GENERALIZED WEDDERBURN RANK REDUCTION

Oskar Kędzierski NASK National Research Institute Warsaw, Poland oskar.kedzierski@nask.pl

June 7, 2024

ABSTRACT

We generalize the Wedderburn rank reduction formula by replacing the inverse with the Moore– Penrose pseudoinverse. In particular, this allows one to remove the non–singularity of a certain matrix from assumptions. The results implies in a straightforward way Nystroem, CUR decompositions, meta-factorization, and a result of Ameli, Shadden [1]. We investigate which properties of the matrix are inherited by the generalized Wedderburn reduction. Reductions leading to the best lowrank approximation are explicitly described in terms of singular vectors. We give a self–contained calculation of the range and the nullspace of the projection $A(BA)^+B$ and prove that any projection can be expressed in this way.

1 Introduction

The classical Wedderburn rank reduction formula, cf. [2, p. 69],[3], gives an explicit way to reduce the rank of a given matrix A, i.e.,

Lemma 1.1 (Wedderburn rank reduction formula). Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ be any matrix. Let $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{K}^m$. Assume that

$$\omega = y^* A x \neq 0.$$

Then

 $\operatorname{rank}(A - \omega^{-1}Axy^*A) = \operatorname{rank} A - 1.$

In general, if $X \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times k}$, $Y \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times k}$ and $M \in \mathbb{K}^{k \times k}$ is given by

 $M = Y^* A X,$

is invertible then

$$\operatorname{rank}(A - AXM^{-1}Y^*A) = \operatorname{rank}A - k.$$

For the sake of completeness, we include a brief proof of both statements, although they follow from the more general result, cf. Theorem 5.1.

Proof. It follows from that assumptions that $Ax \neq 0$ and

$$(A - \omega^{-1}Axy^*A)x = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{rank}(A - \omega^{-1}Axy^*A) \le \operatorname{rank} A - 1$$

Assume now $(A - \omega^{-1}Axy^*A)u = 0$. Let $\lambda = \omega^{-1}y^*Au$, then

$$A(u - \lambda x) = 0,$$

hence $u \in \mathcal{N}(A) + \mathbb{K}x$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{rank}(A - \omega^{-1}Axy^*A) = \operatorname{rank} A - 1$$

In the general case rank AX = k (otherwise rank M < k) and the proof is similar. That is

$$(A - AXM^{-1}Y^*A)X = 0,$$

and the k columns of X are linearly independent. On the other hand $AX \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times k}$ is of rank k, so no linear combination of columns of X is contained in the nullspace of A. Since $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset \mathcal{N}(A - AXM^{-1}Y^*A)$

$$\operatorname{rank}(A - AXM^{-1}Y^*A) = n - \dim \mathcal{N}(A - AXM^{-1}Y^*A) \le n - ((n - \operatorname{rank} A) + k) = \operatorname{rank} A - k.$$

Let $U \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times k}$ be any matrix such that

$$(A - AXM^{-1}Y^*A)U = 0.$$

Let $\Lambda = M^{-1}Y^*AU$ then

 $A(U - X\Lambda) = 0,$

$$U \in \mathcal{N}(A) + X\Lambda.$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{N}\left(A - AXM^{-1}Y^*A\right) \subset \mathcal{N}\left(A\right) + \mathcal{C}\left(X\right) \cong \mathcal{N}\left(A\right) \oplus \mathcal{C}\left(X\right),$$

and finally

$$\operatorname{rank}(A - AXM^{-1}Y^*A) = \operatorname{rank}A - k.$$

The main result of the following paper is a generalization of the Wedderburn rank reduction by replacing the inverse with the Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse and dropping the non–singularity assumption; see Theorem 5.1. Results related to our generalization can be found in [4]. The result is used to prove the Wedderburn decomposition (Lemma 6.1), related to the generalized Nyström method of Nakatsukasa [5]. It generalizes the CUR decomposition (cf. [6, Theorem 5.5 (ii),(iv)]), when matrices X, Y have columns given by unit vectors corresponding to the chosen rows and columns. Another consequence is the so-called meta-factorization by Karpowicz[7, Theorem 4]. One of the main tools is the projection formula (see Lemma 4.1), proven here from the first principles, without the Zlobec formula, cf. [8]. Finally, matrices X, Y which lead to the best k-rank generalized Wedderburn rank reduction are given in terms of SVD decomposition of matrix A, see Lemma 7.1. The properties shared by a matrix and its reduction are discussed in Remark 8.3, with concrete counterexamples. Theorem 8.5 contains a description of the meet and the join of commuting projections in the form as in Lemma 4.1. The correctness of the results is illustrated by the MATLAB code.

2 Notation

Let $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $e_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{K}^n$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The set of all matrices with m rows, n columns, and coefficients in field \mathbb{K} is denoted $\mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. The column space of matrix A is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(A)$ and the null space by $\mathcal{N}(A)$. The Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse of matrix A is denoted by A^+ . The complex conjugate is denoted by A^* . Any matrix A induces the direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{K}^m = \mathcal{C}(A) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A^*)$. Matrix A is Hermitian (or symmetric over \mathbb{R}) if $A^* = A$. A square matrix is unitary (or orthogonal over \mathbb{R}) if $A^*A = I$ where I denotes the unit matrix. SVD stands for singular value decomposition, i.e., decomposition $A = U\Sigma V^*$, where U, V are unitary matrices, and Σ is a real non–negative generalized diagonal matrix with decreasing diagonal entries. If rank A = r then the first r columns of matrix U are called left singular vectors of A and likewise the first r columns of matrix V are called right singular vectors of A.

If $W = V \oplus U$ is a direct sum decomposition of a vector space W then the linear function $\varphi \colon \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}^n$ given by condition $\varphi(v+u) = u$, where $v \in V, u \in U$, is called a projection onto subspace V along U. It is a well-known fact that an endomorphis φ is a projection onto its image along its kerenel if and only if the matrix P of φ (relative to the same fixed basis in the domain and in the codomain) is idepotent, i.e., $P^2 = P$. The space \mathbb{K}^n is always equipped with the standard inner product. Endomorphism φ is an orthogonal projection if and only if its matrix relative to an orthonormal basis is idempotent and Hermitian, i.e., $P^* = P = P^2$.

3 Preliminaries

The following facts about Moore-Penrose pseudoinverses will be used in the paper tacitly.

$$AA^+A = A, (3.1)$$

$$A^+AA^+ = A^+, \tag{3.2}$$

$$(AA^{+})^{*} = AA^{+}, (3.3)$$

$$(XA^{+})^{*} = XA^{+}. (3.4)$$

In particular, AA^+ and A^+A are orthogonal projections and

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}\left(AA^{+}\right) &= \mathcal{C}\left(A\right), \quad \mathcal{N}\left(AA^{+}\right) &= \mathcal{N}\left(A^{*}\right), \\ \mathcal{C}\left(A^{+}A\right) &= \mathcal{C}\left(A^{*}\right), \quad \mathcal{N}\left(A^{+}A\right) &= \mathcal{N}\left(A\right). \end{aligned}$

The following fact was proven by Greville, and it will be referred to as Greville's condition.

$$(AB)^{+} = B^{+}A^{+} \iff \mathcal{C}(BB^{*}A^{*}) \subset \mathcal{C}(A^{*}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}(A^{*}AB) \subset \mathcal{C}(B).$$

$$(3.5)$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times k}$ be two matrices. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(AB) = \mathcal{C}(ABB^*), \quad \mathcal{N}(AB) = \mathcal{N}(A^*AB).$$

Proof. The first equation is true because $C(BB^*) = C(B)$ and the second because $C(A) \cap \mathcal{N}(A^*) = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. If rank $A = \operatorname{rank} B = \operatorname{rank} AB$ then

$$\mathcal{N}\left(AB\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(B\right).$$

Proof. Clearly

$$\mathcal{C}\left(B^*A^*\right) \subset \mathcal{C}\left(B^*\right),$$

but the dimensions are equal.

Corollary 3.3. If rank $A = \operatorname{rank} B = \operatorname{rank} AB$ then

$$(AB)^{+} = B^{+}A^{+} \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{N}(B^{*}) = \mathcal{N}(A).$$

Proof. The condition $\mathcal{N}(B^*) \subset \mathcal{N}(B^*A^*A)$ reduces to $\mathcal{N}(B^*) \subset \mathcal{N}(A)$ and the condition $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset \mathcal{N}(ABB^*)$ reduces to $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset \mathcal{N}(B^*)$. Those are dual to the Greville's conditions (3.5).

4 **Projection Formula**

The classical result is well–known in the following form.

Lemma 4.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times p}$, $B \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times q}$ be matrices such that

$$\mathcal{C}\left(A\right)\oplus\mathcal{N}\left(B^{*}\right)=\mathbb{K}^{m}$$

Then the matrix of the projection onto $\mathcal{C}(A)$ along $\mathcal{N}(B^*)$ is given by

$$P = A(B^*A)^+B^*$$

Proof. Since

$$P^{2} = A \Big((B^{*}A)^{+}B^{*}A(B^{*}A)^{+} \Big) B^{*} = A (B^{*}A)^{+}B^{*} = P,$$

P is a projection. The assumption implies that rank $A = \operatorname{rank} B = \operatorname{rank} B^*A$ and therefore $\mathcal{C}(P) = \mathcal{C}(A)$ as $\mathcal{C}(P) \subset \mathcal{C}(A)$ and they have the same dimensions. Similarly $\mathcal{N}(P) = \mathcal{N}(B^*)$, by Lemma 3.2.

It turns out that the assumptions on the matrices A, B may be dropped and the range of P will become smaller while the null space will become larger. The same result was obtained in [8] by Černý but here we do not use the Zlobec formula.

Lemma 4.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times p}$, $B \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times q}$ be any matrices. Let

$$P = A(B^*A)^+ B^*.$$

Then P is a projection of rank $P = \operatorname{rank}(B^*A)$ and

$$\mathcal{C}(P) = \mathcal{C}(AA^*B), \quad \mathcal{N}(P) = \mathcal{N}(A^*BB^*).$$

Proof. By direct computation $P^2 = P$. The rank of a projection is equal to its trace. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Tr} P = \operatorname{Tr} \left(A(B^*A)^+ B^* \right) = \operatorname{Tr} \left(B^* A(B^*A)^+ \right) = \operatorname{rank}(B^*A).$$

First, we compute the null space of P. Assume

$$Px = A(B^*A)^+ B^* x = 0,$$

by multiplying by B^* on the left

$$B^*A(B^*A)^+B^*x = 0,$$

that is, since $\mathcal{N}\left(B^*A(B^*A)^+\right) = \mathcal{N}\left((B^*A)^*\right)$

$$B^*x \in \mathcal{N}\left(A^*B\right) \Longrightarrow A^*BB^*x = 0.$$

Assume now $A^*BB^*x = 0$. Multiplying this equation on the left by $(A^*B)^+$ gives

$$(A^*B)^+A^*BB^*x = 0,$$

and therefore

$$0 = (A^*B)^+ A^* BB^* x = \left((B^*A)^+ \right)^* (B^*A)^* B^* x = \left((B^*A)(B^*A)^+ \right)^* B^* x = (B^*A)(B^*A)^+ B^* x = B^* \left(A(B^*A)^+ B^* \right) x = B^* Px.$$

Multiplying the equation $B^*Px = 0$ by $A(B^*A)^+$ on the left we see that PPx = 0, that is, Px = 0. Finally

 $\mathcal{N}\left(P\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(A^{*}BB^{*}\right).$

Now

$$P^* = B(A^*B)^+A^*,$$

$$\mathcal{N}\left(P^{*}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(B^{*}AA^{*}\right).$$

Since $\mathcal{C}\left(P\right) \oplus \mathcal{N}\left(P^{*}\right)$ is an orthogonal decomposition then

 $\mathcal{C}(P) = \mathcal{C}(AA^*B).$

Example 4.3. If A = B, then

$$P = A(A^*A)^+A^* = AA^+$$

Example 4.4. If A and B are of full row rank, then A, B are surjective, hence P = I.

Note that if P is a projection, then P^+ in general is not a projection. Lemma 4.5. Let $P \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times m}$ be a projection. Then

$$P^+$$
 is a projection $\iff P^+ = P^* = P$.

Proof. (\Longrightarrow) By properties of pseudoinverse we have

$$\mathcal{C}(P^+) = \mathcal{C}(P^*), \quad \mathcal{N}(P^+) = \mathcal{N}(P^*).$$

But P^* is a projection too and projections are uniquely determined by the range and nullspace. Therefore, $P^+ = P^*$. (\Leftarrow) Let $P = U\Sigma V^*$ be an SVD decomposition of P. Then $P^+ = P^*$ is equivalent to $V\Sigma^+U^* = V\Sigma^*U^*$, that is, $\Sigma^* = \Sigma^+$, which implies that all singular values of P are equal to 1. Therefore, P is an orthogonal projection.

The following fact can be found in [9, Corollary 5.6] or [10, Lemma 6.4.16 iii) or Fact 8.8.3 iii)] Lemma 4.6. Let $P \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$, $Q \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ be orthogonal projections. Then

$$(PQ)^+ = Q(PQ)^+ P,$$

is a projection.

Corollary 4.7. Assume that $V \oplus W = \mathbb{K}^m$. The formula of projection onto V along W is

$$P = (P_{W^{\perp}} P_V)^+,$$

where $P_{W^{\perp}}$ is an orthogonal projection onto W^{\perp} and P_V is an orthogonal projection onto V.

Proof. The claim follows directly from Lemma 4.1.

Corollary 4.8. Any projection $P \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times m}$ can be written in the form $P = A(B^*A)^+B^*$. for some matrices $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times p}$, $B \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times q}$. Moreover, the complementary projection I - P is given by the formula

$$I - P = C(DC)^+ D$$

where

$$C = I - (BB^*A)(BB^*A)^+, \quad D = I - (AA^*B)(AA^*B)^+$$

Lemma 4.9. If the reverse order law holds for B^* and A, that is, $(B^*A)^+ = A^+(B^*)^+$ and

$$P = A(B^*A)^+B^*$$

then $P = AA^+BB^+$ and it is a projection onto $\mathcal{C}(A) \cap \mathcal{C}(B)$ along $(\mathcal{N}(A^*) \cap \mathcal{C}(B)) + \mathcal{N}(B^*)$. The last sum is an orthogonal decomposition, and P is an orthogonal projection.

Proof.

$$P = AA^{+}(B^{*})^{+}B^{*} = AA^{+}(BB^{+})^{*} = AA^{+}BB^{+}$$

Therefore, P is a projection and it is a product of two orthogonal projections. Moreover

$$\mathcal{C}(AA^+) = \mathcal{C}(A), \quad \mathcal{C}(BB^+) = \mathcal{C}(B).$$

By Lemma 4.11 $\mathcal{C}(P) = \mathcal{C}(A) \cap \mathcal{C}(B)$. The preimage of $v \in \mathcal{N}(AA^+) = \mathcal{N}(A^*)$ under BB^+ is non–empty if and only if $v \in \mathcal{C}(BB^+) = \mathcal{C}(B)$. In such a case, the fiber is $v + \mathcal{N}(BB^+) = v + \mathcal{N}(B^*)$. Moreover, the range and the null space of P are orthogonal.

Corollary 4.10. If the reverse order law $(AB)^+ = B^+A^+$ holds, then dim $\mathcal{C}(B) \cap \mathcal{C}(A^*) = \operatorname{rank}(AB)$.

The proof of the following Lemma is ommited.

Lemma 4.11. Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ be matrices of two orthogonal projections, i.e., $P^2 = P, Q^2 = Q$ and $P^* = P, Q^* = Q$. Then

$$PQv = v \Longleftrightarrow v \in \mathcal{C}(P) \cap \mathcal{C}(Q).$$

In particular, if PQ is a projection, then $C(PQ) = C(P) \cap C(Q)$. Lemma 4.12. Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ $B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times k}$ Then

$$(AB)^+ - B^+A^+ \longleftrightarrow B(AB)^+A \text{ is an orthogonal projection} \longleftrightarrow$$

$$(AB)^{*} = B^{*}A^{*} \iff B(AB)^{*}A \text{ is an orthogonal decomp} \iff C(BB^{*}A^{*}) \oplus \mathcal{N}(B^{*}A^{*}A) \text{ is an orthogonal decomp} \iff C(BB^{*}A^{*}) = C(A^{*}AB).$$

Proof. The last three equivalences are straightforward with the use of Lemma 4.1. For the first equivalence \implies see Lemma 4.9

(=) matrix of a orthogonal projection is Hermitian, therefore

$$B(AB)^{+}A = A^{*}(B^{*}A^{*})^{+}B^{*}$$

pre-multiplying by A and post-multiplying by B gives

$$AB = AA^*(B^*A^*)^+B^*B,$$

which implies the reverse order law by Tian [11, Theorem $11.1\langle 3 \rangle$].

5

5 Generalized Wedderburn Rank Reduction

The following theorem generalizes classical Wedderburn rank reduction, cf. Lemma 1.1. The idea of proof is similar. **Theorem 5.1** (generalized Wedderburn rank reduction formula). Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$, $X \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times p}$, $Y \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times q}$. Assume that rank $Y^*AX = k$ (matrix Y^*AX is possibly a non-square matrix). Then

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(A - (AX)(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)\right) = \operatorname{rank} A - k.$$

Proof. Let $B = A - (AX)(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset \mathcal{N}(B)$. We need to find additional k linearly independent vectors in $\mathcal{N}(B)$. Again, as $B(X(Y^*AX)^+) = 0$

$$\mathcal{C}\left(X(Y^*AX)^+\right) \subset \mathcal{N}\left(B\right).$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{C}\left(\left(Y^*AX\right)^+\right) = \mathcal{C}\left(X^*A^*Y\right) \subset \mathcal{C}\left(X^*\right),$$

but $\mathcal{C}(X^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(X) = 0$ therefore

$$\operatorname{rank} X(Y^*AX)^+ = k.$$

We claim that

$$\mathcal{C}\left(X(Y^*AX)^+\right)\cap\mathcal{N}\left(A\right)=0.$$

To show this assume that there exists $y \in \mathbb{K}^q$ such that

$$x = X(Y^*AX)^+ y, \quad Ax = 0,$$

i.e. $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ lies in the intersection. Multiplying the first equation by Y^*A on the left and applying the second one gives

$$(Y^*AX)(Y^*AX)^+ y = 0.$$

This means that y lies in the kernel of the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{C}(Y^*AX)$, i.e.

$$y \in \mathcal{N}\left((Y^*AX)^*\right) = \mathcal{N}\left((Y^*AX)^+\right).$$

Therefore x = 0. To finish the proof it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{N}(B) = \mathcal{N}(A) \oplus \mathcal{C}\left(X(Y^*AX)^+\right).$$

By the previous considerations, the right hand side is contained in the left one. Let Bx = 0. That is

$$A(x - X(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)x) = 0,$$

i.e. $x - X(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)x \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ and the second term is in $\mathcal{C}(X(Y^*AX)^+)$. This finishes the proof.

Remark 5.2. The above theorem, with matrices X, Y suitably chosen, implies the generalized Nyström decomposition, which implies the CUR decomposition.

Corollary 5.3. Let $X = A^*$, Y = A then rank $XAY^* = \operatorname{rank} A$ (images are orthogonal to kernels) and therefore

$$A = AA^*(A^*AA^*)^+A^*A.$$

Lemma 5.4. Let $B = A - (AX)(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)$. If A is a square matrix and $A^2 = A$ then $B^2 = B$. That is reduction of a projection is a projection.

Proof.

$$B^{2} = A^{2} - 2(AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}A) + (AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}A)(AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}A) = B.$$

Corollary 5.5. If B is a reduction of A by X, Y then B^* is a reduction of A^* by Y, X. Therefore, reduction of an orthogonal projection is an orthogonal projection.

Lemma 5.6. Generalized Wedderburn rank reduction can be written in form

$$B = A - PA = (I - P)A, \quad B = A - AQ = A(I - Q).$$

where $P = (AX)(Y^*AX)^+Y^*$ is a rank k projection onto $C(AXX^*A^*Y)$ along $\mathcal{N}(X^*A^*YY^*) = \mathcal{N}((YY^*AX)^*)$ and $Q = X(Y^*AX)^+Y^*A$ is a rank k projection onto $C(XX^*A^*Y)$ along $\mathcal{N}(X^*A^*YY^*A)$. Moreover

$$\dim \mathcal{C}(P) = \dim \mathcal{C}(Q) = k, \quad \dim \mathcal{N}(P) = \dim \mathcal{N}(Q) = m - k.$$

In particular

$$\mathcal{C}(B) = \mathcal{C}(A) \cap \mathcal{N}\left((Y^*AX)^+ Y^* \right), \quad \mathcal{N}(B) = \mathcal{N}(A) \oplus \mathcal{C}\left(X(Y^*AX)^+ \right).$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{C}\left(AXX^*A^*Y\right) = \mathcal{C}\left(AX(Y^*AX)^+\right)$$

Proof. The subspace $\mathcal{N}(B)$ was calculated in Theorem 5.1. By Corollary 5.5,

$$\mathcal{N}(B^*) = \mathcal{N}(A^*) \oplus \mathcal{C}\left(Y(X^*A^*Y)^+\right).$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{C}(B) = \left(\mathcal{N}(A^*) \oplus \mathcal{C}\left(Y(X^*A^*Y)^+\right)\right)^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}(A) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(\left(Y^*AX\right)^+Y^*\right).$$

Corollary 5.7. Matrix A and its generalized Wedderburn reduction B are equal (as linear transformations) when restricted to

$$\mathcal{C}\left(I-Q\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(Q\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(X^*A^*YY^*A\right).$$

Lemma 5.8 (Ameli, Shadden [1]). Let $B = A - (AX)(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)$ be a rank reduction of matrix A. Then $BA^+B = A^+$, that is B is a {2}-inverse of A^+ . Moreover, A^+BA^+ is a reduction of A^+ .

Proof. Multiplying A^+ on the left and on the right by B gives

$$BA^{+}B = A - (AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}A)A^{+}A - AA^{+}(AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}A) + (AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}A)A^{+}(AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}A) = B.$$

Moreover

$$A^{+}BA^{+} = A^{+} - (A^{+}AX)(Y^{*}AA^{+}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}AA^{+}) =$$

= A^{+} - (A^{+}(AX))((Y^{*}A)A^{+}(AX))^{+}((Y^{*}A)A^{+}).

Therefore A^+BA^+ is a reduction of A^+ by matrices AX and A^*Y .

Lemma 5.9. For $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ let $X, X' \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times p}$ and $Y, Y' \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times q}$ be matrices of the same size. Then the generalized Wedderburn reduction of A with respect to X, Y is equal to the generalized Wedderburn reduction of A with respect to X', Y' if there exist W_X, W_Y such that

$$X - X' = (I - A^{+}A)W_X,$$

$$Y - Y' = (I - AA^{+})W_Y,$$

that is the range of the difference of X and X' (resp. Y and Y') is contained in the nullspace of A (resp. A^*).

Proof. Observe that AX = AX' and $Y'^*A = Y^*A$ as

$$AX - AX' = A(I - A^{+}A)W_X = 0,$$

 $Y^*A - Y'^*A = W_Y^*(I - AA^{+})A = 0.$

6 Wedderburn Decomposition

Lemma 6.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times k}$ and $X \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times p}$, $Y \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times q}$. Assume that rank $Y^*AX = \operatorname{rank} A$. Then

$$A = (AX)(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A).$$
(6.1)

Moreover

$$A^{+} = (Y^{*}A)^{+}(Y^{*}AX)(AX)^{+}.$$

Proof. The first claim follows directly from the generalized Wedderburn rank reduction, cf. Theorem 5.1, for $M = Y^*AX$ and $k = \operatorname{rank} M = \operatorname{rank} A$. The second claim follows from two the reverse order law, by Corollary 3.3, applied twice to the first claim, Eq. (6.1). To see this note that

 $\operatorname{rank}(AX) \leq \operatorname{rank} A, \quad \operatorname{rank}(Y^*A) \leq \operatorname{rank} A, \quad \operatorname{rank}(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A) \leq \operatorname{rank} A$

but by the assumption and the first claim (6.1) neither of these inequalities may be strict, so

$$\operatorname{rank}(AX) = \operatorname{rank}(Y^*AX)^+ = \operatorname{rank}(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A) = \operatorname{rank}(Y^*A) = \operatorname{rank}A.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{N}(AX) = \mathcal{N}\left(\left((Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)\right)^*\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}\left((Y^*AX)^+\right) = \mathcal{N}\left((Y^*A)^*\right).$$

By several applications of Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $\mathcal{N}(M^+) = \mathcal{N}(M^*)$ for any matrix M

$$\mathcal{N}(AX) = \mathcal{N}(X),$$
$$\mathcal{N}\left(\left((Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)\right)^*\right) = \mathcal{N}(Y^*AX) = \mathcal{N}(X),$$

and similarly

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\left(Y^*AX\right)^+\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\left(Y^*A\right)^*\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(Y\right).$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.3

$$A^{+} = \left((AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}A) \right)^{+} = (Y^{*}A)^{+} \left((Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}A) \right)^{+} = (Y^{*}A)^{+}(Y^{*}AX)(AX)^{+}.$$

Note the similarity to the [6, Theorem 5.5].

Corollary 6.2. Let $P = (AX)(Y^*AX)^+Y^*$ and let $Q = X(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)$. Then PAQ = PA = AQ = A. Moreover P, Q are oblique projections and

$$\mathcal{C}(P) = \mathcal{C}(A), \quad \mathcal{N}(P) = \mathcal{N}(Y^*) = \mathcal{C}(Y)$$
$$\mathcal{C}(Q) = \mathcal{C}(X), \quad \mathcal{N}(Q) = \mathcal{N}(A).$$

Proof.

$$P^{2} = (AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}(Y^{*}AX)(Y^{*}AX)^{+}Y^{*} = AX(Y^{*}AX)^{+}Y^{*} = P,$$

in a similar way $Q^2 = Q$. The nullspaces can be calculated as in the proof of Lemma 6.1. For column spaces, inclusions follow from the definitions and from equal ranks.

Corollary 6.3. Any matrix can be presented as a product of itself and two projections,

$$A = PAQ,$$

 $if \operatorname{rank}(Y^*AX) = \operatorname{rank} A$ and

$$P = (AX)(Y^*AX)^+Y^*, \quad Q = X(Y^*AX)^+(Y^*A)$$

The Corollary is meta-factorization introduced by Karpowicz, see [7, Theorem 4]. Moreover, any meta-factorization can be tautologically presented this way.

Lemma 6.4. If A = PAQ where $P \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times m}$, $Q \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ are matrices of projections then there exist matrices X, Y such that

$$P = (AX)(Y^*AX)^{+}Y^*, \quad Q = X(Y^*AX)^{+}(Y^*A),$$

and rank $Y^*AX = \operatorname{rank} A$.

Proof. If A = PAQ then by left multiplication by P and by right multiplication by Q

$$AQ = PAQ = PA = A.$$

In addition

$$\operatorname{rank} P = \operatorname{rank} Q = \operatorname{rank} A,$$

as PA = A and $A^* = Q^*A^*$

$$\mathcal{C}\left(P\right)=\mathcal{C}\left(A\right),\quad\mathcal{C}\left(Q^{*}\right)=\mathcal{C}\left(A^{*}\right)$$

Set X = Q and $Y = P^*$. Then by Lemma 4.1 it follows that

$$(AX)(Y^*AX)^+Y^* = (AQ)(PAQ)^+P = AA^+P = P,$$

as AA^+ is a matrix of the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{C}(A) = \mathcal{C}(P)$. Similarly,

$$X(Y^*AX)^+Y^*A = Q(PAQ)^+PA = QA^+A = Q_A^+A =$$

as A^+A is a matrix of the orthogonal projection onto $C(A^*) = C(Q^*)$ and the last equality is equivalent to $Q^* = A^+AQ^*$.

7 Best Rank Reduction via Generalized Wedderburn Rank Reduction

The following lemma explains reduction of A in terms of its SVD decomposition, for a particular choice of matrices X and Y.

Lemma 7.1. Let $A = U\Sigma V^*$ be a SVD decomposition of the matrix A. Let $r = \operatorname{rank} A$ and $I \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$ be a non-empty subset. Let $X = V_I, Y = U_I$. Then

$$AX(Y^*AX)^+Y^*A = \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i u_i v_i^*.$$

In particular, generalized Wedderburn reduction by such chosen matrices gives

$$A - AX(Y^*AX)^+Y^*A = \sum_{i \in [r] \setminus I} \sigma_i u_i v_i^*$$

Proof. Let $I = \{i_1, ..., i_k\}$. Then

$$Y^*AX = U_I^*AV_I = \left(\sum_{j \in [k]} e_j u_{i_j}^*\right) \left(\sum_{i \in [r]} \sigma_i u_i v_i^*\right) \left(\sum_{j \in [k]} v_{i_j} e_j^*\right) = \sum_{j \in [k]} \sigma_{i_j} e_j e_j^*.$$

In a similar fashion

$$(Y^*AX)^+ = \sum_{i \in [k]} \sigma_{i_j}^{-1} e_j e_j^*,$$

$$AX(Y^*AX)^+Y^*X = \left(\sum_{j \in [k]} \sigma_{i_j} u_{i_j} e_j^*\right) \left(\sum_{i \in [k]} \sigma_{i_j}^{-1} e_j e_j^*\right) \left(\sum_{j \in [k]} \sigma_{i_j} e_j v_{i_j}^*\right) = \sum_{i \in [k]} \sigma_{i_j} u_{i_j} v_{i_j}^* = \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i u_i v_i^*.$$

Corollary 7.2. Let $A = U\Sigma V^*$ be the SVD decomposition of matrix A. Let $r = \operatorname{rank} A$. Let $X \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times p}$, $Y \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times q}$ be matrices such that $\mathcal{C}(X) = \mathcal{C}(V_I)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Y) = \mathcal{C}(U_I)$. Then the generalized Wedderburn reduction of A with respect to X, Y is equal to

$$A - AX(Y^*AX)^+Y^*A = \sum_{i \in [r] \setminus I} \sigma_i u_i v_i^*.$$

Proof. By the assumption, there exist full row rank matrices $M \in \mathbb{K}^{|I| \times p}$ and $N \in \mathbb{K}^{|I| \times q}$ such that

$$X = V_I M, \quad Y = U_I N.$$

Since V_I^* is an orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{C}(V_I)$

$$U_I^* A = U_I^* A V_I V_I^*,$$

both sides are zero on v_i when $i \notin I$ and identical otherwise. Similarly

$$U_I U_I^* A V_I = A V_I.$$

Let $B = U_I^* A V_I = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_{i_j} e_j e_j^*$. Since M is of full row rank and N^* is of full column rank, rank $(N^*BM) = \operatorname{rank} B$ and by Lemma 6.1

$$B = BM(N^*BM)^+N^*B.$$

Then

$$AX(Y^*AX)^+Y^*A = AV_I M(N^*U_I^*AV_I M)^+N^*U_I^*A =$$

= $U_I U_I^*AV_I M(N^*U_I^*AV_I M)^+N^*U_I^*AV_I V_I^* = U_I BM(N^*BM)^+N^*BV_I^* =$
= $U_I BV_I^* = \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i u_i v_i^*.$

Remark 7.3. In general, it is not true that the generalized Wedderburn reduction of fixed matrix A by matrices X and Y depends only on C(X) and C(Y). On the other hand, when rank $AX = \operatorname{rank} A$ (for example if X is of full row rank) and Y^* is of full column rank

$$AX(Y^*AX)^+ = A(Y^*A)^+$$

To see this, note

$$Y^*AX(Y^*AX)^+ = Y^*A(Y^*A)^+,$$

as both sides are orthogonal projections on $C(Y^*A) = C(Y^*AX)$ and multiply the above equation on the left by $(Y^*)^+$.

Similarly, if rank $Y^*A = \operatorname{rank} A$ (for example Y^* is of full column rank) and X if of full row rank

$$(Y^*AX)^+Y^*A = (AX)^+A.$$

To see this, note

$$(Y^*AX)^+Y^*AX = (AX)^+AX.$$

as both sides are orthogonal projections on $C((AX)^*) = C((Y^*AX)^*)$ and multiply the above equation on the left by $(X)^+$.

Example 7.4. The following example shows that the assumption that Y is of full column rank is necessary.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 3 & 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let X = A. Then rank $AX = \operatorname{rank} A = 3$, but rank Y = 2, so Y is not of full rank and $AX(Y^*AX)^+Y^*A \neq A(Y^*A)Y^*A^+$. This also shows that the reduction with fixed Y does not depend solely on the image of C(X) (since C(X) = C(I)). By symmetry (by conjugation), the reduction with fixed X does not depend solely on the image of C(Y).

A = [1 2 1; 2 3 2; 1 1 2]; Y = [1 2 3; 4 5 6; 1 1 1]'; % rank 2 X=A; rank(A*X)-rank(X) A*X*pinv(Y'*A*X) - A*pinv(Y'*A) % not zero

Example 7.5. *The following example shows that the reduction does not depend on either* C(X) *and* C(Y) *or on only* C(X) *and* $C(Y^*)$ *.*

```
A = rand(3); X = rand(3); G=rand(3); % all invertible
Y = [1 2 3; 4 5 6; 1 1 1]; % rank 2 then the following are non-zero
norm(A*X*G*pinv(Y'*A*X*G)*Y'*A - A*X*pinv(Y'*A*X)*Y'*A)
norm(A*G*X*pinv(Y'*A*G*X)*Y'*A - A*X*pinv(Y'*A*X)*Y'*A)
norm(A*X*pinv(Y'*G*A*X)*Y'*G*A - A*X*pinv(Y'*A*X)*Y'*A)
A = rand(3); Y = rand(3); G=rand(3); % all invertible
X = [1 2 3; 4 5 6; 1 1 1]; % rank 2
norm(A*X*pinv(G'*Y'*A*X)*G'*Y'*A - A*X*pinv(Y'*A*X)*Y'*A) % non-zero
```

8 Properties Inherited by Generalized Wedderburn Rank Reduction

In this section, (non)uniqueness of the presentation of a projection is discussed. Then, the properties shared by the matrix and its generalized Wedderburn rank reduction are listed (Remark 8.3). Finally, for two commuting projections, their meet and join are expressed in the form

Lemma 8.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times p}$, $B \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times q}$ and $C \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times s}$, $D \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times t}$. Let $S = AA^*BB^*$, $T = CC^*DD^*$. Then

$$A(B^*A)^+B^* = C(D^*C)^+D^*$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}\left(S\right) = \mathcal{C}\left(T\right), \ \mathcal{N}\left(S\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(T\right), \quad or \ equivalently \quad \mathcal{C}\left(S\right) = \mathcal{C}\left(T\right), \quad \mathcal{C}\left(S^*\right) = \mathcal{C}\left(T^*\right), \\ or \quad SS^+ = TT^+, \quad S^+S = T^+T. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Two projections are equal if and only if they have the same range and null space, that is, by Lemma 4.1

$$\mathcal{C}(AA^*B) = \mathcal{C}(CC^*D), \quad \mathcal{N}(A^*BB^*) = \mathcal{N}(C^*DD^*),$$

or, since $\mathcal{C}(B) = \mathcal{C}(BB^*)$, $\mathcal{C}(D) = \mathcal{C}(DD^*)$, by taking orthogonal complements

$$\mathcal{C}(AA^*BB^*) = \mathcal{C}(CC^*DD^*), \quad \mathcal{C}(BB^*AA^*) = \mathcal{C}(DD^*CC^*),$$

which finishes the proof.

Remark 8.2. Although any projection can be expressed in the form $A(B^*A)^+B^*$ (Corollary 4.7, this presentation is highly non-unique as when C = AU and D = BV for some orthogonal matrices U, V then, by Lemma 4.1, (the images and kernels are equal)

$$A(B^*A)^+B^* = C(D^*C)^+D^*.$$

Remark 8.3. *i)* generalized Wedderburn rank reduction of a Hermitian positive semidefinite matrix by X = Y *is Hermitian positive semidefinite. Let* $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ *be a Hermitian square root of* A *and let* $B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}X$. *Then*

$$A - AX(X^*AX)^+ (X^*A) = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(I - A^{\frac{1}{2}}X(X^*A^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}X)^+ X^*A^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}} =$$
$$= A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(I - B(B^*B)^+ B^* \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}} = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(I - BB^+ \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

ii) generalized Wedderburn rank reduction of a skew–Hermitian matrix by X = Y is a skew–Hermitian matrix.

$$\left(A - AX(X^*AX)^+(X^*A)\right)^* = A^* - A^*X(X^*A^*X)^+(X^*A^*) = -\left(A - AX(X^*AX)^+(X^*A)\right).$$

iii) generalized Wedderburn rank reduction of a normal matrix by X = Y in general does not need to be a normal matrix. Let

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$B = A - AX(X^*AX)^+(X^*A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 1\\ -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2}\\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad and \quad [B, B^*] \neq 0.$$

This example also shows that generalized Wedderburn rank reduction of a nondiagonalizable matrix by X = Y generally does not need to be a non-diagonalizable matrix.

- iv) Similarly, generalized Wedderburn rank reduction of a real diagonalizable over \mathbb{R} matrix by X = Y in general does not need to be a diagonalizable over \mathbb{R} matrix.
- v) the generalized Wedderburn rank reduction of a nilpotent matrix by X = Y does not need to be nilpotent, take

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
$$A - AX(X^*AX)^+(X^*A) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not nilpotent.

Then

vi) a version of Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula for pseudoinverse was proven by Deng in [12], however, it seems unlikely that a concise formula for a reduction of a reduction exists.

Lemma 8.4. Let P, Q be two commuting projections, that is, PQ = QP. Then $P \land Q := PQ$ and $P \lor Q := P + Q - PQ$ are projections such that

$$\mathcal{C}(P+Q-PQ) = \mathcal{C}(P) + \mathcal{C}(Q), \quad \mathcal{N}(P+Q-PQ) = \mathcal{N}(P) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q),$$
$$\mathcal{C}(PQ) = \mathcal{C}(P) \cap \mathcal{C}(Q), \quad \mathcal{N}(PQ) = \mathcal{N}(P) + \mathcal{N}(Q).$$

Proof. They are simultaneously diagonalisable, consider diagonal matrices. Then the claims are trivial.

It is therefore reasonable to call $P \land Q$ the **join** and $P \lor Q$ the **meet** of P and Q. **Theorem 8.5.** *If projections* P, Q *commute, then*

$$P \lor Q = P + Q - PQ = S(TS)^{+}T$$

where

$$S = (P+Q)(P+Q)^{+}, \quad T = (P+Q)^{+}(P+Q),$$

Moreovoer,

$$P \wedge Q = PQ = S(TS)^{+}T,$$

for

$$S = (PQ)(PQ)^+, \quad T = (PQ)^+(PQ).$$

In particular, if P, Q are orthogonal projections, then in both cases S = T and

$$P \lor Q = (P+Q)(P+Q)^{+}, \quad P \land Q = PQ = (PQ)(PQ)^{+}.$$

Proof. For the first claim, since C(P+Q) = C(P) + C(Q), as P and Q are postive semidefinite matrices,

$$\mathcal{C}\left(P \lor Q\right) = \mathcal{C}\left(S\right), \quad \mathcal{N}\left(P \lor Q\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(P\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q\right) = \left(\mathcal{C}\left(P^*\right) + \mathcal{C}\left(Q^*\right)\right)^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}\left(T\right)^{\perp}.$$

The rest follows from Lemma 4.6 and Corollary 4.7. For the second, claim note that, by Lemma 4.11

$$\mathcal{C}\left(P \land Q\right) = \mathcal{C}\left(S\right), \quad \mathcal{N}\left(P \land Q\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(P\right) + \mathcal{N}\left(Q\right) = \left(\mathcal{C}\left(P^*\right) \cap \mathcal{C}\left(Q^*\right)\right)^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}\left(T\right)^{\perp}.$$

If P, Q are orthogonal then $\mathcal{C}(P) = \mathcal{C}(P^*)$, $\mathcal{C}(Q) = \mathcal{C}(Q^*)$ therefore $\mathcal{C}(S) = \mathcal{C}(T)$ and S = T.

Lemma 8.6. If

 $A(B^*A)^+B^* + C(D^*C)^+D^* = I,$

then

$$AA^*BB^*CC^*DD^* = CC^*DD^*AA^*BB^* = 0.$$

Proof. The image of one projection is equal to the kernel of the other. The claim follows from the description of the image and the kernel of a projection in Lemma 4.2. \Box

The converse follows when the ranks of B^*A and C^*D are complementary.

9 Examples

The following code illustrates the usage of the generalized Wedderburn rank reduction formula.

```
m = 200; n = 30;
p = 10; q = 7;
r = 20; % rank of A
A = rand(m,r)*rand(r,n); % random m-by-n matrix of rank r
X = sprand(n,p,0.01); Y = sprand(m,q,0.01);
M = Y'*A*X;
P = (A*X)*pinv(M)*Y'; Q = X*pinv(M)*(Y'*A);
B = (A*X)*pinv(M)*Y'; Q = X*pinv(M)*(Y'*A);
rank(B) - (rank(A) - rank(M))
Y=Y*rand(q,2*q); % different Y with the same image
BB = (A*X)*pinv(Y'*A*X)*(Y'*A);
norm(B-BB) % different in general
```

The code below shows the correctness of Corollary 7.2.

```
% see for yourself
m = 15; n = 13; r = 7;
A = rand(m,r) * rand(r,n); % random m-by-n matrix of rank r
[U, S, V] = svd(A);
k = randi([1 r-1],1,1); P = randperm(r); % the rank of A will be reduced by k
I = sort(P(1:k)); % choose k random columns
UI = U(:, I); VI = V(:, I);
p = randi([1 k],1,1); q = randi([1 k],1,1);
M = rand(k,k+p); N = rand(k,k+q); % two full row rank matrices of k rows and ...
   random number of columns
X = VI * M; Y = UI * N; % matrices spanned by columns of V and U
k
svd((A*X)*pinv(Y'*A*X)*(Y'*A))
S_list = diag(S); % vector of singular values
% the generalized Wedderburn reduction of A by X and Y
\% is the same as the k-rank reduction by SVD
norm((A*X)*pinv(Y'*A*X)*(Y'*A) - UI*S(I,I)*VI')
```

References

- [1] Siavash Ameli and Shawn C. Shadden. A singular Woodbury and pseudo-determinant matrix identities and application to Gaussian process regression. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 452:128032, 2023.
- [2] J. H. M. Wedderburn. Lectures on matrices. Unabridged and unaltered republ. of the work fi-st published in 1934. New York: Dover Publications, Inc. 1964. VII, 200 p. (1964)., 1964.
- [3] Moody T. Chu, Robert E. Funderlic, and Gene H. Golub. A rank-one reduction formula and its applications to matrix factorizations. SIAM Review, 37(4):512–530, 1995.
- [4] Nezam Mahdavi-Amiri and Effat Golpar-Raboky. Extended rank reduction formulas containing Wedderburn and Abaffy–Broyden–Spedicato rank reducing processes. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 439(11):3318–3331, 2013.
- [5] Yuji Nakatsukasa. Fast and stable randomized low-rank matrix approximation. ArXiv, abs/2009.11392, 2020.
- [6] Keaton Hamm and Longxiu Huang. Perspectives on CUR decompositions. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 48(3):1088–1099, 2020.

- [7] Michał P. Karpowicz. A theory of meta-factorization, 2022.
- [8] Aleš Černý. Characterization of the oblique projector U(VU)[†]V with application to constrained least squares. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 431(9):1564–1570, 2009.
- [9] Ilse C. F. Ipsen and Carl Dean Meyer. The angle between complementary subspaces. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 102:904–911, 1995.
- [10] Dennis S. Bernstein. *Matrix Mathematics: Theory, Facts, and Formulas*. Princeton University Press, second edition, 2011.
- [11] Yongge Tian. A family of 512 reverse order laws for generalized inverses of a matrix product: A review. *Heliyon*, 6(9):e04924, 2020.
- [12] Chun Yuan Deng. A generalization of the Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 24(9):1561–1564, 2011.