# Muckenhoupt Weights Meet Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung Formulae in Ball Banach Function Spaces

Yinqin Li, Dachun Yang\*, Wen Yuan, Yangyang Zhang and Yirui Zhao

Abstract In this article, via first establishing a weighted variant of the profound and farreaching inequality obtained by A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, I. Daubechies, and R. DeVore in 2003, the authors give two new characterizations of Muckenhoupt weights. As an application, the authors further establish a representation formula of gradients with sharp parameters in ball Banach function spaces, which extends the famous formula obtained by H. Brezis, A. Seeger, J. Van Schaftingen, and P.-L. Yung in 2021 from classical Sobolev spaces to various different Sobolev-type spaces and gives an affirmative answer to the question in page 29 of [Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 62 (2023), Paper No. 234]. The most novelty of this article exists in subtly revealing the mutual equivalences among the Muckenhoupt weight, the weighted variant of the inequality of Cohen et al., and the weighted upper estimate of the formula of Brezis et al.

## **Contents**



<sup>2020</sup> *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46E35; Secondary 26D10, 42B25, 26A33, 35A23.

*Key words and phrases.* Muckenhoupt weight, renormalized averaged modulus of continuity, gradient, Brezis– Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung formula, ball Banach function space.

This project is partially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2020YFA0712900), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12371093, 12071197, 12122102, 12326307, and 12326308), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 2233300008), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2022M720479 and BX20230047).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author, E-mail: dcyang@bnu.edu.cn/April 1, 2024/Final version.

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>1 Introduction

Applying the coarea formula and the isoperimetric inequality and subtly classifying the dyadic cubes of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Cohen et al. [\[18,](#page-43-0) Theorems 3.1 and 4.1] established a quite profound and far-reaching inequality related to both level sets of renormalized averaged moduli of continuity and gradients; as applications, they "almost" characterized the bounded variation space via wavelet coefficients and further investigated interpolation spaces between bounded variation and Sobolev or Besov spaces as well as the Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities. So far, this inequality has already leaded to some very outstanding consequences; see, for instance, Brezis et al. [\[9,](#page-43-1) [11,](#page-43-2) [13\]](#page-43-3) and, very recently, Domínguez et al.  $[27, 30, 31]$  $[27, 30, 31]$  $[27, 30, 31]$ . It is quite surprising that we find that an elaborate weighted variant of this inequality can also characterize Muckenhoupt weights. To be precise, in this article, via first establishing a weighted variant of the aforementioned inequality in [\[18\]](#page-43-0), we give two new characterizations of Muckenhoupt weights. As an application, we further establish a representation formula of gradients with sharp parameters in ball Banach function spaces, which extends the famous Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung (for short, BSVY) formula obtained by Brezis et al. [\[12\]](#page-43-4) from the classical Sobolev spaces to various different Sobolev-type spaces and gives an affirmative answer to the question in Zhu et al. [\[99,](#page-47-0) Remark  $3.17(iv)$ ]. The most novelty of these results exists in subtly revealing the mutual equivalences among the Muckenhoupt weight, the weighted variant of the inequality of Cohen et al. [\[18\]](#page-43-0), and the weighted upper estimate of the formula of Brezis et al. [\[12\]](#page-43-4).

In what follows, let Q denote the set of all cubes with edges parallel to the coordinate axes and  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the set of all locally integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $Q \in Q$  and  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the *renormalized averaged modulus of continuity*  $\omega<sub>O</sub>(f)$  of f is defined by setting

$$
\omega_Q(f) := |Q|^{-1-\frac{1}{n}} \int_Q \int_Q |f(x) - f(y)| \, dx \, dy.
$$

For any  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ <sup>n</sup>, the *shifted dyadic grid*  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$  is defined by setting

<span id="page-1-4"></span>(1.1) 
$$
\mathcal{D}^{\alpha} := \left\{2^{j}\left[m+(0,1]^{n}+(-1)^{j}\alpha\right]:\ j\in\mathbb{Z},\ m\in\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}.
$$

Let  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ <sup>*n*</sup> and *b* ∈ ℝ and, for any *f* ∈ *L*<sup>1</sup><sub>loc</sub>(ℝ<sup>*n*</sup>) and *λ* ∈ (0, ∞), let

<span id="page-1-2"></span>(1.2) 
$$
\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,b}^{\alpha}[f] := \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} : \omega_Q(f) > \lambda |Q|^b \right\}.
$$

Moreover, let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be nonnegative, and  $\dot{Y}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the homogeneous weighted Sobolev space [see  $(2.14)$  for its precise definition].

Then we have the following characterization of Muckenhoupt  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -weights.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be nonnegative. Then the following statements are *equivalent.*

- (i)  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (ii) *There exist*  $\beta \in (-\infty, 1 \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ) ∪ (1, ∞) *when*  $p = 1$  *or*  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{p}\}\$  *when*  $p \in (1, \infty)$  *and*  $C \in (0, \infty)$  *such that, for any*  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ *<sup>n</sup> and*  $f \in \dot{Y}_{\nu}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}),$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>(1.3) 
$$
\sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda^p \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda \beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{\beta p - 1} \nu(Q) \leq C ||f||^p_{\dot{Y}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)},
$$

where  $v(Q) := \int_Q v(x) dx$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$  is the same as in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2) with b replaced by  $\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}$ *p .* **Remark 1.2.** We point out that, if  $p = 1$ ,  $v = 1$ , and  $\alpha = (0, \ldots, 0)$ , then [\(1.3\)](#page-1-3) in this case is just Cohen et al. [\[18,](#page-43-0) (4.1)].

In addition, Brezis et al. [\[12\]](#page-43-4) recently established a one-parameter family of equivalent formulae of gradients via the size of level sets of suitable difference quotients (see [\[12,](#page-43-4) [13\]](#page-43-3)), which are called the BSVY *formula*. This formula gives a surprising answer to the question of fundamental importance: how to represent gradients via difference quotients; see [\[8,](#page-43-5) [10,](#page-43-6) [13,](#page-43-3) [14,](#page-43-7) [30,](#page-44-1) [100\]](#page-47-1) for more related studies. Moreover, using the BSVY formula, Brezis et al. gave new characterizations of both homogeneous Sobolev spaces and bounded variation spaces in [\[12\]](#page-43-4) and established critical fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities in [\[13,](#page-43-3) [14\]](#page-43-7). For more developments of BSVY formulae and their applications, we refer the reader also to [\[21,](#page-43-8) [22,](#page-43-9) [28,](#page-44-3) [29,](#page-44-4) [37,](#page-44-5) [61,](#page-45-0) [67,](#page-45-1) [68,](#page-45-2) [79\]](#page-46-0).

By means of a weighted variant of the upper estimate of the BSVY formula from [\[12\]](#page-43-4), we also give the other new characterization of Muckenhoupt weights via applying Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-1) an argument originating from the pigeonhole principle [see [\(2.22\)](#page-14-0)], and the method of the extrapolation. In what follows, for any  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $q \in (0, \infty)$ , let

$$
\Omega_{p,q} := \begin{cases}\n(-\infty, -q) \cup (0, \infty) & \text{if } p = 1, \\
\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} & \text{if } p \in (1, \infty)\n\end{cases}
$$

and, for any  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ , let

<span id="page-2-1"></span>(1.4) 
$$
E_{\lambda, \frac{y}{q}}[f] := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x \neq y, \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{1 + \frac{y}{q}}} > \lambda \right\}.
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be nonnegative,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $q \in (0, \infty)$  with  $n(1 - \frac{1}{q})$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1. *Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (ii) *There exist*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,q}$  *and*  $C \in (0,\infty)$  *such that, for any*  $f \in \dot{Y}_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
(1.5) \qquad \sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(x, y) |x - y|^{\gamma - n} \, dy \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} \nu(x) \, dx \le C \|f\|_{\dot{Y}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p,
$$

*where*  $\dot{Y}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *and*  $E_{\lambda,\frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]$  *are the same as, respectively, in* [\(2.14\)](#page-12-0) *and* [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1)*.* 

On the other hand, very recently, Zhu et al. [\[99\]](#page-47-0) extended the BSVY formula to a framework of function spaces, called the ball Banach function space. Recall that the concept of ball (quasi-)Banach function spaces was introduced by Sawano et al. [\[84\]](#page-46-1) to unify the study of several different important function spaces, including weighted and variable Lebesgue spaces, (Bourgain– )Morrey type spaces, local and global generalized Herz spaces, and Orlicz(-slice) spaces; see Section [5](#page-32-0) for their exact definitions and histories. Since its introduction and its wide generality, ball (quasi-)Banach function spaces have recently attracted a lot of attention and yielded many applications; we refer the reader to [\[49,](#page-45-3) [51,](#page-45-4) [86,](#page-46-2) [88,](#page-46-3) [89,](#page-46-4) [96\]](#page-47-2) for the boundedness of operators and to [\[59,](#page-45-5) [90,](#page-46-5) [91,](#page-47-3) [92,](#page-47-4) [94\]](#page-47-5) for the real-variable theory of function spaces.

Observe that, in the proof of the upper estimate of the BSVY formula [\[12,](#page-43-4) Theorem 1.4] with negative parameter  $\gamma$ , via a method of rotation, the proof in the case where  $p = 1$  can be reduced into one dimensional case where the proof used a subtle stopping time argument (see [\[12,](#page-43-4) Proposition 2.3] for the details). However, the above one dimensional stopping time argument seems to be inapplicable to higher dimensional cases because it strongly depends on the property of  $\mathbb R$  having only one direction, which leads that the above proof strongly depends on the rotation invariance of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since ball Banach function spaces are generally not rotation invariant, this constrains Zhu et al. [\[99\]](#page-47-0) to obtain only the one dimensional upper estimate with negative parameter  $\gamma$  in some critical cases by using the stopping time argument. Indeed, Zhu in [\[99,](#page-47-0) Remark  $3.17(iv)$ ] explicitly pointed out that it was *unknown* whether or not, in some critical cases, the upper estimate of the BSVY formula in ball Banach function spaces holds when  $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$ .

As an application of the aforementioned characterization in Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) of  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -weights, we show the BSVY formula in ball Banach function spaces with sharp parameters, which widely extends the famous BSVY formula obtained by Brezis et al. [\[12\]](#page-43-4) from the classical Sobolev spaces to various different Sobolev-type spaces and further gives an affirmative answer to the aforementioned question in [\[99,](#page-47-0) Remark 3.17(iv)]. To be precise, we have the following BSVY formula with all the symbols precisely defined in Section [4.](#page-29-0) Also, for any  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\nabla f$ denotes the gradient (in the sense of distributions) of *f* .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 1.4.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$ *,*  $q \in (0, \infty)$ *,*  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ *, and X be a ball Banach function space having an absolutely continuous norm. Assume that both*  $n(\frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1 *and*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,q}$  *or there exists r* ∈ (*n*, ∞) *such that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded on*  $X^{\frac{1}{r}}$ *. Assume that*  $X^{\frac{1}{p}}$  is a ball Banach function space and that one of the following assumptions holds:

- (a) *the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded on*  $(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'$ ;
- (b) *p* = 1*, the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M is endpoint bounded on X*′ *, and the centered ball average operators*  $\{B_r\}_{r \in (0,\infty)}$  *are uniformly bounded on X.*

*Then, for any*  $f \in \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *,* 

<span id="page-3-1"></span>(1.6) 
$$
\sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda \left\| \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(\cdot, y) | \cdot -y|^{\gamma-n} dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{X} \sim ||\nabla f|||_{X}
$$

*with the positive equivalence constants independent of f .*

- **Remark 1.5.** (i) Let  $X := L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In this case, Theorem [1.4](#page-3-0) when  $p = q$  is just the famous BSVY formula obtained in [\[12\]](#page-43-4) (see also [\[13,](#page-43-3) Theorems 3 and 4]) and Theorem [1.4](#page-3-0) when  $p = 1, q \neq p, n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$ , and  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1)$  is new.
	- (ii) We should point out that Theorem [1.4](#page-3-0) gives an affirmative answer to the question in [\[99,](#page-47-0) Remark 3.17(iv)] via removing the restriction  $n = 1$  in assumption (d) of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 3.29 and 3.35]. Moreover, the assumptions of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-0) are sharp in some sense [see (iii) and (iv) of Remark [4.4\]](#page-31-0).

To overcome the difficulty caused by the deficiency of the rotation invariance of *X* under consideration, via an argument originating from the pigeonhole principle, we can convert the level set of pointwise difference quotients under consideration into the one of oscillations on cubes. To estimate the latter, we essentially use the sparse property of cubes in the level set [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2). To be precise, we prove that the size of cubes with bad properties can be controlled by the one with good properties. Considering the estimates of good cubes, we make full use of the coarea formula and the isoperimetric inequality to overcome the obstacle caused by the deficiency of the rotation invariance of *X* under consideration. On the other hand, observe that the proof in [\[23\]](#page-43-10) of the necessity of  $A_1(\mathbb{R})$  strongly depends on the construction expressed in terms of integrals with variable upper limit. However, such construction is not feasible in higher dimensional case because integrals with variable upper limit are essentially defined on R. To overcome this difficulty, we constructively show the necessity of  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  via replacing integrals with variable upper limit by the Riesz potentials in higher dimensional cases.

As applications of Theorem [1.4,](#page-3-0) we obtain both fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo– Nirenberg type inequalities in ball Banach function spaces, which also improve the corresponding results in [\[99\]](#page-47-0) by removing the restriction  $n = 1$ ; see Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6](#page-31-2) and Remark [4.7.](#page-31-3) These results are of quite wide generality and are applied to various specific function spaces, including weighted (or variable) Lebesgue, (Bourgain–)Morrey type, local and global generalized Herz spaces, and Orlicz (or Orlicz-slice) spaces, all of which improve the corresponding results obtained in [\[99\]](#page-47-0) by removing the restriction  $n = 1$  and making the range of the index *q* sharp; see Section [5](#page-32-0) for the details.

The organization of the remainder of this article is as follows.

In Section [2,](#page-5-0) we aim to prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1) Exactly, we first make full use of the dyadic nestedness and the approximation properties of shifted dyadic grids of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see Lemma [2.4\)](#page-6-0) to derive the sparseness of cubes in the level set  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$  (see Lemma [2.5\)](#page-7-0). Combining this, the coarea formula, the isoperimetric inequality, and the subtle classification of dyadic cubes and borrowing some ideas from the proofs of Cohen et al. [\[18,](#page-43-0) Theorems 3.1 and 4.1], we establish a weighted version (see Proposition [2.3\)](#page-6-1) of [\[18,](#page-43-0) (4.1)], which further implies the necessity of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1) On the other hand, applying the aforementioned sparseness of shifted dyadic grids again and an argument originating from the pigeonhole principle [see [\(2.22\)](#page-14-0)], we obtain a sophisticated control of the sizes of level sets of difference quotients under consideration by  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$  (see Proposition [2.9\)](#page-13-0). From this, we infer the sufficiency of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) via a constructive argument (see Proposition [2.7](#page-12-1) and its proof for more details) and hence complete the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1)

The main target of Section [3](#page-21-0) is to show Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0) To prove its necessity, we establish a general upper estimate of the BSVY formula in ball Banach function spaces (see Proposition [3.10\)](#page-24-0). Indeed, based on some ideas similar to those used in the proof of Proposition [2.9,](#page-13-0) we obtain the other key estimate of the sizes of level sets of difference quotients under consideration by  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$  (see Proposition [3.11\)](#page-24-1). This, together with the weighted extension, Proposition [2.3,](#page-6-1) of Cohen et al. [\[18,](#page-43-0) (4.1)] and the method of extrapolation, further implies the upper estimate of the BSVY formula in ball Banach function spaces. In addition, similarly to the proof of Proposition [2.7,](#page-12-1) we also constructively show the sufficiency of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) (see Proposition [3.14\)](#page-28-0).

In Section [4,](#page-29-0) applying the aforementioned upper estimate, Proposition [3.10,](#page-24-0) in ball Banach function spaces, we establish the BSVY formula with sharp parameters as well as the fractional Sobolev and the fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities in ball Banach function spaces, which improve all the corresponding results in [\[99\]](#page-47-0) and give an affirmative answer to the question in [\[99,](#page-47-0) Remark 3.17(iv)].

The main target of Section [5](#page-32-0) is to apply the above obtained BSVY formulae and fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities to specific function spaces including weighted Lebesgue spaces (see Subsection [5.1\)](#page-32-1), (Bourgain–)Morrey type spaces (see Subsection [5.2\)](#page-33-0), local and generalized Herz spaces (see Subsection [5.3\)](#page-37-0), variable Lebesgue spaces (see Subsection [5.4\)](#page-40-0), and Orlicz(-slice) spaces (see Subsection [5.5\)](#page-41-0). All of these results essentially improve those corresponding ones obtained in [\[99\]](#page-47-0).

Finally, we make some conventions on notation. We always let  $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, ...\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_+ :=$ N ∪ {0}. For any *s* ∈ R, let [*s*] denote the largest integer not greater than *s*. If *E* is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by  $E^C$  the set  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ , by  $|E|$  the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure of *E*, and by ∂*E* the boundary of *E*. Moreover, we use 0 to denote the *origin* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , let  $B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}$  and  $\mathbb{B} := \{B(x, r) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } r \in (0, \infty)\}\$  be the set of all

balls in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and any ball  $B := B(x_B, r_B)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with center  $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and radius  $r_B \in (0, \infty)$ , let  $\lambda B := B(x_B, \lambda r_B)$ ; for any cube  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\lambda Q$  means the cube with the same center as *Q* and λ times its edge length. In addition, we always denote by *C* a *positive constant* which is independent of the main parameters involved, but may vary from line to line. We use  $C_{(\alpha,\dots)}$  to denote a positive constant depending on the indicated parameters  $\alpha$ , .... The symbol  $f \leq g$  means *f* ≤ *Cg* and, if *f* ≤ *g* ≤ *f*, then we write *f* ∼ *g*; moreover, if *f* ≤ *Cg* and *g* = *h* or *g* ≤ *h*, we then write  $f \le g = h$  or  $f \le g \le h$ . For any  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , its *conjugate index* is denoted by  $q'$ , that is,  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'}$  $\frac{1}{q'} = 1$ . Throughout this article, we denote by  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the set of all continuous functions with compact support and by  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the set of all infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|E| < \infty$ , let

$$
f(E) := \int_E f(x) dx
$$
 and  $f_E := \int_E f(x) dx := \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E f(x) dx$ .

In addition,  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$  means that there exists  $c_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\varepsilon \in (0, c_0)$  and  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Finally, when we prove a theorem (and the like), in its proof we always use the same symbols as in the statement itself of that theorem (and the like).

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>2 Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1)

The target of this section is to prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1)

Firstly, recall the following definitions of  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -weights as well as weighted Lebesgue spaces; see, for instance, [\[34,](#page-44-6) Definition 7.1.1]. In what follows, we denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the set of all measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Definition 2.1.** (i) An  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -weight v, with  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , is a nonnegative locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying that, when  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,

$$
[v]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v(x) \, dx \left\{ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q [v(x)]^{1-p'} \, dx \right\}^{p-1} < \infty
$$

and

$$
[v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \in Q} \frac{\|v^{-1}\|_{L^{\infty}(Q)}}{|Q|} \int_Q v(x) \, dx < \infty.
$$

(ii) Let  $p \in (0, \infty]$  and  $\nu$  be a nonnegative locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The *weighted Lebesgue space*  $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$
||f||_{L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \, v(x) \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.
$$

In this article, we need the following properties of Muckenhoupt  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -weights; see, for instance, [\[34,](#page-44-6) Sections 7.1 and 7.2]. In what follows, *M* denotes the *Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* which is defined by setting, for any  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
M(f)(x) := \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy,
$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$  containing *x*.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Lemma 2.2.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$  *and*  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.* 

- (i) If  $p = 1$ *, then, for almost every*  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *,*  $M(v)(x) \leq [v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)}v(x)$ *.*
- (ii) *For any cube*  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}$  *and any measurable set*  $S \subset Q$ *,*  $v(Q) \leq [v]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} (|Q|/|S|)^p v(S)$ *<i>.*

 $(iii)$ 

$$
[v]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}=\sup_{Q\in Q}\sup_{\|f\mathbf{1}_Q\|_{L^p_\upsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)}\in(0,\infty)}\frac{[\frac{1}{Q}\int_Q|f(x)|\,dx]^p}{\frac{1}{\upsilon(Q)}\int_Q|f(x)|^p\upsilon(x)\,dx}.
$$

(iv) If  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\mu := \nu^{1-p'}$ , then  $\mu \in A_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and, for any  $q \in [1, p)$ ,

$$
[\mu]_{A_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1} = [\nu]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq [\nu]_{A_q(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
$$

(v) If  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , then M is bounded on  $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; moreover, there exists a positive constant  $C_{(n,p)}$ , *depending only on both n and p, such that*

$$
||M||_{L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{(n,p)}[v]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{p-1}},
$$

*where*  $\|M\|_{L^p_\nu(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^p_\nu(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  denotes the operator norm of M on  $L^p_\nu(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(vi) *If*  $p \in (1, \infty)$ *, then* 

$$
A_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{q \in (1,p)} A_q(\mathbb{R}^n).
$$

We first establish the following weighted extension of the inequality [\[18,](#page-43-0) (4.1)], which is essential for the proof of the necessity of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Proposition 2.3.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$  *and*  $\beta \in (-\infty, 1 - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ) ∪ (1, ∞) *when*  $p = 1$  *or*  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{p}\}\$ *when*  $p \in (1, \infty)$ *. Then there exist a positive constant C and an increasing continuous function*  $\varphi$  *on*  $[0, \infty)$  *such that, for any*  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ , and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$
\sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda^p \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda \beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{\beta p - 1} \nu(Q) \leq C \varphi \left( [\nu]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^p \nu(x) \, dx,
$$

*where*  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$  *is the same as in* [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2) *with b replaced by*  $\beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ *p .*

In order to show this proposition, we first recall the following exquisite geometrical properties of shifted dyadic grids on Euclidean spaces, which indeed play key roles throughout this article; see, for instance, [\[72,](#page-46-6) p. 479], [\[56,](#page-45-6) Section 2.2], or [\[38,](#page-44-7) Section 2.2].

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Lemma 2.4.** *For any*  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ <sup>*n*</sup>, let  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$  be the same as in [\(1.1\)](#page-1-4). Then the following properties *hold.*

- (i) **Dyadic nestedness property**: for any  $Q, P \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ }<sup>n</sup>,  $Q \cap P \in \{0, Q, P\};$
- (ii) *Approximation property: there exists a positive constant*  $C_{(n)}$ , depending only on n, such *that, for any ball*  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *, there exist*  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ *f*<sup>n</sup> and  $Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$  such that  $B \subset Q \subset C_{(n)}B$ .

Based on these, we obtain the following sparseness of cubes in the level set  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$ . In what follows, for any  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ <sup>*n*</sup>, and *f*  $\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let

<span id="page-7-4"></span>(2.1) 
$$
\Omega_{\lambda,b}^{\alpha}[f] := \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,b}^{\alpha}[f]} Q.
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Lemma 2.5.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{p}\}, \lambda, r \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  satisfy  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, for any  $x \in \Omega^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$ , there exists a cube  $Q_x \in \Omega^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$  containing x *such that*

<span id="page-7-2"></span>(2.2) 
$$
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda \beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{r(\beta - \frac{1}{p})} 1_Q(x) \lesssim |Q_x|^{r(\beta - \frac{1}{p})},
$$

*where the implicit positive constant depends only on n, p,* β*, and r.*

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in \Omega^{\alpha}_{\lambda \beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}}[f]$ . Applying the Newton–Leibniz formula and a change of variables, we obtain, for any  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
f(y) - f(z) = \int_0^1 \nabla f(z + t(y - z)) \cdot (y - z) dt.
$$

This, together with both the Tonelli theorem and a change of variables again, further implies that, for any  $Q \in Q$ ,

$$
\omega_Q(f) \le |Q|^{-1-\frac{1}{n}} \int_Q \int_Q \int_0^1 |\nabla f(z+t(y-z))| |y-z| dt dy dz
$$
  

$$
\le \sqrt{n}|Q|^{-1} \int_0^1 \int_Q \int_Q |\nabla f(s)| ds dy dt = \sqrt{n} \int_Q |\nabla f(s)| ds.
$$

Using this and the assumption  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we conclude that, for any  $Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$ ,

<span id="page-7-1"></span>(2.3) 
$$
\lambda |Q|^{\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}} < \omega_Q(f) \leq \sqrt{n} \int_Q |\nabla f(z)| dz \leq \sqrt{n} ||\nabla f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |Q|.
$$

Now, we consider the following two cases on  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{p}\}.$ 

*Case 1*)  $\beta \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{p})$ *p*). In this case, from [\(2.3\)](#page-7-1), we deduce that, for any  $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha}[f]$ ,

<span id="page-7-5"></span>(2.4) 
$$
|Q| > \left[\sqrt{n}\lambda^{-1}|||\nabla f|||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta - \frac{1}{p}}}>0.
$$

Therefore, by Lemma [2.4\(](#page-6-0)i), we find that there exists a unique cube  $Q_x \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$  that is minimum with respect to the set inclusion such that  $x \in Q_x$ ; moreover, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$
\sharp \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]: \ Q \supset Q_{x}, \ |Q|=2^{kn}|Q_{x}|\right\}\leq 1,
$$

where  $\sharp E$  denotes the cardinality of the set *E*. Applying these and the assumption  $\beta \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{p})$ *p* ) again, we conclude that

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
(2.5) \qquad \qquad \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{r(\beta-\frac{1}{p})} \mathbf{1}_{Q}(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]: \ Q \supset Q_{x}, |Q|=2^{kn}|Q_{x}|\}} |Q|^{r(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}
$$

Muckenhoupt Weights Meet Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung Formulae 9

$$
\leq |Q_x|^{r(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}2^{knr(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}\sim |Q_x|^{r(\beta-\frac{1}{p})},
$$

where the implicit positive constant depends only on  $n$ ,  $p$ ,  $r$ , and  $\beta$ . This further implies that [\(2.2\)](#page-7-2) holds in this case.

*Case 2)*  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{p})$  $\frac{1}{p}$ , ∞). In this case, by [\(2.3\)](#page-7-1), we find that, for any  $Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]$ ,

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
|Q| < \left[\sqrt{n}\lambda^{-1}\|\|\nabla f\|\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta-\frac{1}{p}}}<\infty.
$$

Using this and Lemma [2.4\(](#page-6-0)i), we conclude that there exists a unique cube  $Q_x \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha}[f]$  that is maximum with respect to the set inclusion such that  $x \in Q_x$ ; moreover, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$
\sharp \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]: x \in Q \subset Q_{x}, |Q| = 2^{-kn}|Q_{x}| \right\} \leq 1.
$$

Applying these, the assumption  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{p})$  $\frac{1}{p}$ ,  $\infty$ ), and an argument similar to that used in [\(2.5\)](#page-7-3), we obtain [\(2.2\)](#page-7-2) holds also in this case.

Combining the above two cases, we conclude the desired conclusion. This finishes the proof of Lemma [2.5.](#page-7-0)

In what follows, fix  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $(\frac{2}{3})^n, \beta \in (-\infty, 1 - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ),  $\sigma \in (\beta, 1 - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ), a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let

<span id="page-8-0"></span>(2.7) 
$$
\mathcal{G}_{\sigma} : = \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f] : \text{ for any collection } \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f] \right\}
$$

of pairwise disjoint cubes strictly contained in *Q*,

$$
\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} |P|^{\sigma - 1} \nu(P) \le |Q|^{\sigma - 1} \nu(Q) \bigg\}
$$

and, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,k}(E) := \left\{Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma} : \min\left\{ |Q \cap E|, |Q \setminus E|\right\} \in \left(2^{-k-1}|Q|, 2^{-k}|Q|\right] \right\}.
$$

Applying the isoperimetric inequality (see, for instance, [\[18,](#page-43-0) Theorem 2.3]), we can obtain the following conclusion; since its proof is a slight modification of the corresponding one of [\[18,](#page-43-0) (4.21) and (4.22)] with  $|I|^{1-\frac{1}{d}}$  replaced by  $|Q|^{-\frac{1}{d}}\nu(Q)$ , we omit the details.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Lemma 2.6.** *Let*  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $(\frac{2}{3})^n, \beta \in (-\infty, 1 - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ )*,*  $\sigma \in (\beta, 1 - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ,  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *be nonnegative*,  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  *be a domain with smooth boundary satisfying*  $\min\{|E|, |E^C|\} < \infty$ , and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *with*  $|\nabla f|$  ∈  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , each cube  $Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,k}(E)$  is contained in a cube of  $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,k}(E)$  that *is maximal with respect to the set inclusion; moreover,*

$$
\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{G}_{\sigma}}\omega_{Q}(\mathbf{1}_E)\frac{\nu(Q)}{|Q|}\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}2^{-\frac{k}{n}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,k}^{\max}(E)}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\left(\partial E\cap Q\right)\frac{\nu(Q)}{|Q|},
$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  *where, for any*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^{\max}_{\sigma,k}(E)$  denotes the set of all maximal cubes in  $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,k}(E)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  denotes the (*<sup>n</sup>* <sup>−</sup> 1)*-dimensional Hausdor*ff *measure on* <sup>R</sup> *n , and the implicit positive constant is independent of E, v, and f.* 

*Proof of Proposition* [2.3.](#page-6-1) Fix  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ , and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\lambda = 1$ . Otherwise, we can replace f by  $\frac{f}{\lambda}$  for any  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ . Next, we consider the following four cases on p,  $\beta$ , and n.

*Case 1)*  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{p}\}\)$ . In this case, by the Tonelli theorem and Lemma [2.5](#page-7-0) with  $\lambda := 1$  and  $r := p$ , we conclude that

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
(2.8) \qquad \qquad \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{1,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{\beta p-1} \nu(Q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{1,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{\beta p-1} \nu(x) \mathbf{1}_Q(x) \, dx \\ \qquad \qquad \leq \int_{\Omega^{\alpha}_{1,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q_x|^{\beta p-1} \nu(x) \, dx,
$$

where  $\Omega_{1,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha}[f]$  is the same as in [\(2.1\)](#page-7-4) with  $\lambda := 1$  and  $b := \beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}$  $\Omega^{\alpha}_{1,\alpha+1}$  [*f*],  $Q_{\beta}$  $\frac{1}{p}$  and, for any *x* ∈  $\frac{\alpha}{1,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f], \overline{Q}_x$  is the same as in Lemma [2.5.](#page-7-0) From [\(2.3\)](#page-7-1), we infer that, for any  $x \in \Omega^{\alpha}_{1,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f],$ 

$$
|Q_x|^{\beta-\frac{1}{p}} \leq \sqrt{n}M(|\nabla f|)(x),
$$

which, combined with  $(2.8)$  and Lemma  $2.2(v)$ , further implies that

$$
\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q\in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{1,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]&|Q|^{\beta p-1}\upsilon(Q)\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[M(|\nabla f|)(x)\right]^{p}\upsilon(x)\,dx\\&\lesssim [\upsilon]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\nabla f(x)|^p\,\upsilon(x)\,dx. \end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the present proposition holds with  $\varphi(t) := t^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$  for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in this case.

*Case 2)*  $p = n = 1$  and  $\beta \in (-\infty, 0)$ . In this case, from [\(2.4\)](#page-7-5), we deduce that each cube  $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]$  contains a cube in  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]$  that is minimum with respect to the set inclusion. Denote by  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha,\min}[f]$  the set of all minimal cubes in  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]$ . Then cubes in  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha,\min}[f]$  are pairwise disjoint. By Lemmas [2.4\(](#page-6-0)i) and [2.2\(](#page-6-2)ii) and the assumption  $\beta \in (-\infty, 0)$ , we find that

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
(2.9) \qquad \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]}|Q^{\beta-1}\upsilon(Q) \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha,\min}[f]} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\{P \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]:\ P \supset Q,|P|=2^j|Q|\}}|P|^{\beta-1}\upsilon(P)\\ \leq [\upsilon]_{A_1(\mathbb{R})} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha,\min}[f]}|Q|^{\beta-1}\upsilon(Q) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{j(\beta-1)}2^j\\ \sim [\upsilon]_{A_1(\mathbb{R})} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha,\min}[f]}|Q|^{\beta-1}\upsilon(Q).
$$

On the other hand, applying the second inequality of  $(2.3)$  and Lemma [2.2\(](#page-6-2)iii), we conclude that, for any  $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]$ ,

$$
|Q|^{\beta} < \int_{Q} \left| f'(x) \right| \, dx \le \frac{\left[ \nu \right]_{A_1(\mathbb{R})} |Q|}{\nu(Q)} \int_{Q} \left| f'(x) \right| \nu(x) \, dx.
$$

This, combined with [\(2.9\)](#page-9-1) and the disjointness of cubes in  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha,\min}[f]$ , further implies that

$$
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]} |Q|^{\beta-1} \nu(Q) \lesssim \left[\nu\right]_{A_1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha,\min}[f]} \int_Q \left|f'(x)\right| \nu(x) \, dx
$$

Muckenhoupt Weights Meet Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung Formulae 11

$$
\leq [v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'(x)| v(x) dx.
$$

This then finishes the proof of the present proposition with  $\varphi(t) := t^2$  for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in this case.

*Case 3)*  $p = 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$ , and  $\beta \in (-\infty, 1 - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ). In this case, there exists a constant  $C_f$  such that  $f - C_f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Fix  $\sigma \in (\beta, 1 - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ) and let  $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma} := \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f] \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma}$  is the same as in [\(2.7\)](#page-8-0). Then, repeating an argument used in the proof of  $[18]$ . Lemma 4.3] with  $|I|^{\gamma}$  therein replaced by  $|Q|^{\sigma-1}v(Q)$ , we obtain

$$
\sum_{Q\in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}}|Q|^{\beta-1}\nu(Q)\lesssim \sum_{Q\in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}}|Q|^{\beta-1}\nu(Q),
$$

where the implicit positive constant depends only on *n*,  $\beta$ , and  $\sigma$ . This, together with  $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma} \subset \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]$ and the definition of  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]$ , further implies that

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
(2.10) \qquad \qquad \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]}|Q|^{\beta-1}\nu(Q) \lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}}|Q|^{\beta-1}\nu(Q) \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}}\omega_Q(f)\frac{\nu(Q)}{|Q|}.
$$

For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $E_t := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) - C_f > t\}$ . Observe that, for any  $Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}$  and  $x \in Q$ ,

$$
f(x) = C_f + [f(x) - C_f]
$$
  
=  $C_f - ||f - C_f||_{L^{\infty}(Q)} + \int_{-||f - C_f||_{L^{\infty}(Q)}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{E_t}(x) dt.$ 

This then implies that, for any  $Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}$  and  $x, y \in Q$ ,

$$
|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \int_{-||f - C_f||_{L^{\infty}(Q)}}^{\infty} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{E_t}(x) - \mathbf{1}_{E_t}(y) \right] dt \right|
$$
  

$$
\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \mathbf{1}_{E_t}(x) - \mathbf{1}_{E_t}(y) \right| dt.
$$

Thus, from this and the Tonelli theorem, we further infer that, for any  $Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}$ ,

<span id="page-10-2"></span>(2.11) 
$$
\omega_{Q}(f) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_{Q}(\mathbf{1}_{E_{t}}) dt.
$$

To complete the proof of the present proposition in this case, it suffices to show that, for any domain  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary satisfying min{ $|E|, |E^C|$ } <  $\infty$ ,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>(2.12) 
$$
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}} \omega_Q(\mathbf{1}_E) \frac{\nu(Q)}{|Q|} \lesssim [\nu]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\partial E} \nu(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)
$$

with the implicit positive constant depending only on  $n$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\sigma$ . Indeed, if we assume that [\(2.12\)](#page-10-0) holds for the moment, then, by the Sard theorem (see, for instance, [\[57,](#page-45-7) Theorem 6.10]) and [57, Example 1.32], we find that, for almost every  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $E_t$  is a domain with smooth boundary,  $\partial E_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) - C_f = t\}$ , and

$$
\min\left\{|E_t|, \left|E_t^{\mathbb{C}}\right|\right\} \leq \frac{1}{t} \left\|f - C_f\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.
$$

Combining these, [\(2.10\)](#page-10-1), [\(2.11\)](#page-10-2), the Tonelli theorem, [\(2.12\)](#page-10-0), and the famous coarea formula (see, for instance, [\[33,](#page-44-8) p. 258]), we further conclude that

$$
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^n[f]} |Q|^{\beta-1} \nu(Q) \lesssim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}} \omega_Q(\mathbf{1}_{E_t}) \frac{\nu(Q)}{|Q|} dt
$$
  

$$
\lesssim [v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\partial E_t} \nu(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) dt
$$
  

$$
= [v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(y) - C_f = t\}} \nu(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) dt
$$
  

$$
= [v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)| \nu(x) dx,
$$

where the implicit positive constants depend only on  $n$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\sigma$ . This further implies that the present proposition holds with  $\varphi(t) := t$  for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in this case.

Therefore, we now only need to prove  $(2.12)$ . Applying Lemmas [2.6](#page-8-1) and [2.2\(](#page-6-2)i) and the disjointness of cubes in  $\mathcal{G}^{\max}_{\sigma,k}(E)$ , we further find that

$$
\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}} \omega_Q(\mathbf{1}_E) \frac{\nu(Q)}{|Q|} &\lesssim [\nu]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-\frac{k}{n}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,k}^{\max}(E)} \int_{\partial E \cap Q} \nu(x) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ &\lesssim [\nu]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-\frac{k}{n}} \int_{\partial E} \nu(x) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ &\sim [\nu]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\partial E} \nu(x) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, [\(2.12\)](#page-10-0) holds. This finishes the proof of the present proposition in this case.

*Case 4)*  $p = 1$  and  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ . In this case, by [\(2.6\)](#page-8-2), we find that each cube  $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]$ is contained in a cube belonging to  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]$  that is maximum with respect to the set inclusion. Denote by  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha,\max}[f]$  the set of all maximal cubes in  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha}[f]$ . Then cubes in  $\mathcal{D}_{1,\beta}^{\alpha,\max}[f]$  are pairwise disjoint. From these and an argument similar to that used in the proof of Case 2, we easily deduce that the present proposition holds with  $\varphi(t) := t$  for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in this case. This then finishes the proof of Proposition [2.3.](#page-6-1)

Now, we aim to show the sufficiency of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1) To this end, let us first recall some related concepts. Let  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the set of all Schwartz functions and  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the set of all tempered distributions modulo polynomials. Recall that, for any  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , its *Fourier transform*  $\mathcal{F}f$  is defined by setting, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
\mathcal{F}f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\xi) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi
$$

and its *inverse Fourier transform*  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$  is defined by setting  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) := \mathcal{F}f(-x)$  for any  $x \in$  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . These definitions are naturally extended to *S'*( $\mathbb{R}^n$ )/ $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, for any *β* ∈ ℝ and *f* ∈  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the *Riesz potential I<sub>β</sub>f* of *f* is defined by setting

<span id="page-11-0"></span>(2.13) 
$$
I_{\beta}f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[(2\pi|\cdot|)^{-\beta}\mathcal{F}f\right];
$$

see [\[93\]](#page-47-6) or [\[35,](#page-44-9) Chapter 1] for more details. Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be nonnegative. Recall that the *homogeneous weighted Sobolev space*  $\dot{W}_{\nu}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in$ 

 $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $||f||_{W^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} := ||\nabla f||_{L^p_v(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  is finite. The *homogeneous weighted Sobolev space*  $\dot{H}_{\nu}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $||f||_{\dot{H}_{\nu}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := ||I_{-1}f||_{L_{\nu}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  is finite. Moreover, let

<span id="page-12-0"></span>(2.14) 
$$
\dot{Y}_{v}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) := \begin{cases} \dot{W}_{v}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } p = 1, \\ \dot{H}_{v}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty) \text{ and } p \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}
$$

Next, we establish the following result which is stronger than the sufficiency of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) and is of independent interest because it holds for any parameters  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{p}\}\$ .

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Proposition 2.7.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{p}\}\$ , and  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *be nonnegative. If there exists a positive constant C such that, for any*  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ *<sup>n</sup> and*  $f \in \dot{Y}_{\nu}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}),$ 

<span id="page-12-5"></span>(2.15) 
$$
\sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda^p \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda \beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{\beta p - 1} \nu(Q) \leq C ||f||^p_{\dot{Y}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)},
$$

*where*  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha}[f]$  *is the same as in* [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2) *with b replaced by*  $\beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}$  $\frac{1}{p}$ *, then*  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.* 

<span id="page-12-4"></span>To show this proposition, we first prove the following technical mean oscillation inequality.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let*  $M \in (0, \infty)$  *and*  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *. Then there exist a set*  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  *with*  $|A| = 0$  *and a positive constant*  $C_{(M,n)}$ , depending only on both M and n, such that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$ , any  $r \in (0, \infty)$ *, and any ball*  $B_1 \subset B := B(x, r) \subset MB_1$ *,* 

<span id="page-12-3"></span>(2.16) 
$$
\left|f(x) - f_{B_1}\right| \le C_{(M,n)} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \int_{2^{-j}B} \left|f(y) - f_{2^{-j}B}\right| dy.
$$

*Proof.* Combining the assumption  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, we conclude that there exists  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|A| = 0$  satisfying that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$  and any ball  $B := B(x, r)$  with  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$
f(x) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \oint_{2^{-j}B} f(y) dy = \lim_{j \to \infty} f_{2^{-j}B}.
$$

This, together with the facts that, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $2^{-j-1}B \subset 2^{-j}B$  and  $|2^{-j-1}B| \sim |2^{-j}B|$  with the positive equivalence constants depending only on *n*, further implies that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$  and any ball  $B := B(x, r)$  with  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
(2.17) \t|f(x) - f_B| = \lim_{j \to \infty} |f_{2^{-j}B} - f_B| \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} |f_{2^{-j-1}B} - f_{2^{-j}B}|
$$
  

$$
\le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_{2^{-j-1}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{-j}B}| dy \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_{2^{-j}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{-j}B}| dy,
$$

where the implicit positive constant depends only on *n*. In addition, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $B := B(x, r)$ with  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $B_1$  satisfying  $B_1 \subset B \subset MB_1$ , it holds that

$$
|f_B - f_{B_1}| \le \int_{B_1} |f(y) - f_B| dy \le \int_B |f(y) - f_B| dy
$$

with the implicit positive constant depending only on both  $M$  and  $n$ . Applying this and [\(2.17\)](#page-12-2), we further find that  $(2.16)$  holds, which then completes the proof of Lemma [2.8.](#page-12-4)

We now use Lemma [2.8](#page-12-4) to establish the following key estimates. In what follows, for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

<span id="page-13-3"></span>(2.18) 
$$
B_{x,y} := B\left(y, \frac{1}{20}|x-y|\right)
$$

and, for any  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let

<span id="page-13-4"></span>(2.19) 
$$
E_{\lambda,b}^{(1)}[f] := \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x \neq y, \ \frac{|f(x) - f_{B_{xy}}|}{|x - y|^{1 + b}} > \lambda \right\}.
$$

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Proposition 2.9.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$ *,*  $q, \varepsilon, \lambda \in (0, \infty)$ *,*  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{p}\}\$ *, and*  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *be nonnegative. Then the following two statements hold.*

(i) If  $q \in [p, \infty)$ , then there exists a positive constant  $C_{(n,\beta,p,q)}$ , depending only on n,  $\beta$ , p, and *q, such that, for any*  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$
\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}^{(1)}}[f](x,y)|x-y|^{qn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-n}\,dy\right]^{\frac{p}{q}}\,\nu(x)\,dx \\ \leq C_{(n,\beta,p,q)}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_{+}}2^{jn(\beta p-1)}\sum_{\alpha\in\{0,\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\}^{n}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha}}^{}\,|Q|^{\beta p-1}\nu(Q),\end{array}
$$

 $where, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \lambda(j) := c_{(n,\beta,p,\varepsilon)} \lambda 2^{j[1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-\varepsilon]}$  *with a positive constant*  $c_{(n,\beta,p,\varepsilon)}$$ *depending only on n,* β*, p, and* ε*.*

(ii) If  $q \in (0, p)$ , then there exists a positive constant  $C := C_{(n, \beta, p, q)}$ , depending only on n,  $\beta$ , p, *and q, such that, for any*  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *<i>with*  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.* 

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
(2.20) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, n(\beta - \frac{1}{p})}^{(1)}}[f](x, y)|x - y|^{\varphi(\beta - \frac{1}{p}) - n} dy \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} \nu(x) dx
$$
  

$$
\leq \widetilde{C} \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{j q n (\beta - \frac{1}{p})} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^n} \left[ \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha}}[f](Q^{\beta p-1} \nu(Q)) \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \right\}^{\frac{p}{q}},
$$

 $where, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \lambda(j) := c_{(n,\beta,p,\varepsilon)} \lambda 2^{j[1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-\varepsilon]}$  *with a positive constant*  $c_{(n,\beta,p,\varepsilon)}$$ *depending only on n,* β*, p, and* ε*.*

*Proof.* For any given  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let the set *A* be the same as in Lemma [2.8.](#page-12-4) We first estimate

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} {\bf 1}_{E^{(1)}_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac1p)}[f]}(\cdot,y)|\cdot-y|^{qn(\beta-\frac1p)-n}\,dy.
$$

To this end, for any  $(x, y) \in E_{\lambda,n}^{(1)}$ (1)  $(A \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ , using Lemma [2.8](#page-12-4) with *B*<sub>1</sub> := *B*<sub>*x*,*y*</sub>, *B* :=  $B(x, 2|x - y|)$ , and  $M := 60$ , we find that there exists a positive constant  $C(n)$ , depending only on *n*, such that

<span id="page-13-1"></span>(2.21) 
$$
\lambda |x-y|^{1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})} < |f(x)-f_{B_{x,y}}|
$$

Muckenhoupt Weights Meet Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung Formulae 15

$$
\leq C_{(n)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_{B(x, 2^{-j+1}|x-y|)} \left| f(z) - f_{B(x, 2^{-j+1}|x-y|)} \right| \, dz.
$$

In what follows, let  $c_0 := \frac{1-2^{-\varepsilon}}{2C_{\varepsilon}}$  $\frac{1-2^{-\varepsilon}}{2C_{(n)}}$ . We claim that, for any  $(x, y) \in E_{\lambda, n}^{(1)}$  $\lim_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})} [f]$  \ (*A* × ℝ<sup>*n*</sup>), there exists  $j_{x,y} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
(2.22) \t\t c_0 \lambda 2^{-j_{x,y}\varepsilon} |x-y|^{1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})} \leq \int_{B(x,2^{-j_{x,y}+1}|x-y|)} \left| f(z) - f_{B(x,2^{-j_{x,y}+1}|x-y|)} \right| dz.
$$

Otherwise, it holds that

$$
\frac{\lambda}{2C_{(n)}}|x-y|^{1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}=c_0\lambda|x-y|^{1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}2^{-j\varepsilon}\\ >\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\int_{B(x,2^{-j+1}|x-y|)}\left|f(z)-f_{B(x,2^{-j+1}|x-y|)}\right|\,dz,
$$

which contradicts  $(2.21)$ . This then finishes the proof of the above claim.

In addition, from Lemma [2.4\(](#page-6-0)ii), we infer that there exists a positive constant  $C_{(n)}$ , depending only on *n*, such that, for any  $(x, y) \in E_{\lambda, n}^{(1)}$  $\lim_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}$ [*f*] \ (*A* × ℝ<sup>*n*</sup>), there exist  $\alpha_{x,y}$  ∈ {0,  $\frac{1}{3}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ <sup>n</sup> and  $Q_{x,y} \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha_{x,y}}$  satisfying that

$$
B\left(x,2^{-j_{x,y}+1}|x-y|\right)\subset Q_{x,y}\subset B\left(x,2^{-j_{x,y}+1}\widetilde{C}_{(n)}|x-y|\right).
$$

Applying this and the above claim, we conclude that, for any  $(x, y) \in E_{\lambda, n}^{(1)}$  $\lim_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})} [f]$  \ (*A* × ℝ<sup>n</sup>),

$$
2^{j_{x,y}[1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-\varepsilon]} \lambda |Q_{x,y}|^{\beta-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{n}} \n= \lambda 2^{-j_{x,y}\varepsilon} |2^{j_{x,y}} Q_{x,y}|^{\beta-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{n}} \sim \lambda 2^{-j_{x,y}\varepsilon} |x-y|^{n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})+1} \n\lesssim \int_{B(x,2^{-j_{x,y}+1}|x-y|)} |f(z)-f_{B(x,2^{-j_{x,y}+1}|x-y|)}| dz \n\leq 2 \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \int_{B(x,2^{-j_{x,y}+1}|x-y|)} |f(z)-c| dz \n\lesssim \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \int_{Q_{x,y}} |f(z)-c| dz \leq \int_{Q_{x,y}} |f(z)-f_{Q_{x,y}}| dz \n= |Q_{x,y}|^{-2} \int_{Q_{x,y}} \int_{Q_{x,y}} [f(z)-f(w)] dw | dz \n\leq |Q_{x,y}|^{-1+\frac{1}{n}} [|Q_{x,y}|^{-1-\frac{1}{n}} \int_{Q_{x,y}} \int_{Q_{x,y}} |f(z)-f(w)| dw dz] \n= |Q_{x,y}|^{-1+\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{Q_{x,y}}(f),
$$

which further implies that there exists a positive constant  $c_{(n,\beta,p,\varepsilon)}$ , depending only on *n*,  $\beta$ , *p*, and  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $Q_{x,y} \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha_{x,y}}[f]$  with  $\lambda(j) := c_{(n,\beta,p,\varepsilon)}\lambda 2^{j[1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-\varepsilon]}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j)}^{\alpha_{x,y}}$ the same as in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2) with  $\alpha := \alpha_{x,y}$ ,  $\lambda := \lambda(j)$ , and  $b := \beta + 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ . Furthermore, notice to  $\frac{\partial f_{x,y}}{\partial f(x)} = \frac{1}{p} [f]$  defined  $\frac{1}{n}$ . Furthermore, notice that, for any  $(x, y) ∈ E^{(1)}_{\lambda, n}$  $\lim_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})} [f]$  \ (*A* × ℝ<sup>n</sup>),

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
(2.23) \qquad (x, y) \in \left[2^{-j_{x,y}}B(x, 2|x-y|)\right] \times B(x, 2|x-y|) \subset Q_{x,y} \times 2^{j_{x,y}}Q_{x,y}
$$

and

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
(2.24) \t\t\t |x-y| \sim |2^{j_{xy}}Q_{x,y}|^{\frac{1}{n}},
$$

where the positive equivalence constants depend only on *n*. By [\(2.23\)](#page-14-1), we obtain

$$
E^{(1)}_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}[f]\setminus (A\times\mathbb{R}^n)\subset \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\bigcup_{\alpha\in\{0,\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\}^n}\bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\lambda(j,\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} \big(Q\times 2^jQ\big)\,.
$$

From this and [\(2.24\)](#page-15-0), it further follows that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$ ,

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
(2.25) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}^{(1)}[f]}(x,y)|x-y|^{qn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-n} dy
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\alpha\in\{0,\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j)\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} \int_{2^jQ} |2^jQ|^{q(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-1} dy \mathbf{1}_Q(x)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\alpha\in\{0,\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j)\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} |2^jQ|^{q(\beta-\frac{1}{p})} \mathbf{1}_Q(x),
$$

where the implicit positive constant depends only on *n*, β, *p*, and *q*.

Next, we prove (i). Assume that  $q \in [p, \infty)$ . Using this, [\(2.25\)](#page-15-1), the fact that, for any  $r \in (0, 1]$ and  $\{a_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$
\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}|a_j|\right)^r\leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}|a_j|^r,
$$

and the Tonelli theorem, we find that

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
(2.26) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda n(\beta - \frac{1}{p})}^{(1)}}(x, y) |x - y|^{qn(\beta - \frac{1}{p}) - n} dy \right]_{\mathcal{V}}^{\beta} \nu(x) dx
$$
  

$$
\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j), \beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha}} |Z^j Q|^{q(\beta - \frac{1}{p})} \mathbf{1}_Q(x) \right\}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\beta} \nu(x) dx
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{jn(\beta p - 1)} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j), \beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha}} |Q|^{\beta p - 1} \nu(Q),
$$

where the implicit positive constant depends only on  $n$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $p$ , and  $q$ . This shows (i).

Now, we prove (ii). Assume that  $q \in (0, p)$ . By this, [\(2.25\)](#page-15-1), and the Minkowski inequality, we find that

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
(2.27) \qquad \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda n(\beta - \frac{1}{p})}^{(1)}}(x, y) |x - y|^{qn(\beta - \frac{1}{p}) - n} dy \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} \nu(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{q}{p}} \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^n} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j)\beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha} [f]} |2^j Q|^{q(\beta - \frac{1}{p})} \mathbf{1}_Q(x) \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} \nu(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{q}{p}}.
$$

From this and Lemma [2.5](#page-7-0) with  $r := q$  and  $\lambda := \lambda(j)$ , we deduce that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
\begin{aligned}&\left[\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]\left|2^{j}Q\right|^{q(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}\mathbf{1}_{Q}(x)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}}\\&\lesssim 2^{jn(\beta p-1)}\left|Q_{x}\right|^{\beta p-1}\leq 2^{jn(\beta p-1)}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]\left|Q\right|^{\beta p-1}\mathbf{1}_{Q}(x),\end{aligned}
$$

where  $Q_x$  is the same as in Lemma [2.5.](#page-7-0) This, together with [\(2.27\)](#page-15-2), further implies that [\(2.20\)](#page-13-2) and hence (ii) holds. This then finishes the proof of Proposition [2.9.](#page-13-0)  $\Box$ 

Next, we are ready to show Proposition [2.7.](#page-12-1)

*Proof of Proposition* [2.7.](#page-12-1) Using Proposition [2.9\(](#page-13-0)i) with  $q := p$ , we conclude that, for any  $\lambda \in$  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , and  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$
\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} {\bf 1}_{E^{(1)}_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}[f]}(x,y) |x-y|^{pn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-n} \nu(x) \, dx \, dy \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{jn(\beta p-1)} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0,\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{\beta p-1} \nu(Q), \end{aligned}
$$

where, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\lambda(j) \sim 2^{j[1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-\varepsilon]} \lambda$ . This, combined with [\(2.15\)](#page-12-5), further implies that, for any  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , and  $f \in \dot{Y}_{\nu}^{1, p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ ,

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
(2.28) \qquad \lambda^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}^{(1)}}[f](x,y)|x-y|^{pn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-n} \nu(x) dx dy
$$
  

$$
\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{jp(\varepsilon-1)} \|f\|_{\dot{Y}_{\nu}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \sim \|f\|_{\dot{Y}_{\nu}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p.
$$

In what follows, let  $A_0 := B(0, 6) \setminus B(0, 3)$ ,  $A_1 := B(0, 2) \setminus B(0, 1)$ ,  $A_2 := B(0, 18) \setminus B(0, 9)$ , and  $A_3 := B(0, 48) \setminus B(0, 24)$ . We now complete the present proof by considering the following three cases on both *n* and *p*.

*Case 1)*  $n = 1$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . In this case, from [\(2.14\)](#page-12-0), we infer that  $\dot{Y}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}) = \dot{W}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R})$ . Applying this and [\(2.28\)](#page-16-0) and repeating an argument similar to that used in the proof of [\[23,](#page-43-10) Theorem 3.7] with  $E_f(\lambda, p)$  therein replaced by  $E_{\lambda, p}^{(1)}$ <sup>(1)</sup></sup>,  $\lim_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}$ [*f*], we conclude that  $ν ∈ A_p$ (ℝ) and hence finish the proof of the present proposition in this case.

*Case 2)*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p = 1$ . In this case,  $\dot{Y}_{\nu}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{W}_{\nu}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . To prove  $\nu \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we only need to show that, for any nonnegative and radial  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

<span id="page-16-1"></span>(2.29) 
$$
\int_{A_0} g(w) dw \lesssim \frac{1}{\nu(A_0)} \int_{A_0} g(w) \nu(w) dw.
$$

Indeed, assume that [\(2.29\)](#page-16-1) holds for the moment. Let  $a := \text{ess inf}_{x \in A_0} v(x)$ . We next claim that, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ , there exist  $3 \leq c_{\varepsilon} < b_{\varepsilon} \leq 6$  such that  $v1_{B(0,b_{\varepsilon})\setminus B(0,c_{\varepsilon})} < a+\varepsilon$ . Otherwise, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that, for any  $3 \le c < b \le 6$ ,  $v1_{B(0,b)\setminus B(0,c)} \ge a + \varepsilon_0$ . This further implies that

$$
\underset{x \in A_0}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} \ \nu(x) = \underset{3 \le c < b \le \delta}{\operatorname{inf}} \ \underset{x \in B(0,b) \setminus B(0,c)}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} \nu(x) \ge a + \varepsilon_0 > a,
$$

which contradicts the definition of *a*. Thus, the above claim holds. For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ , let  $g_{\varepsilon}$  :=  $\mathbf{1}_{B(\mathbf{0},b_{\varepsilon})\setminus B(\mathbf{0},c_{\varepsilon})}$ . Then, by [\(2.29\)](#page-16-1), we obtain, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0,\infty)$ ,

$$
\frac{|B(\mathbf{0},b_{\varepsilon})\setminus B(\mathbf{0},c_{\varepsilon})|}{|A_0|}\lesssim \frac{1}{\nu(A_0)}\int_{B(\mathbf{0},b_{\varepsilon})\setminus B(\mathbf{0},c_{\varepsilon})}\nu(z)\,dz\leq \frac{a+\varepsilon}{\nu(A_0)}\left|B(\mathbf{0},b_{\varepsilon})\setminus B(\mathbf{0},c_{\varepsilon})\right|,
$$

which further implies  $\frac{v(A_0)}{|A_0|} \le a + \varepsilon$ . From this and the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ , it follows that

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\nu(A_0)}{|A_0|} \le a = \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x \in A_0} \nu(x).
$$

Choose  $Q_0$  ∈ Q such that  $Q_0$  ⊂  $A_0$  and  $|Q_0| \sim |A_0|$ . Using [\(2.30\)](#page-17-0), we conclude that

$$
\frac{\nu(Q_0)}{|Q_0|} \lesssim \frac{\nu(A_0)}{|A_0|} \lesssim \underset{x \in A_0}{\text{ess inf}} \ \nu(x) \le \underset{x \in Q_0}{\text{ess inf}} \ \nu(x).
$$

This, combined with the fact that [\(2.28\)](#page-16-0) has both the dilation invariance and the translation invariance [that is, for any  $\delta \in (0, \infty)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , both  $v(\delta \cdot)$  and  $v(\cdot - z)$  satisfy [\(2.28\)](#page-16-0) with the same implicit positive constant], further implies that, for any cube  $Q \in Q$ ,  $\frac{v(Q)}{|Q|}$  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial Q} \le$  ess inf  $_{x \in Q} v(x)$  and hence  $v \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Now, we prove [\(2.29\)](#page-16-1). To this end, we first assume that  $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is nonnegative and radial and choose  $\eta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\eta$  is radial and

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
(2.31) \t\t\t  $\mathbf{1}_{A_0} \leq \eta \leq \mathbf{1}_{B(\mathbf{0},8)\setminus B(\mathbf{0},2)}.$
$$

Then there exist  $g_0, \eta_0 \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$  such that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $g(x) = g_0(|x|)$  and  $\eta(x) = \eta_0(|x|)$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

<span id="page-17-1"></span>(2.32) 
$$
f(x) := \int_{B(0,|x|)} g(w) \eta(w) \, dw.
$$

Applying the polar coordinate, we obtain, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
f(x) = \int_0^{|x|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g_0(r) \eta_0(r) r^{n-1} \, d\sigma(\xi) \, dr = \omega_{n-1} \int_0^{|x|} g_0(r) \eta_0(r) r^{n-1} \, dr,
$$

which further implies that

<span id="page-17-4"></span>(2.33) 
$$
\nabla f(x) = \omega_{n-1} g_0(|x|) \eta_0(|x|) |x|^{n-1} \frac{x}{|x|} = \omega_{n-1} g(x) \eta(x) |x|^{n-2} x,
$$

where  $\omega_{n-1} := 2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} / \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})$  $\frac{n}{2}$ ) is the surface area of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denotes the Gamma function. Thus,  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and hence  $f \in \dot{W}_v^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{Y}_v^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For any  $x \in A_1, y \in A_2$ , and  $z \in B_{x,y}$ ,

$$
|z| \ge |y| - |y - z| \ge |y| - \frac{1}{20}|x - y| > 8,
$$

which, together with  $(2.32)$  and  $(2.31)$ , further implies that

<span id="page-17-3"></span>(2.34) 
$$
f(z) - f(x) > \int_{B(0,8)\backslash B(0,2)} g(w)\eta(w) dw
$$

$$
\geq \int_{A_0} g(w) dw \sim \int_{A_0} g(w) dw |x - y|^{1 + n(\beta - 1)}
$$

with the positive equivalence constants depending only on *n* and β. On the other hand, notice that, for any  $x \in A_2$ ,  $y \in A_1$ , and  $z \in B_{x,y}$ ,

$$
|z| \le |z - y| + |y| < \frac{1}{20}|x - y| + |y| < 3,
$$

which, combined with  $(2.32)$  and  $(2.31)$  again, further implies that

$$
f(x) - f(z) > \int_{B(0,9)\backslash B(0,3)} g(w)\eta(w) dw
$$
  
 
$$
\geq \int_{A_0} g(w) dw \sim \int_{A_0} g(w) dw |x - y|^{1 + n(\beta - 1)}
$$

with the positive equivalence constants depending only on *n* and  $\beta$ . Using this and [\(2.34\)](#page-17-3), we find that there exists a positive constant  $C_{(n,\beta)}$ , depending only on *n* and  $\beta$ , such that, for any  $(x, y)$  ∈  $(A_1 \times A_2)$  ∪  $(A_2 \times A_1)$ ,

$$
\left|f(x) - f_{B_{x,y}}\right| = \left|\int_{B_{x,y}} [f(x) - f(w)] dw\right| \ge C_{(n,\beta)} \int_{A_0} g(w) dw |x - y|^{1 + n(\beta - 1)}.
$$

This further implies

$$
(A_1 \times A_2) \cup (A_2 \times A_1) \subset E^{(1)}_{\lambda_{(n,\beta)},n(\beta-1)}[f],
$$

where  $\lambda_{(n,\beta)} := C_{(n,\beta)}$  $\int_{A_0} g(w) dw$ . From this, [\(2.28\)](#page-16-0), the fact that  $\dot{Y}_{\nu}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{W}_{\nu}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in this case, [\(2.33\)](#page-17-4), and [\(2.31\)](#page-17-2), it follows that

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
(2.35) \t v (A1 \cup A2) \int_{A_0} g(w) dw
$$
  
\t
$$
\sim \lambda_{(n,\beta)} \int_{A_1 \cup A_2} v(w) dw
$$
  
\t
$$
\lesssim \lambda_{(n,\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda_{\beta},n(\beta-1)}^{(1)}[f]}(x,y)|x-y|^{(\beta-2)n} v(x) dx dy
$$
  
\t
$$
\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(w)| v(w) dw \lesssim \int_{B(0,8)\setminus B(0,2)} g(w) v(w) dw.
$$

Next, fix a nonnegative and radial  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and choose a nonnegative and radial  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$ . For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \varphi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$  and

$$
g_{\varepsilon}(x) := (g\mathbf{1}_{A_0}) * \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x).
$$

Therefore,  ${g_{\varepsilon}}_{\varepsilon \in (0,\infty)} \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are nonnegative and radial and hence [\(2.35\)](#page-18-0) holds with  $g := g_{\varepsilon}$ for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ . By [\[32,](#page-44-10) Corollary 2.9], we conclude that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} g_{\varepsilon} = g$  almost everywhere in *A*<sub>0</sub>. Moreover, applying the Young inequality, we find that, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ ,

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
(2.36) \t\t\t ||g_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq ||\varphi_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} ||g\mathbf{1}_{A_0}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = ||g\mathbf{1}_{A_0}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
$$

From this, [\(2.36\)](#page-18-1), the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, and [\(2.35\)](#page-18-0) with  $g := g_{\varepsilon}$  for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ , we deduce that

<span id="page-18-2"></span>
$$
(2.37) \t v(A_1 \cup A_2) \int_{A_0} g(w) dw \sim v(A_1 \cup A_2) \int_{A_0} g(w) dw \lesssim \int_{A_0} g(w) v(w) dw.
$$

Applying this and the fact that [\(2.28\)](#page-16-0) has the dilation invariance again, we conclude that, for any nonnegative and radial  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$
\nu(A_0\cup [B(0,54)\setminus B(0,27)])\int_{A_2}g(w)\,dw\lesssim \int_{A_2}g(w)\nu(w)\,dw.
$$

Letting  $g := \mathbf{1}_{A_2}$ , we obtain

$$
\nu(A_0) \le \nu(A_0 \cup [B(0, 54) \setminus B(0, 27)]) \le \nu(A_2) \le \nu(A_1 \cup A_2).
$$

From this and [\(2.37\)](#page-18-2), we infer [\(2.29\)](#page-16-1). This then finishes the proof of the present proposition in this case.

*Case 3)*  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . In this case,  $\dot{Y}_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be nonnegative and let  $\eta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy [\(2.31\)](#page-17-2). Let  $f := I_1(g\eta)$ , where  $I_1$  is the same as in [\(2.13\)](#page-11-0) with  $\beta$  replaced by 1. Then, by [\[35,](#page-44-9) pp. 10–11], we find that  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

<span id="page-19-0"></span>(2.38) 
$$
f(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{g(w)\eta(w)}{|x-w|^{n-1}} dw,
$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denotes the Gamma function. In addition, applying the semigroup property of Riesz potentials (see, for instance, [\[35,](#page-44-9) p. 9]) and [\(2.31\)](#page-17-2), we conclude that

<span id="page-19-2"></span>(2.39) 
$$
||f||_{\dot{Y}_{v}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p} = ||I_{-1}I_{1}(g\eta)||_{L_{v}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |g(w)\eta(w)|^{p} v(w) dw
$$

$$
\lesssim \int_{B(0,8)\setminus B(0,2)} |g(w)|^{p} v(w) dw.
$$

Observe that, for any *x* ∈ *A*<sub>1</sub>, *y* ∈ *A*<sub>3</sub>, *z* ∈ *B*<sub>*x*,*y*</sub>, and *w* ∈ *B*(0, 8) \ *B*(0, 2),  $|x - w| \le |x| + |w| < 10$ and

$$
|z - w| \ge |y - w| - |y - z| \ge |y| - |w| - \frac{1}{20}|x - y| > 13.
$$

Using these, [\(2.38\)](#page-19-0), and [\(2.31\)](#page-17-2), we find that, for any  $x \in A_1$ ,  $y \in A_3$ , and  $z \in B_{x,y}$ ,

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
(2.40) \t f(x) - f(z) \sim \int_{B(0,8)\backslash B(0,2)} g(w)\eta(w) \left(\frac{1}{|x-w|^{n-1}} - \frac{1}{|z-w|^{n-1}}\right) dw
$$
  

$$
\gtrsim \int_{B(0,8)\backslash B(0,2)} g(w)\eta(w) dw \ge \int_{A_0} g(w) dw
$$
  

$$
\sim \int_{A_0} g(w) dw |x-y|^{1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})},
$$

where the implicit positive constants depend only on *n*, *p*, and  $\beta$ . In addition, for any  $x \in A_3$ , *y* ∈ *A*<sub>1</sub>, *z* ∈ *B*<sub>*x*,*y*</sub>, and *w* ∈ *B*(0, 8) \ *B*(0, 2), we have  $|x - w| \ge |x| - |w| > 16$  and

$$
|z - w| \le |z - y| + |y - w| < \frac{1}{20}|x - y| + |y| + |w| < 13.
$$

Therefore, from [\(2.38\)](#page-19-0) and [\(2.31\)](#page-17-2) again, it follows that, for any  $x \in A_3$ ,  $y \in A_1$ , and  $z \in B_{x,y}$ ,

$$
f(z) - f(x) \sim \int_{B(0,8)\backslash B(0,2)} g(w) \eta(w) \left( \frac{1}{|z-w|^{n-1}} - \frac{1}{|x-w|^{n-1}} \right) dw
$$

Muckenhoupt Weights Meet Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung Formulae 21

$$
\geq \int_{B(0,8)\backslash B(0,2)} g(w)\eta(w) dw \geq \int_{A_0} g(w) dw
$$
  
 
$$
\sim \int_{A_0} g(w) dw |x-y|^{1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}
$$

with the implicit positive constants depending only on *n*, *p*, and  $\beta$ . Combining this and [\(2.40\)](#page-19-1), we further conclude that there exists a positive constant  $C_{(n,p,\beta)}$ , depending only on *n*, *p*, and  $\beta$ , such that, for any  $(x, y) \in (A_1 \times A_3) \cup (A_3 \times A_1)$ ,

$$
\left|f(x) - f_{B_{x,y}}\right| = \left| \int_{B_{x,y}} [f(x) - f(w)] \, dw \right| \ge C_{(n,p,\beta)} \int_{A_0} g(w) \, dw |x - y|^{1 + n(\beta - \frac{1}{p})}.
$$

This then implies that

$$
(A_1 \times A_3) \cup (A_3 \times A_1) \subset E^{(1)}_{\lambda_{(n,p,\beta)},n(\beta - \frac{1}{p})}[f]
$$

with  $\lambda_{(n,p,\beta)} := C_{(n,p,\beta)}$  $\int_{A_0}$  *g*(*w*) *dw*. Applying this and [\(2.39\)](#page-19-2), similarly to [\(2.35\)](#page-18-0), we obtain

$$
\nu(A_1 \cup A_3) \left[ \int_{A_0} g(w) \, dw \right]^p \lesssim \int_{B(0,8) \setminus B(0,2)} \left[ g(w) \right]^p \, \nu(w) \, dw.
$$

By this and an argument similar to that used in the estimation of [\(2.37\)](#page-18-2), we further find that, for any nonnegative  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

<span id="page-20-1"></span>(2.41) 
$$
v(A_1 \cup A_3) \left[ \int_{A_0} g(w) dw \right]^p \lesssim \int_{A_0} [g(w)]^p v(w) dw.
$$

Letting  $g := \mathbf{1}_{A_0}$ , we obtain  $v(A_1 \cup A_3) \le v(A_0)$ . This further implies that

$$
\upsilon(B(\mathbf{0},2)\setminus B(\mathbf{0},1))\lesssim \upsilon(B(\mathbf{0},6)\setminus B(\mathbf{0},3))
$$

and

$$
\nu(B(0, 48) \setminus B(0, 24)) \leq \nu(B(0, 6) \setminus B(0, 3)).
$$

From these and the fact that [\(2.28\)](#page-16-0) has the dilation invariance, we deduce that

$$
\upsilon(B(\mathbf{0},16)\setminus B(\mathbf{0},8))\lesssim \upsilon(B(\mathbf{0},2)\setminus B(\mathbf{0},1))\lesssim \upsilon(B(\mathbf{0},6)\setminus B(\mathbf{0},3))
$$

and hence

<span id="page-20-0"></span>(2.42) 
$$
v\left(B\left(0, \frac{16}{3}\right) \setminus B\left(0, \frac{8}{3}\right)\right) \lesssim v(B(0, 2) \setminus B(0, 1)).
$$

Choose a cube  $Q_0 \subset B(0, 5) \setminus B(0, 4)$  such that  $|Q_0| \sim 1$ . Then, applying the fact that

$$
B(\mathbf{0},5)\setminus B(\mathbf{0},4)\subset B\left(\mathbf{0},\frac{16}{3}\right)\setminus B\left(\mathbf{0},\frac{8}{3}\right)
$$

and [\(2.42\)](#page-20-0), we further obtain

$$
\upsilon(Q_0) \leq \upsilon \left( B\left(0, \frac{16}{3}\right) \setminus B\left(0, \frac{8}{3}\right) \right) \lesssim \upsilon \left( B(0, 2) \setminus B(0, 1) \right) \leq \upsilon(A_1 \cup A_3).
$$

Using this and replacing *g* by  $g1_{Q_0}$  in [\(2.41\)](#page-20-1), we conclude that, for any nonnegative  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$
\left[\int_{Q_0}g(w)\,dw\right]^p\lesssim \frac{1}{\upsilon(Q_0)}\int_{Q_0}\big[g(w)\big]^p\,\upsilon(w)\,dw.
$$

This, together with the fact that [\(2.28\)](#page-16-0) has both the dilation invariance and the translation invariance, further implies that, for any cube  $Q \in Q$  and any nonnegative  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$
\left[\int_{Q}g(w)\,dw\right]^{p}\lesssim\frac{1}{\upsilon(Q)}\int_{Q}\left[g(w)\right]^{p}\upsilon(w)\,dw.
$$

From this and Lemma [2.2\(](#page-6-2)iii), we infer that  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and hence the present proposition in this case. This then finishes the proof of Proposition [2.7.](#page-12-1)

We also need the following conclusion, which easily follows from [\[15,](#page-43-11) Theorem 2.8 and Remark 2.9]; we omit the details.

<span id="page-21-1"></span>**Lemma 2.10.** *If*  $p \in (1, \infty)$  *and*  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , *then*  $\dot{H}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{W}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *with equivalent seminorms.* 

Via the above preparations, we now show Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1)

*Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1)* By Proposition [2.7,](#page-12-1) we immediately find that, if (ii) holds, then (i) holds.

Next, we prove that (i) implies (ii). Assume  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\beta$  satisfy the assumption of (ii). Then, applying [\[23,](#page-43-10) Theorem 2.6], we conclude that the set  $\{f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) : |\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)\}\$ is dense in  $W^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Combining this and Proposition [2.3](#page-6-1) and repeating a density argument used in the proof of [\[23,](#page-43-10) (4.22)], we obtain, for any  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ <sup>*n*</sup> and  $\bar{f} \in \dot{W}_v^{\bar{1},p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$
\sup_{\lambda\in (0,\infty)} \lambda^p \sum_{Q\in \mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\lambda\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{\beta p-1} \nu(Q) \lesssim \|f\|^p_{\dot{W}^{1,p}_\upsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
$$

This, combined with Lemma [2.10,](#page-21-1) further implies that  $\dot{Y}_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{W}_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and hence (ii) holds. Therefore, (i) implies (ii), which completes the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1)

## <span id="page-21-0"></span>3 Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0)

In this section, our target is to prove Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0) Firstly, we establish a general upper estimate of the BSVY formula in ball Banach function spaces, which further implies the necessity of Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0) For this purpose, we recall the definition of ball (quasi-)Banach function spaces introduced in [\[84,](#page-46-1) Definition 2.1] as follows.

<span id="page-21-2"></span>**Definition 3.1.** A quasi-Banach space  $X \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , equipped with a quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$  which makes sense for all functions in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , is called a *ball quasi-Banach function space* if *X* satisfies that

- (i) for any  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , if  $||f||_X = 0$ , then  $f = 0$  almost everywhere;
- (ii) if  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|g| \le |f|$  almost everywhere, then  $||g||_X \le ||f||_X$ ;
- (iii) if a sequence  $\{f_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy that  $0 \le f_m \uparrow f$  almost everywhere as  $m \to \infty$ , then  $||f_m||_X \uparrow ||f||_X$  as  $m \to \infty$ ;
- (iv) for any ball  $B := B(x, r)$  with both  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_B \in X$ .

Moreover, a ball quasi-Banach function space *X* is called a *ball Banach function space* if *X* satisfies the following extra conditions:

- (v) for any  $f, g \in X$ ,  $||f + g||_X \le ||f||_X + ||g||_X$ ;
- (vi) for any ball *B*, there exists a positive constant  $C_{(B)}$ , depending on *B*, such that, for any  $f \in X$ ,

$$
\int_B |f(x)| dx \le C_{(B)} ||f||_X.
$$

- <span id="page-22-0"></span>Remark 3.2. (i) Observe that, in Definition [3.1\(](#page-21-2)iv), if we replace any ball *B* by any bounded measurable set *E*, we obtain an equivalent formulation of ball quasi-Banach function spaces.
	- (ii) Let *X* be a ball quasi-Banach function space. Then, by the definition, we can easily conclude that, for any  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $||f||_X = 0$  if and only if  $f = 0$  almost everywhere (see also [\[59,](#page-45-5) Proposition 1.2.16]).
- (iii) Applying both (ii) and (iii) of Definition [3.1,](#page-21-2) we find that any ball quasi-Banach function space *X* has the Fatou property, that is, for any  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ ,

$$
\left\|\liminf_{k\to\infty}|f_k|\right\|_X\leq\liminf_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\|_X
$$

(see also [\[87,](#page-46-7) Lemma 2.4]).

- (iv) From [\[59,](#page-45-5) Proposition 1.2.36] (see also [\[24,](#page-43-12) Theorem 2]), we deduce that every ball quasi-Banach function space is complete.
- (v) Recall that a quasi-Banach space  $X \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is called a *quasi-Banach function space* if it is a ball quasi-Banach function space and it satisfies Definition [3.1\(](#page-21-2)iv) with ball therein replaced by any measurable set of *finite measure*. Moreover, a *Banach function space* is a quasi-Banach function space satisfying (v) and (vi) of Definition [3.1](#page-21-2) with ball therein replaced by any measurable set of *finite measure*, which was originally introduced in [\[5,](#page-43-13) Chapter 1, Definitions 1.1 and 1.3]. It is easy to show that every quasi-Banach function space (resp. Banach function space) is a ball quasi-Banach function space (resp. ball Banach function space), and the converse is not necessary to be true. Several examples about ball (quasi-)Banach function spaces are given in Section [5.](#page-32-0)
- (vi) In Definition [3.1,](#page-21-2) if we replace (iv) by the following *saturation property*:
	- (a) for any measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of positive measure, there exists a measurable set  $F \subset E$ of positive measure satisfying that  $1_F \in X$ ,

then we obtain the definition of quasi-Banach function spaces in Lorist and Nieraeth [\[60\]](#page-45-8). Moreover, by [\[101,](#page-47-7) Proposition 2.5] (see also [\[77,](#page-46-8) Proposition 4.22]), we find that, if the quasi-normed vector space  $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies the extra assumption that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is weakly bounded on its convexification, then the definition of quasi-Banach function spaces in [\[60\]](#page-45-8) coincides with the definition of ball quasi-Banach function spaces. Thus, under this extra assumption, working with ball quasi-Banach function spaces in the sense of Definition [3.1](#page-21-2) or quasi-Banach function spaces in the sense of [\[60\]](#page-45-8) would yield exactly the same results.

The following definition of the *p*-convexification of a ball quasi-Banach function space is just [\[84,](#page-46-1) Definition 2.6].

**Definition 3.3.** Let *X* be a ball quasi-Banach function space and  $p \in (0, ∞)$ . The *p-convexification*  $X^p$  of *X* is defined by setting  $X^p := \{f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n) : |f|^p \in X\}$  equipped with the quasi-norm  $||f||_{X^p} := ||f|^p||$  $\frac{1}{p}$  for any  $f \in X^p$ .

Moreover, the following concept of the associate space of a ball Banach function space can be found in [\[84,](#page-46-1) p. 9]; see [\[5,](#page-43-13) Chapter 1, Section 2] for more details.

**Definition 3.4.** Let *X* be a ball Banach function space. The *associate space* (also called the *Köthe dual*) *X* ′ of *X* is defined by setting

$$
X':=\left\{f\in \mathscr{M}(\mathbb{R}^n):\; \|f\|_{X'}:=\sup_{\{g\in X:\; \|g\|_X=1\}}\|fg\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}<\infty\right\},
$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{X'}$  is called the *associate norm* of  $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ .

Remark 3.5. Let *X* be a ball Banach function space. Then, using [\[84,](#page-46-1) Proposition 2.3], we find that  $X'$  is also a ball Banach function space.

We now recall the definition of absolutely continuous norms of ball Banach function spaces; see, for instance, [\[5,](#page-43-13) Chapter 1, Definition 3.1] and [\[88,](#page-46-3) Definition 3.2].

Definition 3.6. A ball Banach function space *X* is said to have an *absolutely continuous norm* if, for any *f* ∈ *X* and any sequence  ${E_j}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of measurable sets satisfying that  $\mathbf{1}_{E_j}$  → 0 almost everywhere as  $j \to \infty$ , one has  $||f \mathbf{1}_{E_j}||_X \to 0$  as  $j \to \infty$ .

The following lemma about weighed Lebesgue spaces is vital in this section; see, for instance, [\[84,](#page-46-1) Section 7.1] and [\[88,](#page-46-3) Remarks 2.7 and 3.4].

<span id="page-23-0"></span>**Lemma 3.7.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$  *and*  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *. Then* 

- (i)  $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *is a ball Banach function space having an absolutely continuous norm*;
- (ii) *for any s*  $\in (0, \infty)$ ,  $[L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)]^s = L_v^{ps}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iii)  $[L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)]' = L_{v1}^{p'}$  $p'_{\nu^{1-p'}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Next, we recall the following concept of homogeneous ball Banach Sobolev spaces originally introduced in [\[23,](#page-43-10) Definition 2.4].

Definition 3.8. Let *X* be a ball Banach function space. The *homogeneous ball Banach Sobolev space*  $\dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all distributions *f* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|\nabla f| \in X$  equipped with the quasi-norm

$$
||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := || |\nabla f| ||_X,
$$

<span id="page-23-1"></span>where  $\nabla f := (\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_n f)$  denotes the distributional gradient of *f*.

**Remark 3.9.** Recall that the space  $W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f \phi \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for any  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, as showed in [\[23,](#page-43-10) Proposition 2.5], for any ball Banach function space *X*,  $\dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Now, we show the following upper estimate of the BSVY formula in ball Banach function spaces.

<span id="page-24-0"></span>**Proposition 3.10.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $q \in (0, \infty)$  *satisfy*  $n(\frac{1}{p})$  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1*, and*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,q}$ *. Assume that* X is a ball Banach function space satisfying that M is bounded on  $(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'$ . Then there exist a positive *constant C, independent of*  $\|M\|_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})' \to (X^{\frac{1}{p}})'},$  *and an increasing continuous function*  $\phi$  *on*  $[0, \infty)$ *such that, for any*  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *<i>with*  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *,* 

<span id="page-24-2"></span>
$$
(3.1) \qquad \sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda \left\| \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(\cdot, y) | \cdot - y|^{\gamma - n} \, dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_X \leq C \phi \left( \|M\|_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}}) \to (X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} \right) \| |\nabla f| \|_X.
$$

*Moreover, if X* has an absolutely continuous norm, then [\(3.1\)](#page-24-2) holds for any  $f \in W^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

To establish this estimate, we first prove the following auxiliary proposition. Recall that  $B_{xy}$ , with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , is defined in [\(2.18\)](#page-13-3). For any  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let

<span id="page-24-3"></span>(3.2) 
$$
E_{\lambda,b}^{(2)}[f] := \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x \neq y, \ \frac{|f(y) - f_{B_{x,y}}|}{|x - y|^{1 + b}} > \lambda \right\}.
$$

<span id="page-24-1"></span>**Proposition 3.11.** Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $q, \varepsilon, \lambda \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1}{p}\}, \nu \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the following two statements hold.

(i) If  $q \in [p, \infty)$ , then there exists a positive constant  $C := C_{(n,\beta,p,q)}$ , depending only on n,  $\beta$ , p, *and q, such that*

$$
\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E^{(2)}_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}[f]}(x,y) |x-y|^{qn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-n} \, dy \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} \nu(x) \, dx \\ & \leq \widetilde{C}[ \nu]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{jnp(\beta-\frac{1}{q})} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0,\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j)\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f] \\ \end{aligned} |Q|^{\beta p-1} \nu(Q),
$$

 $where, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \lambda(j) := c_{(n,\beta,q,\varepsilon)}\lambda 2^{j[1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-\varepsilon]}$  *with a positive constant*  $c_{(n,\beta,q,\varepsilon)}$  *de*$ *pending only on n,*  $\beta$ *, q, and*  $\varepsilon$ *.* 

(ii) If  $q \in (0, p)$ , then there exists a positive constant  $C := C_{(n, \beta, p, q)}$ , depending only on n,  $\beta$ , p, *and q, such that*

$$
\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{E^{(2)}_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}[f]}(x,y)|x-y|^{qn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-n}\,dy\right]^\frac{p}{q}v(x)\,dx\\&\leq \widetilde{C}[v]^\frac{p}{q}_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}2^{jqn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}\sum_{\alpha\in\{0,\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\}^n}\left[\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]\right]^\frac{q}{p}\right\}^\frac{p}{q},\end{aligned}
$$

 $where, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \lambda(j) := c_{(n,\beta,q,\varepsilon)}\lambda 2^{j[1+n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-\varepsilon]}$  *with a positive constant*  $c_{(n,\beta,q,\varepsilon)}$  *de*$ *pending only on n,*  $\beta$ *, q, and ε.* 

To show Proposition [3.11,](#page-24-1) we need the following technical lemma, which is just [\[23,](#page-43-10) Lemma 4.6].

<span id="page-25-1"></span>Lemma 3.12. *Let X be a ball Banach function space such that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded on X. For any*  $g \in X$  *and*  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *, let* 

$$
R_X g(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^k g(x)}{2^k ||M||_{X \to X}^k},
$$

*where, for any*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M^k$  *is the k-fold iteration of*  $M$  *and*  $M^0g(x) := |g(x)|$ *. Then, for any*  $g \in X$ *,* 

- (i) *for any*  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|g(x)| \le R_X g(x)$ ;
- (ii)  $R_X g \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $[R_X g]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le 2||M||_{X \to X}$ ;
- (iii)  $||R_X g||_X \le 2||g||_X$ .

*Proof of Proposition* [3.11.](#page-24-1) For any given  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|\nabla f| \in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let the set *A* be the same as Lemma [2.8.](#page-12-4) Repeating an argument used in the proof of Proposition [2.9](#page-13-0) with *x* and *y* replaced, respectively, by *y* and *x*, we obtain

$$
E^{(2)}_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}[f] \setminus (\mathbb{R}^n \times A) \subset \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \bigcup_{\alpha \in \{0,\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\}^n} \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} \left(2^j Q \times Q\right)
$$

and hence, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
(3.3) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda n(\beta - \frac{1}{p})}^{(2)}}(x, y)|x - y|^{qn(\beta - \frac{1}{p}) - n} dy
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j)\beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha} [f]} \int_Q |2^j Q|^{q(\beta - \frac{1}{p}) - 1} dy \mathbf{1}_{2^j Q}(x)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j)\beta + 1 - \frac{1}{p}}^{\alpha} [f]} |2^j Q|^{q(\beta - \frac{1}{p}) - 1} |Q| \mathbf{1}_{2^j Q}(x)
$$

with the implicit positive constant depending only on  $n, \beta, p$ , and  $q$ .

Next, we prove (i). Assume that  $q \in [p, \infty)$ . From this, [\(3.3\)](#page-25-0), and Lemma [2.2\(](#page-6-2)ii), similarly to the estimate [\(2.26\)](#page-15-3), we easily infer that (i) holds.

Now, we show (ii). Assume that  $q \in (0, p)$ . Applying this, [\(3.3\)](#page-25-0), and the Minkowski inequality, we conclude that

<span id="page-25-2"></span>
$$
(3.4) \qquad I := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda,n(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}^{(2)}}(x,y) |x-y|^{qn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-n} \, dy \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} \nu(x) \, dx \right\}^{\frac{q}{p}} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0,\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\}^n} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j)\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{(\alpha)}} |2^j Q|^{q(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-1} |Q| \mathbf{1}_{2^j Q}(x) \right]^{\frac{q}{q}} \nu(x) \, dx \right\}^{\frac{q}{p}}.
$$

For any given  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ *j*<sup>n</sup> and for any  $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}^{a}[f]$ , let  $J_Q := |2^{j}Q|^{q(\beta-\frac{1}{p})-1}|Q|$ . Moreover, let  $r := \frac{p}{q}$  $\frac{p}{q} \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\mu := \nu^{1-r'}$ . Choose  $g \in L^r_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $||g||_{L^{r'}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ . Using

this, the Tonelli theorem, Lemma [3.12](#page-25-1) with  $X := L^r_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , (ii), (v), and (iv) of Lemma [2.2,](#page-6-2) and the Hölder inequality, we find that

 $\overline{1}$ 

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]\right|^{J}Q\mathbf{1}_{2^{j}Q}(x)g(x)dx\right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]\right|^{J}QR_{L^{r'}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}g(2^{j}Q)
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2^{jn}[R_{L^{r'}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}g]_{A_{1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]\right|^{J}QR_{L^{r'}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}g(Q)
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2^{jn}\|M\|_{L^{r'}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^{r'}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]\right|^{J}Q\mathbf{1}_{Q}(x)R_{L^{r'}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}g(x)dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2^{jn}[\mu]_{A_{r'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{r}-1}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]\right]^{J}Q\mathbf{1}_{Q}(x)\right\}^{r'}\nu(x)dx\right\}^{+}\left|\left|R_{L^{r'}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}g\right|\right|_{L^{r'}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{J}g
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2^{jqn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}[U]_{A_{1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}}[f]\right|Q|^{q(\beta-\frac{1}{p})}\mathbf{1}_{Q}(x)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}}\nu(x)dx\right\}^{+}.
$$

From this, Lemma [3.7\(](#page-23-0)iii), and [\(3.4\)](#page-25-2), it follows that

$$
\mathrm{I} \lesssim [ \upsilon ]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{jqn(\beta-\frac{1}{p})} \sum_{\alpha \in \lbrace 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \rbrace^n} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha_{\lambda(j),\beta+1-\frac{1}{p}}[f]} |Q|^{q(\beta-\frac{1}{p})} \mathbf{1}_{Q}(x) \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} \upsilon(x) \, dx \right\}^{\frac{q}{p}},
$$

which, combined with Lemma [2.5](#page-7-0) with  $r := q$ , further implies that the desired conclusion holds. This then finishes the proof of Proposition [3.11.](#page-24-1)  $\square$ 

Furthermore, to prove Proposition [3.10,](#page-24-0) we also need the following result, which can be found in [\[99,](#page-47-0) Lemma 3.3].

<span id="page-26-1"></span>**Lemma 3.13.** Let X be a ball Banach function space and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Assume that  $X^{\frac{1}{p}}$  is a ball *Banach function space and the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on*  $(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'$ . *Then, for any*  $f \in X$ ,

$$
||f||_X \le \sup_{||g||_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})^{'}} \le 1} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p R_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})^{'}} g(x) \, dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \le 2^{\frac{1}{p}} ||f||_X.
$$

*Proof of Proposition [3.10.](#page-24-0)* We first show that there exists an increasing continuous function ψ on  $[0, \infty)$  such that, for any  $v \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

<span id="page-26-0"></span>(3.5) 
$$
\sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(x, y) |x - y|^{\gamma - n} dy \right]^\frac{p}{q} \nu(x) dx
$$

$$
\lesssim \psi\left([v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^p v(x) dx.
$$

We only consider the case  $q \in [p, \infty)$  because the case  $q \in (0, p)$  is similar and hence we omit the details.

Fix  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Applying [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1), [\(2.19\)](#page-13-4), and [\(3.2\)](#page-24-3), we find that

<span id="page-27-0"></span>
$$
(3.6) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(x, y) |x - y|^{\gamma - n} dy \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} v(x) dx
$$
  

$$
\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{q}}^{(1)}[f]}(x, y) |x - y|^{\gamma - n} dy \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} v(x) dx
$$
  

$$
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{q}}^{(2)}[f]}(x, y) |x - y|^{\gamma - n} dy \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} v(x) dx
$$
  

$$
=: I_1 + I_2.
$$

We first deal with I<sub>1</sub>. To this end, choose  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1 - n(\frac{1}{p}))$  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ )) and let  $\varphi$  be the same as in Proposition [2.3.](#page-6-1) From both Propositions [2.9\(](#page-13-0)i) and [2.3](#page-6-1) with  $\beta := \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\gamma}{q}$  $\frac{\gamma}{qn}$ , the definition of  $\lambda(j)$ , Lemma [2.2\(](#page-6-2)iv) together with the fact that  $\varphi$  is increasing, and the assumption  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we deduce that

<span id="page-27-1"></span>
$$
(3.7) \qquad I_1 \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{\frac{j \gamma p}{q}} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\frac{\lambda(j)}{2}, 1 + \frac{\gamma}{q^n}}^{\alpha} [f]} |Q|^{\frac{\gamma p}{qn}} \nu(Q)
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim \frac{\varphi([v]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)})}{\lambda^p} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{\frac{j \gamma p}{q}} 2^{jp(\varepsilon - 1 - \frac{\gamma}{q})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^p \nu(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\varphi([v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)})}{\lambda^p} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{jp(\varepsilon - 1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^p \nu(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\sim \frac{\varphi([v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)})}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^p \nu(x) dx.
$$

This then finishes the estimation of  $I_1$ .

Next, we estimate I<sub>2</sub>. By Propositions [3.11\(](#page-24-1)i) and [2.3](#page-6-1) with  $\beta := \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\gamma}{qr}$  $\frac{\gamma}{qn}$ , the definition of  $\lambda(j)$ , Lemma [2.2\(](#page-6-2)iv) together with the fact that  $\varphi$  is increasing, and the assumption  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1 - n(\frac{1}{p}))$  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ )), we further conclude that

$$
I_2 \leq [v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{jnp(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\gamma}{qn} - \frac{1}{q})} \sum_{\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}^n} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\frac{\lambda(j)}{2}, 1 + \frac{\gamma}{qn}}} |Q|^{\frac{\gamma p}{qn}} v(Q)
$$
  

$$
\lesssim \frac{[v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \varphi([v]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)})}{\lambda^p} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{jnp(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\gamma}{qn} - \frac{1}{q})} 2^{jp(\varepsilon - 1 - \frac{\gamma}{q})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^p v(x) dx
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{[v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \varphi([v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)})}{\lambda^p} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{jp[\varepsilon - 1 + n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^p v(x) dx
$$
  

$$
\sim \frac{[v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \varphi([v]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)})}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^p v(x) dx,
$$

which completes the estimation of  $I_2$ . Combining this estimate, [\(3.6\)](#page-27-0), and [\(3.7\)](#page-27-1), we find that [\(3.5\)](#page-26-0) holds with  $\psi(t) := (1 + t)\varphi(t)$  for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

Now, we prove that [\(3.1\)](#page-24-2) holds for any  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Indeed, from the assumption that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator *M* is bounded on  $(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'$ , Lemma [3.13](#page-26-1) with *X* replaced by  $(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'$ , [\(3.5\)](#page-26-0), the fact that  $\psi$  in [\(3.5\)](#page-26-0) is increasing, and Lemma [3.12\(](#page-25-1)ii), it follows that, for any  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$
\lambda^{p} \left\| \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f](\cdot, y) | \cdot -y |^{\gamma-n} dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{X}^{p}
$$
\n
$$
\sim \sup_{\|g\|_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} \leq 1} \lambda^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f](x, y) | x - y |^{\gamma-n} \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} R_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} g(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim \sup_{\|g\|_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} \leq 1} \psi \left( \left[ R_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} g \right]_{A_{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\nabla f(x)|^{p} R_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} g(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \psi \left( 2 \left\| M \right\|_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})' \to (X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} \right) \sup_{\|g\|_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\nabla f(x)|^{p} R_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} g(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\sim \psi \left( 2 \left\| M \right\|_{(X^{\frac{1}{p}})' \to (X^{\frac{1}{p}})'} \right) \| \left\| \nabla f \right\|_{X}^{p}.
$$

Thus, [\(3.1\)](#page-24-2) holds for any  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|\nabla f| \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Moreover, if *X* has an absolutely continuous norm, then, repeating the standard extension argument used in the proof of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 3.29] with Theorems 3.1, 3.6, 3.8, and 3.14 and Corollary 3.26 therein replaced by [\(3.1\)](#page-24-2), we further conclude that (3.1) also holds for any  $f \in \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This finishes the proof of Proposition [3.10.](#page-24-0)

We next show the following conclusion, which is stronger than the sufficiency of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) and is of independent of interest because it holds for any  $q \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ .

<span id="page-28-0"></span>**Proposition 3.14.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$ *,*  $q \in (0, \infty)$ *,*  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ *, and v be a nonnegative locally integrable function on*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ *. If there exists a positive constant C such that, for any*  $f \in Y^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *,* 

$$
\sup_{\lambda\in(0,\infty)}\lambda^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda,\frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(x,y)|x-y|^{\gamma-n}\,dy\right]^{\frac{p}{q}}\nu(x)\,dx\leq C\|f\|_{\dot{Y}^{1,p}_\nu(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p,
$$

*where*  $\dot{Y}^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *and*  $E_{\lambda,\frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]$  *are the same as, respectively, in* [\(2.14\)](#page-12-0) *and* [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1)*, then*  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.* 

*Proof.* We prove the present proposition by considering the following two cases on *n* and *p*.

*Case 1)*  $n = 1$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . In this case, repeating an argument similar to that used in the proof of [\[23,](#page-43-10) Theorem 3.7] with  $E_f(\lambda, p)$  therein replaced by  $E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]$ , we find that  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R})$  and hence finish the proof of the present proposition in this case.

*Case 2)*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p = 1$  or  $n, p \in (1, \infty)$ . In this case, repeating an argument similar to that used in Cases 2 and 3 of the proof of Proposition [2.7](#page-12-1) with  $f_{B_{x,y}}$  replaced by  $f(y)$ , we obtain  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Therefore, the present proposition holds also in this case. This then finishes the proof of Proposition [3.14.](#page-28-0)

Finally, we show Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0)

*Proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0)* Applying Proposition [3.14,](#page-28-0) we immediately find that, if (ii) holds, then (i) holds.

Now, we prove that (i) implies (ii). Assume  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\gamma$  satisfies the assumption of (ii). If  $p = 1$ , then, using Lemmas [2.2](#page-6-2) and [3.7,](#page-23-0) we conclude that the weighted Lebesgue space  $L_v^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under consideration satisfies Proposition [3.10](#page-24-0) with  $p := 1$  and hence, for any  $f \in \dot{W}_v^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2) holds. On the other hand, if  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , then, from (iv) and (vi) of Lemma [2.2](#page-6-2) and assumption  $n(1-\frac{1}{q})$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1, we infer that there exists  $r \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $v \in A_{\frac{p}{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $n(\frac{1}{r})$  $\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1. Applying this, (iv) and (v) of Lemma [2.2,](#page-6-2) and Lemma [3.7,](#page-23-0) we find that the space  $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  under consideration satisfies Proposition [3.10](#page-24-0) with *p* therein replaced by *r* and hence [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2) holds for any  $f \in W_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus, from Lemma [2.10,](#page-21-1) it follows that  $\hat{Y}_{\nu}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_{\nu}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and hence (ii) holds. This further implies that (i) implies (ii) and hence finishes the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0)

## <span id="page-29-0"></span>4 BSVY Formulae in Ball Banach Function Spaces

In this section, using the upper estimate obtained in Proposition [3.10,](#page-24-0) we aim to show Theorem [1.4.](#page-3-0) As applications, we also obtain some fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities in ball Banach function spaces.

To establish the BSVY formula, we need the following concept of the endpoint boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, which can be found in [\[78,](#page-46-9) Definition 2.14].

**Definition 4.1.** Let *X* be a ball Banach function space. Then the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator *M* is said to be *endpoint bounded on* X' if there exists a sequence  $\{\theta_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (0,1)$ satisfying  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \theta_m = 1$  such that, for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}}$  is a ball Banach function space, M is bounded on  $(X^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}})'$ , and

$$
\lim_{m\to\infty}||M||_{(X^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}})'\to (X^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}})'}<\infty.
$$

For any  $q \in [1, \infty)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

<span id="page-29-2"></span>(4.1) 
$$
\kappa(q,n) := \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{q+n}{2})},
$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denotes the Gamma function. Furthermore, recall that, for any given  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , the *centered ball average operator*  $\mathcal{B}_r$  is defined by setting, for any  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
\mathcal{B}_r(f)(x):=\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|}\int_{B(x,r)}|f(y)|\ dy.
$$

To prove Theorem [1.4,](#page-3-0) we first show the lower estimate as follows.

<span id="page-29-1"></span>**Proposition 4.2.** *Let X be a ball Banach function space,*  $q \in (0, \infty)$ *,*  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ *, and*  $f \in \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *. Then*

$$
\liminf_{\lambda \to L} \left\| \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(\cdot, y) | \cdot -y|^{\gamma-n} \, dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{X} \geq \left[ \frac{\kappa(q, n)}{|\gamma|} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\nabla f\|_{X},
$$

*where*  $\lambda \to L$  *means*  $\lambda \to \infty$  *when*  $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$  *and means*  $\lambda \to 0^+$  *when*  $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$ *.* 

*Proof.* By  $f \in W^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and Remark [3.9,](#page-23-1) we have  $f \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We next show that, for almost every  $(x, h) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

<span id="page-30-1"></span>(4.2) 
$$
\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{f(x + \delta h) - f(x)}{\delta} = \nabla f(x) \cdot h.
$$

To this end, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , choose  $\rho_k \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\rho_k \equiv 1$  on  $B(k, 2)$ . Then, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $f \rho_k \in \dot{W}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Combining this and [\[12,](#page-43-4) Lemma 3.1], we further conclude that, for any given  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and for almost every  $(x, h) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

<span id="page-30-0"></span>(4.3) 
$$
\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{(f\rho_k)(x+\delta h) - (f\rho_k)(x)}{\delta} = \nabla (f\rho_k)(x) \cdot h.
$$

For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let

$$
A_k := \{(x, h) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : (4.3) \text{ does not hold}\}
$$

and  $A := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A_k$ . Then  $|A| = 0$ . From the assumption that, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $\rho_k \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is equal to 1 in *B*(*k*, 2), [\(4.3\)](#page-30-0), and the definition of weak derivatives, we deduce that, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $(x, h) \in [B(k, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^n] \setminus A$ ,

$$
\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{f(x + \delta h) - f(x)}{\delta} = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{(f\rho_k)(x + \delta h) - (f\rho_k)(x)}{\delta}
$$

$$
= \nabla (f\rho_k)(x) \cdot h = [\nabla f(x)\rho_k(x) + f(x)\nabla \rho_k(x)] \cdot h
$$

$$
= \nabla f(x) \cdot h,
$$

which completes the proof of [\(4.2\)](#page-30-1). Therefore, repeating an argument similar to that used in the proof of [\[12,](#page-43-4) Lemma 3.2] with Lemma 3.1 and the Fatou lemma on  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  replaced, respectively, by [\(4.2\)](#page-30-1) and Remark [3.2\(](#page-22-0)iii), we further obtain the desired conclusion of the present proposition. This then finishes the proof of Proposition [4.2.](#page-29-1)

We now prove Theorem [1.4.](#page-3-0)

*Proof of Theorem [1.4.](#page-3-0)* We first assume (a) holds. Then, combining Propositions [3.10](#page-24-0) and [4.2](#page-29-1) and [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 3.6], we conclude that [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1) holds. Using this and repeating an argument similar to that used in the proof of  $[99,$  Theorem 3.35], we find that  $(1.6)$  also holds when  $(b)$  holds. This then finishes the proof of Theorem [1.4.](#page-3-0)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-30-3"></span>**Theorem 4.3.** Let all the symbols and assumptions be the same as in Theorem [1.4.](#page-3-0) If  $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$ , *then further assume that*  $q \in (0, \frac{n-\gamma}{n}p)$  *when*  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  *or*  $q \in (0, -\gamma p)$  *when*  $n = 1$ *. Then, for*  $any f \in \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

<span id="page-30-2"></span>(4.4) 
$$
\lim_{\lambda \to L} \lambda \left\| \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(\cdot, y) | \cdot -y|^{\gamma-n} dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{X} = \left[ \frac{\kappa(q, n)}{|\gamma|} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \| |\nabla f| \|_{X},
$$

*where*  $\lambda \to L$  *means*  $\lambda \to \infty$  *when*  $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$  *and means*  $\lambda \to 0^+$  *when*  $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$ *, and*  $\kappa(q, n)$  *is the same as in* [\(4.1\)](#page-29-2)*.*

*Proof.* Repeating an argument similar to that used in the proof of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 3.29 and 3.35] with Theorems 3.1, 3.6, 3.8, and 3.14 and Corollary 3.26 therein replaced by Proposition [3.10](#page-24-0) here, we obtain [\(4.4\)](#page-30-2), which then completes the proof of Theorem [4.3.](#page-30-3)  $\Box$ 

- <span id="page-31-0"></span>**Remark 4.4.** (i) Let  $X := L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In this case, Theorem [4.3](#page-30-3) when  $q = p$  becomes [\[12,](#page-43-4) (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.1]. In addition, Theorem [4.3](#page-30-3) when  $p = 1$ ,  $q \neq p$ ,  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  is new.
	- (ii) Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3](#page-30-3) improve [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 3.29 and 3.35] via expanding the range of  $q \in (0, p]$  in the assumptions (a) and (d) of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 3.29 and 3.35] to  $q \in (0, \infty)$  with  $n(\frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1 and removing the restriction *n* = 1 in assumption (d) of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 3.29 and 3.35].
- (iii) As pointed out in [\[23,](#page-43-10) Remark 3.6(iii)], assumption  $n(\frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1 in Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3](#page-30-3) is sharp in some sense (see also [\[99,](#page-47-0) Remark 3.13(iii)]).
- (iv) In Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3,](#page-30-3) assumption  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,q}$  is sharp in the case where  $X := L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and *q* := *p*; see the details in [\[12,](#page-43-4) Corollary 1.6] for the sharpness of  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,q}$  with  $p \in (1,\infty)$ and [\[12,](#page-43-4) Proposition 6.1] for the sharpness of  $\gamma \in \Omega_{1,q}$ .

Next, we apply the above BSVY formula to the fractional Sobolev and the fractional Gagliardo– Nirenberg type inequalities. Firstly, repeating the proof of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 4.4] with Theorem 3.35 therein replaced by Theorem [1.4](#page-3-0) here, we obtain the following fractional Sobolev type inequality; we omit the details.

<span id="page-31-1"></span>**Corollary 4.5.** *Let p*  $\in$  [1,  $\infty$ ),  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,1}$ , and X be a ball Banach function space satisfying the *same assumptions as Theorem [1.4](#page-3-0) with the above p. Assume that*  $0 \leq s_0 < s < 1 < q < q_0 < \infty$ *satisfy*

<span id="page-31-4"></span>(4.5) 
$$
s = (1 - \eta)s_0 + \eta \quad and \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1 - \eta}{q_0} + \eta.
$$

*Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any*  $f \in W^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *,* 

<span id="page-31-5"></span>(4.6) 
$$
\sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}+s-1}[f]}(\cdot, y) \cdot |y|^{ \gamma-n} dy \right\|_X^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q_0}+s_0-1}[f]}(\cdot, y) \cdot |y|^{ \gamma-n} dy \right\|_X^{\frac{1-\eta}{q_0}} \|\nabla f\|_X^{\eta}.
$$

On the other hand, repeating the proof of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 4.1] with Theorem 3.35 therein replaced by Theorem [1.4](#page-3-0) here, we also obtain the following Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities; we omit the details.

<span id="page-31-2"></span>**Corollary 4.6.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,1}$ , and X be a ball Banach function space satisfying the *same assumptions as Theorem [1.4](#page-3-0) with the above p. Assume that*  $q_0 \in [1, \infty]$ *, s*  $\in (0, 1)$ *, and*  $q \in [1, q_0]$  *satisfy*  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{q_0} + s$ . Then the following statements hold.

(i) If  $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$ , then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any  $f \in \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

<span id="page-31-6"></span>
$$
(4.7) \qquad \sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda \left\| \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q} + s - 1}[f]}(\cdot, y) \cdot - y|^{\gamma - n} \, dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{X^q} \leq C \|f\|_{X^{q_0}}^{1 - s} \| \nabla f| \|_{X}^{s}.
$$

(ii) If  $q_0 = \infty$ , then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any  $f \in \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

<span id="page-31-7"></span>
$$
(4.8) \qquad \sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda \left\| \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q} + s - 1}[f]}(\cdot, y) \, |\cdot - y|^{\gamma - n} \, dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{X^q} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1 - s} \, \|\nabla f\|_{X}^s.
$$

<span id="page-31-3"></span>**Remark 4.7.** Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6](#page-31-2) when  $p = 1$ ,  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  are new and, in the other cases, coincide with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 4.4 and 4.1], respectively.

## <span id="page-32-0"></span>5 Applications of BSVY Formulae to Specific Function Spaces

The main target of this section is to obtain BSVY formulae as well as fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities in specific function spaces via Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3](#page-30-3) and Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6,](#page-31-2) including weighted Lebesgue spaces (see Subsection [5.1\)](#page-32-1), (Bourgain–)Morrey type spaces (see Subsection [5.2\)](#page-33-0), local and global generalized Herz spaces (see Subsection [5.3\)](#page-37-0), variable Lebesgue spaces (see Subsection [5.4\)](#page-40-0), Orlicz and Orlicz-slice spaces (see Subsection [5.5\)](#page-41-0). All of these results essentially improve those corresponding ones obtained in [\[99\]](#page-47-0) by removing the restriction  $n = 1$  and making the range of the index *q* sharp.

### <span id="page-32-1"></span>5.1 Weighted Lebesgue Spaces

Let  $p \in (0, \infty)$  and  $v$  be a nonnegative locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Recall that  $L^p_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the weighted Lebesgue space; see also Definition [2.1\(](#page-5-1)ii). As pointed out in [\[84,](#page-46-1) p. 86], the weighted Lebesgue space  $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a ball quasi-Banach function space, but it may not be a Banach function space. When  $X := L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we simply write  $\dot{W}_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $p \in [1, \infty)$ and  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then define

<span id="page-32-2"></span>(5.1) 
$$
p_{\nu} := \inf \{ r \in [1, \infty) : \ \nu \in A_r(\mathbb{R}^n) \}.
$$

We have the following BSVY formula and fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities in weighted Lebesgue spaces.

<span id="page-32-3"></span>**Theorem 5.1.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *with*  $p_v$  *the same as in* [\(5.1\)](#page-32-2)*,*  $q \in (0, \infty)$  *satisfy*  $n(\frac{p_v}{p})$  $\frac{p_v}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1*, and*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,q}$ *. Then the following statements hold.* 

- (i) *For any*  $f \in W^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1) *holds with*  $X := L^p_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (ii) *If*  $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$ , then further assume that  $q \in (0, \frac{n-\gamma}{np_\nu}p)$  when  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  or  $q \in (0, -\frac{\gamma p_\nu}{p_\nu})$ *p*υ ) *when n* = 1*. For any*  $f \in W_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , [\(4.4\)](#page-30-2) *holds with*  $X := L_v^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*

*Proof.* Repeating an argument similar to that used in the proof of (i) implying (ii) of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) via replacing *r* therein by  $\frac{p}{p_v+\varepsilon}$  with  $\varepsilon \in (0, p-p_v)$ , we conclude that the weighted Lebesgue space  $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  under consideration satisfies assumption (a) of Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3.](#page-30-3) Therefore, for any  $f \in W_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , both [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1) and [\(4.4\)](#page-30-2) hold with  $X := L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This then finishes the proof of Theorem  $5.1.$ 

<span id="page-32-4"></span>**Theorem 5.2.** *Let*  $p \in [1, \infty)$ *,*  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *,*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,1}$ *, and*  $f \in W_v^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.* 

- (i) Let  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  and  $0 \le s_0 < s < 1 < q < q_0 < \infty$  satisfy [\(4.5\)](#page-31-4). Then [\(4.6\)](#page-31-5) holds with  $X := L^p_\nu(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*
- (ii) *Let*  $q_0$  ∈ [1, ∞],  $s$  ∈ (0, 1), and  $q$  ∈ [1,  $q_0$ ] *satisfy*  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{q_0} + s$ . If  $q_0$  ∈ [1, ∞), then [\(4.7\)](#page-31-6) holds *with*  $X := L^p_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *. If*  $q_0 = \infty$ *, then* [\(4.8\)](#page-31-7) *holds with*  $X := L^p_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*

*Proof.* From the proof of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.14], we infer that the weighted Lebesgue space  $L_v^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under consideration satisfies all the assumptions of Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6.](#page-31-2) This further implies that, for any  $f \in W^{1,p}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6](#page-31-2) hold with  $X := L^p_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which completes the proof of Theorem [5.2.](#page-32-4)

**Remark 5.3.** (i) Theorem [5.1](#page-32-3) when  $p = 1$  and  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -q)$  or  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $q \in (p, \infty)$  is new and, in the other cases, coincides with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.14].

(ii) Theorem [5.2](#page-32-4) when  $p = 1$ ,  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  is new and, in the other cases, coincides with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 5.15 and 5.16].

#### <span id="page-33-0"></span>5.2 (Bourgain–)Morrey Type Spaces

In this subsection, we establish the BSVY formula and Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities in (Bourgain–)Morrey type spaces, including Morrey, Bourgain–Morrey, Besov– Bourgain–Morrey, and Triebel–Lizorkin–Bourgain–Morrey spaces.

Firstly, we give a short introduction of the history of Bourgain–Morrey type spaces. Recall that Morrey spaces [see Definition [5.4\(](#page-33-1)i) for the definition] were originally introduced by Morrey [\[69\]](#page-46-10) in 1938 to study the regularity of the solution of partial differential equations. Nowadays, these spaces have proved important in the theory of partial differential equations, potential theory, and harmonic analysis; we refer the reader to [\[17,](#page-43-14) [39,](#page-44-11) [40,](#page-44-12) [41,](#page-44-13) [42,](#page-44-14) [52,](#page-45-9) [85\]](#page-46-11) and the monographs [\[1,](#page-42-0) [82,](#page-46-12) [83,](#page-46-13) [93\]](#page-47-6). On the other hand, in order to study the Bochner–Riesz multiplier problems in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , Bourgain [\[6\]](#page-43-15) introduced a new function space which is just a special case of Bourgain– Morrey spaces. After that, to explore some problems on nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Masaki [\[62\]](#page-45-10) introduced Bourgain–Morrey spaces for the full range of exponents [see Definition [5.4\(](#page-33-1)ii) for the definition]. Later on, Bourgain–Morrey spaces play important roles in the study of some linear and nonlinear partial differential equations (see, for instance, [\[4,](#page-42-1) [7,](#page-43-16) [53,](#page-45-11) [63,](#page-45-12) [64,](#page-45-13) [70,](#page-46-14) [71\]](#page-46-15)) and their several fundamental real-variable properties were recently revealed by Hatano et al. [\[43\]](#page-44-15). Very recently, via combining the structure of both Besov spaces (or Triebel–Lizorkin spaces) and Bourgain–Morrey spaces, Zhao et al. [\[97\]](#page-47-8) and Hu et al. [\[48\]](#page-45-14) introduced Besov–Bourgain–Morrey spaces [see Definition [5.4\(](#page-33-1)iii) for the definition] and Triebel–Lizorkin–Bourgain–Morrey spaces [see Definition [5.4\(](#page-33-1)iv) for the definition], respectively.

For any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , recall that the *dyadic cube*  $Q_{j,m}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by setting

$$
Q_{j,m} := 2^j (m + (0,1]^n).
$$

We now present the definitions of aforementioned Bourgain–Morrey type spaces as follows (see, for instance, [\[43,](#page-44-15) [48,](#page-45-14) [97\]](#page-47-8)).

<span id="page-33-1"></span>**Definition 5.4.** Let  $0 < p \le u \le r \le \infty$  and  $\tau \in (0, \infty]$ .

(i) The *Morrey space*  $\mathcal{M}_{p}^{u}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in L_{loc}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  such that

$$
||f||_{\mathcal{M}_p^u(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}, m\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \left|Q_{j,m}\right|^{\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{p}} \left\|f\mathbf{1}_{Q_{j,m}}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.
$$

(ii) The *Bourgain–Morrey space*  $\mathcal{M}_{p,r}^u(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,r}^u(\mathbb{R}^n)}:=\left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z},\,m\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left[\left|Q_{j,m}\right|^{\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{p}}\left\|f\mathbf{1}_{Q_{j,m}}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right]'\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}},
$$

with the usual modification made when  $r = \infty$ , is finite.

(iii) The *Besov–Bourgain–Morrey space*  $M\dot{B}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$
||f||_{\mathcal{M}\dot{B}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \left[ \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \left\{ \left| \mathcal{Q}_{j,m} \right|^{\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| f \mathbf{1}_{Q_{j,m}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\}^r \right]^{\frac{r}{r}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}},
$$

with the usual modifications made when  $r = \infty$  or  $\tau = \infty$ , is finite.

(iv) The *Triebel–Lizorkin–Bourgain–Morrey space*  $M\dot{F}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$
||f||_{\mathcal{M}\dot{F}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{\int_0^\infty \left[t^{n(\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\|f\mathbf{1}_{B(y,t)}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right\|^{\tau} \frac{dt}{t}\right\}^{\frac{r}{\tau}} dy\right)^{\frac{1}{r}},
$$

with the usual modifications made when  $r = \infty$  or  $\tau = \infty$ , is finite.

<span id="page-34-1"></span>**Remark 5.5.** Let  $0 < p \le u \le r \le \infty$  and  $\tau \in (0, \infty]$ .

- (i) It is obvious that  $\mathcal{M}_{p,\infty}^u(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{p}^u(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{M}B_{p,r}^{u,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{p,r}^u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, from [\[48,](#page-45-14) Proposition 3.6(iii)], we deduce that  $\mathcal{M}F_{p,r}^{u,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{p,r}^{u}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (ii) Applying [\[97,](#page-47-8) Theorem 2.9], we find that  $f \in M\dot{B}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

<span id="page-34-2"></span>
$$
(5.2) \t\t\t\t\t||f||^{\star}_{\mathcal{M}\dot{B}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[t^{n(\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\|f\mathbf{1}_{B(y,t)}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right\}^r dy\right\}^{\frac{\tau}{r}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}},
$$

with the usual modifications made when  $r = \infty$  or  $\tau = \infty$ , is finite. Moreover,  $\|\cdot\|_{M\dot{B}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^*$ is an equivalent quasi-norm on  $\mathcal{M} \dot{B}^{\mu, \tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(iii) By [\[84,](#page-46-1) p. 87], we conclude that, if  $1 \le p \le u < \infty$ , then the Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_p^u(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a ball Banach function space, but may not be a Banach function space. Moreover, as proved in [\[101,](#page-47-7) Lemma 4.10], if  $1 \leq p < u < r \leq \infty$  and  $\tau \in [1, \infty)$  or  $1 \leq p \leq u \leq r \leq \tau = \infty$ , then the Besov–Bourgain–Morrey space  $M\dot{B}^{\mu,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is also a ball Banach function space. In addition, applying a similar argument to that used in the proof of [\[101,](#page-47-7) Lemma 4.10], we can further show that, if  $1 \le p \le u \le r \le \infty$  and  $\tau \in (0, \infty)$  or  $1 \le p \le u \le r \le \tau = \infty$ , then the Triebel–Lizorkin–Bourgain–Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}F_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a ball Banach function space.

When  $X := \mathcal{M} \dot{A}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $A \in \{B, F\}$ , we simply write  $\dot{W}^1 \mathcal{M} \dot{A}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Via the BSVY formulae for weighted Sobolev spaces in Theorem [5.1,](#page-32-3) we conclude the following conclusion for Bourgain–Morrey type spaces.

<span id="page-34-0"></span>**Theorem 5.6.** *Let*  $1 \le p \le u \le r \le \infty$ ,  $\tau \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $q \in (0, \infty)$  *satisfy*  $n(\frac{1}{p})$  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1,  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,q}$ *, and*  $A \in \{B, F\}$ *. Then, for any*  $f \in \dot{W}^1 \mathcal{M} \dot{A}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *<i>,* 

<span id="page-34-3"></span>
$$
(5.3) \qquad \sup_{\lambda\in(0,\infty)}\lambda\left\|\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda,\frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(\cdot,y)|\cdot-y|^{\gamma-n}\,dy\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}\dot{A}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\left|\nabla f\right|\|_{\mathcal{M}\dot{A}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
$$

*where*  $E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]$  *is the same as in* [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1) *and the positive equivalence constants are independent of f.* 

**Remark 5.7.** In Theorem [5.6,](#page-34-0) if  $r = \tau = \infty$ , then the Bourgain–Morrey type space  $\mathcal{M}_{p,r}^{\mu,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under consideration coincides with the Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_p^u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; in this case, Theorem [5.6](#page-34-0) improves [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.1] because, when  $p = 1$  and  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -q)$  or  $p \in (1, n]$ ,  $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$ , and  $q \in [p, \infty)$ , Theorem [5.6](#page-34-0) is new and, in the other cases, Theorem 5.6 coincides with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.1].

To prove this theorem, we first establish the following equivalent characterizations of Bourgain– Morrey type spaces, which coincides with the well-known characterization of Morrey spaces when  $r = \tau = \infty$ ; see, for instance [\[82,](#page-46-12) Proposition 285].

<span id="page-35-2"></span>**Proposition 5.8.** *Let*  $0 < p < u < r \le \infty$ ,  $\tau \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $A \in \{B, F\}$ , and  $\theta \in (0, \min\{1, p(\frac{1}{u})\})$  $\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{r}$  $(\frac{1}{r})\})$ and, for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , let  $v_{y,t} := [M(\mathbf{1}_{B(y,t)})]^{1-\theta}$ . Then  $f \in M\dot{A}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *and*  $[f]_{\mathcal{M}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ *, where* 

<span id="page-35-3"></span>
$$
(5.4) \t\t [f]_{\mathcal{M}\dot{B}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left[ \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ t^{n(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r})} ||f||_{L_{v_{y,l}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]^r dy \right\}^{\frac{r}{r}} \frac{dt}{t} \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}
$$

*and*

<span id="page-35-4"></span>
$$
(5.5) \t\t [f]_{\mathcal{M}^{ru,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left[ t^{n(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r})} ||f||_{L^p_{\nu_{y,t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]^r \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{r}{r}} dy \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}
$$

*with the usual modifications made when*  $r = \infty$  *or*  $\tau = \infty$ *. Moreover,* [ $\cdot$ ]<sub>*MA*<sup>*u*,*r*</sup><sub>*R<sup>n</sup></sub>, is an equivalent*</sub></sub> *quasi-norm of*  $M\ddot{A}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  *with the positive equivalence constants depending only on n, p, u, r,*  $\tau$ *, and* θ*.*

*Proof.* We only consider the case  $A = B$  because the proof of the case  $A = F$  is similar and hence we omit the details. From Remark [5.5\(](#page-34-1)ii), we infer that it suffices to show that, for any  $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

<span id="page-35-1"></span>(5.6) 
$$
[f]_{M\dot{B}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim ||f||^{\star}_{M\dot{B}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\mu,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^*$  is the same as in [\(5.2\)](#page-34-2). Indeed, using the fact that, for any  $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $|g| \leq M(g)$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we immediately obtain, for any  $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $||f||^*_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{in},r}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq$  $[f]_{\mathcal{M}\dot{B}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ .

Conversely, we next prove  $[f]_{M^{h,r}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq ||f||^{\star}_{M^{h,r}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  for any  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . To do so, fix  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, by the fact that, for any  $g \in L^{1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $|g| \leq M(g)$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ again, we conclude that, for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ,

<span id="page-35-0"></span>
$$
(5.7) \qquad ||f||_{L_{v_{y,t}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p = \int_{B(y,2t)} |f(x)|^p \left[M\left(\mathbf{1}_{B(y,t)}\right)(x)\right]^{1-\theta} dx + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{B(y,2^kt)\backslash B(y,2^{k-1}t)} \cdots
$$
  

$$
\leq \int_{B(y,2t)} |f(x)|^p dx + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{B(y,2^kt)\backslash B(y,2^{k-1}t)} |f(x)|^p \left[M\left(\mathbf{1}_{B(y,t)}\right)(x)\right]^{1-\theta} dx.
$$

Now, fix  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$ , and  $x \in B(y, 2^k t) \setminus B(y, 2^{k-1} t)$ . Choose  $B := B(x_B, r_B) \in$ B satisfying that *x* ∈ *B* and  $|B(y, t) \cap B|$  ≠ 0, where  $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r_B \in (0, \infty)$ . Then there exists *z* ∈ *B*(*y*, *t*) ∩ *B* and hence

$$
2r_B \ge |x - x_B| + |x_B - z| \ge |x - z| \ge |x| - |z| > 2^{k-2}t.
$$

This further implies that  $|B| \ge (2^k t)^n$  and hence

$$
M\left(\mathbf{1}_{B(y,t)}\right)(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{|B(y,t) \cap B|}{|B|} \le \frac{t^n}{(2^k t)^n} = 2^{-kn},
$$

where the implicit positive constant depends only on *n*. From this and [\(5.7\)](#page-35-0), we deduce that, for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$
||f||_{L_{\nu_{y,t}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \lesssim ||f\mathbf{1}_{B(y,2t)}||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-kn(1-\theta)} ||f\mathbf{1}_{B(y,2^k t)}||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p.
$$

Applying this, a change of variables, and the assumption  $\theta < p(\frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{r}$  $\frac{1}{r}$ ) and letting

$$
d := \min\{1, p, r, \tau\},\
$$

we find that

$$
[f]_{MB_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{d} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{kn(1-\theta)d}{p}} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ t^{n(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| f \mathbf{1}_{B(y,2^{k}t)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\}^r dy \right\}^{\frac{r}{r}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{d}{r}}
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{kn(1-\theta)d}{p}} 2^{-kn(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r})d}
$$
  

$$
\times \left( \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ t^{n(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| f \mathbf{1}_{B(y,t)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\}^r dy \right\}^{\frac{r}{r}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{d}{r}}
$$
  

$$
= \left[ ||f||_{MB_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\star} \right]^d \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\theta}{p})} \sim \left[ ||f||_{MB_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\star} \right]^d.
$$

This then finishes the proof of  $(5.6)$  and hence Proposition [5.8.](#page-35-2)

Next, we show Theorem [5.6.](#page-34-0)

*Proof of Theorem [5.6.](#page-34-0)* Fix  $f \in \dot{W} \mathcal{M} \dot{A}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $|\nabla f| \in \mathcal{M} \dot{A}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Choose

$$
\theta \in \left(0, \min\left\{1, p\left(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{r}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

and, for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , let  $v_{y,t}$  be the same as in Proposition [5.8.](#page-35-2) Then, applying Proposition [5.8,](#page-35-2) we find that, for any  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ *A*<sup>*u*</sup>,*r*<sub>*p*</sub>,*r*( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ),

<span id="page-36-1"></span>(5.8) 
$$
||g||_{\mathcal{M}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim [g]_{\mathcal{M}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}
$$

with the positive equivalence constants depending only on *n*, *p*, *u*, *r*,  $\tau$ , and  $\theta$ , where  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{M}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is the same as in [\(5.4\)](#page-35-3) when  $A = B$  or as in [\(5.5\)](#page-35-4) when  $A = F$ . From this and  $|\nabla f| \in \mathcal{M}$ *A*<sup>*u*</sup>,<sup>*r*</sup><sub>*i*</sub><sup>*r*</sup>( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), it follows that, for almost every  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\| |\nabla f| \|_{L^p_{\nu_y, t}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ , which further implies  $f \in \dot{W}_{v_{y,t}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We now prove that, for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , the weighted Lebesgue space  $L^p_{v,x}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies all the assumptions of Proposition [3.10.](#page-24-0) Indeed, fix  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Then, using [\[32,](#page-44-10) Theorem 7.7], we conclude that  $v_{y,t} \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $[v_{y,t}]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  depends only on both *n* and  $\theta$ . Therefore, by the proof of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.14], we find that

- (i) the weighted Lebesgue space  $L_{v_{y,t}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a ball Banach function space having an absolutely continuous norm;
- (ii) the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator *M* is bounded on  $([L_{v_y,t}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)]^{\frac{1}{p}})'$  and

<span id="page-36-0"></span>k*M*k ([*L p* υ*y*,*t* (R*<sup>n</sup>* )] 1 *p* ) ′→([*L p* υ*y*,*t* (R*<sup>n</sup>* )] 1 *p* ) ′ . [υ*y*,*t*]*A*1(R*<sup>n</sup>* (5.9) ) . 1,

where the implicit positive constants are independent of both *y* and *t*.

These further imply that  $L^p_{v_{y,t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies all the assumptions of Proposition [3.10.](#page-24-0) Combining this and [\(5.9\)](#page-36-0) again, we obtain

$$
\sup_{\lambda\in(0,\infty)}\lambda\left\|\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda,\frac{\gamma}{q}}[f](\cdot,y)|\cdot-y|^{\gamma-n}\,dy\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{L^p_{\omega_{y,l}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim \|\,|\nabla f|\,\|_{L^p_{\omega_{y,l}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}
$$

with the implicit positive constant independent of *y* and *t*. From this, [\(5.8\)](#page-36-1), Remark [5.5\(](#page-34-1)iii), and Proposition [4.2,](#page-29-1) we further deduce  $(5.3)$  and hence finish the proof of Theorem [5.6.](#page-34-0)

Moreover, applying an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem [5.6](#page-34-0) with Proposition [3.10](#page-24-0) replaced by both Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6,](#page-31-2) we obtain the following fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities in Bourgain–Morrey type spaces; we omit the details.

<span id="page-37-1"></span>**Theorem 5.9.** Let  $1 \le p < u < r \le \infty$ ,  $\tau \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,1}$ ,  $A \in \{B, F\}$ , and  $f \in \dot{W}^1 \mathcal{M} \dot{A}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

- (i) *Let*  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  *and*  $0 \le s_0 < s < 1 < q < q_0 < \infty$  *satisfy* [\(4.5\)](#page-31-4)*. Then* [\(4.6\)](#page-31-5) *holds with*  $X := \mathcal{M} \dot{A}^{u,\tau}_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*
- (ii) *Let*  $q_0 \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ , and  $q \in [1, q_0]$  *satisfy*  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{q_0} + s$ . If  $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$ , then [\(4.7\)](#page-31-6) holds *with*  $X := \mathcal{M} \dot{A}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *. If*  $q_0 = \infty$ *, then* [\(4.8\)](#page-31-7) *holds with*  $X := \mathcal{M} \dot{A}_{p,r}^{u,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*

**Remark 5.10.** In Theorem [5.9,](#page-37-1) if  $r = \tau = \infty$ , then the Bourgain–Morrey type space  $\mathcal{M}_{p,r}^{\mu,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under consideration is just the Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{p}^{u}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ ; in this case, Theorem [5.9](#page-37-1) improves [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 5.2 and 5.3] because, when  $p = 1$ ,  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$ , Theorem [5.9](#page-37-1) is new and, in the other cases, Theorem [5.9](#page-37-1) coincides with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 5.2 and 5.3]. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, in the other cases different from  $r = \tau = \infty$ , Theorem [5.9](#page-37-1) is completely new.

#### <span id="page-37-0"></span>5.3 Local and Global Generalized Herz Spaces

The main target of this subsection is to show the BSVY formula as well as fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities in local and global generalized Herz spaces. Recall that the classical Herz space was originally introduced by Herz [\[45\]](#page-44-16) to study the Bernstein theorem on absolutely convergent Fourier transforms. Recently, Rafeiro and Samko [\[80\]](#page-46-16) introduced the local and global generalized Herz spaces (see Definition [5.13\)](#page-38-0) which generalize the classical Herz spaces and generalized Morrey type spaces. For more studies on Herz spaces, we refer the reader to [\[36,](#page-44-17) [44,](#page-44-18) [50,](#page-45-15) [58,](#page-45-16) [59,](#page-45-5) [80,](#page-46-16) [98\]](#page-47-9).

Next, we recall some preliminary concepts. Let  $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$  and  $\omega$  be a nonnegative function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Then the function  $\omega$  is said to be *almost increasing* (resp. *almost decreasing*) on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  if there exists a constant  $C \in [1, \infty)$  such that, for any  $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying  $t \leq \tau$  (resp.  $t \geq \tau$ ),

$$
\omega(t) \leq C \omega(\tau).
$$

**Definition 5.11.** The *function class*  $M(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is defined to be the set of all positive functions  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that, for any  $0 < \delta < N < \infty$ ,

$$
0 < \inf_{t \in (\delta,N)} \omega(t) \leq \sup_{t \in (\delta,N)} \omega(t) < \infty
$$

and there exist four constants  $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

(i) for any  $t \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\omega(t)t^{-\alpha_0}$  is almost increasing and  $\omega(t)t^{-\beta_0}$  is almost decreasing;

(ii) for any  $t \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\omega(t)t^{-\alpha_{\infty}}$  is almost increasing and  $\omega(t)t^{-\beta_{\infty}}$  is almost decreasing.

We now present the Matuszewska–Orlicz indices as follows, which were introduced in [\[65,](#page-45-17) [66\]](#page-45-18) and characterize the properties of functions at origin and infinity (see also [\[59\]](#page-45-5)).

**Definition 5.12.** Let  $\omega$  be a positive function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Then the *Matuszewska–Orlicz indices*  $m_0(\omega)$ ,  $M_0(\omega)$ ,  $m_\infty(\omega)$ , and  $M_\infty(\omega)$  of  $\omega$  are defined, respectively, by setting, for any  $h \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$
m_0(\omega) := \sup_{t \in (0,1)} \frac{\ln[\limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\omega(ht)}{\omega(h)}]}{\ln t}, \ M_0(\omega) := \inf_{t \in (0,1)} \frac{\ln[\liminf_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\omega(ht)}{\omega(h)}]}{\ln t},
$$

$$
m_\infty(\omega) := \sup_{t \in (1,\infty)} \frac{\ln[\liminf_{h \to \infty} \frac{\omega(ht)}{\omega(h)}]}{\ln t}, \ \text{and } M_\infty(\omega) := \inf_{t \in (1,\infty)} \frac{\ln[\limsup_{h \to \infty} \frac{\omega(ht)}{\omega(h)}]}{\ln t}.
$$

The following concept of generalized Herz spaces were originally introduced by Rafeiro and Samko in [\[80,](#page-46-16) Definition 2.2] (see also [\[59\]](#page-45-5)).

<span id="page-38-0"></span>**Definition 5.13.** Let  $p, r \in (0, \infty]$  and  $\omega \in M(\mathbb{R}_+).$ 

(i) Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The *local generalized Herz space*  $\mathring{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega,\xi}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\xi\})$  such that

$$
||f||_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left[\omega(2^k)\right]^r \left\|f \mathbf{1}_{B(0,2^k)\setminus B(0,2^{k-1})}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^r \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}
$$

is finite.

(ii) The *global generalized Herz space*  $\mathring{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $||f||_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}^{p,r}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||f||_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ .

**Remark 5.14.** As showed in [\[59,](#page-45-5) Theorems 1.2.46 and 1.2.48], if  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ , and  $ω ∈ M(\mathbb{R}_{+})$  satisfies  $-\frac{n}{p}$  $\frac{n}{p}$  <  $m_0(\omega) \leq M_0(\omega) < \frac{n}{p}$  $\frac{n}{p'}$ , then the local generalized Herz space  $\dot{\mathcal{K}}^{p,q}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball Banach function space; if  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$  and  $\omega \in M(\mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfies both  $m_0(\omega) \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{p}\right)$  $\frac{n}{p}$ ,  $\infty$ ) and  $M_{\infty}(\omega) \in (-\infty, 0)$ , then the global generalized Herz space  $\mathcal{K}_{\omega}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a ball Banach function space. Moreover, using [\[16,](#page-43-17) Remark 4.15], we find that these Herz spaces may not be Banach function spaces.

When  $X := \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega,\xi}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or  $X := \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we simply write

$$
\dot W^1 \dot {\mathcal K}^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb R^n):=\dot W^{1,X}(\mathbb R^n) \text{ or } \dot W^1 \dot {\mathcal K}^{p,r}_{\omega}(\mathbb R^n):=\dot W^{1,X}(\mathbb R^n),
$$

respectively. The following result gives the BSVY formulae in local and glocal generalized Herz spaces.

<span id="page-38-1"></span>**Theorem 5.15.** *Let p*, *r*  $\in$  [1,  $\infty$ ), *q*  $\in$  (0,  $\infty$ ) *satisfy*  $n(\frac{1}{p})$  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1,  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,q}$ *, and*  $\omega \in M(\mathbb{R}_+)$  *satisfy* 

$$
-\frac{n}{p} < m_0(\omega) \le M_0(\omega) < \frac{n}{p'} \text{ and } -\frac{n}{p} < m_\infty(\omega) \le M_\infty(\omega) < \frac{n}{p'}.
$$

(i) *For any*  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  *and*  $f \in \dot{W}^1 \dot{K}^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1) *holds with*  $X := \dot{K}^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

- (ii) If  $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$ , then further assume that  $q \in (0, \frac{n-\gamma}{n}p)$  when  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  or  $q \in (0, -\gamma p)$ *when*  $n = 1$ *. For any*  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  *and*  $f \in \dot{W}^1 \dot{K}_{\omega,\xi}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ <sup>*n*</sup>, [\(4.4\)](#page-30-2) *holds with*  $X := \dot{K}_{\omega,\xi}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*
- (iii) *For any*  $f \in \dot{W}^1 \dot{K}^{p,r}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *,*

$$
\sup_{\lambda\in(0,\infty)}\lambda\left\|\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda,\frac{\gamma}{q}}[f]}(\cdot,y)|\cdot-y|^{\gamma-n}\,dy\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}^{p,r}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sim\||\nabla f|\|_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}^{p,r}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
$$

*where E*λ, <sup>γ</sup> *q* [*f*] *is the same as in* [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1) *and the positive equivalence constants are independent of f .*

*Proof.* We first show (i) and (ii). Fix  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, by the proof of [\[101,](#page-47-7) Theorem 4.15], we conclude that the Herz space  $\mathcal{K}^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  under consideration satisfies all the assumptions of The-orems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3.](#page-30-3) This further implies that, for any  $f \in \dot{W}^1 \dot{K}^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1) and [\(4.4\)](#page-30-2) hold with  $X := \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega,\xi}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which then completes the proofs of (i) and (ii).

Next, we prove (iii). Choose  $f \in W^1 \dot{K}^{p,r}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, from Definition [5.13,](#page-38-0) we infer that, for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\|\nabla f\|\|_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\nabla f\|\|_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}^{p,r}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ , which further implies  $f \in \dot{W}^1 \dot{\mathcal{K}}^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Combining this and (i), we find that, for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1) holds with  $X := \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega,\xi}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . On the other hand, using [\[59,](#page-45-5) Theorems 1.5.1 and 1.7.3 and Lemma 1.7.7], we conclude that, for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the operator norm  $||M||_{([K^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^{\frac{1}{p}})' \to ([K^{p,r}_{\omega,\xi}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^{\frac{1}{p}})'}$  of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is independent of  $\xi$ . This, together with [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1), further implies that, for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
\sup_{\lambda \in (0,\infty)} \lambda \left\| \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\lambda, \frac{\gamma}{q}}[f](\cdot, y) | \cdot -y |^{\gamma-n} dy} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega,\xi}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim |||\nabla f|||_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega,\xi}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}
$$

with the positive equivalent constants independent of both *f* and  $\xi$ . From this and Definition [5.13](#page-38-0) again, we further deduce that (iii) holds, which completes the proof of Theorem [5.15.](#page-38-1)  $\Box$ 

Finally, applying an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem [5.15](#page-38-1) with Theorem [1.4](#page-3-0) replaced by both Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6,](#page-31-2) we conclude the following fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities; we omit the details.

<span id="page-39-0"></span>**Theorem 5.16.** *Let p, r*  $\in$  [1,  $\infty$ ),  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,1}$ ,  $\omega \in M(\mathbb{R}_+)$  *satisfy* 

$$
-\frac{n}{p} < m_0(\omega) \le M_0(\omega) < \frac{n}{p'} \text{ and } -\frac{n}{p} < m_\infty(\omega) \le M_\infty(\omega) < \frac{n}{p'},
$$

 $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $X \in \{\mathcal{K}_{\omega,\xi}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{K}_{\omega}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}\text{, and } f \in \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n).$ 

- (i) Let  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  and  $0 \le s_0 < s < 1 < q < q_0 < \infty$  satisfy [\(4.5\)](#page-31-4). Then [\(4.6\)](#page-31-5) holds.
- (ii) *Let*  $q_0 \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ , and  $q \in [1, q_0]$  *satisfy*  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{q_0} + s$ . *If*  $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$ , then [\(4.7\)](#page-31-6) *holds. If*  $q_0 = \infty$ *, then* [\(4.8\)](#page-31-7) *holds.*

Remark 5.17. To the best of our knowledge, Theorems [5.15](#page-38-1) and [5.16](#page-39-0) are completely new.

### <span id="page-40-0"></span>5.4 Variable Lebesgue Spaces

Let  $p: \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$  be a measurable function and let

$$
p_- := \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{ess inf}} \ p(x) \text{ and } p_+ := \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{ess sup}} \ p(x).
$$

A function  $p: \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$  is said to be *globally log-Hölder continuous* if there exist  $p_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$  and a positive constant *C* such that, for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$
|p(x) - p(y)| \le \frac{C}{\log(e + \frac{1}{|x - y|})}
$$
 and  $|p(x) - p_{\infty}| \le \frac{C}{\log(e + |x|)}$ .

Then the *variable Lebesgue space*  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , associated with the function  $p: \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$ , is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{\lambda \in (0, \infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right]^{p(x)} dx \le 1\right\}
$$

is finite. By Diening et al. [\[25,](#page-43-18) Lemma 3.2.6 and Theorem 3.2.13], we easily find that, when  $p(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$ , the variable Lebesgue space  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a quasi-Banach function space and, when  $1 \leq p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ ,  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach function space and hence a ball Banach function space (see also [\[84,](#page-46-1) Subsection 7.8]). For more studies about variable Lebesgue spaces, we refer the reader to [\[19,](#page-43-19) [20,](#page-43-20) [26,](#page-44-19) [55,](#page-45-19) [73,](#page-46-17) [75,](#page-46-18) [76\]](#page-46-19). When  $X := L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we simply write  $\dot{W}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) :=$  $\dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

<span id="page-40-1"></span>From Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3,](#page-30-3) we infer the following result in variable Lebesgue spaces.

**Theorem 5.18.** *Let p* :  $\mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$  *be globally log-Hölder continuous satisfying*  $1 \leq p_- \leq p_+$  $\in \dot{W}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

- (i) Let  $q \in (0, \infty)$  *satisfy*  $n(\frac{1}{p})$  $\frac{1}{p_{-}} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1 *and let*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p-,q}$ *.* 
	- (i)<sub>1</sub> [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1) *holds with*  $X := L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
	- (i)<sub>2</sub> If  $\gamma$  ∈ (-∞, 0), then further assume that  $q$  ∈ (0,  $\frac{n-\gamma}{n}p$ ) when  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2,\infty)$  or *q* ∈ (0, −γ*p*<sub>−</sub>) *when n* = 1*. In these cases,* [\(4.4\)](#page-30-2) *holds with X* :=  $L^{p(·)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*
- (ii) *Let*  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $0 \le s_0 < s < 1 < q < q_0 < \infty$  *satisfy* [\(4.5\)](#page-31-4)*, and*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p-1}$ *. Then* [\(4.6\)](#page-31-5) *holds with*  $X := L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*
- (iii) *Let*  $q_0 \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $q \in [1, q_0]$  *satisfy*  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{q_0} + s$ , and  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p_-,1}$ . If  $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$ , then  $(H,7)$  *holds with*  $X := L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *. If*  $q_0 = \infty$ *, then*  $(4.8)$  *holds with*  $X := L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*

*Proof.* Applying the proof of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.10], we conclude that all the assumptions of Theo-rems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3](#page-30-3) and Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6](#page-31-2) hold for the variable Lebesgue space  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  under consideration. By this, we further find that, for any  $f \in \dot{W}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3](#page-30-3) and Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6](#page-31-2) hold with  $X := L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This then finishes the proof of Theorem [5.18.](#page-40-1)  $\Box$ 

- **Remark 5.19.** (i) Theorem [5.18\(](#page-40-1)i) when *p*<sub>−</sub> = 1 and *γ* ∈ (−∞, −*q*) or *p*<sub>−</sub> ∈ (1, *n*] and *q* ∈ [*p*−, ∞) is new and, in the other cases, coincides with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.10].
	- (ii) Both (ii) and (iii) of Theorem [5.18](#page-40-1) when  $p_0 = 1$ ,  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  are new and, in the other cases, coincide, respectively, with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 5.12 and 5.11].

#### <span id="page-41-0"></span>5.5 Orlicz and Orlicz-Slice Spaces

Recall that a nondecreasing function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is called an *Orlicz function* if  $\Phi$ satisfies that

- (i)  $\Phi(0) = 0$ ;
- (ii) for any  $t \in (0, \infty), \Phi(t) \in (0, \infty);$
- (iii)  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Phi(t) = \infty$ .

Moreover, an Orlicz function  $\Phi$  is said to be of *lower* (resp. *upper*) *type p* for some  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  if there exists a positive constant  $C_{(p)}$  such that, for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$  [resp.  $s \in [1, \infty)$ ],

$$
\Phi(st) \le C_{(p)} s^p \Phi(t).
$$

In what follows, we always assume that  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is an Orlicz function with both positive lower type  $p_{\Phi}^-$  and positive upper type  $p_{\Phi}^+$ . Then the *Orlicz space*  $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$
||f||_{L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \le 1 \right\}
$$

is finite. By the definition, we can easily show that  $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a quasi-Banach function space (see [\[84,](#page-46-1) Section 7.6]). For more studies about Orlicz spaces, we refer the reader to [\[24,](#page-43-12) [74,](#page-46-20) [81\]](#page-46-21). When  $X := L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we simply write  $\dot{W}^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Using Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3,](#page-30-3) we obtain the following BSVY formula as well as fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities in Orlicz spaces.

<span id="page-41-1"></span>**Theorem 5.20.** *Let* Φ *be an Orlicz function with both positive lower type*  $p_{\Phi}^-$  *and positive upper type*  $p_{\Phi}^+$ *. Let*  $1 \leq p_{\Phi}^- \leq p_{\Phi}^+ < \infty$  and  $f \in \dot{W}^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.* 

- (i) Let  $q \in (0, \infty)$  satisfy  $n(\frac{1}{p_q^2})$  $\frac{1}{p_{\Phi}^-} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1 *and let*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p_{\Phi}^{-}, q}$ .
	- (i)<sub>1</sub> [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1) *holds with*  $X := L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*
	- (i)<sub>2</sub> If  $\gamma$  ∈ (-∞, 0), then further assume that  $q$  ∈ (0,  $\frac{n-\gamma}{n}p_{\Phi}^-$ ) when  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  or  $q \in (0, -\gamma p_{\Phi}^-)$  *when n* = 1*. In these cases,* [\(4.4\)](#page-30-2) *holds with*  $X := L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*
- (ii) *Let*  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $0 \le s_0 < s < 1 < q < q_0 < \infty$  *satisfy* [\(4.5\)](#page-31-4)*, and*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p_0^-,1}$ *. Then* [\(4.6\)](#page-31-5) *holds with*  $X := L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*
- (iii) *Let*  $q_0 \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $q \in [1, q_0]$  *satisfy*  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{q_0} + s$ , and  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p_0, 1}$ . If  $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$ , then [\(4.7\)](#page-31-6) *holds with*  $X := L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *. If*  $q_0 = \infty$ *, then* [\(4.8\)](#page-31-7) *holds with*  $X := L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.*

*Proof.* From the proof of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.23], it follows that the Orlicz space  $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  under consideration satisfies all the assumptions of Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3](#page-30-3) and Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6.](#page-31-2) This then further implies that Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3](#page-30-3) and Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6](#page-31-2) with  $X := L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  hold for any  $f \in \dot{W}^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which completes the proof of Theorem [5.20.](#page-41-1)

- **Remark 5.21.** (i) Theorem [5.20\(](#page-41-1)i) when  $p_{\Phi}^- = 1$  and  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -q)$  or  $p_{\Phi}^- \in (1, n]$  and  $q \in$  $[p_{\Phi}^-,\infty)$  is new and, in the other cases, coincides with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.23].
	- (ii) Both (ii) and (iii) of Theorem [5.20](#page-41-1) when  $p_{\Phi}^- = 1$ ,  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$  are new and, in the other cases, coincide, respectively, with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 5.25 and 5.24].

Moreover, for any given Orlicz function  $\Phi$  and  $t, r \in (0, \infty)$ , the *Orlicz-slice space*  $(E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$
||f||_{(E_0^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \frac{||f \mathbf{1}_{B(x,t)}||_{L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{||\mathbf{1}_{B(x,t)}||_{L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^r dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty.
$$

The Orlicz-slice spaces were first introduced in [\[95\]](#page-47-10) as a generalization of both the slice space of Auscher and Mourgoglou [\[2,](#page-42-2) [3\]](#page-42-3) and the Wiener amalgam space in [\[46,](#page-44-20) [47,](#page-45-20) [54\]](#page-45-21). From both [\[95,](#page-47-10) Lemma 2.28] and [\[96,](#page-47-2) Remark 7.41(i)], we deduce that the Orlicz-slice space  $(E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a ball Banach function space, but in general is not a Banach function space. When  $X := (E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we simply write  $\dot{W}^1(E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n) := \dot{W}^{1,X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

<span id="page-42-4"></span>Applying Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3,](#page-30-3) we find the following conclusion.

**Theorem 5.22.** *Let*  $t \in (0, \infty)$ *,*  $r \in [1, \infty)$ *, and*  $\Phi$  *be an Orlicz function with both positive lower type*  $p_{\Phi}^-$  *and positive upper type*  $p_{\Phi}^+$ *. Let*  $1 \leq p_{\Phi}^- \leq p_{\Phi}^+ < \infty$  *and*  $f \in \dot{W}^1(E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *.* 

- (i) Let  $q \in (0, \infty)$  *satisfy*  $n(\frac{1}{\min\{r\}})$  $\frac{1}{\min\{r, p_{\Phi}^{-}\}} - \frac{1}{q}$  $\frac{1}{q}$ ) < 1 *and let*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{\min\{r,p_{\Phi}^-\},q}$ .
	- (i)<sub>1</sub> [\(1.6\)](#page-3-1) *holds with*  $X := (E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
	- (i)<sub>2</sub> *If*  $\gamma$  ∈ (-∞, 0)*, then further assume that*  $q$  ∈ (0,  $\frac{n-\gamma}{n}$  min{*r*,  $p_{\Phi}^-$ }) *when*  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$ *or*  $q \in (0, -\gamma \min\{r, p_{\Phi}^{-}\})$  *when n* = 1*. In these cases,* [\(4.4\)](#page-30-2) *holds with*  $X := (E_{\Phi}^{r})_{t}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ *.*
- (ii) *Let*  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $0 \le s_0 < s < 1 < q < q_0 < \infty$  *satisfy* [\(4.5\)](#page-31-4)*, and*  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p_0^-,1}$ *. Then* [\(4.6\)](#page-31-5) *holds with*  $X := (E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (iii) *Let*  $q_0 \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $q \in [1, q_0]$  *satisfy*  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{q_0} + s$ , and  $\gamma \in \Omega_{p_{\Phi}^{-1}}$ . If  $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$ , then [\(4.7\)](#page-31-6) *holds with*  $X := (E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $q_0 = \infty$ , then [\(4.8\)](#page-31-7) *holds with*  $X := (E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* By the proof of [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.28], we conclude that the Orlicz-slice space  $(E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under consideration satisfies all the assumptions of Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3](#page-30-3) and Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6.](#page-31-2) This further implies that Theorems [1.4](#page-3-0) and [4.3](#page-30-3) and Corollaries [4.5](#page-31-1) and [4.6](#page-31-2) with  $X =$  $(E_{\Phi}^r)_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$  hold, which then completes the proof of Theorem [5.22.](#page-42-4)

- **Remark 5.23.** (i) Theorem [5.22\(](#page-42-4)i) when  $\min\{r, p_{\Phi}^{-}\}=1$  and  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -q)$  or  $\min\{r, p_{\Phi}^{-}\}\in$  $(1, n]$  and  $q \in [\min\{r, p_{\Phi}^{-}\}, \infty)$  is new and, in the other cases, coincides with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorem 5.28].
	- (ii) Both (ii) and (iii) of Theorem [5.22](#page-42-4) when  $\min\{r, p_{\Phi}^{-}\}=1$ ,  $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$ are new and, in the other cases, coincide, respectively, with [\[99,](#page-47-0) Theorems 5.30 and 5.29].

## <span id="page-42-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-42-2"></span>[1] D. R. Adams, Morrey Spaces, Lect. Notes Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser/ Springer, Cham, 2015.
- <span id="page-42-3"></span>[2] P. Auscher and M. Mourgoglou, Representation and uniqueness for boundary value elliptic problems via first order systems, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 35 (2019), 241–315.
- <span id="page-42-1"></span>[3] P. Auscher and C. Prisuelos-Arribas, Tent space boundedness via extrapolation, Math. Z. 286 (2017), 1575–1604.
- [4] P. Bégout and A. Vargas, Mass concentration phenomena for the  $L^2$ -critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), 5257-5282.
- <span id="page-43-15"></span><span id="page-43-13"></span>[5] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, Interpolation of Operators, Pure Appl. Math. 129, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [6] J. Bourgain, On the restriction and multiplier problems in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (1989–90), pp. 179–191, Lecture Notes in Math. 1469, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- <span id="page-43-16"></span><span id="page-43-5"></span>[7] J. Bourgain, Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1998 (1998), 253–283.
- <span id="page-43-1"></span>[8] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, Another look at Sobolev spaces, in: Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations, IOS, Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 439–455.
- <span id="page-43-6"></span>[9] H. Brezis and H.-M. Nguyen, The Jacobian determinant revisited, Invent. Math. 185 (2011), 17–54.
- <span id="page-43-2"></span>[10] H. Brezis, How to recognize constant functions. A connection with Sobolev spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 57 (2002), 693–708.
- <span id="page-43-4"></span>[11] H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities and non-inequalities: the full story, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 35 (2018), 1355–1376.
- <span id="page-43-3"></span>[12] H. Brezis, A. Seeger, J. Van Schaftingen and P.-L. Yung, Families of functionals representing Sobolev norms, Anal. PDE (to appear) or arXiv: 2109.02930.
- <span id="page-43-7"></span>[13] H. Brezis, A. Seeger, J. Van Schaftingen and P.-L. Yung, Sobolev spaces revisited, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 33 (2022), 413–437.
- <span id="page-43-11"></span>[14] H. Brezis, J. Van Schaftingen and P.-L. Yung, A surprising formula for Sobolev norms, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 118 (2021), Paper No. e2025254118, 6 pp.
- <span id="page-43-17"></span>[15] H.-Q. Bui, Weighted Besov and Triebel spaces: interpolation by the real method, Hiroshima Math. J. 12 (1982), 581–605.
- [16] Y. Chen, H. Jia and D. Yang, Boundedness of fractional integrals on Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, Tokyo J. Math. (2023), https://doi.org /10.3836/tjm/1502179390.
- <span id="page-43-14"></span><span id="page-43-0"></span>[17] F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca, Morrey spaces and Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) 7 (1987), 273–279 (1988).
- <span id="page-43-19"></span>[18] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, I. Daubechies and R. DeVore, Harmonic analysis of the space BV, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 19 (2003), 235–263.
- <span id="page-43-20"></span>[19] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, Variable Lebesgue Spaces. Foundations and Harmonic Analysis, Appl. Number. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser/Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- <span id="page-43-8"></span>[20] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and L.-A. D. Wang, Variable Hardy spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 63 (2014), 447–493.
- <span id="page-43-9"></span>[21] F. Dai, L. Grafakos, Z. Pan, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, The Bourgain–Brezis– Mironescu formula on ball Banach function spaces, Math. Ann. 388 (2024), 1691–1768.
- [22] F. Dai, X. Lin, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Poincaré inequality meets Brezis–Van Schaftingen–Yung formula on metric measure spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 283 (2022), Paper No. 109645, 52 pp.
- <span id="page-43-10"></span>[23] F. Dai, X. Lin, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Brezis–Van Schaftingen–Yung formulae in ball Banach function spaces with applications to fractional Sobolev and Gagliardo– Nirenberg inequalities, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 62 (2023), Paper No. 56, 73 pp.
- <span id="page-43-12"></span>[24] R. del Campo, A. Fernández, F. Mayoral and F. Naranjo, Orlicz spaces associated to a quasi-Banach function space: applications to vector measures and interpolation, Collect. Math. 72 (2021), 481–499.
- <span id="page-43-18"></span>[25] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Růžička, Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents, Lecture Notes in Math. 2017, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- <span id="page-44-19"></span><span id="page-44-0"></span>[26] L. Diening, P. Hästö and S. Roudenko, Function spaces of variable smoothness and integrability, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), 1731–1768.
- <span id="page-44-3"></span>[27] O. Domínguez, D. D. Haroske and S. Tikhonov, Embeddings and characterizations of Lipschitz spaces, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 144 (2020), 69–105.
- [28] O. Domínguez and M. Milman, New Brezis–Van Schaftingen–Yung–Sobolev type inequalities connected with maximal inequalities and one parameter families of operators, Adv. Math. 411 (2022), Paper No. 108774, 76 pp.
- <span id="page-44-4"></span>[29] O. Domínguez and M. Milman, Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescu–Maz'ya–Shaposhnikova limit formulae for fractional Sobolev spaces via interpolation and extrapolation, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 62 (2023), Paper No. 43, 37 pp.
- <span id="page-44-1"></span>[30] O. Domínguez, A. Seeger, B. Street, J. Van Schaftingen and P.-L. Yung, Spaces of Besov– Sobolev type and a problem on nonlinear approximation, J. Funct. Anal. 284 (2023), Paper No. 109775, 50 pp.
- <span id="page-44-10"></span><span id="page-44-2"></span>[31] O. Domínguez and S. Tikhonov, Function spaces of logarithmic smoothness: embeddings and characterizations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 282 (2023), no. 1393, vii+166 pp.
- <span id="page-44-8"></span>[32] J. Duoandikoetxea, Fourier Analysis, Grad. Stud. Math. 29, American Mathematical Society Providence, RI, 2001.
- <span id="page-44-6"></span>[33] H. Federer, Geometric Measure Theory, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 153, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1969.
- <span id="page-44-9"></span>[34] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier Analysis, Third edition, Grad. Texts in Math. 249, Springer, New York, 2014.
- <span id="page-44-17"></span>[35] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier Analysis, Third edition, Grad. Texts in Math. 250, Springer, New York, 2014.
- <span id="page-44-5"></span>[36] L. Grafakos, X. Li and D. Yang, Bilinear operators on Herz-type Hardy spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), 1249–1275.
- <span id="page-44-7"></span>[37] Q. Gu and Q. Huang, Anisotropic versions of the Brezis–Van Schaftingen–Yung approach at *s* = 1 and *s* = 0, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 525 (2023), Paper No. 127156, 15 pp.
- <span id="page-44-11"></span>[38] T. S. Hänninen, E. Lorist and J. Sinko, Weighted  $L^p \rightarrow L^q$ -boundedness of commutators and paraproducts in the Bloom setting, arXiv: 2303.14855v2.
- [39] D. D. Haroske, S. D. Moura, C. Schneider and L. Skrzypczak, Unboundedness properties of smoothness Morrey spaces of regular distributions on domains, Sci. China Math. 60 (2017), 2349–2376.
- <span id="page-44-13"></span><span id="page-44-12"></span>[40] D. D. Haroske, S. D. Moura and L. Skrzypczak, Some embeddings of Morrey spaces with critical smoothness, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 26 (2020), Paper No. 50, 31 pp.
- <span id="page-44-14"></span>[41] D. D. Haroske, C. Schneider and L. Skrzypczak, Morrey spaces on domains: different approaches and growth envelopes, J. Geom. Anal. 28 (2018), 817–841.
- <span id="page-44-15"></span>[42] D. D. Haroske and L. Skrzypczak, Embeddings of weighted Morrey spaces, Math. Nachr. 290 (2017), 1066–1086.
- [43] N. Hatano, T. Nogayama, Y. Sawano and D. I. Hakim, Bourgain–Morrey spaces and their applications to boundedness of operators, J. Funct. Anal. 284 (2023), Paper No. 109720, 52 pp.
- <span id="page-44-18"></span><span id="page-44-16"></span>[44] E. Hernández and D. Yang, Interpolation of Herz spaces and applications, Math. Nachr. 205 (1999), 69–87.
- <span id="page-44-20"></span>[45] C. S. Herz, Lipschitz spaces and Bernstein's theorem on absolutely convergent Fourier transforms, J. Math. Mech. 18 (1968/69), 283–323.
- [46] K.-P. Ho, Dilation operators and integral operators on amalgam space  $(L_p, l_q)$ , Ric. Mat. 68 (2019), 661–677.
- <span id="page-45-20"></span><span id="page-45-14"></span>[47] F. Holland, Harmonic analysis on amalgams of  $L^p$  and  $l^q$ , J. London Math. Soc. (2) 10 (1975), 295–305.
- <span id="page-45-3"></span>[48] P. Hu, Y. Li and D. Yang, Bourgain–Morrey spaces meet structure of Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, Math. Z. 304 (2023), Paper No. 19, 49 pp.
- <span id="page-45-15"></span>[49] L. Huang, D.-C. Chang and D. Yang, Fourier transform of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, Appl. Anal. 101 (2022), 3825–3840.
- <span id="page-45-4"></span>[50] L. Huang, F. Weisz, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Summability of Fourier transforms on mixednorm Lebesgue spaces via associated Herz spaces, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 21 (2023), 279– 328.
- <span id="page-45-9"></span>[51] M. Izuki and Y. Sawano, Characterization of BMO via ball Banach function spaces, Vestn. St.-Peterbg. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astron. 4(62) (2017), 78–86.
- <span id="page-45-11"></span>[52] H. Jia and H. Wang, Decomposition of Hardy–Morrey spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 354 (2009), 99–110.
- [53] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, On the concentration of blow up solutions for the generalized KdV equation critical in  $L^2$ , in: Nonlinear Wave Equations (Providence, RI, 1998), pp. 131–156, Contemp. Math. 263, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- <span id="page-45-21"></span><span id="page-45-19"></span>[54] N. Kikuchi, E. Nakai, N. Tomita, K. Yabuta and T. Yoneda, Calderón–Zygmund operators on amalgam spaces and in the discrete case, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 335 (2007), 198–212.
- <span id="page-45-6"></span>[55] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  and  $W^{k,p(x)}$ , Czechoslovak Math. J. 41(116) (1991), 592–618.
- [56] M. Lacey, E. T. Sawyer and I. Uriarte-Tuero, A characterization of two weight norm inequalities for maximal singular integrals with one doubling measure, Anal. PDE 5 (2012), 1–60.
- <span id="page-45-16"></span><span id="page-45-7"></span>[57] J. M. Lee, Introduction to Smooth Manifolds, Second edition, Grad. Texts in Math. 218, Springer, New York, 2013.
- <span id="page-45-5"></span>[58] X. Li and D. Yang, Boundedness of some sublinear operators on Herz spaces, Illinois J. Math. 40 (1996), 484–501.
- [59] Y. Li, D. Yang and L. Huang, Real-Variable Theory of Hardy Spaces Associated with Generalized Herz Spaces of Rafeiro and Samko, Lecture Notes in Math. 2320, Springer, Singapore, 2022.
- <span id="page-45-8"></span><span id="page-45-0"></span>[60] E. Lorist and Z. Nieraeth, Extrapolation of compactness on Banach function spaces, arXiv: 2306.11449.
- <span id="page-45-10"></span>[61] M. Ludwig, Anisotropic fractional Sobolev norms, Adv. Math. 252 (2014), 150–157.
- <span id="page-45-12"></span>[62] S. Masaki, Two minimization problems on non-scattering solutions to mass-subcritical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, arXiv: 1605.09234.
- [63] S. Masaki and J. Segata, Existence of a minimal non-scattering solution to the masssubcritical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 35 (2018), 283-326.
- <span id="page-45-17"></span><span id="page-45-13"></span>[64] S. Masaki and J. Segata, Refinement of Strichartz estimates for Airy equation in nondiagonal case and its application, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 50 (2018), 2839–2866.
- <span id="page-45-18"></span>[65] W. Matuszewska and W. Orlicz, On certain properties of  $\varphi$ -functions, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 8 (1960), 439–443.
- <span id="page-45-1"></span>[66] W. Matuszewska and W. Orlicz, On some classes of functions with regard to their orders of growth, Studia Math. 26 (1965), 11–24.
- <span id="page-45-2"></span>[67] M. Milman, Notes on limits of Sobolev spaces and the continuity of interpolation scales, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), 3425–3442.
- [68] K. Mohanta, Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescu formula for  $W_q^{s,p}$ -spaces in arbitrary domains, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 63 (2024), Paper No. 31, 17 pp.
- <span id="page-46-14"></span><span id="page-46-10"></span>[69] C. B. Morrey, On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1938), 126–166.
- <span id="page-46-15"></span>[70] A. Moyua, A. Vargas and L. Vega, Schrödinger maximal function and restriction properties of the Fourier transform, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996, 793–815.
- <span id="page-46-6"></span>[71] A. Moyua, A. Vargas and L. Vega, Restriction theorems and maximal operators related to oscillatory integrals in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , Duke Math. J. 96 (1999), 547–574.
- <span id="page-46-17"></span>[72] C. Muscalu, T. Tao and C. Thiele, Multi-linear operators given by singular multipliers, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), 469–496.
- <span id="page-46-20"></span>[73] E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, Hardy spaces with variable exponents and generalized Campanato spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 3665–3748.
- <span id="page-46-18"></span>[74] E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, Orlicz–Hardy spaces and their duals, Sci. China Math. 57 (2014), 903–962.
- <span id="page-46-19"></span>[75] H. Nakano, Modulared Semi-Ordered Linear Spaces, Maruzen Co. Ltd., Tokyo, 1950.
- <span id="page-46-8"></span>[76] H. Nakano, Topology of Linear Topological Spaces, Maruzen Co. Ltd., Tokyo, 1951.
- <span id="page-46-9"></span>[77] Z. Nieraeth, Extrapolation in general quasi-Banach function spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 285 (2023), Paper No. 110130, 109 pp.
- <span id="page-46-0"></span>[78] Z. Pan, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Gagliardo representation of norms of ball quasi-Banach function spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 286 (2024), Paper No. 110205, 78 pp.
- <span id="page-46-16"></span>[79] A. Poliakovsky, Some remarks on a formula for Sobolev norms due to Brezis, Van Schaftingen and Yung, J. Funct. Anal. 282 (2022), Paper No. 109312, 47 pp.
- <span id="page-46-21"></span>[80] H. Rafeiro and S. Samko, Herz spaces meet Morrey type spaces and complementary Morrey type spaces, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 26 (2020), Paper No. 74, 14 pp.
- <span id="page-46-12"></span>[81] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, Applications of Orlicz Spaces, Monogr. Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. 250, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2002.
- [82] Y. Sawano, G. Di Fazio and D. Hakim, Morrey Spaces—Introduction and Applications to Integral Operators and PDE's, Vol. I, Monogr. Res. Notes Math., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2020.
- <span id="page-46-13"></span>[83] Y. Sawano, G. Di Fazio and D. Hakim, Morrey Spaces—Introduction and Applications to Integral Operators and PDE's, Vol. II, Monogr. Res. Notes Math., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2020.
- <span id="page-46-11"></span><span id="page-46-1"></span>[84] Y. Sawano, K.-P. Ho, D. Yang and S. Yang, Hardy spaces for ball quasi-Banach function spaces, Dissertationes Math. 525 (2017), 1–102.
- <span id="page-46-2"></span>[85] J. Tao, Da. Yang and Do. Yang, Boundedness and compactness characterizations of Cauchy integral commutators on Morrey spaces, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 42 (2019), 1631–1651.
- <span id="page-46-7"></span>[86] J. Tao, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Compactness characterizations of commutators on ball Banach function spaces, Potential Anal. 58 (2023), 645–679.
- [87] F. Wang, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Riesz transform characterization of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 29 (2023), Paper No. 56, 49 pp.
- <span id="page-46-4"></span><span id="page-46-3"></span>[88] F. Wang, D. Yang and S. Yang, Applications of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, Results Math. 75 (2020), Paper No. 26, 58 pp.
- [89] S. Wang, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Weak Hardy-type spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces II: Littlewood–Paley characterizations and real interpolation, J. Geom. Anal. 31 (2021), 631–696.
- <span id="page-46-5"></span>[90] X. Yan, Z. He, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type: Littlewood–Paley characterizations with applications to boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 38 (2022), 1133–1184.
- <span id="page-47-3"></span>[91] X. Yan, Z. He, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type: characterizations of maximal functions, decompositions, and dual spaces, Math. Nachr. 296 (2023), 3056–3116.
- <span id="page-47-6"></span><span id="page-47-4"></span>[92] X. Yan, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Intrinsic square function characterizations of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, Front. Math. China 15 (2020), 769–806.
- <span id="page-47-5"></span>[93] W. Yuan, W. Sickel and D. Yang, Morrey and Campanato Meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel, Lecture Notes in Math. 2005, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- <span id="page-47-10"></span>[94] Y. Zhang, L. Huang, D. Yang and W. Yuan, New ball Campanato-type function spaces and their applications, J. Geom. Anal. 32 (2022), Paper No. 99, 42 pp.
- <span id="page-47-2"></span>[95] Y. Zhang, D. Yang, W. Yuan and S. Wang, Real-variable characterizations of Orlicz-slice Hardy spaces, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 17 (2019), 597–664.
- [96] Y. Zhang, D. Yang, W. Yuan and S. Wang, Weak Hardy-type spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces I: Decompositions with applications to boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators, Sci. China Math. 64 (2021), 2007–2064.
- <span id="page-47-9"></span><span id="page-47-8"></span>[97] Y. Zhao, Y. Sawano, J. Tao, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Bourgain–Morrey spaces mixed with structure of Besov spaces, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 323 (2023), 244–295.
- <span id="page-47-0"></span>[98] Y. Zhao, D. Yang and Y. Zhang, Mixed-norm Herz spaces and their applications in related Hardy spaces, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 21 (2023), 1131–1222.
- [99] C. Zhu, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Generalized Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung formulae and their applications in ball Banach Sobolev spaces, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 62 (2023), Paper No. 234, 76 pp.
- <span id="page-47-1"></span>[100] C. Zhu, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Extension theorems and Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescutype characterization of ball Banach sobolev spaces on domains, Submitted or arXiv: 2307.11392.
- <span id="page-47-7"></span>[101] C. Zhu, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Brezis–Seeger–Van Schaftingen–Yung-type characterization of homogeneous ball Banach Sobolev spaces and its applications, Commun. Contemp. Math. (2023), https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199723500414.

Yinqin Li, Dachun Yang (Corresponding author), Wen Yuan, Yangyang Zhang and Yirui Zhao

Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (Ministry of Education of China), School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, The People's Republic of China

*E-mails:* yinqli@mail.bnu.edu.cn (Y. Li) dcyang@bnu.edu.cn (D. Yang) wenyuan@bnu.edu.cn (W. Yuan) yangyzhang@bnu.edu.cn (Y. Zhang) yiruizhao@mail.bnu.edu.cn (Y. Zhao)