

Chromatic and Clique number of Generalized Sierpiński Gasket Graph $S[G, t]$

Fatemeh Attarzadeh*

Department of Mathematics, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran.

Ahmad Abbasi †

Department of Mathematics, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran.

Ali Behtoei‡

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,

Imam Khomeini International University,

Qazvin, Iran, PO Box: 34148 - 96818.

Abstract

In this paper we study the chromatic number and the clique number for the generalized Sierpiński gasket $S[G, t]$ in which the base graph G is an arbitrary simple graph. For the clique number, we show that $\omega(S[G, t]) = \omega(G)$ for each graph G and each positive integer t . For the chromatic number, we prove that $\chi(S[G, 2]) = \chi(G)$ and $\chi(G) \leq \chi(S[G, t]) \leq \chi(G) + 1$ for each graph G and each $t \geq 3$. Also, for each bipartite graph G we show that $\chi(S[G, t]) = \chi(G)$ and among some other results, we conjecture that $\chi(S[G, t]) = \chi(G)$ for each graph G and each $t \geq 3$.

Keywords: Chromatic number, clique number, Sierpiński gasket.

MSC 2010: 05C15, 05C69.

1 Introduction

Sierpiński type graphs appear naturally in diverse areas of mathematics and other scientific fields, see for example [2] and [6]. Sierpiński gasket graphs introduced in 1944 by Scorer, Grundy and Smith [17], are one of the most important families of such graphs which are obtained after a finite number of iterations and have a significant role in diverse areas. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The set $N_G(u)$

*prs.attarzadeh@gmail.com

†aabbasi@guilan.ac.ir

‡Corresponding author, a.behtoei@sci.ikiu.ac.ir

denotes the (open) neighborhood of $u \in V(G)$, which means the set of all adjacent vertices to u in G and the degree of vertex u is $\deg_G(u) = |N_G(u)|$. A clique C in G is a subset of vertices of G such that every two distinct vertices in C are adjacent and hence, the induced subgraph of G on it is a complete graph. The clique number of G , $\omega(G)$, is the maximum size among all cliques of G . A (proper vertex) k -coloring of G is an assignment of k colors to the vertices of G in such a way that no pair of adjacent vertices receive the same color, and the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ is the minimum integer k for which a k -coloring for G exists. Motivated by topological studies of the Lipscomb's space, Klavžar et al. introduced the Sierpiński graph $S(K_n, t)$ in which the base graph is the complete graph K_n , see [12] and [13]. More generally, see [4], the t -th generalized Sierpiński of an arbitrary graph $G = (V, E)$, denoted by $S(G, t)$, is the graph with vertex set V^t (the set of all words of length t on the alphabet V) and two vertices $\mathbf{u} = u_1u_2 \dots u_t$ and $\mathbf{v} = v_1v_2 \dots v_t$ are adjacent in it if and only if there exist $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ such that

- (i) $u_j = v_j$ if $j < i$,
- (ii) $u_i \neq v_i$ and $u_iv_i \in E(G)$,
- (iii) $u_j = v_i$ and $v_j = u_i$ if $j > i$.

In this case, $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}$ is considered as a linking edge appeared at step i . For convenient, we usually let $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, see Figure 1.




Figure 1: A graph G and its generalized Sierpiński $S(G, 2)$.

In general, $S(G, t)$ can be constructed recursively from the base graph G with the following process: $S(G, 1) = G$ and, for $t \geq 2$, we copy n times $S(G, t - 1)$ and add the letter i at the beginning of each label of the vertices belonging to the copy of $S(G, t - 1)$ corresponding to vertex i (let $S_i(G, t)$ be the subgraph of $S(G, t)$ induced by these vertices

which is also isomorphic to $S(G, t - 1)$). Then for each edge $ij \in E(G)$, we add an edge between two vertices $ijj\dots j$ and $jii\dots i$ (a linking edge). Vertices of the form $ii\dots i$ (where $1 \leq i \leq n$) are called extreme vertices. Note that $S_i(G, t)$ is isomorphic to $S(G, t - 1)$ and consequently, $S(G, t)$ contains n^{t-1} copies of the graph $S(G, 1) = G$. Also, if $ij \in E(G)$, then the vertex $ijj\dots j$ in the copy $S_i(G, t)$ is adjacent to the vertex $jii\dots i$ in copy $S_j(G, t)$ and this is the unique edge between these two copies. It is shown in [16] that the order of generalized Sierpiński graph $S(G, t)$ is n^t and its size is $|E(G)| \frac{n^t - 1}{n - 1}$.

Sierpiński graphs $S(K_n, t)$ are almost regular and possess many appealing properties, as for instance several coding and several metric properties [5]. The graph $S(K_3, t)$ is isomorphic to the Tower of Hanoi game graph with t disks, see [12] and polymer networks and WK-recursive networks can be modeled by generalized Sierpiński graphs, see [15]. In [14] Parisse proved that $\chi(S(K_n, t)) = n$. Rodríguez-Velázquez et al. in [16] obtained closed formulae for several graphical parameters of the generalized Sierpiński graph $S(G, t)$ (including chromatic, vertex cover, clique, independence and domination number) in terms of the parameters of the base graph G . In particular, they prove that $\omega(S(G, t)) = \omega(G)$ and $\chi(S(G, t)) = \chi(G)$. Also, in [9] the degree sequence of $S(G, t)$ is completely determined in terms of the degree sequence of G .

As mentioned before, one of the most important families of fractal like graphs is the the family of Sierpiński gasket graphs (Sierpiński fractals) which appears frequently and has many applications in different areas. The Sierpiński gasket graph S_t is just a step from the Sierpiński graph $S(K_3, t)$ and is constructed from the Sierpiński graph $S(K_3, t)$ by contracting every edge of $S(K_3, t)$ that lies in no triangle K_3 (i.e. by contracting all newly added edges, linking edges, during the iterations), see [11]. The Sierpiński and the Sierpiński gasket of $G = K_3$ at step $t = 3$ are shown in Figure 2. The vertex-colorings, edge-colorings




Figure 2: The Sierpiński graph and the Sierpiński gasket graph at step 3.

and total-colorings of the Sierpiński gasket graphs and some other Sierpiński like graphs are

studied in [8]. In [11] it is shown that the clique number and the chromatic number of S_t is three, S_t is uniquely 3-colorable and that the edge chromatic number (chromatic index) of S_t is 4 when $t \geq 2$. In [7] the same construction method is applied for any Sierpiński graph $S(K_n, t)$ by contracting edges that lie in no induced complete subgraphs K_n (i.e. by contracting all linking edges) and the resulting graph is called a generalized Sierpiński gasket graph which is denoted by $S[K_n, t]$. In [7] Jakovac studied several properties of graphs $S[K_n, t]$ including hamiltonicity and chromatic number. He show that $\chi(S[K_n, t]) = n$ and that $S[K_n, t]$ is Hamiltonian.

2 Generalized Sierpiński Gasket $S[G, t]$

Since generalized Sierpiński gasket graphs $S[K_n, t]$ are important and are naturally derived from Sierpiński graphs $S(K_n, t)$ by contracting the linking edges, we can apply the same for each (base) graph G to construct the (more) generalized Sierpiński gasket graph $S[G, t]$, see also [1].

Definition 1. Let t be a positive integer and G be a simple graph of order $n \geq 2$ with the vertex set $V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The (more) generalized Sierpiński gasket graph $S[G, t]$ is obtained by contracting all of linking edges in the generalized Sierpiński $S(G, t)$ during the iteration processes.

Similar to the structures of Sierpiński gaskets and generalized Sierpiński graph $S(G, t)$, the generalized Sierpiński gasket $S[G, t]$ can be constructed by using all the vertices of G replaced by the copies of $S[G, t - 1]$ and then contracting all linking edges between these copies. Let $S_i[G, t]$ be the copy of $S[G, t - 1]$ in $S[G, t]$ corresponding to the vertex $i \in V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Note that if $ij \in E(G)$, then the linking edge between two vertices $ijj \dots j$ and $jii \dots i$ in $S(G, t)$ is contracted in $S[G, t]$. This (newly contracted) vertex will be denoted by $\{i, j\}_t$ in $S[G, t]$ (or, for convenient by $\{i, j\}$ when $t = 2$) and we say that the expanded forms of $\{i, j\}_t$ are $ijj \dots j$ and $jii \dots i$. Some times and for convenient, we identify a contracted vertex with its expanded form representations. In fact $\{i, j\}_t$ is the unique common vertex of two copies $S_i[G, t]$ and $S_j[G, t]$, see Figure 3. Since $|V(S[G, t])| = n|V(S[G, t - 1])| - |E(G)|$ and $|E(S[G, t])| = n|E(S[G, t - 1])|$, the order of $S[G, t]$ is

$$n^t - (n^{t-2} + \dots + n + 1)|E(G)| = n^t - |E(G)| \frac{n^{t-1} - 1}{n - 1}$$

and its size is $|E(G)| n^{t-1}$.

Also, when $ij \in E(G)$, two vertices $\mathbf{u} = u_1 u_2 \dots u_r i j j \dots j$ and $\mathbf{v} = u_1 u_2 \dots u_r j i i \dots i$ (in which $0 \leq r \leq t - 2$ and $u_l \in V$ for each $1 \leq l \leq r$) are adjacent in $S(G, t)$




Figure 3: A graph G and its generalized Sierpiński gasket $S[G, 2]$.

and the linking edge \mathbf{uv} (which is actually produced at step $t - r$ in the iteration process of $S(G, t)$) is contracted in $S[G, t]$ and this (contracted) vertex may be denoted by $u_1u_2 \dots u_r\{i, j\}_{t-r}$ (or, for convenient by $u_1u_2 \dots u_r\{i, j\}$ when $t - r = 2$) whose expanded forms are $u_1u_2 \dots u_r i j j \dots j$ and $u_1u_2 \dots u_r j i i \dots i$. Klavžar et al. in [10] proved that when G is a graph with $cc(G)$ connected components, then the generalized Sierpiński graph $S(G, t)$ has $\frac{1}{n-1}(n^t(cc(G) - 1) + n - cc(G))$ connected components. Since edge contraction do not change the number of connected components, the following result directly follows, and by considering the iteration process of producing $S[G, t]$, Observation 3 will be obviously obtained.

Proposition 2. *If G is a graph with $cc(G)$ connected components, then the generalized Sierpiński gasket $S[G, t]$ have $\frac{1}{n-1}(n^t(cc(G) - 1) + n - cc(G))$ connected components.*

Observation 3. *If G is an acyclic graph, then for each $t \geq 1$, $S[G, t]$ is acyclic. Also If G contains l cycles of order k , then $S[G, t]$ contains at least $l n^{t-1}$ cycles of order k .*

It is well known that the problem of finding a maximum clique is NP-complete, [3]. We want to show that the clique number of the generalized Sierpiński gasket graph $S[G, t]$ is equal to the clique number of its base graph G . For this purpose, we need to determine the neighborhood of each vertex in $S[G, t]$. First, consider the structure of $S[G, 2]$ and assume that i and j are two non-adjacent vertices in G . Then the (non-contracted) vertex ij in $S[G, 2]$ is adjacent just to a vertex in the form il for which j and l are adjacent in G . Since i and l may be adjacent or non-adjacent in G , we deduce that

$$N_{S[G,2]}(ij) = \{il : jl \in E(G), il \notin E(G)\} \cup \{\{i, l\}_2 : jl \in E(G), il \in E(G)\}.$$

Now assume that i and j are two adjacent vertices in G . The expanded forms of the (contracted) vertex $\{i, j\}_2$ of $S[G, 2]$ are ij and ji . Therefore,

$$N_{S[G, 2]}(\{i, j\}_2) = \{il : jl \in E(G), il \notin E(G)\} \cup \{\{i, l\}_2 : jl \in E(G), il \in E(G)\} \\ \cup \{jl : il \in E(G), jl \notin E(G)\} \cup \{\{j, l\}_2 : il \in E(G), jl \in E(G)\}.$$

Lemma 4. *Two contracted vertices $\{i, j\}_2$ and $\{l, k\}_2$ are adjacent in $S[G, 2]$, if and only if $|\{i, j\} \cap \{l, k\}| = 1$ and the induced subgraph of G on 3-set $\{i, j, k, l\}$ is a triangle.*

Proof. First, suppose that two (newly) contracted vertices $\{i, j\}_2$ and $\{l, k\}_2$ are adjacent in $S[G, 2]$. Thus, i and j are two adjacent vertices in G , and l, k are adjacent in G as well. According to the construction method, two contracted vertices are adjacent just when both are in the same copy. Hence, $|\{i, j\} \cap \{l, k\}| = 1$. Without loss of generality, assume that $l = i$ i.e. these two adjacent (contracted) vertices are in the i -th copy $S_i[G, 2]$. Thus i is adjacent to both of vertices j and k in G . Two expanded forms of $\{i, j\}_2$ are ij and ji that are connected with an edge in $S(G, 2)$. Similarly, two expanded forms of $\{i, k\}_2$ are ik and ki . By considering the structure of $S(G, t)$ and since two vertices ij and ki are not adjacent nor ji with ki , we must have $(ij)(ik) \in E(S(G, t))$. Thus $jk \in E(G)$ and hence, three vertices i, j, k form a triangle in G . Now the converse is also obvious. \square

Next, consider the structure of $S[G, t]$ with $t \geq 3$.

Lemma 5. *Let $t \geq 3$ be an integer. If two contracted vertices are adjacent in $S[G, t]$, then at least one of them is obtained by contracting a linking edge at step 2.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u} = u_1 u_2 \dots u_r \{i, j\}_{t-r}$ be a vertex in $S[G, t]$ which is contracted at step $(t - r) \geq 3$, $ij \in E(G)$. The expanded forms of this vertex are $\mathbf{z} = u_1 u_2 \dots u_r i j j \dots j$ and $\mathbf{z}' = u_1 u_2 \dots u_r j i i \dots i$. By considering the adjacency rule in $S(G, t)$, since $t - r \geq 3$ the vertex \mathbf{z} is adjacent just to a vertex in the form $u_1 u_2 \dots u_r i j j \dots j l$ with $l \in N_G(j)$. According to the construction process, this vertex is contracted at step 2 and becomes in the form $u_1 u_2 \dots u_r i j j \dots j \{j, l\}_2$. Similarly, \mathbf{z}' in $S(G, t)$ is adjacent just to a vertex in the form $u_1 u_2 \dots u_r j i i \dots i l'$ with $l' \in N_G(i)$. Hence, in $S[G, t]$ the contracted vertex $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{z}'$ is adjacent just to a vertex in the form $u_1 u_2 \dots u_r j i i \dots i \{i, l'\}_2$. Thus each neighbour of \mathbf{u} is in the form $u_1 u_2 \dots u_r i j j \dots j \{j, l\}_2$ or $u_1 u_2 \dots u_r j i i \dots i \{i, l'\}_2$ which are contracted vertices at step two. \square

Corollary 6. *If $t \geq 3$, then the set $\{\{i, j\}_t : ij \in E(G)\}$ consisting of all (newly) contracted vertices at step t , forms an independent set in $S[G, t]$.*

Now by considering the adjacency rule in $S(G, t)$, the structure of $S[G, t]$ which is obtained by contracting the linking edges of $S(G, t)$ and Lemma 5, we get the following result.

Proposition 7. Assume that $t \geq 3$. For the neighbors of typical vertices $\mathbf{z} = \{i, j\}_t$, $\mathbf{y} = y_1 y_2 \dots y_t$ and $\mathbf{x} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \{i, j\}_{t-r}$ in $S[G, t]$, where $ij \in E(G)$ and $1 \leq r \leq t - 2$, we have the following statements.

i) $N_{S[G, t]}(\mathbf{z})$ is equal to

$$\{ijj \dots j\{j, l\}_2 : lj \in E(G)\} \cup \{jii \dots i\{i, l\}_2 : li \in E(G)\}.$$

ii) $N_{S[G, t]}(\mathbf{y})$ is equal to

$$\{y_1 y_2 \dots y_{t-1} l : ly_t \in E(G), ly_{t-1} \notin E(G)\} \cup \{y_1 y_2 \dots y_{t-2} \{y_{t-1}, l\}_2 : ly_t, ly_{t-1} \in E(G)\}.$$

iii) If $(t - r) \geq 3$, then $N_{S[G, t]}(\mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$\{x_1 x_2 \dots x_r i j j \dots j \{j, l\}_2 : lj \in E(G)\} \cup \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_r j i i \dots i \{i, l\}_2 : li \in E(G)\}.$$

iv) If $(t - r) = 2$, then $N_{S[G, t]}(\mathbf{x})$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_{t-2} i l : il \notin E(G), jl \in E(G)\} \cup \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_{t-2} \{i, l\}_2 : il \in E(G), jl \in E(G)\} \cup \\ & \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_{t-2} j l : jl \notin E(G), il \in E(G)\} \cup \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_{t-2} \{j, l\}_2 : jl \in E(G), il \in E(G)\} \cup \Omega \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} \emptyset & x_1 x_2 \dots x_{t-2} \notin \{ijj \dots j, jii \dots i\}, \\ \{\{i, j\}_t\} & x_1 x_2 \dots x_{t-2} \in \{ijj \dots j, jii \dots i\}. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, when G contains a triangle then $S[G, t]$ (which has at least one subgraph isomorphic to G) contains a triangle. In the following result we show that the converse is also true and hence, G contains a triangle if and only if $S[G, t]$ does.

Proposition 8. If G is a triangle-free graph, then $S[G, t]$ is triangle-free.

Proof. Let G be a triangle-free graph and assume on the contrary that $S[G, t]$ contains a triangle with three vertices A, B, C . If $A = a_1 a_2 \dots a_t$, $B = b_1 b_2 \dots b_t$ and $C = c_1 c_2 \dots c_t$ are three non-contracted vertices in $S[G, t]$, then we must have $a_{t-1} a_t, b_{t-1} b_t, c_{t-1} c_t \notin E(G)$. Since A, B, C are pairwise adjacent, we must have $a_t b_t, b_t c_t, c_t a_t \in E(G)$ which means that three (distinct) vertices a_t, b_t, c_t form a triangle in G , a contradiction. Thus assume that at least one of these three vertices, say A , is a contracted vertex (at some step). If $A = a_1 a_2 \dots a_r \{i, j\}_{t-r}$ is a contracted vertex at step $(t - r) \geq 3$, then Lemma 5 implies that its neighbors B and C are contracted vertices at step two and hence may be considered as vertices in some copies of $S[G, 2]$. Since B and C are adjacent, Lemma 4 implies that G has a triangle, a contradiction. By similar arguments, we can assume that just one vertex

in $\{A, B, C\}$ is a contracted vertex at step 2 and two others are non-contracted vertices. Thus, assume that $A = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{t-2} \{i, j\}_2$ in which $ij \in E(G)$. We may have $t = 2$ or $t \geq 3$. By considering the argument before Lemma 4, the case (iv) in Proposition 7, the adjacency of B and C , and without loss of generality, we can assume that $B = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{t-2} il$ and $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{t-2} il'$ in which $lj, l'j, ll' \in E(G)$. Then, j, l, l' form a triangle in G , a contradiction. Thus, $S[G, t]$ is a triangle-free graph, as required. \square

Proposition 8 means that the existence of a clique of size 3 in $S[G, t]$ leads to the existence of a clique with the similar size 3 in G . In the following result we show that each clique of size at least four in $S[G, t]$ is in fact completely inside a copy $S_i[G, t]$ for some i .

Proposition 9. *Let C be a clique in $S[G, t]$ with $|C| \geq 4$. Then for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $C \subseteq V(S_i[G, t])$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{z} \in C$ and hence $\mathbf{z} \in V(S_i[G, t])$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $n = |V(G)|$. If \mathbf{z} do not be a (newly) contracted vertex at step t , then three cases (ii) to (iv) in Proposition 7 imply that each neighbour of \mathbf{z} is in $V(S_i[G, t])$ and hence, $C \subseteq V(S_i[G, t])$ which completes the proof. Thus, assume that each vertex of C is a (newly) contracted vertex at step t . Specially, we have $\mathbf{z} = \{i, j\}_t$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ in which $ij \in E(G)$. By the case (i) in Proposition 7, each neighbour of \mathbf{z} is in $V(S_i[G, t]) \cup V(S_j[G, t])$ and this implies that $C \subseteq V(S_i[G, t]) \cup V(S_j[G, t])$. If $C \subseteq V(S_i[G, t])$ or $C \subseteq V(S_j[G, t])$, then the proof is complete. Therefore, assume (on the contrary) that there exist two vertices $\mathbf{u} \in C \cap V(S_i[G, t])$ and $\mathbf{v} \in C \cap V(S_j[G, t])$ with $\mathbf{uz} \in E(S[G, t])$ and $\mathbf{vz} \in E(S[G, t])$. Since C is a clique, we have $\mathbf{uv} \in E(S[G, t])$ and hence, there exists $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i, j\}$ such that $\mathbf{u} = \{i, k\}_t$ and $\mathbf{v} = \{j, k\}_t$ with $\{ik, jk\} \subseteq E(G)$. Thus, \mathbf{u} has no neighbour in $V(S_j[G, t])$ except \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{v} has no neighbour in $V(S_i[G, t])$ except \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{u} . Thus $C = \{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$ and $|C| \neq 4$, a contradiction which completes the proof. \square

Assume that there exists a clique C with at least 4 vertices in $S[G, t]$. Then, by Proposition 9 there exists $1 \leq i_1 \leq n$ such that $C \subseteq V(S_{i_1}[G, t])$. Since $S_{i_1}[G, t]$ is isomorphic to $S[G, t-1]$, for convenient we can consider $S_{i_1}[G, t]$ as $S[G, t-1]$ and hence, C is in fact a clique with at least 4 vertices in $S[G, t-1]$. Similarly, by Proposition 9 there exists $1 \leq i_2 \leq n$ such that $C \subseteq V(S_{i_2}[G, t-1])$. By repeatig this process, we can find a sequence of numbers i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{t-1} such that

$$C \subseteq V(S_{i_{t-1}}[G, 2]) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V(S_{i_2}[G, t-1]) \subseteq V(S_{i_1}[G, t]).$$

Since $S_{i_{t-1}}[G, 2]$ can be considered as G , we obtain the following result which will be applied in the next theorem for determining the exact value of the clique number of $S[G, t]$.

Corollary 10. *If C is a clique with at least 4 vertices in $S[G, t]$, then C is completely inside a copy of G .*

Theorem 11. *For each graph G and each positive integer t , we have $\omega(S[G, t]) = \omega(G)$.*

Proof. Since G is a subgraph of $S[G, t]$, we have $\omega(G) \leq \omega(S[G, t])$. If $\omega(G) = 1$, then G does not have any edge and hence $S[G, t]$ does not have any edge, which implies that $\omega(S[G, t]) = 1 = \omega(G)$, as required. If $\omega(G) = 2$, then G is a triangle-free graph and Proposition 8 implies that $S[G, t]$ is also triangle-free. Hence, $\omega(S[G, t]) = 2 = \omega(G)$, as required. If $\omega(G) = 3$, then Corollary 10 implies that $\omega(S[G, t]) \leq 3$ and hence, $\omega(S[G, t]) = 3 = \omega(G)$. Thus assume that $\omega(G) \geq 4$. Again, Corollary 10 implies that $\omega(S[G, t]) \leq \omega(G)$. Hence, $\omega(S[G, t]) = \omega(G)$, which completes the proof. \square

The clique number of each graph is a lower bound for its chromatic number. Thus by using Theorem 11 we see that $\omega(G) \leq \omega(S[G, t]) \leq \chi(S[G, t])$. Since G is a subgraph of $S[G, t]$ we can improve this lower bound as $\chi(G) \leq \chi(S[G, t])$. In 2014 Jakovac [7] proved that $\chi(S[K_n, t]) = \chi(K_n)$. Thus, the lower bound $\chi(G) \leq \chi(S[G, t])$ is attainable by complete graphs. In Theorem 16 we will show that this lower bound is attainable for bipartite graphs. Also, in the following we will prove that $\chi(S[G, t]) \leq 1 + \chi(G)$ which provides an interesting upper bound. It is well known that the chromatic number of a graph is 2 if and only if it is a bipartite graph (with non-empty edge set). Also, each tree is a bipartite graph. In [16] it is proved that if T is a tree, then the generalized Sierpiński $S(T, t)$ is a tree. Proposition 2 and Observation 3 implies that when T is a tree then the generalized Sierpiński gasket $S[T, t]$ is also a tree and the following result directly follows.

Proposition 12. *For each tree T of order $n \geq 2$ and each integer $t \geq 1$, $S[T, t]$ is a tree and $\chi(S[T, t]) = 2$.*

Theorem 13. *For each graph G , we have $\chi(S[G, 2]) = \chi(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\chi(G) = k$ and let $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be a proper vertex-coloring of G . Since G is a subgraph of $S[G, 2]$, we have $k = \chi(G) \leq \chi(S[G, 2])$. Now define the (coloring) function $g : V(S[G, 2]) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ as $g(xy) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $g(\{x', y'\}_2) = f(x') + f(y')$, in which the color numbers are considered in module k . We want to show that g is a proper vertex-coloring of $S[G, 2]$ and hence, $\chi(S[G, 2]) \leq k$, which completes the proof. Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be two adjacent vertices in $S[G, 2]$. Thus one of the following three cases will occur.

Case i. $\mathbf{u} = xy$ and $\mathbf{v} = xy_1$ for some $x, y, y_1 \in V(G)$ with $yy_1 \in E(G)$.

In this case, two vertices \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are in fact inside the copy $S_x[G, 2]$ which is isomorphic to

G . Since $yy_1 \in E(G)$ and f is the proper vertex-coloring of G , we have $f(y) \neq f(y_1)$ and hence,

$$g(xy) = f(x) + f(y) \neq f(x) + f(y_1) = g(xy_1).$$

In fact, g provides a proper k -coloring for $S_x[G, 2]$ by permuting the colors assigned by f to $V(G)$.

Case ii. $\mathbf{u} = \{x, y\}_2$ and $\mathbf{v} = xy_1$ for some $x, y, y_1 \in V(G)$ with $yy_1 \in E(G)$.

Since $f(y) \neq f(y_1)$, by the definition of g we obtain

$$g(\{x, y\}_2) = f(x) + f(y) \neq f(x) + f(y_1) = g(xy_1).$$

Case iii. $\mathbf{u} = \{x, y\}_2$ and $\mathbf{v} = \{x, y_1\}_2$ for some $x, y, y_1 \in V(G)$ with $yy_1 \in E(G)$.

Similarly, from $f(y) \neq f(y_1)$ we obtain $g(\{x, y\}_2) \neq g(\{x, y_1\}_2)$.

Hence, in each case the colors assigned by g to two adjacent vertices \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are different, and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 14. *Let G be an arbitrary graph and $t \geq 1$ be a positive integer. Then we have $\chi(S[G, t]) \leq \chi(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $|V(G)| = n$ and $\chi(G) = k$. For $t = 1$ we have $S[G, 1] = G$ and $\chi(S[G, 1]) = \chi(G)$. For $t = 2$, Theorem 13 implies that $\chi(S[G, 2]) = k$ and hence, there exists a proper k coloring $F_2 : V(S[G, 2]) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Now suppose that $t = 3$. Since for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ the i -th copy $S_i[G, 3]$ in $S[G, 3]$ is isomorphic to $S[G, 2]$, there exists a proper k -coloring $F_{2,i} : V(S_i[G, 3]) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ of the vertices of $S_i[G, 3]$. Let $A_3 = \{\{i, j\}_3 : ij \in E(G)\}$ be the set of all (newly) contracted vertices at step 3 in $S[G, 3]$. By Corollary 6, A_3 is an independent set in $S[G, 3]$. Define the (coloring) function $F_3 : V(S[G, 3]) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k, k + 1\}$ as

$$F_3(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} k + 1 & \mathbf{u} \in A_3, \\ F_{2,i}(\mathbf{u}) & \mathbf{u} \in V(S_i[G, 2]) \setminus A_3, 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Since A_3 is an independent set, each $F_{2,i}$ is a proper k -coloring for the copy $S_i[G, 3]$ and each pair of distinct copies $S_i[G, 3]$ and $S_j[G, 3]$ share at most one vertex $\{i, j\}_3$ in A_3 when $ij \in E(G)$, F_3 is a proper $(k + 1)$ -coloring for $S[G, 3]$ in which the color class of all vertices with color $k + 1$ is A_3 . Specially, $\chi(S[G, 3]) \leq k + 1$. Now let $t \geq 4$ and in an inductive way, assume that $\chi(S[G, t - 1]) \leq k + 1$ and there exists a proper $(k + 1)$ -coloring F_{t-1} of $S[G, t - 1]$ in which only contracted vertices at some step $s \in \{3, 4, \dots, t - 1\}$ received the color “ $k + 1$ ”. Note that $S_i[G, t]$ is isomorphic to $S[G, t - 1]$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $F_{t-1,i}$ be a proper $(k + 1)$ -coloring of $S_i[G, t]$ in which only contracted vertices at some step $3 \leq s \leq t - 1$ received the color “ $k + 1$ ”. Let A_t be the (independent)

set of all (newly) contracted vertices at step t in $S[G, t]$ and define the (coloring) function $F_t : V(S[G, t]) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k + 1\}$ as

$$F_t(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} k + 1 & \mathbf{u} \in A_t, \\ F_{t-1, i}(\mathbf{u}) & \mathbf{u} \in V(S_i[G, t]) \setminus A_t, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, F_t is a proper coloring for $S[G, t]$ and hence $\chi(S[G, t]) \leq k + 1$, which completes the proof. \square

Since G is a subgraph of $S[G, t]$, we have $\chi(G) \leq \chi(S[G, t])$ and hence, the following result directly follows from Theorem 14 which indicates that $\chi(S[G, t])$ is equal to either $\chi(G)$ or $\chi(G) + 1$.

Corollary 15. *For each graph G and each positive integer t we have*

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi(S[G, t]) \leq \chi(G) + 1.$$

In the following theorem, we generalize Proposition 12 for bipartite graphs.

Theorem 16. *If $\chi(G) = 2$, then for each positive integer t we have $\chi(S[G, t]) = 2$.*

Proof. By Corollary 15, it is sufficient to provide a proper 2-coloring for $S[G, t]$. Assume that $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ is a proper 2-coloring for G . The case $t = 1$ is obvious since $S[G, 1] = G$. For $t = 2$, Theorem 13 shows that $\chi(S[G, 2]) = \chi(G) = 2$ and its proof provides the proper 2-coloring function $g : V(S[G, 2]) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ defined as $g(u_1 u_2) = f(u_1) + f(u_2)$ and $g(\{u_1, u_2\}_2) = f(u_1) + f(u_2)$ (where the summations are considered in module 2). Note that for each contracted vertex $\{u_1, u_2\}_2$ in $V(S[G, 2])$ we have $u_1 u_2 \in E(G)$ and hence, $f(u_1) \neq f(u_2)$. Thus, $f(u_1) + f(u_2)$ is either $1 + 2$ or $2 + 1$, which in module 2 becomes 1. Hence, for each contracted vertex $\{u_1, u_2\}_2$ we have $g(\{u_1, u_2\}_2) = 1$. Also, for each extremum vertex like uu we have $g(uu) = 2$. Now let $t = 3$ and consider the graph $S[G, 3]$. Since for each $1 \leq i \leq |V(G)|$, $S_i[G, 3]$ is isomorphic to $S[G, 2]$, we can use the function g to provide a proper 2-coloring for the vertices of each $S_i[G, 3]$ in such a way that all contracted vertices at step two (like $i\{u_1, u_2\}_2$) receive the color 1 and the color of each vertex in the form iuu becomes 2. In $S[G, 3]$, the expanded forms of each (newly) contracted vertex $\{i, j\}_3$ are ijj and jii which both of them received the color 2 in colorings of $S_i[G, 3]$ and $S_j[G, 3]$, i.e. they agree in color, and $\{i, j\}_3$ can be considered as a vertex in $S[G, 3]$ whose color is 2 and its neighbours (which are contracted vertices at step two) have color 1. This provides a proper 2-coloring $S[G, 3]$ in which all contracted vertices at step two have color 1. By an inductive way, assume that $t \geq 4$ and there exists a proper 2-coloring of $S[G, t - 1]$ in which all contracted vertices at step two (like $u_1 u_2 \dots u_{t-3} \{i, j\}_2$) have color 1. By using

the same coloring on each copy $S_i[G, t]$ and by assigning color 2 to each newly contracted vertex at step t , Corollary 6 implies that a proper 2-coloring for $S[G, t]$ is obtained and the proof is complete. \square

Since the chromatic number of a graph G is 2 if and only if G is a bipartite graph with a non-empty edge set, the following result directly follows from Theorem 16.

Corollary 17. *If G is a bipartite graph, then $S[G, t]$ is bipartite.*

By considering these results, we provide the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *For each graph G and each integer $t \geq 1$ we have $\chi(S[G, t]) = \chi(G)$.*

Acknowledgments: The authors warmly thank the anonymous referees for reading this manuscript very carefully and providing numerous valuable corrections and suggestions which improve the quality of this paper.

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- [1] F. Attarzadeh, A. Abbasi and M. Gholamnia Taleshani, Some properties of the generalized sierpiński gasket graphs, 2024, DOI: 10.22108/TOC.2024.138919.2098.
- [2] G. Della Vecchia and C. Sanges, A recursively scalable network VLSI implementation, *Future Gener. Comput. Syst. Sci. Eng.* 4 (1988), 235-243.
- [3] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson: *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness.* W. H. Freeman & Co. 1979.
- [4] S. Gravier, M. Kovše and A. Parreau, Generalized Sierpiński graphs, in *Posters at EuroComb'11*, Renyi Institute, Budapest, (2011).
- [5] S. Gravier, M. Kovše, M. Mollard, J. Moncel and A. Parreau, New results on variants of covering codes in Sierpiński graphs, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 69 (2) (2013), 181-188.
- [6] A.M. Hinz, S. Klavžar and S.S. Zemljic, A survey and classification of Sierpiński-type graphs, *Discret. Appl. Math.* 217 (2017), 565-600.
- [7] M. Jakovac, A 2-parametric generalization of Sierpinski gasket graphs, *Ars Combin.*, 116 (2014) 395-405.
- [8] M. Jakovac, S. Klavžar, Vertex-, edge-, and total-colorings of Sierpiński-like graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 309 (2009) 1548-1556.

- [9] Khatibi, M. Behtoei A. and Attarzadeh, F. Degree sequence of the generalized Sierpiński graph, *Contrib. Discrete Math.*, 3 (1715-0868) (2020), 88-97.
- [10] S. Klavžar and S. Sabrina Zemljič, Connectivity and some other properties of generalized Sierpiński graphs, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, 12 (2018), 401-412.
- [11] S. Klavžar, Coloring Sierpinski graphs and Sierpinski gasket graphs. *Taiwan. J. Math.*, 12 (2008), 513-522.
- [12] S. Klavžar and U. Milutinović, Graphs $S(n,k)$ and a variant of the Tower of Hanoi problem, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 122 (1997), 95-104.
- [13] S. Klavžar, U. Milutinović and C. Petr, 1-perfect codes in Sierpiński graphs, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 66 (3) (2002), 369-384.
- [14] D. Parisse, On some metric properties of the Sierpiński graphs $S(n, k)$, *Ars Combinatoria* 90 (2009), 145-160.
- [15] J. A. Rodriguez-Velažquez, J. Tomás–Andreu, On the Randić index of polymeric networks modelled by generalized Sierpiński graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 74 (2015) 145-160.
- [16] J. A. Rodriguez-Velazquez, E. D. Rodriguez-Bazan, A. Estrada-Moreno, On generalized Sierpiński graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph T.*, 37 (3) (2017), 547-560.
- [17] R.S. Scorer, P.M. Grundy and C.A.B. Smith, Some binary games, *Math. Gaz.*, 28 (1944), 96-103.