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## Introduction

A generic 2-dimensional recurrence problem can be defined as:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
w_{i, j} & =a_{i, j} w_{i-1, j}+b_{i, j} w_{i, j-1}+c_{i, j} & \forall(i, j) & \in\{1, . ., n\} \times\{1, . ., n\}  \tag{1}\\
w_{0, j} & =c_{0, j} & \forall j \in\{1, . ., n\} \\
w_{i, 0} & =c_{i, 0} & \forall i \in\{1, . ., n\}
\end{array}
$$

It is quite easy to notice that the computational pattern induced by the dependence relations is a wavefront pattern. A visualization of such pattern is also shown in Figure 1. Indeed, wavefront parallelization is what is nowadays used to efficiently compute such recurrence problems, as it is able to reduce the computation time from being proportional to $n^{2}$ to being proportional to $2 n-1$.

I here show how it is possible to systematically reduce a 2 -dimensional recurrence problem of the above form into a mono-dimensional recurrence problem of the form:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
x_{d}=h_{d} x_{d-1}+k_{d} & \forall d \in\{2, . ., 2 n-1\}  \tag{2}\\
x_{1}=k_{1} &
\end{array}
$$

with $x_{d}$ and $k_{d}$ column vectors of length $n$, and $h_{d}$ a matrix of dimension $n \times n$. This will prove that Kogge and Stone algorithm proposed in [1] is applicable even to 2-dimensional recurrence relations (and probably also to $3+$ dimensions following a similar approach).


Figure 1: Wavefront computation pattern induced by the dependence relation in (11).

## Discussion

As previously said, the wavefront computational pattern enforced by the data dependencies can be exploited to perform parallelization and make the computation time proportional to $2 n-1$ for an $n \times n$ grid. This is achieved by computing the grid points along the wavefront in parallel, in a way "clustering" the computation along the diagonal. I use the same "clustering" intuition to perform the proposed reduction, going from a 2-dimensional $\operatorname{system}(i, j) \in\{1, . ., n\} \times\{1, . ., n\}$ to a mono-dimensional system $d \in\{1, . ., 2 n-1\}$, where each $d$ corresponds to a diagonal - i.e. a set of grid points of the same color as in Figure 1 - of the original 2-dimensional grid.

Theorem 1. A 2-dimensional recurrence problem of the form of (1) for an arbitrary $n$ can always be reduced to a mono-dimensional equivalent of the form of (2), where $x_{d}$ and $k_{d}$ are column vectors of length $n$, and $h_{d}$ is a matrix of dimension $n \times n$. If the reduction provides less non-zero elements than $n$ for $x_{d}$ and $k_{d}$ (and less non-zero rows than $n$ for $h_{d}$ ), then a number of 0 s (or rows of 0 s ) is inserted after the last non-zero element until the vectors/matrices reach the required cardinality. This is just for consistency purposes and could be avoided in practical implementations.

Proof. The reduction is performed by relating the different $(i, j), \forall(i, j) \in\{1, . ., n\} \times\{1, . ., n\}$ of the 2 -dimensional space of (1) to the different $d, \forall d \in\{1, . ., 2 n-1\}$ of the monodimensional space of (2). In particular, each $(i, j)$ belonging to a diagonal - i.e. a set of grid points of the same color as in Figure 1- is grouped within the same $d$.

Indeed, we can define the different $x_{d}, \forall d \in\{1, . ., 2 n-1\}$ from the $w_{i, j} \forall(i, j) \in\{1, . ., n\} \times$ $\{1, . ., n\}$ :

$$
x_{d}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{1, d} \\
w_{2, d-1} \\
\vdots \\
w_{1+i, d-i} \\
\vdots \\
w_{d-1,2} \\
w_{d, 1}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { if } 1 \leq d \leq n, \quad \forall i \in\{0, . ., d-1\}}  \tag{3}\\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{d+1-n, n} \\
w_{d+2-n, n-1} \\
\vdots \\
w_{(d+1-n)+i, n-i} \\
\vdots \\
w_{n-1, d+2-n} \\
w_{n, d+1-n}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { if } n+1 \leq d \leq 2 n-1, \quad \forall i \in\{0, . ., 2 n-d-1\}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In this way, each $x_{d}$ represent a diagonal (a collection of grid points) of the original 2 -dimensional space. The $x_{d}$ column vector has a fixed cardinality of $n$, because of how I defined (2) (a number of 0 s will be inserted after the last non-zero element given by the relation defined in (3) until the vector $x_{d}$ doesn't reach a cardinality of $n$ ). That said, the number of non-zero values inside each $x_{d}$ column-vector is:

$$
\left|x_{d}\right|= \begin{cases}d & \text { if } 1 \leq d \leq n \\ 2 n-d & \text { if } n+1 \leq d \leq 2 n-1\end{cases}
$$

Now that the relation between the unknowns of (1) and its mono-dimensional equivalent (2) is defined, we can define the $h_{d}$ and $k_{d}, \forall d \in\{1, . ., 2 n-1\}$ of (2) from the $a_{i, j}, b_{i, j}, c_{i, j}$ and the $c_{0, j}, c_{i, 0}, \forall(i, j) \in\{1, . ., n\} \times\{1, . ., n\}$ of (1).

$$
k_{d}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1, d}+a_{1, d} c_{0, d} \\
c_{2, d-1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{1+i, d-i} \\
\vdots \\
c_{d-1,2} \\
c_{d, 1}+b_{d, 1} c_{d, 0}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { if } 1 \leq d \leq n, \quad \forall i \in\{0, . ., d-1\}}  \tag{4}\\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{d+1-n, n} \\
c_{d+2-n, n-1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{(d+1-n)+i, n-i} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n-1, d+2-n} \\
c_{n, d+1-n}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { if } n+1 \leq d \leq 2 n-1, \quad \forall i \in\{0, . ., 2 n-d-1\}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the definition of $k_{d}$ in (4), it is evident that $x_{1}=\left[w_{1,1}, 0, . ., 0\right]^{T}$ is implicitly defined as $x_{1}=k_{1}$ since $w_{1,1}=a_{1,1} c_{0,1}+b_{1,1} c_{1,0}+c_{1,1}$. This is indeed expected, as also defined in (2), since $x_{1}$ is the known initial value of the recurrence relation. We can therefore define $h_{d}$ only $\forall d \in\{2, . ., 2 n-1\}$, and we can leave $h_{1}$ as undefined (or $=0$ for simplicity), since it is not needed.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{h_{1}=0\right.  \tag{5}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { if } 2 \leq d \leq n, \quad \forall i \in\{0, . ., d-1\} \\
h_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
b_{1, d} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{2, d-1} & b_{2, d-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{3, d-2} & b_{3, d-2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & a_{1+i, d-i} & b_{1+i, d-i} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & a_{d-1,2} & b_{d-1,2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{d, 1} & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { if } n+1 \leq d \leq 2 n-1, \quad \forall i \in\{0, . ., 2 n-d-1\} \\
h_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
a_{d+1-n, n} & b_{d+1-n, n} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{d+2-n, n-1} & b_{d+2-n, n-1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & a_{(d+1-n)+i, n-i} & b_{(d+1-n)+i, n-i} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & a_{n-1, d+2-n} & b_{n-1, d+2-n} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{n, d+1-n} & b_{n, d+1-n}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 1. The ability to always reduce a 2-dimensional recurrence problem of the form of (1) to a mono-dimensional equivalent of the form of (2), as per Theorem 1, imply that Kogge and Stone algorithm presented in [1] is indeed applicable. Moreover, each row of a generic $x_{d}=h_{d} x_{d-1}+k_{d} \forall d \in\{2, . ., 2 n-1\}$ is independent from the others and can indeed be computed in parallel. This proves that - at least theoretically - by applying Kogge and Stone algorithm and parallelizing the computation of each row of the different $x_{d} \forall d \in\{1, . ., 2 n-1\}$, the recurrence relations can be computed in time proportional to $\left\lceil\log _{2}(2 n-1)\right\rceil$.
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## Appendix: Example

I here show the reduction from (1) to (2) for $n=4$ as an example.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{1,1} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] & x_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{1,2} \\
w_{2,1} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
\end{array} x_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{1,3} \\
w_{2,2} \\
w_{1,3} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad x_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{1,4} \\
w_{2,3} \\
w_{3,2} \\
w_{4,1}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{3,4} \\
w_{3,3} \\
w_{4,2} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad x_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{4,3} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad x_{7}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { x }
$$

Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1,1}+a_{1,1} c_{0,1}+b_{1,1} c_{1,0} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad k_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1,2}+a_{1,2} c_{0,2} \\
c_{2,1}+b_{2,1} c_{2,0} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad k_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1,3}+a_{1,3} c_{0,3} \\
c_{2,2} \\
c_{3,1}+b_{3,1} c_{3,0} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& k_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1,4}+a_{1,4} c_{0,4} \\
c_{2,3} \\
c_{3,2} \\
c_{4,1}+b_{4,1} c_{4,0}
\end{array}\right] \\
& k_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{2,4} \\
c_{3,3} \\
c_{4,2} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& k_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{3,4} \\
c_{4,3} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& k_{7}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{4,4} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& h_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{1,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{2,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& h_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{1,3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{2,2} & b_{2,2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{3,1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& h_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{1,4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{2,3} & b_{2,3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{3,2} & b_{3,2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{4,1} & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& h_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{2,4} & b_{2,4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{3,3} & b_{3,3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{4,2} & b_{4,2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& h_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{3,4} & b_{3,4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{4,3} & b_{4,3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& h_{7}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{4,4} & b_{4,4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

