

PointABM: Integrating Bidirectional State Space Model with Multi-Head Self-Attention for Point Cloud Analysis

Jia-wei Chen¹, Yu-jie Xiong^{2,*}, and Yong-bin Gao³

^{1,2,3}The School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai 201620, PR China

*Corresponding author: xiong@sues.edu.cn (Y, Xiong)

Abstract

Mamba, based on state space model (SSM) with its linear complexity and great success in classification provide its superiority in 3D point cloud analysis. Prior to that, Transformer has emerged as one of the most prominent and successful architectures for point cloud analysis. We present PointABM, a hybrid model that integrates the Mamba and Transformer architectures for enhancing local feature to improve performance of 3D point cloud analysis. In order to enhance the extraction of global features, we introduce a bidirectional SSM (bi-SSM) framework, which comprises both a traditional token forward SSM and an innovative backward SSM. To enhance the bi-SSM's capability of capturing more comprehensive features without disrupting the sequence relationships required by the bidirectional Mamba, we introduce Transformer, utilizing its self-attention mechanism to process point clouds. Extensive experimental results demonstrate that integrating Mamba with Transformer significantly enhance the model's capability to analysis 3D point cloud.

Keywords: 3D Point Cloud, Bidirectional state space model, Transformer.

1. Introduction

Point cloud analysis is one of the most widely studied fields of computer vision (Shi et al., 2021). It is widely applications in fields such as autonomous driving and robotics. As a 3D image, point cloud With its own unique data characteristics. It composed of numerous unordered and unpatterned points in three-dimensional space. This necessitates that the entire developmental trajectory of point cloud research be devoted to addressing the challenge posed by the disordered nature of point clouds. To addressing this challenge a variety of method to deep learning have arisen. Vox-based method voxelize the 3D space

to enable the application of 3D discrete convolutions (Maturana & Scherer, 2015). But this ignore the sparsity of 3D point cloud.

Then first work of point-based PointNet (Charles et al., 2017) and PointNet++ (Qi et al., 2017) proposed using single symmetric function,max pooling to solving this problem. Subsequently, series point-base models such as PointNeXt (Qian et al., 2024), PointMLP (Ma et al., 2022), PointCNN (Li et al., 2018) etc., training form scratch comes out. Transformer-based model(Dosovitskiy et al., 2021) achieve remarkable progress by its attention mechanism. Attention can effectively capture the relationship between points in point cloud, but also posed quadratic complexity for Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017). This will cause the increase in model parameters and computational requirements. The permutation invariance of the Transformer endows it with higher compatibility compared to other models. This establishes a foundation for our upcoming proposal to integrate the Transformer and Mamba (Gu & Dao, 2024) models.

Since Mamba introduction, it has been broadly recognized for its near-linear complexity, drawing substantial attention across various fields. Nevertheless, the application of the Mamba model in 3D point clouds still faces many challenges, Mamba model inability fully extract feature information from the point cloud and the scatter and disorder of point clouds cannot meet the requirement of Mamba for sequential order. The results of PointMamBa (Liang et al., 2024) corroborate this.

To address this issue, we present our method PointABM. We innovatively combine Mamba model and Transformer model within a novel method. The Transformer model has the characteristics of not changing the arrangement of input elements and having a base, which provides conditions for their combination. PointABM successfully maintains the lightweight characteristics of the Mamba model while effectively leveraging the powerful feature processing capabilities of the Transformer’s self-attention mechanism. And we adopted a masked autoencoder pre-training strategy similar to PointMAE, and our method demonstrated exceptional adaptability to this approach.

2. Related work

2.1 Point Cloud Transformers

After the debut of the Point Cloud Transformer (Guo et al., 2021) (PCT), Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) has continued to be one of the most commonly used models in point cloud analysis (Engel et al., 2021; X. Wu et al., 2022, 2024). This model leverages the powerful attention mechanism of Transformers to better capture the complex spatial relationships in point clouds. The success of PCT demonstrated how to handle the unordered nature of point cloud data through self-attention, while effectively extracting information about the relative positions and attributes between points.

Subsequently, PointBERT (Yu et al., 2022) and PointMAE (Pang et al., 2022) each introduced new pre-training methods for point clouds, incorporating self-supervised learning

into Transformer architecture. Both models employ strategy of randomly masking portions of point cloud, significantly enhancing their capability to process and understand the intricate features of point cloud data. These two methods provide stable and reliable pre-training strategies for subsequent models, thereby reducing the reliance on extensive labeled datasets.

The exceptional performance of Transformers makes them highly suitable for integration into autoencoders, substantially enhancing downstream point cloud analysis tasks. However, the attention mechanism’s $O(n^2d)$ time complexity, with n as the input token sequence length and d as the Transformer dimension, leads to substantial computational challenges as the input size grows, limiting their efficiency.

2.2 State Space Models

State Space Models (SSM), inspired by continuous systems, have emerged as promising frameworks for modeling sequential data. The Structured State Space Sequence Model (S4) (Gu, Goel & Re, 2022), a predecessor in this field, is notable for capturing long-range dependencies with linear complexity and strong performance across various domains. To mitigate computational burdens, methods like HTTYH (Gu et al., 2023), DSS (Gupta et al., 2022), and S4D (Gu, Goel, Gupta & Ré, 2022) employ diagonal matrices within S4. Building on S4, the newly proposed S6 model introduces significant advancements in efficiency and scalability. Mamba (Gu & Dao, 2024) further enhances this by introducing selective SSM mechanism, achieving linear-time inference and effective training through hardware-aware algorithm. This innovation has extended to various domains, inspiring works in graph modeling, medical segmentation, and video understanding.

Building on S4, the newly proposed S6 model introduces significant advancements, further improving efficiency and scalability. Mamba, which advances this field, introduces a selective SSM mechanism, achieving linear-time inference and effective training through a hardware-aware algorithm.

PointMamba (Liang et al., 2024) is the first to introduce the Mamba model into the field of point cloud analysis. However, it does not address the issue of the Mamba model’s inability to effectively aggregate local features. Therefore, this paper focuses on combining Transformers with Mamba to leverage the strengths of both.

3. POINTABM

3.1 Overall

The success of Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) in various domains (Dosovitskiy et al., 2021) has proven its power, and its challenger Mamba (Gu & Dao, 2024) is constantly refreshing the Sota in various fields (Tang et al., 2024; Zhou et al., 2024; Zhu et al., 2024).

Our method aims to harness the strengths of both Transformer and Mamba in the




Figure 1: The pipeline of PointABM. We initially employ FPS and KNN to extract keypoints and segment them into patches from the input point cloud. Then sent them into Transformer Encoder. Finally, the encoded features are loaded into a Mamba Encoder composed of N bidirectional Mambas.

3D point clouds domain. To this end, we devise a specializing Transformer block to achieve the integration of both models during the manipulating of 3D point clouds. We will introduce multi-head self-attention, bidirectional Mamba, and the key design of our method.

3.2 Transformer Block

We employ a standard Transformer composed of multi-head self-attention blocks and feed-forward network (FFN) blocks. The process is shown in Figure 1(a). After resorting, positional encoding is assigned to the features of each center point.

$$X' = X + \left(\text{Pos} \cdot P + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \phi_i(\text{Pos}, P) \right) \quad (1)$$

The encoded features are segmented and fed into individual self-attention heads. For each head, the input features are multiplied by three learnable weight matrices: W_Q, W_K, W_V .

$$Q = W_Q X'; \quad K = W_K X'; \quad V = W_V X'. \quad (2)$$

The Q, K, V matrices undergo self-attention processing.

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax} \left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) V \quad (3)$$

Subsequently, the processed features are then combined, rejoined to the original features through a residual connection, and normalized. The introduction of self-attention also enhances our model's adaptability to pre-training methods based on masked autoencoder.




Figure 2: (a) Transformer Block, (b) Bidirectional State Space Block.

3.3 Bidirectional State Space Block

119

The original design of the Mamba block was intended for one-dimensional sequence prediction, which leads to a lack of understanding of the global spatial information required for Point Clouds. To address this issue, we introduced naive Bidirectional State Space Block, which process prediction with forward and backward SSM.

120

121

122

123

We utilize the backward SSM and forward SSM possessed by bi-SSM to process point cloud features and combine the two through a residual connection to strengthen the forward and backward relationships between points.

124

125

126

$$T_l = \text{Linear}(\sigma(\mathbf{SSM}_{\text{forward}} + \mathbf{SSM}_{\text{backward}}) + T_{l-1}) \quad (4)$$

4. Experiments

127

In this section, we will introduce the specific implementation details of the experiment. Then we evaluated the performance of PointABM on ModelNet and three variants of ScanObjectNN. Finally, we show the results of the ablation study for our model.

128

129

130

4.1 Implementation Details

131

To address the issue of varying point cloud resolutions, we divide points into different batches. For example, in Modelnet40 (Z. Wu et al., 2015), with batch size $B = 1024$, it is divided into $n = 64$ point patches, each containing $s = 32$ points, processed using the KNN algorithm. The PointABM encoder features a combination of one Transformer layer and 12 Bi-SSM layers, each with a feature dimension $C = 384$. Each Transformer block

132

133

134

135

136

consists of 8 heads. We utilize the AdamW optimizer (Loshchilov & Hutter, 2019) and a cosine learning rate decay strategy. In the pretraining phase, we utilize the ShapeNet dataset (Chang et al., 2015), which contains 51,300 3D models. The rest of the settings are essentially the same as those used for training from scratch. All experiments are conducted using an NVIDIA RTX 4090 GPU.

4.2 Classification Tasks

4.2.1 ScanObjectNN

Table 1: Object classification on ModelNet40 and ScanObjectNN. We evaluate PointABM on three variants, with PB-T50-RS being the most challenging. Overall accuracy (%) is reported.

Methods	Backbone	Param.(M)	FLOPs(G)	OBJ-BG	OBJ-ONLY	PB-T50-RS
<i>Supervised Learning Only</i>						
PointNetCharles et al. (2017)	-	3.5	0.5	73.3	79.2	68.0
PointNet++Qi et al. (2017)	-	1.5	1.7	82.3	84.3	77.9
PointCNN Li et al. (2018)	-	0.6	0.9	86.1	85.5	78.5
DGCNN Wang & Solomon (2021)	-	1.8	2.4	82.8	86.2	78.1
PRA-Net Z. Liu et al. (2023)	-	-	-	-	-	81.0
MVTN Hamdi et al. (2021)	-	11.2	43.7	-	-	82.8
PointNeXt Qian et al. (2024)	-	1.4	1.6	-	-	87.7
PointMLP Ma et al. (2022)	-	12.6	31.4	-	-	85.4
DeLA Chen et al. (2023)	-	5.3	1.5	-	-	90.4
<i>Training from pre-training</i>						
Point-BERT Yu et al. (2022)	TransformerVaswani et al. (2017)	22.1	4.8	87.43	88.12	83.07
MaskPoint H. Liu et al. (2022)	Transformer	22.1	4.8	89.30	88.10	84.30
Point-MAE Pang et al. (2022)	Transformer	22.1	4.8	90.02	88.29	85.18
Point-M2AE R. Zhang et al. (2022)	Transformer	15.3	3.6	91.22	88.29	85.18
PointMamba Liang et al. (2024)	MambaGu & Dao (2024)	12.3	3.1	88.29	87.78	82.48
PointMamba-pre Liang et al. (2024)	Mamba	12.3	3.1	90.71	88.47	84.87
PCM T. Zhang et al. (2024)	Mamba	34.2	45.0	-	-	88.1
PointABM(ours)	Mamba,Transformer	15.1	9.6	91.57	90.36	86.19
PointABM-pre(ours)	Mamba,Transformer	15.1	9.6	93.29	92.43	88.29

ScanObjectNN(Uy et al., 2019) dataset comprises 15,000 objects segmented into 15 categories, captured from real-world indoor environments characterized by their cluttered backgrounds. This dataset presents three distinct variants for testing and analysis: OBJ_BG, OBJ_ONLY, and PB_T50_RS, each designed to evaluate different aspects of object recognition under varying complexly conditions. The configuration for our experiments taking a subset of 2,048 points per object and using rotation as data augmentation. **PointABM** surpasses most effective Transformer-based method PointMAE, 3.58%, 4.14%, 3.42% on OBJ_BG, OBJ_ONLY, and PB_T50_RS. Besides, we also exceeding Mamba-based method PointMamba 2.58%, 3.96%, 3.33%.

4.2.2 ModelNet40

153

ModelNet40(Z. Wu et al., 2015) is a widely recognized synthetic dataset for 3D object classification, comprising 12,311 clean CAD models across 40 categories. The dataset is conventionally split into 9,843 instances for training and 2,468 for testing, adhering to established protocols. Each category is represented by 100 unique models, establishing ModelNet40 as a fundamental benchmark in the field. During training, random scaling and translation are employed to enhance generalization. Despite its status as a clean dataset, PointABM’s inability to fully demonstrate interference resistance still resulted in an impressive accuracy rate of 93.1 %.

154

Table 2: Object classification on ModelNet40.

Methods	Backbone	ModelNet40 (%)
PointNet	-	89.2
PointNet++	-	90.7
PointCNN	-	92.2
DGCNN	-	92.9
PRA-Net	-	93.1
MVTN	-	93.8
PointNeXt	-	94.0
PointMLP	-	94
DeLA	-	94.0
Point-BERT	Transformer	93.4
MaskPoint	Transformer	93.8
Point-MAE	Transformer	94.4
Point-M2AE	Transformer	94.0
PointMamba	Mamba	92.4
PointMamba-pre	Mamba	93.6
PCM	Mamba	93.4
PointABM	Mamba, Transformer	92.6
PointABM-pre	Mamba, Transformer	93.1

4.3 Ablation study

155

For improving effect of each component, we conducted a study on the utility of each component within the architecture on ScanObjectNN(Uy et al., 2019). And to ensure the purity of the ablation study results, all our ablation experiments were conducted using training from scratch.

159

4.3.1 Transformer embedding

160

Table 3: the effect of Transformer embedding.

fusion method	OBJ_BG	OBJ_ONLY	PB_T50_RS (%)	feature dimension	Param.(M)
None	88.3	87.8	82.5	384	12.3
Concatenation	90.72	88.29	84.62	768	47.7
Residual Connection	90.43	89.15	84.55	384	14.8

As the first to integrate Transformer and Mamba in the point cloud field, we attempted two feature fusion methods: concatenation and residual connection. Table. 3 shows each feature fusion method brought a noticeable improvement. This indicates that Transformer embedding can effectively offer more refined feature information to the Mamba model. Concatenation feature dimension even take better accuracy. But with the doubling of feature dimensions, the size of the model increases dramatically. Moreover, in the

161

162

163

164

165

166

subsequent ablation studies of BI-SSM, the feature fusion method using residual connections demonstrated superior compatibility.

4.3.2 Bidirectional Mamba embedding

In this section, we will focus on examining the compatibility of Bidirectional Mamba embedding with two types of Transformer embedding blocks. The introduction of the Transformer block in the previous section, with its attention mechanism, enhances the temporal relationships in point cloud data. Therefore, this chapter does not conduct separate experiments on Bidirectional Mamba. Instead, it tests the outcomes when combined with the two different feature fusion methods discussed in Section 4.3.1.

Table 4: the effect of Bidirectional Mamba embedding.

method	OBJ_BG	OBJ_ONLY	PB_T50_RS (%)	feature dimension	Param.(M)
Concatenation	91.57	88.81	84.17	768	48.1
Residual Connection	91.57	90.36	86.19	384	15.1

By using Bidirectional Mamba, we achieved significant improvements in the embedding process. Compared to the simpler Mamba model, PointABM enhanced performance by 1.14%, 1.21%, and 1.64% across three distinct datasets, respectively.

Acknowledgments

thanks

References

- Chang, A. X., Funkhouser, T., Guibas, L., Hanrahan, P., Huang, Q., Li, Z., Savarese, S., Savva, M., Song, S., Su, H., Xiao, J., Yi, L., & Yu, F. (2015). Shapenet: An information-rich 3d model repository.
- Charles, R. Q., Su, H., Kaichun, M., & Guibas, L. J. (2017). Pointnet: Deep learning on point sets for 3d classification and segmentation. *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 77–85. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2017.16>
- Chen, B., Xia, Y., Zang, Y., Wang, C., & Li, J. (2023). Decoupled local aggregation for point cloud learning.
- Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., Dehghani, M., Minderer, M., Heigold, G., Gelly, S., Uszkoreit, J., & Houlsby, N. (2021). An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *International Conference on Learning Representations*. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=YicbFdNTTy>

- Engel, N., Belagiannis, V., & Dietmayer, K. (2021). Point transformer. *IEEE Access*, 9, 134826–134840. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3116304>
- Gu, A., & Dao, T. (2024). Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces.
- Gu, A., Goel, K., Gupta, A., & Ré, C. (2022). On the parameterization and initialization of diagonal state space models. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho & A. Oh (Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 35971–35983, Vol. 35). Curran Associates, Inc. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/file/e9a32fade47b906de908431991440f7c-Paper-Conference.pdf
- Gu, A., Goel, K., & Re, C. (2022). Efficiently modeling long sequences with structured state spaces. *International Conference on Learning Representations*. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=uYLFoz1vIAC>
- Gu, A., Johnson, I., Timalina, A., Rudra, A., & Re, C. (2023). How to train your HIPPO: State space models with generalized orthogonal basis projections. *International Conference on Learning Representations*. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=kK17OQ3KB>
- Guo, M.-H., Cai, J.-X., Liu, Z.-N., Mu, T.-J., Martin, R. R., & Hu, S.-M. (2021). Pct: Point cloud transformer. *Computational Visual Media*, 7(2), 187–199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41095-021-0229-5>
- Gupta, A., Gu, A., & Berant, J. (2022). Diagonal state spaces are as effective as structured state spaces. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho & A. Oh (Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 22982–22994, Vol. 35). Curran Associates, Inc. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/file/9156b0f6dfa9bbd18c79cc459ef5d61c-Paper-Conference.pdf
- Hamdi, A., Giancola, S., & Ghanem, B. (2021). Mvtn: Multi-view transformation network for 3d shape recognition. *ICCV*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV48922.2021.00007>
- Li, Y., Bu, R., Sun, M., Wu, W., Di, X., & Chen, B. (2018). Pointcnn: Convolution on x-transformed points. In S. Bengio, H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, K. Grauman, N. Cesa-Bianchi & R. Garnett (Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (Vol. 31). Curran Associates, Inc. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2018/file/f5f8590cd58a54e94377e6ae2eded4d9-Paper.pdf
- Liang, D., Zhou, X., Xu, W., Zhu, X., Zou, Z., Ye, X., Tan, X., & Bai, X. (2024). Pointmamba: A simple state space model for point cloud analysis.
- Liu, H., Cai, M., & Lee, Y. J. (2022). Masked discrimination for self-supervised learning on point clouds. In S. Avidan, G. Brostow, M. Cissé, G. M. Farinella & T. Hassner (Eds.), *Computer vision – eccv 2022* (pp. 657–675). Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Liu, Z., Yuan, X., Li, Y., Shanguan, Z., Zhou, L., & Hu, B. (2023). Pra-net: Part-and-relation attention network for depression recognition from facial expression.

- Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 157, 106589. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2023.106589> 236
- Loshchilov, I., & Hutter, F. (2019). Decoupled weight decay regularization. 237
- Ma, X., Qin, C., You, H., Ran, H., & Fu, Y. (2022). Rethinking network design and 239
local geometry in point cloud: A simple residual MLP framework. *International* 240
Conference on Learning Representations. [https://openreview.net/forum?id=](https://openreview.net/forum?id=3Pbra-_u76D) 241
[3Pbra-_u76D](https://openreview.net/forum?id=3Pbra-_u76D) 242
- Maturana, D., & Scherer, S. (2015). Voxnet: A 3d convolutional neural network for real- 243
time object recognition. *2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent* 244
Robots and Systems (IROS), 922–928. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2015.7353481> 245
- Pang, Y., Wang, W., Tay, F. E., Liu, W., Tian, Y., & Yuan, L. (2022). Masked autoen- 246
coders for point cloud self-supervised learning. *Computer Vision–ECCV 2022: 17th* 247
European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part II, 248
604–621. 249
- Qi, C. R., Yi, L., Su, H., & Guibas, L. J. (2017). Pointnet++: Deep hierarchical feature 250
learning on point sets in a metric space. *Proceedings of the 31st International* 251
Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 5105–5114. 252
- Qian, G., Li, Y., Peng, H., Mai, J., Al Kader Hammoud, H. A., Elhoseiny, M., & Ghanem, 253
B. (2024). Pointnext: Revisiting pointnet++ with improved training and scaling 254
strategies. *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Neural Information* 255
Processing Systems. 256
- Shi, S., Wang, Z., Shi, J., Wang, X., & Li, H. (2021). From points to parts: 3d object 257
detection from point cloud with part-aware and part-aggregation network. *IEEE* 258
Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence, 43(08), 2647–2664. 259
<https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2020.2977026> 260
- Tang, H., Cheng, L., Huang, G., Tan, Z., Lu, J., & Wu, K. (2024). Rotate to scan: Unet-like 261
mamba with triplet ssm module for medical image segmentation. 262
- Uy, M., Pham, Q., Hua, B., Nguyen, T., & Yeung, S. (2019). Revisiting point cloud 263
classification: A new benchmark dataset and classification model on real-world 264
data. *2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 265
1588–1597. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2019.00167> 266
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Kaiser, 267
Ł., & Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. *Proceedings of the 31st* 268
International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 6000–6010. 269
- Wang, Y., & Solomon, J. M. (2021). Object dgcn: 3d object detection using dynamic 270
graphs. In M. Ranzato, A. Beygelzimer, Y. Dauphin, P. Liang & J. W. Vaughan 271
(Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 20745–20758, Vol. 34) 272
Curran Associates, Inc. [https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2021/](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2021/file/ade1d98c5ab2997e867b1151a5c5028d-Paper.pdf) 273
[file/ade1d98c5ab2997e867b1151a5c5028d-Paper.pdf](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2021/file/ade1d98c5ab2997e867b1151a5c5028d-Paper.pdf) 274

- Wu, X., Jiang, L., Wang, P.-S., Liu, Z., Liu, X., Qiao, Y., Ouyang, W., He, T., & Zhao, H. (2024). Point transformer v3: Simpler, faster, stronger. 275
276
- Wu, X., Lao, Y., Jiang, L., Liu, X., & Zhao, H. (2022). Point transformer v2: Grouped vector attention and partition-based pooling. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho & A. Oh (Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 33330–33342, Vol. 35). Curran Associates, Inc. [https://proceedings. 277
278
279
280
281
282](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/file/d78ece6613953f46501b958b7bb4582f-Paper-Conference.pdf)
- Wu, Z., Song, S., Khosla, A., Yu, F., Zhang, L., Tang, X., & Xiao, J. (2015). 3d shapenets: A deep representation for volumetric shapes. *2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 1912–1920. [https://doi.org/10.1109/ 283
284
285
286](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298801)
- Yu, X., Tang, L., Rao, Y., Huang, T., Zhou, J., & Lu, J. (2022). Point-bert: Pre-training 3d point cloud transformers with masked point modeling. *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 19291–19300. [https://doi.org/10. 287
288
289
290](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.01871)
- Zhang, R., Guo, Z., Gao, P., Fang, R., Zhao, B., Wang, D., Qiao, Y., & Li, H. (2022). Point-m2ae: Multi-scale masked autoencoders for hierarchical point cloud pre-training. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho & A. Oh (Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 27061–27074, Vol. 35). Curran Associates, Inc. [https://proceedings. 291
292
293
294
295
296](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/file/ad1d7a4df30a9c0c46b387815a774a84-Paper-Conference.pdf)
- Zhang, T., Li, X., Yuan, H., Ji, S., & Yan, S. (2024). Point cloud mamba: Point cloud learning via state space model. 297
298
- Zhou, W., Kamata, S.-I., Wang, H., Wong, M.-S., Huiying & Hou. (2024). Mamba-in-mamba: Centralized mamba-cross-scan in tokenized mamba model for hyperspectral image classification. 299
300
301
- Zhu, L., Liao, B., Zhang, Q., Wang, X., Liu, W., & Wang, X. (2024). Vision mamba: Efficient visual representation learning with bidirectional state space model. 302
303