

Rainbow cycles through specified vertices

Henry Liu

School of Mathematics
Sun Yat-sen University
Guangzhou 510275, China
liaozhx5@mail.sysu.edu.cn

4 May 2024

Abstract

An edge-coloured cycle is *rainbow* if the edges have distinct colours. Let G be a graph such that any k vertices lie in a cycle of G . The k -rainbow cycle index of G , denoted by $crx_k(G)$, is the minimum number of colours required to colour the edges of G such that, for every set S of k vertices in G , there exists a rainbow cycle in G containing S . In this paper, we will first prove some results about the parameter $crx_k(G)$ for general graphs G . One of the results is a classification of all graphs G such that $crx_k(G) = e(G)$, for $k = 1, 2$. We will also determine $crx_k(G)$ for some specific graphs G , including wheels, complete graphs, complete bipartite and multipartite graphs, and discrete cubes.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 05C15, 05C38

Keywords: Edge-colouring; rainbow coloured cycle; specified vertices

1 Introduction

In this paper, all graphs are simple and finite. Let K_t and C_t denote the complete graph and the cycle on t vertices. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(G)$, let $E(X, Y)$ denote the edges of G with one end-vertex in X and the other in Y . Let $[X, Y]$ be the bipartite subgraph of G with classes X and Y , and edge set $E(X, Y)$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, an r -colouring of G is a function $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, and ϕ is *proper* if $\phi(e) \neq \phi(e')$ whenever e and e' are adjacent edges. We will often refer the values $1, 2, \dots, r$ as *colours*, and we may also call ϕ an *edge-colouring* or *colouring*. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a graph G is k -connected if $|V(G)| > k$, and for every $C \subset V(G)$ with $|C| \leq k - 1$, the subgraph $G - C$ is connected. For any other undefined terms in graph theory, the reader is referred to book of Bollobás [7].

The topic of colourings of graphs has a long history which dates back to the famous *Four-colour conjecture* from the 1850s. For edge-colourings, a landmark result is due to Vizing [33] in 1964 which states that $\chi'(G) \in \{\Delta(G), \Delta(G) + 1\}$ for any graph G , where $\chi'(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ are the *edge-chromatic number* (or *chromatic index*) and *maximum degree* of G . A significant direction in the topic, introduced independently in the late 1970s by Vizing [34]; and by Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [18], is *list colourings*. A famous open problem is the *List colouring conjecture*,

which states that $\chi'(G) = \chi'_\ell(G)$ for any graph G , where $\chi'_\ell(G)$ is the *list edge-chromatic number* of G . This conjecture first appeared in print in a paper of Bollobás and Harris [9] in 1985. There is a vast amount of literature about colourings of graphs, and a good starting reference is the book by Jensen and Toft [23].

Problems in graph theory concerning cycles through specified vertices have been well-studied. A well-known result of Dirac [16] from 1960, which can be proved by using Menger's theorem [29], states that for $k \geq 2$, any k specified vertices in a k -connected graph lie in a cycle. Since then, many problems and results in this topic have appeared. Bondy and Lovász [11] proved that for $k \geq 2$, any $k - 1$ specified vertices in a k -connected non-bipartite graph lie in an *odd* cycle; and for $k \geq 3$, any k specified vertices in a k -connected graph lie in an *even* cycle. When considering the degrees of a graph, another famous result of Dirac [15] from 1952 states that, any graph of order $n \geq 3$ with minimum degree at least $\frac{n}{2}$ contains a Hamilton cycle. This theorem has been generalised in many directions. One generalisation is by Bollobás and Brightwell [8]. They proved that, if $n \geq k \geq 3$ and $d \geq 1$ are such that $s = \lceil \frac{k}{\lfloor n/d \rfloor - 1} \rceil \geq 3$, then for any k vertices of degree at least d in a graph of order n , there exists a cycle containing at least s of the vertices.

An edge-coloured graph is *rainbow* if all the edges have distinct colours. Another popular research topic considers the presence of rainbow coloured subgraphs in edge-coloured graphs. One direction is *anti-Ramsey numbers*, which was initiated by Erdős, Simonovits and Sós [19] in 1973. See [4, 24, 31] for results that involve rainbow cycles. A more recent direction is *rainbow Turán numbers*, which was proposed by Keevash et al. [26] in 2007. Many problems about rainbow cycles in edge-coloured graphs have also been considered. See for example, [1, 3, 5, 17, 21, 22].

In this paper, we consider the following problem. *For $k \geq 1$, let \mathcal{F}_k denote the family of all graphs G with the property that any k vertices of G lie in a cycle. Consider an edge-colouring of $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$ such that, any k vertices lie in a rainbow cycle. What is the minimum number of colours in such an edge-colouring?* Any edge-colouring satisfying the condition of the problem is called a *k -rainbow cycle colouring*, and a 1-rainbow cycle colouring is simply called a *rainbow cycle colouring*. For $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$, the minimum number of colours in a k -rainbow cycle colouring of G is denoted by $crx_k(G)$, and is called the *k -rainbow cycle index* of G . Thus, $crx_k(G)$ is well-defined if and only if $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$. The parameter $crx_k(G)$ can be considered as a type of edge-chromatic number. Moreover, the problem of the study of $crx_k(G)$ can be classed under both of the “cycles through specified vertices” and “rainbow cycles in graphs” themes.

There are conceivably some real-world applications. For example, suppose that a certain country is served by many different airlines, where some pairs of cities are connected directly by exactly one airline. A travelling salesman wants to attend business meetings in k designated cities in no particular order, visiting each city once, and returning to his originating city. Between two consecutive designated cities, he can travel via other cities, and in the entire trip, he never visits any city more than once. What is the minimum number of airlines that the country needs so that, for any choice of k designated cities, the travelling salesman can always complete his trip, using a different airline between any two consecutive cities that he visits? This minimum is precisely $crx_k(G)$, where G is the graph whose vertex set consists of all the cities, and two vertices of G are adjacent if and only if the corresponding two cities are connected directly by an airline.

We note that Chartrand, Okamoto and Zhang [13] considered the *k -rainbow index*, which is

the analogous parameter with “tree” in place of “cycle”. For a connected graph G on n vertices and $2 \leq k \leq n$, the k -rainbow index, denoted by $rx_k(G)$, is the minimum number of colours needed to colour the edges of G so that, any k vertices of G are connected by a rainbow tree. Trivially, we also let $rx_1(G) = 0$ for every graph G (not necessarily connected). We remark that the k -rainbow cycle index $crx_k(G)$ has previously been studied by Li et al. [28], when they proved some computational complexity results. The problem of the study of the parameter $crx_k(G)$ was in fact originally introduced by the present author to the authors of [28].

The family \mathcal{F}_k contains some important subfamilies of graphs, including all Hamiltonian and pancyclic graphs on at least k vertices. Also, for $k \geq 2$, Dirac’s theorem [16] implies that \mathcal{F}_k contains all k -connected graphs. Conversely, if $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$ ($k \geq 2$), then it is necessary that G is 2-connected. In Proposition 3, we characterise the families \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 , where \mathcal{F}_2 is precisely the family of all 2-connected graphs. For $k \geq 3$, no simple characterisation of the graphs of \mathcal{F}_k is known, and only some sufficient conditions for graphs to be in \mathcal{F}_k have been found [11, 15].

Some initial observations can be made. Clearly, we have $\mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \mathcal{F}_3 \supset \dots$. If $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$, and $g(G)$ and $c(G)$ denote the *girth* and *circumference* of G , then we have $3 \leq g(G) \leq crx_1(G) \leq crx_2(G) \leq \dots \leq crx_k(G) \leq e(G)$ and $k \leq \min(crx_k(G), c(G))$. Also, we have $crx_k(G) > rx_k(G)$. We will see in Theorem 7 that for any $k \geq 1$, the difference $crx_k(G) - rx_k(G)$ can be small (at most 3) for infinitely many graphs G , and can also be arbitrarily large. If $G, H \in \mathcal{F}_k$, and H is a spanning subgraph of G , then $crx_k(G) \leq crx_k(H)$.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we shall study the parameter $crx_k(G)$ for general graphs G . In particular, we shall characterise the graphs $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$ such that $crx_k(G) = e(G)$ for $k = 1, 2$. In Section 3, we will compute exactly or find tight estimates for $crx_k(G)$ for some specific graphs G , including wheels, complete graphs, complete bipartite and multipartite graphs, and discrete binary cubes.

2 General graphs

In this section, we shall prove some results about the parameter $crx_k(G)$ for general graphs G . We begin by recalling some well-known concepts about 2-connected graphs.

A *block* of a graph is a subgraph which is either an isolated vertex, or a bridge (i.e., a cut-edge), or a maximal 2-connected subgraph (i.e., not strictly contained in another 2-connected subgraph). Any graph G has a unique *block decomposition* into blocks B_1, \dots, B_p for some $p \geq 1$, such that the edge sets $E(B_1), \dots, E(B_p)$ form a disjoint union of $E(G)$, and any two blocks are either vertex-disjoint, or meet at a cut-vertex of G . An *end-block* is a block which is incident with at most one cut-vertex. If G is connected and is not a block, then G has at least two end-blocks, each of which contains exactly one cut-vertex. For further details, see [6] (Ch. I) and [7] (Ch. III.2).

A graph G is *minimally k -connected* if G is k -connected, and for every edge $e \in E(G)$, the graph $G - e$ is not k -connected. The following result describes the structure of minimally 2-connected graphs.

Theorem 1 ([6], Ch. I, Theorem 3.1). *Let G be a minimally 2-connected graph, and $xy \in E(G)$. Then, $G - xy$ has no isolated vertex and at least two blocks. The blocks of $G - xy$, say B_1, \dots, B_p for some $p \geq 2$, can be ordered linearly so that $V(B_i) \cap V(B_{i+1}) = \{v_i\}$ for $1 \leq i < p$, where v_1, \dots, v_{p-1} are all the cut-vertices of $G - xy$. The end-blocks of $G - xy$ are B_1 and B_p . Moreover, we have $x \in V(B_1) \setminus \{v_1\}$ and $y \in V(B_p) \setminus \{v_{p-1}\}$. See Figure 1.*




Figure 1. The structure of G in Theorem 1

Finally, we have the following well-known result about the structure of 2-connected graphs. See for example [14], Ch. 3, Proposition 3.1.1.

Theorem 2. *A graph is 2-connected if and only if it can be obtained from a cycle, followed by successively attaching a non-trivial path by identifying the end-vertices with two distinct vertices of the previous graph.*

In Theorem 2, each attached path is called an *ear*, and the initial cycle, together with the ears, form an *ear decomposition* for the 2-connected graph.

Now, we present the results. Recall that $crx_k(G)$ is well-defined if and only if $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$. We first give characterisations of the families \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 . For $k \geq 3$, we have already mentioned that characterising the graphs of \mathcal{F}_k is a much more difficult problem.

Proposition 3.

- (a) \mathcal{F}_1 is the family of all graphs with the property that, every vertex belongs to a 2-connected block in the block decomposition.
- (b) \mathcal{F}_2 is the family of all 2-connected graphs.

Proof. (a) Let G be a graph with the property as stated, so that any vertex $v \in V(G)$ belongs to a 2-connected subgraph. By Dirac's theorem [16], v lies in a cycle. Hence, $G \in \mathcal{F}_1$.

Conversely, suppose that G does not have the stated property. If G has an isolated vertex u , then u does not lie in a cycle, and $G \notin \mathcal{F}_1$. Otherwise, G has a vertex v such that, in the block decomposition of G , every block containing v is a bridge of G . If v lies in a cycle C of G , then the two edges of C incident with v are bridges of G . This is a contradiction, since no bridge of G can lie in a cycle of G . Hence, v does not lie in a cycle of G , and $G \notin \mathcal{F}_1$.

(b) By Menger's theorem [29], G is 2-connected if and only if any two vertices of G have two internally vertex-disjoint paths connecting them. Equivalently, any two vertices of G have a cycle containing them, which is equivalent to $G \in \mathcal{F}_2$. □

Next, recall that $crx_k(G) \leq e(G)$ for $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$. We may ask: *For what graphs G do we have $crx_k(G) = e(G)$?* The analogous result for $rx_k(G)$ can be seen from Chartrand et al. [13]: *For a connected graph G on n vertices and $2 \leq k \leq n$, we have $rx_k(G) = e(G)$ if and only if G is a tree.* Here, we have the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3$.*

- (a) *Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Then $crx_1(G) = e(G)$ if and only if G is the cycle C_n .*
- (b) *Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_2$. Then $crx_2(G) = e(G)$ if and only if G is minimally 2-connected.*
- (c) *Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_n$ (equivalently, G is Hamiltonian), and $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then $crx_k(G) = e(G)$ if and only if G is the cycle C_n .*

Proof. (a) If $G = C_n$, then clearly we have $crx_1(G) = e(G)$.

Conversely, suppose that $G \neq C_n$. If G is 2-connected, then by Theorem 2, G has an ear decomposition with at least one ear. Let P be the final ear attached, and G' be the subgraph of G before P is attached. Let $u, v \in V(G')$ be the end-vertices of P , and $e \in E(P)$. Let $P' \subset G'$ be a $u - v$ path. Now, assign a rainbow colouring to $G - e$, and then assign a used colour not in $P \cup P'$ to e . We have a rainbow cycle colouring of G with fewer than $e(G)$ colours, since every vertex of G' lies in a rainbow cycle in G' (since G' is 2-connected), and every vertex of P lies in the rainbow cycle $P \cup P'$.

Otherwise, G is not 2-connected, so that the block decomposition of G consists of at least two blocks. By Proposition 3(a), no block is an isolated vertex of G , and every vertex of G belongs to a 2-connected block. We colour the edges of G in such a way that every block is rainbow coloured, and two of the blocks have a colour in common. This is a rainbow cycle colouring with fewer than $e(G)$ colours, since any vertex lies in a rainbow cycle in a 2-connected block which contains the vertex.

(b) Suppose that G is not minimally 2-connected. Then there exists $e \in E(G)$ such that $G - e$ is 2-connected. We assign a rainbow colouring to $G - e$, and then assign a used colour to e . This is a 2-rainbow cycle colouring using fewer than $e(G)$ colours.

Conversely, suppose that G is minimally 2-connected, and we have a colouring of G with fewer than $e(G)$ colours. Then there exist $e, e' \in E(G)$ with the same colour. By Theorem 1, the block decomposition of $G - e$ has the following structure. The blocks are B_1, \dots, B_p for some $p \geq 2$, and can be ordered linearly so that $V(B_i) \cap V(B_{i+1}) = \{x_i\}$ for $1 \leq i < p$, where x_1, \dots, x_{p-1} are all the cut-vertices of $G - e$, and the end-blocks are B_1 and B_p . Moreover, we have $e = x_0x_p$, where $x_0 \in V(B_1) \setminus \{x_1\}$ and $x_p \in V(B_p) \setminus \{x_{p-1}\}$. Let $e' \in E(B_\ell)$. We may assume that $1 < \ell \leq p$. If B_ℓ is a bridge of $G - e$, then $e' = x_{\ell-1}x_\ell$, and any cycle of G containing x_0 and x_ℓ must use both e and e' . Otherwise, we have B_ℓ is minimally 2-connected, since G is minimally 2-connected. Again by Theorem 1, the blocks of $B_\ell - e'$ can be linearly ordered as D_1, \dots, D_q for some $q \geq 2$, where $V(D_j) \cap V(D_{j+1}) = \{y_j\}$ for $1 \leq j < q$, and y_1, \dots, y_{q-1} are all the cut-vertices of $B_\ell - e'$. Moreover, we have $e' = y_0y_q$, where $y_0 \in V(D_1) \setminus \{y_1\}$ and $y_q \in V(D_q) \setminus \{y_{q-1}\}$. Now, we have $x_{\ell-1}, x_\ell \in V(B_\ell)$. We may assume that $x_{\ell-1} \in V(D_s)$ and $x_\ell \in V(D_t)$ for some $1 \leq s \leq t \leq q$. Note that s and t may possibly be not unique. If we must have $s = 1$ and $t = q$, then $G - e'$ would be 2-connected, a contradiction. If $1 \leq s \leq t < q$, for a cycle C to contain x_0 and y_q , emanating from x_0 , we must use the edge e and a path in B_1 connecting x_0 and x_1 ; and emanating from y_q , we must use the edge e' and a path in D_q connecting y_q and y_{q-1} . Hence C must use both e and e' . If $1 < s \leq t \leq q$, for a cycle C to contain x_0 and y_0 , again C must use e ; and emanating from y_0 , we must use the edge e' and a path in D_1 connecting y_0 and y_1 . Again, C must use both e and e' .

We conclude that there are always two vertices of G such that, any cycle in G containing them must use both e and e' . Hence, there is no 2-rainbow cycle colouring with fewer than

$e(G)$ colours, and $crx_2(G) = e(G)$.

(c) If $G = C_n$, then clearly we have $crx_k(G) = e(G)$. Conversely, suppose that $G \neq C_n$. Since G is Hamiltonian, we have $e(G) > n$. By taking an n -colouring of G such that a Hamilton cycle is rainbow, we have $crx_k(G) \leq n$. Therefore, $crx_k(G) < e(G)$. \square

For $3 \leq k < n$, the problem of classifying all graphs $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$ of order n with $crx_k(G) = e(G)$ seems to be more difficult. The case when $k = n - 1$ seems interesting. In this case, if G is Hamiltonian, then we must have $G = C_n$. Otherwise, G must be hypohamiltonian, i.e., G is not Hamiltonian, and $G - v$ is Hamiltonian for every $v \in V(G)$. Classifying hypohamiltonian graphs with a fixed order is a problem of significant interest. The hypohamiltonian graph with the smallest order is the Petersen graph P_{10} , with 10 vertices. Aldred, McKay and Wormald [2] found all hypohamiltonian graphs of order at most 17. There is one such graph when the order is 10 (the Petersen graph), 13 or 15, there are four graphs of order 16, and none with orders 11, 12, 14, 17. These graphs are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Hypohamiltonian graphs with at most 17 vertices

For the four graphs of order 16, an edge connecting the centre vertex a to the outside cycle is a *spoke edge*. In the next result, we show that, with the exception of the Petersen graph, we have $crx_{n-1}(G) < e(G)$ if G is one of the graphs in Figure 2 and has order n .

Theorem 5.

- (a) If P_{10} is the Petersen graph, then $crx_9(P_{10}) = e(P_{10}) = 15$.
- (b) If G is a hypohamiltonian graph of order n , where $11 \leq n \leq 17$, then $crx_{n-1}(G) < e(G)$.

Proof. (a) Suppose that we have a colouring of P_{10} , using fewer than $e(P_{10}) = 15$ colours. Then, two edges e, e' have the same colour. We choose a vertex v as follows. If e and e' are adjacent, say at u , then we let v be the vertex adjacent to u and not in e or e' . If e and e' are not adjacent, then we choose v , not in e or e' , which is adjacent to an end-vertex of e and e' . Now, since P_{10} is hypohamiltonian, any cycle of P_{10} containing all vertices of $P_{10} - v$ must use e and e' , and hence is not rainbow. Therefore, $crx_9(P_{10}) = e(P_{10}) = 15$.

(b) We show that if G is one of the remaining graphs in Figure 2, with order n , then $crx_{n-1}(G) < e(G)$. Since H_{16} is a spanning subgraph of both H_{16}^1 and H_{16}^2 , the results for H_{16}^1 and H_{16}^2 follow from that of H_{16} . For the remaining four graphs, consider the colouring of G where the edges e, e' as shown in Figure 2 have the same colour, and the remaining edges have further distinct colours. We claim that this is an $(n - 1)$ -rainbow cycle colouring for G . It suffices to show that $G - v$ contains a Hamilton cycle not using e or e' for every $v \in V(G)$, which therefore is a rainbow cycle. Clearly, it suffices to check this property when v is not an end-vertex of e or e' .

First, consider $G = H_{13}$. Note that H_{13} contains a subdivided Petersen graph as a spanning subgraph, and not using e' . Then, $H_{13} - v$ contains a Hamilton cycle not using e' for $v \in V(H_{13}) \setminus \{x, x', y, y', z\}$. Figure 3(a) shows that both $H_{13} - x$ and $H_{13} - y$ (and thus also $H_{13} - x'$ and $H_{13} - y'$ by symmetry) contains a Hamilton cycle, not using e or e' .

Next, consider $G = H_{15}$. Figure 3(b) shows that each of $H_{15} - w, H_{15} - x, H_{15} - y$ and $H_{15} - z$ contains a Hamilton cycle, using either e, f' or e', f . Hence by symmetry, $H_{15} - v$ contains a Hamilton cycle not using e or e' , for every $v \in V(H_{15}) \setminus \{a\}$.

Next, consider $G = H_{16}$. Figure 3(c) shows that both $H_{16} - x$ and $H_{16} - y$ contains a Hamilton cycle that uses exactly two consecutive spoke edges. By symmetry, the same is true for $H_{16} - v$, for every $v \in V(H_{16}) \setminus \{a\}$. Any such cycle does not use e or e' .

Finally, consider $G = H_{16}'$. Figure 3(d) shows that $H_{16}' - x_i$ contains a Hamilton cycle not using e or e' , for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. We have the automorphisms τ and σ on H_{16}' , where τ is the obvious symmetry; and σ fixes a, x_0, x_1, x_{14} , $\sigma(x_i) = x_{i+3}$ for $2 \leq i \leq 10$, and $\sigma(x_i) = x_{i-9}$ for $11 \leq i \leq 13$. By using a composition of τ and σ , for $4 \leq i \leq 14$, it is easy to obtain a Hamilton cycle of $H_{16}' - x_i$ which uses the spoke edge ax_0 , and hence not using e or e' . \square




Figure 3. Cycles in hypohamiltonian graphs

We propose the following problem.

Problem 6. Let $3 \leq k < n$. Characterise the graphs $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$ of order n with $crx_k(G) = e(G)$. In particular, when $k = n - 1$, does there exist G such that $crx_{n-1}(G) = e(G)$, other than when G is the cycle C_n , or $n = 10$ and G is the Petersen graph?

We conclude this section by comparing the parameters $crx_k(G)$ and $rx_k(G)$. It is easy to see that for $k \geq 1$ and $G \in \mathcal{F}_k$, we have $crx_k(G) > rx_k(G)$. Indeed, we may take a k -rainbow cycle colouring of G with $t = crx_k(G) \geq 3$ colours, and recolour all edges of colour t with colour 1. In the new $(t - 1)$ -colouring, any k vertices lie on a rainbow path. Hence, $rx_k(G) \leq crx_k(G) - 1$.

How close and how far apart can the parameters $crx_k(G)$ and $rx_k(G)$ be? In Theorem 7 below, we see that the difference $crx_k(G) - rx_k(G)$ can be small (at most 3) for infinitely many graphs, and can also be arbitrarily large.

Theorem 7. Let $k \geq 1$.

(a) There are infinitely many graphs G such that

$$crx_k(G) - rx_k(G) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 2, & \text{if } k = 2, 3, \\ 1, & \text{if } k \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

(b) For every $c \geq 1$, there exists a graph G such that $rx_k(G) \leq k^2 - 1$ and $crx_k(G) \geq c$. Hence, the difference $crx_k(G) - rx_k(G)$ can be arbitrarily large.

Proof. (a) In Theorem 9 in Section 3, we will see that $crx_1(K_n) = crx_2(K_n) = 3$ for $n \geq 3$. Hence, $crx_1(K_n) - rx_1(K_n) = 3$ and $crx_2(K_n) - rx_2(K_n) = 2$ for $n \geq 3$.

A result of Chartrand et al. ([13], Theorem 2.1) states that $rx_3(C_n) = n - 2$ for $n \geq 4$, and $rx_k(C_n) = n - 1$ for $4 \leq k \leq n$. Hence, $crx_3(C_n) - rx_3(C_n) = 2$ for $n \geq 4$, and $crx_k(C_n) - rx_k(C_n) = 1$ for $4 \leq k \leq n$.

(b) Let P be a path on $k - 1$ vertices, and C be a cycle on $kt \geq 3$ vertices. Let $G = P + C$, the join of P and C . Note that for $k = 1$, G is the cycle C_t . Let $V(P) = \{u_0, \dots, u_{k-2}\}$, and $V(C) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{kt-1}\}$, with the indices of the vertices of C taken cyclically modulo kt . If $k = 1$, then $crx_1(G) = t \geq c$ if t is sufficiently large, and $rx_1(G) = 0$. From now on, let $k \geq 2$.

First, let $S = \{v_0, v_t, v_{2t}, \dots, v_{(k-1)t}\}$. It is easy to see that any cycle of G containing S must contain the vertices $v_{it}, v_{it+1}, \dots, v_{(i+1)t-1}$, for some $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Hence, we have $crx_k(G) \geq t \geq c$ if t is sufficiently large.

Now, define a colouring ϕ of G , using colours $0, 1, 2, \dots, k^2 - 2$, as follows. For $0 \leq i \leq kt - 1$, let $0 \leq \bar{i} \leq k - 1$ be the integer with $\bar{i} \equiv i \pmod{k}$. For $0 \leq j \leq k - 2$, let $\phi(u_j v_i) = \bar{i} + jk$. For $0 \leq j \leq k - 3$, let $\phi(u_j u_{j+1}) = k^2 - k + j$. Let $\phi(e) = k^2 - 2$ for every edge e of C . We claim that any k vertices are connected by a rainbow tree. It suffices to consider a set S of k vertices in C , and find a rainbow tree T containing S and using all edges of P . Let S_1, \dots, S_r be the sets $S \cap \{v_{\ell k}, v_{\ell k+1}, \dots, v_{(\ell+1)k-1}\}$ which are non-empty, for $0 \leq \ell \leq t - 1$. Note that $1 \leq r \leq k$. If $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$, then we obtain T by taking P and adding the edges $u_j v_i$, where $0 \leq j \leq r - 1$ and $v_i \in S_{j+1}$. If $r = k$, then we have $|S_1| = \dots = |S_k| = 1$. We obtain T by taking P , and adding the edge from u_j to S_{j+1} for $0 \leq j \leq k - 2$. Let $S_{k-1} = \{v_a\}$ and $S_k = \{v_b\}$. If $a \not\equiv b \pmod{k}$, then we also add the edge $u_{k-2} v_b$. If $a \equiv b \pmod{k}$, then we also add the path $v_b v_{b-1} u_{k-2}$ if $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, or the path $v_b v_{b+1} u_{k-2}$ if $b \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$. In every case, T is a suitable rainbow tree. Hence, $rx_k(G) \leq k^2 - 1$. \square

3 Specific families of graphs

We will now compute $crx_k(G)$ for some specific graphs G . First, we consider the case when G is a wheel $W_n = C_n + v$, i.e., the graph on $n + 1 \geq 4$ vertices, obtained by connecting the *centre* vertex v to all vertices of the cycle C_n . Even though the connectivity of W_n is only 3, we see that $crx_k(W_n)$ is well-defined for every k with $1 \leq k \leq n + 1$, since W_n is Hamiltonian.

Theorem 8.

(a) $crx_1(W_n) = 3$ for $n \geq 3$.

(b) $crx_2(W_3) = 3$, and $crx_2(W_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$ for $n \geq 4$.

(c)

$$crx_3(W_n) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } 3 \leq n \leq 7, \\ n - 1, & \text{if } 8 \leq n \leq 11, \\ n - 2, & \text{if } n \geq 12. \end{cases}$$

(d) For $k \geq 4$ and $n + 1 \geq k$,

$$crx_k(W_n) = \begin{cases} n + 1, & \text{if } n < 2k, \\ n, & \text{if } n \geq 2k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Throughout, let $W_n = C_n + v$, where v is the centre of W_n . Let $V' = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ be the vertex set of C_n , where the vertices are in that order, with the indices taken modulo n . For a set I of consecutive vertices of V' , where $2 \leq |I| \leq n - 1$, let $C(I)$ be the cycle of W_n with vertex set $I \cup \{v\}$. Let $\bar{I} = V' \setminus I$ and $\bar{I}_i = V' \setminus \{v_i\}$.

(a) It suffices to prove that $crx_1(W_n) \leq 3$. Colour the edge vv_i with colour 1 if i is odd, and colour 2 if i is even. Colour all edges of C_n with colour 3. This is a rainbow cycle colouring for W_n , since every vertex lies in a rainbow triangle.

(b) The colouring of W_3 where vv_i and $v_{i+1}v_{i+2}$ have colour i , for $i = 1, 2, 3$, is a 2-rainbow cycle colouring. Hence, $crx_2(W_3) = 3$.

Let $n \geq 4$. We define a colouring ϕ on W_n as follows. The cycle C_n uses colours $1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, where $\phi(v_{i-1}v_i) \equiv i \pmod{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$. Let $\phi(vv_i) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$, and $\phi(vv_i) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$ for $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \leq i \leq n - 1$. Then, the cycles $C(I)$ where $|I| = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, except when n is odd and $\bar{I} = \{v_0, \dots, v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}\}$; and the cycle $C(\{v_0, \dots, v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}\})$, are all rainbow coloured. Any two vertices of W_n lie in one of these cycles. Hence, ϕ is a 2-rainbow cycle colouring, and $crx_2(W_n) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$.

Now, we prove that $crx_2(W_n) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$. The shortest cycle in W_n containing v_0 and $v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ has length $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2$. Hence $crx_2(W_n) \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2$, and we are done for n even. Let $n \geq 5$ be odd. Suppose that there exists a 2-rainbow cycle colouring of W_n , using exactly $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2 = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ colours. For $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, the only shortest cycle in W_n containing v_i and $v_{i+\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ is $C(J_i)$, where $J_i = \{v_i, \dots, v_{i+\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}\}$. Hence every cycle $C(J_i)$ must be rainbow coloured, using every one of the $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2$ colours. By considering $C(J_i)$ and $C(J_{i-\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})$, the only edge of C_n that can possibly have the same colour as vv_i is $v_{i+\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}v_{i+\lfloor n/2 \rfloor+1}$. Since $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2 < n$ for $n \geq 5$, assume that there exist two edges vv_i and vv_j with colour 1. Then, no edge of C_n has colour 1. Since

$vv_i, vv_{i+\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \in E(C(J_i))$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and n is odd, there exists $0 \leq \ell \leq n-1$ such that vv_ℓ and $vv_{\ell+\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ have different colours, and different from colour 1. But then, $C(I_\ell)$ contains an edge in C_n using colour 1, a contradiction. Hence, $crx_2(W_n) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2$.

(c) We have $crx_3(W_3) = 3$ by considering the same colouring of W_3 as in (b). Let $n \geq 4$. We define a colouring ϕ on W_n as follows.

- For $4 \leq n \leq 7$, colour the edges of C_n with colours $1, \dots, n-2$ so that $\phi(v_{i-1}v_i) \equiv i \pmod{n-2}$. Let $\phi(vv_0) = \phi(vv_1) = n-1$ and $\phi(vv_2) = \phi(vv_{n-2}) = \phi(vv_{n-1}) = n$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\{v_0\}, \{v_1\}, \{v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}\}, \{v_{n-1}\}\}$.
- For $8 \leq n \leq 11$, let $\phi(v_0v_1) = \phi(v_4v_5) = 1$, $\phi(v_2v_3) = \phi(v_6v_7) = 2$, $\phi(vv_{i-2}) = \phi(v_{i-1}v_i) = \frac{i}{2} + 2$ for $i = 2, 4, 6, 8$, $\phi(v_iv_{i-1}) = i-2$ for $9 \leq i \leq n$, and $\phi(vv_i) = n-1$ for $i = 1, 3, 5, 7$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_3, v_4\}, \{v_5, v_6\}, \{v_7, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_0\}\}$.
- For $n \geq 12$, let $\phi(v_0v_1) = \phi(v_6v_7) = 1$, $\phi(v_3v_4) = \phi(v_9v_{10}) = 2$, $\phi(vv_{i-2}) = \phi(v_{i-1}v_i) = \frac{2(i+1)}{3} + 1$ for $i = 2, 5, 8, 11$, $\phi(vv_{i+1}) = \phi(v_{i-1}v_i) = \frac{2i}{3} + 2$ for $i = 3, 6, 9$, $\phi(vv_1) = \phi(v_{11}v_{12}) = 10$, and $\phi(v_iv_{i-1}) = i-2$ for $13 \leq i \leq n$ (if $n \geq 13$). Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, \{v_4, v_5, v_6\}, \{v_7, v_8, v_9\}, \{v_{10}, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_0\}\}$.

In each case, let S be a set of three vertices of W_n . Then $S \cap I = \emptyset$ for some $I \in \mathcal{I}$. The cycle $C(\bar{I})$ is rainbow, and contains S . Hence,

$$crx_3(W_n) \leq \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } 4 \leq n \leq 7, \\ n-1, & \text{if } 8 \leq n \leq 11, \\ n-2, & \text{if } n \geq 12. \end{cases}$$

Now, we prove the matching lower bounds for $crx_3(W_n)$. Consider a colouring of W_n , using fewer than n colours for $4 \leq n \leq 7$, and fewer than $n-1$ colours for $8 \leq n \leq 11$. Then two edges of C_n , say v_0v_1 and $v_{i-1}v_i$ for some $2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, have the same colour. Any cycle containing the vertices $v_0, v_{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor + 1}, v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}$ cannot be rainbow. Hence, $crx_3(W_n) \geq n$ for $4 \leq n \leq 7$, and $crx_3(W_n) \geq n-1$ for $8 \leq n \leq 11$. Now let $n \geq 12$. Again, we recall the result of Chartrand et al. ([13], Theorem 2.1) that $rx_3(C_n) = n-2$ for $n \geq 4$. If there exists a 3-rainbow cycle colouring of W_n , using fewer than $n-2$ colours, then by omitting the edges vv_i , we obtain a colouring for C_n which gives $rx_3(C_n) < n-2$, a contradiction. Hence, $crx_3(W_n) \geq n-2$.

(d) Since W_n is Hamiltonian, we have $crx_k(W_n) \leq n+1$. For $n \geq 2k$, define a colouring ϕ on W_n as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\phi(v_{i-1}v_i) = i$. For $0 \leq j \leq 2k-1$ with k even, or $0 \leq j \leq 2k-7$ with k odd, let

$$\phi(vv_j) = \begin{cases} j+2, & \text{if } j \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, \\ j-1, & \text{if } j \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

In addition, for k odd, let $\phi(vv_{2k-6}) = \phi(vv_{2k-2}) = 2k-4$, $\phi(vv_{2k-5}) = \phi(vv_{2k-1}) = 2k-2$, $\phi(vv_{2k-4}) = 2k-5$, and $\phi(vv_{2k-3}) = 2k-1$. We claim that ϕ is a k -rainbow cycle colouring. Let S be a set of k vertices of W_n . If $v \notin S$, then C_n is a rainbow cycle containing S . Now, let $v \in S$. For k even, S does not contain some vertex of the set $\{v_j : 0 \leq j \leq 2k-1 \text{ and } j \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}\}$, say v_ℓ . The cycle $C(\bar{I}_\ell)$ contains S , and is rainbow since we can obtain $C(\bar{I}_\ell)$

from C_n by deleting and adding two edges with colours ℓ and $\ell + 1$. For k odd, S does not contain some vertex of the set $\{v_j : 0 \leq j \leq 2k - 7 \text{ and } j \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}\} \cup \{v_{2k-5}, v_{2k-2}\}$, or $S \cap \{v_{2k-4}, v_{2k-3}\} = \emptyset$. If the former happens then, again letting v_ℓ be a vertex omitted by S , the cycle $C(\bar{I}_\ell)$ contains S and is rainbow. If the latter, then the cycle $C(\overline{\{v_{2k-4}, v_{2k-3}\}})$ contains S and is rainbow, since to obtain $C(\overline{\{v_{2k-4}, v_{2k-3}\}})$ from C_n , we delete three edges of colours $2k - 4, 2k - 3, 2k - 2$, and add two edges of colours $2k - 4$ and $2k - 2$. Hence, $crx_k(W_n) \leq n$.

Now, we prove the matching lower bounds for $crx_k(W_n)$. First, we show that $crx_k(W_n) \geq n$ for all n with $n + 1 \geq k$. Suppose that there exists a k -rainbow cycle colouring, using fewer than n colours. Then two edges e_1 and e_2 in C_n have the same colour. Let S be a set of k vertices which contains the three (if e_1 and e_2 are incident) or four (otherwise) end-vertices of e_1 and e_2 . Let C be a rainbow cycle containing S . Then C must use exactly one of e_1 and e_2 , say e_2 . Thus, C must be the cycle obtained from C_n by deleting e_1 , and adding the two edges connecting v and the end-vertices of e_1 . But then, C has length $n + 1$, and so cannot be rainbow, a contradiction.

We are done for $n \geq 2k$. Now, let $n < 2k$. We show that $crx_k(W_n) \geq n + 1$. This clearly holds for $k = n + 1$. Thus, let $k \leq n$, so that $n \geq 4$. Suppose that there exists a k -rainbow cycle colouring of W_n , using fewer than $n + 1$ colours. By the previous argument, such a colouring uses exactly n colours, and moreover, the C_n must be rainbow coloured. We will choose a set S of k vertices of W_n such that $v \in S$, so that any rainbow cycle containing S has the form $C(I)$ for some $I \subset V'$. We say that a cycle $C(I)$ is *large* if $|I| \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, and *small* otherwise. A rainbow coloured large cycle $C(I)$ is *maximal* if there is no rainbow coloured cycle $C(I')$ such that $I' \supsetneq I$. By considering S such that $v, v_0, v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}, v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} \in S$, we see that there must exist a rainbow coloured large cycle, and hence a maximal cycle. Let $C(I_1), \dots, C(I_p)$ be all the maximal cycles, for some $p \geq 1$. Now, for two maximal cycles $C(I_i)$ and $C(I_j)$, the sets \bar{I}_i and \bar{I}_j are not nested, i.e., neither one contains the other. For $1 \leq i \leq p$, let $v_{h_i} \in \bar{I}_i$ be the “first vertex” of \bar{I}_i , i.e., if $\bar{I}_i = \{v_q, v_{q+1}, \dots\}$ for some $0 \leq q \leq n - 1$, then $h_i = q$. We may assume that $0 = h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_p \leq n - 1$. Next, since C_n is rainbow coloured and there are exactly n colours, every edge vv_i can belong to at most one of $C(I_1), \dots, C(I_p)$. Indeed, for the edge vv_0 , the only possible maximal cycle containing vv_0 , if it exists, is either $C(\{v_0, \dots, v_s\})$ for some $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \leq s \leq n - 2$, or $C(\{v_t, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_0\})$ for some $2 \leq t \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. A similar argument holds for any other edge vv_i . It follows that $p \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq k - 1$.

We say that the distinct vertices u_1, \dots, u_p are *representing vertices* for $\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_p$ if $u_i \in \bar{I}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Since v_{h_1}, \dots, v_{h_p} are representing vertices for $\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_p$, there exists at least one such set of vertices. Let S be a set of k vertices with $v, u_1, \dots, u_p \in S$. If there is a large rainbow cycle containing S , then $S \subset V(C(I_i))$ for some $1 \leq i \leq p$. But this implies $u_i \in V(C(I_i))$, a contradiction. Hence, it suffices to show that we can choose a set S of k vertices, containing v and representing vertices for $\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_p$, so that no small rainbow cycle can contain S .

Case 1. $1 \leq p \leq k - 2$.

If $p = 1$, then recall that $v_0 \in \bar{I}_1$. Let S be such that $v, v_0, v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}, v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} \in S$. If $2 \leq p \leq k - 2$, choose a set of representing vertices u_1, \dots, u_p for $\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_p$. We may assume that $u_1 = v_0$ and $u_2 = v_i$ where $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. We further choose $v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}$ (if it is not already among the u_j), so that $v, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p, v_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} \in S$. In every case, there is no small cycle containing S .

Case 2. $p = k - 1$.

We have $p = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and $n = 2k - 2$ or $n = 2k - 1$. We claim that, we can choose the representing vertices u_1, \dots, u_p for $\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_p$, so that they do not form a set of consecutive vertices of V' . For $1 \leq i \leq p$, let $u_i = v_{h_i}$. If u_1, \dots, u_p are consecutive vertices of V' , then we have $u_i = v_{i-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p = k - 1$. Note that $u_p = v_{k-2}$, and we must have $v_{k-1} \in \bar{I}_p$. Otherwise, we have $\bar{I}_p = \{v_{k-2}\}$, and since $\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_p$ are pairwise non-nested, it is easy to see that we have $\bar{I}_i = \{v_{i-1}\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$. But this is a contradiction, since $C(I_1)$ and $C(I_3)$ would both contain the edge vv_1 . Thus, we may replace $u_p = v_{k-2}$ with $u_p = v_{k-1}$. We then have u_1, \dots, u_p is a set of representing vertices for $\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_p$ which is not a set of consecutive vertices of V' , since $k - 1 \leq n - 2$, so that $u_p = v_{k-1}$ is not adjacent to v_0 in C_n .

Now let $S = \{v, u_1, \dots, u_p\}$. If $n = 2k - 2$, then S is not contained in a small cycle, since this would require the u_j to be consecutive vertices of V' . Let $n = 2k - 1$ and suppose that there is a small cycle containing S . We may assume that $u_1 = v_0$, $u_p = v_{k-1}$, and $u_2, \dots, u_{p-1} \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}\}$, so that the only small cycle containing S is $C = C(\{v_0, \dots, v_{k-1}\})$. The edges vv_0 and vv_{k-1} are in C , and we may assume that vv_0 is contained in a maximal cycle C^* , so that $C^* = C(\{v_\ell, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_0\})$ for some $2 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$ (since if C^* contains S , then again we obtain a contradiction). It follows that the colour of vv_0 is the same as one of $v_0v_1, \dots, v_{\ell-1}v_\ell$, and thus C is not a rainbow cycle.

We conclude that we can always find a set S of k vertices so that no rainbow cycle contains S , which is the required contradiction. Hence $crx_k(W_n) \geq n + 1$, as required. \square

Our next task is to determine $crx_k(G)$ when $G = K_n$ is a complete graph. We have the following result.

Theorem 9.

(a) $crx_1(K_n) = crx_2(K_n) = 3$ for $n \geq 3$.

(b) For $k \geq 3$, there exists $N = N(k)$ such that for $n \geq N$, we have $crx_k(K_n) = 2k - 1$.

Proof. (a) It suffices to show that $crx_2(K_n) \leq 3$ for every $n \geq 3$. We use induction on n to show that there is a 2-rainbow cycle colouring of K_n , using three colours. For $n = 3$, we take the rainbow coloured K_3 . Let $n \geq 4$, and u be a vertex of $G = K_n$. By induction, there exists a 2-rainbow cycle colouring ϕ' for $G - u \cong K_{n-1}$, using three colours. Define a colouring ϕ for G , using three colours, as follows. If $n - 1$ is odd, take $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in V(G - u)$ such that $\phi'(x_1x_2)$, $\phi'(x_2x_3)$ and $\phi'(x_3x_1)$ are distinct, and let $\phi(ux_i) = \phi'(x_{i+1}x_{i+2})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (with indices taken modulo 3). Then (whether $n - 1$ is odd or even), take a perfect matching M on the remaining vertices of $G - u$, and for $vw \in M$, let $\phi(uv)$ and $\phi(uw)$ be the two colours different from $\phi'(vw)$. Now, any two vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ lie in a rainbow triangle under ϕ , where we use induction if $x, y \in V(G - u)$. Hence, ϕ is a 2-rainbow cycle colouring of G , and we are done by induction.

(b) Recall that for integers $r, \ell \geq 1$, the *Ramsey number* $R_r(\ell)$ is the minimum integer t such that, whenever we have an edge-colouring of K_t with at most r colours, there exists a monochromatic copy of K_ℓ . It is well-known that all Ramsey numbers $R_r(\ell)$ exist. If $n \geq R_{2k-2}(k)$ and we have a $(2k - 2)$ -colouring of K_n , then there exists a monochromatic copy of K_k , say with vertex set S . Any cycle of length at most $2k - 2$ containing S must contain at least two edges with end-vertices in S , and so cannot be rainbow. Hence, $crx_k(K_n) \geq 2k - 1$.

Now, we prove that $crx_k(K_n) \leq 2k - 1$ for n sufficiently large. Consider a random $(2k - 1)$ -colouring of K_n . For $S \subset V(K_n)$ with $|S| = k$, let E_S be the event that there is no rainbow cycle containing S . We estimate the probability $\mathbb{P}(E_S)$. Let $U_1, \dots, U_t \subset V(K_n) \setminus S$ be disjoint sets with $t = \lfloor \frac{n-k}{k-1} \rfloor$, and $|U_i| = k - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let H be the complete subgraph of K_n induced by S . Let Φ be the set of all $(2k - 1)$ -colourings of H with no rainbow cycle C_k containing S . Let H be coloured by $\phi \in \Phi$ and $1 \leq i \leq t$. There is at least one way to colour the $k(k - 1)$ edges of $E(S, U_i)$ so that we have a rainbow cycle containing S , with vertices in $S \cup U_i$. Indeed, if $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and $U_i = \{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$, then we can assign $2k - 1$ distinct colours to the cycle $C = v_1 u_1 \cdots v_{k-1} u_{k-1} v_k v_1$, since $v_1 v_k$ is the only edge of H in C . Hence, there are some $\alpha_\phi < (2k - 1)^{k(k-1)}$ colourings of $E(S, U_i)$ so that there is no rainbow cycle containing S , with vertices in $S \cup U_i$. Let $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_\phi : \phi \in \Phi\} < (2k - 1)^{k(k-1)}$. Since there are at most $k(k - 2) + \binom{n-k}{2}$ edges not in $E(H) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^t E(S, U_i)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(E_S) \leq \frac{|\Phi| \alpha^t (2k - 1)^{k(k-2) + \binom{n-k}{2}}}{(2k - 1)^{\binom{n}{2}}} \leq \frac{\gamma_k \alpha^{n/(k-1)}}{(2k - 1)^{kn}} = \gamma_k \delta_k^n = o(n^{-k}),$$

where $\gamma_k > 0$ and $0 < \delta_k < 1$ are constants depending only on k .

By the union bound,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{S \subset V(K_n), |S|=k} E_S\right) \leq \sum_{S \subset V(K_n), |S|=k} \mathbb{P}(E_S) \leq \binom{n}{k} o(n^{-k}) < 1,$$

for n sufficiently large. Hence, there exists a k -rainbow cycle colouring of K_n , using $2k - 1$ colours, and $crx_k(K_n) \leq 2k - 1$. \square

Remark. We may similarly prove the result that $rx_k(K_n) = k$ for n sufficiently large. This result is a special case of a conjecture of Chartrand et al. ([13], Conjecture 3.12), and the conjecture itself has since been solved by Cai et al. [12].

Next we consider $crx_k(G)$, when $G = K_{m,n}$ is a complete bipartite graph with $2 \leq m \leq n$. A sufficient condition for the existence of $crx_k(K_{m,n})$ is $m \geq k$. We have the following result.

Theorem 10.

- (a) $crx_1(K_{m,n}) = 4$ for $2 \leq m \leq n$.
- (b) (i) $crx_2(K_{2,n}) = 2n$ for $n \geq 2$.
(ii) $crx_2(K_{3,n}) = r$ for $n \geq 36$, where r is the integer such that $\binom{r-1}{3} < n \leq \binom{r}{3}$. Thus,
 $crx_2(K_{3,n}) = \sqrt[3]{6n} + C_n$, where $1 < C_n < 3$.
(iii) $crx_2(K_{m,n}) = 8$ for $m \geq 4$ and $n > 7^m$.
- (c) Let $k \geq 2$.
(i) $\lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \rceil \leq crx_k(K_{k,n}) \leq kn$ for $n \geq k$.
(ii) $crx_k(K_{m,n}) \geq 4k$ for $m \geq 2k$ and $n > (k - 1)(4k - 1)^m$.
(iii) $crx_k(K_{m,n}) \leq 6k$ for $3k \leq m \leq n$.

Proof. Throughout this proof, let U and V be the classes of $K_{m,n}$, with $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ and $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. For integers $1 \leq \ell \leq N$, let $[N] = \{1, \dots, N\}$, and let $[N]^{(\ell)}$ denote the family of all subsets of $[N]$ with size ℓ .

(a) Clearly $crx_1(K_{m,n}) \geq g(K_{m,n}) = 4$. Now, define a 4-colouring ϕ on $K_{m,n}$ where $\phi(u_1v_1) = 1$; $\phi(u_1w) = 2$ for $w \in V \setminus \{v_1\}$; $\phi(v_1w) = 3$ for $w \in U \setminus \{u_1\}$; and $\phi(xy) = 4$ for $x \in U \setminus \{u_1\}$, $y \in V \setminus \{v_1\}$. Then, every vertex of $K_{m,n}$ is in a rainbow cycle of length 4 which uses u_1v_1 . Hence, $crx_1(K_{m,n}) \leq 4$.

(b)(i) Since $K_{2,n}$ is minimally 2-connected for $n \geq 2$, we have $crx_2(K_{2,n}) = e(K_{2,n}) = 2n$ by Theorem 4(b). It is also not difficult to prove this result directly.

(b)(ii) Note that $n \geq 36$ implies $r \geq 8$. First, suppose that we have a colouring of $K_{3,n}$, using at most $r - 1$ colours. Let the colours be $1, 2, \dots, r - 1$. For $x \in V$, let $A_x \in [r - 1]^{(3)}$ be a set which contains the colours incident with x . Since $n > \binom{r-1}{3}$, there exist $x, y \in V$ with $A_x = A_y$, and we cannot have x and y in a rainbow cycle. Thus, $crx_2(K_{3,n}) \geq r$.

Now, we define an r -colouring ϕ of $K_{3,n}$ as follows. Let $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $V' = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \subset V$. Let $\phi(u_1v_3) = \phi(u_2v_1) = \phi(u_3v_2) = r - 1$, $\phi(u_1v_2) = \phi(u_2v_3) = \phi(u_3v_1) = r$, and $\phi(u_i v_i) = r - 5 + i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For $1 \leq \ell \leq r$, the *colexicographic ordering* on the elements of $[r]^{(\ell)}$ is a linear ordering where an ℓ -set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_\ell\}$ with $a_1 < \dots < a_\ell$ precedes an ℓ -set $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_\ell\}$ with $b_1 < \dots < b_\ell$ if $a_m < b_m$, where $m = \max\{p : a_p \neq b_p\}$. We label the $n - 3$ vertices of $V \setminus V'$ with the $n - 3$ initial members of $[r]^{(3)}$ in the colexicographic ordering. If a vertex $v \in V \setminus V'$ has been labelled with $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ where $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < a_3 \leq r$, then we let $\phi(u_i v) = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For $v \in V$, let A_v be the 3-set consisting of the colours at v . Note that the vertices of V' have been labelled with the last three members of $[r]^{(3)}$ in the colexicographic ordering, and since $n \leq \binom{r}{3}$, the 3-sets A_v are distinct over all $v \in V$.

We claim that ϕ is a 2-rainbow cycle colouring. Firstly, it is easy to verify that any two vertices of $U \cup V'$ lie in a rainbow C_4 . Next, let $x \in V$ and $y \in V \setminus V'$. If $|A_x \cup A_y| \in \{5, 6\}$, then clearly x and y lie in a rainbow C_4 . Thus, let $A_x = \{a, b, c\}$ and $A_y = \{a, b, d\}$, where $a, b, c, d \in [r]$ are distinct. If x and y are not in a rainbow C_4 , then there exists a rainbow path of length four in the form $u_\alpha x u_\gamma y u_\beta$, where $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, and using the colours a, b, c, d . This can be seen easily by considering the two edges of colours c and d , and whether or not they are incident. It then suffices to find a vertex $w \in V \setminus \{x, y\}$ such that $\phi(wu_\alpha), \phi(wu_\beta) \notin \{a, b, c, d\}$, so that x and y are in a rainbow C_6 . If $a, b, c, d \leq r - 2$, then $x, y \in V \setminus V'$, and we can choose $w = v_\gamma$, so that $\{\phi(wu_\alpha), \phi(wu_\beta)\} = \{r - 1, r\}$. Otherwise, suppose that at least one of a, b, c, d is at least $r - 1$. If $x \in V'$, then two of a, b, c are $r - 1$ and r , so that at least one of a, b, d , the colours at y , is $r - 1$ or r . It follows that there exists $z \in V \setminus V'$ (either $z = x$ or $z = y$) such that A_z contains $r - 1$ or r . This implies that all members of $[r - 2]^{(3)}$ are present among the 3-sets labelling the vertices of $V \setminus V'$, since $[r - 2]^{(3)}$ forms an initial segment in the colexicographic ordering, and a 3-set containing $r - 1$ or r succeeds every 3-set in $[r - 2]^{(3)}$ in the ordering. Since $r - 2 \geq 6$, we can choose a suitable vertex $w \in V \setminus V'$ such that $A_w \in [r - 2]^{(3)}$ and $A_w \subset [r - 2] \setminus \{a, b, c, d\}$.

Finally, let $x \in U$ and $y \in V \setminus V'$. We choose $w \in V \setminus V'$ by choosing the 3-set A_w as follows. If $A_y = \{1, 2, 3\}$, then let $A_w = \{1, 2, 4\}$. If $A_y = \{a, b, c\} \neq \{1, 2, 3\}$ where $1 \leq a < b < c \leq r$, then let $A_w = \{a - 1, b, c\}$ if $a \geq 2$; or $A_w = \{a, b - 1, c\}$ if $a = 1$ and $b \geq 3$; or $A_w = \{a, b, c - 1\}$ if $a = 1$, $b = 2$ and $c \geq 4$. Note that A_w exists among the 3-sets labelling $V \setminus V'$ since A_w precedes $A_y = \{a, b, c\}$ in the colexicographic ordering. Then y and w are not in a rainbow C_4 .

Hence by the previous argument, y and w lie in a rainbow C_6 , which thus contains x and y .

Therefore, ϕ is a 2-rainbow cycle colouring for $K_{3,n}$, and we have $crx_2(K_{3,n}) \leq r$.

(b)(iii) We have $crx_2(K_{m,n}) \geq 8$ for $m \geq 4$ and $n > 7^m$ from (c)(ii) below. We prove that $crx_2(K_{m,n}) \leq 8$ for $n \geq m \geq 4$. Define an 8-colouring ϕ of $K_{m,n}$ as follows. Let $V' = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \subset V$, and assign to these vertices the m -vectors $\vec{v}_1 = (4, 3, 2, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$, $\vec{v}_2 = (5, 6, 7, 8, 8, \dots, 8)$ and $\vec{v}_3 = (8, 7, 6, 5, 5, \dots, 5)$. For every $v_j \in V \setminus V'$, assign the m -vector $\vec{v}_j = (1, 2, 3, 4, 4, \dots, 4)$. For $v_j \in V$, let $\phi(u_i v_j) = \vec{v}_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. We claim that ϕ is a 2-rainbow cycle colouring for $K_{m,n}$. Let $U' = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\} \subset U$, and $x, y \in V(K_{m,n})$. We find a rainbow cycle containing x and y . If $x \in U'$ and $y \in U$, we take xv_1yv_2x . If $x, y \in U \setminus U'$, we take $xwu_2v_1yv_2u_3v_3x$ for some $w \in V \setminus V'$. If $x \in V'$ and $y \in V$, we take xu_1yu_2x . If $x, y \in V \setminus V'$, we take $xu_2v_2u_3yu_4v_3u_1x$. Finally, if $x \in U$ and $y \in V$, then first choose $w \in U' \setminus \{x\}$, and note that the path xyw is rainbow and uses colours either in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ or $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$. If the former, we can take $xywv_2x$ (note that $y \neq v_2$), and if the latter, we can take $xywv_1x$ (note that $y \neq v_1$). Hence, $crx_2(K_{m,n}) \leq 8$.

(c)(i) Let $n \geq k$. Clearly, we have $crx_k(K_{k,n}) \leq e(K_{k,n}) = kn$. Now, suppose that we have a colouring of $K_{m,n}$, using fewer than $\lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \rceil$ colours. We may assume that u_1v_1, \dots, u_1v_k have the same colour. Letting $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$, any cycle of $K_{k,n}$ containing S must have the vertex set $U \cup S$, and so cannot be rainbow. Hence, $crx_k(K_{k,n}) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \rceil$.

(c)(ii) Let $m \geq 2k$ and $n > (k-1)(4k-1)^m$. Let ϕ be a $(4k-1)$ -colouring of $K_{m,n}$, using the colours $1, 2, \dots, 4k-1$. For $v_j \in V$, let \vec{v}_j be the m -vector where $\vec{v}_{ji} = \phi(u_i v_j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then the lower bound on n implies that, there exists a set of vertices $S \subset V$ with $|S| = k$, whose members are all assigned with the same m -vector. If there exists a rainbow cycle C containing S , then in C , the distance between any two vertices of S is at least 4. Thus, C has length at least $4k$, and so cannot be rainbow, a contradiction. Hence, $crx_k(K_{m,n}) \geq 4k$.

(c)(iii) Let $3k \leq m \leq n$. We define a $6k$ -colouring ϕ of $K_{m,n}$ as follows. Let $U' = \{u_1, \dots, u_{2k}\} \subset U$ and $V' = \{v_1, \dots, v_{2k}\} \subset V$. For $v_j \in V'$, we assign the $2k$ -vector $\vec{v}_j = (2k-j+2, \dots, 2k, 1, 2, \dots, 2k-j+1)$, and let $\phi(u_i v_j) = \vec{v}_{ji}$ for $u_i \in U'$. For $u_i \in U \setminus U'$ and $v_j \in V'$, let $\phi(u_i v_j) = 2k+j$. For $u_i \in U'$ and $v_j \in V \setminus V'$, let $\phi(u_i v_j) = 4k+j$. The edges $u_i v_j \in E(U \setminus U', V \setminus V')$ are coloured arbitrarily with used colours.

We claim that ϕ is a k -rainbow cycle colouring for $K_{m,n}$. Note that the vertices of $U \setminus U'$ are indistinguishable, and likewise for the vertices of $V \setminus V'$. Thus, it suffices to consider the subgraph H of $K_{m,n}$ induced by $U' \cup U'' \cup V' \cup V''$, where $U'' = \{u_{2k+1}, \dots, u_{3k}\}$ and $V'' = \{v_{2k+1}, \dots, v_{3k}\}$. Consider the edge set

$$F = \{u_{2i-1}v_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{u_{2i}v_{k+i} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1\} \cup \{u_k v_{2k}\}.$$

The edges of F are rainbow coloured, since the colours of the edges in the three sets are $1, 2, \dots, k$; $k+2, k+3, \dots, 2k$; and $k+1$. Moreover, they almost form a perfect matching between U' and V' : the edges $u_k v_{3k/2}$ (resp. $u_k v_{\lceil k/2 \rceil}$) and $u_k v_{2k}$ are incident at u_k for k even (resp. odd), and the remaining edges are independent. Now, let $S \subset V(H)$ with $|S| = k$. We may assume that $S \cap V'' \subset \{v_{2k+1}, \dots, v_{3k-1}\}$, since the colouring ϕ restricted to H has the same structure under the symmetry between the two classes of H ; and $u_{2k} \notin S$, since otherwise we may consider a shift of F . Relabelling the edges of F as $\tilde{u}_1 \tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_{2k-2} \tilde{v}_{2k-2}, u_k \tilde{v}_{2k-1}, u_k v_{2k}$, we obtain a rainbow cycle containing S by connecting the edges $u_{2k+i} \tilde{v}_{2i}, u_{2k+i} \tilde{v}_{2i+1}, v_{2k+i} \tilde{u}_{2i-1}$ and $v_{2k+i} \tilde{u}_{2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, and $u_{3k} \tilde{v}_1$ and $u_{3k} v_{2k}$. Hence, $crx_k(K_{m,n}) \leq 6k$. \square

We now consider $crx_k(G)$ when G is a complete multipartite graph. For $t \geq 2$, let K_{n_1, \dots, n_t} be the complete t -partite graph with class sizes $1 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_t$. Let $K_{t \times n}$ be the balanced complete t -partite graph, where each class has n vertices. We have the following result.

Theorem 11.

(a) $crx_1(K_{n_1, \dots, n_t}) = 3$ for $t \geq 3$ and $1 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_t$.

(b) For $k, t \geq 2$, there exists $N = N(k, t)$ such that for $n \geq N$, we have $crx_k(K_{t \times n}) = 2k$.

Proof. (a) It suffices to prove that $crx_1(K_{n_1, \dots, n_t}) \leq 3$. By Theorem 9(a), there exists a rainbow cycle colouring ϕ of K_t , using three colours. We may obtain a rainbow cycle colouring of K_{n_1, \dots, n_t} with three colours by taking a blow-up of ϕ , where the i th vertex of K_t is replaced with a vertex set of size n_i , for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

(b) Let V_1, \dots, V_t be the classes of $K_{t \times n}$, with $|V_i| = n$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. If $n \geq k$, then we have $crx_k(K_{t \times n}) \geq 2k$, since any cycle containing k vertices of V_1 has length at least $2k$.

We prove that $crx_k(K_{t \times n}) \leq 2k$ for n sufficiently large. Consider a random $2k$ -colouring of $K_{t \times n}$. For $S \subset V(K_{t \times n})$ with $|S| = k$, let E_S be the event that there is no rainbow cycle containing S . We estimate the probability $\mathbb{P}(E_S)$. For $1 \leq i \leq t$, let $X_i = S \cap V_i$, where indices involving i are taken modulo t throughout. Let $s_i = |S \cap V_i|$, so that $s_1 + \dots + s_t = k$. Let $1 \leq q \leq t$ be the number of the s_i that are non-zero. We may assume that $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_q \geq 1$. For $2 \leq i \leq q+1$, let $Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{ih} \subset V_i \setminus X_i$ be disjoint sets with $h = \lfloor \frac{n-s_2}{s_1} \rfloor$, and $|Y_{ij}| = s_{i-1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq h$. We may find such sets Y_{ij} since $(\frac{n-s_2}{s_1})s_{i-1} \leq n - s_i$ for every $2 \leq i \leq \min(q+1, t)$, and $(\frac{n-s_2}{s_1})s_t \leq n - s_1$ if $q = t$ and $n \geq k$, so that

$$|Y_{i1}| + \dots + |Y_{ih}| = hs_{i-1} \leq \left(\frac{n-s_2}{s_1} \right) s_{i-1} \leq n - s_i = |V_i \setminus X_i|.$$

Define the subgraph $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^{q-1} [X_i, X_{i+1}]$. Let Φ be the set of all $2k$ -colourings of H with no rainbow cycle C_k containing S . By convention, if $q = 1$, we have H is the empty graph on $S = X_1 \subset V_1$, and Φ consists of one trivial colouring. Let H be coloured by $\phi \in \Phi$. For $1 \leq j \leq h$, define the subgraph $H_j = [X_1, X_2 \cup Y_{2j}] \cup [X_q \cup Y_{qj}, Y_{q+1, j}] \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{q-1} [X_i \cup Y_{ij}, X_{i+1} \cup Y_{i+1, j}]$, where $H_j = [X_1, Y_{2j}]$ if $q = 1$. There is at least one way to colour the edges of $E(H_j) \setminus E(H)$ so that we have a rainbow cycle containing S , with vertices in $V(H_j)$. Indeed, if $q = 1$, choose a spanning cycle of $H_j = [X_1, Y_{2j}]$ and assign $2k$ distinct colours to it. Let $2 \leq q \leq t$. For $1 \leq i \leq q$, choose a spanning path of $[X_i, Y_{i+1, j}]$ with end-vertices $x_i \in X_i$ and $y_{i+1} \in Y_{i+1, j}$. Then, add the edges $u_1 u_2, v_2 u_3, \dots, v_{q-1} u_q, v_q v_{q+1}$. We have a spanning cycle C of H_j where $u_1 u_2$ is the only edge of H in C , and thus we may assign $2k$ distinct colours to C . Hence, there are some $\alpha_\phi < (2k)^{e(H_j) - e(H)} = (2k)^{e(H_1) - e(H)}$ colourings of $E(H_j) \setminus E(H)$ so that there is no rainbow cycle containing S , with vertices in $V(H_j)$. Let $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_\phi : \phi \in \Phi\} < (2k)^{e(H_1) - e(H)}$. Since there are $\binom{tn}{2} - e(H) - (e(H_1) - e(H))h$ edges not in $\bigcup_{j=1}^h E(H_j)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(E_S) \leq \frac{|\Phi| \alpha^h (2k)^{\binom{tn}{2} - e(H) - (e(H_1) - e(H))h}}{(2k)^{\binom{tn}{2}}} \leq \frac{\alpha^h}{(2k)^{(e(H_1) - e(H))h}} = o(n^{-k}).$$

By the union bound,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{S \subset V(K_{t \times n}), |S|=k} E_S\right) \leq \sum_{S \subset V(K_{t \times n}), |S|=k} \mathbb{P}(E_S) \leq \binom{tn}{k} o(n^{-k}) < 1,$$

for n sufficiently large. Hence, there exists a k -rainbow cycle colouring of $K_{t \times n}$, using $2k$ colours, and $crx_k(K_{t \times n}) \leq 2k$. \square

In Theorems 10 and 11, we were only able to determine or estimate $crx_k(G)$ for some values of k and some complete bipartite or multipartite graphs G . In particular, for fixed k and m , and n sufficiently large, we see that $crx_k(K_{k,n}) = \Theta_n(n)$, and $crx_k(K_{m,n}) = O_n(1)$ for $m \geq 3k$. We pose the following problem.

Problem 12. *Let $k \geq 2$*

- (a) *For $2 \leq m \leq n$, determine $crx_k(K_{m,n})$ for the cases not covered by Theorems 10 and 11. For fixed k and m , what is the behaviour of $crx_k(K_{m,n})$ for $k \leq m \leq 3k$ and large n ?*
- (b) *For $t \geq 3$ and $1 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_t$, determine $crx_k(K_{n_1, \dots, n_t})$ for the cases not covered by Theorem 11.*

We conclude this section by considering $crx_k(G)$ where $G = Q_n$ is the n -dimensional discrete binary cube. That is, $V(Q_n)$ consists of all n -vectors $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ with $v_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and for $u, v \in V(Q_n)$, we have $uv \in E(Q_n)$ if and only if u and v differ at exactly one coordinate. Note that Q_n is an n -regular graph with 2^n vertices and $2^{n-1}n$ edges. If $n = p + q$, then Q_n can be considered as a blow-up of Q_q , with each vertex of Q_q replaced by a copy of Q_p , and an edge of Q_q is replaced by a matching that matches the corresponding vertices of Q_p . We write $Q_n = Q_p \oplus Q_q$, and for $v \in V(Q_n)$, we write $v = \hat{v} \oplus \tilde{v}$, where $\hat{v} \in V(Q_p)$ and $\tilde{v} \in V(Q_q)$ are the vectors containing the first p and the last q coordinates of v .

Since Q_n is Hamiltonian for $n \geq 2$, the parameter $crx_k(Q_n)$ is defined for all $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$ and $n \geq 2$. In the following result, we determine $crx_k(Q_n)$ exactly for small and large k .

Theorem 13. *Let $n \geq 2$.*

- (a) $crx_1(Q_n) = 4$.
- (b) $crx_2(Q_n) = crx_3(Q_n) = 2n$.
- (c) $crx_k(Q_n) = 2^n$ for $2^{n-1} \leq k \leq 2^n$.

Proof. (a) Clearly $crx_1(Q_2) = 4$. Let $n \geq 3$. We have $crx_1(Q_n) \geq g(Q_n) = 4$. Since $Q_n = Q_2 \oplus Q_{n-2}$, we have a rainbow cycle colouring of Q_n with four colours where each of the 2^{n-2} copies of Q_2 is given a rainbow colouring. Hence, $crx_1(Q_n) \leq 4$.

(b) It suffices to prove that $crx_2(Q_n) \geq 2n$ and $crx_3(Q_n) \leq 2n$. We have $crx_2(Q_n) \geq 2n$, since the shortest cycle containing the vertices $(0, \dots, 0)$ and $(1, \dots, 1)$ has length $2n$. To prove that $crx_3(Q_n) \leq 2n$, we construct a 3-rainbow cycle colouring of Q_n , using $2n$ colours. We proceed inductively. For $n = 2$, we give Q_2 a rainbow colouring with colours 1, 2, 3, 4. Let $n \geq 3$, and suppose that we have constructed a 3-rainbow cycle colouring ϕ' of Q_{n-1} , using the colours $1, \dots, 2(n-1)$. We have $Q_n = Q_{n-1} \oplus Q_1$, and for $v \in Q_n$, we have $v = \hat{v} \oplus \tilde{v}$, where $\hat{v} \in V(Q_{n-1})$ and $\tilde{v} \in V(Q_1)$. Let Q_{n-1}^- and Q_{n-1}^+ be the copies of Q_{n-1} containing the vertices $v \in V(Q_n)$ with $\tilde{v} = (0)$ and $\tilde{v} = (1)$, respectively. Define the colouring ϕ of Q_n , with colours

$1, \dots, 2n$, as follows. For $uv \in E(Q_n)$, let

$$\phi(uv) = \begin{cases} \phi'(\hat{u}\hat{v}), & \text{if } \tilde{u} = \tilde{v}, \\ 2n - 1, & \text{if } \hat{u} = \hat{v}, \tilde{u} \neq \tilde{v}, \text{ and } \sum \hat{u}_i = \sum \hat{v}_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 2n, & \text{if } \hat{u} = \hat{v}, \tilde{u} \neq \tilde{v}, \text{ and } \sum \hat{u}_i = \sum \hat{v}_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

We claim that ϕ is a 3-rainbow cycle colouring for Q_n . Let $x, y, z \in V(Q_n)$. If $x, y, z \in V(Q_{n-1}^-)$ or $x, y, z \in V(Q_{n-1}^+)$, then by induction, x, y and z lie in a rainbow cycle. Now by the symmetry between Q_{n-1}^- and Q_{n-1}^+ , assume that $x, y \in V(Q_{n-1}^-)$ and $z \in V(Q_{n-1}^+)$. Let $x_+, y_+ \in V(Q_{n-1}^+)$ and $z_- \in V(Q_{n-1}^-)$ where $x_+ = \hat{x} \oplus (1)$, $y_+ = \hat{y} \oplus (1)$ and $z_- = \hat{z} \oplus (0)$. By induction, there are rainbow cycles $C_- \subset Q_{n-1}^-$ and $C_+ \subset Q_{n-1}^+$ such that, $C_- = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_t a_1$, $C_+ = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_t b_1$ for some $t \geq 4$; $\hat{a}_p = \hat{b}_p$ and $\phi(a_p a_{p+1}) = \phi(b_p b_{p+1}) \in \{1, \dots, 2(n-1)\}$ for every $1 \leq p \leq t$, where indices referring to C_-, C_+ are taken modulo t throughout; and $x, y, z_- \in V(C_-)$ and $x_+, y_+, z \in V(C_+)$, with $(x, y, z_-) = (a_i, a_j, a_\ell)$ and $(x_+, y_+, z) = (b_i, b_j, b_\ell)$, where we may assume that $1 \leq i \leq \ell < j \leq t$. Let $P \subset C_-$ be the $y-x$ path $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_i$, and $R \subset C_+$ be the $x_+ - y_+$ path $b_i b_{i+1} \cdots b_j$. Note that $z \in V(R)$. If $\{\phi(xx_+), \phi(yy_+)\} = \{2n-1, 2n\}$, we have the rainbow cycle $xx_+ R y_+ y P x$. If $\phi(xx_+) = \phi(yy_+) = 2n-1$ or $2n$, then consider the vertices a_{j-1} and b_{j-1} . Note that $a_{j-1} \neq x$ and $b_{j-1} \neq x_+$, since C_- and C_+ have length at least 4, and $\phi(xx_+) = \phi(yy_+)$ means that x and y are not neighbours in C_- , and x_+ and y_+ are not neighbours in C_+ . Also, we have $\{\phi(xx_+), \phi(a_{j-1} b_{j-1})\} = \{2n-1, 2n\}$. We have the rainbow cycle $xx_+ R b_{j-1} a_{j-1} y P x$.

Hence, ϕ is a 3-rainbow cycle colouring for Q_n with $2n$ colours, and we are done by induction.

(c) Let $2^{n-1} \leq k \leq 2^n$. Clearly we have $crx_k(Q_n) \leq 2^n$ since Q_n is Hamiltonian. Now, Q_n is a balanced bipartite graph with classes V_0 and V_1 , where V_0 (resp. V_1) consists of the 2^{n-1} vertices at even (resp. odd) distance from $(0, \dots, 0)$. Let S be a set of k vertices containing V_0 . Then, every cycle of Q_n containing S must have length 2^n . Hence, $crx_k(Q_n) \geq 2^n$. \square

For $4 \leq k < 2^{n-1}$, the exact determination of $crx_k(Q_n)$ appears to be more difficult. In the following result, we give a partial answer to this problem, by showing that $crx_k(Q_n)$ is linear in n , for fixed $k \geq 4$ and n sufficiently large.

Theorem 14. *Let $k \geq 4$ and $n \geq 4k^2$. Then there exist constants $c_k, C_k > 0$ (depending only on k) such that*

$$c_k n \leq crx_k(Q_n) \leq C_k n. \quad (1)$$

Thus, we have $crx_k(Q_n) = \Theta_n(n)$.

Before we prove Theorem 14, we gather some tools in order to enable us to prove the upper bound. A graph G is k -linked if $|V(G)| \geq 2k$, and for every sequence $s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_k$ of distinct vertices in G , there exist disjoint paths P_1, \dots, P_k such that the end-vertices of P_i are s_i and t_i , for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Clearly, a k -linked graph is also k -connected, but the converse is not true. Jung [25], and Larman and Mani [27], showed that there exists a function $f(k)$ such that every $f(k)$ -connected graph is k -linked, and it was shown in [27] that $f(k) = 2^{\binom{3k}{2}} + 2k$. Bollobás and Thomason [10] then made a significant improvement, when they proved that the result holds for $f(k) = 22k$. Thomas and Wollan [32] further improved this to $f(k) = 10k$.

Theorem 15 ([32], Corollary 1.3). *If G is a $10k$ -connected graph, then G is k -linked.*

Let $S = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ be an ordered k -tuple of vertices in a graph G , where repetitions are permitted for the vertices. That is, $S = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in V(G)^k$. An S -subdivided closed walk is a sequence $W = (v_1, P_1, v_2, P_2, v_3, \dots, v_k, P_k, v_1)$ such that, P_i is a $v_i - v_{i+1}$ path for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and no two P_i and P_j have an internal vertex in common. If $v_i = v_{i+1}$, then P_i is trivial, and if $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$, then P_i has length at least 2. The indices of the vertices v_i and the paths P_i are taken modulo k throughout. By using the above result, we have the following lemma, which says that for Q_n , if n is sufficiently large, so that the vertex connectivity of Q_n is large, then Q_n contains an S -subdivided closed walk for every $S \in V(Q_n)^k$.

Lemma 16. *Let $k \geq 4$ and $n \geq 11k$. Then for all ordered k -tuple $S = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ of vertices in Q_n , where repetitions of the vertices are permitted in S , there exists an S -subdivided closed walk in Q_n .*

Proof. Let $S = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in V(Q_n)^k$ be an ordered k -tuple, and u_1, \dots, u_ℓ be the distinct members of S , for some $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. Let $G = Q_n - \{u_1, \dots, u_\ell\}$. It is well-known that the vertex connectivity of Q_n is n , and thus G is $10k$ -connected. By Theorem 15, G is k -linked.

Now for $1 \leq p \leq \ell$, let m_p be the multiplicity of u_p in S , so that $\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} m_p = k$. Since u_p has at least $10k$ neighbours in Q_n lying in $V(G)$, we can choose disjoint sets $U_1, \dots, U_\ell \subset V(G)$ such that $|U_p| = 2m_p$, and all vertices of U_p are neighbours of u_p in Q_n , for $1 \leq p \leq \ell$. We choose distinct vertices $s_1, t_1, \dots, s_k, t_k \in \bigcup_{p=1}^{\ell} U_p$ as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $v_i = u_p$ and $v_{i+1} = u_q$, and choose $s_i \in U_p, t_i \in U_q$. This can be done, since a vertex u_p is considered exactly $2m_p = |U_p|$ times as we consider all consecutive pairs (v_i, v_{i+1}) . Since G is k -linked, we have disjoint paths L_1, \dots, L_k in G such that L_i connects s_i and t_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Define the paths P_1, \dots, P_k as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, if $v_i = v_{i+1}$, then P_i is trivial. Otherwise, if $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$, then let $P_i = v_i s_i L_i t_i v_{i+1}$, and note that P_i has length at least 3. Then, $(v_1, P_1, \dots, v_k, P_k, v_1)$ is an S -subdivided closed walk in Q_n , as required. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 14.

Proof of Theorem 14. We first prove the upper bound of (1). Set $K = 11k$. We show that the upper bound holds for $n \geq K = 11k$ and $C_k = 2^{2K-1} = 2^{22k-1}$. We prove the stronger assertion that there exists a colouring of Q_n , using at most $C_k n$ colours, such that for every ordered k -tuple of vertices $S = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in V(Q_n)^k$, there exists a rainbow S -subdivided closed walk W . Thus in particular, if v_1, \dots, v_k are distinct, then W is a rainbow cycle that visits v_1, \dots, v_k , in that order.

Let $n = aK + b$, where $a \geq 1$ and $0 \leq b < K$. It suffices to construct the required colouring of Q_n , using at most $C_k aK$ colours. We use induction on a . For $a = 1$, we have $n = K + b$, and $e(Q_n) \leq e(Q_{2K-1}) = 2^{2K-2}(2K-1) < C_k K$. Thus, we may use a rainbow colouring for Q_n , and the strong assertion holds by Lemma 16. Now, let $n = aK + b$ with $a \geq 2$, and suppose that the strong assertion holds for $Q_{(a-1)K+b}$ and Q_K . We have $Q_{aK+b} = Q_{(a-1)K+b} \oplus Q_K$. By the induction hypothesis, there exist colourings η on $Q_{(a-1)K+b}$ and θ on Q_K , using at most $C_k(a-1)K$ and $C_k K$ colours respectively, and each colouring satisfies the strong assertion. We define the colouring ϕ on Q_{aK+b} , using at most $C_k aK$ colours, as follows. Let $uv \in E(Q_{aK+b})$, with $u = \hat{u} \oplus \tilde{u}$ and $v = \hat{v} \oplus \tilde{v}$, where $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in V(Q_{(a-1)K+b})$ and $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in V(Q_K)$. Let

$$\phi(uv) = \begin{cases} \eta(\hat{u}\hat{v}), & \text{if } \hat{u}\hat{v} \in E(Q_{(a-1)K+b}) \text{ and } \tilde{u} = \tilde{v}, \\ \theta(\hat{u}\hat{v}), & \text{if } \hat{u} = \hat{v} \text{ and } \tilde{u}\tilde{v} \in E(Q_K). \end{cases}$$

The colour sets used by η and θ are disjoint. Thus, ϕ uses at most $C_k a K$ colours. We claim that ϕ is a colouring of Q_{aK+b} that satisfies the strong assertion. Let $S = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in V(Q_{aK+b})^k$, with $\hat{S} = (\hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_k) \in V(Q_{(a-1)K+b})^k$ and $\tilde{S} = (\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_k) \in V(Q_K)^k$, where $\hat{v}_i \oplus \tilde{v}_i = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. By induction, there is a rainbow \hat{S} -subdivided closed walk \hat{W} in $Q_{(a-1)K+b}$ under η , and a rainbow \tilde{S} -subdivided closed walk \tilde{W} in Q_K under θ . Let $\hat{W} = (\hat{v}_1, L_1, \dots, \hat{v}_k, L_k, \hat{v}_1)$ and $\tilde{W} = (\tilde{v}_1, M_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_k, M_k, \tilde{v}_1)$. Now for consecutive vertices v_i and v_{i+1} , where $1 \leq i \leq k$, we define the $v_i - v_{i+1}$ path P_i in Q_{aK+b} , as follows.

- If $v_i = v_{i+1}$, then P_i is trivial.
- If $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$, with $\hat{v}_i = \hat{v}_{i+1}$, then let P_i be the copy of M_i that connects v_i and v_{i+1} . Note that P_i has length at least 2.
- Let $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$, with $\hat{v}_i \neq \hat{v}_{i+1}$. Then L_i has length at least 2. There exist copies of L_i within the copies of $Q_{(a-1)K+b}$ that contain v_i and v_{i+1} . Let L' and L'' be these two copies of L_i . Let u be an internal vertex of L_i , and u', u'' be the copies of u in L' and L'' . Let M be the copy of M_i that connects u' and u'' . Note that if v_i and v_{i+1} lie in the same copy of $Q_{(a-1)K+b}$, i.e., $\tilde{v}_i = \tilde{v}_{i+1}$, then $L' = L''$, $u' = u''$, and M is trivial. Let $P_i = v_i L' u' M u'' L'' v_{i+1}$, which has length at least 2.

Now, let $W = (v_1, P_1, \dots, v_k, P_k, v_1)$. Then W uses exactly the colours of \hat{W} and \tilde{W} , so W is rainbow coloured in Q_{aK+b} under ϕ . We claim that the paths P_i are pairwise internally vertex-disjoint. It suffices to consider two paths of the type as described in the third item above. Let $P_i = v_i L' u' M u'' L'' v_{i+1}$ and $P_j = v_j L^* u^* N u^{**} L^{**} v_{j+1}$ be two such paths, for some $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ with $v_i \neq v_j$. By considering the first $(a-1)K+b$ coordinates of the vertices of $V(v_i L' u' \cup u'' L'' v_{i+1}) \setminus \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ and $V(v_j L^* u^* \cup u^{**} L^{**} v_{j+1}) \setminus \{v_j, v_{j+1}\}$, we obtain the internal vertices of L_i and L_j , so these two sets of vertices are disjoint. By considering the last K coordinates of the internal vertices of M and N , we see that these two sets are disjoint, since we obtain the internal vertices of M_i and M_j . Similarly, $V(v_i L' u' \cup u'' L'' v_{i+1}) \setminus \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ is disjoint from the set of internal vertices of N , since the last K coordinates of any vertex in the former set is either \tilde{v}_i or \tilde{v}_{i+1} , while those of any vertex in the latter set are neither. The claim follows, and hence W is a rainbow S -subdivided closed walk in Q_{aK+b} . This completes the induction step for the strong assertion, and the proof of the upper bound of (1).

Now, for the lower bound of (1), we prove that $crx_k(Q_n) > \frac{kn}{2}$ for $n \geq 4k^2$. We find k vertices in Q_n such that the distance between every pair is greater than $\frac{n}{2}$. Then the shortest cycle containing the k vertices has length greater than $\frac{kn}{2}$, and the assertion follows. Let $k' = 2^{\lceil \log_2 k \rceil} \geq 4$, the smallest power of 2 that is at least k . A *Hadamard matrix* is a $q \times q$ $(-1, 1)$ -matrix such that any two column vectors are orthogonal. Equivalently, for any two column vectors x and y , the number of coordinates where x and y agree equals the number of coordinates where x and y differ. The famous *Hadamard conjecture* states that whenever q is a multiple of 4, then there exists a $q \times q$ Hadamard matrix. We employ *Sylvester's construction*, which gives the existence of a Hadamard matrix for every q that is a power of 2. The construction is given by the following recursion.

$$H_1 = (1), \text{ and } H_{2^t} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{2^{t-1}} & H_{2^{t-1}} \\ H_{2^{t-1}} & -H_{2^{t-1}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } t \geq 1.$$

Consider the $k' \times k'$ Hadamard matrix $H_{k'}$. Every entry in the first row is a 1. Delete the first row of $H_{k'}$, replace every -1 with a 0, and take k of the k' resulting column $(k' - 1)$ -vectors, say c_1, \dots, c_k . Let $A_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} A_{k'-1}$ be a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, where $|A_j| = \lfloor \frac{n}{k'-1} \rfloor$ or $|A_j| = \lceil \frac{n}{k'-1} \rceil$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k' - 1$. Let v_1, \dots, v_k be the n -vectors where $v_{\ell i} = c_{\ell j}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $i \in A_j$. Any two of c_1, \dots, c_k have exactly $\frac{k'}{2}$ differing coordinates, so the distance between any two of v_1, \dots, v_k is at least $\frac{k'}{2} \lfloor \frac{n}{k'-1} \rfloor > \frac{n}{2}$, since $n \geq 4k^2 > (k' - 1)^2$. Thus, any cycle of Q_n containing v_1, \dots, v_k has length greater than $\frac{kn}{2}$.

Therefore, both inequalities of (1) hold for $n \geq 4k^2$. The proof of Theorem 14 is complete. \square

Remark. Many other problems concerning the existence of rainbow cycles in edge-coloured discrete binary cubes have also been considered. A result of Faudree et al. [20] states that, for $n \geq 4$, $n \neq 5$, there exists an edge-colouring of Q_n with n colours such that, every copy of C_4 is rainbow. Since such an edge-colouring of Q_n must be proper, n colours are also necessary. This result was extended by Mubayi and Stading [30]. They proved that for $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, there exists an edge-colouring of Q_n with $\Theta_n(n^{\ell/4})$ colours such that, every copy of C_ℓ is rainbow, and moreover, $\Theta_n(n^{\ell/4})$ colours are also necessary. These may be considered as edge-chromatic number type results, and they appear to be closely related to our problem of the determination of $crx_k(Q_n)$.

In Theorem 14, we have only determined the correct order of magnitude of $crx_k(Q_n)$ for fixed k and large n , and we have made no serious attempt to optimise the lower bound on n (in terms of k), or the terms c_k and C_k . We have $c_k = \frac{k}{2}$ and $C_k = 2^{22k-1}$, so the gap between c_k and C_k , when considered as a function of k , is very large. It would be desirable to reduce this large gap. We leave the determination of $crx_k(Q_n)$ as an open problem.

Problem 17. For $n \geq 4$, determine $crx_k(Q_n)$ for every $4 \leq k < 2^{n-1}$.

Acknowledgement

Henry Liu is partially supported by the Startup Fund of One Hundred Talent Program of SYSU, National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11931002), and National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2020YFA0712500).

References

- [1] M. Albert, A.M. Frieze, B. Reed, Multicoloured Hamilton cycles, *Electron. J. Combin.* 2 (1995), #R10.
- [2] R.E.L. Aldred, B.D. McKay, N.C. Wormald, Small hypohamiltonian graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 23 (1997), 143–152.
- [3] B. Alexeev, On lengths of rainbow cycles, *Electron. J. Combin.* 13 (2006), #R105.
- [4] N. Alon, On a conjecture of Erdős, Simonovits, and Sós concerning anti-Ramsey theorems, *J. Graph Theory* 7 (1983), 91–94.

- [5] R.N. Ball, A. Pultr, P. Vojtěchovský, Colored graphs without colorful cycles, *Combinatorica* 27 (2007), 407–427
- [6] B. Bollobás, “Extremal Graph Theory”, Academic Press, London, 1978.
- [7] B. Bollobás, “Modern Graph Theory”, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [8] B. Bollobás, G. Brightwell, Cycles through specified vertices, *Combinatorica* 13 (1993), 147–155.
- [9] B. Bollobás, A.J. Harris, List-colourings of graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 1 (1985), 115–127.
- [10] B. Bollobás, A. Thomason, Highly linked graphs, *Combinatorica* 16 (1996), 313–320.
- [11] J.A. Bondy, L. Lovász, Cycles through specified vertices of a graph, *Combinatorica* 1 (1981), 117–140.
- [12] Q. Cai, X. Li, J. Song, Solutions to conjectures on the (k, ℓ) -rainbow index of complete graphs, *Networks* 62 (2013), 220–224.
- [13] G. Chartrand, F. Okamoto, P. Zhang, Rainbow trees in graphs and generalized connectivity, *Networks* 55 (2010), 360–367.
- [14] R. Diestel, “Graph Theory”, 5th ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2018.
- [15] G.A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 2 (1952), 69–81.
- [16] G.A. Dirac, 4-chrome Graphen und vollständige 4-Graphen, *Math. Nachr.* 22 (1960), 51–60.
- [17] P. Erdős, J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl, Some problems related to partitions of edges of a graph, in: *Graphs and other Combinatorial Topics*, Teubner, Leipzig (1983), 54–63.
- [18] P. Erdős, A.L. Rubin, H. Taylor, Choosability in graphs, in: *Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing* (Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1979), Congress. Numer. XXVI (1980), 125–157.
- [19] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, V.T. Sós, Anti-Ramsey theorems, in: A. Hajnal, R. Rado, V.T. Sós (eds), *Infinite and Finite Sets, Vol. II*, Proc. Coll. Keszthely, 1973, Colloquia Math. Soc. János Bolyai 10, North-Holland (1975), 633–643.
- [20] R.J. Faudree, A. Gyárfás, L. Lesniak, R.H. Schelp, Rainbow coloring the cube, *J. Graph Theory* 17 (1993), 607–612.
- [21] A. Frieze, M. Krivelevich, On rainbow trees and cycles, *Electron. J. Combin.* 15 (2008), #R59.
- [22] G. Hahn, C. Thomassen, Path and cycle sub-Ramsey numbers and an edge-colouring conjecture, *Discrete Math.* 62 (1986), 29–33.
- [23] T.R. Jensen, B. Toft, “Graph Coloring Problems”, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1995.

- [24] T. Jiang, D.B. West, On the Erdős-Simonovits-Sós conjecture about the anti-Ramsey number of a cycle, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 12 (2003), 585–598,
- [25] H.A. Jung, Eine Verallgemeinerung des n -fachen Zusammenhangs für graphen, *Math. Ann.* 187 (1970), 95–103.
- [26] P. Keevash, D. Mubayi, B. Sudakov, J. Verstraëte, Rainbow Turán problems, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 16 (2007), 109–126.
- [27] D.G. Larman, P. Mani, On the existence of certain configurations within graphs and the 1-skeletons of polytopes, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 20 (1970), 144–160.
- [28] S. Li, Y. Shi, J. Tu, Y. Zhao, On the complexity of k -rainbow cycle colouring problems, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 264 (2019), 125–133.
- [29] K. Menger, Zur allgemeinen Kurventheorie, *Fund. Math.* 10 (1927), 96–115.
- [30] D. Mubayi, R. Stading, Coloring the cube with rainbow cycles, *Electron. J. Combin.* 20(2) (2013), #R4.
- [31] J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros, V. Neumann-Lara, An anti-Ramsey theorem on cycles, *Graphs Combin.* 21 (2005), 343–354.
- [32] R. Thomas, P. Wollan, An improved linear edge bound for graph linkages, *European J. Combin.* 26 (2005), 309–324
- [33] V.G. Vizing, On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p -graph (in Russian), *Diskret. Analiz.* 3 (1964), 25–30.
- [34] V.G. Vizing, Coloring the vertices of a graph in prescribed colors (in Russian), *Diskret. Analiz.* 29 (1976), 3–10.