

# DEFORMATIONS OF PHASE SPACES OF LIE ALGEBRAS VIA $\mathfrak{s}$ -MATRICES

JIEFENG LIU

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we first use the graded Lie algebra for relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras to give the graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements characterize  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices on pre-Lie algebras. Then we give the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices and study their deformations. As an application, we study the deformations of phase spaces of Lie algebras via  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	2
2.1. Pre-Lie algebras, representations and cohomologies	2
2.2. Relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras and their Maurer-Cartan characterizations	3
3. Maurer-Cartan characterizations of $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices on pre-Lie algebras	5
4. Cohomology and deformations of $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices on pre-Lie algebras	7
5. Deformations of phase spaces of Lie algebras via $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices	10
References	12

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pre-Lie algebras (or left-symmetric algebras) are a class of nonassociative algebras coming from the study of convex homogeneous cones, affine manifolds and affine structures on Lie groups, deformation of associative algebras and then appeared in many fields in mathematics and mathematical physics, such as complex and symplectic structures on Lie groups and Lie algebras ([8, 18, 23]), Poisson brackets and infinite dimensional Lie algebras ([9]), vertex algebras ([11]),  $F$ -manifold algebras ([20, 28]), homotopy algebra structures ([10, 21]) and operads ([17]). See the survey articles [6, 14] and the references therein for more details.

A para-Kähler manifold is a symplectic manifold with a pair of transversal Lagrangian foliations. In particular, a para-Kähler Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of a Lie group  $G$  with a  $G$ -invariant para-Kähler structure ([23]), which can be seen as a symplectic Lie algebra with a decomposition into a direct sum of the underlying vector spaces of two Lagrangian subalgebras. The notion of phase space of a Lie algebra was introduced by Kupershmidt in [25] and was generalized in [3]. Kupershmidt pointed out the pre-Lie algebras are the underlying structures of phase space of a Lie algebra and they form a natural category from the point of view of classical and quantum mechanics ([26]). Furthermore, a phase space of a Lie algebra is a para-Kähler Lie algebra. In [5], Bai showed that every para-Kähler Lie algebra is isomorphic to a phase space of a Lie algebra. See [1, 2, 7, 13, 15, 16] for more details about para-Kähler Lie algebras and their applications.

---

<sup>0</sup>MSC: 17A30, 17B38

*Key words and phrases.* pre-Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix, deformation, para-Kähler Lie algebra, phase space.

Motivated by the study of para-Kähler Lie algebras and phase spaces of Lie algebras in terms of pre-Lie algebras, the notion of a pre-Lie bialgebra (also called a left-symmetric bialgebra) was introduced in [5]. The notion of coboundary pre-Lie bialgebra leads to an analogue of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, called an “S-equation”. A symmetric solution of this equation, called an “ $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix”, gives a pre-Lie bialgebra and phase space of a Lie algebra naturally. In particular, a Hessian structure on a pre-Lie algebra, which corresponds to an affine Lie group  $G$  with a  $G$ -invariant Hessian metric ([29]), gives a non-degenerate symmetric  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix.

The concept of Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras was introduced by G. Baxter ([12]) and G.-C. Rota ([30]) in the 1960s. It also plays an important role in the Connes-Kreimer’s algebraic approach ([19]) to the renormalization in perturbative quantum field theory. In [27], Kupershmidt introduced the notion of a relative Rota-Baxter operator (also called an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator) on a Lie algebra in order to better understand the relationship between the classical Yang-Baxter equation and the related integrable systems. In addition, the defining relationship of a relative Rota-Baxter operator was also called the Schouten curvature in [24]. See the book [22] for more details on Rota-Baxter operators.

Recently, deformation theory of relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras has been developed in [31]. They construct a graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are relative Rota-Baxter operators and then give a cohomology for a relative Rota-Baxter operator. Furthermore, they use the above cohomology to study deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators. It is important that an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix on a pre-Lie algebra can be seen as a relative Rota-Baxter operator on its sub-adjacent Lie algebra. The aim of this paper is to develop cohomology and deformation of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices on pre-Lie algebras. In particular, we construct an explicit graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices on pre-Lie algebras. As an application, we study the deformations of phase spaces of Lie algebras via  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices.

The paper is organized as following. In Section 2, we first recall the representations and cohomology of pre-Lie algebras. Then we recall the graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras and the cohomology for a relative Rota-Baxter operator. In Section 3, we use the graded Lie algebra for relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras to construct a graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements characterize  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices on pre-Lie algebras. In Section 4, we use the graded Lie algebra for  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices to establish the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices and study their deformations. In Section 5, we give the notion of deformations of phase spaces of Lie algebras and apply the deformations of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices into the deformations of phase spaces.

In this paper, all the vector spaces are over algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic 0 and finite dimensional.

**Acknowledgement:** This research is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFA1002000) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2412022QD033).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

### 2.1. Pre-Lie algebras, representations and cohomologies.

**Definition 2.1.** A **pre-Lie algebra** is a pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a vector space and  $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is a bilinear multiplication satisfying that for all  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the associator  $(x, y, z) = (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z - x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)$  is symmetric in  $x, y$ , i.e.

$$(x, y, z) = (y, x, z), \quad \text{or equivalently, } (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z - x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z) = (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z - y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z).$$

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a pre-Lie algebra. The commutator  $[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y - y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x$  defines a Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is called the **sub-adjacent Lie algebra** of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  and denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . Furthermore,  $L : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $x \rightarrow L_x$ , where  $L_x y = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , gives a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** ([5]) *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $V$  a vector space. A **representation** of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$  consists of a pair  $(\rho, \mu)$ , where  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  on  $V$  and  $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is a linear map satisfying*

$$(1) \quad \rho(x)\mu(y)u - \mu(y)\rho(x)u = \mu(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y)u - \mu(y)\mu(x)u, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in V.$$

Usually, we denote a representation by  $(V; \rho, \mu)$ . It is obvious that  $(\mathbb{K}; \rho = 0, \mu = 0)$  is a representation, which we call the **trivial representation**. Let  $R : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  be a linear map with  $x \rightarrow R_x$ , where the linear map  $R_x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is defined by  $R_x(y) = y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}; \rho = L, \mu = R)$  is also a representation, which we call the **regular representation**. Define two linear maps  $L^*, R^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  with  $x \rightarrow L_x^*$  and  $x \rightarrow R_x^*$  respectively (for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ) by

$$(2) \quad \langle L_x^*(\alpha), y \rangle = -\langle \alpha, x \cdot y \rangle, \quad \langle R_x^*(\alpha), y \rangle = -\langle \alpha, y \cdot x \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{g}^*; \rho = \text{ad}^* = L^* - R^*, \mu = -R^*)$  is a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . In fact, it is the dual representation of the regular representation  $(\mathfrak{g}; L, R)$ .

The cohomology complex for a pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  with a representation  $(V; \rho, \mu)$  is given as follows ([14]). The set of  $n$ -cochains is given by  $\text{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, V)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . For all  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, V)$ , the coboundary operator  $d : \text{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, V)$  is given by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} d\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho(x_i) \phi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \mu(x_{n+1}) \phi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, x_i) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \phi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, x_i \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \phi([x_i, x_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ .

## 2.2. Relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras and their Maurer-Cartan characterizations.

**Definition 2.3.** ([27]) *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a Lie algebra and  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on a vector space  $V$ . A **relative Rota-Baxter operator** (or **O-operator**) on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to the representation  $(V; \rho)$  is a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that*

$$(4) \quad [Tu, Tv]_{\mathfrak{g}} = T(\rho(Tu)(v) - \rho(Tv)(u)), \quad u, v \in V.$$

**Definition 2.4.** *Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two relative Rota-Baxter operators on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to the representation  $(V; \rho)$ . A **homomorphism** from  $T_2$  to  $T_1$  consists of a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and a linear map  $\psi : V \rightarrow V$  satisfying*

$$(5) \quad T_1 \circ \psi = \phi \circ T_2,$$

$$(6) \quad \psi(\rho(x)v) = \rho(\phi(x))\psi(v), \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V.$$

Furthermore, if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are linear isomorphism,  $(\phi, \psi)$  is called an **isomorphism** from  $T_2$  to  $T_1$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** ([4]) Let  $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  be a relative Rota-Baxter operator on a Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  with respect to a representation  $(V; \rho)$ . Define a multiplication  $\cdot^T$  on  $V$  by

$$(7) \quad u \cdot^T v = \rho(Tu)(v), \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

Then  $(V, \cdot^T)$  is a pre-Lie algebra.

We denote by  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]^T)$  the sub-adjacent Lie algebra of the pre-Lie algebra  $(V, \cdot^T)$ . More precisely,

$$(8) \quad [u, v]^T = \rho(Tu)(v) - \rho(Tv)(u).$$

Moreover,  $T$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism from  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]^T)$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_k, [\cdot, \cdot], d)$  be a differential graded Lie algebra. A degree 1 element  $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  is called a **Maurer-Cartan element** of  $\mathfrak{g}$  if it satisfies the following **Maurer-Cartan equation**:

$$(9) \quad d\theta + \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta] = 0.$$

A graded Lie algebra is a differential graded Lie algebra with  $d = 0$ .

Let  $(V; \rho)$  be a representation of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Consider the graded vector space

$$C^*(V, \mathfrak{g}) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim(V)} \text{Hom}(\wedge^k V, \mathfrak{g}).$$

Define a skew-symmetric bracket operation

$$[[\cdot, \cdot]] : \text{Hom}(\wedge^n V, \mathfrak{g}) \times \text{Hom}(\wedge^m V, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^{m+n} V, \mathfrak{g})$$

by

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & [[P, Q]](u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m+n}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(m,1,n-1)}} (-1)^\sigma P(\rho(Q(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(m)}))u_{\sigma(m+1)}, u_{\sigma(m+2)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(m+n)}) \\ & \quad - (-1)^{mn} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(n,1,m-1)}} (-1)^\sigma Q(\rho(P(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(n)}))u_{\sigma(n+1)}, u_{\sigma(n+2)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(m+n)}) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{mn} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(n,m)}} (-1)^\sigma [P(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(n)}), Q(u_{\sigma(n+1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(m+n)})] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $P \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^n V, \mathfrak{g})$  and  $Q \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^m V, \mathfrak{g})$ .

Furthermore, one has

**Theorem 2.7.** ([31])  $(C^*(V, \mathfrak{g}), [[\cdot, \cdot]])$  is a graded Lie algebra. Its Maurer-Cartan elements are precisely the relative Rota-Baxter operators on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to the representation  $(V; \rho)$ .

In the following, we recall cohomology for relative Rota-Baxter operators, which corresponds to the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology.

**Lemma 2.8.** ([31]) Let  $T$  be a relative Rota-Baxter operator on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to a representation  $(V; \rho)$ . Define

$$(11) \quad \varrho : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \varrho(u)(x) := [Tu, x]_{\mathfrak{g}} + T\rho(x)(u), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in V.$$

Then  $\varrho$  is a representation of the sub-adjacent Lie algebra  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]^T)$  on the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\delta_{\text{RB}} : \text{Hom}(\wedge^k V, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^{k+1} V, \mathfrak{g})$  be the corresponding Chevalley-Eilenberg coboundary operator. More precisely, for all  $f \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^k V, \mathfrak{g})$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_{k+1} \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\text{RB}} f(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} [Tu_i, f(u_1, \dots, \hat{u}_i, \dots, u_{k+1})]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} T\rho(f(u_1, \dots, \hat{u}_i, \dots, u_{k+1}))(u_i) \\ (12) \quad &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} (-1)^{i+j} f(\rho(Tu_i)(u_j) - \rho(Tu_j)(u_i), u_1, \dots, \hat{u}_i, \dots, \hat{u}_j, \dots, u_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The coboundary operators  $\delta_{\text{RB}}$  can also be given in terms of the graded Lie algebra  $(\mathcal{C}^*(V, \mathfrak{g}), \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$  by the following relation:

**Proposition 2.9.** ([31]) *Let  $T : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  be a relative Rota-Baxter operator on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to a representation  $(V; \rho)$ . Then we have*

$$\delta_{\text{RB}} f = (-1)^k \llbracket T, f \rrbracket, \quad f \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^k V, \mathfrak{g}).$$

### 3. MAURER-CARTAN CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $\mathfrak{s}$ -MATRICES ON PRE-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we use the graded Lie algebra for relative Rota-Baxter operators to give the graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements characterize  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices on pre-Lie algebras.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a vector space. For any  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ , the linear map  $r^\# : \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is given by  $\langle r^\#(\alpha), \beta \rangle = r(\alpha, \beta)$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . We say that  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  is **invertible**, if the linear map  $r^\#$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . We introduce  $[r, r] \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  as follows

$$(13) \quad [r, r] = -r_{12} \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_{13} + r_{12} \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_{23} + [r_{13}, r_{23}]_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where for  $r = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i$ , set

$$r_{12} = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \otimes 1, \quad r_{13} = \sum_i x_i \otimes 1 \otimes y_i, \quad r_{23} = \sum_i 1 \otimes x_i \otimes y_i$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_{12} \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_{13} &= \sum_{i,j} a_i \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} a_j \otimes b_i \otimes b_j, & r_{12} \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_{23} &= \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes b_i \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} a_j \otimes b_j, \\ [r_{13}, r_{23}]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [b_i, b_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.1.** ([5]) *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a pre-Lie algebra. If  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  and satisfies  $[r, r] = 0$ , then  $r$  is called an  **$\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix** in  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .*

**Remark 3.2.** *An  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix in a pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is a solution of the S-equation in  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  which is an analogue of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in a Lie algebra. It plays an important role in the theory of pre-Lie bialgebras, see [5] for more details.*

**Definition 3.3.** *A pseudo-Hessian structure on a pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is a symmetric nondegenerate 2-cocycle  $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ , i.e.  $d^T \mathfrak{B} = 0$ . More precisely,*

$$\mathfrak{B}(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, z) - \mathfrak{B}(x, y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z) = \mathfrak{B}(y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x, z) - \mathfrak{B}(y, x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

*A pre-Lie algebra equipped with a pseudo-Hessian structure is called a **pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra**, and denoted by  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B})$ .*

**Proposition 3.4.** ([5]) *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r$  an invertible  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix. Define  $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  by*

$$(14) \quad \mathfrak{B}(x, y) = \langle x, (r^{\sharp})^{-1}(y) \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

*Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B})$  is a pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra.*

**Theorem 3.5.** ([5]) *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r$  an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix. Then  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_r)$  is a pre-Lie algebra, where the multiplication  $\cdot_r : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is given by*

$$(15) \quad \alpha \cdot_r \beta = \text{ad}_{r^{\sharp}(\alpha)}^* \beta - R_{r^{\sharp}(\beta)}^* \alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

*Furthermore,  $r^{\sharp}$  is a pre-Lie algebra homomorphism from  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_r)$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .*

The sub-adjacent Lie algebra of the pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_r)$  is  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_r)$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_r$  is given by

$$(16) \quad [\alpha, \beta]_r = L_{r^{\sharp}(\alpha)}^* \beta - L_{r^{\sharp}(\beta)}^* \alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Furthermore, by a direct calculation, we have

**Proposition 3.6.** *For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , we have*

$$(17) \quad r^{\sharp}([\alpha, \beta]_r) - [r^{\sharp}(\alpha), r^{\sharp}(\beta)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [r, r](\alpha, \beta, \cdot).$$

Note that  $L^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a representation of the sub-adjacent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  on the dual space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Thus, by Proposition 3.6, for  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have

**Proposition 3.7.**  *$r$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix on a pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  if and only if  $r^{\sharp} : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to the representation  $(\mathfrak{g}^*; L^*)$ .*

By Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 3.7, we have

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

- (i)  $(C^*(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g})), \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$  is a graded Lie algebra, where the bracket  $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$  is given by (10) with  $\rho = L^*$ .
- (ii)  $r$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix on the pre-Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if  $r^{\sharp}$  is a Maurer-Cartan element of the graded Lie algebra  $(C^*(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}), \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ .

For  $k \geq 0$ , define  $\Psi : \wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^k(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g})$  by

$$(18) \quad \langle \Psi(\varphi)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k), \alpha_{k+1} \rangle = \langle \varphi, \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k \otimes \alpha_{k+1} \rangle, \quad \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

and  $\Upsilon : C^k(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  by

$$\langle \Upsilon(P), \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k \otimes \alpha_{k+1} \rangle = \langle P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k), \alpha_{k+1} \rangle, \quad \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Obviously we have  $\Psi \circ \Upsilon = \text{Id}$ ,  $\Upsilon \circ \Psi = \text{Id}$ .

By Lemma 3.8, we have

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a pre-Lie algebra. Then, there is a graded Lie bracket  $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} : (\wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \times (\wedge^l \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \wedge^{k+l} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  on the graded vector space  $C_{\mathfrak{s}}^*(\mathfrak{g}) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} C_{\mathfrak{s}}^k(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $C_{\mathfrak{s}}^k(\mathfrak{g}) := \wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  given by*

$$\llbracket \varphi, \phi \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} := \Upsilon \llbracket \Psi(\varphi), \Psi(\phi) \rrbracket, \quad \varphi \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \phi \in \wedge^l \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}.$$

*Furthermore,  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix on the pre-Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if  $r$  is a Maurer-Cartan element of the graded Lie algebra  $(C_{\mathfrak{s}}^*(\mathfrak{g}), \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}})$ . More precisely, we have*

$$(19) \quad \llbracket r, r \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = 2[r, r](\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

4. COHOMOLOGY AND DEFORMATIONS OF  $\mathfrak{s}$ -MATRICES ON PRE-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we first give the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices on pre-Lie algebras. Then we build the relationships between cohomology of an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix and the corresponding relative Rota-Baxter operator. At last, we use this cohomology to study the one-parameter infinitesimal deformations of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices.

Let  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  be an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix on a pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Set  $C_{\mathfrak{s}}^k(\mathfrak{g}) = \wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and  $C_{\mathfrak{s}}^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} C_{\mathfrak{s}}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ . Define  $\delta_{\mathfrak{s}} : C_{\mathfrak{s}}^k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{s}}^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g})$  by

$$(20) \quad \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \varphi = (-1)^{k-1} \llbracket r, \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}}, \quad \varphi \in C_{\mathfrak{s}}^k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

By the graded Jacobi identity, we have  $\delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$ . Thus  $(C_{\mathfrak{s}}^*(\mathfrak{g}), \delta_{\mathfrak{s}})$  is a cochain complex. Denote by  $H_{\mathfrak{s}}^k(\mathfrak{g})$  the  $k$ -th cohomology group.

Furthermore, we have

**Proposition 4.1.** *For  $\varphi \in C_{\mathfrak{s}}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have*

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathfrak{s}} \varphi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) &= - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \varphi(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_k, \alpha_i \cdot_r \alpha_{k+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \varphi([\alpha_i, \alpha_j]_r, \alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $\cdot_r$  is given by (15) and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_r$  is given by (16).

It is clear that the coboundary operator  $\delta_{\mathfrak{s}}$  is just the coboundary operator given by (3) associated to the pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_r)$  with coefficients in trivial representations.

By Proposition 3.7, we have

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $r$  be an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix on a pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, *_r)$  is a pre-Lie algebra, where  $*_r$  is given by*

$$(22) \quad \alpha *_r \beta = L_{r^{\sharp}(\alpha)}^* \beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

It is obvious that the pre-Lie algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, *_r)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_r)$  have the same sub-adjacent Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_r)$ .

By Lemma 2.8, we have

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $r$  be an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix on a pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Then*

$$(23) \quad \varrho : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \varrho(\alpha)(x) = [r^{\sharp}(\alpha), x]_{\mathfrak{g}} + r^{\sharp}(L_x^* \alpha), \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$$

is a representation of the sub-adjacent Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_r)$  on the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Note that the representation  $\varrho$  given by (23) is exactly the dual representation of the left multiplication operation of the pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, *_r)$ .

Let  $r$  be an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix on a pre-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . By (12), for  $P \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g})$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , the coboundary operator  $\delta_{\text{RB}} : C^k(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g})$  of the relative Rota-Baxter operator  $r^{\sharp}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_{\text{RB}} P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} [r^{\sharp}(\alpha_i), P(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_{k+1})]_{\mathfrak{g}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} r^\sharp (L_{P(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_{k+1})}^* \alpha_i) \\
(24) \quad & + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} (-1)^{i+j} P([\alpha_i, \alpha_j]_r, \alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $H^k(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g})$  the  $k$ -th cohomology group, called the  **$k$ -th cohomology group of the relative Rota-Baxter operator  $r^\sharp$** .

**Proposition 4.4.** *With the above notations, the map  $\Psi$  defined by (18) is a cochain isomorphism between cochain complexes  $(C_\mathfrak{s}^*(\mathfrak{g}), \delta_\mathfrak{s})$  and  $(C^*(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}), \delta_{\text{RB}})$ , i.e. we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & C_\mathfrak{s}^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_\mathfrak{s}} & C_\mathfrak{s}^{k+2}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & C^k(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\text{RB}}} & C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

Consequently,  $\Psi$  induces an isomorphism map  $\Psi_*$  between the corresponding cohomology groups.

*Proof.* It follows by a direct calculation.  $\square$

Now we introduce a new cochain complex, whose cohomology groups control deformations of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices. Let  $r$  be an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix on a pre-Lie algebra. For all  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , define

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{C}_\mathfrak{s}^1(\mathfrak{g}) &= \{x \in C_\mathfrak{s}^1(\mathfrak{g}) \mid (R_x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes R_x)r = 0\}, \\
\tilde{C}_\mathfrak{s}^2(\mathfrak{g}) &= \{\varphi \in C_\mathfrak{s}^2(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \varphi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \varphi(\alpha_2, \alpha_1)\}, \\
\tilde{C}_\mathfrak{s}^3(\mathfrak{g}) &= \{\varphi \in C_\mathfrak{s}^3(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \varphi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) + c.p. = 0\}, \\
\tilde{C}_\mathfrak{s}^k(\mathfrak{g}) &= C_\mathfrak{s}^k(\mathfrak{g}), \quad k \geq 4.
\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that the cochain complex  $(\tilde{C}_\mathfrak{s}^*(\mathfrak{g}), \delta_\mathfrak{s})$  is a subcomplex of the cochain complex  $(C_\mathfrak{s}^*(\mathfrak{g}), \delta_\mathfrak{s})$ . Denote by  $\tilde{H}_\mathfrak{s}^k(\mathfrak{g})$  the  $k$ -th cohomology group, called the  **$k$ -th cohomology group of the  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix  $r$**

**Definition 4.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r_1, r_2 \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  two  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices. A **weak homomorphism** from  $r_2$  to  $r_1$  is a pair  $(\phi, \varphi)$  such that  $\phi : \mathfrak{g}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^c$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism and  $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is a linear map satisfying*

$$(25) \quad (\varphi \otimes \text{Id}_\mathfrak{g})r_1 = (\text{Id}_\mathfrak{g} \otimes \phi)r_2,$$

$$(26) \quad \varphi(\phi(x) \cdot_\mathfrak{g} y) = x \cdot_\mathfrak{g} \varphi(y), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

If  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  are linear isomorphisms, then  $(\phi, \varphi)$  is called a **weak isomorphism** from  $r_2$  to  $r_1$ .

The relation between a weak homomorphism for  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices and the homomorphism for relative Rota-Baxter operators is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r_1, r_2 \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  two  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices. Then  $(\phi, \varphi)$  is a weak homomorphism from  $r_2$  to  $r_1$  if and only if  $(\phi, \varphi^*)$  is a homomorphism from the relative Rota-Baxter operator  $r_2^\sharp$  to  $r_1^\sharp$  with respect to the representation  $(\mathfrak{g}^*; L^*)$ .*

**Definition 4.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix. If  $r + t\kappa$  is also an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we say that  $\kappa$  generates a **one-parameter infinitesimal deformation** of  $r$ .*



**Proposition 4.8.** *If  $\kappa$  generates a one-parameter infinitesimal deformation of  $r$ , then  $\kappa$  is a 2-cocycle.*

*Proof.* Since  $r + t\kappa$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\llbracket r + t\kappa, r + t\kappa \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} = \llbracket r, r \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} + 2t \llbracket r, \kappa \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} + t^2 \llbracket \kappa, \kappa \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0,$$

which implies that  $\llbracket r, \kappa \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$  and thus  $\delta_{\mathfrak{s}}\kappa = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.9.** *Two one-parameter infinitesimal deformations  $r_t^1 = r + t\kappa_1$  and  $r_t^2 = r + t\kappa_2$  of an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix  $r$  are called **equivalent** if there exists  $x \in \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$ , such that  $(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\text{ad}_x, \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - tL_x) : r_t^2 \rightarrow r_t^1$  is a weak homomorphism.*

**Proposition 4.10.** *If two one-parameter infinitesimal deformations  $r_t^1 = r + t\kappa_1$  and  $r_t^2 = r + t\kappa_2$  of an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix  $r$  are equivalent, then  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are in the same cohomology class of  $\tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{s}}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}_x, \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - tL_x) : r_t^2 \rightarrow r_t^1$  is a weak homomorphism, there exists  $x \in \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$  such that

$$\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\text{ad}_x)(r_t^2) = (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - tL_x) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}(r_t^1),$$

which implies that  $\kappa_2 - \kappa_1 = -(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \text{ad}_x + L_x \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}})(r) = \delta_{\mathfrak{s}}(x)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.11.** *A one-parameter infinitesimal deformation  $r_t = r + t\kappa$  is called **trivial** if there exists  $x \in \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$ , such that  $(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}_x, \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - tL_x) : r_t^2 \rightarrow r$  is a weak homomorphism.*

Let  $r_t = r + t\kappa$  be a trivial deformation of an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix  $r$ . Then there exists  $x \in \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}_x, \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - tL_x) : r_t^2 \rightarrow r$  is a weak homomorphism. First, by the fact that  $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}_x : \mathfrak{g}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^c$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism, we have

$$(27) \quad \llbracket [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0, \quad y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

By (25), we have

$$(28) \quad (\text{Id} \otimes \text{ad}_x)(\text{Id} \otimes \text{ad}_x + L_x \otimes \text{Id})r = 0.$$

By (26), we have

$$(29) \quad x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} ([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z) = 0, \quad y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

**Definition 4.12.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix. An element  $x \in \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is called a **Nijenhuis element** if  $x$  satisfies (27)-(29).*

Thus, a trivial deformation of an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix gives rise to a Nijenhuis element. Conversely, we have

**Proposition 4.13.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix. Then for any Nijenhuis element  $x \in \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $r_t = r + t \llbracket r, x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}}$  is a trivial one-parameter infinitesimal deformation of  $r$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  is an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix and  $x \in \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$ , by graded Jacobi identity, we have

$$\llbracket \llbracket r, x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}}, \llbracket r, x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0,$$

which implies that  $\llbracket r, x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  is also an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix. Also, we have  $\llbracket r, \llbracket r, x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$ . Thus  $r_t = r + t \llbracket r, x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}}$  is a one-parameter infinitesimal deformation of  $r$ .

By (27),  $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}_x : \mathfrak{g}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^c$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism. By (28), (25) follows. By (29), (26) follows. Therefore, the one-parameter infinitesimal deformation  $r_t = r + t \llbracket r, x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{s}}$  is trivial.  $\square$

## 5. DEFORMATIONS OF PHASE SPACES OF LIE ALGEBRAS VIA $\mathfrak{s}$ -MATRICES

In this section, we apply the deformations of  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices into the study of deformations of phase spaces of Lie algebras.

Recall that a Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is called a **symplectic Lie algebra** if there exists a nondegenerate skew-symmetric 2-cocycle  $\omega$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , that is,

$$(30) \quad \omega([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + \omega([y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x) + \omega([z, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}, y) = 0, \quad x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a subalgebra of a symplectic Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \omega)$  and

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\perp} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \omega(x, y) = 0, \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

If  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}$  is called **Lagrangian**.

**Lemma 5.1.** ([18]) *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \omega)$  be a symplectic Lie algebra. Then there exists a compatible pre-Lie algebra structure  $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by*

$$(31) \quad \omega(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, z) = -\omega(y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \omega)$  be a symplectic Lie algebra. Recall that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called a **para-Kähler Lie algebra** if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a direct sum of the underlying vector spaces of two Lagrangian subalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}_+$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_-$ .

A linear map  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is called a **homomorphism** from para-kähler Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-, \omega_1)$  to para-kähler Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}_+, \mathfrak{h}_-, \omega_2)$  if  $\phi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism such that

$$(32) \quad \phi(\mathfrak{g}_+) = \mathfrak{h}_+, \quad \phi(\mathfrak{g}_-) = \mathfrak{h}_-, \quad \omega_1(x, y) = \omega_2(\phi(x), \phi(y)), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

If  $\phi$  is also linear isomorphism, then  $\phi$  is call a **isomorphism** from  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-, \omega_1)$  to  $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}_+, \mathfrak{h}_-, \omega_2)$ .

**Definition 5.2.** ([25]) *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. If there exists a Lie algebra structure on a direct sum of the underlying vector space of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are Lie subalgebras and the natural skew-symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$*

$$(33) \quad \omega_p(x + \alpha, y + \beta) = \langle \alpha, y \rangle - \langle x, \beta \rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$$

*is a 2-cocycle, then it is called a **phase space** of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

The relationship between a phase space of a Lie algebra and a para-kähler Lie algebra is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.3.** ([5]) *Every para-kähler Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-, \omega)$  is isomorphic to a phase space of  $\mathfrak{g}_+$ .*

Every phase space of a Lie algebra can be equivalently described by a pair of pre-Lie algebras.

**Proposition 5.4.** ([3]) *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a pre-Lie algebra. Assume that there exists another pre-Lie algebra structure “ $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ ” on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  is the phase space of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  if and only if  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_p, \omega_p)$  is a para-kähler Lie algebra, where the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_p$  is defined by*

$$(34) \quad [x + \alpha, y + \beta]_p = [\alpha, \beta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + L_x^* \beta - L_y^* \alpha + L_{\alpha}^* y - L_{\beta}^* x + [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

*On the other hand, every phase space can be constructed from the above way.*

In order to study the deformation of phase spaces of Lie algebras via  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices, the notion of a weak homomorphism between para-kähler Lie algebras is needed.

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, \omega_p)$  and  $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^*, \omega_p)$  be the phase spaces of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$ , respectively. A **weak homomorphism** from  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, \omega_p)$  to  $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^*, \omega_p)$  consists of a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  and a linear map  $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\varphi^* : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $\phi + \varphi^* : (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_p) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_p)$  are Lie algebra homomorphisms.

Furthermore, if  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  are also linear isomorphisms, then  $(\phi, \varphi)$  is called a **weak isomorphism** from  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*, \omega_p)$  to  $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^*, \omega_p)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix. Then  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_r)$  is a Lie algebra, where the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_r$  is given by (16). Furthermore, one has

**Proposition 5.6.** ([5])  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_p, \omega_p)$  is a para-kähler Lie algebra, where the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_p$  is defined by

$$(35) \quad [x + \alpha, y + \beta]_p = [\alpha, \beta]_r + L_x^* \beta - L_y^* \alpha + L_\alpha^* y - L_\beta^* x + [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

where  $L_\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is given by

$$(36) \quad \langle L_\alpha^* x, \beta \rangle = -\langle x, \alpha \cdot_r \beta \rangle, \quad \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

We call this para-kähler Lie algebra the phase space of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  associated to the  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix  $r$  and denote it by  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p, r)$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra and  $r_1, r_2 \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  two  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrices. If  $(\phi, \varphi)$  is a weak homomorphism from  $r_2$  to  $r_1$ , then  $(\phi, \varphi)$  is a weak homomorphism from the phase space  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p, r_2)$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p, r_1)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\phi$  is a pre-Lie algebra homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\phi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  to  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . By (25) and (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^* [\alpha, \beta]_{r_2}, x \rangle &= -\langle \beta, \varphi(\phi(r_2^\sharp(\alpha)) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x) \rangle + \langle \alpha, \varphi(\phi(r_2^\sharp(\beta)) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x) \rangle \\ &= -\langle \beta, \varphi(r_1^\sharp \varphi^*(\alpha) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x) \rangle + \langle \alpha, \varphi(r_1^\sharp \phi(\varphi^*(\beta)) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x) \rangle \\ &= -\langle \varphi^* \beta, r_1^\sharp \varphi^*(\alpha) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x \rangle + \langle \varphi^* \alpha, r_1^\sharp \phi(\varphi^*(\beta)) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x \rangle \\ &= \langle L_{r_1^\sharp \varphi^*(\alpha)} \varphi^* \beta - L_{r_1^\sharp \varphi^*(\beta)} \varphi^* \alpha, x \rangle \\ &= \langle [\varphi^*(\alpha), \varphi^*(\beta)]_{r_1}, x \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\varphi^*$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism from  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{r_2})$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{r_1})$ . Next, we show that  $(\phi + \varphi^*)[x, \alpha]_{r_2} = [\phi(x), \varphi^*(\alpha)]_{r_1}$ , which is equivalent to

$$(37) \quad \phi(L_\alpha^* x) = L_{\varphi^*(\alpha)} \phi(x),$$

$$(38) \quad \varphi^*(L_x^* \alpha) = L_{\phi(x)}^* \varphi^*(\alpha), \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

One can check that (37) follows from  $\phi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism, (25) and (26), and (38) follows from (26).  $\square$

**Definition 5.8.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, *_{\mathfrak{g}^*})$  be pre-Lie algebras. Assume that  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  is the phase space of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . For  $\pi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ , define an operation  $*_t : \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  by

$$\alpha *_t \beta = \alpha *_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \beta + t\pi(\alpha, \beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

If  $\mathfrak{g}_t^* = (\mathfrak{g}^*, *_t)$  is a pre-Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  for any  $t$ , such that  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_t^{*c}, \omega_p)$  is the phase space of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ , then we say  $\pi$  generates a **one-parameter infinitesimal deformation** of the phase space  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  and denote it by  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_\pi^{*c}, \omega_p)$ .

By Proposition 5.6, we have

**Proposition 5.9.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra,  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix and  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p, r)$  the phase space associated to the  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix  $r$ . If  $\kappa$  generates a one-parameter infinitesimal deformation of  $r$ , then  $\pi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  defined by

$$\pi(\alpha, \beta) = \text{ad}_{\kappa(\alpha)}^* \beta - R_{\kappa(\beta)}^* \alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$$

generates a one-parameter infinitesimal deformation of the phase space  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p, r)$ .

**Definition 5.10.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, *_{\mathfrak{g}^*})$  be pre-Lie algebras. Assume that  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  is the phase space of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . Two one-parameter infinitesimal deformations  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_1}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_2}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  are said to be **equivalent** if there exists  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , such that  $(\text{Id} + \text{tad}_x, \text{Id} - \text{t}L_x)$  is a weak homomorphism from  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_2}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_1}^{*c}, \omega_p)$ .

In particular, a one-parameter infinitesimal deformation  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  is said to be **trivial** if there exists  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , such that  $(\text{Id} + \text{tad}_x, \text{Id} - \text{t}L_x)$  is a weak homomorphism from  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p)$ .

**Proposition 5.11.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra,  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix and  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p, r)$  the phase space associated to the  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix  $r$ . Assume that  $r_t^1 = r + \text{t}\kappa_1$  and  $r_t^2 = r + \text{t}\kappa_2$  are one-parameter infinitesimal deformations of  $r$ , and  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_1}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_2}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  are the corresponding one-parameter infinitesimal deformations, respectively. If  $r + \text{t}\kappa_1$  and  $r + \text{t}\kappa_2$  are equivalent, then  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_1}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_2}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* Since  $(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}_x, \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \text{t}L_x) : r_t^2 \rightarrow r_t^1$  is a weak homomorphism with  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$ , by Proposition 5.7,  $(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}_x, \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \text{t}L_x)$  is a weak homomorphism from  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_2}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_1}^{*c}, \omega_p)$ . Thus  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_1}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_2}^{*c}, \omega_p)$  are equivalent.  $\square$

By Proposition 4.13, we have

**Corollary 5.12.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a pre-Lie algebra,  $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$  an  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix and  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p, r)$  the phase space associated to the  $\mathfrak{s}$ -matrix  $r$ . Then, for any Nijenhuis element  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\pi$  defined by

$$\pi(\alpha, \beta) = \text{ad}_{[r^{\sharp}(\alpha), x]}^* \beta + \text{ad}_{r^{\sharp}(L_x^* \alpha)}^* \beta - R_{[r^{\sharp}(\beta), x]}^* \alpha - R_{r^{\sharp}(L_x^* \beta)}^* \alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$$

generates a trivial one-parameter infinitesimal deformations of  $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \mathfrak{g}^{*c}, \omega_p, r)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Andrada, Hypersymplectic Lie algebras. *J. Geom. Phys.* 56 (2006), 2039-2067. [1](#)
- [2] A. Andrada and S. Salamon, Complex product structures on Lie algebras. *Forum Math.* 17 (2005), no. 2, 261-295. [1](#)
- [3] C. Bai, A further study on non-abelian phase spaces: Left-symmetric algebraic approach and related geometry. *Rev. Math. Phys.* 18 (2006), 545-564. [1](#), [10](#)
- [4] C. Bai, A unified algebraic approach to the classical Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Phys. A* 40 (2007), 11073-11082. [4](#)
- [5] C. Bai, Left-symmetric bialgebras and an analogue of the classical Yang-Baxter equation. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 10 (2008), 221-260. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [5](#), [6](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [6] C. Bai, An introduction to pre-Lie algebras. In: *Algebra and Applications 1: Non-associative Algebras and Categories*. Wiley Online Library (2021), 245-273. [1](#)
- [7] I. Bajo and S. Benayadi, Abelian para-Kähler structures on Lie algebras. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 29 (2011), 160-173 [1](#)
- [8] I. Bajo, S. Benayadi and A. Medina, Symplectic structures on quadratic Lie algebras. *J. Algebra* 316 (2007), 174-188. [1](#)
- [9] A. A. Balinskii and S. P. Novikov, Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, Frobenius algebras and Lie algebras. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 32 (1985), 228-231. [1](#)

- [10] R. Bandiera, Formality of Kapranov's brackets in Kähler geometry via pre-Lie deformation theory. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 21 (2016), 6626-6655. [1](#)
- [11] B. Bakalov and V. Kac, Field algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 3 (2003), 123-159. [1](#)
- [12] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity. *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 731-742. [2](#)
- [13] S. Benayadi and M. Boucetta, On para-Kähler and hyper-para-Kähler Lie algebras. *J. Algebra* 436 (2015), 61-101. [1](#)
- [14] D. Burde, Left-symmetric algebras and pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 4 (2006), 323-357. [1](#), [3](#)
- [15] G. Calvaruso, Four-dimensional paraKähler Lie algebras: classification and geometry. *Houston J. Math.* 41 (2015), no. 3, 733-748. [1](#)
- [16] G. Calvaruso, A complete classification of four-dimensional paraKähler Lie algebras. *Complex Manifolds* 2 (2015), 1-10. [1](#)
- [17] F. Chapoton and M. Livernet, Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 8 (2001), 395-408. [1](#)
- [18] B. Y. Chu, Symplectic homogeneous spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 197 (1974), 145-159. [1](#), [10](#)
- [19] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 210 (2000), 249-273. [2](#)
- [20] V. Dotsenko, Algebraic structures of  $F$ -manifolds via pre-Lie algebras. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 198 (2019), 517-527. [1](#)
- [21] V. Dotsenko, S. Shadrin and B. Vallette, Pre-Lie deformation theory. *Mosc. Math. J.* 16 (2016), 505-543. [1](#)
- [22] L. Guo, An introduction to Rota-Baxter algebra. *Surveys of Modern Mathematics*, 4. International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012. xii+226 pp. [2](#)
- [23] S. Kaneyuki, Homogeneous symplectic manifolds and dipolarizations in Lie algebras. *Tokyo J. Math.* 15 (1992), 313-325. [1](#)
- [24] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, Poisson-Lie groups and complete integrability, I: Drinfeld bialgebras, dual extensions and their canonical representations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* 49 (1988), 433-460. [2](#)
- [25] B. A. Kupershmidt, Non-abelian phase spaces. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 27 (1994), 2801-2810. [1](#), [10](#)
- [26] B. A. Kupershmidt, On the nature of the Virasoro algebra. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 6 (1999), 222-245. [1](#)
- [27] B. A. Kupershmidt, What a classical  $r$ -matrix really is. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 6 (1999), 448-488. [2](#), [3](#)
- [28] J. Liu, Y. Sheng and C. Bai,  $F$ -manifold algebras and deformation quantization via pre-Lie algebras. *J. Algebra* 559 (2020), 467-495. [1](#)
- [29] H. Shima, Homogeneous Hessian manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 30 (1980), 91-128. [2](#)
- [30] G.-C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, II. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 75 (1969), 325-329, 330-334. [2](#)
- [31] R. Tang, C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Deformations and their controlling cohomologies of  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 368 (2019), 665-700. [2](#), [4](#), [5](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130024, CHINA

Email address: liujf534@nenu.edu.cn