

On the generalization of the study of a letter power substitution on modulo-recurrent words

Barro Moussa^{1,a}, Bognini K. Ernest^{2,a}, Kientéga Boucaré^{3,a}

¹mous.barro@yahoo.com, UFR/Sciences exactes et Appliquées, Université Nazi BONI

²ernestk.bognini@yahoo.fr, Centre Universitaire de Kaya, Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo

³kientega.boucare@gmail.com, Institut Universitaire Professionnalisant, Université de Dédougou

^aBurkina Faso

Abstract

Let us consider an infinite word and $k \geq 1$ an integer. By steps of k , we substitute a letter of this infinite word by the power of an external letter. The new word obtaining by this process is called k to k substitution of a power letter. After the application of this new notion on modulo-recurrent words and in particular on Sturmian words. We establish the complexity function of those words.

Keywords: Sturmian words, modulo-recurrent words, k to k substitution, factors, complexity function.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 68R15, 11B85, 03D15.

1 Introduction

The complexity function, which counts the number of distinct factors of given length in some infinite word, is often used in the characterization of some families of words [1]. For instance, Sturmian words are non-periodic infinite words with minimal complexity [8, 9]. Over the past thirty years, Sturmian words have been intensively study. Thus, these investigations has led to numerous characterizations and various properties [4, 5, 7, 11, 12] on these words.

The notion of k to k insertion of a letter on infinite words was introduced in [11], and widely studied in [3, 10]. Later, in [2], the first two authors introduced the concepts of k to k substitution and k to k exchange of an internal letter on infinite words.

Here, we present a generalization of k to k substitution introduced and studied in [2]. More precisely, we now need to substitute in steps k a letter power external to the alphabet used to construct some infinite word namely modulo-recurrent words and in particular Sturmian words. Thus, the new word obtaining by this application is so called *word by k to k substitution* of letter.

This article focuses on the study of the combinatorial properties of words obtained by this notion on modulo-recurrent words in general and for Sturmian words in particular. The paper is organized as follow. In Section 2, we give useful definitions and notations in combinatorics on words. We also recall some properties of Sturmian words and modulo-recurrent words . In Section 3, we introduce

the notion of k to k substitution of a letter power in infinite words in Subsection 3.1. Then, in Subsection 3.2, We show that there is a relationship between the complexity function of the word modulo-recurrent words and these k to k substitute words. Finally, we establish a same results for the case of Sturmian words in subsection 3.3.

2 Background

2.1 Definitions and notations

An alphabet \mathcal{A} , is a non empty finite set whose the elements are called letters. A word is a finite or infinite sequence of elements over \mathcal{A} . The set of finite words over \mathcal{A} is denoted \mathcal{A}^* and ε , the empty word. For any $u \in \mathcal{A}^*$, the number of letters of u is called length of u and it is denoted $|u|$. Moreover, for any letter x of \mathcal{A} , $|u|_x$ is the number of occurrences of x in u . A word u of length n written with a unique letter x is simply denoted $u = x^n$.

Let $u = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$ be a word such that $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The image of u by the reversal map is the word denoted \bar{u} and defined by $\bar{u} = x_n \cdots x_2x_1$. The word \bar{u} is simply called reversal image of u . A finite word u is called palindrome if $\bar{u} = u$. If u and v are two finite words over \mathcal{A} , we have $\overline{uv} = \bar{v}\bar{u}$.

The set of infinite words over \mathcal{A} is denoted \mathcal{A}^ω and we write $\mathcal{A}^\infty = \mathcal{A}^* \cup \mathcal{A}^\omega$, the set of finite and infinite words. An infinite word \mathbf{u} is said to be eventually periodic if there exist two words $v \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}^+$ such that $u = vw^\omega$. If $v = \varepsilon$, then u is periodic.

Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$. The word w is a factor of u if there exist $u_1 \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$ such that $\mathbf{u} = u_1w\mathbf{u}_2$. The factor w is said to be a prefix (respectively, a suffix) if u_1 (respectively, \mathbf{u}_2) is the empty word.

A word \mathbf{u} is said to be recurrent if each of its factors appears infinitely in \mathbf{u} . A word \mathbf{u} is said to be uniformly recurrent if for all integers n , there exists an integer N such that any factor of length N in \mathbf{u} contains all the factors of length n .

A non-empty factor w of \mathbf{u} , is said to be right (respectively, left) extendable by a letter x in \mathbf{u} if wx (respectively, xw) appears in \mathbf{u} . The number of right (respectively, left) extensions of w , is denoted ∂^+w (respectively, ∂^-w). The factor w is said to be right (respectively, left) special in \mathbf{u} if $\partial^+w > 1$ (respectively, $\partial^-w > 1$). If $\partial^+w = 2$ (respectively, $\partial^+w = k$), we say that w have two-right (respectively, k -right) extensions in \mathbf{u} . Idem for left extensions. The factor w is said to be bispecial in \mathbf{u} if w is both right and left special.

Let \mathbf{u} be an infinite word over \mathcal{A} . The set of factors of length n in \mathbf{u} , is written $L_n(\mathbf{u})$ and the set of all factors in \mathbf{u} is denoted by $L(\mathbf{u})$. Let $\mathbf{u} = x_0x_1x_2 \cdots$, where $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $i \geq 0$ be an infinite word and w his factor. Then, w appears in \mathbf{u} at the position l if $w = x_lx_{l+1} \cdots x_{l+|w|-1}$.

The complexity function of a given infinite word \mathbf{u} is the map of \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N}^* defined by $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \#L_n(\mathbf{u})$, where $\#L_n(\mathbf{u})$ designates the cardinal of $L_n(\mathbf{u})$.

This function is related to the special factors by the relation (see [6]):

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1) - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \sum_{w \in L_n(\mathbf{u})} (\partial^+(w) - 1) = \sum_{w \in L_n(\mathbf{u})} (\partial^-(w) - 1).$$

We call first difference of the complexity function $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}$, the function defined for any integer n by:

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1) - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\mathbf{u} = x_0x_1x_2x_3 \cdots$ be an infinite word. The window complexity function of \mathbf{u} is the map, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^f$ of \mathbb{N} into \mathbb{N}^* , defined by:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^f(n) = \# \{x_{kn}x_{kn+1} \cdots x_{n(k+1)-1} : k \geq 0\}.$$

A morphism f is a map of \mathcal{A}^* into itself such that $f(uv) = f(u)f(v)$, for any $u, v \in \mathcal{A}^*$.

2.2 Sturmian words and modulo-recurrent words

In this subsection, we recall definitions and some useful properties on Sturmian words and modulo-recurrent words.

Definition 2.1. An infinite word \mathbf{u} over $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{a, b\}$ is said to be Sturmian if for any integer n , $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = n + 1$.

The well-known Sturmian word in the literature is the famous Fibonacci word. It is generated by the morphism φ defined over $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{a, b\}$ by:

$$\varphi(a) = ab \text{ and } \varphi(b) = a.$$

Definition 2.2. An infinite word $\mathbf{u} = x_0x_1x_2 \cdots$ is said to be modulo-recurrent if, any factor w of \mathbf{u} appears in \mathbf{u} at all positions modulo i , for all $i \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.1. [7] *Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$ such that $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = (\#\mathcal{A})^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, \mathbf{u} is a modulo-recurrent word.*

Definition 2.3. Let \mathbf{u} be a modulo-recurrent word. Then, \mathbf{u} is called non-trivial if there exists an integer n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) < (\#\mathcal{A})^n.$$

Definition 2.4. Let \mathbf{u} be an infinite word. Then, \mathbf{u} is said to be uniformly modulo-recurrent if it is uniformly recurrent and modulo-recurrent.

Definition 2.5. A factor w of some infinite word \mathbf{u} is said to be a window factor when it appears in \mathbf{u} at a multiple position its length.

Let us denote $L_n^f(\mathbf{u})$, the set of n -window factors of u for a given factor of length n . Thus, his cardinal is $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^f(n)$.

Theorem 2.1. [11] *Every Sturmian word is modulo-recurrent.*

- (i) It is clear that the Sturmian words are non-trivial and uniformly modulo-recurrent.
- (ii) The champernowne word is modulo-recurrent but does not uniformly recurrent.

The modulo-recurrent words can be characterized by their window complexity as follow:

Theorem 2.2. [7] *A recurrent word \mathbf{u} is modulo-recurrent if and only if $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^f(n) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$, for all $n \geq 1$.*

3 Studies on the complexity function of infinite k to k substituted words

In this section, we introduce firstly the notion of k to k substitution on infinite words and we show a fundamental result, Lemme 3.1 for further work (Section 3.1). Next, we apply this notion on modulo-recurrent words and we find its complexity function, Theorem 3.1 in Section 3.2. Finally, in Section 3.3, we apply this notion to Sturmian words, which are particular modulo-recurrent words and give their complexity function Theorem 3.2.

3.1 Notion of a letter power substititon on infinite words

This subsection is devoted to introducing the notion of k to k substitution of a letter power in infinite words. Now, let us consider an infinite word \mathbf{u} over \mathcal{A} in the form:

$$\mathbf{u} = x_0 w_1 x_1 w_2 x_2 w_3 x_3 \cdots w_i x_i \cdots, \quad (1)$$

with $w_i \in L_k(\mathbf{u})$ and $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let x be an external letter over \mathcal{A} and $l \geq 1$ an integer. Then we have a useful definition for the remainder of the paper.

Definition 3.1. We call, word by k to k substitution of a letter power, the word $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{S}_k^{x^l}(\mathbf{u})$ obtained by substituting the letter x_i by x^l in \mathbf{u} . We have:

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{S}_k^{x^l}(\mathbf{u}) = x^l w_1 x^l w_2 x^l w_3 x^l \cdots x^l w_i x^l \cdots. \quad (2)$$

Example 3.1. Let us consider the Fibonacci word \mathbf{f} over $\{a, b\}$ whose first letters are given by:

$$\mathbf{f} = abaababaabaababaababaababaababaa \cdots.$$

Then, in steps of $k = 2$ and for $c \notin \{a, b\}$, we obtain the following word:

$$S_2^{c^3}(\mathbf{f}) = c^3 bac^3 bac^3 aac^3 aac^3 abc^3 abc^3 bac^3 bac^3 aac^3 aac^3 abc^3 a \cdots$$

called word by 2 to 2 substitution of c^3 in \mathbf{f} .

Lemma 3.1. Let \mathbf{u} be an infinite word over \mathcal{A} and $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{S}_k^{x^l}(\mathbf{u})$. Then, any factor of \mathbf{v} of length $(k+l)q$ comes from a factor of \mathbf{u} of length $(k+1)q$.

Proof. Let us firstly observe that any factor of \mathbf{v} of length $(k+l)q$ comes from a factor of \mathbf{u} of lengths $(k+1)q-1$, $(k+1)q$ or $(k+1)q+1$. Now, we show that the factors of \mathbf{v} of length $(k+l)q$ generated by those of \mathbf{u} of lengths $(k+1)q-1$ and $(k+1)q+1$ are also generated by those of \mathbf{u} of length $(k+1)q$. Let v_1 be a factor of \mathbf{v} of length $(k+l)q$ from a factor u_1 of \mathbf{u} . Note that each factor of \mathbf{v} of length $k+l$ contains l substituted letters. So, it comes from a factor of \mathbf{u} of length $k+1$. Since $|v_1| = (k+l)q$, then v_1 contains exactly lq substituted letters. In addition, $|v_1| = (k+l)q = kq + lq$. Hence, $|u_1| = (k+1)q$. \square

Remark 3.1. Let \mathbf{u} be a modulo-recurrent word over \mathcal{A} and $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{S}_k^{x^l}(\mathbf{u})$. Then, the followings holds:

1. the word \mathbf{v} is recurrent.

2. the factors of \mathbf{v} of length $(k+l)q$ starting and ending with c come also from factors of \mathbf{u} of length $(k+1)q-1$ and $(k+1)q+1$.

Remark 3.2. Let $\mathbf{v} = S_k^{x^l}(\mathbf{u})$ for a given infinite word \mathbf{u} . Let u_1 and u_2 be two distinct factors of \mathbf{u} neither coming from the same factor nor extendable at left (resp. right) by a letter. By substituting the first (resp. last) letter of u_1 and u_2 with x^l , then we obtain the same factor of \mathbf{u} .

In the following, \mathbf{u} denotes an infinite word on \mathcal{A} and $\mathbf{v} = S_k^c(\mathbf{u})$ with $c \notin \mathcal{A}$ unless otherwise specified.

3.2 k to k substitution of a letter power in modulo-recurrent words

Here, \mathbf{u} is a modulo-recurrent word. The aim of this subsection is to determine the complexity function of the word $\mathbf{v} = S_k^c(\mathbf{u})$. We show that there is a relationship between the complexity function of the word \mathbf{v} and that of \mathbf{u} .

Theorem 3.1. *The complexity function of \mathbf{v} denoted $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{v}$ is given by the following relations, for all integer $n \geq 1$:*

(i) *For $n < k+l$, we put $m_0 = \min\{l, k\}$ and $M_0 = \max\{l, k\}$. Then, we have:*

$$\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{v}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(i) + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n) & \text{if } n \leq m_0 \\ 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(i) + (n-k+1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k) & \text{if } m_0 < n \leq M_0 \text{ and } k < l \\ (n-l+1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n-l+1) - 2\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{u}(n-l) + 2 \sum_{i=n-l+1}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(i) + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n) & \text{if } m_0 < n \leq M_0 \text{ and } l < k \\ (n-l+1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n-l+1) - 2\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{u}(n-l) + 2 \sum_{i=n-l+1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(i) + (n-k+1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k) & \text{if } n > M_0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *For $n \geq k+l$, let us put respectively $n = (k+l)q + \alpha$ where $0 \leq \alpha < k+l$ for $q \geq 1$ and $\beta = \min\{\alpha, k\}$. Then, we have:*

$$\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{v}(n) = \begin{cases} (k-1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}((k+1)q) + (l+1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}((k+1)q-1) & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ (l-\alpha+1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}((k+1)q-1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\beta-1} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}((k+1)q+i) + (|k-\alpha|+1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}((k+1)q+\beta) & \\ \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq l & \\ (\alpha-l+1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}((k+1)q+\alpha-l+1) - 2\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{u}((k+1)q+\alpha-l) + 2 \sum_{i=\alpha-l+1}^{\beta-1} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}((k+1)q+i) + & \\ (|k-\alpha|+1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}((k+1)q+\beta) & \text{if } l < \alpha < k+l. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The demonstration of this result is based respectively on the value l of the power of the external letter and the value k of the substitution step. Thus, we distinguish the following cases.

Case 1. Assume $k \leq l$. Then, we have $m_0 = k$ and $M_0 = l$.

(i) Let us show now the following subcases of this item:

Case 1.1. if $n \leq k$ then, any factor of \mathbf{u} of length n is also a factor of \mathbf{v} . In addition, some factors of \mathbf{v} of length n contain letter power of c . So, they come from factors of \mathbf{u} of length $n - 1$ at most. Then, these factors begin or end with a power of letter c because $n \leq l$. Hence, we obtain:

$$L_n(\mathbf{v}) = L_n(\mathbf{u}) \cup \{c^n, c^{n-j}t, tc^{n-j} : t \in L_j(\mathbf{u}), j = 1, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

As a result, we have:

$$\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{v}(n) = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n) + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(0) + 2[\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(1) + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(2) + \dots + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n - 1)].$$

Case 1.2. if $k < n \leq l$ then, the factors of \mathbf{v} of length n contain at least one occurrence of c . Moreover, these factors can contain up to n occurrences of c because $n \leq l$. Thus, they come from factors of \mathbf{u} of length k at most. Thus, the set of factors of \mathbf{v} of length n is given by:

$$L_n(\mathbf{v}) = \{c^n, c^{n-j}t, tc^{n-j} : t \in L_j(\mathbf{u}), j = 1, \dots, k\} \cup \{c^jwc^{n-k-j} : w \in L_k(\mathbf{u}), j = 1, \dots, n-k-1\}.$$

As a result, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{v}(n) &= \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(0) + 2[\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(1) + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(2) + \dots + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k)] + (n - k - 1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k) \\ &= 1 + 2[\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(1) + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(2) + \dots + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k - 1)] + (n - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.3. if $l < n < k + l$ then, the factors of \mathbf{v} of length n contain $l - 1, l - 2, \dots, n - k + 1$ and $n - k$ occurrences of c . Therefore, these factors come respectively from the factors of \mathbf{u} of length $n - l + 1, n - l + 2, \dots, k - 1$ and k . Thus, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\mathbf{v}) &= \{c^l x_2 \cdots x_{n-l+1}, x_1 c^l x_3 \cdots x_{n-l+1}, \dots, x_1 \cdots c^l x_{n-l+1}, x_1 \cdots x_{n-l} c^l : x_1 \cdots x_{n-l+1} \in L(\mathbf{u})\} \\ &\cup \{c^j t, tc^j : t \in L_{n-j}(\mathbf{u}); j = n - k, \dots, l - 1\} \cup \{c^j wc^{n-k-j} : w \in L_k(\mathbf{u}); j = 1, \dots, n - k - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{v}(n) &= (n - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n - l + 1) - 2\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{u}(n) + 2[\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n - l + 1) + \dots + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k)] + (n - k - 1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k) \\ &= (n - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n - l + 1) - 2\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{u}(n) + 2[\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n - l + 1) + \dots + \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k - 1)] + (n - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k) \\ &= (n - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(n - l + 1) - 2\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{u}(n) + (n - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(k) + 2 \sum_{i=n-l+1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(i). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $n \geq k + l$. Then, there are two integers α and q such that $n = (k + l)q + \alpha$ with $q \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < k + l$. Then, let's reason according to the values of α :

Case 1.4. if $\alpha = 0$ then by Lemma 3.1, the factors of \mathbf{v} of length n come from those of \mathbf{u} of length $(k + 1)q$. Thus, these factors contain $(l - 1)q$ occurrences of the letter c . These factors contain q or $q + 1$ substitutions. We have the set: $L_n(\mathbf{v}) = \{w_0 c^l w_1 c^l \cdots c^l w_q; |w_0| + |w_q| = k, 1 \leq |w_0|, |w_q| \leq k - 1; w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 \cdots x_q w_q \in L_{(k+1)q}(\mathbf{u})\}$.

$$\cup\{c^j w_1 c^l \cdots c^l w_q c^{l-j}; j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l-1, l: w_1 x_2 \cdots x_q w_q \in L_{(k+1)q-1}(\mathbf{u})\}.$$

Hence, we obtain the following complexity:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) = (k-1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q) + (l+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q-1).$$

Case 1.5. if $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$, let v_1 be a factor of \mathbf{v} of length n , generated by a factor u_1 of \mathbf{u} . According to Lemma 3.1, we obtain $|u_1| = (k+1)q + j$ with $j = 0, 1, \dots, \alpha$.

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + \alpha$. Hence, v_1 contains $q-1$ internal substitutions and one substitution at the beginning and one at the end of v_1 . Thus, v_1 can be written as $v_1 = c^i w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^{\alpha+l-i}$ where $i = \alpha, \dots, l$ with $u_1 = x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q$ or $u_2 = w_1 x_1 w_2 x_2 \cdots w_q x_q$ and $|w_i| = k$. Note that the factors v_1 is generated by $w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q = x_1^{-1} u_1 = u_2 x_q^{-1}$ which is of length $(k+1)q-1$. Consequently, u_1 produces $(l-\alpha+1)$ distinct factors of v .

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + j$ with $j = 1, \dots, \alpha-1$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + \alpha - j$. Thus, the factor v_1 contains q internal substitutions of c^l . Consequently, let us observe that the first substitution of c^l in u_1 occurs either at position j or at position k . Thus, u_1 produces two factors of the form $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^{\alpha-j}$ and $v_2 = c^{\alpha-j} t_1 c^l t_2 \cdots c^l t_q c^l t_{q+1}$ with $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q = t_1 y_1 t_2 y_2 \cdots t_q y_q t_{q+1} + 1$ and $|w_i| = |t_i| = k$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$ and $|w_0| = |t_{q+1}| = j$.

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + \alpha$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q$. Thus, v_1 contains q internal substitutions of c^l . Consequently, the first substitution of c^l in u_1 is between positions α and k . Thus, v_1 is of the form $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q$ with $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q$ and $|w_0| + |w_q| = k + \alpha$, $\alpha \leq |w_0|$, $|w_q| \leq k$. Hence, u_1 produces $(k-\alpha+1)$ distinct factors of \mathbf{v} of length $(k+l)q + \alpha$. Hence, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= (l-\alpha+1)(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(n)) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+\alpha-1)] + \\ &\quad (k-\alpha+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+\alpha) \\ &= (l-\alpha+1)(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(n)) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+i) + (k-\alpha+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

- For $k < \alpha \leq l$. Let v_1 be a factor of \mathbf{v} of length n , generated by a factor u_1 of \mathbf{u} . By Lemma 3.1, we have $|u_1| = (k+1)q + j$ with $j = 0, \dots, k$.

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + \alpha + 1$. Thus, v_1 contains $q-1$ internal substitutions and one substitution at the beginning and one at the end of v_1 . Therefore, v_1 is written as $v_1 = c^i w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^{\alpha+l-i}$ where $i = \alpha, \dots, l$ with $u_1 = x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q$ or $u_2 = w_1 x_1 w_2 x_2 \cdots x_q w_q x_q$ and $|w_i| = k$. Note that the factors v_1 is generated by the factor $x_1^{-1} u_1 = u_2 x_q^{-1} = w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q$, which is of length $(k+1)q-1$. Thus, each factor of length $(k+1)q-1$ produces $(l-\alpha+1)$ distinct factors of \mathbf{v} of length n .

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + j$ with $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + \alpha - j$. Thus, v_1 contains q substitutions of c^l . Consequently, the first substitution of c^l into u_1 occurs either at position $j+1$ or at position $k+1$. Thus, u_1 produces two factors of the form $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^{\alpha-j}$ and $v_2 = c^{\alpha-j} t_1 c^l t_2 \cdots c^l t_q c^l t_{q+1}$ with $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q = t_1 y_1 t_2 y_2 \cdots t_q y_q t_{q+1} + 1$ and $|w_i| = |t_i| = k$, $|w_0| = |t_{q+1}| = j$.

• If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + k$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + \alpha - k$. Thus, v_1 contains q substitutions of c^l . As a result, v_1 is of the form $v_1 = c^h w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^{\alpha-k-h}$ with $h = 0, 1, \dots, \alpha - k$ and $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q$ where $|w_i| = k; i = 0, 1, \dots, q$.

Consequently, the factor u_1 produces $(\alpha - k + 1)$ distinct factors of \mathbf{v} of length $(k+1)q + \alpha$. Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= (l - \alpha + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q - 1) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + 1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + k - 1)] + \\ &\quad (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + k) \\ &= (l - \alpha + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q - 1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + i) + (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + k). \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.6. if $\alpha > l$. By Lemma 3.1, the factors of \mathbf{v} of length n come from the factors of \mathbf{u} of length $(k+1)q + r + 1, (k+1)q + r + 2, \dots, (k+1)q + k - 1$ and $(k+1)q + k$ where $r = \alpha - l$. Let v_1 be a factor of \mathbf{v} of length n , generated by a factor u_1 of \mathbf{u} .

• If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + r + 1$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + l - 1$ and v_1 contains exactly $q+1$ substitutions of c^l . Consequently, any substitution of c^l in u_1 starts at a position less than or equal to r . v_1 is written as $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^l w_{q+1}$ where $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q x_{q+1} w_{q+1}$ with $|w_i| = k$, for $i = 1, \dots, q$ and $0 \leq |w_0|, |w_{q+1}| \leq r$. Thus, the factor u_1 produces $r + 1$ distinct factors of \mathbf{v} .

• If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + r + j$ with $j = 1, \dots, k - r - 1$ then, we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + l - j$. Consequently, v_1 contains q substitutions of c^l . Therefore, u_1 produces two factors of the form $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^{l-j}$ and $v_2 = c^{l-j} t_1 c^l t_2 \cdots c^l t_q c^l t_{q+1}$ with $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q = t_1 y_1 t_2 y_2 \cdots t_q y_q t_{q+1}$ and $|w_i| = |t_i| = k, |w_0| = |t_{q+1}| = r + j$.

• If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + k$, then we have $|v_1|_c = lq + \alpha - k$ and v_1 contains q substitutions of c^l . Thus, v_1 has the form $v_1 = c^h w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^{\alpha-k-h}$ with $h = 0, 1, \dots, \alpha - k$ and $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q$ with $|w_i| = k$. Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= (r+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + r + 1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + r + 1) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + r + 1) + \cdots + \\ &\quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + k - 1)] + (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + k) \\ &= (\alpha - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + \alpha - l + 1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + \alpha - l) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + \alpha - l + 1) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + k - 1)] + (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + k) \\ &= (\alpha - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + \alpha - l + 1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + \alpha - l) + \\ &\quad 2 \sum_{i=\alpha-l+1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + i) + (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q + k). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. Now let us assume $l \leq k$. Then, we also have $m_0 = l$ and $M_0 = k$.

(i) Let us show the following subcases: **Case 2.1.** if $n \leq l$. Then, some factors of \mathbf{v} of length n contain letter power of c . So, they come from the factors of \mathbf{u} of length at most $n-1$. These factors of \mathbf{v} of length n begin or end with a power of c . Moreover, since $n \leq k$ then, any factor of \mathbf{u} of length n is also a factor of \mathbf{v} . Thus, we get:

$$L_n(\mathbf{v}) = L_n(\mathbf{u}) \cup \{c^n, c^{n-j} t c^{n-j} : t \in L_j(\mathbf{u}), j = 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(0) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(1) + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(2) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-1)] \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(i).\end{aligned}$$

Case 2.2. if $l < n \leq k$. Then, any factor of \mathbf{u} of length n is also a factor of \mathbf{v} . Furthermore, some factors of \mathbf{v} of length n contain at most l occurrences of c because $n > l$. Then, these factors come from the factors of \mathbf{u} of length $n-j$ with $j = 1, \dots, l$. This gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}L_n(\mathbf{v}) &= L_n(\mathbf{u}) \cup \{c^j t, t c^j : t \in L_{n-j}(\mathbf{u}), j = 1, \dots, l-1\} \\ &\cup \{c^l x_2 \cdots x_{n-l+1}, x_1 c^l x_3 \cdots x_{n-l+1}, \dots, x_1 \cdots c^l x_{n-l+1}, x_1 \cdots x_{n-l} c^l : x_1 \cdots x_{n-l+1} \in L(\mathbf{u})\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-1)] + (n-l+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) \\ &= (n-l+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + 2 \sum_{i=n-l+1}^{n-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(i).\end{aligned}$$

Case 2.3. if $k < n < k+l$. Since $k < n$, then the factors of \mathbf{v} of length n contain $l-1, l-2, \dots, n-k+1$ and $n-k$ occurrences of c . Consequently, these factors come respectively from the factors of \mathbf{u} of length $n-l+1, n-l+2, \dots, k-1$ and k . This gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}L_n(\mathbf{v}) &= \{c^l x_2 \cdots x_{n-l+1}, x_1 c^l x_3 \cdots x_{n-l+1}, \dots, x_1 \cdots c^l x_{n-l+1}, x_1 \cdots x_{n-l} c^l : x_1 \cdots x_{n-l+1} \in L(\mathbf{u})\} \\ &\cup \{c^j x_1 \cdots x_{n-j}, x_1 \cdots x_{n-j} c^j : x_1 \cdots x_{n-j} \in L_{n-j}(\mathbf{u}), j = n-k, \dots, l-1\} \\ &\cup \{c^j x_1 \cdots x_k c^{n-k-j} : x_1 \cdots x_k \in L_k(\mathbf{u}), j = 1, \dots, n-k-1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= (n-l+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k)] + (n-k-1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k) \\ &= (n-l+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k-1)] + \\ &\quad (n-k+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k) \\ &= (n-l+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l) + (n-k+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k) + 2 \sum_{i=n-l+1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(i).\end{aligned}$$

- (ii) $n \geq k+l$. Then, there are two integers α and q such that $n = (k+l)q + \alpha$ with $q \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < k+l$. Then, let's reason according to the values of α :

Case 2.4. if $\alpha = 0$. By Lemma 3.1, the factors of \mathbf{v} of length n come from the factors of \mathbf{u} of length $(k+l)q$. Therefore, these factors contain $(l-1)q$ occurrences of c . Thus, any factor has q or $q+1$ substitutions. As a result, we have the set:

$$\begin{aligned}L_n(\mathbf{v}) &= \{w_0 c^l w_1 c^l \cdots c^l w_q; |w_0| + |w_q| = k, 1 \leq |w_0|, |w_q| \leq k-1; w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 \cdots x_q w_q \in L_{(k+l)q}(\mathbf{u})\} \\ &\cup \{c^j w_1 c^l \cdots c^l w_q c^{l-j}; j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, l-1, l\}; w_1 x_2 \cdots x_q w_q \in L_{(k+l)q-1}(\mathbf{u})\}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives us the following complexity:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) = (k-1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q) + (l+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q-1).$$

Case 2.5. if $1 \leq \alpha \leq l$. Let v_1 be a factor of \mathbf{v} of length n , generated by a factor u_1 of \mathbf{u} . By Lemma 3.1, we have $|u_1| = (k+1)q + j$ with $j = 0, 1, \dots, \alpha$.

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + \alpha$. Thus, v_1 contains $q-1$ internal substitutions and one substitution at the beginning and another at the end of v_1 . Hence, v_1 can be written in the form $v_1 = c^i w_1 c^l w_2 \dots c^l w_q c^{\alpha+l-i}$ where $i = \alpha, \dots, l$ with $u_1 = x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \dots x_q w_q$ or $u_2 = w_1 x_1 w_2 x_2 \dots w_q x_q$ and $|w_i| = k$. Note also that the factors v_1 is generated by $w_1 x_2 w_2 \dots x_q w_q = x_1^{-1} u_1 = u_2 x_q^{-1}$ which is of length $(k+1)q-1$. Consequently, u_1 produces $(l-\alpha+1)$ distinct factors of \mathbf{v} of length n .

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + j$ with $j = 1, \dots, \alpha-1$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + \alpha - j$. Thus, v_1 contains q substitutions of c^l and one external substitution of $c^{\alpha-j}$. We can see that the first substitution of c^l in u_1 is either at position j or at position k . So, u_1 produces two factors of the form $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \dots c^l w_q c^{\alpha-j}$ and $v_2 = c^{\alpha-j} t_1 c^l t_2 \dots c^l t_q c^l t_{q+1}$ with $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \dots x_q w_q = t_1 y_1 t_2 y_2 \dots t_q y_q t_{q+1}$ and $|w_i| = |t_i| = k$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, q$ and $|w_0| = |t_{q+1}| = j$.

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + \alpha$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q$. Thus, v_1 contains q internal substitutions of c^l . Consequently, the first substitution of c^l in u_1 is between the positions α and k . Hence, the factor v_1 is of the form $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \dots c^l w_q$ and $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \dots x_q w_q$ with $|w_0| + |w_q| = k + \alpha$, $\alpha \leq |w_0|$, $|w_q| \leq k$. Therefore, u_1 produces $(k-\alpha+1)$ distinct factors of \mathbf{v} of length $(k+l)q + \alpha$. Hence, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= (l-\alpha+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q-1) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+1) + \dots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+\alpha-1)] + \\ &\quad (k-\alpha+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+\alpha) \\ &= (l-\alpha+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+i) + (k-\alpha+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q+\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.6. if $l < \alpha \leq k$. Let v_1 be a factor of \mathbf{v} of length n , generated by a factor u_1 of \mathbf{u} . By Lemma 3.1, we have $|u_1| = (k+1)q + j$ with $j = \alpha - l + 1, \dots, \alpha$.

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + \alpha - l + 1$. Thus, v_1 contains $q-1$ internal substitutions and one substitution at the beginning and one at the end of v_1 . So, v_1 can be written as $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \dots c^l w_q c^l w_{q+1}$ with $|w_i| = k$, $i = 1, \dots, q$ and $0 \leq |w_0|, |w_{q+1}| \leq \alpha - l$.

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + j$ with $j = \alpha - l + 1, \dots, \alpha - 1$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + \alpha - j$. Thus, v_1 contains q internal substitutions of c^l and one external substitution of $c^{\alpha-j}$. As a result, the first letter substituted from c^l into u_1 is either in position $j+1$ or in position $k+1$. So, u_1 produces two factors of the form $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \dots c^l w_q c^{\alpha-j}$ and $v_2 = c^{\alpha-j} t_1 c^l t_2 \dots c^l t_q c^l t_{q+1}$ with $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \dots x_q w_q = t_1 y_1 t_2 y_2 \dots t_q y_q t_{q+1}$ and $|w_i| = |t_i| = k$, $|w_0| = |t_{q+1}| = j$.

- If $|u_1| = (k+1)q + \alpha$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l-1)q + k - \alpha$. Thus, v_1 contains q substitutions of c^l . As a result, v_1 is of the form $v_1 = c^h w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \dots c^l w_q c^{k-\alpha-h}$ with $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-\alpha$ and $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \dots x_q w_q$ with $|w_i| = k$.

Therefore, u_1 produces $(k - \alpha + 1)$ distinct factors of \mathbf{v} of length $(k + l)q + \alpha$. Hence, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= (\alpha - l + 1)(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1)) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) + \\ &\quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - 1)] + (k - \alpha + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha) \\ &= (\alpha - l + 1)(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1)) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + l)q + \alpha - l) + 2 \sum_{i=\alpha-l+1}^{\alpha-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + i) + \\ &\quad (k - \alpha + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.7. if $\alpha > k$. By Lemma 3.1, the factors of \mathbf{v} of length n come from those of \mathbf{u} of length $(k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1, (k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 2, \dots, (k + 1)q + k - 1$ and $(k + 1)q + k$. Let v_1 be a factor of \mathbf{v} of length n , generated by a factor u_1 of \mathbf{u} .

- If $|u_1| = (k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1$, then, we have $|v_1|_c = (l - 1)q + l - 1$ and v_1 contains exactly $q + 1$ substitutions of c^l . Consequently, any substitution of c^l in u_1 starts at a position less than or equal to $\alpha - l$. The factor v_1 is written as $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^l w_{q+1}$ with $0 \leq |w_0|, |w_{q+1}| \leq \alpha - l$. Thus, u_1 produces $\alpha - l + 1$ distinct factors of \mathbf{v} .

- If $|u_1| = (k + 1)q + \alpha - l + j$ with $j = 1, \dots, k + l - \alpha - 1$, then we have $|v_1|_c = (l - 1)q + l - j$. As a result, v_1 contains q internal substitutions and one substitution at the beginning or one substitution at the end. Therefore, u_1 produces two factors of the form $v_1 = w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^{l-j}$ and $v_2 = c^{l-j} t_1 c^l t_2 \cdots c^l t_q c^l t_{q+1}$ with $u_1 = w_0 x_1 w_1 x_2 w_2 \cdots x_q w_q = t_1 y_1 t_2 y_2 \cdots t_q y_q t_{q+1}$ and $|w_i| = |t_i| = k, |w_0| = |t_{q+1}| = \alpha - l + j$. Thus any factor u_1 produces two distinct factors of \mathbf{v} .

- If $|u_1| = (k + 1)q + k$, then we have $|v_1|_c = lq + \alpha - k$ and v_1 contains q internal substitutions of c^l . Thus, v_1 is of the form $v_1 = c^h w_0 c^l w_1 c^l w_2 \cdots c^l w_q c^{\alpha-k-h}$ with $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \alpha - k$ and $|w_i| = k$. Thus, u_1 produces $\alpha - k + 1$ distinct factors of \mathbf{v} .

Hence, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= (\alpha - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) + \\ &\quad 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + k - 1)] + (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + k) \\ &= (\alpha - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) + \\ &\quad 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + k - 1)] + (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + k) \\ &= (\alpha - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) - 2\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) + \\ &\quad 2 \sum_{i=\alpha-l+1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + i) + (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k + 1)q + k). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.1. *The substitution does not preserve the modulo-recurrence.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(k + l) = (k - 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k + 1) + (l + 1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k)$.

On the other hand, we have $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}^f(k + l) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k + 1)$. Hence, we obtain $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}^f(k + l) \neq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(k + l)$. By Theorem 2.2, we deduce \mathbf{v} is not a modulo-recurrent word. □

3.3 k to k substitution of a letter power in Sturmian words

In this subsection, we consider a Sturmian word \mathbf{u} and we establish the complexity function of the k to k substitution $\mathbf{v} = S_k^l(\mathbf{u})$. Let us put $m_0 = \min\{l, k\}$ and $M_0 = \max\{l, k\}$.

Theorem 3.2. *The complexity function of the word \mathbf{v} is given for all integer $n > 0$, by:*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) = \begin{cases} n^2 + 2n & \text{if } n \leq m_0 \\ (k+1)n + k & \text{if } m_0 < n \leq M_0 \text{ and } k < l \\ n^2 + 2n - 1 & \text{if } m_0 < n \leq M_0 \text{ and } l < k \\ (k+1)n + k - 1 & \text{if } n > M_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 \mathbf{u} is modulo-recurrent since it is Sturmian. Moreover, \mathbf{u} being Sturmian then, we have $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = n + 1$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = 1$, for all n according to Definition 2.1. By applying the Theorem 3.1 and reasoning according to the values of n , k and l , we obtain the different complexities.

Case 1. If $k \leq l$. Then, we have $m_0 = k$ and $M_0 = l$. The following cases arise:

Case 1.1. If $n \leq k$. By applying the Theorem 3.1, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(0) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(1) + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(2) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-1)] \\ &= n + 1 + 1 + 2(2 + 3 + \cdots + n) \\ &= n + 2 + 2 \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2} \\ &= n^2 + 2n. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.2. If $k < n \leq l$. Then, by applying the Theorem 3.1, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(0) + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(1) + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(2) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k-1)] + (n-k+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k) \\ &= 1 + 2(2 + 3 + \cdots + k) + (n-k+1)(k+1) \\ &= 1 + k^2 + 3k + kn + n - k^2 - 2k - 1 \\ &= kn + k + n \\ &= (k+1)n + k. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.3. If $l < n < k+l$. Then, by applying the Theorem 3.1, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= (n-l+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) - 2 + 2[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n-l+1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k-1)] + (n-k+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(k) \\ &= (n-l+1)(n-l+1+1) - 2 + 2(n-l+2 + n-l+3 + \cdots + k) + (n-k+1)(k+1) \\ &= kn + k + l + n - l + 1 - 2 \\ &= kn + k + n - 1 \\ &= (k+1)n + k - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.4. If $n \geq k+l$. Then, there are two integers α and q such that $n = (k+l)q + \alpha$ with $q \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < k+l$. Let's reason according to the values of α .

Case 1.4.1. For $\alpha = 0$, by applying the Theorem 3.1, we obtain the complexity:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) &= (k-1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q) + (l+1)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}((k+1)q-1) \\ &= (k-1)((k+1)q+1) + (l+1)((k+1)q) \\ &= (k+l)(k+1)q + k - 1 \\ &= (k+1)n + k - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.4.2. For $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$. Then, by applying the Theorem 3.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_v(n) &= (l - \alpha + 1)\mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q - 1) + 2[\mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + 1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + \alpha - 1)] + \\
&\quad (k - \alpha + 1)\mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + \alpha) \\
&= (l - \alpha + 1)((k + 1)q) + 2[(k + 1)q + 2 + \cdots + (k + 1)q + \alpha] + (k - \alpha + 1)((k + 1)q + \alpha + 1) \\
&= k((k + l)q + \alpha) + (k + l)q + \alpha + k - 1 \\
&= kn + n + k - 1 \\
&= (k + 1)n + k - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1.4.3. For $k < \alpha \leq l$. Then, by applying the Theorem 3.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_v(n) &= (l - \alpha + 1)(\mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q) - 1) + 2[\mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + 1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + k - 1)] + \\
&\quad (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + k) \\
&= (l - \alpha + 1)((k + 1)q) + 2[(k + 1)q + 2 + \cdots + (k + 1)q + k] + (\alpha - k + 1)(k + 1)q + k + 1 \\
&= (k + 1)((k + l)q + \alpha) + k - 1 \\
&= (k + 1)n + k - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1.4.4. For $\alpha > l$. Then, by applying the Theorem 3.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_v(n) &= (r + 1)\mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) - 2 + 2[\mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + \alpha - l + 1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + k - 1)] + \\
&\quad (\alpha - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_u((k + 1)q + k) \\
&= (k + 1)n + k - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. Now assume $l < k$. Let's reason as before according to the values of k , l and n .

Case 2.1. Let $n \leq l$. Then, by applying the Theorem 3.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_v(n) &= \mathbf{P}_u(n) + \mathbf{P}_u(0) + 2[\mathbf{P}_u(1) + \mathbf{P}_u(2) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_u(n - 1)] \\
&= n + 1 + 1 + 2(2 + 3 + \cdots + n) \\
&= n + 2 + 2\frac{(n - 1)(n + 2)}{2} \\
&= n^2 + 2n.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2.2. Let $l < n \leq k$. Then, by applying the Theorem 3.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_u(n) &= \mathbf{P}_u(n) + 2[\mathbf{P}_u(n - l + 1) + \mathbf{P}_u(n - l + 2) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_u(n - 1)] + (n - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_u(n - l + 1) - 2 \\
&= n + 1 + 2(n - l + 2 + n - l + 3 + \cdots + n) + (n - l + 1)(n - l + 2) - 2 \\
&= n^2 + 2n - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2.3. Let $k < n < k + l$. Then, by applying the Theorem 3.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_v(n) &= (n - l + 1)\mathbf{P}_u(n - l + 1) - 2 + 2[\mathbf{P}_u(n - l + 1) + \cdots + \mathbf{P}_u(k - 1)] + (n - k + 1)\mathbf{P}_u(k) \\
&= (n - l + 1)(n - l + 1) + 2(n - l + 2 + n - l + 3 + \cdots + k) + (n - k + 1)(k + 1) \\
&= (k + 1)n + k - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2.4. For $n \geq k + l$. We reason according to the values of α . This reasoning is identical to the case where $k \leq l$ and we obtain the same result. \square

In [2], the following Lemma has been established.

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathbf{u} be a non-trivial and uniformly modulo-recurrent word and $\mathbf{v} = S_k^x(\mathbf{u})$. Let u_1 and u_2 be two distinct factors long enough of \mathbf{u} and not coming from the same factor by extension. Then, the substitutions of an internal letter x in u_1 and u_2 give distinct factors of \mathbf{v} .*

This result also holds true if we replace x by x^l .

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathbf{u} be a Sturmian word over $\{a, b\}$ and $\mathbf{v} = S_k^{x^l}(\mathbf{u})$ with $x \in \{a, b\}$. Then, there exists n_k such that for all $n \geq n_k$, we have:*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) = (k + 1)n + k - 1.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1, Sturmian words are non-trivial and uniformly modulo-recurrent words. By Lemma 3.2, there exists an integer n_k such that two factors of \mathbf{u} of lengths greater than n_k not originating from the same factor by extension, generate distinct factors of \mathbf{v} by k to k substitutions of x^l . Let m_k be the minimum length of the factors of \mathbf{v} generated by the factors of \mathbf{u} of length n_k . Then, by applying the Theorem 3.2, we obtain $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}(n) = (k + 1)n + k - 1$, for all $n \geq m_k$. \square

References

- [1] J. -P. Allouche, *Sur la complexité des suites infinies*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 1 (1994) 133-143.
- [2] M. Barro, K. E. Bognini and T. Tapsoba, *Combinatorics on words obtaining by k to k substitution and k to k exchange of a letter on modulo-recurrent words*. Malaya J. Mat. 11(S)(2023), 82–91.
- [3] M. Barro, I. Kaboré et T. Tapsoba, *Etude Combinatoire des mots par insertion k à k d'une puissance de lettre dans les mots sturmiens*. AFMA. Vol. 8 (2020) pp. 81-98.
- [4] J. Berstel, *Sturmian and episturmian words (a survey of some recent resultats)*, in: Proceedings of CAI 2007, vol. 4728 of Lect. Notes in Comput. Sci., Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [5] V. Berthé, *Fréquences des facteurs des suites sturmiennes*. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 165 (1996) 295-309.
- [6] J. Cassaigne, *Complexité et facteurs spéciaux*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 4 (1997) 67-88.
- [7] J. Cassaigne, I. Kaboré, T. Tapsoba, *On a new notion of complexity on infinite words*. Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Mathematica, 2 (2010), 127-136.
- [8] E. M. Coven, " Sequences with minimal block growth ". Math. Syst. Theory 8 (1975) 376-382.
- [9] E. M. Coven et G. A. Hedlund, *Sequences with minimal block growth*. Math. Syst. Theory 7 (1973) 138-153.
- [10] I. Kaboré, *About k to k insertion words of sturmian words*. IJPAM., vol. 79 No. 4 (2012), 561-572.

- [11] I. Kaboré et T. Tapsoba, *Combinatoire des mots récurrents de complexités $n + 2$* . RAIRO-Theo. Inf. Appl. 41 (2007) 425-446.
- [12] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic combinatorics on words*. Cambridge University Press (2002).