

CONSTRUCTIONS, BOUNDS, AND ALGORITHMS FOR PEACEABLE QUEENS

KATIE CLINCH, MATTHEW DRESCHER, TONY HUYNH, AND ABDALLAH SAFFIDINE

ABSTRACT. The *peaceable queens problem* asks to determine the maximum number $a(n)$ such that there is a placement of $a(n)$ white queens and $a(n)$ black queens on an $n \times n$ chessboard so that no queen can capture any queen of the opposite color.

In this paper, we consider the peaceable queens problem and its variant on the toroidal board. For the regular board, we show that $a(n) \leq 0.1716n^2$, for all sufficiently large n . This improves on the bound $a(n) \leq 0.25n^2$ of van Bommel and MacEachern [15].

For the toroidal board, we provide new upper and lower bounds. Somewhat surprisingly, our bounds show that there is a sharp contrast in behaviour between the odd torus and the even torus. Our lower bounds are given by explicit constructions. For the upper bounds, we formulate the problem as a non-linear optimization problem with at most 100 variables, regardless of the size of the board. We solve our non-linear program exactly using modern optimization software.

We also provide a local search algorithm and a software implementation which converges very rapidly to solutions which appear optimal. Our algorithm is sufficiently robust that it works on both the regular and toroidal boards. For example, for the regular board, the algorithm quickly finds the so-called *Ainley construction*. Thus, our work provides some further evidence that the Ainley construction is indeed optimal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The *peaceable queens problem* asks to determine the maximum number $a(n)$ such that there is a placement of $a(n)$ white queens and $a(n)$ black queens on an $n \times n$ chessboard so that no queen can capture any queen of the opposite color. It was posed by Bosch [3] in 1999, and was added to the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS) in 2014 by Donald E. Knuth.

Although very simple to state, the peaceable queens problem has proven to be an extremely difficult optimization problem [7–10, 14] with surprisingly varied and aesthetic optimal solutions. Currently, only the first 15 terms of $a(n)$ are known [13]. By a popular vote of the editors of the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS), the sequence $a(n)$ was awarded notable sequence number A250000. The problem was popularized by N.J.A. Sloane in his *Notices of the AMS* article [11], as well as his delightful Numberphile video on YouTube [12].

The earliest known reference for the peaceable queens problem is actually Stephen Ainley’s 1977 book *Mathematical Puzzles* [1]. In his book, Ainley gives a construction which shows that $a(n) \geq \lfloor \frac{7}{48}n^2 \rfloor$. This construction (see Figure 1) was rediscovered by Benoit Jubin in 2015, and later Yao and Zeilberger conjectured that Ainley’s construction is optimal [16]. Our work also seems to support this conjecture.

(Katie Clinch) SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, UNSW, AUSTRALIA

(Matthew Drescher) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, USA

(Tony Huynh) DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA, ITALY

(Abdallah Saffidine) SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, UNSW, AUSTRALIA

E-mail addresses: k.clinch@unsw.edu.au, knavely@gmail.com, huynh@di.uniroma1.it, abdallah.saffidine@gmail.com.

Matthew Drescher was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant #2127309 to the Computing Research Association for the CIFellows 2021 Project.




FIGURE 1. Ainley’s construction for $n = 33$. The number of white queens is $158 = \lfloor \frac{7}{48}n^2 \rfloor$.

There are natural extensions of the peaceable queens problem to other “surfaces” by identifying the boundary of the board appropriately (see [6]). Our methods can be easily adapted to work on all such surfaces. However, for simplicity, we focus on the regular board and the toroidal board, whose corresponding sequences we denote by $a(n)$ and $t(n)$, respectively. The sequence $t(n)$ also appears in the OEIS as sequence number A279405 [17]. At the time of writing, only the first 12 terms of $t(n)$ are known, due to Zabolotskiy and Huchala [17]. However, with these first few terms it is already clear that the behaviour of $a(n)$ and $t(n)$ differ substantially. For example, it is obvious that $a(n)$ is non-decreasing since the $n \times n$ regular board embeds into the $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ regular board. However, this is not true for the toroidal board, since $t(8) > t(9)$.

The following are our main results.

Theorem 1.1 (Even torus lower bound). $t(n) \geq 0.1339n^2$, for all sufficiently large even n .

The lower bound in [Theorem 1.1](#) is given by an explicit construction. See [Figure 2](#).




FIGURE 2. Lower bound construction for the even torus.

Theorem 1.2 (Even torus upper bound). $t(n) \leq 0.1402n^2$, for all n .

Theorem 1.3 (Odd torus lower bound). $t(n) \geq 0.0833n^2$, for all sufficiently large odd n .

The lower bound in [Theorem 1.3](#) is given by an explicit construction. See [Figure 3](#).




FIGURE 3. Lower bound construction for the odd torus.

Theorem 1.4 (Odd torus upper bound). $t(n) \leq 0.125n^2$, for all odd n .

Theorem 1.5 (Regular board upper bound). $a(n) \leq 0.1716n^2$, for all sufficiently large n .

Our upper bounds are obtained by solving a non-linear program which models a carefully chosen Venn diagram. Roughly speaking, there is a variable for the size of each ‘region’ of the Venn diagram. We use a slightly different non-linear program for the even torus, odd torus, and regular board. However, in all three cases, the number of variables is at most 100, regardless of the board size. This allows us to solve all three non-linear programs exactly using modern optimization packages. The astute reader will notice that our upper bound for the even torus is significantly better than our upper bounds for the odd torus and the regular board. This is because we encode extra symmetries into our non-linear program which do not exist for the odd torus and the regular board.

Note that our lower bound for the even torus is much larger than our upper bound for the odd torus. Therefore, the behaviour of $t(n)$ differs significantly for odd and even n . In particular, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.6. $t(2n) - t(2n - 1) \geq 0.0356n^2$, for all sufficiently large n .

Our bound $a(n) \leq 0.1716n^2$ from [Theorem 1.5](#) improves on the bound $a(n) \leq 0.25n^2$ proven by van Bommel and MacEachern [15]. The proof from [15] does not actually use the fact that queens can attack diagonally. In contrast, our non-linear program crucially exploits this fact. This is necessary since there is a lower bound of $0.25n^2$ for the ‘peaceable rooks’ problem. In principle, it may be possible to match the lower bound $\frac{7}{48}n^2 \approx 0.1458n^2$ given by the Ainley construction by adding more valid constraints to our non-linear program.

We also describe a simple local search algorithm that appears to converge very rapidly to optimal solutions for both the regular and toroidal boards. For example, for the regular board, our algorithm quickly finds the Ainley example as well as many of the other examples listed on the OEIS which match the Ainley example. For the even torus, our algorithm quickly finds the example from [Theorem 1.1](#), as well as other examples which match that bound. For the odd torus, our algorithm actually finds examples with significantly more queens than our construction from [Theorem 1.3](#). However, due to their irregularity, we were unable to generalize these examples to a construction that works for all odd n . Our experimental data suggests that the Ainley construction is optimal for the regular board, and the construction from [Theorem 1.1](#) is (essentially) optimal for the even torus (see [Conjecture 5.1](#)). We have embedded our algorithm

into an interactive "browser app" called [Pieceable Queens](#)¹, where the interested reader can run it for themselves [5].

Paper Outline. We begin with some notation in [Section 2](#). In [Section 3](#), we describe our explicit constructions which prove [Theorems 1.1](#), and [1.3](#). In [Section 4](#), we define our non-linear programs and prove [Theorem 1.2](#), [Theorem 1.4](#), and [Theorem 1.5](#). We describe our algorithm in [Section 5](#). In [Appendix A](#) we list the best odd torus solutions found by our algorithm.

2. NOTATION

For integers $a \leq b$, we let $[a, b] := \{a, \dots, b\}$ and $[a] = [1, a]$. We refer to elements of $[n] \times [n]$ as *cells*. We define $X \subseteq [n] \times [n]$ to be

- a *row* if $X = \{i\} \times [n]$ for some $i \in [n]$,
- a *column* if $X = [n] \times \{j\}$ for some $j \in [n]$,
- a *diagonal* if $X = \{(i, j) \mid i - j = k\}$ for some $k \in [-(n-1), n-1]$,
- a *skew-diagonal* if $X = \{(i, j) \mid i + j = k\}$ for some $k \in [2, 2n]$,
- a \mathbb{Z}_n -*diagonal* if $X = \{(i, j) \mid i - j \equiv k \pmod{n}\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, and
- a \mathbb{Z}_n -*skew-diagonal* if $X = \{(i, j) \mid i + j \equiv k \pmod{n}\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

The $n \times n$ *grid*, denoted \mathbb{G}_n , is the hypergraph with vertex set $[n] \times [n]$, whose hyperedges are the rows, columns, diagonals, and skew-diagonals of $[n] \times [n]$. The $n \times n$ *torus*, denoted \mathbb{T}_n , is the hypergraph with vertex set $[n] \times [n]$, whose hyperedges are the rows, columns, \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonals, and \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonals of $[n] \times [n]$. Thus \mathbb{G}_n and \mathbb{T}_n each have four *types* of hyperedges.

A *peaceful battle* on \mathbb{G}_n (*respectively*, \mathbb{T}_n) is a pair (B, W) , where B and W are disjoint subsets of $[n] \times [n]$ such that $e \cap B = \emptyset$ or $e \cap W = \emptyset$ for every hyperedge $e \in E(\mathbb{G}_n)$ (*respectively*, $E(\mathbb{T}_n)$). We say that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is *peaceable* on \mathbb{G}_n (*respectively*, \mathbb{T}_n) if there is a peaceful battle (B, W) on \mathbb{G}_n (*respectively*, \mathbb{T}_n) such that $|B| = |W| = m$. With this terminology, $a(n)$ is the maximum integer m such that m is peaceable on \mathbb{G}_n , and $t(n)$ is the maximum integer m such that m is peaceable on \mathbb{T}_n . Since every hyperedge of \mathbb{G}_n is contained in a hyperedge of \mathbb{T}_n , we clearly have $a(n) \geq t(n)$, for all n .

3. LOWER BOUNDS

We begin with our construction for the even torus. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in [n]$. The (a, b) -*plaid* is the pair $(B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3, W)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \{(i, j) \subseteq [a] \times [b] : i + j \text{ is odd}\}, \\ B_2 &= \{(i, j) \subseteq [a] \times [b + 1, 2n] : i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd}\}, \\ B_3 &= \{(i, j) \subseteq [a + 1, 2n] \times [b] : i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even}\}, \text{ and} \\ W &= \{(i, j) \subseteq [a + 1, 2n] \times [b + 1, 2n] : i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is even}\}. \end{aligned}$$

See [Figure 2](#) for a drawing of the $(8, 10)$ -plaid on \mathbb{T}_{32} .

Lemma 3.1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in [n]$, the (a, b) -plaid is a peaceful battle on \mathbb{T}_{2n} .*

Proof. Let (B, W) be the (a, b) -plaid and let $e \in E(\mathbb{T}_{2n})$. It is clear that $e \cap B = \emptyset$ or $e \cap W = \emptyset$ if e is a row or column. It remains to consider the case that e is a \mathbb{Z}_{2n} -diagonal or a \mathbb{Z}_{2n} -skew-diagonal. Say that $X \subseteq V(\mathbb{T}_{2n})$ is *parity consistent* if $i + j \equiv i' + j' \pmod{2}$ for all $(i, j), (i', j') \in X$. Note that all \mathbb{Z}_{2n} -diagonals and \mathbb{Z}_{2n} -skew-diagonals are parity consistent. Since $i + j$ is odd for all $(i, j) \in B$ and $i + j$ is even for all $(i, j) \in W$, it follows that $e \cap B = \emptyset$ or $e \cap W = \emptyset$ if e is a \mathbb{Z}_{2n} -diagonal or a \mathbb{Z}_{2n} -skew-diagonal. \square

¹We strongly recommend to run Pieceable Queens in Firefox.

The key property of \mathbb{T}_{2n} used in the above proof is that all \mathbb{Z}_{2n} -diagonals and \mathbb{Z}_{2n} -skew-diagonals are parity consistent. Note that this property does not hold for the odd torus, and so a different construction is required. The next lemma shows how to choose an (a, b) -plaid (B, W) so that both B and W are large.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $c := 2 - \sqrt{3}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even, $a := \lfloor cn \rfloor$, and $b := \lceil cn \rceil$. Let (B, W) be the (a, b) -plaid on \mathbb{T}_n . Then $\min\{|B|, |W|\} \geq \frac{c}{2}n^2 - O(n)$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$|B| \approx \frac{1}{2}ab + \frac{1}{4}a(n-b) + \frac{1}{4}b(n-a) \approx \frac{c^2n^2}{2} + \frac{c(1-c)n^2}{2} = \frac{c}{2}n^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$|W| \approx \frac{1}{4}(n-a)(n-b) \approx \frac{(1-c)^2}{4}n^2 = \frac{c}{2}n^2.$$

The above computations clearly hold up to an $O(n)$ error. Thus, $\min\{|B|, |W|\} \geq \frac{c}{2}n^2 - O(n)$, as required. \square

We are now ready to prove [Theorem 1.1](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $c' := \frac{2-\sqrt{3}}{2}$. By [Lemma 3.2](#), for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sufficiently large N such that for every even $n \geq N$ there is an (a, b) -plaid (B, W) on \mathbb{T}_n with $\min\{|B|, |W|\} \geq (c' - \epsilon)n^2$. Since $c' > 0.1339$, we are done. \square

We now turn our attention to the odd torus. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd. Let W be the set of pairs $(i, j) \in [n] \times [n]$ such that

- i and j are both odd,
- $-\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor \leq i - j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1$, and
- $i + j \leq \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$ or $i + j \geq \lceil \frac{4n}{3} \rceil + 1$.

Similarly, let B be the set of pairs $(i, j) \in [n] \times [n]$ such that

- i and j are both even,
- $\lceil \frac{2n}{3} + 1 \rceil \leq i + j \leq \lceil \frac{4n}{3} \rceil$, and
- $i - j \geq -\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1$ or $i - j \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$.

We define the *n-argyle* to be the pair (B, W) . See [Figure 3](#) for a picture of the 31-argyle.

Lemma 3.3. *For all odd n , the n -argyle is a peaceful battle on \mathbb{T}_n .*

Proof. Let (B, W) be the n -argyle and let $e \in E(\mathbb{T}_n)$. It is clear that $e \cap B = \emptyset$ or $e \cap W = \emptyset$ if e is a row or column. It remains to consider the case that e is a \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonal or a \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonal. Suppose e is a \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonal. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $i - j \equiv k \pmod{n}$ for all $(i, j) \in e$. We may assume that $k \in [-\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor, n - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1]$. If $k \in [-\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1]$, then $e \cap B = \emptyset$. On the other hand, if $k \in [\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor, n - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1]$, then $e \cap W = \emptyset$. The case that e is a \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonal is analogous. \square

Since $\frac{1}{12} > 0.0833$, the following lemma immediately implies [Theorem 1.3](#).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and let (B, W) be the n -argyle. Then $\min\{|B|, |W|\} \geq \frac{1}{12}n^2 - O(n)$.*

Proof. Partition $[n] \times [n]$ into a set \mathcal{T} of 36 congruent ‘triangles’ such that each of B and W intersects exactly 12 triangles. From [Figure 3](#), it is clear what \mathcal{T} should be in general. Let T_B and T_W be the union of the triangles which intersect B and W respectively. Note that B covers roughly $\frac{1}{4}$ of the cells in T_B , and W covers roughly $\frac{1}{4}$ of the cells in T_W . Thus,

$$\min\{|B|, |W|\} \approx \frac{12}{36} \cdot \frac{1}{4}n^2 = \frac{1}{12}n^2.$$

Since the above argument clearly holds up to an $O(n)$ error, we are done. \square

4. UPPER BOUNDS

In this section, we prove upper bounds on $a(n)$ and $t(n)$ via non-linear programming. Our implementation of all three non-linear programs is available online [4]. We begin with the odd torus.

4.1. Odd torus. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and let (B, W) be a largest peaceful battle on \mathbb{T}_n with $|B| = |W|$. Suppose that B intersects exactly r rows, c columns, d \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonals and a \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonals of \mathbb{T}_n . Let $R, C, D, A \subseteq V(\mathbb{T}_n)$ be the union of these rows, columns, \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonals, and \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonals, respectively. Thus, $|R| = rn$, $|C| = cn$, $|D| = dn$ and $|A| = an$. Since B is contained in $R \cap C \cap D \cap A$ and W is disjoint from $R \cap C \cap D \cap A$, we have

$$|R \cap C \cap D \cap A| \geq |B| \quad \text{and} \quad |\overline{R \cup C \cup D \cup A}| \geq |W|. \quad (1)$$




FIGURE 4. Each y_X variable represents the fraction of vertices of \mathbb{T}_n in X . Each z_S variable represents the fraction of vertices of \mathbb{T}_n contained in that region of the Venn Diagram.

Observe that each hyperedge of \mathbb{T}_n has n vertices and if e and e' are distinct hyperedges of the same type, then $e \cap e' = \emptyset$. On the other hand, if e and e' are of different types, then $|e \cap e'| = 1$. Thus, the following equations hold.

$$|X| = xn \quad \text{for all } (x, X) \in \{(r, R), (c, C), (d, D), (a, A)\} \quad (2)$$

$$|X \cap X'| = xx' \quad \text{for all distinct } (x, X), (x', X') \in \{(r, R), (c, C), (d, D), (a, A)\} \quad (3)$$

We now introduce variables to encode the above equations. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of 16 regions of the Venn diagram of R, C, D , and A . For each $S \in \mathcal{S}$, we let $z_S := \frac{|S|}{n^2} \in [0, 1]$. See Figure 4.1 for a portion of the corresponding Venn diagram.

By the above equations, it suffices to solve the following optimization problem.

$$\text{maximize: } \min\{z_{R \cap C \cap D \cap A}, z_{\overline{RUCUDUA}}\}$$

such that

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} z_S = 1$$

$$y_X = \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S} \\ S \subseteq X}} z_S, \quad \text{for all } X \in \{R, C, D, A\}$$

$$y_{X \cap X'} = \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S} \\ S \subseteq X \cap X'}} z_S, \quad \text{for all } X, X' \in \{R, C, D, A\}$$

$$y_{X \cap X'} = y_X y_{X'}, \quad \text{for all distinct } X, X' \in \{R, C, D, A\}$$

$$z_S \in [0, 1], \quad \text{for all } S \in \mathcal{S}$$

We ran interior point solver IPOPT, which used the exact Hessian method to find an optimal objective value of $\frac{1}{8}$ in 17 iterations [4]. It encodes the above model using the excellent Gekko optimization package [2]. This proves [Theorem 1.2](#).

4.2. Even torus. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and let (B, W) be a largest peaceful battle on \mathbb{T}_n with $|B| = |W|$. Our model is similar to the odd torus, except that on the even torus \mathbb{T}_n , there are diagonals and skew-diagonals which do not intersect. To deal with this, we refine the types of hyperedges as follows. A \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonal e is *odd* (respectively, *even*) if for all $(i, j) \in e$, $i - j$ is even (respectively, odd). Similarly, a \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonal e is *odd* (respectively, *even*) if for all $(i, j) \in e$, $i + j$ is even (respectively, odd). Since all \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonals are parity consistent, every \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonal is either even or odd. The same holds for \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonals.

We now proceed as in the odd torus, except that there are more variables. To be precise, suppose that B intersects exactly r rows, c columns, d_0 even \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonals, d_1 odd \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonals, a_0 even \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonals, and a_1 odd \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonals of \mathbb{T}_n . Let $R, C, D_0, D_1, A_0, A_1 \subseteq V(\mathbb{T}_n)$ be the union of these rows, columns, even \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonals, odd \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonals, even \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonals, and odd \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonals, respectively. Thus, $|R| = rn$, $|C| = cn$, $|D_0| = d_0n$, $|D_1| = d_1n$, $|A_0| = a_0n$, and $|A_1| = a_1n$. Observe that

$$|R \cap C \cap D_0 \cap A_0| + |R \cap C \cap D_1 \cap A_1| \geq |B| \quad \text{and} \quad |\overline{RUCUD_0 \cup D_1 \cup A_0 \cup A_1}| \geq |W|.$$

Let $e \in E(\mathbb{T}_n)$ and $e' \in E(\mathbb{T}_n)$. If e is a row and e' is a column, then $|e \cap e'| = 1$. If e is an even (respectively, odd) \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonal and e' is an even (respectively, odd) \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonal, then $|e \cap e'| = 2$. If e is a row or column and e' is a \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonal or a \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonal, then $|e \cap e'| = 1$. Finally, if e is an odd \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonal (respectively, odd \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonal) and e' is an even \mathbb{Z}_n -diagonal (respectively, even \mathbb{Z}_n -skew-diagonal), then $|e \cap e'| = 0$. Thus, we have the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &= xn && \text{if } (x, X) \in \{(r, R), (c, C), (d_0, D_0), (d_1, D_1), (a_0, A_0), (a_1, A_1)\} \\ |R \cap C| &= rc \\ |D_0 \cap A_0| &= 2d_0a_0 \\ |D_1 \cap A_1| &= 2d_1a_1 \\ |X \cap X'| &= xx' && \text{if } (x, X) \in \{(r, R), (c, C)\} \text{ and } (x', X') \in \{(d_0, D_0), (d_1, D_1), (a_0, A_0), (a_1, A_1)\} \\ |X \cap X'| &= 0 && \text{if } (x, X) \in \{(d_0, D_0), (a_0, A_0)\} \text{ and } (x', X') \in \{(d_1, D_1), (a_1, A_1)\} \end{aligned}$$

We now introduce variables to encode the above equations. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of 64 regions of the Venn diagram of R, C, D_0, D_1, A_0 , and A_1 . For each $S \in \mathcal{S}$, we let $z_S := \frac{|S|}{n^2} \in [0, 1]$. Let $\square := \{R, C\}$, $\times := \{D_0, D_1, A_0, A_1\}$, and $\boxtimes := \square \cup \times$. Let $S_1 := \overline{R \cup C \cup D_0 \cup D_1 \cup A_0 \cup A_1}$, $S_2 := R \cap C \cap D_0 \cap D_1 \cap A_0 \cap A_1$, and $S_3 := \overline{R \cap C \cap D_0 \cap D_1 \cap A_0 \cap A_1}$. By the above equations, it suffices to solve the following optimization problem.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{maximize: } \min\{z_{S_1}, z_{S_2} + z_{S_3}\} \\
& \text{such that} \\
& \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} z_S = 1 \\
& y_X := \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S} \\ S \subseteq X}} z_S, & \text{for all } X \in \boxtimes \\
& y_{X \cap X'} := \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S} \\ S \subseteq X \cap X'}} z_S, & \text{for all } X, X' \in \boxtimes \\
& y_{R \cap C} = y_R y_C \\
& y_{D_0 \cap A_0} = 2y_{D_0} y_{A_0} \\
& y_{D_1 \cap A_1} = 2y_{D_1} y_{A_1} \\
& y_{X \cap X'} = y_{X'} y_X, & \text{if } X \in \square \text{ and } X' \in \times \\
& y_{X \cap X'} = 0, & \text{if } X \in \{D_0, A_0\} \text{ and } X' \in \{D_1, A_1\} \\
& y_X \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], & \text{for all } X \in \times \\
& z_S \in [0, 1], & \text{for all } S \in \mathcal{S}
\end{aligned}$$

Again, we ran interior point solver IPOPT via Gekko to find an optimal objective value of 0.140132093206979 in 100 iterations [4]. This proves [Theorem 1.2](#).

4.3. Regular Board. Let (B, W) be a largest peaceful battle on \mathbb{G}_n with $|B| = |W|$. We proceed as in the even torus, except with a minor adjustment since diagonals can have different lengths. As in the even torus, we partition the set of diagonals into *odd* or *even* diagonals, and the set of skew-diagonals into *odd* or *even* skew-diagonals. Let $R, C, D_0, D_1, A_0, A_1 \subseteq V(\mathbb{G}_n)$ be the union of these rows, columns, even diagonals, odd diagonals, even skew-diagonals, and odd skew-diagonals, respectively. Let $\square := \{R, C\}$, $\times := \{D_0, D_1, A_0, A_1\}$, and $\boxtimes := \square \cup \times$.

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of 64 regions of the Venn diagram of R, C, D_0, D_1, A_0 , and A_1 . For each $S \in \mathcal{S}$, we let $z_S := \frac{|S|}{n^2} \in [0, 1]$. Again we have

$$|R \cap C \cap D_0 \cap A_0| + |R \cap C \cap D_1 \cap A_1| \geq |B| \quad \text{and} \quad |\overline{R \cup C \cup D_0 \cup D_1 \cup A_0 \cup A_1}| \geq |W|.$$

The next lemma provides the key constraint of our non-linear program for the regular board \mathbb{G}_n .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ satisfy $\alpha \leq 2\sqrt{\beta}$. If $X \in \square$, $X' \in \times$, $|X| \leq \alpha n^2$, and $|X'| \leq \beta n^2$, then $|X \cap X'| \leq \alpha\sqrt{\beta}n^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{4}n^2 + O(n)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ is odd (the case that $\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ is even is similar). Let $X \in \square$, $X' \in \times$ with $|X| \leq \alpha n^2$ and $|X'| \leq \beta n^2$. Let $E_{X'}$ be the hyperedges of type X' contained in X' . For each $e \in E_{X'}$ define $r(e) := \frac{|e \cap X|}{|e|}$. Sort $E_{X'}$ in decreasing order according to $r(e)$. Let ℓ be the number of hyperedges of $E_{X'}$ with $r(e) = 1$. Observe that $\ell \leq \lceil \frac{\alpha n}{2} \rceil$. Moreover, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the $(\ell + i)$ th

hyperedge in this ordering satisfies $r(e) \leq \frac{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor}{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor + 2i}$. Let Y consist of the first $\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ rows, and Y' consist of all cells (i, j) such that $j - i \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, 2k\}$, where k is the largest integer strictly less than $\lfloor \sqrt{\beta n} \rfloor$. Note that $|Y| = n \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor \leq \alpha n^2$ and $|Y'| \leq \frac{(2k)^2}{4} \leq \frac{(2\sqrt{\beta n})^2}{4} = \beta n^2$. Let $E_{Y'}$ be the even anti-diagonals contained in Y' . For each $e \in E_{Y'}$ define $r(e) := \frac{|e \cap Y|}{|e|}$. Sort $E_{Y'}$ in decreasing order according to $r(e)$. Let ℓ' be the number of hyperedges of $E_{Y'}$ with $r(e) = 1$. Note that $\ell' = \lceil \frac{\alpha n}{2} \rceil \geq \ell$. Moreover, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the $(\ell + i)$ th hyperedge in this ordering satisfies $r(e) = \frac{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor}{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor + 2i}$. Therefore, $|Y \cap Y'| \geq |X \cap X'|$. We conclude the proof by observing that

$$|Y \cap Y'| \approx \frac{(\alpha n)(2\sqrt{\beta n})}{2} - \frac{(\alpha n)^2}{4} = \alpha\sqrt{\beta}n^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{4}n^2,$$

where the above approximation clearly holds up to a $O(n)$ error. \square

Let $S_1 := \overline{RUCUDUA}$, $S_2 := R \cap C \cap D_0 \cap \overline{D_1} \cap A_0 \cap \overline{A_1}$, and $S_3 := R \cap C \cap \overline{D_0} \cap D_1 \cap \overline{A_0} \cap A_1$. Consider the following optimization problem.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize: } \min\{z_{S_1}, z_{S_2} + z_{S_3}\} \\ & \text{such that} \\ & \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} z_S = 1 \\ & y_X = \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S} \\ S \subseteq X}} z_S, & \text{for all } X \in \boxtimes \\ & y_{X \cap X'} = \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S} \\ S \subseteq X \cap X'}} z_S, & \text{for all } X, X' \in \boxtimes \\ & y_{D_0 \cap D_1} = 0 \\ & y_{D_0 \cap A_1} = 0 \\ & y_{A_0 \cap D_1} = 0 \\ & y_{A_0 \cap A_1} = 0 \\ & y_{R \cap C} = y_R y_C \\ & y_X^2 + 4y_{X \cap X'} \leq 4y_X \sqrt{y_{X'}}, & \text{if } X \in \square, X' \in \times, y_X \leq 2\sqrt{y_{X'}} \leq 1 \\ & y_X \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], & \text{for all } X \in \times \\ & z_S \in [0, 1], & \text{for all } S \in \mathcal{S} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1 immediately implies the following.

Lemma 4.2. *If $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is the optimal value to the above optimization problem and $\alpha' > \alpha$ then $a(n) \leq \alpha' n^2$, for all sufficiently large n .*

Here we used the APOPT solver via Gekko [2] which ran in 27 iterations and found an optimal solution 0.171572875253810. This proves [Theorem 1.5](#).

5. THE ALGORITHM

We now describe our local search algorithm [5]. For concreteness, we will use the regular board \mathbb{G}_n , but the same algorithm works on the torus simply by replacing \mathbb{G}_n by \mathbb{T}_n in what follows. A key concept is the notion of a *swap*, which we now define. For each $X \subseteq V(\mathbb{G}_n)$, let E_X be the

hyperedges $e \in E(\mathbb{G}_n)$ such that $e \cap X \neq \emptyset$, and let $\widehat{X} := V(\mathbb{G}_n) \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E_X} e$. Note that (X, \widehat{X}) is a peaceful battle on \mathbb{G}_n for all $X \subseteq V(\mathbb{G}_n)$. Given a peaceful battle (B, W) on \mathbb{G}_n and $e \in E(\mathbb{G}_n)$, we say that (B', W') is obtained from (B, W) by *swapping on e* if $B' = B \setminus e$ and $W' = \widehat{B}'$. The main idea is that given a peaceful battle (B, W) with $|B| > |W|$, we can attempt to use swaps to increase $\min\{|B|, |W|\}$. For example, [Figure 5](#) shows how to improve an (a, b) -plaid on \mathbb{T}_{24} via swaps.




FIGURE 5. On the left is an (a, b) -plaid (B, W) with $|B| = 72$ and $|W| = 84$. Let c_2 and c_4 be the second and fourth columns, and r_{24} be the bottom row. By swapping on c_2 , then c_4 , and then r_{24} , we obtain the peaceful battle (B', W') on the right. Note that $|B'| = |W'| = 74$, so $\min\{|B'|, |W'|\} > \min\{|B|, |W|\}$.

Beginning with an initial peaceful battle (B, W) , the algorithm performs swaps to ‘improve’ the solution until $\min\{|B|, |W|\} \geq q$, where q is an input parameter. There are choices to be made about what ‘improve’ means. One natural candidate is to always improve the parameter $\min\{|B|, |W|\}$ at each step of the algorithm. In practice, we have found that it is advantageous to allow $\min\{|B|, |W|\}$ to decrease, provided $|B| + |W|$ increases. Thus, every swap either increases $\min\{|B|, |W|\}$ or $|B| + |W|$. It appears as if ‘most’ initial choices of (B, W) converge to an optimal solution via a sequence of swaps. In practice, we have observed the fastest convergence by taking $|B|$ to be a random set of size around $\frac{n}{5}$ and W to be \widehat{B} . We also allow our algorithm to re-initialize itself using this initial condition. For a precise description of our algorithm, we refer the reader to [Algorithm 1](#).

Our algorithm appears to perform extremely well in practice for both the regular and toroidal boards. For the odd torus \mathbb{T}_n , the algorithm seems to find solutions (B, W) with $\min\{|B|, |W|\} \approx \frac{n^2}{11}$. This outperforms the n -argyle construction by $\approx 0.0075n^2$. In [Appendix A](#), we list the best solutions output by our algorithm for \mathbb{T}_n for all odd $n \in [13, 63]$. There does not appear to be a regular pattern among our examples. It may be that for the odd torus, the optimal solution subtly depends on the prime factorization on n , in a way which we currently do not understand. For instance, our example for $n = 53$ actually contains fewer queens than our example for $n = 51$. Besides this single exception, our solutions have a strictly increasing number of queens.

For the even torus, the algorithm quickly finds an (a, b) -plaid, beginning with a peaceful battle (B, W) , where $|B|$ only contains the first few cells of the main diagonal. In fact, our algorithm finds solutions which are *better* than an optimal (a, b) -plaid. Therefore, there are optimal solutions for the even torus which are not (a, b) -plaids. However, the solutions found by our algorithm have at most two more black queens than an optimal (a, b) -plaid. This leads us to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and let (B, W) be an (a, b) -plaid on \mathbb{T}_n with $\min\{|B|, |W|\}$ maximum. Then $t(n) \leq 2 + \min\{|B|, |W|\}$.*

Algorithm 1 SWAP**Input:** n , target quality q **Output:** A feasible solution (B, W) on \mathbb{G}_n (or \mathbb{T}_n).

```

1: repeat
2:    $B' \leftarrow$  randomly select  $n/5$  squares
3:    $W' \leftarrow \widehat{B}'$ 
4:   repeat
5:      $(B, W) \leftarrow (B', W')$ 
6:      $k \leftarrow \min(|B|, |W|)$ 
7:     if  $|W| > |B|$  then
8:        $(B, W) \leftarrow (W, B)$  {swap colors}
9:     end if
10:    if there is a hyperedge  $e$  such that  $|\widehat{B \setminus e}| > |W|$  then
11:       $W' \leftarrow \widehat{B \setminus e}; B' \leftarrow B \setminus e$  {swap on  $e$  to improve  $|W|$ }
12:    end if
13:    if there is a hyperedge  $e$  such that  $|B \setminus e| + |\widehat{B \setminus e}| > |B| + |W|$  then
14:       $W' \leftarrow \widehat{B \setminus e}; B' \leftarrow B \setminus e$  {swap on  $e$  to improve  $|B| + |W|$ }
15:    end if
16:  until  $\min(|B'|, |W'|) \leq k$ 
17: until  $|W| \geq q$ 
18: return  $(B, W)$ 

```

Finally, for the regular board, our algorithm quickly finds the Ainley construction [1], as well as the other examples in the OEIS which meet the Ainley bound. We did not manage to find any examples that beat the Ainley bound. Thus, our experimental evidence suggests that the Ainley construction is indeed optimal. Although we do not have a proof of correctness, we conjecture that there exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p > 0$ such that our algorithm outputs an optimal peaceful battle (B, W) on \mathbb{G}_n after at most n^d steps with probability p .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to Stephen Moehle for the excellent and thorough code reviews.









REFERENCES


- [1] STEPHEN AINLEY. *Mathematical Puzzles*. G. Bell, 1977.
- [2] LOGAN BEAL, DANIEL HILL, R MARTIN, AND JOHN HEDENGREN. [Gekko optimization suite](#). *Processes*, 6(8):106, 2018.
- [3] ROBERT A BOSCH. Peaceably coexisting armies of queens. *Optima (Newsletter of the Mathematical Programming Society)*, 62(6-9):271, 1999.
- [4] MATTHEW DRESCHER. [Implementation of upper bounds for peaceable queens via non-linear programming](#). 2024.
- [5] MATTHEW DRESCHER. [Pieceable, an app for studying peaceable queens](#). 2024.
- [6] LIAM HARRY HARRIS, STEPHANIE PERKINS, PAUL A ROACH, AND SIÂN K JONES. Bishop independence. *British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 3(4):835–843, 2013.
- [7] DANIEL M KANE. [Asymptotic results for the queen packing problem](#). *arXiv:1703.04538*, 2017.
- [8] MICHAEL LEUSCHEL AND DAVID SCHNEIDER. [Towards B as a high-level constraint modelling language](#). In YAMINE AIT AMEUR AND KLAUS-DIETER SCHEWE, eds., *Abstract State Machines, Alloy, B, TLA, VDM, and Z*, pp. 101–116. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014. ISBN 978-3-662-43652-3.

- [9] NINA NARODYTSKA AND TOBY WALSH. [Breaking symmetry with different orderings](#). In CHRISTIAN SCHULTE, ed., *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*, pp. 545–561. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. ISBN 978-3-642-40627-0.
- [10] KAREN E. PETRIE. [Symmetry breaking in peaceably coexisting armies of queens](#). In PASCAL VAN HENTENRYCK, ed., *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming - CP 2002*, pp. 763–763. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002. ISBN 978-3-540-46135-7.
- [11] NEIL J.A. SLOANE. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 65(9):1062–1074, 2018.
- [12] NEIL J.A. SLOANE. [Peaceable Queens Numberphile Video](#). 2018.
- [13] NEIL J.A. SLOANE. [The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences](#). 2021.
- [14] BARBARA M. SMITH, KAREN E. PETRIE, AND IAN P. GENT. [Models and symmetry breaking for ‘peaceable armies of queens’](#). In JEAN-CHARLES RÉGIN AND MICHEL RUEHER, eds., *Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming for Combinatorial Optimization Problems*, pp. 271–286. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004. ISBN 978-3-540-24664-0.
- [15] MARTIN F. VAN BOMMEL AND KATIE T. MACEACHERN. [Armies of chess queens](#). *Math. Intelligencer*, 40(2):10–15, 2018.
- [16] YUKUN YAO AND DORON ZEILBERGER. [Numerical and symbolic studies of the peaceable queens problem](#). *Experimental Mathematics*, 31(1):269–279, 2022.
- [17] ANDREY ZABOLOTSKIY. [Entry A279405 in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences](#). 2016.


APPENDIX A. BEST ODD TORUS SOLUTIONS

Below, we list the best peaceful battles found by [Algorithm 1](#) on \mathbb{T}_n for all odd n between 13 and 63.



 $n = 13, |B| = 16$

 $n = 15, |B| = 20$

 $n = 17, |B| = 28$

 $n = 19, |B| = 32$

 $n = 21, |B| = 40$

 $n = 23, |B| = 48$

 $n = 25, |B| = 56$

 $n = 27, |B| = 66$




$$n = 29, |B| = 76$$




$$n = 31, |B| = 88$$




$$n = 33, |B| = 101$$




$$n = 35, |B| = 110$$




$$n = 37, |B| = 126$$




$$n = 39, |B| = 144$$




$$n = 41, |B| = 156$$




$$n = 43, |B| = 162$$




$$n = 45, |B| = 184$$




$$n = 47, |B| = 197$$




$$n = 49, |B| = 212$$




$$n = 51, |B| = 252$$




$$n = 53, |B| = 250$$




$$n = 55, |B| = 266$$




$$n = 57, |B| = 285$$



$$n = 59, |B| = 304$$



$$n = 61, |B| = 324$$



$$n = 63, |B| = 348$$

FIGURE 6. Our best odd torus solutions for $13 \leq n \leq 63$.