

NUMERICAL ANALYSIS FOR HIGH-ORDER METHODS FOR VARIABLE-EXPONENT FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION-WAVE EQUATION

XIANGCHENG ZHENG*, HONG WANG[†], AND WENLIN QIU[‡]

Abstract. This work considers the variable-exponent fractional diffusion-wave equation, which describes, e.g. the propagation of mechanical diffusive waves in viscoelastic media with varying material properties. Rigorous numerical analysis for this model is not available in the literature, partly because the variable-exponent Abel kernel in the leading term may not be positive definite or monotonic. We adopt the idea of model reformulation to obtain a more tractable form, which, however, still involves an “indefinite-sign, nonpositive-definite, nonmonotonic” convolution kernel that introduces difficulties in numerical analysis. We address this issue to design two high-order schemes and derive their stability and error estimate based on the proved solution regularity, with $\alpha(0)$ -order and second-order accuracy in time, respectively. Numerical experiments are presented to substantiate the theoretical findings.

Key words. fractional, variable exponent, regularity, error estimate, second order

AMS subject classifications. 35R11, 65M12, 65M60

1. Introduction. We consider the variable-exponent fractional diffusion-wave equation with $1 < \alpha(t) < 2$

$${}^c\partial_t^{\alpha(t)}u(\mathbf{x}, t) - \Delta u(\mathbf{x}, t) = f(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

equipped with initial and boundary conditions

$$u(\mathbf{x}, 0) = u_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \partial_t u(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \bar{u}_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega; \quad u(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (1.2)$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a simply-connected bounded domain with the piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ with convex corners, $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ with $1 \leq d \leq 3$ denotes the spatial variable, f and u_0 refer to the source term and the initial value, respectively, and the fractional derivative is defined by the symbol $*$ of convolution [28, 32]

$${}^c\partial_t^{\alpha(t)}u := (k * \partial_t^2 u) = \int_0^t k(t-s)\partial_s^2 u(\mathbf{x}, s)ds, \quad k(t) := \frac{t^{1-\alpha(t)}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha(t))}. \quad (1.3)$$

In general, the $-\Delta u$ in (1.1) could be extended to $-\nabla(K(\mathbf{x})\nabla u) + c(\mathbf{x})u$ for the symmetric, positive definite diffusivity tensor $K(\mathbf{x})$ and the non-negative reaction coefficient $c(\mathbf{x})$ without affecting the analysis, and we use $-\Delta u$ for simplicity.

The constant-exponent fractional diffusion-wave equation has been used in various fields, for instance, it governs the propagation of mechanical diffusive waves in viscoelastic media which exhibit a power-law creep [20, 21]. Thus, there exist significant progresses on its mathematical and numerical studies, see e.g. [2, 7, 9, 15, 16, 25, 33, 37]. For the variable-exponent fractional diffusion-wave equation, a practical application is developed in [39] to apply the variable-exponent differential operators to switch from a wave- to a diffusion-dominated equation at the boundaries, in order

*School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China. (Email: xzheng@sdu.edu.cn)

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA. (Email: hwang@math.sc.edu)

[‡]Corresponding author. School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China. (Email: qwillkx12379@163.com)

“to control errors in the solutions of classical integer-order partial differential equations arising from truncated domains and erroneous boundary conditions or from the loss of monotonicity of the numerical solution either because of under-resolution or because of the presence of discontinuities”, as commented in the review paper [28]. In [4, Page 344], it is further demonstrated for this application that it is necessary for the variable exponent to be time-dependent to achieve such switching, which justifies the time dependence of the variable exponent in (1.1). Mathematically, the time-dependent variable exponent makes the fractional operators nonpositive-definite and nonmonotonic, which, compared with the space-dependent variable exponent, introduces significant difficulties. For these reasons, we consider the time-dependent case $\alpha = \alpha(t)$ in model (1.1).

There exist sophisticated numerical studies on variable-exponent time/space-fractional diffusion problems [35, 36, 38, 42], while rigorous analysis for diffusion-wave type equations such as model (1.1)–(1.2) is not available in the literature. For variable-exponent fractional diffusion-wave models containing an additional temporal leading term $\partial_t^2 u$, the mathematical analysis and a first-order-in-time scheme are recently proposed in [41], and a second-order finite difference scheme is analyzed in [8]. For model (1.1)–(1.2) where ${}^c\partial_t^{\alpha(t)} u$ serves as the leading term, the existing methods do not apply directly. The inherent reason is that the variable-exponent Abel kernel $k(t)$ could not be analytically treated by, e.g. the integral transform, and may not be positive definite or monotonic. Indeed, it is commented in [14] that the analysis of variable-exponent subdiffusion remains an open problem, and so does the variable-exponent fractional diffusion-wave equation.

Recently, a convolution method is developed in [40], which converts the variable-exponent subdiffusion to more feasible formulations such that the corresponding analysis becomes tractable. We employ this idea to convert model (1.1)–(1.2) to a transformed equation, based on which we address its well-posedness and solution regularity. For numerical analysis, a main difficulty is that a convolution term in the transformed model involves an “indefinite-sign, nonpositive-definite, nonmonotonic” convolution kernel, which restricts the choice of quadrature rules in constructing high-order schemes and deteriorates the structures of numerical schemes.

To circumvent the difficulty, we approximate this intricate term with the help of piecewise linear interpolation. Then we design suitable combinations of different discretization methods to approximate multiple terms in the transformed equation such that the $\alpha(0)$ -order and second-order accuracy in time could be achieved and proved under a very weak constraint on $\alpha(t)$. Numerical experiments indicate that both methods exhibit the desired accuracy, even the imposed constraint on $\alpha(t)$ is not satisfied. The rest of the work is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce preliminary results and the mathematical analysis of the proposed model. In Sections 3–4, the $\alpha(0)$ -order and second-order schemes are developed and analyzed, respectively, and their accuracy is substantiated in the last section.

2. Mathematical analysis.

2.1. Notations. For the sake of clear presentation, we introduce the following spaces and notations. Let $L^p(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ be the Banach space of p th power Lebesgue integrable functions on Ω . Denote the inner product of $L^2(\Omega)$ as (\cdot, \cdot) . For a positive integer m , let $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space of L^p functions with m th weakly derivatives in $L^p(\Omega)$ (similarly defined with Ω replaced by an interval \mathcal{I}). Let $H^m(\Omega) := W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ and $H_0^m(\Omega)$ be its subspace with the zero boundary condition

up to order $m - 1$. For a non-integer $s \geq 0$, $H^s(\Omega)$ is defined via interpolation [1]. Let $\{\lambda_i, \phi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be eigen-pairs of the problem $-\Delta\phi_i = \lambda_i\phi_i$ with the zero boundary condition. We introduce the Sobolev space $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ for $s \geq 0$ by $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega) := \{q \in L^2(\Omega) : \|q\|_{\tilde{H}^s}^2 := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^s(q, \phi_i)^2 < \infty\}$, which is a subspace of $H^s(\Omega)$ satisfying $\tilde{H}^0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{H}^2(\Omega) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ [34]. For a Banach space \mathcal{X} , let $W^{m,p}(0, T; \mathcal{X})$ be the space of functions in $W^{m,p}(0, T)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$. All spaces are equipped with standard norms [1].

We use Q to denote a generic positive constant that may assume different values at different occurrences. We use $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the L^2 norm of functions or operators in $L^2(\Omega)$, set $L^p(\mathcal{X})$ for $L^p(0, T; \mathcal{X})$ for brevity, and drop the notation Ω in the spaces and norms if no confusion occurs. For instance, $L^2(L^2)$ implies $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Furthermore, we will drop the space variable \mathbf{x} in functions, e.g. we denote $q(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as $q(t)$, when no confusion occurs.

For $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \min\{\pi, \frac{\pi}{\alpha_0}\}$ and $\delta > 0$, let Γ_θ be the contour in the complex plane defined by $\Gamma_\theta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)| = \theta, |z| \geq \delta\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)| \leq \theta, |z| = \delta\}$. For any $w \in L_{loc}^1(\mathcal{I})$, the Laplace transform \mathcal{L} of its extension $\tilde{w}(t)$ to zero outside \mathcal{I} and the corresponding inverse transform \mathcal{L}^{-1} are denoted by $\mathcal{L}w(z) := \int_0^\infty \tilde{w}(t)e^{-tz}dt$ and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}w(z)) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} e^{tz}\mathcal{L}w(z)dz = w(t)$. The following inequalities hold for $0 < \mu < 1$ or $\mu = \alpha_0$ and Q independent from z [12, 18]

$$\int_{\Gamma_\theta} |z|^{\mu-1} |e^{tz}| |dz| \leq Qt^{-\mu}; \quad \|(z^\mu - \Delta)^{-1}\| \leq Q|z|^{-\mu}, \quad \forall z \in \Gamma_\theta, \quad (2.1)$$

and we have $\mathcal{L}(\partial_t^\mu w) = z^\mu \mathcal{L}w - (I_t^{1-\mu} w)(0)$, see [11].

Finally we introduce the concept of positive definiteness. A kernel $\beta(t)$ is said to be positive definite if $\int_0^{\bar{t}} q(t)(\beta * q)(t)dt \geq 0$ for any $q \in C[0, \bar{t}]$ and for each $0 < \bar{t} \leq T$, and $\beta_\mu(t) := \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)}$ with $0 < \mu < 1$ is positive definite, see e.g. [26].

Throughout this work, we consider smooth $\alpha(t)$. Specifically, we assume $\alpha(t)$ is three times differentiable with $|\alpha'(t)| + |\alpha''(t)| + |\alpha'''(t)| \leq L$ for some $L > 0$. We also denote $\alpha_0 = \alpha(0)$, $I_t^\mu w := \beta_\mu * w$ and $\partial_t^\mu w = \partial_t(\beta_{1-\mu} * w)$.

2.2. Modeling issues. Apart from the applications of (1.1) mentioned in the introduction, we present a further physical interpretation of (1.1) from the dynamic behavior of an elastic membrane. Consider an elastic membrane that lies in the xy -plane and is bounded by a plane curve C in the plane when in the undeformed state. Let the structure be subjected to distributed load $f_0(x, y, t)$ in the z direction per unit area. Consider a differential element emanating from the coordinates (x, y) and having sides of length dx and dy . Let $w(x, y, t)$ correspond to the transverse displacement of the material particle that was located on the surface $z = 0$ of the membrane at the given coordinates when in the reference configuration. In addition, let $\phi_x(x, y, t)$ represent the rotation of the surface of the membrane whose normal is parallel to the x -axis at the given point and let $\phi_y(x, y, t)$ represent the rotation of the surface of the membrane whose normal is parallel to the y -axis. Let $N_x(x, y, t)$ and $N_y(x, y, t)$ correspond to the membrane force per unit length in the indicated direction, $m_0(x, y)$ is the mass per unit area of membrane. $\partial_t w(x, y, t)$ describes the viscous damping force. Applying Newton's second law in the transverse direction gives

$$\begin{aligned} & N_x(x + dx, y, t) \sin \phi_x(x + dx, y, t) dy - N_x(x, y, t) \sin \phi_x(x, y, t) dy \\ & + N_y(x, y + dy, t) \sin \phi_y(x, y + dy, t) dx - N_y(x, y, t) \sin \phi_y(x, y, t) dx \\ & + f_0(x, y, t) dx dy = m_0(x, y) \partial_t^2 w(x, y, t) dx dy + \kappa(x, y) \partial_t w(x, y, t) dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Divide equation (2.2) by $dx dy$ and let dx and dy tend to zero to yield

$$m_0 \partial_t^2 w + \kappa \partial_t w - \partial_x(N_x \sin \phi_x) - \partial_y(N_y \sin \phi_y) = f_0. \quad (2.3)$$

Since no in-plane external force is applied in the x and y directions, Newton's second law in the x and y directions respectively concludes that $N_x \cos \phi_x = a_x$ and $N_y \cos \phi_y = a_y$ are constants. We use these results and the fact that $\tan \phi_x = \partial_x w$ and $\tan \phi_y = \partial_y w$ to rewrite equation (2.3) as the following equation

$$\partial_t^2 w + (\kappa/m_0) \partial_t w - 1/m_0 \partial_x(a_x \partial_x w) - 1/m_0 \partial_y(a_y \partial_y w) = f_0/m_0. \quad (2.4)$$

Numerous commonly used materials, such as polymers, superalloys, and composite materials, exhibit viscoelastic properties. These materials inherit both restorative elastic solid behavior and internally dissipative Newtonian fluid behavior. They possess exhibit power-law memory effects [3, 5, 10, 31]. However, equation (2.4) was derived based on integer-order rheological models and consequently exhibit exponentially decaying behavior [27, 30]. Consequently, the model (2.4) fails to accurately capture the power-law behavior of many modern materials [10, 20], as a combination of exponentially decaying functions does not provide an accurate approximation to a power-law function across a broad parameter range.

To address this issue, we introduce the fractional time derivative ${}^c \partial_t^\alpha w$, with $1 < \alpha < 2$, to properly account for the viscous-inertial behavior, which may be viewed as a fractional interpolation of the inertial force and viscous damping force that were modeled by the integer-order inertial term and integer-order viscous damping term in equation (2.4). In this case, we ended up with the fractional diffusion-wave equation of the form (1.1) with $\alpha(t) \equiv \alpha$ [21, 27].

Finally, in many applications structures experience long term vibration, which may lead to material fatigue. This process initiates at microscales through the development of microcracks and bond breakage, propagating to macroscales, eventually leading to material fatigue and possible tragedy. Mathematically, this process alters the fractal dimension of the material [23, 24], which is closely related to the fractional order α . Consequently, the fractional order may change in space and/or time. In this paper we consider the time-dependent case, which leads to the form of (1.1).

2.3. Well-posedness and regularity. We apply the convolution method proposed in [40] to convert model (1.1) to a more feasible formulation. For this purpose, we use the variable substitution $z = s/t$ to perform calculations as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_{\alpha_0-1} * k)(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha(0)-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha(0)-1)} \frac{s^{1-\alpha(s)}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha(s))} ds = \int_0^1 \frac{(t-tz)^{\alpha(0)-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha(0)-1)} \frac{(tz)^{1-\alpha(tz)}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha(tz))} t dz \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(tz)^{\alpha(0)-\alpha(tz)}}{\Gamma(\alpha(0)-1)\Gamma(2-\alpha(tz))} (1-z)^{\alpha(0)-2} z^{1-\alpha(0)} dz =: g(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $g(t)$ is called a generalized identity function. It is shown in [40] that $g(0) = 1$, $|g| \leq Q$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $|g'| \leq Q(|\ln t| + 1)$. Then we calculate the convolution of (1.1) and β_{α_0-1} as

$$\beta_{\alpha_0-1} * [(k * \partial_t^2 u) - \Delta u - f] = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

We invoke (2.5) to obtain

$$g * \partial_t^2 u - \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * \Delta u = \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * f. \quad (2.7)$$

An application of the integration by parts leads to the transformed model

$$\partial_t u - \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * \Delta u = p_u + g(t)\bar{u}_0, \quad p_u := \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * f - g' * \partial_t u. \quad (2.8)$$

equipped with the initial and boundary conditions (1.2).

We take the Laplace transform of (2.8) to obtain $z\mathcal{L}u - u_0 - z^{1-\alpha_0}\Delta\mathcal{L}u = \mathcal{L}(p_u + g(t)\bar{u}_0)$, that is, $\mathcal{L}u = z^{\alpha_0-1}(z^{\alpha_0} - \Delta)^{-1}(u_0 + \mathcal{L}(p_u + g(t)\bar{u}_0))$. We take the inverse Laplace transform to obtain

$$u = F(t)u_0 + \int_0^t F(t-s)(p_u(s) + g(s)\bar{u}_0)ds \quad (2.9)$$

where the operator $F(\cdot)$ is defined as $F(t)w := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} e^{tz} z^{\alpha_0-1} (z^{\alpha_0} - \Delta)^{-1} w dz$.

We show the well-posedness of model (1.1)–(1.2) in the following theorem, the proof of which can be found in the Appendix.

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose $f \in W^{1,1}(L^2)$ and $u_0, \bar{u}_0 \in \check{H}^2$, then model (1.1)–(1.2) admits a unique solution in $W^{2,p}(L^2) \cap L^p(\check{H}^2)$ for $1 < p < \frac{1}{2-\alpha_0}$ and*

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\check{H}^2)} \leq Q(\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + \|u_0\|_{\check{H}^2} + \|\bar{u}_0\|_{\check{H}^2}). \quad (2.10)$$

From the derivations (2.6)–(2.8) we find that a solution in $W^{2,p}(L^2) \cap L^p(\check{H}^2)$ to (1.1)–(1.2) is also a solution to (2.8)–(1.2). Conversely, it is shown by the derivations around (5.4) that a solution in $W^{2,p}(L^2) \cap L^p(\check{H}^2)$ to (2.8)–(1.2) is also a solution to (1.1)–(1.2). Thus, the models (2.8)–(1.2) and (1.1)–(1.2) are indeed equivalent and it suffices to focus the attention on (2.8)–(1.2).

REMARK 2.1. *Under suitable smoothness assumptions on data, one could follow [40, Theorems 3 and 4] to prove the following regularity for $n = 1, 2$ and $m = 0, 1$*

$$\|\partial_t^{n+1} u\|_{\check{H}^{2m}} \leq Q t^{\alpha_0 - (n+1)}. \quad (2.11)$$

3. An α_0 -order scheme. From this section we turn the attention to the numerical approximation. As mentioned at the end of the last section, it suffices to consider the numerical approximation of (2.8)–(1.2). If we denote $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_0 - 1 \in (0, 1)$, (2.8) could be rewritten as

$$\partial_t u + \int_0^t g'(t-s)\partial_s u(s)ds - \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds = \bar{f}(t), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\bar{f}(t) = \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s)f(s)ds + g(t)\bar{u}_0$. If we further define $\tilde{u}(t) = u(t) - u_0$, equation (3.1) becomes

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} + \int_0^t g'(t-s)\partial_s \tilde{u}(s)ds - \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s)\Delta \tilde{u}(s)ds = \mathcal{F}(t), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\tilde{u}(0) = 0; \quad \mathcal{F}(t) = (\beta_{\bar{\alpha}} * (\Delta u_0 + f))(t) + g(t)\bar{u}_0. \quad (3.3)$$

We then propose and analyze an α_0 -order-in-time scheme for problem (3.2)–(3.3).

3.1. A weak constraint on $\alpha(t)$. The numerical analysis in the current and the next sections depends on an additional constraint on $\alpha(t)$:

$$\alpha'(0) = \alpha''(0) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

The reason of imposing this condition is to improve the properties of the generalized identity function g , cf. Lemma 3.1, to facilitate the numerical analysis. It is worth mentioning that this is a very weak constraint since for any smooth $\alpha(t)$, one could find a sequence of smooth functions $\{\alpha_\sigma(t)\}_{\sigma>0}$ satisfying $\alpha'_\sigma(0) = \alpha''_\sigma(0) = 0$ for any $\sigma > 0$ such that $\max_{t \in [0, T]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_\sigma(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, one could replace the smooth $\alpha(t)$ in the model by an approximate function $\tilde{\alpha}(t)$ (satisfying $\tilde{\alpha}'(0) = \tilde{\alpha}''(0) = 0$) whose error could be arbitrarily small such that the constraint of the condition (3.4) on $\alpha(t)$ could be arbitrarily weak.

To prove this claim, we construct $\alpha_\sigma(t)$ by setting $\alpha_\sigma(t) = \alpha_0$ on $t \in [0, \sigma]$, $\alpha_\sigma(t) = \alpha(t)$ on $t \in [2\sigma, T]$ and $\alpha_\sigma(t) = \alpha_s(t)$ for $t \in [\sigma, 2\sigma]$ for some smooth function $1 < \alpha_s(t) < 2$ satisfying

$$\alpha_s(\sigma) = 0, \quad \alpha_s(2\sigma) = \alpha(2\sigma); \quad \alpha'_s{}^+(\sigma) = \alpha''_s{}^+(\sigma) = \alpha'''_s{}^+(\sigma) = 0; \quad (3.5)$$

$$\alpha'_s{}^-(2\sigma) = \alpha'^+{}(2\sigma), \quad \alpha''_s{}^-(2\sigma) = \alpha''^+{}(2\sigma), \quad \alpha'''_s{}^-(2\sigma) = \alpha'''^+{}(2\sigma), \quad (3.6)$$

where $-$ and $+$ imply the left-hand and right-hand derivatives, respectively. By this construction, $\alpha_\sigma(t)$ is a three times continuously differentiable function and satisfies $\alpha'_\sigma(0) = \alpha''_\sigma(0) = 0$ and

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_\sigma(t)| \leq \max\left\{ \max_{t \in [0, \sigma]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_0|, \max_{t \in [\sigma, 2\sigma]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_s(t)| \right\}. \quad (3.7)$$

By $\alpha(t) - \alpha_0 = \alpha'(\xi)t$ we have $|\alpha(t) - \alpha_0| \leq Lt$, while $\alpha(2\sigma) = \alpha_s(2\sigma)$ implies

$$|\alpha(t) - \alpha_s(t)| = |\alpha(t) - \alpha(2\sigma) + \alpha_s(2\sigma) - \alpha_s(t)| \quad (3.8)$$

$$= |\alpha'(\xi_1)(t - 2\sigma) + \alpha'_s(\xi_2)(2\sigma - t)| \leq Q(2\sigma - t), \quad t \in [\sigma, 2\sigma]. \quad (3.9)$$

Combine the above estimates to get $\max_{t \in [0, T]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_\sigma(t)| \leq Q\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$.

With the condition (3.4), we analyze the properties of $g(t)$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Under the condition (3.4), $|g^{(j)}(t)| \leq Q$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $j = 1, 2$ where $g(t)$ is given by (2.5).*

Proof. From (2.5) it is clear that it suffices to show $|B^{(j)}(t)| \leq Q$ where $B(t) = (tz)^{\alpha(0) - \alpha(tz)}$. If $\alpha'(0) = 0$, the Taylor expansion gives $B(t) = e^{-\int_0^{tz} (tz-s)\alpha''(s)ds \ln(tz)}$ such that we have for $\bar{p} = tz$

$$\begin{aligned} |B'(t)| &= \left| B(t) \partial_{\bar{p}} \left(- \int_0^{\bar{p}} (\bar{p} - s) \alpha''(s) ds \ln(\bar{p}) \right) \frac{d\bar{p}}{dt} \right| \\ &= \left| - B(t) z \left[\ln(\bar{p}) \int_0^{\bar{p}} \alpha''(s) ds + \frac{1}{\bar{p}} \int_0^{\bar{p}} (\bar{p} - s) \alpha''(s) ds \right] \right| \leq Q(|\bar{p} \ln \bar{p}| + 1) \leq Q. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of B'' could be obtained similarly based on $|\ln(\bar{p})\alpha''(\bar{p})| \leq Q$ derived from $\alpha''(0) = 0$ and $|\alpha'''| \leq L$. \square

3.2. Construction of α_0 -order scheme. Let N be a positive integer and $\tau = T/N$ be the uniform temporal step size with $t_n = n\tau$ for $0 \leq n \leq N$. For simplicity, we denote $\tilde{u}^n := \tilde{u}(t_n)$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_t v^n &= \frac{v^n - v^{n-1}}{\tau}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad \delta_t^{(2)} v^n = \frac{3}{2} \delta_t v^n - \frac{1}{2} \delta_t v^{n-1}, \quad 2 \leq n \leq N, \\ v^{n-1/2} &= \frac{v^n + v^{n-1}}{2}, \quad t_{n-1/2} = \frac{t_n + t_{n-1}}{2}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

We consider (3.2) at $t = t_n$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$

$$\partial_t \tilde{u}(t_n) + \int_0^{t_n} g'(t_n - s) \partial_s \tilde{u}(s) ds - \int_0^{t_n} \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t_n - s) \Delta \tilde{u}(s) ds = \mathcal{F}(t_n). \quad (3.10)$$

We utilize the second-order BDF, see e.g. [13, 17], to discretize the first left-hand side term of (3.10)

$$\partial_t \tilde{u}(t_1) = \delta_t \tilde{u}^1 + (R_1)^1, \quad \partial_t \tilde{u}(t_n) = \delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{u}^n + (R_1)^n, \quad 2 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.11)$$

$$(R_1)^1 = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau t \partial_t^2 u(t) dt, \quad (3.12)$$

$$(R_1)^n = -\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} (t - t_{n-1})^2 \partial_t^3 u(t) dt + \frac{1}{4\tau} \int_{t_{n-2}}^{t_n} (t - t_{n-2})^2 \partial_t^3 u(t) dt. \quad (3.13)$$

For the second left-hand side term of (3.10), we apply the piecewise linear interpolation

$$\int_0^{t_n} g'(t_n - s) \partial_s \tilde{u}(s) ds = \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \frac{\tilde{u}^k - \tilde{u}^{k-1}}{\tau} + (R_2)^n, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.14)$$

where $w_k = \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t) dt = g(t_{k+1}) - g(t_k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (R_2)^n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[\partial_t \tilde{u}(t) - \frac{\tilde{u}^k - \tilde{u}^{k-1}}{\tau} \right] g'(t_n - t) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[\int_{t_{k-1}}^t \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) \frac{s - t_{k-1}}{\tau} ds - \int_t^{t_k} \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) \frac{t_k - s}{\tau} ds \right] g'(t_n - t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By swapping the double integrals we simplify $(R_2)^n$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (R_2)^n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[g(t_n - s) - \left(g(t_{n-k}) \frac{s - t_{k-1}}{\tau} + g(t_{n-k+1}) \frac{t_k - s}{\tau} \right) \right] \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) ds \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[\frac{t_{k-1} - s}{\tau} \int_s^{t_k} g''(t_n - \theta) (t_k - \theta) d\theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{s - t_k}{\tau} \int_s^{t_{k-1}} g''(t_n - \theta) (t_{k-1} - \theta) d\theta \right] \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

For the third right-hand side term of (3.10), we employ the second-order convolution quadrature rule [18] to get

$$\int_0^{t_n} \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t_n - s) \Delta \tilde{u}(s) ds = \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^j + \omega_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^0 \right) + (R_3)^n, \quad (3.16)$$

where the weights $\chi_j^{(\bar{\alpha})}$ can be obtained by the following power series [18, Lemma 5.3]

$$\left[\frac{(1-\zeta)(3-\zeta)}{2} \right]^{-\bar{\alpha}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \chi_j^{(\bar{\alpha})} \zeta^j, \quad \chi_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} = \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^n 3^{-j} c_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} c_j^{(\bar{\alpha})} = \mathcal{O}(n^{\bar{\alpha}-1})$$

with $c_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} = (-1)^n \binom{-\bar{\alpha}}{n}$. The correction weights are given as $\omega_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} = \frac{n^{\bar{\alpha}}}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha}+1)} - \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})}$ for $n \geq 1$. The quadrature error $(R_3)^n$ in (3.16) could be bounded by [29, Lemma 7]

$$\begin{aligned} |(R_3)^n| \leq Q & \left[\tau^2 t_n^{\bar{\alpha}-1} |\Delta \bar{u}_0| + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}+1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} |\partial_s^2 \Delta u(s)| ds \right. \\ & \left. + \tau^2 \int_0^{t_{n-1}} (t_n - s)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} |\partial_s^2 \Delta u(s)| ds \right], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Now we invoke (3.11), (3.14) and (3.16) in (3.10) to get

$$\delta_t \tilde{u}^1 + w_0 \delta_t \tilde{u}^1 - \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^1 \chi_{1-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^j + \omega_1^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^0 \right) = \mathcal{F}(t_1) + R^1, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{u}^n + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \delta_t \tilde{u}^k - \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^j + \omega_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^0 \right) = \mathcal{F}(t_n) + R^n, \quad (3.19)$$

for $2 \leq n \leq N$ where $R^n = (R_3)^n - (R_2)^n - (R_1)^n$ and $\tilde{u}^0 = 0$. Then we drop the truncation errors to get the following temporal semi-discrete scheme

$$\delta_t \tilde{U}^1 + w_0 \delta_t \tilde{U}^1 - \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^1 \chi_{1-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{U}^j + \omega_1^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{U}^0 \right) = \mathcal{F}(t_1), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{U}^n + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \delta_t \tilde{U}^k - \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{U}^j + \omega_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{U}^0 \right) = \mathcal{F}(t_n), \quad (3.21)$$

with $2 \leq n \leq N$ and $\tilde{U}^0 = 0$. After getting \tilde{U}^n , define $U^n := \tilde{U}^n + u_0$ as the temporal semi-discrete numerical solution of (3.1).

3.3. Analysis of semi-discrete scheme. We first establish the stability of the semi-discrete scheme.

THEOREM 3.2. *Under the condition (3.4), the numerical solution \tilde{U}^m of (3.20)-(3.21) with $1 \leq m \leq N$ satisfies*

$$\|\tilde{U}^m\| \leq Q \sum_{n=1}^m \tau \|\mathcal{F}(t_n)\| \leq Q \left(\|\Delta u_0\| + \|\bar{u}_0\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right).$$

REMARK 3.1. *By $U^n := \tilde{U}^n + u_0$ we immediately obtain the stability of U^n .*

Proof. We take the inner product of (3.20)-(3.21) with $\tau \tilde{U}^1$ and $\tau \tilde{U}^n$, respectively, and sum for n from 1 to m to get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau (\delta_t \tilde{U}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{U}^n, \tilde{U}^n) + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} (\delta_t \tilde{U}^k, \tilde{U}^n) \\ + \tau^{1+\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} (\nabla \tilde{U}^j, \nabla \tilde{U}^n) = \tau \sum_{n=1}^m (\mathcal{F}(t_n), \tilde{U}^n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

By [22, Equation (3.9)] we obtain

$$\tau (\delta_t \tilde{U}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{U}^n, \tilde{U}^n) \geq \frac{3}{4} \|\tilde{U}^m\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} (\|\tilde{U}^{m-1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{U}^1\|^2 + \|\tilde{U}^0\|^2). \quad (3.23)$$

Then we apply $\tilde{U}^0 = 0$ to obtain $\sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \delta_t \tilde{U}^k = \frac{1}{\tau} [w_0 \tilde{U}^n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{n-k-1} - w_{n-k}) \tilde{U}^k]$, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \delta_t \tilde{U}^k \right) \tilde{U}^n \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^m \left[w_0 \tilde{U}^n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) \tilde{U}^{n-k} \right] \tilde{U}^n \right| \\ &\leq |w_0| \sum_{n=1}^m |\tilde{U}^n|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| |\tilde{U}^{n-k}| |\tilde{U}^n|, \\ \left| \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} (\delta_t \tilde{U}^k, \tilde{U}^n) \right| &\leq Q \left[|w_0| \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{U}^n\|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{U}^{n-k}\| \|\tilde{U}^n\| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Besides, following from [19, Lemma 4.1] and $\tilde{U}^0 = 0$, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} (\nabla \tilde{U}^j, \nabla \tilde{U}^n) \geq 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Based on (3.23), (3.24) and (3.25), (3.22) turns into

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{4} \|\tilde{U}^m\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (\|\tilde{U}^{m-1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{U}^1\|^2) + Q |w_0| \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{U}^n\|^2 \\ &\quad + Q \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{U}^{n-k}\| \|\tilde{U}^n\| + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\mathcal{F}(t_n)\| \|\tilde{U}^n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let K satisfy $\|\tilde{U}^K\| = \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|\tilde{U}^n\|$, then

$$\frac{1}{4} \|\tilde{U}^K\| \leq Q |w_0| \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{U}^n\| + Q \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{U}^n\| + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\mathcal{F}(t_n)\|.$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and the expression of w_k , we have

$$|w_0| \leq \int_0^\tau |g'(t)| dt \leq Q\tau, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \leq \tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k+1}} |g''(t)| dt \leq Q\tau. \quad (3.26)$$

Combine three formulas above to get $\|\tilde{U}^m\| \leq \|\tilde{U}^K\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{U}^n\| + 4\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\mathcal{F}(t_n)\|$ for $1 \leq m \leq N$. The an application of the Grönwall's lemma completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $U^m = \tilde{U}^m + u_0$ be the semi-discrete numerical solution of (3.1) with \tilde{U}^m satisfying (3.20)–(3.21). Then the following error estimate holds under the condition (3.4)*

$$\|u(t_m) - U^m\| \leq Q\tau^{\alpha_0}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

Proof. We denote $\tilde{\rho}^n = \tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}^n$ with $0 \leq n \leq m \leq N$ with $\tilde{\rho}^0 = 0$. Then we subtract (3.20) and (3.21) from (3.18) and (3.19), respectively, to get the error equations, which have the same form as (3.20)–(3.21) with \tilde{U}^n replaced by $\tilde{\rho}^n$

and $\mathcal{F}(t_n)$ replaced by R^n . Thus, an application of Theorem 3.2 yields $\|\tilde{\rho}^m\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_3)^n - (R_2)^n - (R_1)^n\|$. To bound right-hand side terms, we apply (2.11), (3.12) and (3.13) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_1)^n\| &= \tau \|(R_1)^1\| + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|(R_1)^n\| \\ &\leq \int_0^\tau t^{\alpha_0-1} dt + Q\tau^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_m} t^{\alpha_0-3} dt + \int_0^{2\tau} t^{\alpha_0-1} dt \leq Q\tau^{\alpha_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

By (3.15), Lemma 3.1 and (2.11), we have

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_2)^n\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \tau^2 \int_0^{t_n} s^{\alpha_0-2} ds \leq Q\tau^2. \quad (3.28)$$

In addition, applying (3.17) and (2.11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_3)^n\| &\leq \tau^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \tau t_n^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \|\Delta \bar{u}_0\| + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}+2} \int_0^{t_m} s^{\alpha_0-2} ds \\ &\quad + \tau^3 \sum_{n=2}^m \int_0^{t_{n-1}} (t_n - s)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} s^{\alpha_0-2} ds \leq \tau^2 \|\Delta \bar{u}_0\| \int_0^{t_m} s^{\bar{\alpha}-1} ds \\ &\quad + Q\tau^{\alpha_0+1} + Q\tau^{\bar{\alpha}+1} \int_0^{t_m} s^{\alpha_0-2} ds \leq Q\tau^{\bar{\alpha}+1} = Q\tau^{\alpha_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

We combine the above estimates and use $\tilde{\rho}^m = u(t_m) - U^m$ to complete the proof. \square

3.4. Analysis of fully-discrete scheme. Define a quasi-uniform partition of the domain Ω with a mesh diameter h . Let $S_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the set of continuous and piecewise linear functions on Ω with respect to this partition. Let I be the identity operator and define the Ritz projection $\Lambda_h : H_0^1 \rightarrow S_h$ as $(\nabla(\varphi - \Lambda_h \varphi), \nabla \chi) = 0$ for any $\chi \in S_h$, with the following approximation property [34]

$$\|(I - \Lambda_h)\varphi\| \leq Qh^2 \|\varphi\|_{H^2}, \quad \|\nabla(I - \Lambda_h)\varphi\| \leq Qh \|\varphi\|_{H^2}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^2 \cap H_0^1. \quad (3.30)$$

Then, for any $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, the weak formulation of (3.18)-(3.19) reads

$$(\delta_t \tilde{u}^1, \chi_1) + w_0(\delta_t \tilde{u}^1, \chi_1) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \chi_0^{(\bar{\alpha})} (\nabla \tilde{u}^1, \nabla \chi_1) = (\mathcal{F}(t_1) + R^1, \chi_1), \quad (3.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{u}^n, \chi_2) + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} (\delta_t \tilde{u}^k, \chi_2) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} (\nabla \tilde{u}^j, \nabla \chi_2) \\ &= (\mathcal{F}(t_n) + R^n, \chi_2), \quad 2 \leq n \leq N, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

and the fully-discrete scheme aims to find $\tilde{U}_h^n \in S_h$ with $\tilde{U}_h^0 = 0$ such that

$$(\delta_t \tilde{U}_h^1, \chi_1) + w_0(\delta_t \tilde{U}_h^1, \chi_1) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \chi_0^{(\bar{\alpha})} (\nabla \tilde{U}_h^1, \nabla \chi_1) = (\mathcal{F}(t_1), \chi_1), \quad (3.33)$$

$$(\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{U}_h^n, \chi_2) + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} (\delta_t \tilde{U}_h^k, \chi_2) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} (\nabla \tilde{U}_h^j, \nabla \chi_2) = (\mathcal{F}(t_n), \chi_2) \quad (3.34)$$

for $2 \leq n \leq N$. After yielding \tilde{U}_h^n , we define the fully-discrete numerical approximation U_h^n to the solution $u(t_n)$ of (3.1) as

$$U_h^n = \tilde{U}_h^n + \Lambda_h u_0, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N. \quad (3.35)$$

Analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.2, the following stability holds for the fully-discrete scheme

$$\|\tilde{U}_h^m\| \leq Q \left(\|\Delta u_{0,h}\| + \|\tilde{u}_{0,h}\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right), \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

By $\tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}_h^n = (u^n - U_h^n) - (I - \Lambda_h)u_0$, the estimate of $u^n - U_h^n$ is reduced to that of $\tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}_h^n$. Define

$$\tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}_h^n = \Lambda_h \tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}_h^n - (\Lambda_h - I)\tilde{u}^n := \tilde{\xi}^n - \tilde{\eta}^n, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.36)$$

where $\tilde{\xi}^0 = 0$ and the estimate of $\tilde{\eta}^n$ is known.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let U_h^m be the fully-discrete approximation of $u(t_m)$ defined in (3.35) and the condition (3.4) holds. Then*

$$\|u(t_m) - U_h^m\| \leq Q (h^2 + \tau^{\alpha_0}), \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

Proof. Subtracting (3.33)–(3.34) from (3.31)–(3.32), respectively, and using Ritz projection we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + w_0(\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \chi_0^{(\bar{\alpha})}(\nabla \tilde{\xi}^1, \nabla \tilde{\xi}^1) \\ &= (R^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + (\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + w_0(\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1), \\ & (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\xi}^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^k, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})}(\nabla \tilde{\xi}^j, \nabla \tilde{\xi}^n) \\ &= (R^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\eta}^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^k, \tilde{\xi}^n), \quad 2 \leq n \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

Then, summing the above formulas for n from 1 to m and applying (3.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\xi}^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^k, \tilde{\xi}^n) \\ & \leq \tau \sum_{n=1}^m (R^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^k, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \tau(\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\eta}^n, \tilde{\xi}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the analysis of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\|\tilde{\xi}^m\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{\xi}^n\| + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|R^n\| + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n |w_{n-k}| \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^k\| + \tau \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1\| + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\eta}^n\|. \quad (3.37)$$

By $|w_{n-k}| \leq Q\tau$ and (3.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n |w_{n-k}| \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^k\| &\leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \|\partial_t \eta(t)\| dt \\ &\leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \int_0^{t_n} \|\partial_t \eta(t)\| dt \leq Qh^2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

$$\tau \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1\| \leq \int_0^\tau \|\partial_t \tilde{\eta}(t)\| dt \leq Q\tau h^2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^2}, \quad (3.39)$$

$$\tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\eta}^n\| \leq 2 \sum_{n=2}^m \int_{t_{n-2}}^{t_n} \|\partial_t \tilde{\eta}(t)\| dt \leq Qh^2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^2}. \quad (3.40)$$

We invoke the regularity estimate (2.11), (3.38), (3.39) and (3.40) in (3.37) and use the discrete Grönwall's lemma to complete the proof. \square

4. A second-order scheme. We propose and analyze a second-order-in-time scheme for problem (3.2)–(3.3).

4.1. Construction of second-order scheme. Let $\widehat{G}(t) = \int_0^t g'(t-s) \partial_s \tilde{u}(s) ds$ and integrate (3.2) from t_{n-1} to t_n and multiply the resulting equation by $1/\tau$ to get

$$\delta_t \tilde{u}^n + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \widehat{G}(t) dt - \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) \Delta \tilde{u}(s) ds dt = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \mathcal{F}(t) dt =: \bar{F}^n \quad (4.1)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq N$. We combine the middle rectangle formula and (3.14) to discretize the second left-hand side term as

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \widehat{G}(t) dt = \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 \tilde{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) \tilde{u}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}} \right] + (R_2)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + (R_4)^n, \quad (4.2)$$

where $(R_2)^n$ is denoted by (3.14) and

$$(R_4)^n = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \widehat{G}(t) dt - \frac{\widehat{G}(t_n) + \widehat{G}(t_{n-1})}{2}. \quad (4.3)$$

To approximate the convolution term, we apply the averaged PI rule [25] to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) \Delta \tilde{u}(s) ds dt &= \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) \Delta \check{u}(s) ds dt + (R_5)^n \\ &:= I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\Delta \tilde{u}) + (R_5)^n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Here $I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\varphi) = \omega_{n,1} \varphi^1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \omega_{n,j} \varphi^{j-1/2}$ with $\omega_{n,j} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{\min(t,t_j)} \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) ds dt > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $(R_5)^n = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) [\Delta \tilde{u}(s) - \Delta \check{u}(s)] ds dt$, where $\check{u}(s) = \tilde{u}^1$ for $0 < s < \tau$ and $\check{u}(s) = \tilde{u}^{n-1/2}$ for $t_{n-1} < s < t_n$ and $n \geq 2$. We invoke the above approximations in (4.1) to get

$$\delta_t \tilde{u}^n + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 \tilde{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) \tilde{u}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}} \right] - I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\Delta \tilde{u}) = \bar{F}^n + (R_*)^n, \quad (4.5)$$

where $(R_*)^n = (R_5)^n - (R_4)^n - (R_2)^{n-1/2}$ with $1 \leq n \leq N$. Then we drop the truncation errors to get the following semi-discrete scheme: $\tilde{U}^0 = 0$ and for $1 \leq n \leq N$

$$\delta_t \tilde{U}^n + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 \tilde{U}^{n-1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) \tilde{U}^{n-k-1/2} \right] - I_{\alpha}^{n-1/2} (\Delta \tilde{U}) = \bar{F}^n. \quad (4.6)$$

After getting \tilde{U}^n , define $U^n := \tilde{U}^n + u_0$ as the temporal semi-discrete numerical solution of (3.1).

4.2. Analysis of semi-discrete scheme. We first establish the following stability result.

THEOREM 4.1. *Under the condition (3.4), the numerical solution \tilde{U}^m of (4.6) satisfies for $1 \leq m \leq N$*

$$\|\tilde{U}^m\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\bar{F}^n\| \leq Q \left(\|\Delta u_0\| + \|\bar{u}_0\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right).$$

REMARK 4.1. *By $U^n := \tilde{U}^n + u_0$ we immediately obtain the stability of U^n*

$$\|U^m\| \leq Q \left(\|u_0\| + \|\Delta u_0\| + \|\bar{u}_0\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right).$$

Proof. Taking the inner product of (4.6) with $\tau \tilde{U}^1$ and $\tau \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}$, respectively, and summing the resulting equations for n from 1 to m we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(\delta_t \tilde{U}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t \tilde{U}^n, \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}) + \frac{w_0}{2} \|\tilde{U}^1\|^2 \\ & + \sum_{n=2}^m \left[w_0 \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) (\tilde{U}^{n-k-1/2}, \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}) \right] + \tau (I_{\alpha}^{1/2} (\nabla \tilde{U}), \nabla \tilde{U}^1) \\ & + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (I_{\alpha}^{n-1/2} (\nabla \tilde{U}), \nabla \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}) = \tau (\bar{F}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\bar{F}^n, \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

We estimate the first two left-hand side terms as

$$\tau(\delta_t \tilde{U}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t \tilde{U}^n, \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}) \geq \frac{\|\tilde{U}^m\|^2 - \|\tilde{U}^0\|^2}{2} = \frac{\|\tilde{U}^m\|^2}{2}. \quad (4.8)$$

By [25, pp. 483], the following estimate holds

$$\tau (I_{\alpha}^{1/2} (\nabla \tilde{U}), \nabla \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (I_{\alpha}^{n-1/2} (\nabla \tilde{U}), \nabla \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}) \geq 0. \quad (4.9)$$

We invoke (4.8)–(4.9) in (4.7) and use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{U}^m\|^2 & \leq |w_0| \|\tilde{U}^1\|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=2}^m \left[|w_0| \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{U}^{n-k-1/2}\| \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\| \right] \\ & \quad + 2\tau \|\bar{F}^1\| \|\tilde{U}^1\| + 2\tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|\bar{F}^n\| \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let K be such that $\|\tilde{U}^K\| = \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|\tilde{U}^n\|$, then

$$\|\tilde{U}^K\| \leq |w_0| \|\tilde{U}^K\| + 2 \sum_{n=2}^K \left[|w_0| + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \right] \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\| + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^K \|\bar{F}^n\|.$$

By (3.26), we further obtain $\|\tilde{U}^m\| \leq \|\tilde{U}^K\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\| + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\bar{F}^n\|$. Then an application of the discrete Grönwall's lemma completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $U^m = \tilde{U}^m + u_0$ be the semi-discrete numerical solution of (3.1) with \tilde{U}^m satisfying (4.6). Then under the condition (3.4), we have*

$$\|u(t_m) - U^m\| \leq Q\tau^2, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

Proof. We subtract (4.6) from (4.5) to get the following error equations

$$\delta_t \tilde{\rho}^n + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 \tilde{\rho}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) \tilde{\rho}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}} \right] - I_\alpha^{n-1/2} (\Delta \tilde{\rho}) = (R_*)^n \quad (4.10)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq N$ with $\tilde{\rho}^0 = 0$ and $\tilde{\rho}^n = u(t_n) - U^n$. By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\|\tilde{\rho}^m\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_5)^n - (R_4)^n - (R_2)^{n-1/2}\|. \quad (4.11)$$

Then we estimate the truncation errors. By (3.28) we have $\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_2)^{n-1/2}\| \leq Q\tau^2$.

Then, (4.3) gives

$$(R_4)^n = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \hat{G}(t) dt - \hat{G}(t_{n-1/2}) + \left[\hat{G}(t_{n-1/2}) - \frac{\hat{G}(t_n) + \hat{G}(t_{n-1})}{2} \right] := R_{41}^n + R_{42}^n,$$

which, together with the Taylor expansion, gives

$$\begin{aligned} R_{41}^n &= \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1/2}} (t - t_{n-1})^2 \partial_t^2 \hat{G}(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_n} (t_n - t)^2 \partial_t^2 \hat{G}(t) dt, \\ R_{42}^n &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1/2}} (t_{n-1} - t) \partial_t^2 \hat{G}(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_n} (t - t_n) \partial_t^2 \hat{G}(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_t \hat{G}(t) = g'(0) \partial_t \tilde{u}(t) + \int_0^t g''(t-s) \partial_s \tilde{u}(s) ds$ and $\partial_t^2 \hat{G}(t) = g'(0) \partial_t^2 \tilde{u}(t) + g''(t) \tilde{u}_0 + \int_0^t g''(s) \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(t-s) ds$. Combine the above five equations to get

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_4)^n\| \leq \tau \int_0^{t_{\frac{1}{2}}} t \|\partial_t^2 \hat{G}(t)\| dt + \tau^2 \int_{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}^{t_1} \|\partial_t^2 \hat{G}(t)\| dt + 2 \sum_{n=2}^m \tau^2 \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|\partial_t^2 \hat{G}(t)\| dt,$$

and we apply Lemma 3.1, Theorem 2.1, (2.11) and $\partial_t \tilde{u}(t) = \partial_t u(t)$ to get

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_4)^n\| \leq Q \left[\tau \int_0^{t_{1/2}} t^{\alpha_0-1} dt + \tau^2 \int_{t_{1/2}}^{t_1} t^{\alpha_0-2} dt + \tau^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} t^{\alpha_0-2} dt \right] \leq Q\tau^2.$$

To bound $(R_5)^n$, define $u^*(s) = \tilde{u}(t_1)$ for $0 < s < \tau$ and $u^*(s) = \tau^{-1}[(t_i - t)\tilde{u}(t_{i-1}) + (t - t_{i-1})\tilde{u}(t_i)]$ for $t_{i-1} < s < t_i$ and $i \geq 2$. Then $(R_5)^n = (R_{51})^n + (R_{52})^n$ where

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{51})^n &= \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) [\Delta \tilde{u}(s) - \Delta u^*(s)] ds dt, \\ (R_{52})^n &= \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) [\Delta u^*(s) - \Delta \tilde{u}(s)] ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Following from [25, Lemma 3.2 and Eq. (3.19)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_{51})^n\| &\leq Q \left(\int_0^{t_1} t \|\Delta \partial_t u(t)\| dt + \tau^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_m} \|\Delta \partial_t^2 u(t)\| dt \right), \\ \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_{52})^n\| &\leq Q \left(\tau \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\Delta \partial_t u(t)\| dt + \tau^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_m} \|\Delta \partial_t^2 u(t)\| dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we use (2.11) to get $\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_5)^n\| \leq Q\tau \int_0^{2\tau} t^{\alpha_0-1} dt + Q\tau^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_m} t^{\alpha_0-2} dt \leq Q\tau^2$. We finally invoke the above estimates in (4.11) and apply the discrete Grönwall's lemma to complete the proof. \square

4.3. Analysis of fully-discrete scheme. Based on the notations in Section 3.4, the weak formulation of (4.5) reads for any $\chi_3 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_t \tilde{u}^n, \chi_3) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 (\tilde{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) (\tilde{u}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) \right] \\ + (I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\nabla \tilde{u}), \nabla \chi_3) = (\bar{F}^n, \chi_3) + ((R_*)^n, \chi_3), \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

and the fully-discrete numerical scheme aims to find $\tilde{U}_h^n \in S_h$ such that $\tilde{U}_h^0 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_t \tilde{U}_h^n, \chi_3) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 (\tilde{U}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) (\tilde{U}_h^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) \right] \\ + (I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\nabla \tilde{U}_h), \nabla \chi_3) = (\bar{F}^n, \chi_3), \quad \chi_3 \in S_h. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Then, the fully-discrete numerical approximation U_h^n to (3.1) is given by (3.35) with \tilde{U}_h^n defined by (4.13). Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have the stability

$$\|\tilde{U}_h^m\| \leq Q \left(\|\Delta u_{0,h}\| + \|\tilde{u}_{0,h}\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right), \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

THEOREM 4.3. *Let U_h^n given by (3.35) be the fully-discrete numerical approximation to (3.1) with \tilde{U}_h^n defined by (4.13). Then the following estimate holds*

$$\|u(t_m) - U_h^m\| \leq Q (h^2 + \tau^2), \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

Proof. Use notations in (3.36) and the Ritz projection and subtract (4.13) from (4.12) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^n, \chi_3) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 (\tilde{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) (\tilde{\xi}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) \right] \\
& + (I_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{n-1/2} (\nabla \tilde{\xi}), \nabla \chi_3) = ((R_*)^n, \chi_3) + (\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^n, \chi_3) \\
& + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 (\tilde{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) (\tilde{\eta}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) \right]. \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

We choose $\chi_3 = \tau \tilde{\xi}^1$ and $\chi_3 = \tau \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}$ with $2 \leq n \leq m$ in (4.14), respectively, and sum the resulting equations from 1 to m to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau (\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^n, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \\
& + \frac{w_0}{2} \|\tilde{\xi}^1\|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^m \left[w_0 \|\tilde{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) (\tilde{\xi}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \right] \\
& + \tau (I_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{1/2} (\nabla \tilde{\xi}), \nabla \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (I_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{n-1/2} (\nabla \tilde{\xi}), \nabla \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \\
& = \tau ((R_*)^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m ((R_*)^n, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) + \tau (\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^n, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \\
& + w_0 (\tilde{\eta}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \sum_{n=2}^m \left[w_0 (\tilde{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) (\tilde{\eta}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{\xi}^m\| & \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_*)^n\| + Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^n\| \\
& + Q \sum_{n=1}^m |w_0| \|\tilde{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\| + Q \sum_{n=2}^m \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{\eta}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}\| \right]. \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

By the proof of Theorem 4.2, (3.30) and (3.26) we get

$$2\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_*)^n\| + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^n\| \leq Q\tau^2 + Qh^2 \|u\|_{L^1(H^2)}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^m |w_0| \|\tilde{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\| + 2 \sum_{n=2}^m \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{\eta}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}\| \right] \leq Qh^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty(H^2)}. \tag{4.17}$$

Invoking (4.16)–(4.17) in (4.15) and using the Gronwall's lemma we have $\|\tilde{\xi}^m\| \leq Q(h^2 + \tau^2)$, which, together with $\|\tilde{\eta}^m\| \leq Qh^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty(H^2)}$, completes the proof. \square

5. Numerical experiments. We provide numerical examples to verify the theoretical analysis of two fully-discrete schemes. Let $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $h = \frac{1}{J}$ for some $J > 0$. We measure the temporal and spatial convergence rates by $\text{rate}^t = \log_2 \left(\frac{E(2\tau, h)}{E(\tau, h)} \right)$

and $\text{rate}^x = \log_2 \left(\frac{G(\tau, 2h)}{G(\tau, h)} \right)$ with errors computed by $E(\tau, h) = \left(h \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} |U_{h,j}^N(\tau, h) - U_{h,j}^{2N}(\tau/2, h)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $G(\tau, h) = \left(h \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} |U_{h,j}^N(\tau, h) - U_{h,2j}^N(\tau, h/2)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Here the notation $U_{h,j}^N(\tau, h)$ refers to the numerical solution at the last time step computed under the time step size τ and the spacial mesh size h .

Example 1: Convergence of α_0 -order scheme. Let $T = 1/2$, $u_0 = \sin(\pi x)$, $\bar{u}_0 = \sin(2\pi x)$ and $f = 0$. We choose $\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}t^3$ that satisfies the condition (3.4). We present errors and convergence rates in Tables 5.1– 5.2 under different α_0 , which justify the $O(\tau^{\alpha_0} + h^2)$ accuracy of the α_0 -order scheme proved in Theorem 3.4.

 Table 5.1: Errors and convergence rates in time under $J = 16$ for Example 1.

N	$\alpha_0 = 1.2$		N	$\alpha_0 = 1.5$		N	$\alpha_0 = 1.9$	
	$E(\tau, h)$	rate^t		$E(\tau, h)$	rate^t		$E(\tau, h)$	rate^t
1024	8.7528×10^{-6}	*	512	3.1318×10^{-5}	*	256	7.3897×10^{-5}	*
2048	4.0753×10^{-6}	1.10	1024	1.0789×10^{-5}	1.54	512	1.8645×10^{-5}	1.99
4096	1.8287×10^{-6}	1.16	2048	3.7405×10^{-6}	1.53	1024	4.7059×10^{-6}	1.99
8192	8.3180×10^{-7}	1.14	4096	1.3035×10^{-6}	1.52	2048	1.1923×10^{-6}	1.98
16384	3.5793×10^{-7}	1.22	8192	4.5598×10^{-7}	1.52	4096	3.0514×10^{-7}	1.97

 Table 5.2: Errors and convergence rates in space under $N = 32$ for Example 1.

J	$\alpha_0 = 1.2$		J	$\alpha_0 = 1.5$		J	$\alpha_0 = 1.9$	
	$G(\tau, h)$	rate^x		$G(\tau, h)$	rate^x		$G(\tau, h)$	rate^x
32	1.2692×10^{-3}	*	32	1.0846×10^{-3}	*	32	9.7202×10^{-4}	*
64	3.1770×10^{-4}	2.00	64	2.7120×10^{-4}	2.00	64	2.4487×10^{-4}	1.99
128	7.9451×10^{-5}	2.00	128	6.7802×10^{-5}	2.00	128	6.1332×10^{-5}	2.00
256	1.9864×10^{-5}	2.00	256	1.6951×10^{-5}	2.00	256	1.5340×10^{-5}	2.00
512	4.9662×10^{-6}	2.00	512	4.2376×10^{-6}	2.00	512	3.8355×10^{-6}	2.00

Example 2: Convergence of second-order scheme. Let $T = 1$, $u_0 = x^4(1-x)^4 \in \check{H}^4$, $\bar{u}_0 = x^2(1-x)^2 \in \check{H}^2$ and $f = 0$. We select $\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{4}t^3$ such that the condition (3.4) is satisfied. We present errors and convergence rates in Tables 5.3–5.4 with different choices of α_0 , which indicate the $O(\tau^2 + h^2)$ accuracy of the second-order scheme second-order accuracy as proved in Theorem 4.3.

 Table 5.3: Errors and convergence rates in time under $J = 32$ for Example 2.

N	$\alpha_0 = 1.2$		N	$\alpha_0 = 1.4$		N	$\alpha_0 = 1.7$	
	$E(\tau, h)$	rate^t		$E(\tau, h)$	rate^t		$E(\tau, h)$	rate^t
64	6.6596×10^{-7}	*	128	1.4208×10^{-7}	*	256	2.8927×10^{-7}	*
128	1.5674×10^{-7}	2.08	256	3.0527×10^{-8}	2.22	512	7.4650×10^{-8}	1.95
256	3.8792×10^{-8}	2.01	512	6.6848×10^{-9}	2.19	1024	1.9004×10^{-8}	1.97
512	1.0059×10^{-8}	1.95	1024	1.4854×10^{-9}	2.17	2048	4.7766×10^{-9}	1.99
1024	2.5388×10^{-9}	1.97	2048	3.3234×10^{-10}	2.16	4096	1.1901×10^{-9}	2.00

 Table 5.4: Errors and convergence rates in space under $N = 32$ for Example 2.

J	$\alpha_0 = 1.2$		J	$\alpha_0 = 1.4$		J	$\alpha_0 = 1.7$	
	$G(\tau, h)$	rate^x		$G(\tau, h)$	rate^x		$G(\tau, h)$	rate^x
64	7.6547×10^{-7}	*	64	7.3519×10^{-7}	*	64	7.8619×10^{-7}	*
128	1.8981×10^{-7}	2.01	128	1.8450×10^{-7}	1.99	128	1.9978×10^{-7}	1.98
256	4.7254×10^{-8}	2.01	256	4.5943×10^{-8}	2.01	256	5.0350×10^{-8}	1.99
512	1.1799×10^{-8}	2.00	512	1.1468×10^{-8}	2.00	512	1.2558×10^{-8}	2.00
1024	2.9489×10^{-9}	2.00	1024	2.8657×10^{-9}	2.00	1024	3.1355×10^{-9}	2.00

Example 3: Convergence without condition (3.4). Let $T = 1$, $u_0(x) = \sin(\pi x)$, $\bar{u}_0(x) = x^2(1-x)^2$ and $f(x, t) = 1$. We select $\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{8}\sin(t)$ such that the condition (3.4) is not satisfied. Nevertheless, Table 5.5 shows that both methods still exhibit the desired convergence rates. Thus, it is interesting to investigate the error estimate of both schemes without the condition (3.4) in the near future.

Table 5.5: Errors and convergence rates in time under $J = 32$ for Example 3.

	α_0 -order scheme			Second-order scheme		
	N	$E(\tau, h)$	rate ^t	N	$E(\tau, h)$	rate ^t
$\alpha_0 = 1.4$	256	2.5712×10^{-5}	*	256	3.2336×10^{-6}	*
	512	1.0747×10^{-5}	1.26	512	7.8155×10^{-7}	2.05
	1024	4.3248×10^{-6}	1.31	1024	1.8832×10^{-7}	2.05
	2048	1.7029×10^{-6}	1.34	2048	4.5506×10^{-8}	2.05
	4096	6.6169×10^{-7}	1.36	4096	1.0967×10^{-8}	2.05
$\alpha_0 = 1.85$	64	3.8174×10^{-4}	*	64	1.6006×10^{-4}	*
	128	1.0288×10^{-4}	1.89	128	3.9321×10^{-5}	2.03
	256	2.9044×10^{-5}	1.82	256	9.6861×10^{-6}	2.02
	512	8.3513×10^{-6}	1.80	512	2.3999×10^{-6}	2.01
	1024	2.4114×10^{-6}	1.79	1024	5.9855×10^{-7}	2.00

Appendix: Proof of Theorem 2.1. We first consider model (2.8)–(1.2) with $u_0 = \bar{u}_0 \equiv 0$, and define the space $\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2) := \{q \in W^{2,p}(L^2) : q(0) = \partial_t q(0) = 0\}$ equipped with the norm $\|q\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)} := \|e^{-\sigma t} \partial_t^2 q\|_{L^p(L^2)}$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$, which is equivalent to the standard norm $\|q\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)}$ for $q \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$. Define a mapping $\mathcal{M} : \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2) \rightarrow \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$ by $w = \mathcal{M}v$ where w satisfies

$$\partial_t w - \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * \Delta w = p_v, \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \quad (5.1)$$

equipped with zero initial and boundary conditions. By (2.9), w could be expressed as $w = \int_0^t F(t-s)p_v(s)ds$. To show the well-posedness of \mathcal{M} , we need to show $w \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$. Differentiate $w = \int_0^t F(t-s)p_v(s)ds$ twice in time to obtain $\partial_t^2 w = \partial_t p_v + \int_0^t F'(t-s)\partial_s p_v(s)ds$. Following similar techniques in [40], we could arrive

$$\|w\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q(\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + \sigma^{\varepsilon-1}\|v\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)}), \quad (5.2)$$

which implies that $w \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$ such that \mathcal{M} is well-posed. The contractivity of \mathcal{M} could then be obtained by choosing σ large enough. Consequently, there exists a unique solution $u \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$ for model (2.8)–(1.2) with $u_0 = \bar{u}_0 \equiv 0$, and the stability estimate could be derived from (5.2) with $v = w = u$ and large σ and the equivalence between two norms $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)}$ for $u \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)}. \quad (5.3)$$

For (2.8)–(1.2) with non-zero initial conditions, a variable substitution $v = u - u_0 - t\bar{u}_0$ could be used to reach the same model with u, f, u_0, \bar{u}_0 replaced by $v, f + \Delta u_0 + t\Delta\bar{u}_0, 0$ and 0 , respectively. As $u_0, \bar{u}_0 \in H^2$, we apply the well-posedness of (2.8)–(1.2) with homogeneous initial conditions to find that there exists a unique solution $v \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$ with the stability estimate $\|v\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q\|f + \Delta u_0 + t\Delta\bar{u}_0\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)}$ derived from (5.3). By $v = u - u_0 - t\bar{u}_0$, we finally conclude that $u = v + u_0 + t\bar{u}_0$ is a solution to (2.8)–(1.2) in $W^{2,p}(L^2)$ with the estimate $\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} +$

$Q\|u_0\|_{H^2} + Q\|\bar{u}_0\|_{H^2}$. The uniqueness of the solutions to (2.8)–(1.2) follows from that to this model with $u_0 = \bar{u}_0 \equiv 0$.

Then we show that the solution to (2.8)–(1.2) is also a solution to (1.1)–(1.2). Note that (2.8) implies (2.7) by integration by parts, which, together with $g = \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * k$, leads to $\beta_{\alpha_0-1} * [k * \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u - f] = 0$. The convolution of this with $\beta_{2-\alpha_0}$ is

$$\beta_1 * [k * \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u - f] = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Differentiate this equation leads to the original governing equation (1.1), which implies the existence. The uniqueness of the solutions to (1.1)–(1.2) in $W^{2,p}(L^2)$ follows from that of (2.8)–(1.2). Finally, we apply the governing equation (1.1) to bound $\|\Delta u\|_{L^p(L^2)}$, which completes the proof.

Concluding remarks. This work proposes and analyzes two high-order schemes for (1.1) by overcoming the difficulties caused by the “indefinite-sign, nonpositive-definite, nonmonotonic” convolution kernel in the transformed model, which resolves the intricate issue introduced by the model transformation. Therefore, the developed ideas and methods in this work could be combined with the model transformation methods in [40] to design high-order schemes for other nonlocal problems where the variable-exponent terms serve as leading terms, such as the variable-exponent sub-diffusion in anomalous diffusion [32] and the variable-exponent integro-differential equations arising from viscoelastic materials [6].

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12301555), by the National Key R&D Program of China (2023YFA1008903), by the Taishan Scholars Program of Shandong Province (tsqn202306083), by the National Science Foundation (DMS-2012291 and DMS-2245097), and by the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF (GZC20240938).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Adams, J. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, Elsevier, San Diego, 2003.
- [2] L. Banjai, M. López-Fernández, *Efficient high order algorithms for fractional integrals and fractional differential equations*, Numer. Math., 141 (2019), 289–317.
- [3] A. Bonfanti, J. Kaplan, G. Charras, A. Kabla, *Fractional viscoelastic models for power-law materials*, Soft Matter, 16 (2020), 6002.
- [4] M. Cai, E. Kharazmi, C. Li, G. Karniadakis, *Fractional buffer layers: Absorbing boundary conditions for wave propagation*, Commun. Comput. Phys., 31 (2022), 331–369.
- [5] D. Craiem, F. Rojo, J. Atienza, R. Armentano, G. Guinea, *Fractional-order viscoelasticity applied to describe uniaxial stress relaxation of human arteries*, Phy. Med. Biol., 53 (2008), 4543.
- [6] R. Dang, Y. Cui, J. Qu, A. Yang, Y. Chen, *Variable fractional modeling and vibration analysis of variable-thickness viscoelastic circular plate*, Appl. Math. Model., 110 (2022), 767–778.
- [7] M. D’Elia, Q. Du, C. Glusa, M. Gunzburger, X. Tian, Z. Zhou, *Numerical methods for nonlocal and fractional models*, Acta Numer., 29 (2020), 1–124.
- [8] R. Du, Z. Sun, H. Wang, *Temporal second-order finite difference schemes for variable-order time-fractional wave equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 60 (2022), 104–132.
- [9] B. Fan, M. Azaiez, C. Xu, *An extension of the Landweber regularization for a backward time fractional wave problem*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, 14 (2021), 2893–2916.
- [10] A. Jaiseenkar, G. McKinley, *Power-law rheology in the bulk and at the interface: quasi-properties and fractional constitutive equations*, Proc. R. Soc. A, 469 (2013), 20120284.
- [11] B. Jin, *Fractional differential equations—an approach via fractional derivatives*, Appl. Math. Sci., 206, Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [12] B. Jin, R. Lazarov, Z. Zhou, *Two fully discrete schemes for fractional diffusion and diffusion-wave equations with nonsmooth data*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 38 (2016), A146–A170.
- [13] B. Jin, B. Li, Z. Zhou, *Correction of high-order BDF convolution quadrature for fractional evolution equations*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 39 (2017), A3129–A3152.
- [14] Y. Kian, M. Slodička, É. Soccorsi and K. Bockstal, *On time-fractional partial differential equations of time-dependent piecewise constant order*, arXiv:2402.03482.

- [15] N. Kopteva, *Error analysis of the $L1$ method on graded and uniform meshes for a fractional-derivative problem in two and three dimensions*, Math. Comp., 88 (2019), 2135–2155.
- [16] H. Liao, T. Tang, T. Zhou, *Positive definiteness of real quadratic forms resulting from the variable-step $L1$ -type approximations of convolution operators*, Sci. China Math., 67 (2024), 237–252.
- [17] H. Liao, T. Tang, T. Zhou, *A new discrete energy technique for multi-step backward difference formulas*, CSIAM Trans. Appl. Math., 3 (2022), 318–334.
- [18] C. Lubich, *Convolution quadrature and discretized operational calculus. I and II*, Numer. Math., 52 (1988), 129–145 and 413–425.
- [19] C. Lubich, I. Sloan, V. Thomée, *Nonsmooth data error estimates for approximations of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term*, Math. Comp., 65 (1996), 1–17.
- [20] F. Mainardi, P. Paradisi, *Fractional diffusive waves*, J. Comput. Acoust., 9, (2001), 1417–1436.
- [21] F. Mainardi, *The time fractional diffusion-wave equation*, Radiophys Quantum Electron, 38 (1995), 13–24.
- [22] W. McLean, V. Thomée, *Numerical solution of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 35 (1993), 23–70.
- [23] M. Meerschaert, A. Sikorskii, *Stochastic Models for Fractional Calculus*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 2011.
- [24] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, *The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: A fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep., 339 (2000), 1–77.
- [25] W. McLean, K. Mustapha, *A second-order accurate numerical method for a fractional wave equation*, Numer. Math., 105 (2007), 481–510.
- [26] K. Mustapha, W. McLean, *Discontinuous Galerkin method for an evolution equation with a memory term of positive type*, Math. Comp., 78 (2009), 1975–1995.
- [27] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, 1999.
- [28] S. Patnaik, J. Hollkamp, F. Semperlotti, *Applications of variable-order fractional operators: a review*, Proc. R. Soc. A, 476 (2020), 20190498.
- [29] W. Qiu, G. Fairweather, X. Yang, H. Zhang, *ADI Finite Element Galerkin methods for two-dimensional tempered fractional integro-differential equations*, Calcolo, 60 (2023), 41.
- [30] J.N. Reddy, *An Introduction to Continuum Mechanics*, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [31] P. Sollich, *Rheological constitutive equation for a model of soft glassy materials*, Phys. Rev. E, 58 (1998), 738–759.
- [32] H. Sun, A. Chang, Y. Zhang, W. Chen, *A review on variable-order fractional differential equations: Mathematical foundations, physical models, numerical methods and applications*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 22 (2019), 27–59.
- [33] J. Suzuki, M. Gulian, M. Zayernouri, M. D'Elia, *Fractional modeling in action: a survey of nonlocal models for subsurface transport, turbulent flows, and anomalous materials*, J. Peridyn. Nonlocal Model., 5 (2023), 392–459.
- [34] V. Thomée, *Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [35] M. Zayernouri, G. Karniadakis, *Fractional spectral collocation methods for linear and nonlinear variable order FPDEs*, J. Comput. Phys., 293 (2015), 312–338.
- [36] F. Zeng, Z. Zhang, G. E. Karniadakis, *A generalized spectral collocation method with tunable accuracy for variable-order fractional differential equations*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 37 (2015), A2710–A2732.
- [37] Z. Zhang, Z. Zhou, *Backward diffusion-wave problem: stability, regularization, and approximation*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 44 (2022), A3183–A3216.
- [38] T. Zhao, Z. Mao, G. E. Karniadakis, *Multi-domain spectral collocation method for variable-order nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng., 348 (2019), 377–395.
- [39] X. Zhao, Z. Sun, G. Karniadakis, *Second-order approximations for variable order fractional derivatives: Algorithms and applications*, J. Comput. Phys., 293 (2015), 184–200.
- [40] X. Zheng, *Two methods addressing variable-exponent fractional initial and boundary value problems and Abel integral equation*, arXiv: 2404.09421v2.
- [41] X. Zheng, H. Wang, *Analysis and discretization of a variable-order fractional wave equation*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 104 (2022), 106047.
- [42] P. Zhuang, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, *Numerical methods for the variable-order fractional advection-diffusion equation with a nonlinear source term*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47 (2009), 1760–1781.