with  $(\alpha,\beta) = (j+\frac{1}{2})$  $(\frac{1}{2}, k), (\, j+\frac{1}{2})$  $\frac{1}{2}, k+\frac{1}{2}$  $(\frac{1}{2}),$ and  $(j, k+\frac{1}{2})$  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and define the reconstruction of the intermediate solutions over  $D_{\alpha,\beta}$  by

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\widetilde{W}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1} = \overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1} + (x - z_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n,x})(w_x)_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1} + (y - z_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n,y})(w_y)_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1}
$$
\n(31)

where  $z_{D_{n}}^{n,x(y)}$  $D_{\alpha,\beta}^{n,x(y)}$  denotes the coordinates of the centers of mass of the domain  $D_{\alpha,\beta}$ , and the spatial derivatives are determined by

$$
(w_x)_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1} = \min \operatorname{mod} \left( \vartheta \frac{\overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1} - \overline{w}_{D_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2},\beta}}^{n+1}}{z_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n,x} - z_{D_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2},\beta}}^{n,x}}, \frac{\overline{w}_{D_{\alpha+\frac{1}{2},\beta}}^{n+1} - \overline{w}_{D_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2},\beta}}^{n+1}}{z_{D_{\alpha+\frac{1}{2},\beta}}^{n,x} - z_{D_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2},\beta}}^{n,x}}, \vartheta \frac{\overline{w}_{D_{\alpha+\frac{1}{2},\beta}}^{n+1} - \overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1}}{z_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n,x} - z_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n,x}}^{n,x}}{w_{D_{\alpha+\frac{1}{2},\beta}}^{n+1} - \overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1}}^{n+1} - \overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1}} \frac{\overline{w}_{D_{\alpha+\frac{1}{2},\beta}}^{n+1} - \overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1}}{z_{D_{\alpha,\beta}-\frac{1}{2}}^{n,x}}}{z_{D_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{2}}^{n,y} - z_{D_{\alpha,\beta-\frac{1}{2}}^{n,y}}^{n,y}}, \vartheta \frac{\overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} - \overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1}}{z_{D_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{2}}^{n,y} - z_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n,y}}^{n,y}}{z_{D_{\alpha,\beta+\frac{1}{2}}^{n,y} - z_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n,y}}^{n,y}},
$$
\n
$$
1 \leq \vartheta \leq 2.
$$
\n
$$
(32)
$$

The computation of the centers of mass of domain  $D_{\alpha,\beta}$  are similar to  $z_{j,k}^I$ , which can be found in Appendix A of [\[KPW17\]](#page-23-3).

Then, with the defined reconstruction  $\widetilde{W}^{n+1}(x, y)$ , we obtain the new cell-average over the original cell  $C_{j,k}$  at time  $t^{n+1}$  by

$$
(\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \iint_{C_{j,k}} \widetilde{W}^{n+1}(x, y) \, dxdy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \left[ |D_{j,k}| \overline{w}_{D_{j,k}}^{n+1} + |C_{j,k}^E | \widetilde{W}_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}}^{n+1} + |C_{j,k}^{NE}| \widetilde{W}_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}}^{n+1} + |C_{j,k}^{N} | \widetilde{W}_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}}^{n+1} + |C_{j,k}^{N} | \widetilde{W}_{D_{j-\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}}^{n+1} + |C_{j,k}^{N} | \widetilde{W}_{D_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}}^{n+1} + |C_{j,k}^{N} | \widetilde{W}_{D_{j-\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{n+1}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ |C_{j,k}^{SW}| \widetilde{W}_{D_{j-\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{n+1} + |C_{j,k}^S| \widetilde{W}_{D_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{n+1} + |C_{j,k}^{SE}| \widetilde{W}_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{n+1} \right].
$$
\n(33)

Finally, adding the hydrostatic solution  $\tilde{q}_{j,k}$  to the computed solution  $(\Delta q)^{n+1}_{j,k}$ , we get the desired solution  $q_{j,k}^{n+1}$ ,

$$
q_{j,k}^{n+1} = (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} + \tilde{q}_{j,k}.
$$
\n(34)

### 3 2D Semi-discrete scheme

In this section, we aim to construct a semi-discrete scheme which preserves the characteristics of both the Deviation method and the KT scheme. In the evolution step above in section [2,](#page-1-4) we split the control cell to nine subdomains by using the values of local wave speeds and then approximate the cell-average over each subdomain. Likewise, the wave speeds are again used to decide the ranges of the subdomains in this section. Compared to the set-up in section 2, instead of trapezoid subdomains, we consider the rectangle subdomains in the following construction, which are easier to be formalized and computed.

In order to construct the semi-discrete scheme, we first follow the three-steps structure in section [2.2](#page-2-3) to build the fully-discrete scheme. Then we derive the semi-discrete scheme. In this section we extend ideas from [\[KP01\]](#page-23-2), [\[KNP01\]](#page-23-5) and [\[KL07\]](#page-22-1).

#### 3.1 Construction of the fully-discrete scheme

#### 3.1.1 Reconstruction

In this step, we use the same piecewise linear interpolant as in [\(7\)](#page-2-2), and the same approximations of the derivatives in the x- and y directions as in  $(8)-(9)$  $(8)-(9)$  $(8)-(9)$ .

#### 3.1.2 Evolution

We adopt the same approximation in [\(11\)](#page-3-0) to compute the reconstructed values at the interfaces, and set  $\epsilon = 0$  in [\(10\)](#page-3-1) to define the local wave speeds.

As we mentioned earlier, to estimate the values over the Riemann fans, we split the control cell to nine non-uniform rectangle subdomains, outlined in figure [4.](#page-11-0)

The specific definitions of ranges of the subdomains are given in page 716 of [\[KNP01\]](#page-23-5). Next, we apply [\(15\)](#page-4-2) to the subdomains  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}$ ,  $D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $D_{j,k} \times [t^n, t^{n+1}]$ to compute the intermediate values at time  $t^{n+1}$  denoted by  $\overline{w}_{i+1}^{n+1}$  $\frac{n+1}{j+\frac{1}{2},k}, \overline{w}_{j,k+}^{n+1}$  $\overline{w}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}, \overline{w}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}$  $\lim_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\overline{w}_{j,k}^{n+1}$  respectively. The resultant integration can be found in [B.](#page-25-0)

#### 3.1.3 Projection

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Finally, we project the intermediate cell-average  $\overline{w}_{D}^{n+1}$  back onto the uniform cell  $C_{j,k}$  $[x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}] \times [y_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}]$  with a suitable reconstruction  $W^{n+1}(x, y)$  for unsmooth subdomains. For the subdomain  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}$ , the reconstruction is defined by

$$
\widetilde{W}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1} = \overline{w}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1} + (x - x_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1})(w_x)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1} + (y - y_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1})(w_y)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1},\tag{35}
$$



<span id="page-11-0"></span>Figure 4: Computational cells are split into smooth and unsmooth non-uniform rectangular subdomains by the maximal local wave speeds

where

$$
x_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1} := x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} + a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+}}{2} \Delta t,
$$
  
\n
$$
y_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1} := y_k + \frac{B_{j+\frac{1}{2},k-\frac{1}{2}}^{+} + B_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}}{2} \Delta t,
$$
\n(36)

are the coordinates of the center of  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}$ , and  $(w_x)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}$  $_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1}$  and  $(w_y)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}$  $_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1}$  are the x and y numerical derivatives. The reconstructions  $\widetilde{W}^{n+1}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\widetilde{W}^{n+1}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}$  are defined in the similar way.

Then, the solution  $(\Delta q_{j,k}^{n+1})$  reduces to

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
(\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \times \left( \sum_{E,W} \iint_{C_{j,k}^{E(W)}} \widetilde{W}_{j \pm \frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1}(x,y) dx dy + \sum_{N,S} \iint_{C_{j,k}^{N(S)}} \widetilde{W}_{j,k \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}(x,y) dx dy + \sum_{N,E,NW,SW,SE} \iint_{C_{j,k}^{NE(NW)(SW)(SE)}} \widetilde{W}_{j \pm \frac{1}{2},k \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}(x,y) dx dy + \iint_{D_{j,k}} \overline{w}_{j,k}^{n+1}(x,y) dx dy \right),
$$
\n(37)

where subdomains  $C_{j,k}^I$ ,  $I = \{E, W, N, S, NE, NW, SW, SE\}$  denote the union of subdomains D and control cell  $C_{j,k}$ .

Next, we proceed to the construction of semi-discrete scheme.

### <span id="page-12-2"></span>3.2 New semi-discrete scheme

With the formula [\(37\)](#page-11-1), to obtain the semi-discrete scheme we consider the following derivative,

<span id="page-12-3"></span>
$$
\frac{d(\Delta q)_{j,k}(t)}{dt} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{(\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n}{\Delta t}
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \left( \sum_{E,W} \iint_{C_{j,k}^{E(W)}} \widetilde{W}_{j \pm \frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1}(x, y) dx dy + \sum_{N,S} \iint_{C_{j,k}^{N(S)}} \widetilde{W}_{j,k \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}(x, y) dx dy \right] + \sum_{N \in, NW, SE, SW} \iint_{C_{j,k}^{N(E(W)(SE)(SW)}} \widetilde{W}_{j \pm \frac{1}{2}, k \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}(x, y) dx dy + \iint_{D_{j,k}} \overline{w}_{j,k}^{n+1}(x, y) dx dy \right) - \Delta q^n \right].
$$
\n(38)

By using the conservation property and some technical derivations (shown in [C\)](#page-26-0), the semidiscrete scheme then take the form that

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\frac{d}{dt}(\Delta q)_{j,k}(t) = -\frac{H_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t) - H_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t)}{\Delta x} - \frac{H_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^y(t) - H_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^y(t)}{\Delta y} + S((\Delta q)_{j,k}(t)),\tag{39}
$$

with the numerical fluxes

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
H_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} = \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+}F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E}) - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}F((\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W})}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} \left[ (\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W} - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E} \right],
$$
  

$$
H_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} = \frac{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+}G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N}) - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}G((\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^{S})}{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+1}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} a_{j,\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} a_{j,\frac{1}{2},k+\frac
$$

where

$$
F((\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W}) = f((\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W} + \tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}) - f(\tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}),
$$
  
\n
$$
F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E}) = f((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E} + \tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}) - f(\tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}),
$$
  
\n
$$
G((\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^{S}) = g((\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^{S} + \tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}) - g(\tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}),
$$
  
\n
$$
G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N}) = g((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N} + \tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}) - g(\tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}).
$$
\n(41)

#### 3.3 Maximum Principle

Having derived the semi-discrete version of our scheme in [\(39\)](#page-12-0), we next show a stability property of our scheme in the following sense. Applying our scheme to a homogeneous scalar conservation law we can illustrate the maximum principle.

We consider the maximum principle of the 2-d semi-discrete scheme [\(39\)](#page-12-0) applied to homogeneous scalar conservation laws,

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\frac{d}{dt}(\Delta q)_{j,k}(t) = -\frac{H_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t) - H_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t)}{\Delta x} - \frac{H_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^y(t) - H_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^y(t)}{\Delta y},\tag{42}
$$

with the numerical fluxes [\(40\)](#page-12-1). We begin by proving the maximum principle for the deviation.

Theorem 3.1 (Maximum principle). Consider the modified scalar conservation law

$$
(\Delta q)_t + [f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q})]_x + [g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q})]_y = 0.
$$
\n(43)

and the forward Euler time discretization of the 2-d scheme [\(42\)](#page-13-0)

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
(\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} = (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n - \frac{\Delta t^n}{\Delta x} \left[ H_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t) - H_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t) \right] - \frac{\Delta t^n}{\Delta y} \left[ H_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^y(t) - H_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^y(t) \right] \tag{44}
$$

with the numerical fluxes [\(40\)](#page-12-1) and the minmod limiter [\(79\)](#page-28-0). Assume the following CFL condition holds:

<span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
\max\left(\frac{\Delta t^n}{\Delta x} \max_{\Delta q} |F'(\Delta q)|, \frac{\Delta t^n}{\Delta y} \max_{\Delta q} |G'(\Delta q)|\right) \le \frac{1}{8},\tag{45}
$$

then the fully-discrete scheme [\(44\)](#page-13-1) satisfies the maximum principle

$$
\max_{j,k} \{ (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} \} \le \max_{j,k} \{ (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n \}. \tag{46}
$$

*Proof.* The proof is an extension of theorem 3.1 in [\[KNP01\]](#page-23-5). We refer the reader to [D.](#page-29-0)  $\Box$ 

With the help of Corollary 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 in [\[KT00\]](#page-23-1), we can prove the semidiscrete scheme [\(42\)](#page-13-0) satisfies the maximum principle.

<span id="page-13-2"></span>Remark. Consider a general 2-d Deviation method

$$
\frac{d(\Delta q)_{j,k}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\Delta x} \bigg[ \left( \hat{F}(q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^L, q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^R) - \hat{F}(\tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^L, \tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^R) \right) \n- \left( \hat{F}(q_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^L, q_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^R) - \hat{F}(\tilde{q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^L, \tilde{q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^R) \right) \bigg] \n- \frac{1}{\Delta y} \bigg[ \left( \hat{G}(q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^L, q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^R) - \hat{G}(\tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^L, \tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^R) \right) \n- \left( \hat{G}(q_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^L, q_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^R) - \hat{G}(\tilde{q}_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^L, \tilde{q}_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^R) \right) \bigg],
$$
\n(47)

where  $\hat{F}$  and  $\hat{G}$  are numerical flux functions and

$$
q_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^{L(R)} = (\Delta q)_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^{L(R)} + \tilde{q}_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^{L(R)}.
$$
\n(48)

We find the local truncation error of the finite volume (FV) method  $(47)$  evaluated at the stationary solution  $\tilde{q}$ :

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
Res(\tilde{q}_{j,k}) := -\frac{1}{\Delta x} \Big[ \hat{F}(\tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^L, \tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^R) - \hat{F}(\tilde{q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^L, \tilde{q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^R) \Big] -\frac{1}{\Delta y} \Big[ \hat{G}(\tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^L, \tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^R) - \hat{G}(\tilde{q}_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^L, \tilde{q}_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^R) \Big] = \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^a + (\Delta y)^b).
$$
\n
$$
(49)
$$

 $N := \min\{a, b\}$  represents the order of accuracy of the method.

Then, applying the forward Euler method in time to [\(47\)](#page-13-2) and adding the stationary solution both to the left and to the right hand side of [\(47\)](#page-13-2), and using [\(49\)](#page-14-0) we obtain the solution  $q_{j,k}$  by

$$
q_{j,k}^{n+1} = q_{j,k}^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} [\hat{F}(q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^L, q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^R) - \hat{F}(q_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^L, q_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^R)] - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} [\hat{G}(q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^L, q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^R) - \hat{G}(q_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^L, q_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^R)] - \Delta t Res(\tilde{q}_{j,k}).
$$
\n(50)

<span id="page-14-2"></span><span id="page-14-1"></span>Set  $\hat{Q}$  is the solution of the FV method, which satisfies the following scheme

$$
\hat{Q}_{j,k}^{n+1} = q_{j,k}^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} [\hat{F}(q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^L, q_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^R) - \hat{F}(q_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^L, q_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^R)] \n- \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} [\hat{G}(q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^L, q_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^R) - \hat{G}(q_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^L, q_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^R)].
$$
\n(51)

Then, combining [\(51\)](#page-14-1) and [\(50\)](#page-14-2) yields

<span id="page-14-4"></span>
$$
q_{j,k}^{n+1} = \hat{Q}_{j,k}^{n+1} - \Delta t Res(\tilde{q}_{j,k}).
$$
\n(52)

Now, we assume  $\hat{Q}$  satisfies the maximum principle under some CFL conditions; i.e.,

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\max_{j,k} \{\hat{Q}_{j,k}^{n+1}\} \le \max_{j,k} \{\hat{Q}_{j,k}^{n}\}.
$$
\n(53)

<span id="page-14-5"></span>According to [\(53\)](#page-14-3) and the relation [\(52\)](#page-14-4), we can derive that

$$
\max_{j,k} \{q_{j,k}^{n+1}\} = \max_{j,k} \{\hat{Q}_{j,k}^{n+1} - \Delta t Res(\tilde{q}_{j,k})\} \n\leq \max_{j,k} \{\hat{Q}_{j,k}^{n+1}\} + \max_{j,k} \{\Delta t Res(\tilde{q}_{j,k})\} \n\leq \max_{j,k} \{\hat{Q}_{j,k}^{n}\} + \max_{j,k} \{\Delta t Res(\tilde{q}_{j,k})\} \n\leq \max_{j,k} \{\hat{q}_{j,k}^{n} + \Delta t Res(\tilde{q}_{j,k})\} + \max_{j,k} \{\Delta t Res(\tilde{q}_{j,k})\} \n\leq \max_{j,k} \{\hat{q}_{j,k}^{n}\} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t((\Delta x)^{a} + (\Delta y)^{b})).
$$
\n(54)

For a large number of grid points, the error term in [\(54\)](#page-14-5) is small, in fact it is of order  $N + 1$ . Hence, the solution q in [\(54\)](#page-14-5) satisfies the maximum principle up to at most one order higher than the order of the scheme.

Since the KT-type scheme has been proved in [\[KNP01\]](#page-23-5) to satisfy the maximum principle, the semi-discrete scheme constructed in section [3.2](#page-12-2) (essentially) does not violate the maximum principle.

### <span id="page-15-0"></span>4 Numerical experiments and validations

In this section, we present the results of a number of numerical experiments in the Euler system with gravitational source term by employing our designed well-balanced fully-discrete scheme in section [2.](#page-1-4) In all the tests, the parameter of the  $(MC - \vartheta)$  limiter is set as  $\vartheta = 1.5$ . With the help of the CFL condition, the computation of the time-step is defined by

$$
\Delta t = \text{CFL} \cdot \min \left\{ \frac{\Delta x}{\max_{j,k} (a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^+, -a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^-)}, \frac{\Delta y}{\max_{j,k} (b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^+, -b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^-)} \right\},\tag{55}
$$

with CFL (number) = 0.45 and  $\epsilon$  in the definition of local wave speeds [\(10\)](#page-3-1) is given by  $10^{-8}$ .

Consider the 2-d Euler system with the gravitational source term as follows,

$$
\begin{cases} q_t + f(q)_x + g(q)_y = S(q, x), & x, y \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}, \ t > 0 \\ q(x, y, 0) = q_0(x, y), \end{cases}
$$
(56)

where

$$
q = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u_1 \\ \rho u_2 \\ E \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(q) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho u_1 \\ \rho u_1^2 + p \\ \rho u_1 u_2 \\ (E + p) u_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g(q) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho u_2 \\ \rho u_1 u_2 \\ \rho u_2^2 + p \\ (E + p) u_2 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
S(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\rho \varphi_x \end{pmatrix}.
$$

and

$$
S(u) = \begin{pmatrix} -\rho \varphi_x \\ -\rho \varphi_y \\ -\rho u_1 \varphi_x - \rho u_2 \varphi_y \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The given function  $\varphi = \varphi(x, y)$  is the gravitational field and the ratio of specific heats  $\gamma$  is set to be 1.4 for an ideal gas.

#### 4.1 Isothermal Equilibrium

The first numerical experiment we consider is isothermal equilibrium presented in [\[TKK16\]](#page-23-7).

The 2-d isothermal equilibrium state is given by

$$
\rho(x, y) = \rho_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\rho_0}{p_0}(\varphi_x x + \varphi_y y)\right),
$$
  
\n
$$
u_1(x, y) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
u_2(x, y) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
p(x, y) = p_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\rho_0}{p_0}(\varphi_x x + \varphi_y y)\right).
$$
\n(57)

Here, we set  $\rho_0 = 1.21$  and  $p_0 = 1$ . The linear gravitational potential is given by  $\varphi_x = 1$ and  $\varphi_y = 1$ . The chosen stationary solution  $\tilde{q}$  is the isothermal equilibrium state and the outflow boundary condition is considered in this experiment. The solution is computed on the 200  $\times$  200 grid points in the square [0, 1]<sup>2</sup> until the final time  $t = 0.25$  and presented in the top of the figure [5.](#page-16-0) Compared to the exact solution shown in the lower part of the figure [5,](#page-16-0) both of the graphics of the density and the energy are as same as the exact solutions.



<span id="page-16-0"></span>Figure 5: Results of 2-d isothermal equilibrium: the top two figures are the results from our new scheme; the two below are the exact solutions.

#### 4.2 Isothermal Equilibrium with Perturbation

This example is an extension of the previous experiment by adding a small perturbation along the x- or the y-axis to the initial pressure. For the case along x-axis, the initial state is set as

$$
\rho(x, y) = \exp(-x),
$$
  
\n
$$
u_1(x, y) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
u_2(x, y) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
p(x, y) = \exp(-x) + \eta \exp(-100(x - 0.5)^2).
$$
\n(58)

For the case along  $y$  axis, the initial data is defined similarly. Figure [6](#page-17-0) shows the perturbation along the x- and y- axes, respectively on  $200 \times 200$  grid points at the initial time  $t = 0$  and the final time  $t = 0.25$ . The comparison of the cross sections of the perturbation between the initial time and the final time is presented in figure [7.](#page-18-0)

To test the order of convergence, we use the solution on a finer grid consisting of  $640 \times 640$  as the reference solution, and we set the CFL number to be 0.485. The results are reported in table [1.](#page-18-1)



<span id="page-17-0"></span>Figure 6: Results of 2-d unidirectional equilibrium perturbation.



Figure 7: Results of 2-d unidirectional equilibrium perturbation: Initial perturbation at  $t=0$  and the later perturbation at the final time  $t=0.25$ .

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

N.	$\rho$ L <sub>1</sub> -error		rate $ p L_1$ -error		rate $\mid E\ L_1$ -error	rate
$40^2$	$1.87E - 05$	$\overline{a}$	$1.67E-05$		$4.19E-05$	
$80^2$	8.93E-06	1.06	$1.05E-05$	0.67	$2.62E-05$	0.67
$160^2$	$3.42E - 06$	1.38	$3.89E-06$	1.43	$9.72E-06$	1.43
$320^2$	$1.06E-06$	1.69	9.64E-07	2.01	$2.41E-06$	2.01

<span id="page-18-1"></span>Table 1: 2-d isothermal equilibrium with perturbation:  $L_1$ -errors and convergence rates.

#### 4.3 Moving Equilibrium

Next, we test our scheme if it is capable of preserving moving equilibrium states. On the basis of the discussion in [\[V19\]](#page-23-11), we set the initial state of this experiment by

$$
\rho(x, y) = \rho_0 \exp(-\frac{\rho_0 g}{p_0}(x + y)),
$$
  
\n
$$
u_1(x, y) = \exp(x + y),
$$
  
\n
$$
u_2(x, y) = \exp(x + y),
$$
  
\n
$$
p(x, y) = \exp(-\frac{\rho_0 g}{p_0}(x + y))^\gamma,
$$
\n(59)

with  $\rho_0 = 1$ ,  $p_0 = 1$ , and  $q = 1$ . The considered nonlinear gravitational potential is  $\varphi(x, y) = \exp(x + y)(-\exp(x + y) + \gamma(\exp(-\gamma(x + y))))$ . We consider the experiments along the x- and y- axes separately as in the previous test case. The stationary solution used here is the equilibrium state itself and the chosen boundary condition is also the outflow boundary condition. We compute the solution on  $60 \times 10$  grid points along the x-axis and on  $10 \times 60$  grid points along the y-axis until the final time  $t = 0.25$ . Figure [8](#page-19-0) shows the results of cross sections along the x- and along the y- axes and we compare them to the exact solution.



<span id="page-19-0"></span>Figure 8: Results of 2-d moving equilibrium at the final time t=0.25 compared to the exact solution.

#### 4.4 Shock Tube Problem

The fourth experiment we consider is the shock tube problem along x-axis (see  $\text{KTK20}$ ). The initial data for this test is given by

$$
\rho(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \le 0.5, \\ 0.125, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
  
 
$$
u_1(x, y) = 0 = u_2(x, y),
$$
  
 
$$
p(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \le 0.5, \\ 0.1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (60)

We adopt the isothermal equilibrium to be the stationary solution and choose reflecting boundary conditions for this experiment. In figures [9](#page-20-0) and [10,](#page-21-0) we show the solutions on  $400 \times 10$  and  $800 \times 10$  grid points obtained at the final time  $t = 0.2$ ; the reference solution on  $800 \times 10$  grid points is obtained following the method presented in [\[KTK20\]](#page-23-6). The performed results demonstrate our scheme can provide high resolution and the obtained solution is slightly better than the compared solution.

In figure [11,](#page-21-1) we compare the profile of the density on  $200 \times 10$  grid points obtained using the reconstruction technique [\(31\)](#page-9-1) at the projection step with the solution on  $200 \times 10$  grid points obtained without reconstruction, i.e., we consider the reconstruction on non-smooth subdomains as

$$
\widetilde{W}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1} = \overline{w}_{D_{\alpha,\beta}}^{n+1}, \quad (x, y) \in D_{\alpha,\beta},
$$

where  $D_{\alpha,\beta} = \{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}, D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}, D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}\}$ . According to this figure, our reconstructed polynomial in projection step help to gain the higher resolution at the shocks.

## 5 Conclusion

We constructed a new fully-discrete, well-balanced, central scheme by blending the ideas of the KT scheme and the Deviation method and this preserves the advantages of the mentioned two approaches. The simulations of the Euler equations with gravitational



<span id="page-20-0"></span>

Zoom in on the third block Zoom in on the fourth block

Figure 9: Results of 2-d shock tube problem along the x-axis: density and zoom in on the shocks.



<span id="page-21-0"></span>Figure 10: Results of 2D shock tube problem along the x-axis: velocity, zoom in on the figure of velocity, energy and pressure.



<span id="page-21-1"></span>Figure 11: Results of 2D shock tube problem along the x-axis: profile of the density obtained with and without reconstruction.

source term are closed to the reference solution and achieve the second order accuracy. For our semi-discrete scheme in section 3, it is essentially non-oscillatory from the proof of the maximum principle. Our work demonstrates that the blend of the KT scheme and the Deviation method makes a success on solving the Euler equations with gravity. In our future work, we plan to apply our scheme to other systems to examine its further practicality.

# Acknowledgement

We thank Praveen Chandrashekar (Tata Insitute, Centre for Applicable Mathematics, Bangalore, India) who contributed to section 3 with helpful discussions.

# References

- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[ABBKP04] Audusse, E. and Bouchut, F. and Bristeau, M-O. and Klein, R. and Perthame, B.. A fast and stable well-balanced scheme with hydrostatic reconstruction for shallow water flows. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 2004.
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[BCK21] Berberich, J. P. and Chandrashekar, P. and Klingenberg, C.. High order wellbalanced finite volume methods for multi-dimensional systems of hyperbolic balance laws. Computers & Fluids, 2021.
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[BEKP11] Bryson, S. and Epshteyn, Y. and Kurganov, A. and Petrova, G.. Well-balanced positivity preserving central-upwind scheme on triangular grids for the saint-venant system. ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis, 2011.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[BKLL04] Botta, N. and Klein, R. and Langenberg, S. and Lützenkirchen, S.. Well balanced finite volume methods for nearly hydrostatic flows. Journal of Computational Physics, 2004.
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>[CKT] Cheng, Y.-C. and Klingenberg, C. and Touma, R.. A Well-Balanced Method for an Unstaggered Central Scheme, the one-space Dimensional Case. arXiv preprint, [arXiv:2405.08549](http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.08549) [math.NA].
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>[CP20] Castro, M. and Pares, C.. Well-balanced high-order finite volume methods for systems of balance laws. Journal of Scientific Computing, 2020.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>[DK03] Delis, A.I. and Katsaounis, Th.. Relaxation schemes for the shallow water equations. Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids, 2003.
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[JLLOT98] Jiang, G.S. and Levy, D. and Lin, C.T. and Osher, S. and Tadmor, E.. Highresolution non-oscillatory central schemes with non-staggered grids for hyperbolic conservation laws. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 1998.
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>[JT98] Jiang, G.S. and Tadmor, E.. Nonoscillatory Central Schemes for Multidimensional Hyperbolic Conservation Laws. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 1998.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[KL07] Kurganov, A. and Lin, C.-T.. On the Reduction of Numerical Dissipation in Central-Upwind Schemes. Communications in Computational Physics, 2007.
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>[KNP01] Kurganov, A. and Noelle, S. and Petrova, G.. Semidiscrete Central-Upwind Schemes for Hyperbolic Conservation Laws and Hamilton–Jacobi Equations. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 2001.
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>[KP01] Kurganov, A. and Petrova, G.. A third-order semi-discrete genuinely multidimensional central scheme for hyperbolic conservation laws and related problems. Numerische Mathematik, 2001.
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>[KPW17] Kurganov, A. and Prugger, M. and Wu, T.. Second-Order Fully Discrete Central-Upwind Scheme for Two-Dimensional Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 2017.
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>[KT00] Kurganov, A. and Tadmor, E.. New High-Resolution Central Schemes for Nonlinear Conservation Laws and Convection-Diffusion Equations. Journal of Computational Physics, 2000.
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>[KT02] Kurganov, A. and Tadmor, E.. Solution of two-dimensional Riemann problems for gas dynamics without Riemann problem solvers. Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, 2002.
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>[KTK20] Kanbar, F. and Touma, R. and Klingenberg, C.. Well-balanced central schemes for the one and two-dimensional Euler systems with gravity. Applied Numerical Mathematics, 2020.
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>[NT90] Nessyahu, H. and Tadmor, E.. Non-oscillatory Central Differencing for Hyperbolic Conservation Laws. Journal of Computational Physics, 1990.
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>[T16] Touma, R.. Well-balanced central schemes for systems of shallow water equations with wet and dry states. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2016.
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>[TK12] Touma, R. and Khankan, S.. Well-balanced unstaggered central schemes for one and two-dimensional shallow water equation systems. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2012.
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>[TKK16] Touma, R. and Koley, U. and Klingenberg, C.. Well-Balanced Unstaggered Central Schemes for the Euler Equations with Gravitation. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 2016.
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>[V19] Veiga, M. H.. Capturing Near-Equilibrium Solutions: A Comparison between High-Order Discontinuous Galerkin Methods and Well-Balanced Schemes. Communications in Computational Physics, 2019.
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>[XS06] Xing, Y. and Shu, C-W.. High order well-balnced finite volume WENO schemes and discontinuous Galerkin methods for a class of hyperbolic systems with source terms. Journal of Computational Physics, 2006.

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>A Approximation of source term

Based on the two facts used to approximate [\(17\)](#page-5-1), we estimate the source term on the side subdomain  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}$  at time  $t^{n+1}$  by

<span id="page-24-1"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{|D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}|} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}} S(\Delta q(x,y,t)) dx dy dt
$$
  
\n
$$
:= \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}|} \iint_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}} S(\Delta q(x,y,t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}|} \left[ \iint_{C_{j,k}^{E}} S(\Delta q(x,y,t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) dx dy + \iint_{C_{j+1,k}^{W}} S(\Delta q(x,y,t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) dx dy \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}|} \left[ |C_{j,k}^{E}| S(\Delta q(z_{j,k}^{E},t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) + |C_{j+1,k}^{W}| S(\Delta q(z_{j+1,k}^{W},t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \Delta t S_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}}(\Delta q).
$$
  
\n(61)

By using the same way, the approximation on the side subdomain  $D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}$  and the corner subdomain  $D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}$  can be calculated as follows,

$$
\frac{1}{|D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}|} \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}} S(\Delta q(x, y, t)) dx dy dt
$$
  
\n
$$
:= \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}|} \iint_{D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}} S(\Delta q(x, y, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}|} \left[ \iint_{C_{j,k}^N} S(\Delta q(x, y, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) dx dy + \iint_{C_{j,k+1}^S} S(\Delta q(x, y, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) dx dy \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
:= \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}|} \left[ |C_{j,k}^N| S(\Delta q(z_{j,k}^N, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) + |C_{j,k+1}^S| S(\Delta q(z_{j,k+1}^S, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
=:\Delta t S_{D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}(\Delta q), \qquad (62)
$$

<span id="page-24-2"></span>and

$$
\frac{1}{|D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}|} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}} S(\Delta q(x,y,t)) dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
:= \frac{\Delta t}{|D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}|} \Bigg[ |C_{j,k}^{NE}| S(\Delta q(z_{j,k}^{NE}, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) + |C_{j+1,k}^{NW}| S(\Delta q(z_{j+1,k}^{NW}, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) + |C_{j,k+1}^{SE}| S(\Delta q(z_{j,k+1}^{SE}, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) + |C_{j+1,k+1}^{SW}| S(\Delta q(z_{j+1,k+1}^{SW}, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})) \Bigg]
$$
\n
$$
=:\Delta t S_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}}(\Delta q)
$$
\n(63)

Similar to the approximation [\(24\)](#page-8-2), the value at the center of mass,  $\Delta q(z_{j,k}^I, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})$ , is given by the Taylor expansion,

$$
\Delta q_{z_{j,k}^{I}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} := \Delta q_{z_{j,k}^{I}}^{n} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} (\Delta q_{t})_{z_{j,k}^{I}}^{n}
$$
\n
$$
= \Delta q_{z_{j,k}^{I}}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left[ [(f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}))_{x}]_{z_{j,k}^{I}}^{n} + [(g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}))_{y}]_{z_{j,k}^{I}}^{n} \right] + \frac{\Delta t}{2} S(\Delta q)_{z_{j,k}^{I}}^{n}
$$
\n
$$
:= \Delta q_{z_{j,k}^{I}}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left[ [(f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}))_{x}]_{j,k}^{n} + [(g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}))_{y}]_{j,k}^{n} \right] + \frac{\Delta t}{2} S(\Delta q)_{z_{j,k}^{I}}^{n}.
$$
\n(64)

# <span id="page-25-0"></span>B Intermediate values at the evolution step in section 3.1.2

Applying [\(15\)](#page-4-2) to the rectangle subdomains in figure [4](#page-11-0) yield the intermediate values on these subdomains at time  $t^{n+1}$ ,

<span id="page-25-1"></span>w n+1 j+ <sup>1</sup> 2 ,k = 1 |Dj<sup>+</sup> <sup>1</sup> 2 ,k| Z Z Dj<sup>+</sup> <sup>1</sup> 2 ,k Q(x, y, t<sup>n</sup> ) dxdy − Z <sup>t</sup> n+1 tn Z <sup>y</sup> k+ 1 2 +B − j+ 1 2 ,k+ 1 2 ∆t y k− 1 2 +B + j+ 1 2 ,k− 1 2 ∆t h f(∆q + ˜q) − f(˜q) i<sup>x</sup>j<sup>+</sup> <sup>1</sup> 2 +a + j+ 1 2 ,k ∆t x=xj<sup>+</sup> <sup>1</sup> 2 +a − j+ 1 2 ,k ∆t dydt − Z <sup>t</sup> n+1 tn Z <sup>x</sup>j<sup>+</sup> <sup>1</sup> 2 +a + j+ 1 2 ,k ∆t xj<sup>+</sup> <sup>1</sup> 2 +a − j+ 1 2 ,k ∆t h g(∆q + ˜q) − g(˜q) iy j+ 1 2 +B − j+ 1 2 ,k+ 1 2 ∆t y=y k− 1 2 +B + j+ 1 2 ,k+ 1 2 ∆t dxdt + Z <sup>t</sup> n+1 tn Z Z Dj<sup>+</sup> <sup>1</sup> 2 ,k S(∆q) dxdydt , (65) n+1 = 1 Z Z Q(x, y, t<sup>n</sup> ) dxdy

<span id="page-25-2"></span>
$$
\overline{w}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = \overline{|D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}|} \left[ \iint_{D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}} Q(x,y,t^n) dx dy - \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}+b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \Delta t}^{y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}+b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \Delta t} \left[ f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}) \right]_{x=x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}+A_{j-\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \Delta t}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+b_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \Delta t} dy dt - \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}+A_{j-\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \Delta t}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+b_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^- \Delta t} \left[ g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}) \right]_{y=y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}+b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \Delta t}^{y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}+b_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \Delta t} dx dt + \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}} S(\Delta q) dx dy dt \right],
$$
\n(66)

$$
\overline{w}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{|D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}|} \left[ \iint_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}} Q(x,y,t^n) dx dy - \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}) \right]_x dx dy dt - \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q}) \right]_y dx dy dt \tag{67}
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}} S(\Delta q) dx dy dt \right],
$$

<span id="page-26-1"></span>and

$$
\overline{w}_{j,k}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{|D_{j,k}|} \left[ \iint_{D_{j,k}} Q(x, y, t^n) dx dy - \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j,k}} [f(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q})]_x dx dy dt - \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j,k}} [g(\Delta q + \tilde{q}) - g(\tilde{q})]_y dx dy dt + \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \iint_{D_{j,k}} S(\Delta q) dx dy dt \right].
$$
\n(68)

# <span id="page-26-0"></span>C Derivation of the semi-discrete scheme

Due to the conservation property, the last integral on the right-hand-side of [\(38\)](#page-12-3) has the relation that

$$
\iint_{D_{j,k}} \overline{w}_{j,k}^{n+1}(x,y) dx dy = |D_{j,k}| \overline{w}_{j,k}^{n+1}.
$$
 (69)

For  $S=(j\pm \frac{1}{2})$  $(\frac{1}{2},k), (j,k\pm\frac{1}{2})$  $(\frac{1}{2}),(\,j\pm\frac{1}{2})$  $\frac{1}{2}, k \pm \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ , according to the approximation of  $\widetilde{W}^{n+1}_S(x, y)$ in [\(35\)](#page-10-0), we have the relation between  $\widetilde{W}^{n+1}_S$  and  $\overline{w}^{n+1}_S$  $s^{n+1}$ :

$$
\widetilde{W}_S^{n+1}(x,y) = \overline{w}_S^{n+1} + O(\Delta t). \tag{70}
$$

Since the areas of the domain  $C_{j,k}^{E(W)}$ ,  $C_{j,k}^{N(S)}$ , and  $C_{j,k}^{NE(NW)(SE)(SW)}$  are evaluated by

$$
|C_{j,k}^{E(W)}| = \Delta t \Delta y (\mp a_{j \pm \frac{1}{2},k}^{\mp}) + O((\Delta t)^2),
$$
  
\n
$$
|C_{j,k}^{N(S)}| = \Delta t \Delta x (\mp b_{j,k \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{\mp}) + O((\Delta t)^2),
$$
  
\n
$$
|C_{j,k}^{NE(NW)(SE)(SW)}| = O((\Delta t)^2),
$$
\n(71)

the relation between  $\widetilde{W}^{n+1}_S$  and  $\overline{w}^{n+1}_S$  $s^{n+1}$  can be written as

$$
\iint_{C_{j,k}^{E(W)}} \widetilde{W}_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k} dxdy = |C_{j,k}^{E(W)}|\overline{w}_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1} + O((\Delta t)^2),
$$
\n
$$
\iint_{C_{j,k}^{N(S)}} \widetilde{W}_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}} dxdy = |C_{j,k}^{N(S)}|\overline{w}_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} + O((\Delta t)^2),
$$
\n
$$
\iint_{C_{j,k}^{NE(W)(SE)(SW)}} \widetilde{W}_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k\pm\frac{1}{2}} dxdy = O((\Delta t)^2).
$$
\n(72)

As  $\Delta t \to 0$ , the values at the corner vanish, because the area are proportional to  $(\Delta t)^2$ . Thus, [\(38\)](#page-12-3) reduces to

$$
\frac{d(\Delta q)_{j,k}(t)}{dt} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \left( |C_{j,k}^{E(W)}| \overline{w}_{j \pm \frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1} + |C_{j,k}^{N(S)}| \overline{w}_{j,k \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} + |D_{j,k}| \overline{w}_{j,k}^{n+1} \right) - \Delta q_{j,k}^{n} \right]
$$
(73)

<span id="page-27-0"></span>Applying the areas of  $C_{j,k}^{E(W)}$  and  $C_{j,k}^{N(S)}$  to the first and the second term on the right-handside of [\(73\)](#page-27-0) respectively, we obtain that

$$
\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{|C_{j,k}^{E(W)}|}{\Delta t \Delta x \Delta y} \overline{w}_{j \pm \frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1} = -\frac{a_{j \pm \frac{1}{2},k}^{\pm}}{\Delta x} \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \overline{w}_{j \pm \frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1},
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{|C_{j,k}^{N(S)}|}{\Delta t \Delta x \Delta y} \overline{w}_{j,k \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = -\frac{b_{j,k \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{\mp}}{\Delta y} \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \overline{w}_{j,k \pm \frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}.
$$
\n(74)

<span id="page-27-1"></span>Then using the approximations of  $\overline{w}_{i+1}^{n+1}$  $_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1}$  and  $\overline{w}_{j,k+1}^{n+1}$  $j,k+\frac{1}{2}$  in [\(65\)](#page-25-1) and [\(66\)](#page-25-2) to substitute the values in [\(74\)](#page-27-1) results in

<span id="page-27-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{|C_{j,k}^{E(W)}|}{\Delta t \Delta x \Delta y} \overline{w}_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^{n+1} = -\frac{1}{(a_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^+ - a_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^-)\Delta x} \times \frac{[a_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^+ a_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^+ (\Delta q)_{j\pm 1,k}^{W(E)} - (a_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^+)^2 (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E(W)}] + \frac{a_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^+}{(a_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^+ - a_{j\pm\frac{1}{2},k}^-)\Delta x} \left[ F((\Delta q)_{j\pm 1,k}^{W(E)}) - F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E(W)}) \right],
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{|C_{j,k}^{N(S)}|}{\Delta t \Delta x \Delta y} \overline{w}_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = -\frac{1}{(b_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}}^+ - b_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}}^-)\Delta y} \times \frac{[b_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}}^- b_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}}^+ (\Delta q)_{j,k\pm 1}^{S(N)} - (b_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}}^+)^2 (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N(S)}]}{b_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}}^+ - b_{j,k\pm\frac{1}{2}}^- \Delta y} \left[ G((\Delta q)_{j,k\pm 1}^{S(N)}) - G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N(S)}) \right],
$$
\n(75)

where

$$
F((\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^W) = f((\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^W + \tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}) - f(\tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}),
$$
  
\n
$$
F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^E) = f((\Delta q)_{j,k}^E + \tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}) - f(\tilde{q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}),
$$
\n(76)

and

$$
G((\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^S) = g((\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^S + \tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}) - g(\tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}),
$$
  
\n
$$
G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^N) = g((\Delta q)_{j,k}^N + \tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}) - g(\tilde{q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}).
$$
\n(77)

<span id="page-28-2"></span>Here, the notation  $(\Delta q)^{I}$  with  $I = \{E, W, N, S\}$  is defined similarly to [\(11\)](#page-3-0) by

$$
(\Delta q)_{j,k}^E := (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n + \frac{\Delta x}{2} ((\Delta q)_x)_{j,k}^n, \quad (\Delta q)_{j,k}^W := (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n - \frac{\Delta x}{2} ((\Delta q)_x)_{j,k}^n, (\Delta q)_{j,k}^N := (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n + \frac{\Delta y}{2} ((\Delta q)_y)_{j,k}^n, \quad (\Delta q)_{j,k}^S := (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n - \frac{\Delta y}{2} ((\Delta q)_y)_{j,k}^n,
$$
\n(78)

with the  $MC - \vartheta$  limiter

<span id="page-28-0"></span>
$$
\begin{split}\n&((\Delta q)_x)_{j,k}^n := \\
& \text{minmod}\left(\vartheta \frac{(\Delta q)_{j,k}^n - (\Delta q)_{j-1,k}^n}{\Delta x}, \frac{(\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^n - (\Delta q)_{j-1,k}^n}{2\Delta x}, \vartheta \frac{(\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^n - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n}{\Delta x}\right), \\
& ((\Delta q)_x)_{j,k}^n := \\
& \text{minmod}\left(\vartheta \frac{(\Delta q)_{j,k}^n - (\Delta q)_{j,k-1}^n}{\Delta y}, \frac{(\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^n - (\Delta q)_{j,k-1}^n}{2\Delta y}, \vartheta \frac{(\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^n - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n}{\Delta y}\right),\n\end{split} \tag{79}
$$

and  $1 \leq \vartheta \leq 2$ . The detailed computation of [\(75\)](#page-27-2) is similar to the derivation in section 3.3 of [\[KP01\]](#page-23-2).

Next, we consider the rest terms on the right-hand-side of  $(73)$ . The subdomain  $D_{j,k}$ can be regarded as a rectangle when  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ , up to small corners of a negligible size  $O((\Delta t)^2)$ . Applying [\(68\)](#page-26-1) to the rest terms yields that

<span id="page-28-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} |D_{j,k}| \overline{w}_{j,k}^{n+1} - \Delta q_{j,k}^{n} \right] =
$$
\n
$$
\left[ \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}}{\Delta x} (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E} + \frac{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}}{\Delta y} (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N} - \frac{a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+}}{\Delta x} (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{W} - \frac{b_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{+}}{\Delta y} (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{S} \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left[ F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E}) - F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{W}) \right] - \frac{1}{\Delta y} \left[ G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N}) - G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{S}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ S((\Delta q)_{j,k})]
$$
\n(80)

Finally, combining [\(75\)](#page-27-2) and [\(80\)](#page-28-1), the semi-discrete scheme takes the form

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(\Delta q)_{j,k}(t) = -\frac{H_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t) - H_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^x(t)}{\Delta x} - \frac{H_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^y(t) - H_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^y(t)}{\Delta y} + S((\Delta q)_{j,k}(t))
$$
(81)

with the numerical fluxes

$$
H_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} = \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+}F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E}) - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}F((\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W})}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} \left[ (\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W} - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E} \right],
$$
  
\n
$$
H_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} = \frac{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+}G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N}) - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}G((\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^{S})}{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} a_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} a_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} a_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} \left[ (\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^{S} - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N} \right].
$$
\n(82)

# <span id="page-29-0"></span>D Maximum Principle

We begin with the explicit form of [\(44\)](#page-13-1),

<span id="page-29-1"></span>
$$
(\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} = (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n} - \frac{\lambda^{n}}{(a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-})} \left[ a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E}) - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} F((\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W}) \right] + \frac{\lambda^{n}}{(a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-})} \left[ a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} F((\Delta q)_{j-1,k}^{E}) - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{W}) \right] - \frac{\lambda^{n} (a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} )}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} } \left[ (\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W} - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E} \right] + \frac{\lambda^{n} (a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} )}{a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} } \left[ (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{W} - (\Delta q)_{j-1,k}^{E} \right] - \frac{\mu^{n}}{(b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-})} \left[ b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N}) - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} G((\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^{S}) \right] + \frac{\mu^{n}}{(b_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{+} - b_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{-})} \left[ b_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{+} G((\Delta q)_{j,k-1}^{N}) - b_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{-} G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{S}) \right] - \frac{\mu^{n} (b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} }{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} } \left[ (\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^{S} - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N} \right] + \frac{\mu^{n} (b
$$

All the terms on the right-hand of  $(83)$  are taken at the time step  $t^n$ . By the definition [\(78\)](#page-28-2), we have the equality

<span id="page-29-2"></span>
$$
(\Delta q)_{j,k}^n = \frac{(\Delta q)_{j,k}^E + (\Delta q)_{j,k}^W + (\Delta q)_{j,k}^N + (\Delta q)_{j,k}^S}{4}.
$$
\n(84)

Then we substitute [\(84\)](#page-29-2) for  $(\Delta q)^n_{j,k}$  and adjust the other terms in [\(83\)](#page-29-1),

$$
\begin{split} &(\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} = & \frac{(\Delta q)_{j,k}^{F} + (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N} + (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{S}}{4} \\ & + \lambda^{n} \left[ \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}} \left[ F((\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W}) - F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E}) \right] - F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E}) \right] \\ & - \lambda^{n} \left[ \frac{a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}}{a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}} \left[ F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{W}) - F((\Delta q)_{j-1,k}^{E}) \right] - F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{W}) \right] \\ & - \lambda^{n} \frac{(a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}} \left[ (\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W} - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E} \right] \\ & + \lambda^{n} \frac{(a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}}{a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}} \left[ G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{W} - (\Delta q)_{j-1,k}^{E}) \right] \\ & + \mu^{n} \left[ \frac{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+1} - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}}{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+1} - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}} \left[ G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{S}) + G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N}) \right] - G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{S}) \right] \\ & - \mu^{n} \left[ \frac{b_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{+1} - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}}{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+1} - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}} \left[ G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{S}) + G((\Delta q)_{j,k-1}^{N}) \right] - G
$$

To simplify notations, we use the abbreviations used in [\[KT00\]](#page-23-1)

<span id="page-30-0"></span>
$$
\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x}(\Delta q) := (\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^{W}(t^{n}) - (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E}(t^{n}),
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta_{j,k}^{x} F := F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E}) - F((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{W})
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta_{j,k}^{x} G := G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N}) - G((\Delta q)_{j,k}^{S}).
$$
\n(86)

Then we rewrite the [\(85\)](#page-30-0) as

$$
\begin{split} (\Delta q)^{n+1}_{j,k}=&\frac{(\Delta q)^{E}_{j,k}+(\Delta q)^{N}_{j,k}+(\Delta q)^{N}_{j,k}}{4}\\ &+\lambda^{n}\left[\frac{a^{-}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}}{\bar{a}^{+}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}}\frac{\Delta^{x}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}F}{\Delta^{x}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}(\Delta q)}\Big[(\Delta q)^{W}_{j+1,k}-(\Delta q)^{E}_{j,k}\Big] \\&-\frac{\Delta^{x}_{j,k}F}{\Delta^{x}_{j,k}(\Delta q)}\Big[(\Delta q)^{E}_{j,k}-(\Delta q)^{W}_{j,k}\Big] \\&-\frac{a^{+}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}}{\bar{a}^{+}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}}\frac{\Delta^{x}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}F}{\Delta^{x}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}(\Delta q)}\Big[(\Delta q)^{W}_{j,k}-(\Delta q)^{E}_{j-1,k}\Big]\Big] \\&-\lambda^{n}\frac{(a^{+}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}\bar{a}^{-}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k})}{a^{+}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}-a^{-}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}}\Big[(\Delta q)^{W}_{j+1,k}-(\Delta q)^{E}_{j,k}\Big] \\&+\lambda^{n}\frac{(a^{+}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}\bar{a}^{-}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k})}{a^{+}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}-a^{-}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}}\Big[(\Delta q)^{W}_{j+1,k}-(\Delta q)^{E}_{j,k}\Big] \\&+\mu^{n}\left[\frac{b^{-}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}{b^{+}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{\Delta^{y}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}G}{b^{+}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}\Big[(\Delta q)^{S}_{j,k}-(\Delta q)^{S}_{j,k+1}-(\Delta q)^{N}_{j,k}\Big] \\&-\frac{\Delta^{y}_{j,k}G}{\Delta^{y}_{j,k}(\Delta q)}\Big[(\Delta q)^{N}_{j,k}-(\Delta q)^{S}_{j,k}\Big] \\&-\frac{b^{+}_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{b^{+}_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{\Delta^{y}_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}G}{b^{+}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}\Big[(\Delta q)^{S}_{j,k+1}-(\Delta q)^{N}_{j,k}-\Big
$$

Collecting the coefficients of  $(\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^W, (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E(W)}, (\Delta q)_{j-1,k}^E$ , and  $(\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^S, (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N(S)}, (\Delta q)_{j,k-1}^N$ ,

<span id="page-32-0"></span>
$$
\begin{split} &(\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} = & \lambda^n \left( \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} - \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1}}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} - \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1}}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} \Delta_{j}} \right) (\Delta q)_{j+\mathbf{1},k}^{W} \\ & + \left[ \frac{1}{4} - \lambda^n \left( \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \right) \right] (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E} \\ & + \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \lambda^n \left( \frac{\Delta_{j,k}^{x} F}{\Delta_{j,k}^{x} (\Delta q)} - \frac{a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \right) (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{W} \\ & + \lambda^n \left( \frac{a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+1} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-1} \Delta_{j-\
$$

Next, we discuss the coefficients. Due to the fact that  $F'(\Delta q) = f'(q)$  (see lemma [2.1\)](#page-2-6) and the fact that  $a_i^{\pm}$  $\psi_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{\pm}$  is the maximal speed on its direction, which is determined from the flux derivatives, (consult the definition  $(10)$ ), we obtain the following inequalities:

$$
a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\frac{\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} F}{\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} (\Delta q)}| \le a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+},
$$
  

$$
a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} \le 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\frac{\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} F}{\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} (\Delta q)}| \le -a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}. \tag{89}
$$

Hence, the rearranged coefficients of  $(\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^W$  and  $(\Delta q)_{j-1,k}^E$  are non-negative,

$$
\underbrace{\lambda^{n} \frac{(-a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-})}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}} \left[a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - \frac{\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} F}{\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} (\Delta q)}\right]}_{\geq 0} \geq 0
$$
\n
$$
\underbrace{\lambda^{n} \frac{a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+}}{a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}} \left[\frac{\Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} F}{\Delta_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} (\Delta q)} - a_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}\right]}_{\geq 0} \geq 0.
$$
\n(90)

The coefficients of  $(\Delta q)_{j,k}^E$  and  $(\Delta q)_{j,k}^W$  are also non-negative due to the CFL assumption [\(45\)](#page-13-3). By the assumption [\(45\)](#page-13-3), we have

$$
\lambda^n a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^+ \le \frac{1}{8} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{1}{8} \le \lambda^n a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^-.
$$
 (91)

The above inequalities imply

$$
\lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - \lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} \leq \frac{1}{4}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow 4 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - \lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}}{\lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - \lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{-\lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}}{\lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - \lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$
\n(92)

Hence, the coefficient of  $(\Delta q)_{j,k}^E$  is non-negative because of

$$
\frac{1}{4} + \frac{(-a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-})}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}} \left( \underbrace{\lambda^{n} \frac{\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} F}{\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} (\Delta q)} - \lambda^{n} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+}}_{\geq -\frac{1}{8}} \right) - \lambda^{n} \frac{\Delta_{j,k}^{x} F}{\Delta_{j,k}^{x} (\Delta q)} \geq 0.
$$
\n(93)

By the same way, the coefficient of  $(\Delta q)_{j,k}^W$  can be proved to be non-negative, and the other four coefficients as well. Since all the coefficients are non-negative and the sum of the coefficients are equal to 1, the combination on the right-hand-side of [\(88\)](#page-32-0) is a convex combination. Hence,

$$
(\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} \le \max\left( (\Delta q)_{j+1,k}^W, (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{E(W)}, (\Delta q)_{j-1,k}^E, (\Delta q)_{j,k+1}^S, (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{N(S)}, (\Delta q)_{j,k-1}^N \right).
$$

Because of the definition of the intermediate values  $(\Delta q)^{E,W,S,N}$  and the choice of the derivative in [\(78\)](#page-28-2), these intermediate values satisfy the local maximum principle, (consult Theorem 1 of [\[JT98\]](#page-22-9)),

$$
\max_{j,k} \left( (\Delta q)^W_{j+1,k}, (\Delta q)^{E(W)}_{j,k}, (\Delta q)^E_{j-1,k}, (\Delta q)^S_{j,k+1}, (\Delta q)^{N(S)}_{j,k}, (\Delta q)^N_{j,k-1} \right) \leq \max_{j,k} ((\Delta q)^n_{j,k}).
$$

Then the maximum principle:  $\max_{j,k} (\Delta q)_{j,k}^{n+1} \leq \max_{j,k} (\Delta q)_{j,k}^n$  holds.