

# RS-Agent: Automating Remote Sensing Tasks through Intelligent Agents

Wenjia Xu<sup>1\*</sup>, Zijian Yu<sup>1\*</sup>, Yixu Wang<sup>1\*</sup>, Jiuniu Wang<sup>2</sup>, and Mugen Peng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Networking and Switching Technology,  
Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications

<sup>2</sup>City University of Hong Kong

**Abstract**—An increasing number of models have achieved great performance in remote sensing tasks with the recent development of Large Language Models (LLMs) and Visual Language Models (VLMs). However, these models are constrained to basic vision and language instruction-tuning tasks, facing challenges in complex remote sensing applications. Additionally, these models lack specialized expertise in professional domains. To address these limitations, we propose a LLM-driven remote sensing intelligent agent named RS-Agent. Firstly, RS-Agent is powered by a large language model (LLM) that acts as its "Central Controller," enabling it to understand and respond to various problems intelligently. Secondly, our RS-Agent integrates many high-performance remote sensing image processing tools, facilitating multi-tool and multi-turn conversations. Thirdly, our RS-Agent can answer professional questions by leveraging robust knowledge documents. We conducted experiments using several datasets, e.g., RSSDIVCS, RSVQA, and DOTAv1. The experimental results demonstrate that our RS-Agent delivers outstanding performance in many tasks, i.e., scene classification, visual question answering, and object counting tasks.

**Index Terms**—Remote sensing image, Large language model, Agent, Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Thanks to the swift advancements in remote sensing technology, we now have the capability to acquire dozens of terabytes of high-resolution imagery every day [1], and the images have seamlessly integrated into numerous facets of the socio-economic landscape, including disaster detection [2], environmental monitoring [3], urban planning [4], etc.

There is a growing demand for automated interpretation of remote sensing images to support various applications as the user base and amount of data for remote sensing expand. Numerous algorithms have been developed that demonstrate satisfactory performance in remote sensing tasks, such as object detection [5], super-resolution [6], classification [7], and geographic question answering [8]. However, these algorithms are tailored to specific applications, and their deployment requires skilled technical personnel, constraining the ordinary user's ability to interpret remote sensing data independently.

With the evolution of the Large Language Model (LLM) [9]–[11] and Vision Language Model (VLM) [12], an increasing number of models are striving to bridge the gap between semantics and visuals. These advancements enable

a single, comprehensive model to handle a broad spectrum of downstream tasks, such as scene description [13], and visual question answering [14]. These abilities have a positive impact on remote sensing tasks. For instance, RSGPT [15] introduces a generative pre-trained model that excels in remote sensing image captioning and visual question-answering tasks. GeoChat [16] further extends the ability to spatial reasoning and grounding objects in remote sensing images. However, these models are currently limited to basic instruction-tuning tasks for vision and language [17] and exhibit suboptimal performance in more complex remote sensing tasks for the following reasons. Firstly, these models cannot implement sophisticated tools such as target counting, detection, and tracking, and they are inadequate for tasks that require the integration of multiple analytical tools [18]. Secondly, these models lack specialized expertise in professional domains. They are trained with knowledge obtained from the Internet and finetuned with human-annotated descriptions that merely describe the visual content of remote sensing images. Therefore, their performance is relatively limited when addressing tasks that require in-depth, professional knowledge.

In recent years, agents have garnered increasing attention as an emerging technology capable of integrating multiple tools and autonomously understanding user intentions [19], [20]. We aim to design a Remote Sensing Agent (RS-Agent) specifically tailored for the professional remote sensing domain. The schematic diagram of our RS-Agent is shown in Fig. 1. Our RS-Agent has the following abilities: **(i)** A remote sensing agent, functioning like a Center Controller, can comprehend user intentions and perform tasks such as planning, reasoning, and action. It can also plan subsequent actions based on intermediate processing results. **(ii)** A toolbox integrating existing high-performance models can tackle various remote sensing tasks. **(iii)** An internal knowledge database managing professional and technical remote sensing knowledge database and solution database integrating a library of tool usage.

We designed an agent framework to achieve the above goals, incorporating various remote sensing tools to facilitate multi-tool integration. Our RS-Agent is driven by a large language model (LLM) to understand user intentions and is equipped with memorizing planning and reasoning abilities. To handle professional technical knowledge in remote sensing, we introduced Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), which can search for the required information from a specialized

\* Equal contribution

Corresponding author: Wenjia Xu (xuwenjia@bupt.edu.cn)




Fig. 1. The schematic diagram of the RS-Agent. The RS-Agent integrates existing high-performance remote sensing tools. It can understand user intentions like a Central Controller, and solve user needs through planning, reasoning and action. It is also Capable of handling professional and technical knowledge in remote sensing.

knowledge database and answer professional questions. It can also retrieve relevant knowledge from the database and utilize various remote sensing tools to develop plans.

In summary, this work has the following contributions:

- RS-Agent employs an LLM to understand the user's requirements. The RS-Agent is powered by a large language model (LLM) as the central controller. These models accurately comprehend and interpret user intentions, adeptly analyzing the context and nuances of user inputs to discern the underlying needs and objectives behind queries.
- RS-Agent can utilize multiple tools and engage in multi-turn conversations. The RS-Agent can integrate many high-performance remote sensing image processing models. It can utilize a single model to address straightforward problems or sequentially invoke multiple models for continuous reasoning to tackle complex issues. Moreover, the RS-Agent can facilitate multiple rounds of dialogue and maintain coherence and contextual understanding throughout the conversation. Its powerful capabilities enable users to engage in in-depth interactions, receiving more personalized and accurate responses.
- RS-Agent is capable of answering questions in specialized fields. RAG technology is employed to broaden the Agent's knowledge database by integrating a specialized knowledge repository, enabling it to address specific questions related to remote sensing.

## II. RELATED WORKS

### A. Agent and Large Language Models

AI agents can autonomously learn from their surroundings to evolve and adapt like humans as artificial entities capable of perceiving their environment, making decisions, and taking actions [21].

Early AI agents can be primarily categorized into symbol-based agents [22] and reactivity-based agents [23]. The former utilizes logical rules and symbolic representations to encapsulate the knowledge and facilitate the reasoning process [22], [24]–[26]. In contrast, the latter is designed with a focus on input and output mapping [23], prioritizing fast and real-time responses over complex reasoning and symbolic manipulation [27], [28].

With the advancement of machine learning, reinforcement learning-based agents and transfer learning-based agents have gradually emerged. Reinforcement learning-based agents primarily focus on enhancing their learning through interactions with the environment, aiming to maximize cumulative rewards in specific tasks [29]. Notable achievements, including AlphaGo [30] and DQN [31], demonstrate the remarkable progress in this field. Agents based on transfer learning accelerate the learning process on new tasks by transferring knowledge from the source domain to the target domain [32], [33]. However, negative migration [34] may exist when there are substantial differences between the source and target tasks.

In recent years, large language models have achieved remarkable milestones [10], [35]. By leveraging vast datasets and extensive training parameters, they have attained intelligence comparable to that of humans [9]. Nevertheless, large models inherently lack perceptual capabilities and are restricted to understanding discrete textual content. They still face significant limitations in executing tasks that require planning. To tackle this challenge, researchers have enhanced the capabilities of large language models by integrating various tools, forming the prototype of LLM-based agents [36]. Currently, research frontiers of Agents emphasize the use of large language models (LLMs), such as the GPT class models, to enhance the autonomy and intelligence of agents [37]–[39].

Specifically, LLM-based agents employ large language models as their core intelligence to comprehend user input, and formulate plans and solutions accordingly. These agents leverage a toolchain composed of various tools for specific tasks, thereby extending the LLM’s capability to address complex problems [40], [41]. For instance, Visual ChatGPT [42] achieves Visual Question Answering (VQA) by structuring prompt interactions with ChatGPT and orchestrating a multi-step collaboration among various AI models. WorldGPT [43] is a video generation Agent that utilizes ChatGPT’s ability to create precise prompts and guide diffusion models to generate video content. HuggingGPT [39] employs large language models (such as ChatGPT [44]) as controllers for task planning, integrating various AI models from the machine learning community (such as those from Hugging Face) to form an agent capable of solving complex AI tasks. TreeGPT [45] integrates an image understanding module, a domain knowledge module, and a toolchain to analyze forest remote sensing images and extract structured information comprehensively.

### B. Agent in Remote Sensing

While existing agents have demonstrated commendable performance in various general tasks, research on agents tailored for specialized fields such as remote sensing and medical treatment remains insufficient. Furthermore, LLMs can address certain issues but are not a panacea for all challenges. The development of Vision-Language Models (VLMs) in natural imagery [46], [47] has spurred their applications in remote sensing. Researchers have explored VLMs for various remote sensing tasks, including image captioning [48], [49], text-based image generation [50], [51], text-based image retrieval [52], [53], visual question answering [54], [55], and scene classification [56]. For traditional image-related tasks, a comprehensive remote sensing image question-answering dataset, RSVQA [57], was introduced, achieving significant results on this dataset. Bi-Modalc [58], RSGPT [15], GeoChat [16], and other models have further improved the accuracy on the RSVQA dataset. Emerging region-level and spatiotemporal tasks present new challenges and attract increasing attention, such as RSVG [59] and drone video captioning [60]. SkyEyeGPT [61] has unified various remote sensing visual language tasks through instruction tuning.

Among the above algorithms, RSGPT [15] and GeoChat [16] exhibit similarities with our work. Specifically, RSGPT [15]

introduces a generative pre-trained model that excels in remote sensing image captioning and visual question answering tasks. GeoChat [16] further extends the ability to spatial reasoning and grounding objects in RS images. However, while RSGPT excels in scene description tasks for images, it struggles significantly with fine-grained recognition tasks such as object recognition and counting. GeoChat demonstrates certain advantages in tasks like location judgment and target classification, but it performs poorly in target counting tasks. Additionally, GeoChat is limited to solving single, simple tasks and faces challenges when dealing with complex problems or issues involving specialized data.

In this paper, we aim to integrate various remote sensing image processing tools to develop our remote sensing agent, namely RS-Agent, addressing the limitations of current common remote sensing models. RS-Agent consists of three components: Central Controller powered by LLM, Knowledge Database and Solution Database, and Toolbox. The Central Controller, powered by LLM, can understand the input question and make process plans. RAG is divided into the Professional Knowledge RAG and the Solution Steps RAG, which are primarily used to handle problems involving specialized data and complex processes, respectively. The Tools component includes a wide range of remote sensing task processing models.

## III. REMOTE SENSING AGENT

Our RS-Agent is an intelligent toolset integrated with large language models. The model architecture is illustrated in Fig. 2. The user inputs query  $Q$  and remote sensing image  $I$ , and then an LLM serve as the Central Controller  $M_c$  to understand the user’s intent. To help  $M_c$  adopt the right solution to solve  $Q$ , we have applied RAG to build a solution searcher  $M_s$ , which can return Solution Guidance  $g_s$  to assist  $M_c$  invoke suitable tools from abundant tool space  $T$ . Additionally, in order to equip RS-Agent with domain-specific knowledge, we also built a knowledge searcher  $M_k$ , which will provide knowledge guidance  $g_k$  if necessary. We show the details for the workflow of RS-Agent in Algorithm 1.

### A. Natural Language Interaction

An agent requires essential human-like capabilities, such as planning and memory, to perform tasks effectively [36]. This implies that an agent requires a Central Controller  $M_c$  to process diverse information. Much like humans,  $M_c$  serves as the central nucleus of an AI agent, primarily composed of LLMs. Specifically, we adopt the gpt-3.5-turbo as  $M_c$  in the RS-Agent. With the assistance of  $M_c$ , the RS-Agent can proficiently engage in basic interactive conversations and exhibit comprehension abilities.

1) *Intention and implication understanding*: As an intelligent component of the RS-Agent, the central controller  $M_c$  must first thoroughly comprehend each available tool’s purpose, application contexts, and input-output specifications. This comprehensive understanding is vital for the agent to function effectively in various scenarios. When a user submits a query  $Q$ . The central controller  $M_c$  is tasked with inferring




Fig. 2. Framework of RS-Agent. When  $M_c$  receives query  $Q$  and image  $I$ ,  $M_c$  will transmit the solution requirement  $r_s$  to  $M_s$ .  $M_s$  employs the FAISS algorithm [64] to derive solution guidance  $g_s$ , which assists  $M_c$  in selecting the appropriate tools  $\hat{T}$  after dispatching the tool requirement  $r_t$  to the tool space  $T$ . If  $M_c$  requires additional knowledge guidance  $g_k$ ,  $M_k$  will provide it from  $D_k$  according to the knowledge requirement  $k_s$ .  $M_c$  will then invoke  $\hat{T}$  and produce the final answer  $A$  along with the processed image  $\hat{I}$ .

the user’s intention and selecting the most appropriate tool to generate an accurate and relevant answer. In straightforward situations,  $M_c$  typically responds correctly, leveraging its training on a large-scale corpus. However, ambiguous instructions or implied meanings can present significant challenges for the agent, as noted in recent studies [62], [63]. To enhance the RS-Agent’s decision-making capability when faced with ambiguous  $Q$ , we have introduced an innovative approach. For each  $Q$ , we generate a Solution Guidance  $g_s$  and incorporate it into the *SystemPrompt* of the LLM (*SystemPrompt* is a simple instruction that tells the LLM how to behave and respond). The  $g_s$  encompasses solutions to fundamental problems and detailed tool descriptions, providing essential guidance to  $M_c$ . Equipped with this crucial guidance,  $M_c$  can make more informed and sophisticated decisions regarding the query. This approach improves the agent’s ability to handle ambiguity and enhances its overall accuracy and reliability in providing responses. By systematically incorporating  $g_s$  into the *SystemPrompt*, we ensure that the RS-Agent maintains a high level of performance, even in complex and unclear scenarios, thereby significantly improving the user experience in remote sensing image analysis.

2) *Multi-turn interactive conversation*: Even humans frequently encounter difficulties maintaining clarity and coherence within a single conversation. This challenge becomes even more pronounced in multi-turn dialogues, indispensable when users must pose multiple questions concerning the same remote sensing image. In such scenarios, tracking and understanding the conversation’s progression is critical for providing accurate and relevant responses. When a user introduces a new query  $Q$  within the same dialogue, the RS-Agent is designed first to retrieve the preceding questions and their corresponding answers, collectively referred to as  $QAs$ . This retrieval process is crucial as it ensures that the context established by the previous interactions is preserved

**Algorithm 1** The workflow of RS-Agent.

**Input:** The user command or query  $Q$  and remote sensing image  $I$ ;

**Output:** Answer to  $Q$  generated by RS-Agent,  $A$ ; Processed remote sensing image  $\hat{I}$ ;

- 1: Central controller  $M_c$  receives  $Q$  and transmits it to solution searcher  $M_s$ ;
- 2:  $M_s$  employs the FAISS algorithm to search through the Solution Database  $D_s$  efficiently, and retrieves the top- $k$  relevant documents  $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k\}$  as Solution Guidance  $g_s$ ;
- 3:  $M_c$  selects the appropriate tools  $\hat{T}$  from Tool Space  $T$  based on  $Q$  and  $g_s$ ;
- 4: **if**  $\hat{T}$  contains knowledge\_search **then**
- 5:  $M_c$  selects keyword and employs the FAISS algorithm to search through the Knowledge Database  $D_k$ , and retrieves the top- $k$  relevant documents  $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_k\}$  as Knowledge Guidance  $g_k$ ;
- 6: **else**
- 7: Invoke  $\hat{T}$  and get the corresponding outputs and  $\hat{I}$ ;
- 8: **end if**
- 9:  $M_c$  compiles the final answer  $A$  from  $g_k$  and output of  $\hat{T}$ ;
- 10: **return**  $A$  and  $\hat{I}$ ;

and utilized. Subsequently, the context module  $M_c$  leverages the information encapsulated in  $QAs$  to extract pertinent contextual details. Doing so effectively bridges the new query with the historical dialogue, maintaining continuity and coherence. This contextual understanding enables the system to execute the workflow delineated in Algorithm 1 with enhanced precision and relevance. By integrating these capabilities, the RS-Agent enhances its interpretative accuracy and enriches the overall user experience. It ensures that each new question is answered in the light of the entire conversational history. This approach mitigates the common pitfalls associated with isolated question answering, fostering a more intuitive and effective remote sensing image analysis interaction paradigm.

### B. Retrieval-Augmented Generation

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) systems have gained significant popularity for enhancing LLM outputs with domain-specific and time-sensitive data [65]. This approach equips agents with a powerful technique for improving the quality and relevance of responses. In our RS-Agent, in addition to constructing an internal knowledge database, the most commonly used scenario for RAG, we propose building a solution database. This effectively guides the LLM in selecting the appropriate tools for different queries.

1) *Solution Searcher*: In most cases, RAG serves as an information retriever to assist LLMs in answering questions. However, its applications extend far beyond this function. In RS-Agent, RAG is utilized to retrieve information and guide the selection of computational tools that LLMs can use to tackle specific tasks. This is achieved by retrieving solutions used to solve similar problems from Solution Database  $D_s$ ,

thereby assisting the LLM with the optimal tool choice for the current scenario. When RS-Agent obtains  $Q$ , the solution searcher will retrieve the relevant solution as  $g_s$ . During the retrieval phase, the  $Q$  is processed by the retrieval function  $f_r$ , which employs the Facebook AI Similarity Search (FAISS) algorithm [64] to search through the  $D_s$  efficiently. This function retrieves the top-k relevant documents:

$$\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k\} = f_r(Q, D_s) \quad (1)$$

In the generation phase, the generator  $f_g$ , typically a language model, processes the retrieved documents along with the query to produce a response  $A$ :

$$A = f_g(Q, \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k\}) \quad (2)$$

In our RS-Agent, the top-k relevant documents returned by FAISS are added to the *SystemPrompt* in the following format: "You are a helpful assistant, you should take the following content as *Guidance*." This method enhances the LLM's ability to accurately select the appropriate tool for addressing specific problems.

2) *Knowledge Searcher*: The GPT series of language models are adept at producing coherent and fluent text. However, their proficiency is largely attributed to extensive pre-training on vast datasets. When it comes to less common or specialized subjects, such as remote sensing, the model may occasionally fall short in providing accurate responses due to a lack of sufficient training data. To address this limitation, constructing a proprietary knowledge database specific to remote sensing is essential for an agent dedicated to this field. In the current preliminary stage, we have equipped the RS-Agent with Knowledge Searcher  $M_k$ , using RAG to handle combat aircraft queries.

When the selected tools include *knowledge\_search*, indicating that the RS-Agent needs additional knowledge to solve  $Q$ ,  $M_k$  retrieves relevant documents as Knowledge Guidance  $g_k$ . The retrieval process follows the same method as  $M_s$ , as shown in Equ. (1), and the construction of  $D_k$  mirrors that of  $D_s$ . The key difference lies in the next step: the top-k relevant documents returned by FAISS are directly sent to the language model using the prompt template: "Using the knowledge guidance below, answer the query ...". This approach enables the model to provide precise answers to domain-specific questions, ensuring high accuracy in response  $A$ .

## IV. EXPERIEMENTS

In this section, we first introduce the experiment settings. Then we evaluate our RS-Agent on three crucial areas within the remote sensing domain: scene classification, visual question answering (VQA), and object counting.

### A. Implementation Details

We employed ChatGPT's LLM [71], specifically the GPT-3.5-turbo-1106, as the core "Central Controller" of RS-Agent. Additionally, we implemented the LangChain [72] framework to streamline data processing and integration. We use the OpenAI Embedding API in RAG, which transforms internal

knowledge and tool instructions into numerical vectors and employs the FAISS algorithm to retrieve pertinent information. For aircraft classification and scene classification, we use a vision transformer (ViT-B16) [73] pretrained with DINO [74] self-supervision on (unlabelled) ImageNet [75] as our backbone, with a feature dimension of 768.

### B. Scene Classification

**Datasets.** We employed the VIT [73] pre-trained on remote sensing images as the scene classifier. The training dataset used was RSSDIVCS [76], an extensive remote sensing scene dataset featuring 70 distinct scene categories, each with 800 images with dimensions of  $256 \times 256$  pixels, and we used 80% of each category for training. For evaluation, we utilized a subset of the RSSDIVCS [76] dataset, comprising 70 classes with 160 images per class, AID [77] dataset, and UCMerced [78] dataset. The AID [77] comprises a substantial collection of aerial images sourced from Google Earth, spanning 30 classes. The UCMerced [78] consists of 2100 images across 21 land use scene categories. The scene categories in both AID [77] and UCMerced [78] correspond well with those in RSSDIVCS [76], rendering them suitable for evaluating the scene classification performance of our RS-Agent.

**Results.** For the scene classification task, we employed the prompt: "Identify the scene depicted in this image." For GeoChat [16] and LHRS-Bot [70], we use the prompt: "Classify the image within one of the given classes: dense residential area, . . . , school. Answer with one word or short phrase." On RSSDIVCS [76] dataset, RS-Agent achieves an accuracy of 98.00%, markedly higher than GeoChat's 51.43% and LHRS-Bot's 63.85%. For the AID [77] dataset, RS-Agent attains an accuracy of 96.88%, surpassing GeoChat's 72.03% and LHRS-Bot's 91.29%. On the UCMerced [78] dataset, RS-Agent also leads with an accuracy of 98.63%, outperforming GeoChat's 84.43% and LHRS-Bot's 96.63%.

These results clearly indicate that RS-Agent outperforms the other compared models across all three datasets, showcasing its superior performance in scene classification tasks. The specific results are detailed in TABLE II.

### C. Visual Question Answering

**Datasets.** RSVQA-HRBEN [57] and RSVQA-LR [57] are two datasets featuring image/question/answer triplets designed to advance research and development in remote sensing and visual question answering. RSVQA-HRBEN [57] includes 10,569 high-resolution photos and 1,066,316 question-answer pairs, covering three types of questions: presence, comparison, and count. RSVQA-LR [57] consists of 772 low-resolution images and 77,232 question-answer pairs, categorized into four kinds of questions: presence, comparison, rural/urban, and count.

**Results.** Table I presents the performances of different VLM models on RSVQA-LR [57] and RSVQA-HRBEN [57]. It can be observed that GeoChat [16] performs close to the SOTA specialist models on RSVQA-LRBEN [57] test set, with an average accuracy of 91.89%. For RSVQA-HRBEN [57], LHRS-Bot [70] significantly improves accuracy across all




Fig. 3. Overview of RS-Agent. The left side illustrates a three-round dialogue, the middle section presents the flowchart depicting how the RS-Agent iteratively invokes the appropriate tools and provides answers, and the right side details the processes used by the tools to address three different QAs.

TABLE I  
PERFORMANCE COMPARISON ACROSS DIFFERENT METHODS ON RSVQA-LR AND RSVQA-HR DATASETS.

Method	RSVQA-LR				RSVQA-HR		
	Rural/Urban	Presence	Compare	Avg.	Presence	Compare	Avg.
LLaVA-1.5 [66]	59.22	73.16	65.19	65.86	48.96	59.02	53.99
MiniGPTv2 [47]	60.02	51.64	67.64	59.77	68.34	64.71	66.53
InstructBLIP [67]	62.62	48.83	63.92	59.12	62.63	62.90	62.77
mPLUG-Owl2 [68]	57.99	74.04	65.04	65.69	49.62	58.47	53.04
QWen-VL-Chat [69]	62.00	47.65	54.64	58.73	61.75	54.64	58.20
SkyEyeGPT [61]	88.93	88.63	75.00	84.16	80.00	80.13	80.06
RSGPT [15]	94.00	91.17	91.70	92.29	90.92	90.02	90.47
GeoChat [16]	91.09	90.33	94.00	91.81	58.45	83.19	70.82
LHRS-Bot [70]	89.07	88.51	90.00	89.19	92.57	92.53	92.55
RS-Agent (Ours)	<b>91.09</b>	<b>90.33</b>	<b>94.00</b>	<b>91.81</b>	<b>92.57</b>	<b>92.53</b>	<b>92.55</b>

TABLE II  
SCENE CLASSIFICATION ACCURACY ON RSSDIVCS [76], AID [77], UCMERGED [78], COMPARED WITH TWO VLM MODELS.

Model	RSSDIVCS [76]	AID [77]	UCMerced [78]
GeoChat [16]	51.43	72.03	84.43
LHRS-Bot [70]	63.85	91.29	96.63
RS Agent (Ours)	<b>98.00</b>	<b>96.88</b>	<b>98.63</b>

question types of RSVQA-HRBEN [57] compared to other VLM models, with an average accuracy of 92.55%. Consequently, in the RS-Agent, we use LHRS-Bot [70] to process high-resolution remote sensing images and GeoChat [16] to handle low-resolution remote sensing images.

#### D. Object Counting

**Datasets.** VLM models, like GeoChat and LHRS-Bot, analyze and infer information by combining visual data from the image and language model capabilities to provide count-based answers, while detection models extract features from images through neural networks to obtain the location information of the target. In the RS-Agent, the object detection model is derived from YOLO [80], specifically the "YOLOv8x-OBb [79]" version. This model represents the forefront of real-time object detection systems, widely acclaimed in computer vision applications. The YOLOv8x-OBb [79] model is pre-trained on the DOTA v1 [81] dataset and excels in oriented object detection. This advanced capability surpasses traditional object detection by incorporating an additional angle param-



TABLE III  
FUNCTIONS AND EXAMPLES OF INPUTS FOR ALL TOOLS USED.

Tool	Function	Example Input
Detection	Target detection tool based on yolov8_obb [79]	How many planes are in the image?
Crop_rect	Cutting and intercepting detection targets	Crop the targets in the image.
Plane_type	Identify the aircraft type based on vision transformer [73] (including 27 types of military aircraft and civilian aircraft)	What is the type of the plane?
SAR_detection	SAR plane target detection tool based on a trained classifier	How many planes in the SAR image?
SAR_plane_type	Identify the aircraft type based on vision transformer [73] (including 20 types of military aircraft and civilian aircraft)	What is the type of the plane in this SAR image?
Knowledge_search	Return aircraft information based on RAG	What is the manufacturer of this aircraft?
GeoChat_call	VQA, Scene Classification and Region-Captioning/Visual Grounding based on GeoChat [16]	Describe the image in detail.
Lhrs_bot	VQA, Scene Classification and Visual Grounding based on LHRs-Bot [70]	What can you see from this image?

eter, thereby achieving more precise localization of objects in remote sensing imagery. Presently, the model can identify 15 distinct types of typical remote sensing targets. The LLM can count the number of objects based on detection results. We use the validation set from DOTAv1 [81] to assess the model’s counting ability. The test set contains 458 images and 1,099 counting questions.

**Metric.** We utilized several metrics to assess the model’s object-counting capabilities, including absolute accuracy and interval-matching accuracy. In particular, interval matching accuracy is determined by predictions aligning with ground truths within the same interval. The intervals used are 0, 1 to 10, 11 to 100, 101 to 1000, and greater than 1000. Additionally, we define a relative error metric to quantify the accuracy of predictions. The relative error  $e_r$  is calculated as

$$e_r = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \log \left( 1 + \frac{|gt_i - p_i|}{gt_i} \right), \quad (3)$$

where  $gt_i$  represents the ground truth of the  $i$ -th test case,  $p_i$  denotes the model prediction of this case, and  $N$  is the total number of counting problems, which is 1,099.

**Results.** For the object counting task, we used the prompt: “What is the number of the object? Answer the question using a number.” The object could be any one of 15 typical remote sensing target types. We evaluated performance using 458 remote sensing images and 1099 corresponding questions for testing. As presented in table IV, the results demonstrate the superior performance of RS-Agent across all metrics. RS-Agent achieves an absolute accuracy of 33.30%, significantly higher than GeoChat [16] (17.65%) and LHRs-Bot [70] (14.92%). Additionally, RS-Agent’s interval match accuracy of 75.98% slightly surpasses GeoChat [16] (74.61%) and markedly exceeds LHRs-Bot [70] (60.78%). Notably, RS-Agent’s relative error is only 0.28, much lower than GeoChat [16] (0.65) and LHRs-Bot [70] (0.56), indicating more accurate and consistent predictions. These results highlight the effectiveness of RS-Agent in object counting tasks, demonstrating its robustness and reliability.

### E. Task Execution and Response Generation

RS-Agent provides a comprehensive suite of tools to tackle various challenges, including object detection and tracking,

TABLE IV  
OBJECT COUNTING ACCURACY ON DOTA [81] DATASET. OUR RS-AGENT IS COMPARED WITH TWO OTHER VLM-BASED MODELS.

Method	Absolute Accuracy	Interval Match	Relative Error
GeoChat [16]	17.65	74.61	0.65
LHRs-Bot [70]	14.92	60.78	0.56
RS-Agent (Ours)	<b>33.30</b>	<b>75.98</b>	<b>0.28</b>

object counting, aircraft type identification, scene classification, and visual question answering (VQA), as outlined in TABLE III. This versatility is further enhanced by RS-Agent’s exceptional modularity and scalability, which facilitate the seamless integration of additional tools to meet evolving needs.

When a query  $Q$  is received, the central module  $M_c$  selects the appropriate tool based on the tool descriptions and the previously mentioned Solution Guidance  $g_s$ . The selected tools preprocess the input  $I$  and generate corresponding outputs. These outputs are then relayed back to  $M_c$  as new observations, enabling it to decide whether additional tools are required to fulfill the user’s request comprehensively. If no further tools are needed, all outputs from the performed tasks are aggregated by  $M_c$  to produce the final answer  $A$ . Figure 3 illustrates this process through a multi-turn dialogue that includes tasks such as image captioning, aircraft target counting, and domain-specific knowledge search. This example underscores the RS-Agent’s robust capabilities in efficiently addressing complex, multifaceted inquiries.

## V. CONCLUSION

We introduced RS-Agent, an advanced intelligent agent that automates remote sensing tasks by integrating large language models (LLMs) with state-of-the-art remote sensing image processing tools. RS-Agent excels in many tasks, including scene classification, visual question answering, and object counting, which demonstrate superior performance across multiple datasets. With Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) technology, RS-Agent accesses a specialized knowledge database to handle complex technical queries. Our work highlights RS-Agent’s potential to simplify complex tasks, reduce reliance on skilled technical personnel, and make remote sensing analysis in complex scenarios accessible.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Wang, J. Zhen, W. Hu, S. Chen, I. Lizaga, M. Zeraatpisheh, and X. Yang, "Remote sensing of soil degradation: Progress and perspective," *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 2023.
- [2] S. Koshimura, L. Moya, E. Mas, and Y. Bai, "Tsunami damage detection with remote sensing: A review," *Geosciences*, vol. 10, no. 5, p. 177, 2020.
- [3] J. Li, Y. Pei, S. Zhao, R. Xiao, X. Sang, and C. Zhang, "A review of remote sensing for environmental monitoring in china," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 12, no. 7, p. 1130, 2020.
- [4] X. X. Yang, *Urban remote sensing: monitoring, synthesis and modeling in the urban environment*. John Wiley & Sons, 2021.
- [5] K. Li, G. Wan, G. Cheng, L. Meng, and J. Han, "Object detection in optical remote sensing images: A survey and a new benchmark," *ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing*, vol. 159, pp. 296–307, 2020.
- [6] Y. Wang, S. M. A. Bashir, M. Khan, Q. Ullah, R. Wang, Y. Song, Z. Guo, and Y. Niu, "Remote sensing image super-resolution and object detection: Benchmark and state of the art," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 197, p. 116793, 2022.
- [7] R. Ghali and M. A. Akhloufi, "Deep learning approaches for wildland fires remote sensing: Classification, detection, and segmentation," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 15, no. 7, p. 1821, 2023.
- [8] J. Roberts, T. Lüddecke, S. Das, K. Han, and S. Albanie, "Gpt4geo: How a language model sees the world's geography," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00020*, 2023.
- [9] J. Achiam, S. Adler, S. Agarwal, L. Ahmad, I. Akkaya, F. L. Aleman, D. Almeida, J. Altenschmidt, S. Altman, S. Anadkat *et al.*, "Gpt-4 technical report," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [10] H. Touvron, T. Lavril, G. Izacard, X. Martinet, M.-A. Lachaux, T. Lacroix, B. Rozière, N. Goyal, E. Hambro, F. Azhar *et al.*, "Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- [11] S. Zhang, S. Roller, N. Goyal, M. Artetxe, M. Chen, S. Chen, C. Dewan, M. Diab, X. Li, X. V. Lin *et al.*, "Opt: Open pre-trained transformer language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01068*, 2022.
- [12] H. Liu, C. Li, Q. Wu, and Y. J. Lee, "Visual instruction tuning," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [13] C. Tao, R. Xiao, Y. Wang, J. Qi, and H. Li, "A general transitive transfer learning framework for cross-optical sensor remote sensing image scene understanding," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 2023.
- [14] L. Bashmal, Y. Bazi, F. Melgani, R. Ricci, M. M. Al Rahhal, and M. Zuair, "Visual question generation from remote sensing images," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. 16, pp. 3279–3293, 2023.
- [15] Y. Hu, J. Yuan, C. Wen, X. Lu, and X. Li, "Rsgpt: A remote sensing vision language model and benchmark," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15266*, 2023.
- [16] K. Kuckreja, M. S. Danish, M. Naseer, A. Das, S. Khan, and F. S. Khan, "Geochat: Grounded large vision-language model for remote sensing," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15826*, 2023.
- [17] T. Wei, W. Yuan, J. Luo, W. Zhang, and L. Lu, "Vlca: vision-language aligning model with cross-modal attention for bilingual remote sensing image captioning," *Journal of Systems Engineering and Electronics*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 9–18, 2023.
- [18] M. Gao, X. Hu, J. Ruan, X. Pu, and X. Wan, "Llm-based nlg evaluation: Current status and challenges," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01383*, 2024.
- [19] J. Ruan, Y. Chen, B. Zhang, Z. Xu, T. Bao, G. Du, S. Shi, H. Mao, X. Zeng, and R. Zhao, "Tptu: Task planning and tool usage of large language model-based ai agents," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.03427*, 2023.
- [20] Z. Xi, W. Chen, X. Guo, W. He, Y. Ding, B. Hong, M. Zhang, J. Wang, S. Jin, E. Zhou *et al.*, "The rise and potential of large language model based agents: A survey," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.07864*, 2023.
- [21] S. J. Russell and P. Norvig, *Artificial intelligence: a modern approach*. Pearson, 2016.
- [22] A. Newell and H. A. Simon, "Computer science as empirical inquiry: Symbols and search," in *ACM Turing award lectures*, 2007, p. 1975.
- [23] N. J. Nilsson, "Toward agent programs with circuit semantics," *Tech. Rep.*, 1992.
- [24] M. Ginsberg, *Essentials of artificial intelligence*. Newnes, 2012.
- [25] R. V. Guha and D. B. Lenat, "Enabling agents to work together," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 126–142, 1994.
- [26] L. P. Kaelbling *et al.*, "An architecture for intelligent reactive systems," *Reasoning about actions and plans*, pp. 395–410, 1987.
- [27] R. A. Brooks, "Intelligence without representation," *Artificial intelligence*, vol. 47, no. 1-3, pp. 139–159, 1991.
- [28] P. Maes, *Designing autonomous agents: Theory and practice from biology to engineering and back*. MIT press, 1990.
- [29] R. S. Sutton and A. G. Barto, *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*. MIT press, 2018.
- [30] D. Silver, A. Huang, C. J. Maddison, A. Guez, L. Sifre, G. Van Den Driessche, J. Schrittwieser, I. Antonoglou, V. Panneershelvam, M. Lanctot *et al.*, "Mastering the game of go with deep neural networks and tree search," *nature*, vol. 529, no. 7587, pp. 484–489, 2016.
- [31] V. Mnih, K. Kavukcuoglu, D. Silver, A. Graves, I. Antonoglou, D. Wierstra, and M. Riedmiller, "Playing atari with deep reinforcement learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.5602*, 2013.
- [32] T. Brys, A. Harutyunyan, M. E. Taylor, and A. Nowé, "Policy transfer using reward shaping," in *AAMAS*, 2015, pp. 181–188.
- [33] Z. Zhu, K. Lin, A. K. Jain, and J. Zhou, "Transfer learning in deep reinforcement learning: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2023.
- [34] A. Tirinzoni, A. Sessa, M. Pirota, and M. Restelli, "Importance weighted transfer of samples in reinforcement learning," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2018, pp. 4936–4945.
- [35] H. Touvron, L. Martin, K. Stone, P. Albert, A. Almahairi, Y. Babaei, N. Bashlykov, S. Batra, P. Bhargava, S. Bhosale *et al.*, "Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [36] L. Wang, C. Ma, X. Feng, Z. Zhang, H. Yang, J. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Tang, X. Chen, Y. Lin *et al.*, "A survey on large language model based autonomous agents," *Frontiers of Computer Science*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 1–26, 2024.
- [37] X. Chen, S. Li, H. Li, S. Jiang, Y. Qi, and L. Song, "Generative adversarial user model for reinforcement learning based recommendation system," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2019, pp. 1052–1061.
- [38] N. Shinn, F. Cassano, A. Gopinath, K. Narasimhan, and S. Yao, "Reflexion: Language agents with verbal reinforcement learning," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [39] Y. Shen, K. Song, X. Tan, D. Li, W. Lu, and Y. Zhuang, "Hugginggpt: Solving ai tasks with chatgpt and its friends in hugging face," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [40] R. Nakano, J. Hilton, S. Balaji, J. Wu, L. Ouyang, C. Kim, C. Hesse, S. Jain, V. Kosaraju, W. Saunders *et al.*, "Webgpt: Browser-assisted question-answering with human feedback," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.09332*, 2021.
- [41] S. Yao, J. Zhao, D. Yu, N. Du, I. Shafraan, K. Narasimhan, and Y. Cao, "React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03629*, 2022.
- [42] C. Wu, S. Yin, W. Qi, X. Wang, Z. Tang, and N. Duan, "Visual chatgpt: Talking, drawing and editing with visual foundation models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04671*, 2023.
- [43] D. Yang, L. Hu, Y. Tian, Z. Li, C. Kelly, B. Yang, C. Yang, and Y. Zou, "Worldgpt: a sora-inspired video ai agent as rich world models from text and image inputs," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07944*, 2024.
- [44] K. I. Roumeliotis and N. D. Tselikas, "Chatgpt and open-ai models: A preliminary review," *Future Internet*, vol. 15, no. 6, p. 192, 2023.
- [45] S. Du, S. Tang, W. Wang, X. Li, and R. Guo, "Tree-gpt: Modular large language model expert system for forest remote sensing image understanding and interactive analysis," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.04698*, 2023.
- [46] K. Chen, Z. Zhang, W. Zeng, R. Zhang, F. Zhu, and R. Zhao, "Shikra: Unleashing multimodal llm's referential dialogue magic," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15195*, 2023.
- [47] J. Chen, D. Zhu, X. Shen, X. Li, Z. Liu, P. Zhang, R. Krishnamoorthi, V. Chandra, Y. Xiong, and M. Elhoseiny, "Minigpt-v2: large language model as a unified interface for vision-language multi-task learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09478*, 2023.
- [48] Q. Wang, W. Huang, X. Zhang, and X. Li, "Word-sentence framework for remote sensing image captioning," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 10 532–10 543, 2020.
- [49] U. Zia, M. M. Riaz, and A. Ghafoor, "Transforming remote sensing images to textual descriptions," *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, vol. 108, p. 102741, 2022.
- [50] C. Chen, H. Ma, G. Yao, N. Lv, H. Yang, C. Li, and S. Wan, "Remote sensing image augmentation based on text description for waterside change detection," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. 1894, 2021.
- [51] R. Zhao and Z. Shi, "Text-to-remote-sensing-image generation with structured generative adversarial networks," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 19, pp. 1–5, 2021.



- [52] T. Abdullah, Y. Bazi, M. M. Al Rahhal, M. L. Mekhalfi, L. Rangarajan, and M. Zuair, "Textrs: Deep bidirectional triplet network for matching text to remote sensing images," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 405, 2020.
- [53] M. M. A. Rahhal, Y. Bazi, T. Abdullah, M. L. Mekhalfi, and M. Zuair, "Deep unsupervised embedding for remote sensing image retrieval using textual cues," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 24, p. 8931, 2020.
- [54] X. Zheng, B. Wang, X. Du, and X. Lu, "Mutual attention inception network for remote sensing visual question answering," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 60, pp. 1–14, 2021.
- [55] Z. Yuan, L. Mou, Q. Wang, and X. X. Zhu, "From easy to hard: Learning language-guided curriculum for visual question answering on remote sensing data," *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*, vol. 60, pp. 1–11, 2022.
- [56] Z. Li, D. Zhang, Y. Wang, D. Lin, and J. Zhang, "Generative adversarial networks for zero-shot remote sensing scene classification," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 8, p. 3760, 2022.
- [57] S. Lobry, D. Marcos, J. Murray, and D. Tuia, "Rsvqa: Visual question answering for remote sensing data," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 58, no. 12, pp. 8555–8566, 2020.
- [58] Y. Bazi, M. M. Al Rahhal, M. L. Mekhalfi, M. A. Al Zuair, and F. Melgani, "Bi-modal transformer-based approach for visual question answering in remote sensing imagery," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 60, pp. 1–11, 2022.
- [59] Y. Zhan, Z. Xiong, and Y. Yuan, "Rsvq: Exploring data and models for visual grounding on remote sensing data," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 61, pp. 1–13, 2023.
- [60] L. Bashmal, Y. Bazi, M. M. Al Rahhal, M. Zuair, and F. Melgani, "Capera: Captioning events in aerial videos," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 15, no. 8, p. 2139, 2023.
- [61] Y. Zhan, Z. Xiong, and Y. Yuan, "Skyeyegpt: Unifying remote sensing vision-language tasks via instruction tuning with large language model," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09712*, 2024.
- [62] Y. Qin, S. Hu, Y. Lin, W. Chen, N. Ding, G. Cui, Z. Zeng, Y. Huang, C. Xiao, C. Han *et al.*, "Tool learning with foundation models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08354*, 2023.
- [63] N. Shapira, M. Levy, S. H. Alavi, X. Zhou, Y. Choi, Y. Goldberg, M. Sap, and V. Shwartz, "Clever hans or neural theory of mind? stress testing social reasoning in large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14763*, 2023.
- [64] J. Johnson, M. Douze, and H. Jégou, "Billion-scale similarity search with gpus," *IEEE Transactions on Big Data*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 535–547, 2019.
- [65] T. Kenneweg, P. Kenneweg, and B. Hammer, "Retrieval augmented generation systems: Automatic dataset creation, evaluation and boolean agent setup," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.00820*, 2024.
- [66] H. Liu, C. Li, Y. Li, and Y. J. Lee, "Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03744*, 2023.
- [67] W. Dai, J. Li, D. Li, A. M. H. Tiong, J. Zhao, W. Wang, B. Li, P. N. Fung, and S. Hoi, "Instructblip: Towards general-purpose vision-language models with instruction tuning," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [68] Q. Ye, H. Xu, G. Xu, J. Ye, M. Yan, Y. Zhou, J. Wang, A. Hu, P. Shi, Y. Shi *et al.*, "mplug-owl: Modularization empowers large language models with multimodality," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14178*, 2023.
- [69] J. Bai, S. Bai, S. Yang, S. Wang, S. Tan, P. Wang, J. Lin, C. Zhou, and J. Zhou, "Qwen-vl: A frontier large vision-language model with versatile abilities," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12966*, 2023.
- [70] D. Muhtar, Z. Li, F. Gu, X. Zhang, and P. Xiao, "Lhrs-bot: Empowering remote sensing with vqi-enhanced large multimodal language model," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02544*, 2024.
- [71] L. Ouyang, J. Wu, X. Jiang, D. Almeida, C. Wainwright, P. Mishkin, C. Zhang, S. Agarwal, K. Slama, A. Ray *et al.*, "Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 35, pp. 27 730–27 744, 2022.
- [72] H. Chase, "Langchain," 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/hwchase17/langchain>
- [73] A. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly *et al.*, "An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- [74] M. Caron, H. Touvron, I. Misra, H. Jégou, J. Mairal, P. Bojanowski, and A. Joulin, "Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 9650–9660.
- [75] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, "Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database," in *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. Ieee, 2009, pp. 248–255.
- [76] Y. Li, Z. Zhu, J.-G. Yu, and Y. Zhang, "Learning deep cross-modal embedding networks for zero-shot remote sensing image scene classification," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 10 590–10 603, 2021.
- [77] G.-S. Xia, J. Hu, F. Hu, B. Shi, X. Bai, Y. Zhong, L. Zhang, and X. Lu, "Aid: A benchmark data set for performance evaluation of aerial scene classification," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3965–3981, 2017.
- [78] Y. Yang and S. Newsam, "Bag-of-visual-words and spatial extensions for land-use classification," in *Proceedings of the 18th SIGSPATIAL international conference on advances in geographic information systems*, 2010, pp. 270–279.
- [79] Ultralytics, "Yolov8: Official yolov8 model implementation by ultralytics," 2023, ... [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/ultralytics/yolov8>
- [80] J. Redmon, S. Divvala, R. Girshick, and A. Farhadi, "You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 779–788.
- [81] G.-S. Xia, X. Bai, J. Ding, Z. Zhu, S. Belongie, J. Luo, M. Datcu, M. Pelillo, and L. Zhang, "Dota: A large-scale dataset for object detection in aerial images," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2018, pp. 3974–3983.