

# Complete characterization of symmetric Kubo-Ando operator means satisfying Molnár's weak associativity

Graeme W. Milton\*  
University of Utah  
Department of Mathematics  
Salt Lake City, UT, USA 84112

Aaron Welters†  
Florida Institute of Technology  
Department of Mathematics and Systems Engineering  
Melbourne, FL, USA 32901

May 31, 2024

## Abstract

We provide a complete characterization of a subclass of means of positive operators in the class of symmetric Kubo-Ando means that was first introduced and studied in L. Molnár, *Characterizations of certain means of positive operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. 567 (2019) 143-166. In Theorem 6 of that paper, he gives a characterization of this subclass (which we call the Molnár class of means) in terms of the operator monotone functions representing the means, which includes the geometric mean. Furthermore, he leaves open the problem to determine if the geometric mean is the only such mean in that subclass. Here we give an alternative characterization of the Molnár class of means in terms of the boundary-values of bounded harmonic functions on certain rectangles which completely characterizes this class of means. Moreover, we use this to construct an explicit example of a mean in the subclass that is not the geometric means thereby solving the open problem of L. Molnár.

*Keywords:* Arithmetic-harmonic-geometric means, Kubo-Ando means, operator monotone functions, Herglotz-Nevanlinna functions, Molnár class of means, bounded harmonic functions, harmonic analysis

*2020 MSC:* primary 47A64, 47B90; secondary 26E60

---

\*Email: graeme.milton@utah.edu

†Email: awelters@fit.edu

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, following [Mol19], we adopt the following notations:

- $H$  always denotes a complex Hilbert space.
- $B(H)$  - the set of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ .
- $B(H)^+$  - the set of all positive semidefinite operators in  $B(H)$ .
- $B(H)^{++}$  - the set of all invertible operators in  $B(H)^+$ .
- $I$  denotes the identity operator on  $H$ .
- The order relation  $A \leq B$  for  $A, B \in B(H)^+$  means  $B - A \in B(H)^+$  [i.e.,  $\leq$  is the Loewner order on  $B(H)^+$ ].
- We write  $A_n \downarrow A$  if  $(A_n)$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence in  $B(H)^+$ , i.e.,  $A_1 \geq A_2 \geq \dots$ , and  $A_n$  converges strongly to  $A$  in  $B(H)$ .

Following [KA80] (see also [Hia10] and [Sim19, Chap. 36 and 37]), a binary operation

$$\sigma : B(H)^+ \times B(H)^+ \rightarrow B(H)^+, (A, B) \mapsto \sigma(A, B) =: A\sigma B$$

is called a *connection* on  $B(H)^+$  if the following requirements are fulfilled:

- (I) (Joint Monotonicity)  $A \leq C$  and  $B \leq D$  imply  $A\sigma B \leq C\sigma D$ ;
- (II) (Transformer Inequality)  $C(A\sigma B)C \leq (CAC)\sigma(CBC)$ ;
- (III) (Upper Semicontinuity)  $A_n \downarrow A$  and  $B_n \downarrow B$  imply  $A_n\sigma B_n \downarrow A\sigma B$ .

Moreover, a connection  $\sigma$  is called a *mean* (or, more precisely, a *Kubo-Ando mean*) or *symmetric*, respectively, if

- (IV) (Normalization)  $I\sigma I = I$ ;
- (V) (Permutation Symmetry)  $A\sigma B = B\sigma A, \forall A, B \in B(H)^+$ .

In particular, a *symmetric Kubo-Ando mean* is any binary operation  $\sigma$  on  $B(H)^+$  satisfying (I)-(V).

Three important examples of symmetric Kubo-Ando means (which motivated the axiomatic approach of [KA80] to positive operator means) are the *arithmetic mean*  $\nabla$ , *harmonic mean*  $!$ , and *geometric mean*  $\#$  (see also [PW75; LL01; ALM04] and the recent survey [LL24]) which, for all  $A, B \in B(H)^{++}$ , are given by:

$$A\nabla B = \frac{1}{2}(A + B); \tag{1.1}$$

$$A!B = 2(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}; \tag{1.2}$$

$$A\#B = A^{1/2}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}A^{1/2}. \tag{1.3}$$

The values of these means at non-invertible elements of  $B(H)^+$  can be determined from (III) by passing to the limit of monotonically decreasing sequences from  $B(H)^{++}$ . For instance, if  $A, B \in B(H)^+$  then the formula for  $A \nabla B$  is still (1.1), whereas if  $A \in B(H)^{++}, B \in B(H)^+$  then the formula for  $A \# B$  is still (1.3). But in general, if  $A, B \in B(H)^+$  then (1.2) and (1.3) become

$$\begin{aligned} A!B &= 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n^{-1} + B_n^{-1})^{-1}, \\ A \# B &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n^{1/2} (A_n^{-1/2} B_n A_n^{-1/2})^{1/2} A_n^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

for any two sequences  $(A_n), (B_n)$  in  $B(H)^{++}$  such that  $A_n \downarrow A$  and  $B_n \downarrow B$ .

Aside from the factor of 2, the right hand side of (1.4) has been given the name ‘parallel sum.’ More precisely, the parallel sum is denoted by  $:$  and gives another well-known example of a connection:

$$A : B = \frac{1}{2} A!B, \text{ for } A, B \in B(H)^+. \quad (1.5)$$

The concept of the parallel sum was introduced for positive semidefinite matrices in [AD69] and extended to bounded (positive semidefinite) linear operators in [AT75] (see also [KA80; NA76]).

As shown in [KA80], there is an important relationship between connections, Loewner’s theory on operator-monotone functions, and the properties of a special class of analytic functions called Herglotz functions [GT00; Ges+01; Tes14] (also called Nevanlinna [ADL09; Lug15], Herglotz-Nevanlinna [ABT11; LN19; LO22; OL22], Pick [AD56; AD64; Don74; Ber08], or  $R$ -functions [Wig51; Wig52; WN54; KK74], [KN77, Appendix]). Let us briefly elaborate on this.

First, a function  $f$  is called a *Herglotz function* if  $f : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+ \cup \mathbb{R}$  is analytic, where  $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$  denotes the open upper half-plane. Next, let us introduce the following notation.

**Notation 1.** Let  $OM_+$  denote the class of all analytic functions  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $f(x) \geq 0$  if  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x > 0$  and  $\text{Im } f(z) \geq 0$  if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im } z > 0$ .

In particular, if  $f \in OM_+$  then its restriction  $f|_{\mathbb{C}^+} : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+ \cup \mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^+$  is a Herglotz function. Next, a function

$$f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

is called a (*positive*) *operator monotone function* if, for every Hilbert space  $H$ ,

$$A, B \in B(H)^{++}, A \leq B \Rightarrow f(A) \leq f(B),$$

where  $f$  is defined on  $B(H)^+$  by the functional calculus for self-adjoint operators on  $H$  [RS80; Tes14]. A deep result of Loewner [L63] (see also [Don74; Sim19]) says that every positive operator monotone function  $g$  has a unique analytic continuation to a function  $f \in OM_+$  [or, equivalently,  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x > 0$ ]

and conversely. Given this correspondence between positive operator monotone functions and the elements of  $OM_+$ , we will abuse notation throughout the rest of this paper and not distinguish them unless necessary.

More precisely, the map  $f \mapsto f|_{(0,\infty)}$  sending  $f \in OM_+$  to its restriction  $f|_{(0,\infty)} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a bijection of  $OM_+$  onto the class of positive operator monotone functions. Furthermore, the map  $m \mapsto f$ , defined by

$$f(z) = a + bz + \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{z(1+\lambda)}{z+\lambda} dm(\lambda), \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \quad (1.6)$$

where  $a = m(\{0\})$  and  $b = m(\{\infty\})$ , establishes a bijection between the class of finite (positive) Borel measures on  $[0, \infty]$  onto this class  $OM_+$  of functions.

Now a result of [KA80] says that for any Hilbert space  $H$ , every positive operator monotone function  $f$  gives rise to a unique connection  $\sigma = \sigma_f$  on  $B(H)^+$  by the formula

$$A\sigma B = A^{1/2}f(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})A^{1/2},$$

for all  $B \in B(H)^+$ ,  $A \in B(H)^{++}$  which is extended uniquely to all  $B(H)^+$  by property (III). In particular,  $f$  can be recovered from  $\sigma$  by the formula

$$f(x)I = I\sigma(xI), \text{ for } x > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

The function  $f$  is called the *representing function* of  $\sigma$ . Furthermore, if  $H$  is infinite dimensional then by a deep result of [KA80], each and every connection  $\sigma$  on  $B(H)^+$  arises in this way, i.e.,  $\sigma = \sigma_f$  for a unique operator monotone function  $f$ . Moreover, by [KA80, Theorem 3.4] (see also [KA80, Lemma 3.1]) it is known that, in terms of the representation (1.6),

$$A\sigma B = aA + bB + \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda} [(\lambda A) : B] dm(\lambda), \text{ for } A, B \in B(H)^+,$$

where  $a = m(\{0\})$ ,  $b = m(\{\infty\})$ ,  $:$  denotes the parallel sum as def. by (1.5), and this establishes a bijection,  $m \mapsto \sigma$ , between the class of finite (positive) Borel measures on  $[0, \infty]$  onto the class of connections.

For instance, by (1.7) and [KA80, Corollary 4.2], it is known that a representing function  $f$  corresponding to a connection  $\sigma$  that is a mean or symmetric is one which satisfies, respectively,

$$f(1) = 1; \quad f(x) = xf\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \text{ for } x > 0.$$

For example, the representing functions  $f_{\nabla}, f_!, f_{\#}$  of the arithmetic mean  $\nabla$ , harmonic mean  $!$ , and geometric mean  $\#$ , respectively, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\nabla}(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(1+x); \\ f_!(x) &= \frac{2x}{1+x}; \\ f_{\#}(x) &= \sqrt{x}. \end{aligned}$$

A natural question arises that was considered by Kubo-Ando [KA80, Sec. 4 and 5] and, more recently, by L. Molnár [Mol19]: What properties of a symmetric Kubo-Ando mean  $\sigma = \sigma_f$  characterize it as the arithmetic mean  $\nabla$ , harmonic mean  $!$ , or geometric mean  $\#$ ? Equivalently, in terms of representing functions  $f$  (or, equivalently, on operator monotone functions  $f$ ), what are necessary and sufficient conditions that guarantee  $f \in \{f_\nabla, f_!, f_\#\}$ ?

To address this question, L. Molnár [Mol19] considered an algebraic characterization of those means in terms of a weak form of an associativity law of a binary operation on  $B(H)^{++}$ . His main results in this regard can be summarized as follows (see [Mol19, Theorems 6 and 8]).

**Theorem 2** (L. Molnár). *Let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space with  $\dim H \geq 2$  and  $\sigma$  be a symmetric Kubo-Ando mean on  $B(H)^{++}$  with representing operator monotone function  $f$ . Assume that there exists a continuous strictly increasing and surjective function  $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that the operation*

$$\diamond : (A, B) \mapsto g(A\sigma B), \text{ for } A, B \in B(H)^{++}$$

*is either associative, i.e.,*

$$(A \diamond C) \diamond B = A \diamond (C \diamond B), \quad \forall A, B, C \in B(H)^{++}, \quad (1.8)$$

*or satisfies the weaker form of associativity*

$$(A \diamond I) \diamond B = A \diamond (I \diamond B), \quad \forall A, B \in B(H)^{++}. \quad (1.9)$$

*If (1.8) is satisfied then  $\sigma$  is the arithmetic or harmonic mean. On the other hand, if it satisfies (1.9) then either we have  $g(f(x)) = x$ ,  $x > 0$  [meaning that  $A \diamond I = I \diamond A = A$ ,  $A \in B(H)^{++}$ ] or we have one of the following three possibilities:*

- (a) *there is a positive scalar  $c \neq 1$  such that  $f(c^2x) = cf(x)$ , for  $x > 0$ ;*
- (b)  *$\sigma$  is the arithmetic mean;*
- (c)  *$\sigma$  is the harmonic mean.*

He also proves (see [Mol19, pp. 160-161]) the following partial converse of this theorem.

**Theorem 3** (L. Molnár). *If  $\sigma$  is a symmetric Kubo-Ando mean with representing operator monotone function  $f$  such that (a), (b), or (c) is true in Theorem 2 then there is a continuous strictly increasing and surjective function  $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that the operation  $\diamond : (A, B) \mapsto g(A\sigma B)$ ,  $A, B \in B(H)^{++}$  satisfies (1.9).*

This motivates the following definition of a special class of positive operator means considered by L. Molnár.

**Definition 4** (Molnár mean). *A symmetric Kubo-Ando mean  $\sigma$  is called a Molnár mean if its representing function  $f$  has property (a) in Theorem 2. The set of all Molnár means will be called the Molnár class of means.*

Notice that the geometric mean  $\#$  is a Molnár mean whose representing function  $f_{\#}$  has the property  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f_{\#}(x) = 0$ . Because of this, L. Molnár [Mol19, p. 161] posed the following problems, which we have rephrased in terms of Definition 4.

**Problem 5** (L. Molnár). *Is the geometric mean  $\#$  the only Molnár mean? If  $\sigma$  is a Molnár mean with representing function  $f$  satisfying  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 0$ , does this imply  $\sigma = \#$ ?*

In [Mol19, p. 161], L. Molnár says in regard to the last part of the question above the following which motivated our paper: “However, we still do not know if the answer to the question is positive or negative. If it were affirmative, then we would get an interesting common characterization of the three fundamental operator means, the arithmetic, harmonic and geometric means.” We are able to answer his question (in the negative) by proving the following theorem:

**Theorem 6** (First Main Result). *There are infinitely many Molnár means in the subclass having a representing function  $f$  that satisfies  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 0$ .*

The main goal of our paper is to prove this and, furthermore, to completely characterize the class of Molnár means in terms of their representing functions which we do with Theorem 21. This theorem is stated and proved at the end of Section 2. Then, in Section 3, we construct a whole class of examples of Molnár means using the theory developed in Sec. 2. A summary of our approach, by reducing the problem via a series of invertible transformations, is illustrated in Fig. 1.

## 2 Characterization of the Molnár class of means

In this section we completely characterize the Molnár class of means in terms of their representing functions. The next lemma is an important first step in this regard (whose proof is immediate from our discussion above) and motivates the definition that follows. Also, as mentioned in the introduction, we will abuse notation and not distinguish between elements of  $OM_+$  and positive operator monotone functions, unless necessary.

**Lemma 7.** *A connection  $\sigma$  with representing function  $f$  (i.e.,  $\sigma = \sigma_f$ ) is a Molnár mean if and only if all the following statements hold:*

(i)  $f \in OM_+$ ;

(ii)  $f(1) = 1$ ;

(iii)  $xf(1/x) = f(x)$ , for  $x > 0$ ;

(iv) there exists a positive scalar  $c \neq 1$  such that  $f(c^2x) = cf(x)$ , for  $x > 0$ .




Figure 1: A Molnár mean  $\sigma = \sigma_f$  corresponds to a representing function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_c$  for some  $c \in (1, \infty)$ . As represented in the transition from (a) to (b) in the figure, by using the invertible transform  $w = \log z$  (with inverse  $z = e^w$ ) from  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  onto the strip  $D = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Im } w| < \pi\} = \mathbb{R} \times (-\pi, \pi)$ , the analytic functions  $f \in \mathcal{M}_c$  are mapped bijectively onto the analytic functions  $S \in \mathcal{W}_p$  via  $W_p(f) = S$ , where  $p = 2 \log c$ . Next, in terms of the open rectangle  $\Omega_p = (0, p/2) \times (0, \pi)$ , the restriction map  $V = \text{Im } S|_{\Omega_p}$  defines a bijection  $R_p : \mathcal{W}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_p$  into a subclass of bounded harmonic functions  $h^\infty(\Omega_p)$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_p \subseteq \{V \in h^\infty(\Omega_p) : \|V\|_\infty \leq \pi/2\}$ , which is represented in going from (b) to (c) in the figure. Using the invertibility of the Poisson integral operator  $P_{\Omega_p}$  and of the zero extension map  $E_p : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_p = \{h \in L^\infty([0, p/2], dx, \mathbb{R}) : \|h\|_\infty \leq \pi/2\}$ , we obtain a bijection  $P_{\Omega_p} \circ E_p : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_p$  which can be described alternatively as follows [as illustrated in the figure by going from (c) to (d)]: If  $V \in \mathcal{V}_p$  then  $V = P_{\Omega_p}(v)$  is the unique solution to the (generalized) Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian on  $\Omega_p$ , i.e.,  $\Delta V = 0$  on  $\Omega_p$  and  $V = v$  on  $\partial\Omega_p$ , in which  $v = E_p(h)$ , i.e.,  $v = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega_p \setminus [0, p/2] \times \{\pi\}$  and  $v(x, \pi) = h(x)$  for  $x \in [0, p/2]$ , where  $h \in \mathcal{H}_p$ . All of these transformations achieve our goal, namely, a bijection from  $\mathcal{H}_p$  onto  $\mathcal{M}_c$  via the composition of the following bijections:  $M_c = W_p^{-1} \circ R_p^{-1} \circ P_{\Omega_p} \circ E_p : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_c$ . In addition, if  $h \in \mathcal{H}_p$  then the unique Molnár mean  $\sigma = \sigma_f$  with representing function  $f = M_c(h)$  has the property that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 0$ . Finally, as the geometric mean  $\#$  has the representing function  $f_\# = M_c(0)$ , this provides a summary of our complete characterization of Molnár means and solution to the open problem of L. Molnár.

**Definition 8** (Molnár class of functions). *A function  $f$  having properties (i)-(iv) in Lemma 7 will be called a Molnár function. For a positive scalar  $c \neq 1$ , we say  $f$  is a Molnár function of type  $c$  if it is a Molnár function and  $f(c^2x) = cf(x)$ , for  $x > 0$ . The set of all Molnár functions of type  $c$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_c$  and the Molnár class of functions is  $\mathcal{M} \equiv \cup_{c \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}} \mathcal{M}_c$ .*

As a consequence of the following lemma, we need only consider those scalars  $c$  in  $(1, \infty)$  if we want to characterize Molnár means using the Molnár class  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Lemma 9.** *For any positive scalar  $c \neq 1$ ,*

$$\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}_{1/c}.$$

*In particular,*

$$\mathcal{M} = \cup_{c \in (1, \infty)} \mathcal{M}_c = \cup_{c \in (0, 1)} \mathcal{M}_c.$$

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be a positive scalar  $c \neq 1$ . If  $f(c^2x) = cf(x)$  for all  $x > 0$  then  $x > 0$  implies  $c^{-2}x > 0$  and hence  $f(x) = f(c^2(c^{-2}x)) = cf(c^{-2}x)$  so that  $f((c^{-1})^2x) = c^{-1}f(x)$  for all  $x > 0$ .  $\square$

Next, we denote the principal branch of the logarithm and square root by  $\log(\cdot)$  and  $\sqrt{\cdot}$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \log(z) &= \log|z| + i \operatorname{Arg}(z), \operatorname{Arg}(z) \in (-\pi, \pi), z \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{z} &= e^{\frac{1}{2} \log(z)}, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Now have some preliminary results.

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $f \in OM_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . Then*

$$\overline{f(\bar{z})} = f(z) \text{ and } f(z) \neq 0, \text{ if } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$

*In addition,*

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Arg} f(z) \leq \operatorname{Arg} z < \pi, \text{ if } \operatorname{Im} z > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in OM_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . First, as  $\overline{f(\bar{x})} = f(x)$  for all  $x > 0$ , it follows by analyticity that  $\overline{f(\bar{z})} = f(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ . Next, it follows from the integral representation (1.6) of  $f$  that  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  and that  $f_{\perp} \in OM_+ \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $f_{\perp} : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by (cf. [KA80, Corollary 4.3] and [Hia10, p. 194] on the dual of a non-zero connection):

$$f_{\perp}(z) = \frac{z}{f(z)}, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$

As  $f, f_{\perp} \in OM_+ \setminus \{0\}$ , then the compositions  $\log \circ f$  and  $\log \circ f_{\perp}$  are analytic functions on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  satisfying  $(\log \circ f_{\perp})(x) = \log(x) - \log f(x)$  for all  $x > 0$ . By analyticity this implies  $\log \circ f_{\perp} = \log - \log \circ f$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  so that

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Im}[\log f_{\perp}(z)] = \operatorname{Im}(\log z) - \operatorname{Im}[\log f(z)] = \operatorname{Arg} z - \operatorname{Arg} f(z)$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ . This, and the fact  $\operatorname{Im} f(z) \geq 0$  if  $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$ , imply the inequalities (2.1) hold.  $\square$



**Lemma 11.** *If  $f \in OM_+ \setminus \{0\}$  then the function  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by*

$$S(w) = \log f(e^w) - \frac{1}{2}w, \text{ for } w \in D, \quad (2.2)$$

$$D = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : -\pi < \text{Im } w < \pi\}, \quad (2.3)$$

*is analytic on  $D$  and satisfies*

$$|\text{Im } S(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Im } w, \text{ if } 0 \leq \text{Im } w < \pi, \quad (2.4)$$

$$f(z) = \sqrt{z}e^{S(\log z)}, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]. \quad (2.5)$$

*Conversely, if  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function satisfying (2.4) then the function  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by (2.5) is in  $OM_+ \setminus \{0\}$  and  $S$  is given in terms of  $f$  by (2.2).*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Let  $f \in OM_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . Then by Lemma 10,  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function with range  $f(\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  so that the function  $z \mapsto \log f(z)$  is analytic on the domain  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ . Next, the function  $w \mapsto e^w$  is analytic on the domain  $D$  with range  $e^D = \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ . It follows that the composition of functions  $w \mapsto \log f(e^w)$  is well-defined and analytic on  $D$ . From this it follows immediately that the function  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by (2.2), (2.3) is analytic on  $D$  and satisfies (2.5). Also, if  $\text{Im } w = 0$  then  $w \in D$  and since  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $x > 0$ , then by (2.2) we have  $\text{Im } S(w) = \text{Arg } f(e^{\text{Re } w}) = 0$ . To complete the proof of (2.4), we note that by the hypotheses on  $f$  it follows from Lemma 10 that  $0 \leq \text{Arg } f(z) \leq \text{Arg } z < \pi$  if  $\text{Im } z > 0$  and since

$$\text{Arg } e^w = \text{Im } w, \text{ Im } e^w = e^{\text{Re } w} \sin(\text{Im } w) > 0, \text{ if } 0 < \text{Im } w < \pi,$$

it follows that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{Im } w \leq \text{Im } S(w) = \text{Arg } f(e^w) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Im } w \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Im } w, \text{ if } 0 < \text{Im } w < \pi,$$

which proves (2.4).

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Conversely, suppose  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function [where  $D$  is defined by (2.3)] satisfying (2.4). Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the function defined by (2.5). As  $\log z$  and  $\sqrt{z}$  are analytic on the domain  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  with  $\log z \in D$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  then it follows that  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is analytic and cannot be the zero function since  $f(z) = \sqrt{z}e^{S(\log z)} \neq 0$  for all  $z$  in the domain of  $f$ . Next, (2.4) implies that  $S(\log x) \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $x > 0$  so that  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}e^{S(\log x)} > 0$  for  $x > 0$ . Also, (2.4) implies that for  $\text{Im } z > 0$  we have

$$0 \leq \text{Im} \left[ S(\log z) + \frac{1}{2} \log z \right] \leq \text{Im } \log z = \text{Arg } z < \pi \quad (2.6)$$

and hence

$$f(z) = \sqrt{z}e^{S(\log z)} = e^{S(\log z) + \frac{1}{2} \log z},$$

$$\text{Im } f(z) = e^{\text{Re}[S(\log z) + \frac{1}{2} \log z]} \sin \left\{ \text{Im} \left[ S(\log z) + \frac{1}{2} \log z \right] \right\} \geq 0.$$

Now to complete the proof, it remains only to prove that the function  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given in terms of  $f$  by the formula (2.2). It follows by (2.5) that there exists a constant  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\log f(z) = S(\log z) + \frac{1}{2} \log z + i2\pi m, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]. \quad (2.7)$$

This implies that

$$2\pi m + \operatorname{Im} \left[ S(\log z) + \frac{1}{2} \log z \right] = \operatorname{Im} \log f(z) = \operatorname{Arg} f(z), \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$

As we know that if  $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$  then (2.6) holds and  $\operatorname{Arg} f(z) \in [0, \pi)$ , this implies  $m = 0$ . Hence, from (2.7) it follows that

$$\log f(e^w) = S(\log e^w) + \frac{1}{2} \log e^w = S(w) + \frac{1}{2}w, \text{ for } w \in D,$$

which proves the equality (2.2). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $f \in OM_+ \setminus \{0\}$  and denote by  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the corresponding function defined by (2.2). Then the following statements are true:*

- (i)  $f(1) = 1$  if and only if  $S(0) = 0$ .
- (ii)  $f(x) = xf(1/x)$ , for  $x > 0$  if and only if  $S(-w) = S(w)$ , for  $w \in D$ .
- (iii) If  $c \in (1, \infty)$  then  $f(c^2x) = cf(x)$ , for  $x > 0$  if and only if  $S(w+2\log c) = S(w)$ , for  $w \in D$  [i.e.,  $S$  is periodic with a period  $2\log c$ ], in which case

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 0.$$

*Proof.* (i): If  $f(1) = 1$  then  $S(0) = \log f(e^0) - \frac{1}{2}(0) = \log 1 = 0$ . Conversely, if  $S(0) = 0$  then  $f(1) = \sqrt{1}e^{S(\log 1)} = e^{S(0)} = 1$ .

(ii): Suppose  $f(x) = xf(1/x)$  for all  $x > 0$ . If  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} S(-w) &= \log f(e^{-w}) - \frac{1}{2}(-w) = \log[e^{-w}f(e^w)] + \frac{1}{2}w \\ &= \log[f(e^w)] + \log[e^{-w}] + \frac{1}{2}w = \log[f(e^w)] - \frac{1}{2}w = S(w). \end{aligned}$$

By the Riemann identity theorem [Con78, Corollary 3.8, p. 79], it follows that  $S(w) = S(-w)$  for all  $w \in D$ . Conversely, suppose that  $S(w) = S(-w)$  for all  $w \in D$ . Then, for every  $x > 0$ ,

$$xf(1/x) = x\sqrt{x^{-1}}e^{S(\log(x^{-1}))} = \sqrt{x}e^{S(-\log x)} = \sqrt{x}e^{S(\log x)} = f(x).$$

(iii): Let  $c \in (1, \infty)$ . Suppose  $f(c^2x) = cf(x)$  for all  $x > 0$ . If  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} S(w + 2 \log c) &= \log f(e^{w+2 \log c}) - \frac{1}{2}(w + 2 \log c) \\ &= \log f(c^2 e^w) - \log c - \frac{1}{2}w = \log[cf(e^w)] - \log c - \frac{1}{2}w \\ &= \log c + \log f(e^w) - \log c - \frac{1}{2}w = S(w). \end{aligned}$$

By the Riemann identity theorem [Con78, Corollary 3.8, p. 79], it follows that  $S(w + 2 \log c) = S(w)$  for all  $w \in D$ . Conversely, suppose  $S(w + 2 \log c) = S(w)$  for all  $w \in D$ . Then, for every  $x > 0$ ,

$$f(c^2x) = \sqrt{c^2x}e^{S(\log(c^2x))} = c\sqrt{x}e^{S(\log x + 2 \log c)} = c\sqrt{x}e^{S(\log x)} = cf(x).$$

Thus, in particular, if this the case then the restriction  $S|_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$  implying it is bounded so that  $x \mapsto e^{S(\log x)}$  is a bounded function on  $(0, \infty)$  and therefore,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \sqrt{x}e^{S(\log x)} = 0. \quad \square$$

These results motivate the following definition and proposition.

**Definition 13** ( $\mathcal{W}$ -functions). *An analytic function  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  having the properties:*

- (i)  $|\operatorname{Im} S(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} w$ , if  $0 \leq \operatorname{Im} w < \pi$ ,
- (ii)  $S(0) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $S(-w) = S(w)$  for all  $w \in D$ ,
- (iv) there exists a scalar  $p > 0$  such that  $S(w + p) = S(w)$  for all  $w \in D$ ,

will be called a  $\mathcal{W}$ -function with period  $p$ . The set of all  $\mathcal{W}$ -functions with period  $p$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{W}_p$  and the class of all  $\mathcal{W}$ -functions is  $\mathcal{W} = \cup_{p \in (0, \infty)} \mathcal{W}_p$ .

**Proposition 14.** *For each  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ , denote by  $S_f$  the function  $S$  defined by (2.2) and (2.3). Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} W : \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \mathcal{W}, \\ W(f) &= S_f, f \in \mathcal{M} \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection from  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathcal{W}$  such that

$$f \in \mathcal{M}_c \Leftrightarrow S_f \in \mathcal{W}_p,$$

where  $c \in (1, \infty), p \in (0, \infty)$  are related by the formula:

$$p = 2 \log c.$$

In particular, the restriction map  $W_p$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} W_p &= W|_{\mathcal{M}_c} : \mathcal{M}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_p, \\ W_p(f) &= W(f) = S_f, \quad f \in \mathcal{M}_c \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection from  $\mathcal{M}_c$  onto  $\mathcal{W}_p$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this proposition follows immediately from Definitions 8 and 13 and Lemmas 7, 11, and 12.  $\square$

Our next goal is to characterize the class of all  $W$ -functions in terms of the boundary values of their imaginary parts. In order to do this we begin by recalling some basic notations and a theorem from harmonic analysis that we need. For this, we've collected together some well-known results from multiple sources (see [Dur70; Con78; Pom92; Con95; GM05]) as no single source had the precise statement we need. After this we proceed with our characterization.

**Notation 15.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Denote the Laplacian of a real-valued function  $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with continuous second derivatives on  $\Omega$  by

$$\Delta V = \frac{\partial^2 V}{dx^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{dy^2}$$

and say  $V$  is harmonic on  $\Omega$  if satisfies the Laplace equation, i.e.,

$$\Delta V = 0 \text{ on } \Omega.$$

The set of all harmonic functions on  $\Omega$  is denoted by

$$h(\Omega) = \{V \mid V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \Delta V = 0 \text{ on } \Omega\}$$

and the subset of all bounded harmonic functions on  $\Omega$  is denoted by

$$h^\infty(\Omega) = \{V \in h(\Omega) : \sup_{w \in \Omega} |V(w)| < \infty\}$$

which is a Banach space with norm

$$\|V\|_\infty = \sup_{w \in \Omega} |V(w)|, \quad \text{for } V \in h^\infty(\Omega).$$

Let  $\partial\Omega$  denote the boundary of  $\Omega$ . For any  $\zeta \in \partial\Omega, \theta \in [0, 2\pi), \beta \in (0, \pi/2)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define (truncated cone at  $\zeta$ )

$$\Gamma_\beta^\varepsilon(\zeta, \theta) = \{\zeta + re^{i(\theta+\phi)} : 0 < r < \varepsilon, |\phi| < \beta\}.$$

We say that  $\partial\Omega$  has an inner tangent (with inner normal  $e^{i\theta}$ ) at  $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$  if for every  $\beta \in (0, \pi/2)$  there exists an  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\beta) > 0$  such that

$$\Gamma_\beta^\varepsilon(\zeta) = \Gamma_\beta^\varepsilon(\zeta, \theta) \subseteq \partial\Omega.$$

A function  $V \in h(\Omega)$  is said to have a nontangential (n.t.) limit  $L$  at  $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$  if  $\partial\Omega$  has an inner tangent at  $\zeta$  such that

$$\lim_{\Gamma_\beta^\zeta(\zeta) \ni w \rightarrow \zeta} V(w) = L, \text{ for every } \beta \in (0, \pi/2)$$

in which case we write

$$\lim_{\Omega \ni w \xrightarrow{\text{n.t.}} \zeta} V(w) = L.$$

The boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$  is said to be a Jordan curve (i.e., a simple closed curve) if there exists a continuous function  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\gamma([a, b]) = \partial\Omega$ ,  $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$ , and  $\gamma(x) \neq \gamma(y)$  whenever  $x \neq y$  and  $x, y \in [a, b]$ . In addition, if the curve  $\gamma$  is rectifiable (i.e., has finite arclength) then  $\partial\Omega$  is said to be a rectifiable Jordan curve.

**Theorem 16** (Solvability of the Dirichlet problem). *Let  $\Omega$  be an open, bounded, and simply connected set in  $\mathbb{C}$  whose boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is a rectifiable Jordan curve. Then the following statements are true:*

- (a) *For each  $v \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega, ds, \mathbb{R})$ , there is a unique solution  $V \in h(\Omega)$  to the (generalized) Dirichlet problem for  $v$  on  $\Omega$ , i.e.,*

$$\Delta V = 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\lim_{\Omega \ni w \xrightarrow{\text{n.t.}} \zeta} V(w) = v(\zeta), \text{ for almost every } \zeta \in \partial\Omega. \tag{2.9}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} V &\in h^\infty(\Omega), \\ \sup_{w \in \Omega} |V(w)| &= \|V\|_\infty = \|v\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also, if  $v : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at  $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ , then

$$\lim_{\Omega \ni w \rightarrow \zeta} V(w) = v(\zeta).$$

- (b) *The map  $P_\Omega : L^\infty(\partial\Omega, ds, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow h^\infty(\Omega)$  defined by  $P_\Omega(v) = V$ , where  $V$  is the solution to the Dirichlet problem for  $v$  on  $\Omega$ , is an invertible bounded linear operator, from the Banach space  $L^\infty(\partial\Omega, ds, \mathbb{R})$  onto the Banach space  $h^\infty(\Omega)$ , which is both norm and order preserving.*

**Definition 17.** *The Poisson integral operator on  $\Omega$  is defined as the operator  $P_\Omega : L^\infty(\partial\Omega, ds, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow h^\infty(\Omega)$  defined in Theorem 16.(b). In particular, for each  $p \in (0, \infty)$ ,*

$$P_{\Omega_p} : L^\infty(\partial\Omega_p, ds, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow h^\infty(\Omega_p) \tag{2.10}$$

will denote the Poisson integral operator on the open rectangle

$$\Omega_p = \left(0, \frac{p}{2}\right) \times (0, \pi). \tag{2.11}$$

**Corollary 18.** *Let  $p \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{W}_p$ , and define the restriction*

$$V = \text{Im } S|_{\Omega_p} : \Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\Omega_p$  is the open rectangle defined by (2.11). Then

$$V \in h(\Omega_p), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\sup_{w \in \Omega_p} |V(w)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\lim_{\Omega_p \ni w \rightarrow \zeta} V(w) = 0, \text{ for every } \zeta \in \partial\Omega_p \setminus \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \times \{\pi\}. \quad (2.14)$$

Furthermore, there exists a function  $v : \partial\Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the properties

$$v \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega_p, ds, \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\|v\|_\infty \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$v(\zeta) = 0, \text{ for all } \zeta \in \partial\Omega_p \setminus \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \times \{\pi\} \quad (2.17)$$

such that

$$V = P_{\Omega_p}(v), \quad (2.18)$$

where  $P_{\Omega_p}$  is the Poisson integral operator (2.10) on  $\Omega_p$ . Moreover, there exists a unique function

$$h : \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that

$$v(\zeta) = \begin{cases} h(\text{Re } \zeta), & \text{if } \text{Re } \zeta \in \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \text{ and } \text{Im } \zeta = \pi, \\ 0, & \text{if } \zeta \in \partial\Omega_p \setminus \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \times \{\pi\}, \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

for every  $\zeta \in \Omega_p$ . In addition,

$$h(x) = v(x + i\pi), \forall x \in \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right], \quad (2.20)$$

$$h \in L^\infty\left(\left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right], dx, \mathbb{R}\right), \quad (2.21)$$

$$\|h\|_\infty \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (2.22)$$

*Proof.* Fix  $p \in (0, \infty)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{W}_p$ . Then, by Def. 13,  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function on the open region  $D$  which implies that its imaginary part  $\text{Im } S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a harmonic function on  $D$ . Hence as  $\Omega_p \subseteq D$  and  $\Omega_p$  is also open region, then the restriction of  $\text{Im } S$  to  $\Omega_p$ , i.e., the function  $V = \text{Im } S|_{\Omega_p} : \Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is a harmonic function on  $\Omega_p$ , that is, according to Notation 15,  $V \in h(\Omega_p)$ . Next,

since  $S \in \mathcal{W}_p \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , it follows from Def. 13 that  $|\operatorname{Im} S| \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} w$  if  $0 \leq \operatorname{Im} w < \pi$  from which it follows that

$$|V(w)| = |\operatorname{Im} S| \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} w < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \forall w \in \Omega_p$$

which implies that  $\sup_{w \in \Omega_p} |V(w)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Next, as  $\partial\Omega_p \setminus [0, \frac{p}{2}] \times \{\pi\} \subseteq D$  and  $\operatorname{Im} S$  is continuous on  $D$ , it follows that to prove (2.14) we need only prove that

$$\operatorname{Im} S(w) = 0, \quad \forall w \in \partial\Omega_p \setminus \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \times \{\pi\}. \quad (2.23)$$

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\Omega_p \setminus \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \times \{\pi\} &= L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3, \\ L_1 &= \{0\} \times [0, \pi) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} w = 0, \operatorname{Im} w \in [0, \pi)\}, \\ L_2 &= \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \times \{0\} = \left\{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} w \in \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right], \operatorname{Im} w = 0\right\}, \\ L_3 &= \left\{\frac{p}{2}\right\} \times [0, \pi) = \left\{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} w = \frac{p}{2}, \operatorname{Im} w \in [0, \pi)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, by property (i) of Def. 13, we know that

$$\operatorname{Im} S(w) = 0, \quad \text{if } w \in D \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} w = 0$$

which implies the following two facts:

$$\operatorname{Im} S(w) = 0, \quad \forall w \in L_2,$$

and (because of the analyticity of  $S$  and  $\overline{D} = D$ )

$$\overline{S(\overline{w})} = S(w), \quad \forall w \in D. \quad (2.24)$$

Next, from this and property (iii) of Def. 13 it follows that

$$\operatorname{Im} S(iy) = \frac{\overline{S(iy)} - S(iy)}{2i} = \frac{S(\overline{iy}) - S(iy)}{2i} = \frac{S(-iy) - S(iy)}{2i} = 0,$$

for every  $y \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . From this we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Im} S(w) = 0, \quad \forall w \in L_1.$$

Next, as  $S \in \mathcal{W}_p$  it follows from (2.24) and properties (iii) and (iv) of Def. 13 that

$$\operatorname{Im} S(w) = \operatorname{Im} \overline{S(-\overline{w})} = \operatorname{Im} \overline{S(-\overline{w} + p)} = -\operatorname{Im} S(-\overline{w} + p), \quad \forall w \in D$$

implying

$$\operatorname{Im} S\left(\frac{p}{2} + iy\right) = -\operatorname{Im} S\left[-\overline{\left(\frac{p}{2} + iy\right)} + p\right] = -\operatorname{Im} S\left(\frac{p}{2} + iy\right), \quad \forall y \in (-\pi, \pi)$$

which proves that

$$\operatorname{Im} S(w) = 0, \forall w \in L_3.$$

Thus, we have shown that (2.23) is true which proves (2.14).

The proof of the existence of a function  $v : \partial\Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the properties (2.15)-(2.18) now follows immediately from Theorem 16. Next, by (2.17), it is clear this function  $v$  is uniquely determined its restriction

$$v|_{[0, \frac{p}{2}] \times \{\pi\}} : \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \times \{\pi\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Furthermore, by (2.15) and (2.16), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v|_{[0, \frac{p}{2}] \times \{\pi\}} &\in L^\infty \left( \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right] \times \{\pi\}, ds, \mathbb{R} \right), \\ \left\| v|_{[0, \frac{p}{2}] \times \{\pi\}} \right\|_\infty &\leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now define a function  $h : [0, \frac{p}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by (2.20), i.e.,

$$h(x) = v|_{[0, \frac{p}{2}] \times \{\pi\}}(x + i\pi), \forall x \in \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right].$$

Then it follows immediately from this and the properties of  $v|_{[0, \frac{p}{2}] \times \{\pi\}}$  that (2.21) and (2.22) are true. Finally, it is clear that this function  $h : [0, \frac{p}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniquely determined by (2.19). This completes the proof of the corollary.  $\square$

Notice Corollary 18 (together with Theorem 16) tells us that  $V = \operatorname{Im} S|_{\Omega_p}$  is the solution to the (generalized) Dirichlet problem for  $v$  on  $\Omega_p$ . Our next goal is to determine to what extent the properties of the boundary value function  $v$  (and, more specifically, the function  $h$ ) uniquely determine  $S = S_f = W(f)$  and hence  $W^{-1}(S) = f \in \mathcal{M}_c$ , where  $p = 2 \log c$ . As we shall see, Corollary 18 already has all the properties of  $v$  (and  $h$ ) that we need and, in fact, the boundary values on  $[0, \log c] \times \{\pi\}$  and the  $L^\infty$ -norm bound there is the only information we need. This is partially clear by considering extension by zero [cf. (2.19)] to the rest of the boundary  $\partial\Omega_p = [0, \log c] \times \{\pi\} \cup \partial\Omega_p \setminus [0, \log c] \times \{\pi\}$ .

**Definition 19.** Let  $p \in (0, \infty)$ . Define the restriction map  $R_p$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_p &= \{V : \Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid V = \operatorname{Im} S|_{\Omega_p} \text{ for some } S \in \mathcal{W}_p\}, \\ R_p : \mathcal{W}_p &\rightarrow \mathcal{V}_p, R_p(S) = \operatorname{Im} S|_{\Omega_p}, \text{ for } S \in \mathcal{W}_p. \end{aligned}$$

Define the extension map  $E_p$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_p &= \left\{ h \in L^\infty \left( \left[0, \frac{p}{2}\right], dx, \mathbb{R} \right) : \|h\|_\infty \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right\}, \\ E_p : \mathcal{H}_p &\rightarrow P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p) \subseteq L^\infty(\partial\Omega_p, ds, \mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

where, for each  $h \in \mathcal{H}_p$ ,

$$v(\zeta) = E_p(h)(\zeta) = \begin{cases} h(\operatorname{Re} \zeta), & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} \zeta \in [0, \frac{p}{2}] \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} \zeta = \pi, \\ 0, & \text{if } \zeta \in \partial\Omega_p \setminus [0, \frac{p}{2}] \times \{\pi\}, \end{cases} \quad (2.25)$$

for every  $\zeta \in \partial\Omega_p$ .



**Proposition 20.** *Let  $p \in (0, \infty)$ . The function  $E_p : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p)$  is well-defined. Moreover, the maps  $R_p : \mathcal{W}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_p$  and  $E_p : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p)$  are invertible.*

*Proof.* Let us begin by showing that  $R_p : \mathcal{W}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_p$  is invertible. First, it follows immediately from the definition of  $\mathcal{V}_p$  and  $R_p$  in Def. 19 that  $R_p$  is surjective. Next, we prove  $R_p$  is injective. Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{W}_p$  and  $R_p(S) = R_p(T)$ . Then  $S, T : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are analytic functions on the open connected set  $D$  such that on the open connected set  $\Omega_p \subseteq D$  we have  $\text{Im } S = \text{Im } T$  implying that there exists a constant  $C \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $T = S + C$  on  $D$ . As  $S, T \in \mathcal{W}_p \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  then  $S(0) = T(0) = 0$  which implies  $0 = T(0) = S(0) + C = C$  and hence  $S = T$ . This proves  $R_p$  is injective. Therefore,  $R_p$  is bijective.

Now we will prove the function  $E_p : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p)$  is well-defined. Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}_p$  and define  $v : \partial\Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by (2.25). Then  $v$  satisfies (2.15), (2.16), and (2.17). Hence, we can define  $V : \Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $V = P_{\Omega_p}(v)$  and conclude from Theorem 16 that  $V$  is the solution to the (generalized) Dirichlet problem for  $v$  on  $\Omega_p$ , i.e., satisfies (2.8) on  $\Omega = \Omega_p$  and (2.9) on  $\partial\Omega = \partial\Omega_p$ , and  $V$  the properties (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14). Next, as

$$-\frac{\text{Im } \zeta}{2} \leq v(\zeta) \leq \frac{\text{Im } \zeta}{2}, \text{ for a.e. } \zeta \in \partial\Omega_p,$$

then it follows from Theorem 16.(b) [more specifically, that the map  $P_\Omega : L^\infty(\partial\Omega, ds, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow h^\infty(\Omega)$  is order preserving] that

$$-\frac{\text{Im } w}{2} \leq V(w) \leq \frac{\text{Im } w}{2}, \forall w \in \Omega_p. \quad (2.26)$$

Next, since  $V \in h(\Omega_p)$  and satisfies (2.14), it follows by the Schwarz reflection principle [Con78, Sec. IX.1 and Exercise 10, p. 256, Sec. X.1] that  $V$  has an extension to a harmonic function  $V_1 : (0, \frac{p}{2}) \times (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies  $V_1(x + iy) = -V_1(x - iy)$  for all  $(x, y) \in (0, \frac{p}{2}) \times (-\pi, \pi)$ . Similarly, by the Schwarz reflection principle,  $V_1$  has an extension to a harmonic function  $V_{1,2} : (-\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}) \times (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies  $V_{1,2}(-x + iy) = -V_{1,2}(x + iy)$  for all  $(x, y) \in (-\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}) \times (-\pi, \pi)$ . Now, since  $V_{1,2}$  is harmonic on  $(-\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}) \times (-\pi, \pi)$  and satisfies both

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{(-\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}) \times (-\pi, \pi) \ni w \rightarrow \pm \frac{p}{2} + iy} V_{1,2}(w) &= 0, \forall y \in (-\pi, \pi), \\ V_{1,2}(-x + iy) &= -V_{1,2}(x + iy), \forall (x, y) \in \left(-\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}\right) \times (-\pi, \pi) \end{aligned}$$

it follows from repeated use of the Schwarz reflection principle (first over the line  $x = p/2$ , then over  $x = -p/2$ , followed by over the line  $x = 3p/2$ , then over  $x = -3p/2$ , and so on) that  $V_{1,2}$  has an extension to a harmonic function  $V_{1,2,3} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies

$$V_{1,2,3}(w + p) = V_{1,2,3}(w), \forall w \in D.$$

As the open region  $D$  is simply connected, it follows (by [Con78, Theorem 2.2.(j), p. 202, Sec. VIII.2] or, more directly, one can use a similar method in the proof of [Con78, Theorem 2.30, p. 43, Sec. III.2]) that  $V_{1,2,3}$  has a unique harmonic conjugate  $U : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $U(0) = 0$  and hence

$$S(w) = U(w) + iV_{1,2,3}(w), \text{ for } w \in D,$$

defines an analytic function  $S : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We claim that  $S \in \mathcal{W}_p$ . First, the functions  $S(\cdot \pm p) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are analytic on  $D$  such that  $\text{Im } S(w \pm p) = V_{1,2,3}(w \pm p) = V_{1,2,3}(w) = \text{Im } S(w)$  for all  $w \in D$  which implies that both  $U(\cdot \pm p)$  and  $U(\cdot)$  are harmonic conjugates of  $V_{1,2,3}(\cdot)$  so that there exists real constant  $C_{\pm}$  such that  $U(\cdot \pm p) = U(\cdot) + C_{\pm}$  so that  $U(\pm p) = U(0) + C_{\pm} = C_{\pm}$  and  $0 = U(0) = U(-p + p) = U(-p) + C_+ = C_- + C_+$  implying  $C_- = -C_+$ . Next, as  $\text{Im } S(x) = V_{1,2,3}(x) = V(x) = v(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in (0, \frac{p}{2})$ , then it follows from this and the analyticity of  $S$  on  $D = \overline{D}$  that  $\overline{S(\overline{w})} = S(w)$  for all  $w \in D$ . Similarly, as  $\text{Im } S(iy) = V_{1,2,3}(iy) = V(iy) = v(iy) = 0$  for all  $y \in (0, \pi)$ , i.e.,  $S(w) = \overline{S(-\overline{w})}$  for all  $w = iy, y \in (0, \pi)$ , then it follows from this and the analyticity of  $S$  on  $D = -\overline{D}$  that  $S(w) = \overline{S(-\overline{w})}$  for all  $w \in D$ . These facts together with  $D = -D$ , imply that  $S(-w) = \overline{S(-(-w))} = \overline{S(\overline{w})} = S(w)$  for all  $w \in D$ . This proves that  $S$  has property (iii) in Def. 13. It also follows from this that  $-C_+ = C_- = U(-p) = S(-p) = S(p) = U(p) = C_+$  so that  $C_+ = 0$  and thus  $S(\cdot + p) = U(\cdot + p) + iV_{1,2,3}(\cdot + p) = U(\cdot) + C_+ + iV_{1,2,3}(\cdot) = U(\cdot) + iV_{1,2,3}(\cdot) = S(\cdot)$ . This proves that  $S$  has property (iv) in Def. 13. Also,  $S(0) = U(0) + iV_{1,2,3}(0) = iV(0) = iv(0) = 0$  which proves that  $S$  has property (ii) in Def. 13. Next, it follows from the property (2.26) that

$$|\text{Im } S(w)| = |V_{1,2,3}(w)| = |V(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Im } w, \text{ if } 0 \leq \text{Im } w < \pi, \text{ } 0 \leq \text{Re } w \leq \frac{p}{2}. \quad (2.27)$$

Next, it follows from this and since  $S(w) = \overline{S(-\overline{w})}$  for all  $w \in D$  that

$$|\text{Im } S(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Im } w, \text{ if } 0 \leq \text{Im } w < \pi, \text{ } -\frac{p}{2} \leq \text{Re } w \leq 0. \quad (2.28)$$

Now, it follow from (2.27), (2.28), and since  $\text{Im } S(w + p) = \text{Im } S(w)$  for all  $w \in D$ , that

$$|\text{Im } S(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Im } w, \text{ if } 0 \leq \text{Im } w < \pi,$$

which proves that  $S$  has property (i) in Def. 13. This completes the proof of the claim that  $S \in \mathcal{W}_p$ .

Now we have shown that  $P_{\Omega_p}(v) = V = \text{Im } S|_{\Omega_p} \in \mathcal{V}_p$  from which it follows that  $E_p(h) = v = P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(V) \in P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p)$ . This proves the function  $E_p : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p)$  is well-defined.

Finally, we prove  $E_p : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p)$  is invertible. First, if  $h, g \in \mathcal{H}_p$  and  $E_p(h) = E_p(g)$  then it follows that  $h(x) = E_p(h)(x + i\pi) = E_p(g)(x + i\pi) = g(x)$

for a.e.  $x \in [0, \frac{p}{2}]$  and so  $h = g$  in  $L^\infty([0, \frac{p}{2}], dx, \mathbb{R})$ . This proves  $E_p$  is injective. Next, let  $v \in P_{\Omega_p}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_p)$ . Then there exists a  $V \in \mathcal{V}_p$  such that  $V = P_{\Omega_p}(v)$ . Hence, by definition of the set  $\mathcal{V}_p$  in Def. 19, there exists  $S \in \mathcal{W}_p$  such that  $V = \text{Im } S|_{\Omega_p} : \Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By Corollary 18 and invertibility (by Theorem 16) of the Poisson integral  $P_{\Omega_p} : L^\infty(\partial\Omega_p, ds, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow h^\infty(\Omega_p)$ , it follows that there exists an  $h \in \mathcal{H}_p$  such that  $E_p(h) = v$  in  $L^\infty([0, \frac{p}{2}], dx, \mathbb{R})$ . This proves  $E_p$  is surjective. Therefore,  $E_p$  is bijective. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we come to Theorem 21, which provides (together with Lemma 9) the explicit characterization of all Molnár means in Theorem 6:

**Theorem 21** (Second Main Result). *Let  $c \in (1, \infty)$  and define*

$$p = 2 \log c.$$

*Then the function*

$$M_c : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_c$$

*defined by*

$$M_c = W_p^{-1} \circ R_p^{-1} \circ P_{\Omega_p} \circ E_p$$

*is a bijection from  $\mathcal{H}_p$  onto  $\mathcal{M}_c$ . In particular, if  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  then  $f \in \mathcal{M}_c$  for some  $c \in (1, \infty)$ , there exists a unique  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{2 \log c}$  such that  $f = M_c(h)$ , and*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 0.$$

*Proof.* The proof of these statements follows immediately from Proposition 20, Lemma 9, and Lemma 12.(iii).  $\square$

### 3 A Class of Examples

In this section we will construct, for each  $c \in (1, \infty)$ , an explicit class of examples of Molnár means  $\sigma$  with representing function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_c$  such that  $\sigma \neq \#$ .

Let  $c \in (1, \infty)$  and define  $p = 2 \log c$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}_p$ , i.e.,  $h \in L^\infty([0, \frac{p}{2}], dx, \mathbb{R})$  with  $\|h\|_\infty \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Let  $V$  be the unique solution to Dirichlet boundary-value problem for the Laplacian on the rectangle  $\Omega_p = (0, a) \times (0, b)$ , where  $a = \frac{p}{2}, b = \pi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V &= 0, \quad 0 < x < a, \quad 0 < y < b, \\ V(x, 0) &= V(0, y) = V(a, y) = 0, \quad 0 < x < a, \quad 0 < y < b, \\ V(x, b) &= h(x), \quad 0 < x < a. \end{aligned}$$

The formal solution  $V$ , using the standard method of separation of variables and Fourier series, can be represented by the infinite series

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sin(\mu_n x) \sinh(\mu_n y), \tag{3.1} \\ \mu_n &= \frac{n\pi}{a}, \quad c_n = \frac{2}{a \sinh(\mu_n b)} \int_0^a h(x) \sin(\mu_n x) dx, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we extend this  $V$  as discussed in Proposition 20: first, from  $\Omega_p$  to  $(0, a) \times (-b, b)$  and using the fact  $\sinh(\mu_n y) = -\sinh(\mu_n(-y))$  gives us  $V_1$  with the same series representation above, then from  $(0, a) \times (-b, b)$  to  $(-a, a) \times (-b, b)$  and using the fact  $\sin(\mu_n(-x)) = -\sin(\mu_n x)$  gives us  $V_{1,2}$  with the same series representation above, and finally from  $(-a, a) \times (-b, b)$  to all  $D = \mathbb{R} \times (-b, b)$  and using the fact that  $\sin(\mu_n(x+2a)) = \sin(\mu_n x)$  gives us  $V_{1,2,3}$  with the same series representation above. For simplicity, we will continue using the notation  $V$  for  $V_{1,2,3}$ .

As discussed in Proposition 20, we next find the harmonic conjugate  $U$  of  $V = V_{1,2,3}$  on  $D$  satisfying  $U(0) = 0$ : using the Cauchy-Riemann equations we must have

$$U_x = V_y, \quad U_y = -V_x.$$

To solve for  $U$ , we integrate the first equation with respect to  $x$  to get

$$U(x, y) = \int_{[0,x]} V_y(t, y) dt + g(y)$$

for some differentiable (real) function  $g(y)$ . Next, we differentiate this with respect to  $y$  and use the second equation to get (using the fact that  $V$  satisfies Laplace's equation on  $D$ )

$$\begin{aligned} -V_x(x, y) &= U_y(x, y) = \int_{[0,x]} V_{yy}(t, y) dt + g'(y) \\ &= - \int_{[0,x]} V_{xx}(t, y) dt + g'(y) \\ &= -V_x(x, y) + V_x(0, y) + g'(y) \end{aligned}$$

implying

$$g'(y) = -V_x(0, y).$$

Integrating this with respect to  $y$  yields

$$g(y) = - \int_{[0,y]} V_x(0, s) ds + C,$$

for a real constant  $C$  so that

$$U(x, y) = \int_{[0,x]} V_y(t, y) dt - \int_{[0,y]} V_x(0, s) ds + C,$$

and so  $0 = U(0) = C$ . Hence, using the series representation (3.1) of  $V$  we get

the formal representation of its harmonic conjugate  $U$  in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, y) &= \int_{[0, x]} V_y(t, y) dt - \int_{[0, y]} V_x(0, s) ds \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n [1 - \cos(\mu_n x)] \cosh(\mu_n y) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n [\cosh(\mu_n y) - 1] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n [1 - \cos(\mu_n x) \cosh(\mu_n y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to find a converse to the above approach. First, we want to define a convergent series by

$$V(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sin(\mu_n x) \sinh(\mu_n y).$$

Then as

$$|\sinh(\mu_n y)| = \sinh(\mu_n y) \leq \sinh(\mu_n b), \forall y \in [0, b]$$

it suffices to choose any real coefficients  $c_n$  such that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n| \sinh(\mu_n b) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (3.2)$$

This proves absolute convergence of the series and the series converges uniformly. A similar reasoning, and using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh(t) &= \sinh(t) + e^{-t}, \forall t; \\ e^{-t} &\leq C \sinh(t), \forall t \gg 1, \end{aligned}$$

for any fix constant  $C > 2$ , proves we can define a convergent series by

$$U(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n [1 - \cos(\mu_n x) \cosh(\mu_n y)],$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly. As such the above analysis is rigorous starting from

$$h(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow b^-} V(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sin(\mu_n x) \sinh(\mu_n b) \in \mathcal{H}_p.$$

This gives us a family of Molnár means  $\sigma = \sigma_f$  with representing function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_c$  such that  $\sigma \neq \#$  by choosing any sequence of real numbers  $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{R}}$ ,

not all zero, satisfying the inequality (3.2) and then defining

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sqrt{z}e^{S(\text{Log}(z))}, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \text{ where} \\ S(w) &= U(x, y) + iV(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n [1 - \cos(\mu_n x) \cosh(\mu_n y)] + ic_n \sin(\mu_n x) \sinh(\mu_n y), \\ &\text{for } w = x + iy \in D. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 22.** Here we construct an simple explicit counterexample to the Molnár open problem based on our class of examples above. First, we can choose  $c_n = 0, n \geq 2$ . We now choose any  $c_1$  satisfying

$$|c_1| \leq \frac{1}{\sinh(\mu_1 b)} \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and as such we can use, for example,

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\sinh(\mu_1 b)} \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Then we have a Molnár mean  $\sigma = \sigma_f$  with representing function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_c$  such that  $\sigma \neq \#$  with  $f$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sqrt{z}e^{S(\text{Log}(z))}, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \text{ where} \\ S(w) &= c_1 [1 - \cos(\mu_1 x) \cosh(\mu_1 y)] + ic_1 \sin(\mu_1 x) \sinh(\mu_1 y), \\ &\text{for } w = x + iy \in D, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu_1 = \frac{\pi}{a}, a = \frac{p}{2}, b = \pi, p = 2 \log c,$$

for any  $c \in (1, \infty)$ .

## Acknowledgement

GWM is grateful to the National Science Foundation for support through grant DMS-2107926. The work of the AW was supported by the Simons Foundation grant MPS-TSM-00002799. This paper was initiated at CIRM during the conference entitled ‘‘Herglotz-Nevalinna Functions and their Applications to Dispersive Systems and Composite Materials,’’ which was held on May 23-27, 2022. Both authors wish to thank the co-organizers of that conference as well as CIRM for hosting us and for their hospitality.

## References

- [ADL09] D. Alpay, A. Dijksma, and H. Langer. “The Schur Transformation for Nevanlinna Functions: Operator Representations, Resolvent Matrices, and Orthogonal Polynomials”. In: *Modern Analysis and Applications: The Mark Krein Centenary Conference Volume 1: Operator Theory and Related Topics*. Ed. by V. M. Adamyan, I. Gohberg, A. Kochubei, G. Popov, Y. Berezansky, et al. Basel: Birkhäuser Basel, 2009, pp. 27–63. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-7643-9919-1\_4.
- [AT75] W. N. Anderson and G. E. Trapp. “Shorted Operators. II”. In: *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 28.1 (1975), pp. 60–71. DOI: 10.1137/0128007.
- [AD69] W. Anderson and R. Duffin. “Series and parallel addition of matrices”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 26.3 (1969), pp. 576–594. DOI: 10.1016/0022-247X(69)90200-5.
- [ALM04] T. Ando, C.-K. Li, and R. Mathias. “Geometric means”. In: *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 385 (2004), pp. 305–334. DOI: 10.1016/j.laa.2003.11.019.
- [ABT11] Y. Arlinskii, S. Belyi, and E. Tsekanovskii. *Conservative Realizations of Herglotz-Nevanlinna Functions*. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Springer Basel, 2011.
- [AD64] N. Aronszajn and W. F. Donoghue. “A supplement to the paper on exponential representations of analytic functions in the upper half-plane with positive imaginary part”. In: *Journal d’Analyse Mathématique* 12.1 (1964), pp. 113–127. DOI: 10.1007/BF02807431.
- [AD56] N. Aronszajn and W. F. Donoghue. “On exponential representations of analytic functions in the upper half-plane with positive imaginary part”. In: *Journal d’Analyse Mathématique* 5.1 (1956), pp. 321–388. DOI: 10.1007/BF02937349.
- [Ber08] C. Berg. “Stieltjes-Pick-Bernstein-Schoenberg and their connection to complete monotonicity”. In: *Positive Definite Functions: From Schoenberg to Space-Time Challenges*. Ed. by J. Mateu and E. Porcu. Department of Mathematics, Universitat Jaume I, Castelló de la Plana, 2008, pp. 15–45.
- [Con78] J. Conway. *Functions of One Complex Variable*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, NY, 1978.
- [Con95] J. Conway. *Functions of One Complex Variable II*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, NY, 1995.
- [Don74] W. F. J. Donoghue. *Monotone Matrix Functions and Analytic Continuation*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1974.
- [Dur70] P. L. Duren. *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces*. Elsevier Science, 1970.

- [GM05] J. B. Garnett and D. E. Marshall. *Harmonic Measure*. New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [Ges+01] F. Gesztesy, N. J. Kalton, K. A. Makarov, and E. Tsekanovskii. “Some Applications of Operator-valued Herglotz Functions”. In: *Operator Theory, System Theory and Related Topics*. Ed. by D. Alpay and V. Vinnikov. Basel: Birkhäuser Basel, 2001, pp. 271–321. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-8247-7\_13.
- [GT00] F. Gesztesy and E. Tsekanovskii. “On Matrix-Valued Herglotz Functions”. In: *Mathematische Nachrichten* 218.1 (2000), pp. 61–138. DOI: 10.1002/1522-2616(200010)218:1<61::AID-MANA61>3.0.CO;2-D.
- [Hia10] F. Hiai. “Matrix Analysis: Matrix Monotone Functions, Matrix Means, and Majorization”. In: *Interdisciplinary Information Sciences* 16.2 (2010), pp. 139–248. DOI: 10.4036/iis.2010.139.
- [KK74] I. S. Kac and M. G. Krein. “ $R$ -functions - analytic functions mapping the upper halfplane into itself”. In: *Transl., Ser. 2, Am. Math. Soc.* 103 (1974), pp. 1–18. DOI: 10.1090/trans2/103/01.
- [KN77] M. G. Krein and A. A. Nudel'man. *The Markov Moment Problem and Extremal Problems*. American Mathematical Soc., 1977.
- [KA80] F. Kubo and T. Ando. “Means of positive linear operators”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 246.3 (1980), pp. 205–224. DOI: 10.1007/BF01371042.
- [LL24] J. D. Lawson and Y. Lim. “The expanding universe of the geometric mean”. In: *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum* (2024). DOI: 10.1007/s44146-024-00133-x.
- [LL01] J. D. Lawson and Y. Lim. “The Geometric Mean, Matrices, Metrics, and More”. In: *The American Mathematical Monthly* 108.9 (2001), pp. 797–812. DOI: 10.1080/00029890.2001.11919815.
- [Löw34] K. Löwner. “Über monotone Matrixfunktionen”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 38.1 (1934), pp. 177–216. DOI: 10.1007/BF01170633.
- [Lug15] A. Luger. “Generalized Nevanlinna Functions: Operator Representations, Asymptotic Behavior”. In: *Operator Theory*. Ed. by D. Alpay. Basel: Springer Basel, 2015, pp. 345–371. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0667-1\_35.
- [LN19] A. Luger and M. Nedic. “Herglotz–Nevanlinna functions in several variables”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 472.1 (2019), pp. 1189–1219. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.11.072.
- [LO22] A. Luger and M.-J. Y. Ou. “On Applications of Herglotz–Nevanlinna Functions in Material Sciences, I: Classical Theory and Applications of Sum Rules”. In: *Research in Mathematics of Materials Science*. Ed. by M. I. Español, M. Lewicka, L. Scardia, and A. Schlömerkemper. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022, pp. 433–459. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-04496-0\_19.



- [Mol19] L. Molnár. “Characterizations of certain means of positive operators”. In: *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 567 (2019), pp. 143–166. DOI: 10.1016/j.laa.2019.01.001.
- [NA76] K. Nishio and T. Ando. “Characterizations of operations derived from network connections”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 53.3 (1976), pp. 539–549. DOI: 10.1016/0022-247X(76)90090-1.
- [OL22] M.-J. Y. Ou and A. Luger. “On Applications of Herglotz–Nevanlinna Functions in Material Sciences, II: Extended Applications and Generalized Theory”. In: *Research in Mathematics of Materials Science*. Ed. by M. I. Español, M. Lewicka, L. Scardia, and A. Schlömerkemper. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022, pp. 461–499. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-04496-0\_20.
- [Pom92] C. Pommerenke. *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1992.
- [PW75] W. Pusz and S. Woronowicz. “Functional calculus for sesquilinear forms and the purification map”. In: *Reports on Mathematical Physics* 8.2 (1975), pp. 159–170. DOI: 10.1016/0034-4877(75)90061-0.
- [RS80] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Functional Analysis*. Vol. I. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. Elsevier Science, 1980.
- [Sim19] B. Simon. *Loewner’s Theorem on Monotone Matrix Functions*. Springer Cham, 2019.
- [Tes14] G. Teschl. *Mathematical Methods in Quantum Mechanics*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2014.
- [Wig52] E. P. Wigner. “On the Connection Between the Distribution of Poles and Residues for an  $R$  Function and Its Invariant Derivative”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 55.1 (1952), pp. 7–18. DOI: 10.2307/1969415.
- [WN54] E. P. Wigner and J. v. Neumann. “Significance of Loewner’s Theorem in the Quantum Theory of Collisions”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 59.3 (1954), pp. 418–433. DOI: 10.2307/1969710.
- [Wig51] E. P. Wigner. “On a Class of Analytic Functions from the Quantum Theory of Collisions”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 53.1 (1951), pp. 36–67. DOI: 10.2307/1969342.