

FLOW-DISTRIBUTION DEPENDENT SDES AND NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH fBm

ZIMO HAO, MICHAEL RÖCKNER AND XICHENG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the probabilistic representation of the Navier-Stokes equations, we introduce a novel class of stochastic differential equations that depend on flow distribution. We establish the existence and uniqueness of both strong and weak solutions under one-sided Lipschitz conditions and singular drifts. These newly proposed flow-distribution dependent stochastic differential equations are closely connected to quasilinear backward Kolmogorov equations and forward Fokker-Planck equations. Furthermore, we investigate a stochastic version of the 2D-Navier-Stokes equation associated with fractional Brownian noise. We demonstrate the global well-posedness and smoothness of solutions when the Hurst parameter H lies in the range $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ and the initial vorticity is a finite signed measure.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	3
1.2. The related PDEs	5
1.3. Main results	6
1.4. Related works	8
1.5. Organization of the paper	9
2. Preliminary	10
2.1. Flow probability measure space	10
2.2. Fractional Brownian motion and Girsanov's theorem	12
3. Well-posedness of FDSDE: Regular coefficients case	16
4. Well-posedness of FDSDE: Singular drift case	20
4.1. SDEs driven by fBm	20
4.2. FDSDEs driven by fBm	25
4.3. Backward FDSDEs driven by Brownian motion	26
5. 2D-Navier-Stokes equations with fBm	30
Appendix A. Proofs of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2	35
Appendix B. Technical Lemmas	37
References	40

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we fix $T > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and write

$$\mathbb{D}_T := \{(s, t) : 0 \leq s < t \leq T\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all probability measures over \mathbb{R}^d , which is endowed with the weak topology. Let $\mathcal{C}_\phi^d := C(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be the space of all continuous probability measure-valued

Date: May 30, 2024.

Keywords: Flow-distribution dependent SDE, Navier-Stokes equations, fractional Brownian motion.

This work is supported by National Key R&D program of China (No. 2023YFA1010103) and NNSFC grant of China (No. 12131019) and the DFG through the CRC 1283 ‘‘Taming uncertainty and profiting from randomness and low regularity in analysis, stochastics and their applications’’.

functions from \mathbb{R}^d to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\{W_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a d -dimensional standard Brownian motion on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. We consider the following nonlinear stochastic differential equation (SDE), also called flow-distribution dependent SDE (abbreviated as FDSDE): for $(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{D}_T \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*) dr + \int_s^t \Sigma(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*) dW_r, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mu_{s,t}^x = \mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,t}^x)^{-1}$ is the probability distribution measure of $X_{s,t}^x$, and

$$(B, \Sigma) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times C_{\mathcal{P}}^d \times C_{\mathcal{P}}^d \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$$

are two Borel measurable functions.

The main feature of SDE (1.1) is that the coefficients depend on the flow-distribution $x \mapsto \mu_{s,t}^x$ of the solution itself, even the future distribution. Of course, one can regard $\mu_{s,t}^x$ as a probability kernel. Such type of SDE naturally arises in the stochastic representation of Navier-Stokes equations as we shall see in the next subsection. To go further the discussion, we first introduce the following notion of a solution to the above SDE:

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathfrak{F} := (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a stochastic basis. We call a pair of stochastic processes $((X_{s,t}^x)_{(s,t,x) \in \mathbb{D}_T \times \mathbb{R}^d}, (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$ defined on \mathfrak{F} a weak solution of FDSDE (1.1), if

- (i) W_t is a standard d -dimensional \mathcal{F}_t -Brownian motion;
- (ii) For each $(s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T$, $\mathbb{R}^d \ni x \rightarrow \mu_{s,t}^x := \mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,t}^x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is weakly continuous;
- (iii) For each $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, equation (1.1) holds a.e. for all $t \in [s, T]$ provided that

$$\int_s^T |B(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*)| dr + \int_s^T |\Sigma(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*)|^2 dr < \infty, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

If in addition that $X_{s,t}^x$ is adapted to the filtration generated by the Brownian motion $\mathcal{F}_t^W := \sigma\{W_r, r \in [0, t]\}$, then it is called a strong solution of FDSDE (1.1).

McKean-Vlasov SDEs, also referred to as distribution-dependent SDEs (DDSDEs), represent a significant class of stochastic differential equations where the coefficients are contingent upon the distribution of the solution process itself. These equations extend the scope of standard SDEs by incorporating the influence of interactions among particles or agents within a system. Initially introduced by Henry McKean [45] in 1966 in the context of nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations and later by Anatoli Vlasov [55] in 1968 in plasma physics, McKean-Vlasov SDEs have now attracted considerable attention across diverse fields such as mathematical finance, statistical physics, population dynamics, and mean field games (see, for example, [8, 9, 17]).

Furthermore, DDSDEs exhibit substantial connections to vortex models like the Navier-Stokes equation and Euler equation (see, e.g., [19, 49]). The evolution of DDSDEs with singular vortex kernels has been furthered by researchers such as Jabin-Wang [35], Serfaty [53], and others, significantly contributing to the advancement of propagation of chaos results.

In McKean-Vlasov SDEs, the dynamics of each individual particle are influenced by the collective behavior of the entire population, resulting in complex collective phenomena. This modeling framework enables the analysis of systems comprising a large number of interacting components, where traditional approaches relying on independent random variables are inadequate. The general form of a McKean-Vlasov SDE can be represented as:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_0^t b(r, Y_r, \mu_r) dr + \int_0^t \sigma(r, Y_r, \mu_r) dW_r, \quad (1.2)$$

where μ_r denotes the distribution of Y_r . We shall see that this is a special case of FDSDE (1.1).

Considerable attention has been dedicated to exploring the well-posedness of DDSDEs (1.2) with singular drifts. Mishura and Veretenikov [46] established the strong well-posedness of DDSDEs (1.2) when the coefficient b is only measurable and exhibits at most linear growth, and is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the distribution μ , and σ is uniformly non-degenerate and

Lipschitz continuous. Later, Röckner and Zhang [52] extended this to cases involving local $L_t^q L_x^p$ -drift. Additionally, Lacker [38] utilized the relative entropy method and Girsanov's theorem to obtain well-posedness results for DDSDEs with linear growth and $\sigma = \mathbb{I}$, further extended by Han [26] to situations involving $L_t^q L_x^p$ -drifts. Zhao [63] utilized heat kernel estimates and the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed-point theorem to establish well-posedness results for a more general class of DDSDEs with singular coefficients.

Through Zvonkin's transformation and the entropy method, the authors in [27] demonstrated the strong well-posedness for DDSDEs in cases where σ is independent of μ and b belongs to certain mixed $L_t^q L_x^p$ -spaces. For specific cases, such as when $\sigma = \mathbb{I}$ and $b(t, y, \mu) = b * \mu(t, y)$, both weak and strong well-posedness have been demonstrated by various researchers [13, 14, 28]. For the Nemytskii-type DDSDEs, where

$$(b, \sigma)(t, y, \mu) = (b, \sigma)\left(t, y, \frac{\mu(dy)}{dy}(y)\right),$$

significant work has been conducted by Barbu and Röckner [2-5], among others [32]. Moreover, for kinetic cases of DDSDEs, further exploration can be found in [28, 29, 31, 33] and related references.

In this study, we initiate our exploration with the Navier-Stokes equation, with the introduction of (1.1) stemming from the stochastic representation of Navier-Stokes equations.

1.1. Motivation. Consider the following Navier-Stokes equation on \mathbb{R}^d with $d = 2, 3$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad u_0 = \varphi, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the velocity field and p stands for the pressure, φ is the initial velocity. In [16], Constantin and Iyer presented the following probability representation:

$$\begin{cases} X_t^x = x + \int_0^t u(s, X_s^x) ds + \sqrt{2}W_t, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(t, x) = \mathbf{P}\mathbb{E}[\nabla_x^t Y_t^x \cdot \varphi(Y_t^x)], \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where Y_t^x is the inverse of the flow mapping $x \rightarrow X_t^x$, ∇^t denotes the transpose of the Jacobi matrix $(\nabla X)_{ij} := \partial_{x_j} X^i$, and $\mathbf{P} := \mathbb{I} - \nabla \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}$ is the Leray projection onto the space of divergence free vector fields. In particular, there is a one-to-one correspondence between (1.3) and (1.4) when u is smooth. Here an interesting question is that for what worse φ , (1.4) admits a unique solution.

Now, for a velocity field u , let us consider its vorticity

$$w = \operatorname{curl} u = \begin{cases} \partial_2 u_1 - \partial_1 u_2, & d = 2; \\ \nabla \times u, & d = 3. \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that u can be recovered from w by Biot-Savart law, i.e.,

$$u = K_d * w, \quad d = 2, 3,$$

with

$$K_2(x) := (x_2, -x_1)/(2\pi|x|^2), \quad K_3(x)h = (x \times h)/(4\pi|x|^3). \quad (1.5)$$

Let u be a smooth solution of (1.4). By direct calculations, we have

$$w(t, x) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}((\operatorname{curl} \varphi)(Y_t^x) \det(\nabla Y_t^x)), & d = 2, \\ \mathbb{E}(\nabla_x^t Y_t^x \cdot (\operatorname{curl} \varphi)(Y_t^x)), & d = 3. \end{cases}$$

By the change of variable and due to $\det(\nabla Y_t^x) = 1$, we get (cf. [60])

$$u(t, x) = (K_d * w(t))(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_2(x - X_t^y) \cdot (\operatorname{curl} \varphi)(y) dy\right), & d = 2, \\ \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_3(x - X_t^y) \cdot \nabla X_t^y \cdot (\operatorname{curl} \varphi)(y) dy\right), & d = 3. \end{cases}$$

In particular, when $d = 2$, if we let

$$B(x, \mu^\bullet) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (K_2 * \mu^y)(x) \operatorname{curl} \varphi(y) dy,$$

then X_t^x solves the following closed SDE:

$$X_t^x = x + \int_0^t B(X_s^x, \mu_s^\bullet) ds + \sqrt{2} W_t. \quad (1.6)$$

The FDSDE (1.6) was introduced by Chorin [15] as the random vortex method to simulate viscous incompressible fluid flows for smooth kernels. Then it was developed by Beale-Majda [7], Marchioro-Pulvirenti [44] and Goodman [24]. In particular, Long [43] showed the optimal convergence rate of particle system for mollifying kernel K_2 . Later, (1.6) as a special DDSDE, the related interaction particle system and propagation of chaos have been attracting the attention of more and more investigators (see [19, 35]). However, the solvability of stochastic system (1.6) is not tackled in the above references until recent works [14, 28, 61] (See below for more discussion).

When $d = 3$, formally, $(X_t^x, \nabla X_t^x)$ can be regarded as a functional of path $X_{[0,t]}^x$ and

$$X_t^x = x + \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_3(X_t^y - \bar{X}_t^y) \cdot \nabla \bar{X}_t^y \cdot (\operatorname{curl} \varphi)(y) dy \right) ds + \sqrt{2} W_t, \quad (1.7)$$

where \bar{X}_t^y is an independent copy of X_t^y and $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is the expectation w.r.t. \bar{X}^y (see [60] and [50] for its associated numerical simulations under the assumption of smoothness on the interaction kernel). To write down the above SDE as the form of (1.1), we introduce a matrix-valued process $U_t^x := \nabla X_t^x$. Formally, U solves the following linear ODE:

$$U_t^x = \mathbb{I}_{3 \times 3} + \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla K_3(X_s^y - \bar{X}_s^y) \cdot \bar{U}_s^y \cdot (\operatorname{curl} \varphi)(y) dy \right) ds.$$

Let $(\mu^x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3}$ be a family of probability measures over $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{M}^3$, where \mathcal{M}^3 stands for the space of all 3×3 -matrices. Now let us introduce

$$B(x, \mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{M}^3} K_3(x - z) \cdot M \mu^y(dz \times dM) \cdot (\operatorname{curl} \varphi)(y) dy.$$

Then we obtain the following closed SDE

$$\begin{cases} X_t^x = x + \int_0^t B(X_r^x, \mu_r^\bullet) dr + \sqrt{2} W_t, \\ U_t^x = \mathbb{I}_{3 \times 3} + \int_0^t \nabla B(\cdot, \mu_r^\bullet)(X_r^x) U_r^x dr, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where $\mu_t^x := \mathbb{P} \circ (X_t^x, U_t^x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{M}^3)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

On the other hand, if we set $\tilde{u}(t, x) := u(T - t, x)$ and $\tilde{p}(t, x) := p(T - t, x)$, then \tilde{u} solves the following backward Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u} + \Delta \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} + \nabla \tilde{p} = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \tilde{u} = 0, \quad \tilde{u}_T = \varphi. \end{cases}$$

In [58], the author provided a probability representation for \tilde{u} as well:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{X}_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t \tilde{u}(r, \tilde{X}_{s,r}^x) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s), \quad (s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T, \\ \tilde{u}(t, x) = \mathbf{P} \mathbb{E}[\nabla^t \tilde{X}_{t,T}^x \cdot \varphi(\tilde{X}_{t,T}^x)]. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

As above, in the two dimensional case, we have

$$\tilde{w}(t, x) := \operatorname{curl} \tilde{u}(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[(\operatorname{curl} \varphi)(\tilde{X}_{t,T}^x)] = \langle \operatorname{curl} \varphi, \tilde{\mu}_{t,T}^x \rangle,$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_{s,t}^x := \mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,t}^x)^{-1}$. By the Biot-Savart law, we have

$$\tilde{u}(t, x) = (K_2 * \tilde{w}(t))(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_2(x-y) \langle \text{curl} \varphi, \tilde{\mu}_{t,T}^y \rangle dy.$$

Thus (1.9) is transformed into the following FDSDE:

$$\tilde{X}_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B(\tilde{X}_{s,r}^x, \tilde{\mu}_{r,T}^*) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s), \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$B(x, \mu^*) = K_2 * \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{curl} \varphi(y) \mu^*(dy) \right) (x).$$

In particular, SDE (1.10) is exactly an example of FDSDE (1.1) with $B(r, x, \mu^*, \nu^*) = B(x, \mu^*)$. For the three dimensional case, as in (1.8), we have the following representation:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{X}_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B(\tilde{X}_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s), \\ \tilde{U}_{s,t}^x = \mathbb{I}_{3 \times 3} + \int_s^t \nabla B(\cdot, \mu_{r,T}^*)(\tilde{X}_{s,r}^x) \tilde{U}_{s,r}^x dr, \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

where $\mu_{s,t}^x := \mathbb{P} \circ (\tilde{X}_{s,t}^x, \tilde{U}_{s,t}^x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{M}^3)$, and

$$B(x, \mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_3(x-y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{M}^3} M^t \cdot (\text{curl} \varphi)(z) \mu^y(dz \times dM) \right) dy.$$

We must point out that (1.6) and (1.10) are essential different as we discuss in the next subsection.

1.2. The related PDEs. As indicated in the above two examples of Navier-Stokes equations, we mainly concentrate on the following two types of FDSDEs:

(i) Forward FDSDE:

$$X_t^x = x + \int_0^t B(r, X_r^x, \mu_r^*) dr + \int_0^t \Sigma(r, X_r^x, \mu_r^*) dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.12)$$

(ii) Backward FDSDE:

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*) dr + \int_s^t \Sigma(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*) dW_r, \quad (s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T. \quad (1.13)$$

In the case (i), for fixed starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let μ_t^x be the probability distribution of X_t^x . By Itô's formula, μ_t^x solves the following forward Fokker-Planck equation in the distributional sense:

$$\partial_t \mu_t^x = \partial_{y_i} \partial_{y_j} (a_{ij}(t, y, \mu_t^x) \mu_t^x) - \text{div}_y (B(t, y, \mu_t^x) \mu_t^x),$$

where $a_{ij} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d \Sigma_{ik} \Sigma_{jk}$. Especially, if for some $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$B(r, x, \mu^*) = b(t, x, \nu_0 \odot \mu^*), \quad \Sigma(r, x, \mu^*) = \sigma(t, x, \nu_0 \odot \mu^*), \quad (1.14)$$

where $(b, \sigma) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$ are two Borel measurable functions, and

$$(\nu_0 \odot \mu^*)(dy) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu^x(dy) \nu_0(dx),$$

then $\mu_t := \nu_0 \odot \mu_t^*$ solves the following nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t \mu_t = \partial_{y_i} \partial_{y_j} (a_{ij}(t, y, \mu_t) \mu_t) - \text{div}_y (b(t, y, \mu_t) \mu_t), \quad \mu_0(dy) = \nu_0. \quad (1.15)$$

In this situation, if we choose a random variable $\xi \stackrel{(d)}{=} \nu_0$, which is independent of X_t^x , then substiting x by ξ in (1.12), one sees that

$$Y_t := X_t^x |_{x=\xi}$$

satisfies (1.2) as we expect. Therefore, the classical DDSDE can be regarded as a typical example of the forward FDSDE (1.12).

Next we look at the case (ii). Note that if we freeze the distribution $\mu_{r,T}^*$ and consider (1.13) as an usual SDE, then the following flow property holds: for any $s \leq r \leq t$,

$$\mu_{s,t}^*(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu_{s,r}^*(dy) \mu_{r,t}^y(A).$$

Let

$$\tilde{a}_{ij}(r, x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \Sigma_{ik} \Sigma_{jk}(r, x, \mu_{r,T}^*), \quad \tilde{b}(r, x) := B(r, x, \mu_{r,T}^*).$$

Consider the following backward PDE

$$\partial_r u + \tilde{a}_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j u + \tilde{b} \cdot \nabla u = 0, \quad u(T) = \phi. \quad (1.16)$$

Suppose that it has a smooth solution u . By Itô's formula, we have

$$u(T, X_{s,T}^x) = u(s, x) + \int_s^T (\partial_r u + \tilde{a}_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j u + \tilde{b} \cdot \nabla u)(r, X_{s,r}^x) dr + \text{a martingale}.$$

Hence,

$$u(s, x) = \mathbb{E} \phi(X_{s,T}^x).$$

Suppose that there are two functions $(\bar{b}, \bar{\sigma}) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times C(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$ so that

$$B(r, x, \mu^*) = \bar{b}(t, x, \mu^*(\phi)), \quad \Sigma(r, x, \mu^*) = \bar{\sigma}(t, x, \mu^*(\phi)), \quad (1.17)$$

where $\mu^*(\phi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y) \mu^*(dy)$. Then noting that $u(t, x) = \mu_{t,T}^x(\phi)$, we have

$$\partial_t u(t, x) + \bar{a}_{ij}(t, x, u(t, \cdot)) \partial_i \partial_j u(t, x) + \bar{b}(t, x, u(t, \cdot)) \cdot \nabla u(t, x) = 0, \quad u(T) = \phi, \quad (1.18)$$

where $\bar{a}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \bar{\sigma}_{ik} \bar{\sigma}_{jk}$. This is a non-divergence and nonlinear PDE with that the coefficients depend on the functional $u(t, \cdot)$. In particular, if we assume

$$\bar{a}_{ij}(t, x, u(t, \cdot)) = \bar{a}_{ij}(t, x, \delta_x(u(t, \cdot))) = \bar{a}_{ij}(t, x, u(t, x)),$$

where δ_x is the Dirac measure, and

$$\bar{b}(t, x, u(t, \cdot)) = \bar{b}(t, x, \delta_x(u(t, \cdot))) = \bar{b}(t, x, u(t, x)),$$

then PDE (1.18) is a typical second order quasi-linear PDE (see Example 3.3 below).

In summary, case (i) is related to the nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations and case (ii) is related to the quasi-linear non-divergence PDEs.

1.3. Main results. Our first result is about the strong well-posedness of FDSDE (1.1) with regular coefficients. More precisely, let \mathcal{CP}_1 be the space of all continuous probability measure-valued functions from \mathbb{R}^d to $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite first order moment (see Section 2 for more details about the space \mathcal{CP}_1). We assume that B and Σ satisfy the following assumptions:

(H₀) For each $t \in [0, T]$, the function

$$\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{CP}_1 \times \mathcal{CP}_1 \ni (x, \mu^*, \nu^*) \mapsto (B, \Sigma)(t, x, \mu^*, \nu^*) \in (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ is continuous,}$$

and there are constants $\kappa_0, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4 > 0$ and $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $(t, x, \mu, \nu) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{CP}_1 \times \mathcal{CP}_1$,

$$\langle x, B(t, x, \mu^*, \nu^*) \rangle + 2 \|\Sigma(t, x, \mu^*, \nu^*)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \leq \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 |x|^2 + \kappa_2 (\|\mu^*\|_{\mathcal{CP}_1}^2 + \|\nu^*\|_{\mathcal{CP}_1}^2), \quad (1.19)$$

and for any $(t, x_i, \mu_i, \nu_i) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{CP}_1 \times \mathcal{CP}_1$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1 - x_2, B(t, x_1, \mu_1^*, \nu_1^*) - B(t, x_2, \mu_2^*, \nu_2^*) \rangle + 2 \|\Sigma(t, x_1, \mu_1^*, \nu_1^*) - \Sigma(t, x_2, \mu_2^*, \nu_2^*)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \\ \leq \kappa_3 |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \kappa_4 (1 + |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2) (d_{\mathcal{CP}_1}^2(\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*) + d_{\mathcal{CP}_1}^2(\nu_1^*, \nu_2^*)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS}}$ stands for the Hilbert-Schmit norm and the distance $d_{\mathcal{CP}_1}$ is defined in (2.15) below.

Our first main result is the following strong well-posedness, which is proven by freezing the flow-distribution and Picard's iteration.

Theorem 1.2. *Under (\mathbf{H}_0) , there is a unique strong solution to FDSDE (1.1) in the sense of Definition 1.1. Moreover, there is a constant $C_T = C_T(\kappa_i) > 0$ such that for all $(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{D}_T \times \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^x|^2 \leq C_T(1 + |x|^2),$$

and if $\kappa_1 < 0$ and $\kappa_1 + 2\kappa_2 < 0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^x|^2 \leq e^{\kappa_1(t-s)}|x|^2 + (\kappa_0 + \kappa_5)(e^{\kappa_1(t-s)} - 1)/\kappa_1, \quad (1.21)$$

where $\kappa_5 := 2\kappa_2(|\kappa_1| + \kappa_0)/(|\kappa_1| - 2\kappa_2)$.

Our second main result is about the well-posedness of 2D-Navier-Stokes equation related to the fractional Brownian motion. Recall that a Gaussian process $(W_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$ is called an fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ if for any $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\mathbb{E}(W_t^H W_s^H) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

Clearly, W^H has the following self-similarity: for $\lambda > 0$,

$$(W_t^H)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{(d)}{=} (\lambda^{-H} W_{\lambda t}^H)_{t \geq 0}.$$

Consider the following 2D-Navier-Stokes equation associated with fBm:

$$X_t^x = x + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (K_2 * \mu_s^y)(X_s^x) \nu_0(dy) ds + W_t^H, \quad (1.22)$$

where K_2 is the Biot-Savart law given in (1.5), $\mu_t^y = \mathbb{P} \circ (X_t^y)^{-1}$, ν_0 is a finite signed measure on \mathbb{R}^2 and $W^H = (W^{H,1}, W^{H,2})$ with that $W^{H,i}$, $i = 1, 2$ are two independent fBms with the same Hurst parameter H . We have the following weak well-posedness result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. For any initial vorticity ν_0 being a finite signed measure, there is a unique weak solution X_t to SDE (1.22). Moreover, if we let*

$$u(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E} K_2(x - X_t^y) \nu_0(dy),$$

then $u \in C((0, T]; C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

Remark 1.4. *Since fBm is neither a Markov process nor a martingale, one can not say that u solves any PDE. But by the probabilistic representation, we shall call u the solution of 2D H -fractional Navier-Stokes equation with initial vorticity ν_0 . By the change of variable, the above u has the following scaling property: for $\lambda > 0$, if we let*

$$u_\lambda(t, x) := \lambda^{1/H-1} u(\lambda^{1/H} t, \lambda x),$$

then $u_\lambda(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E} K_2(x - X_t^{y;\lambda}) \nu_0^\lambda(dy)$, where

$$\nu_0^\lambda(dy) = \lambda^{1/H-2} \nu_0(d(\lambda y)), \quad (1.23)$$

and $X_t^{x;\lambda}$ solves the following FDSDE:

$$X_t^{x;\lambda} = x + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (K_2 * \mu_s^{y;\lambda})(X_s^{x;\lambda}) \nu_0^\lambda(dy) ds + W_t^H.$$

If $\nu_0(dy) = \varrho(y)dy$, then (1.23) reduces to $\nu_0^\lambda(dy) = \lambda^{1/H} \varrho(\lambda y)dy$.

Note that Theorem 1.3 does not include $H = \frac{1}{2}$. Next we consider the following backward version of Navier-Stokes equation with Brownian motion:

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_2(X_{s,r}^x - y) \mu_{r,T}^y(g) dy dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s), \quad (1.24)$$

In this case, we also have

Theorem 1.5. *Let $p_0 \in (1, 2)$ and $g \in \mathbb{L}^{p_0}$. For each $s \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, there is a unique strong solution $X_{s,t}^x$ to FDSDE (1.24). Moreover, if we let*

$$u(s, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_2(x - y) \mathbb{E}g(X_{s,T}^y) dy,$$

then $u \in C([0, T]; C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$ solves the following backward Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\partial_s u + \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \quad u(T) = K_2 * g.$$

Remark 1.6. *When $g \in \mathbb{L}^{p_0}$ with $p_0 > 2$, the well-posedness of DDSDE (1.24) was obtained in [60] by Zvonkin's transformation. Here we regard (1.24) as an abstract flow-distribution SDE.*

1.4. Related works. In this section, we review some pertinent literature related to our main Theorems 1.3 and 1.5. We begin by considering a classical DDSDE with a singular Biot-Savart interaction kernel, often referred to as the 2D random vortex model:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t (K_2 * \mu_s)(X_s) ds + \sqrt{2} W_t, \quad (1.25)$$

where K_2 represents the Biot-Savart law, μ_t denotes the law of X_t , and $\mathbb{P} \circ X_0^{-1}(dy) = \mu_0(dy)$. Suppose $\mu_t(dy) = \rho_t(y)dy$. Then, by Itô's formula, ρ_t satisfies the following vorticity form of the Navier-Stokes equation (1.3):

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho + \operatorname{div}((K_2 * \rho)\rho).$$

In other words, $u(t, x) := K_2 * \rho_t(x)$ solves the Navier-Stokes equation (1.3). In [61], the second author utilized the De-Giorgi method to establish the existence of a solution to (1.25) when $X_0 = x$, while the uniqueness remains an open question. However, if the initial data X_0 admits a density $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{L}^{1+}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, weak and strong well-posedness for (1.25) were established in [14] and [28], respectively.

It's important to note that if the initial vorticity of the Navier-Stokes equation is not a probability measure, then there is no one-to-one correspondence between (1.25) and (1.3). To address this issue, we consider the forward and backward random vortex models, as introduced in Section 1.1:

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} K_2(X_{s,r}^x - y) g(z) \mu_{s,r}^z(dy) dz + W_t^H - W_s^H \quad (1.26)$$

and

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} K_2(X_{s,r}^x - y) g(z) \mu_{r,T}^y(dz) dy + W_t^H - W_s^H, \quad (1.27)$$

where g represents the initial vortex, $\mu_{s,t}^x$ denotes the time marginal law of the solution $X_{s,t}^x$, and W_s^H is the fractional Brownian motion with $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ (see Section 2.2 for details).

For the forward FDSDE (1.26), we focus on $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Recent advancements in regularization by averaging paths (see [12, 21]) and the stochastic sewing lemma (see [39, 40]) have led to an increased interest in the well-posedness of SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motion of the form:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t) dt + dW_t^H,$$

where $b \in \mathbb{L}_t^q \mathbb{L}_x^q$. Several classical results have been established, such as those by Nualart-Ouknine in [48] and Lê in [39], where Nualart-Ouknine, using the Girsanov transformation, established weak well-posedness for $p, q \geq 2$ and $1/q + Hd/p < 1/2$, and Lê in [39] extended this result by introducing the stochastic sewing lemma and gave a new proof for the weak well-posedness. The strong well-posedness was obtained as well in [39] when $1/q + Hd/p < 1/2 - H$. Furthermore, Galeati and Gubinelli in [21] employed the averaging paths technique to achieve path-by-path well-posedness for $q = \infty$ and $Hd/p < 1/4 - H$. In fact, upon assuming $X_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{-H} X_{\varepsilon t}$ and $b_\varepsilon(t, x) := \varepsilon^{1-H} b(\varepsilon t, \varepsilon^H x)$ with some $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$dX_t^\varepsilon = b_\varepsilon(t, X_t^\varepsilon) dt + \varepsilon^{-H} dW_{\varepsilon t}^H,$$

where $\varepsilon^{-H}W_{\varepsilon t}^H$ remains an fBm with the Hurst index H . Note that the scaling hypothesis $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|b_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}_t^q \mathbb{L}_x^p} = 0$ leads to

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{Hd}{p} < 1 - H. \quad (1.28)$$

Under condition (1.28), Butkovsky, L e and Mytnik [11] recently established the existence of a solution for $q = \infty$, and when $q \in (1, 2]$, Galeati and Gerencs er [20] demonstrated the strong well-posedness. It is noteworthy that when b is independent of time t , as in the case of the Biot-Savart kernel, the condition in [20] simplifies to $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{Hd}{p} < 1 - H$, aligning with the strong well-posedness result in [39]. It is important to note that the review here is primarily focused on the $\mathbb{L}_t^q \mathbb{L}_x^p$ -drift. Indeed, both [11] and [20] cover various measure and distributional cases respectively. More recently, Butkovsky and Gallay in [10], employing a combination of the stochastic sewing lemma and John-Nirenberg's inequality, established the existence of solutions under the condition $(1 - H)/q + Hd/p < 1 - H$, which is considerably weaker. Beyond these results, a lot of related works exists, and interested readers can refer to the comprehensive overview in [20].

In the context of the following DDSDE driven by fBm

$$dX_t = (b * \mu_t)(t, X_t)dt + dW_t^H,$$

where μ_t represents the time marginal law of X_t , the authors in [22] and [20] established strong well-posedness for $b \in \mathbb{L}_t^q \mathbf{C}^\alpha$ with $\alpha > 1 + 1/(Hq) - 1/H$ and $q \in (1, 2]$. Here, \mathbf{C}^α denotes the Besov space. Moreover, Han [26] used the entropy method to present a concise proof of the main results in [22].

Following this review, we examine the condition on H for the Biot-Savart kernel by applying the aforementioned results. Notably, $b = K_2 \in L_{loc}^{2-} \cap \mathbf{C}^{-1}$. Therefore, the restriction $p \geq 2$ in [48] precludes its application to the Biot-Savart law. Moreover, the conditions in [39] and [20] also imply that H must be strictly less than $1/4$. Consequently, it is natural to inquire whether the well-posedness holds for (1.26) in the range $H \in [1/4, 1/2)$. We address this question in Theorem 1.3 by establishing weak well-posedness for (1.26) across all $H \in (0, 1/2)$. Additionally, we define a solution to the 2D fractional Navier-Stokes equation with an arbitrary initial vortex measure ν_0 and show its smoothness for $t > 0$ by the Malliavin calculus.

For the backward FDSDE (1.27), limited results are available in the literature. In Theorem 1.5, we establish the unique strong flow solution $X_{s,t}^x$ for $H = 1/2$ and any \mathbb{L}^{1+} initial data φ_0 . However, for $H \neq 1/2$, investigating the well-posedness of (1.27) becomes challenging, as our methodology heavily relies on the Markov property of Brownian motion. Notably, the Girsanov transformation cannot be used for backward FDSDE (1.27) with singular kernels, leaving this as an open question.

1.5. Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we provide preliminary results concerning the space of probability kernels and fBms. Some of these results are novel and are crucial in proving Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.5.

Section 3 is dedicated to proving Theorem 1.2 using standard Picard's iteration. Additionally, we offer several examples to illustrate our main results. While the proof itself is not particularly challenging, it serves as a foundation for our future investigations into various issues such as ergodicity and propagation of chaos.

Section 4 focuses on demonstrating weak and strong well-posedness for a broad class of FDSDEs driven by fBms. For $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we employ Girsanov's transformation and the entropy method, while for $H = \frac{1}{2}$, we rely on PDE estimates.

In Section 5, we utilize the results obtained in Section 4 to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.5. To establish the smoothness of the velocity field, we employ Malliavin calculus when $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and PDE techniques for $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

In the Appendix, we provide detailed proofs of certain technical results for the convenience of the readers.

Throughout this paper, we shall use the following convention and notations: The letter C with or without subscripts will denote an unimportant constant, whose value may change from line to

line. We also use $:=$ to indicate a definition and set

$$a \wedge b := \max(a, b), \quad a \vee b := \min(a, b), \quad a^+ := 0 \vee a.$$

By $A \lesssim_C B$ and $A \asymp_C B$ or simply $A \lesssim B$ and $A \asymp B$, we respectively mean that for some constant $C \geq 1$,

$$A \leq CB, \quad C^{-1}B \leq A \leq CB.$$

Below we collect some frequently used notations for the readers' convenience.

- For $p \in [1, \infty]$, p' denotes the conjugate index of p , i.e., $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.
- \mathcal{P}_1 : The space of all probability measures with finite first order moment.
- $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1$: The space of \mathcal{P}_1 -valued continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d w.r.t. the Wasserstein-1 distance.
- $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0$: The space of \mathcal{P} -valued continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d w.r.t. the total variation distance.
- $\mathcal{L}^p\mathcal{P}_s$: The space of sub-probability kernels defined in (2.6).
- $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p\mathcal{P}$: The space of probability kernels defined in (2.7).
- \mathbb{H}_T^q : The space of all absolutely continuous function $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $\dot{f} \in L^q([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d) =: \mathbb{L}_T^q$.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this section, we first introduce several spaces of flow probability measures associated with the Wasserstein-1 metric, the total variation distance, and localized L^p -probability kernels. Then, we also recall the definition and basic properties of fractional Brownian motions (fBms). In particular, we demonstrate an important exponential estimate for the functional of fBm, which is crucial for solving singular SDEs driven by fBms using Girsanov's theorem.

2.1. Flow probability measure space. Let $\mathcal{P}_1 := \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all probability measures with finite first order moment and $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1$ the space of \mathcal{P}_1 -valued continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d w.r.t. the Wasserstein-1 distance \mathcal{W}_1 defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\mathbb{P} \circ X^{-1} = \mu, \mathbb{P} \circ Y^{-1} = \nu} \mathbb{E}|X - Y|.$$

Note that by the duality of Monge-Kantorovich (cf. [54, (6.3)]),

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{\|g\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 1} |\mu(g) - \nu(g)|.$$

For two $\mu^*, \nu^* \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1$, we introduce a distance between μ^* and ν^* by

$$d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}(\mu^*, \nu^*) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathcal{W}_1(\mu^x, \nu^x)}{1 + |x|}. \quad (2.1)$$

For simplicity, we write

$$\|\mu^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1} := d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}(\mu^*, \delta_0) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y| \mu^x(dy)}{1 + |x|}.$$

Moreover, the total variation distance $\|\cdot\|_{\text{var}}$ is defined by

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{var}} := \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)|.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0$ be the space of all continuous probability kernels $x \mapsto \mu^x$ w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_{\text{var}}$ with the distance

$$\|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\text{var}} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|\mu^x - \nu^x\|_{\text{var}}.$$

Under these distances, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0$ are complete metric spaces. We would like to point out that the distance $d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}$ is only used in the study of FDSDEs with regular coefficients.

Next we introduce some localized L^p -spaces for later use. Let $(D_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the set of all unit cubes with center at the integer lattice so that

$$0 \in D_1, \quad \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i = \mathbb{R}^d, \quad D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j. \quad (2.2)$$

For $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we shall write

$$D_z := D_1 + z = \{x : -1/2 \leq x_i - z_i < 1/2\}.$$

For $p \in [1, \infty]$, let $\mathbb{L}^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the usual L^p -space. We also introduce the Banach spaces

$$\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p := \left\{ f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_p := \sup_i \|\mathbf{1}_{D_i} f\|_p < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\bar{\mathbb{L}}^p := \left\{ f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_p^* := \sum_i \|\mathbf{1}_{D_i} f\|_p < \infty \right\}.$$

By a finite covering technique, there is a constant $C_1 = C_1(p, d) > 1$ such that

$$C_1^{-1} \|f\|_p \leq \sup_z \|\mathbf{1}_{D_z} f\|_p \leq C_1 \|f\|_p. \quad (2.3)$$

The advantage of using localized space $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$ is the following inclusion: for $p_1 \geq p_2$,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_2}.$$

This is quite convenient for treating singular potentials like $|x|^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha \in (0, d)$, since it does not belong to any L^p -space, but belongs to $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$ for $p < \frac{d}{\alpha}$. About the spaces $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$ and $\bar{\mathbb{L}}^p$, we have the following properties, that are similar to the classical L^p -spaces. For the readers' convenience, we provide detailed proofs in Appendix A.

Proposition 2.1. (i) For each $p \in [1, \infty]$, it holds that $\bar{\mathbb{L}}^p \subset \mathbb{L}^p \subset \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$, and

$$\|f\|_p \asymp \sup_{\|g\|_{p'} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x)dx, \quad \|g\|_{p'}^* \asymp \sup_{\|f\|_p \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x)dx, \quad (2.4)$$

where p' is the conjugate index of p .

(ii) For any $p, q, r \in [1, \infty]$ with $1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$, the following Young's inequalities hold: for some $C = C(d, p, q, r) > 0$,

$$\|f * g\|_r \leq C \|f\|_p \|g\|_q^*, \quad \|f * g\|_r^* \leq C \|f\|_p^* \|g\|_q^*. \quad (2.5)$$

Finally, we introduce a space of probability kernels that will be used in the study of backward FDSDEs. Let $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all probability kernels from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathcal{P} and $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}_s$ the set of all sub-probability kernels from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathcal{P}_s , where \mathcal{P}_s is the space of all sub-probability measures over \mathbb{R}^d . For given $p \in [1, \infty]$, we introduce two subclasses

$$\mathcal{L}^p\mathcal{P}_s := \left\{ \mu^* \in \mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}_s : \|\mu^*\|_p := \sup_{\|\phi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mu^*(\phi)\|_p < \infty \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p\mathcal{P} := \left\{ \mu^* \in \mathcal{K}\mathcal{P} : \|\mu^*\|_p := \sup_{\|\phi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mu^*(\phi)\|_p < \infty \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Similarly, we also introduce the subclasses $\mathcal{L}^p\mathcal{P}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p\mathcal{P}_s$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{L}^p\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{L}^p\mathcal{P}_s, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p\mathcal{P} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p\mathcal{P}_s.$$

One would like to point out that such classes of kernels naturally appear in the study of stochastic Lagrangian flows (see [59]). More precisely, consider the following SDE:

$$X_t^x = x + \int_0^t b(X_s^x)ds + W_t,$$

where b is a divergence free Lipschitz vector field. Let μ_t^x be the law of the unique solution X_t^x . It is well-known that for any $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $t \geq 0$ (see [59]),

$$\|\mu_t^x(f)\|_p \leq \|f\|_p, \quad f \in \mathbb{L}^p.$$

We have the following important properties that are also proven in Appendix A.

Proposition 2.2. (i) Let $\mu^* \in \mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}_s$. For any $p \in [1, \infty)$, we have

$$\|\mu^*\|_p = \sup_{\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\phi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mu^*(\phi)\|_p = \sup_{\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\phi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mu^*(\phi)\|_p, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\|\mu^*\|_p = \sup_{\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\phi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mu^*(\phi)\|_p = \sup_{\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\phi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mu^*(\phi)\|_p. \quad (2.9)$$

(ii) $\mathcal{L}^p\mathcal{P}_s$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p\mathcal{P}_s$ are complete metric spaces with respect to the distance

$$\|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_p := \sup_{\|\phi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mu^*(\phi) - \nu^*(\phi)\|_p, \quad \|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_p := \sup_{\|\phi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mu^*(\phi) - \nu^*(\phi)\|_p.$$

Moreover, with the above distances, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p\mathcal{P}$ is still complete, but $\mathcal{L}^p\mathcal{P}$ is not complete.

Remark 2.3. Note that $\|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_\infty = \|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_\infty = \|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_{c_{\text{var}}}$ for $\mu^*, \nu^* \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0$.

2.2. Fractional Brownian motion and Girsanov's theorem. In this section, we recall the definition and basic properties of fBm and the related Girsanov theorem (see [18, 47]).

A d -dimensional Gaussian process $(W_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$ defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is called an fBM with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ if for any $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\mathbb{E}(W_t^{H,i} W_s^{H,j}) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}) \mathbf{1}_{i=j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

For fixed $r \geq 0$, it is easy to see that for any $t, s \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}((W_{t+r}^{H,i} - W_r^{H,i})(W_{s+r}^{H,j} - W_r^{H,j})) = \mathbb{E}(W_t^{H,i} W_s^{H,j}). \quad (2.10)$$

This means that $(W_{t+r}^H - W_r^H)_{t \geq 0}$ is another standard fBm. The value of H tells the behavior of fBm: when $H = 1/2$, the process is exactly a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion; when $H > 1/2$, the increments of the process are positively correlated; when $H < 1/2$, the increments of the process are negatively correlated.

In what follows, we fix $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and introduce two constants used below

$$q_H := \frac{1}{1-H}, \quad c_H := \sqrt{2H / ((1-2H)\mathcal{B}(1-2H, H + \frac{1}{2}))} \mathbf{1}_{H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})} + \mathbf{1}_{H = \frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)$ is the usual Beta function defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta) := \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\beta-1} ds, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

It is well-known that fBm has the following representation (cf. [18, Corollary 3.1]):

$$W_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) dW_s, \quad (2.12)$$

where W is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion and K_H is given by

$$K_H(t, s) = c_H \left((t/s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} + (\frac{1}{2} - H) s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_s^t r^{H-\frac{3}{2}} (r-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} dr \right).$$

Let $\mathbb{C}_T := C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all continuous functions from $[0, T]$ to \mathbb{R}^d . It is also well-known that there is a continuous functional $\Phi : \mathbb{C}_T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_T$ so that (cf. [10, Proposition A.1])

$$W_t = \Phi(W^H)(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.13)$$

Convention: If there is no special declaration, we always write

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma\{W_s : s \leq t\} = \sigma\{W_s^H : s \leq t\}.$$

From the very definition, it is easy to see that

$$K_H(t, s) \geq c_H (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \left((t/s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} + (\frac{1}{2} - H) s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_s^t r^{H-\frac{3}{2}} dr \right) = c_H (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.14)$$

and by [18, Theorem 3.2],

$$K_H(t, s) \leq c'_{H,T}(t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

To state the Girsanov theorem for fBm, we introduce a function

$$\tilde{K}_H(t, s) := t^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-H}s^{\frac{1}{2}-H}, \quad 0 \leq s < t.$$

By the integration by parts and elementary calculus, one sees that

$$\int_s^t K_H(t, r)\tilde{K}_H(r, s)dr \equiv 1, \quad 0 \leq s < t. \quad (2.15)$$

For given $q \in [1, \infty)$, let \mathbb{H}_T^q be the space of all absolutely continuous function $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $\dot{f} \in L^q([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d) =: \mathbb{L}_T^q$, which is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}_T^q} := \|\dot{f}\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q}.$$

Now, for any function $f \in C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we define

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H f(t) := \int_0^t \tilde{K}_H(t, s)\dot{f}(s)ds.$$

Lemma 2.4. *The operator $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator from $\mathbb{H}_T^{q_u}$ to \mathbb{L}_T^2 , and there is a constant $C = C(H) > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathbb{H}_T^{q_u}$,*

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_u}} \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$f(t) = \int_0^t K_H(t, s)\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H f(s)ds. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. Estimate (2.16) follows by $\tilde{K}_H(t, s) \leq (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-H}$ and Hard-Littlewood's inequality [1, Theorem 1.7]. Equality (2.17) follows by Fubini's theorem and (2.15). \square

We have the following Girsanov theorem (see [18, Theorem 4.9]).

Theorem 2.5. *Recall $q_H = \frac{1}{1-H}$. Let $h(\cdot, \omega) \in \mathbb{H}_T^{q_u}$ be an \mathcal{F}_s -adapted process and satisfy*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\|h\|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_u}}^2\right) < \infty. \quad (2.18)$$

Then $\tilde{W}_t^H := W_t^H + h(t)$ is a new fBm with Hurst parameter H under the new probability measure $\mathbb{Q} := Z_T \mathbb{P}$ with

$$Z_T := \exp\left(-\int_0^T (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H h)(s)dW_s - \frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H h\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2}^2\right),$$

where W defined by (2.13) is a d -dimensional standard Brownian motion.

Proof. By (2.17), we have

$$\tilde{W}_t^H = W_t^H + h(t) = \int_0^t K_H(t, s)(dW_s + \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H h(s)ds).$$

By (2.18) and Novikov's criterion, $\mathbb{E}Z_T = 1$. Thus by the classical Girsanov theorem, $\tilde{W}_t := W_t + \int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H h(s)ds$ is still a standard Brownian motion under \mathbb{Q} , and therefore, $\tilde{W}_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s)d\tilde{W}_s$ is an fBm under \mathbb{Q} . \square

Now we prove the following basic estimate. The new point is that we are using the localized L^p -space.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. For any $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is a constant $C = C(j, p, d, H) > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $f \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$,*

$$|\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}(\nabla^j f(W_t^H))| \lesssim_C (t-s)^{-\frac{Hd}{p}-jH}\|f\|_p.$$

Proof. Note that by the representation (2.12),

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}(W_t^H) = \int_0^s K_H(t, r) dW_r$$

and

$$W_{s,t}^H := W_t^H - \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}(W_t^H) = \int_s^t K_H(t, r) dW_r.$$

Clearly, $W_{s,t}^H$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s and

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}(W_t^H) \sim N(0, \sigma_{s,t}^H), \quad W_{s,t}^H \sim N(0, \lambda_{s,t}^H),$$

where

$$\sigma_{s,t}^H := \int_0^s |K_H(t, r)|^2 dr \stackrel{(2.14)}{\geq} c_H^2 \int_0^s |t-r|^{2H-1} dr,$$

and

$$\lambda_{s,t}^H := \int_s^t |K_H(t, r)|^2 dr \stackrel{(2.14)}{\geq} c_H^2 \int_s^t |t-r|^{2H-1} dr = \frac{c_H^2}{2H} (t-s)^{2H}. \quad (2.19)$$

By the independence of $W_{s,t}^H$ and \mathcal{F}_s , we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}[\nabla^j f(W_t^H)] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}[\nabla^j f(W_{s,t}^H + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}(W_t^H))] = F_{s,t}^{(j)}(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}(W_t^H)),$$

where

$$F_{s,t}^{(j)}(y) := \mathbb{E}[\nabla^j f(W_{s,t}^H + y)].$$

By Lemma B.1, we have

$$|\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}[\nabla^j f(W_t^H)]| \leq \|F_{s,t}^{(j)}\|_\infty \lesssim (\lambda_{s,t}^H)^{(d/p-j)/2} \|f\|_p.$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain the desired estimate. \square

Below for simplicity of notations, we always write

$$\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p := L^q([0, T]; \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p).$$

As a result of the previous estimate, we have the following Krylov-type estimate.

Lemma 2.7. *For any $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $\alpha := 1 - (1/q + Hd/p) > 0$, there is a constant $C_0 = C_0(d, p, q, H) > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $0 \leq s < t$,*

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s} \left(\int_s^t f(r, W_r^H) (t-r)^{k\alpha} dr \right) \leq C_0 k^{-\alpha} (t-s)^{(k+1)\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p}. \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. Since $\alpha = 1 - (1/q + Hd/p) > 0$, we must have $q > 1$ and $q'Hd/p < 1$, where $q' = q/(q-1)$. By Lemma 2.6 and Hölder's inequality, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &:= \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s} \left(\int_s^t f(r, W_r^H) (t-r)^{k\alpha} dr \right) \\ &\lesssim_C \int_s^t \|f(r)\|_p (r-s)^{-\frac{Hd}{p}} (t-r)^{k\alpha} dr \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p} \left(\int_s^t (r-s)^{-q'\frac{Hd}{p}} (t-r)^{q'k\alpha} dr \right)^{1/q'}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{B} be the Beta function defined in (2.11). By a change of variable and Lemma B.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p} (t-s)^{(k+1)\alpha} \mathcal{B} \left(1 - q'\frac{Hd}{p}, q'k\alpha + 1 \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\leq C(p, q, H, d) \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p} (t-s)^{(k+1)\alpha} k^{-\frac{1}{q'} + \frac{Hd}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Then we have the following moment estimate.

Lemma 2.8. *For any $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $\alpha := 1 - (1/q + Hd/p) > 0$, there is a constant $C_1 = C_1(d, H, p, q) > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\left\| \int_0^t f(s, W_s^H) ds \right\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \leq C_1 t^\alpha m^{1-\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p}, \quad \forall t \in (0, T]. \quad (2.21)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f \geq 0$. For simplicity of notation, we write

$$h(t) := f(t, W_t^H).$$

By the symmetric of integral and (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t h(s) ds \right|^m &= m! \mathbb{E} \int_0^t h(s_1) \int_{s_1}^t h(s_2) \cdots \int_{s_{m-1}}^t h(s_m) ds_m \cdots ds_2 ds_1 \\ &= m! \mathbb{E} \int_0^t h(s_1) \int_{s_1}^t h(s_2) \cdots \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{s_{m-1}}} \int_{s_{m-1}}^t h(s_m) ds_m \right] \cdots ds_2 ds_1 \\ &\leq C_0 m! \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t h(s_1) \cdots \int_{s_{m-2}}^t (t - s_{m-1})^\alpha h(s_{m-1}) ds_{m-1} \cdots ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2.20) and induction, we have for any $k = 1, \dots, m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t h(s) ds \right|^m &\leq C_0^k m! ((k-1)!)^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p}^k \mathbb{E} \int_0^t h(s_1) \cdots \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{s_{m-k-1}}} \int_{s_{m-k-1}}^t (t - s_{m-k})^{k\alpha} h(s_{m-k}) ds_{m-k} \cdots ds_1 \\ &\leq C_0^m (m!)^{1-\alpha} t^{m\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p}^m. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Stirling's formula, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t h(s) ds \right|^m \leq C_1^m m^{m(1-\alpha)} t^{m\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p}^m.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Now we can show the following important Khasminskii's type estimate.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $q_1, p_1 \in [\frac{1}{1-H}, \infty]$ with $\alpha := \frac{1}{2} - (\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{Hd}{p_1}) > 0$. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, there is a constant $C = C(\lambda, p_1, q_1, d, H) > 0$ such that for all $b \in \mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \lambda \| \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{S}_b \|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2}^2 \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ C \left(1 + \kappa_b^{2/\alpha} \right) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_b(t) := \int_0^t b(s, W_s^H) ds$ and $\kappa_b := \|b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}}$.

Proof. By (2.16), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \lambda \| \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{S}_b \|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2}^2 \right\} \leq \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ C_0 \lambda \| \mathcal{S}_b \|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_1}}^2 \right\} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(C_0 \lambda)^m}{m!} \mathbb{E} \| \mathcal{S}_b \|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_1}}^{2m}. \quad (2.22)$$

Observing that

$$\mathbb{E} \| \mathcal{S}_b \|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_1}}^{2m} = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |b(s, W_s^H)|^{q_1} ds \right)^{2m/q_1} \leq \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |b(s, W_s^H)|^{q_1} ds \right)^{2m} \right]^{1/q_1},$$

and $\alpha := \frac{1}{2} - (\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{Hd}{p_1}) > 0$ and $q_1, p_1 \in [q_H, \infty]$, by (2.21) with $(p, q) = (\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \frac{q_1}{q_1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \| \mathcal{S}_b \|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_1}}^{2m} &\leq \left[(C_1 T^\alpha)^{2m} (2m)^{m(1-2\alpha)q_1} \|b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1/q_H} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1/q_H}}^{2m} \right]^{1/q_1} \\ &= C_2^m m^{m(1-2\alpha)} \|b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}}^{2m} = C_2^m m^{m(1-2\alpha)} \kappa_b^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (2.22) and by Stirling's formula, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \lambda \|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{S}_b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2}^2 \right\} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(C_0 \lambda C_2)^m m^{m(1-2\alpha)} \kappa_b^{2m}}{m!} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_3^m \kappa_b^{2m}}{(m!)^{2\alpha}}.$$

The proof is complete by $\alpha > 0$ and Lemma B.3. \square

3. WELL-POSEDNESS OF FDSDE: REGULAR COEFFICIENTS CASE

In this section, we show the strong well-posedness (existence of strong solution and pathwise uniqueness) of the FDSDE (1.1) and prove Theorem 1.2.

We first prepare the following standard result for later use.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{D}_T \ni (s, t) \mapsto \mu_{s,t} \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1$ be a measurable function with*

$$\gamma_T^\mu := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} \|\mu_{s,t}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1} < \infty.$$

Consider the following classical SDE:

$$X_{s,t}^{x,\mu} = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^{x,\mu}, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*) dr + \int_s^t \Sigma(r, X_{s,r}^{x,\mu}, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*) dW_r. \quad (3.1)$$

Under (\mathbf{H}_0) , there is a unique strong solution to the above SDE with the estimate:

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,\mu}|^2 \leq e^{\kappa_1(t-s)} |x|^2 + \frac{\kappa_0(e^{\kappa_1(t-s)} - 1)}{\kappa_1} + \kappa_2 \int_s^t e^{\kappa_1(t-r)} (\|\mu_{r,T}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2 + \|\mu_{s,r}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2) dr. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, let $\nu_{s,t}$ be another $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1$ -valued function with $\gamma_T^\nu := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} \|\nu_{s,t}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1} < \infty$. Then there is a constant $C_T = C_T(\kappa_i, \gamma_T^\mu, \gamma_T^\nu) > 0$ such that for all $(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{D}_T \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,\mu} - X_{s,t}^{x,\nu}|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \leq C_T \int_s^t (d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2(\mu_{r,T}, \nu_{r,T}) + d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2(\mu_{s,r}, \nu_{s,r})) dr. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Under (\mathbf{H}_0) , the strong well-posedness to SDE (3.1) are well-known (see [42]). We only show the estimates (3.2) and (3.3). Fix $s \in [0, T]$. By Itô's formula and (1.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(e^{-\kappa_1(t-s)} |X_{s,t}^{x,\mu}|^2) &= e^{-\kappa_1(t-s)} \left[\langle X_{s,t}^{x,\mu}, B(t, X_{s,t}^x, \mu_{t,T}^*, \mu_{s,t}^*) \rangle + 2 \|\Sigma(t, X_{s,t}^{x,\mu}, \mu_{t,T}^*, \mu_{s,t}^*)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \kappa_1 |X_{s,t}^{x,\mu}|^2 \right] dt + \langle X_{s,t}^{x,\mu}, \Sigma(t, X_{s,t}^{x,\mu}, \mu_{t,T}^*, \mu_{s,t}^*) dW_t \rangle \\ &\leq e^{-\kappa_1(t-s)} (\kappa_0 + \kappa_2 (\|\mu_{t,T}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2 + \|\mu_{s,t}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2)) dt + dM_t, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$t \mapsto M_t := \int_0^t e^{-\kappa_1(r-s)} \langle X_{s,r}^{x,\mu}, \Sigma(r, X_{s,r}^{x,\mu}, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*) dW_r \rangle$$

is a continuous local martingale. By a standard stopping time technique and integrating both sides with respect to the time variable t from s to t , we derive that

$$e^{-\kappa_1(t-s)} \mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,\mu}|^2 \leq |x|^2 + \int_s^t e^{-\kappa_1(r-s)} (\kappa_0 + \kappa_2 (\|\mu_{r,T}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2 + \|\mu_{s,r}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2)) dr.$$

From this we get (3.2). For (3.3), by Itô's formula again and (1.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,\mu} - X_{s,t}^{x,\nu}|^2 &\leq \int_s^t \left(\kappa_3 \mathbb{E}|X_{s,r}^{x,\mu} - X_{s,r}^{x,\nu}|^2 + \kappa_4 (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{s,r}^{x,\mu}|^2 + \mathbb{E}|X_{s,r}^{x,\nu}|^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2(\mu_{r,T}, \nu_{r,T}) + d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2(\mu_{s,r}, \nu_{s,r})) \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we get for all $t \in [s, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,\mu} - X_{s,t}^{x,\nu}|^2 \lesssim \int_s^t (1 + \mathbb{E}|X_{s,r}^{x,\mu}|^2 + \mathbb{E}|X_{s,r}^{x,\nu}|^2) (d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2(\mu_{r,T}, \nu_{r,T}) + d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2(\mu_{s,r}, \nu_{s,r})) dr. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that by (3.2),

$$\sup_{t \in [s, T]} \mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,\mu}|^2 \leq C(T, \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)(1 + |x|^2)(1 + \gamma_T^\mu).$$

Substituting this into (3.4), we obtain the desired estimate. \square

Now we can give

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use the method of freezing the distribution. Let $\mu_{s,t}^{x,0} := \delta_x$ for all $(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{D}_T \times \mathbb{R}^d$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 3.1, we can recursively define the approximation solution $X_{s,t}^{x,n}$ by

$$X_{s,t}^{x,n+1} = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^{x,n+1}, \mu_{r,T}^{\cdot,n}, \mu_{s,r}^{\cdot,n}) dr + \int_s^t \Sigma(r, X_{s,r}^{x,n+1}, \mu_{r,T}^{\cdot,n}, \mu_{s,r}^{\cdot,n}) dW_r. \quad (3.5)$$

By (3.2) we have

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x;n+1}|^2 \leq e^{\kappa_1(t-s)}|x|^2 + \frac{\kappa_0(e^{\kappa_1(t-s)} - 1)}{\kappa_1} + \kappa_2 \int_s^t e^{\kappa_1(t-r)} (\|\mu_{r,T}^{\cdot,n}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}_1}^2 + \|\mu_{s,r}^{\cdot,n}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}_1}^2) dr. \quad (3.6)$$

Noting that

$$\|\mu_{s,t}^{\cdot,n}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}_1}^2 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,n}|}{1 + |x|} \right)^2 \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,n}|^2}{(1 + |x|)^2} =: f_n(s, t), \quad (3.7)$$

we have

$$f_{n+1}(s, t) \leq e^{\kappa_1(t-s)} + \frac{\kappa_0(e^{\kappa_1(t-s)} - 1)}{\kappa_1} + \kappa_2 \int_s^t e^{\kappa_1(t-r)} (f_n(r, T) + f_n(s, r)) dr.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, if we let

$$F_m(s, t) := \sup_{n \leq m+1} f_n(s, t),$$

then for each $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$F_m(s, t) \lesssim 1 + \int_s^t [F_m(s, r) + F_m(r, T)] dr \leq 1 + \int_s^T F_m(r, T) dr + \int_s^t F_m(s, r) dr.$$

By (3.7) and Gronwall's inequality (see Lemma B.4), we have

$$\sup_m \sup_{(s,t) \in \mathbb{D}_T} \|\mu_{s,t}^{\cdot,m}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}_1}^2 \leq \sup_m \sup_{(s,t) \in \mathbb{D}_T} F_m(s, t) < \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

Next, we show that the sequence $\{X_{s,t}^{x,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in suitable space. By (3.3), we have for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,n+1} - X_{s,t}^{x,m+1}|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \lesssim \int_s^t \left(d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}_1}^2(\mu_{r,T}^{\cdot,n}, \mu_{r,T}^{\cdot,m}) + d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}_1}^2(\mu_{s,r}^{\cdot,n}, \mu_{s,r}^{\cdot,m}) \right) dr. \quad (3.9)$$

Noting that

$$H_{s,t}^{n,m} := d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}_1}^2(\mu_{s,t}^{\cdot,n}, \mu_{s,t}^{\cdot,m}) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,n} - X_{s,t}^{x,m}|^2}{(1 + |x|)^2},$$

by (3.9) we have

$$H_{s,t}^{n+1,m+1} \lesssim \int_s^t [H_{s,r}^{n,m} + H_{r,T}^{n,m}] dr.$$

Thus, by (3.8) and Fatou's lemma, we derive that for all $t \in [s, T]$,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} H_{s,t}^{n+1,m+1} \lesssim \int_s^t \left[\overline{\lim}_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} H_{s,r}^{n,m} + \overline{\lim}_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} H_{r,T}^{n,m} \right] dr,$$

which implies by Gronwall's inequality that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} H_{s,t}^{n,m} = \overline{\lim}_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{W_1(\mu_{s,t}^{x,n}, \mu_{s,t}^{x,m})}{1 + |x|} \right)^2 = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Substituting this into (3.9), we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(t,x) \in [s,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,n+1} - X_{s,t}^{x,m+1}|^2}{1 + |x|^2} = 0.$$

In particular, for each fixed $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, there is an adapted process $\{X_{s,t}^x\}_{t \in [s, T]}$ so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [s, T]} \mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,n} - X_{s,t}^x|^2 = 0,$$

and by (3.10), for each $s \leq t$, there is a family of probability measures $(\mu_{s,t}^x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1$ so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{W_1(\mu_{s,t}^{x,n}, \mu_{s,t}^x)}{1 + |x|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}(\mu_{s,t}^{x,n}, \mu_{s,t}^x) = 0.$$

Since $\mu_{s,t}^{x,n} = \mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,t}^{x,n})^{-1}$, we have

$$\mu_{s,t}^x = \mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,t}^x)^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Finally, by the continuity of $(x, \mu^*, \nu^*) \mapsto B(t, x, \mu^*, \nu^*)$ and taking limits for equation (3.5), one sees that for each $(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{D}_T \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*) dr + \int_s^t \Sigma(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*) dW_r.$$

Thus we obtain the existence. The pathwise uniqueness is derived by the same argument. Moreover, by (3.6), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^x|^2 \leq e^{\kappa_1(t-s)}|x|^2 + \frac{\kappa_0(e^{\kappa_1(t-s)} - 1)}{\kappa_1} + \kappa_2 \int_s^t e^{\kappa_1(t-r)} (\|\mu_{r,T}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2 + \|\mu_{s,r}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2) dr, \quad (3.11)$$

which implies by (3.7) that

$$\|\mu_{s,t}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2 \leq e^{\kappa_1(t-s)} + \frac{\kappa_0(e^{\kappa_1(t-s)} - 1)}{\kappa_1} + \kappa_2 \int_s^t e^{\kappa_1(t-r)} (\|\mu_{r,T}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2 + \|\mu_{s,r}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2) dr.$$

By Gronwall's inequality (see Lemma B.4), we have

$$\|\mu_{s,t}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2 \leq C_T. \quad (3.12)$$

If $\kappa_1 < 0$ and $2\kappa_2 < |\kappa_1|$, then

$$\|\mu_{s,t}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2 \leq 1 + \frac{\kappa_0}{|\kappa_1|} + \frac{2\kappa_2}{|\kappa_1|} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} \|\mu_{s,t}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2,$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T} \|\mu_{s,t}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1}^2 \leq (1 + \frac{\kappa_0}{|\kappa_1|}) / (1 - \frac{2\kappa_2}{|\kappa_1|}). \quad (3.13)$$

Substituting (3.12) and (3.13) into (3.11), we get the desired estimates. \square

Now we provide simple examples to illustrate the assumptions.

Example 3.2. Let $K_1, K_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy

$$(1 + |x|) K_1, \nabla K_1 \in L^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla K_2 \in L^\infty.$$

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two Borel measurable functions with

$$\varphi_1, \nabla \varphi_1 \in L^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + |x|) \varphi_2 \in L^1.$$

For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1$, we introduce

$$B(x, \mu^*, \nu^*) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_1(x - y) \mu^y(\varphi_1) dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (K_2 * \nu^z)(x) \varphi_2(z) dz =: B_1(x, \mu^*) + B_2(x, \nu^*).$$

Then one sees that (1.20) and (1.19) hold. Indeed, for $B_1(x, \mu^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_1(x_1, \mu_1^*) - B_1(x_2, \mu_2^*)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K_1(x_1 - y) - K_1(x_2 - y)| |\mu_1^y(\varphi_1)| dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K_1(x_2 - y)| |(\mu_1^y - \mu_2^y)(\varphi_1)| dy \\
&\leq \|\varphi_1\|_\infty |x_1 - x_2| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 |\nabla K_1(x_1 - y + \theta(x_2 - x_1))| d\theta dy \\
&\quad + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K_1(x_2 - y)| (1 + |y|) dy \right) \|\nabla \varphi_1\|_\infty d_{\mathcal{P}_1}(\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*) \\
&\leq \|\varphi_1\|_\infty \|\nabla K_1\|_1 |x_1 - x_2| \\
&\quad + (|x_2| + 1) \|(1 + |\cdot|) K_1\|_1 \|\nabla \varphi_1\|_\infty d_{\mathcal{P}_1}(\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*).
\end{aligned}$$

For $B_2(x, \nu^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_2(x_1, \nu_1^*) - B_2(x_2, \nu_2^*)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K_2(x_1 - y) - K_2(x_2 - y)| \nu_1^z(dy) |\varphi_2(z)| dz \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K_2 * \nu_1^z - K_2 * \nu_2^z|(x_2) |\varphi_2(z)| dz \\
&\leq |x_1 - x_2| \|\nabla K_2\|_\infty \|\varphi_2\|_1 + \|\nabla K_2\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W_1(\nu_1^z, \nu_2^z) |\varphi_2(z)| dz \\
&\leq \|\nabla K_2\|_\infty \left(\|\varphi_2\|_1 |x_1 - x_2| + \|(1 + |\cdot|) \varphi_2\|_1 d_{\mathcal{P}_1}(\nu_1^*, \nu_2^*) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For (1.19), for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, by Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x, B(x, \mu^*, \nu^*) \rangle &\leq |x| \|B(\cdot, \mu^*, \nu^*)\|_\infty \\
&\leq |x| \left(\|K_1\|_1 \|\varphi_1\|_\infty + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|K_2 * \nu^z\|_\infty |\varphi_2(z)| dz \right) \\
&\leq |x| \left(\|K_1\|_1 \|\varphi_1\|_\infty + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla K_2\|_\infty \|\nu^*\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} (1 + |z|) |\varphi_2(z)| dz \right) \\
&\leq \varepsilon |x|^2 + (\|K_1\|_1 \|\varphi_1\|_\infty + \|\nabla K_2\|_\infty \|\nu^*\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \|(1 + |\cdot|) \varphi_2(\cdot)\|_1)^2 / (4\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (1.19) holds with

$$\kappa_1 = \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_2 = \|\nabla K_2\|_\infty^2 \|(1 + |\cdot|) \varphi_2(\cdot)\|_1^2 / (4\varepsilon).$$

In particular, for any $\lambda > \varepsilon + \|\nabla K_2\|_\infty^2 \|(1 + |\cdot|) \varphi_2(\cdot)\|_1^2 / (2\varepsilon)$, by (1.21), we have uniform moment estimate in time for the solution of (3.1) with diffusive coefficient \mathbb{I} and drift $B(x, \mu^*, \nu^*) - \lambda x$.

Example 3.3. Let $\sigma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$|\sigma(t, x, r) - \sigma(t, x', r')| \leq C(|x - x'| + |r - r'|).$$

Let ϕ_ε be a family of mollifiers. For $\mu \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_1$, we introduce

$$\Sigma_\varepsilon(t, x, \mu^*) := \sigma \left(t, x, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_\varepsilon(x - y) \langle \mu^y, \varphi \rangle dy \right).$$

Then it is easy to see that (1.20) and (1.19) hold. In particular, the following SDE admits a unique solution

$$X_{s,t}^{x,\varepsilon} = x + \int_s^t \Sigma_\varepsilon(r, X_{s,r}^{x,\varepsilon}, \mu_{r,T}^{*,\varepsilon}) dW_r.$$

An open question is whether we can take limits $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ so that we can give a probability representation $u(s, x) = \mathbb{E}\varphi(X_{s,T}^{x,0})$ for local quasi-linear PDE:

$$\partial_s u + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk})(s, x, u) \partial_i \partial_j u = 0, \quad u(T) = \varphi,$$

where $X_{s,T}^{x,0}$ solves the following nonlinear-SDE:

$$X_{s,t}^{x,0} = x + \int_s^t \sigma(r, X_{s,r}^{x,0}, \mu_{r,T}^{x,0}(\varphi)) dW_r.$$

We will study this problem in a future work.

4. WELL-POSEDNESS OF FDSDE: SINGULAR DRIFT CASE

In this section, we consider the FDSDE driven by fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with a fixed value of $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. In Subsection 4.1, we focus on the well-posedness of SDEs driven by fBm using Girsanov's theorem. Specifically, we extend the results of Nualart-Ouknine [47] to the case where the drift term belongs to $\mathbb{L}_T^q \bar{\mathbb{L}}^p$ with p, q in the range of $[\frac{1}{1-H}, \infty]$ and satisfying the condition $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{Hd}{p} < \frac{1}{2}$. Notably, allowing q to be smaller than 2 is crucial for applications to the 2D-Navier-Stokes equation associated with fBm. In Subsection 4.2, we establish the weak well-posedness for FDSDEs driven by fBm using the entropy method. In Subsection 4.3, we examine a backward FDSDE driven by Brownian motion by utilizing Itô's formula and PDE's estimates. This analysis will be instrumental in demonstrating the well-posedness of the backward Navier-Stokes equation with \mathbb{L}^{1+} -initial vorticity.

4.1. SDEs driven by fBm. Let $\mathbb{C}_T := C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all continuous functions from $[0, T]$ to \mathbb{R}^d , which is endowed with the uniform convergence topology. The canonical process on \mathbb{C}_T is defined by

$$w_t(\omega) := \omega_t, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_T.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_t := \sigma\{w_s : s \leq t\}$ be the natural filtration. Let $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{C}_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a \mathcal{B}_t -progressively measurable vector field. In this section we consider the following SDE:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X) ds + W_t^H, \quad (4.1)$$

where W^H is an fBm with $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. To emphasize the dependence on b , we shall call SDE (4.1) as SDE_b . We introduce the following definition of a weak solution to SDE_b .

Definition 4.1. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We call a probability measure $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_T)$ a weak solution of SDE_b starting from the initial distribution ν if $\mathbf{P} \circ w_0^{-1} = \nu$ and

$$t \mapsto w_t - w_0 - \int_0^t b(s, w) ds =: \mathcal{W}_t^b \quad (4.2)$$

is an fBm with Hurst parameter H under \mathbf{P} . The set of all weak solutions of SDE_b with initial distribution ν is denoted by $\mathcal{S}(b, \nu)$. We call the uniqueness in law holds for SDE_b if any two $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2 \in \mathcal{S}(b, \nu)$ are the same.

Recall that for two $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_T)$, the relative entropy is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P}_1 | \mathbf{P}_2) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_1} \ln(d\mathbf{P}_1/d\mathbf{P}_2), & \mathbf{P}_1 \ll \mathbf{P}_2, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Csiszár-Kullback-Pinsker's inequality (abbreviated as CKP's inequality) (see [54, (22.25)]), we have

$$\|\mathbf{P}_1 - \mathbf{P}_2\|_{\text{var}} \leq \sqrt{2\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P}_1 | \mathbf{P}_2)}. \quad (4.3)$$

We now prepare the following result about the relative entropy (see Lacker [38, Lemma 4.1] for a version of Brownian case).

Lemma 4.2. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $b_i : [0, T] \times \mathbb{C}_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $i = 1, 2$ be two progressively measurable vector fields and $\mathbf{P}_i \in \mathcal{S}(b_i, \nu)$. Suppose that the uniqueness in law holds for SDE_{b_2} and

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_1} \exp \left\{ \lambda \|\mathcal{S}_{b_1 - b_2}\|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{d_H}}^2 \right\} < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{b_1-b_2}(t) := \int_0^t (b_1 - b_2)(s, w.) ds$. Then for some $C = C(H) > 0$, it holds that

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P}_1 | \mathbf{P}_2) \lesssim_C \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_1} \left(\|\mathcal{S}_{b_1-b_2}\|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_H}}^2 \right).$$

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$, by definition, one has

$$\mathcal{W}_t^{b_i} := w_t - w_0 - \int_0^t b_i(s, w.) ds \text{ is an fBm with respect to } \mathbf{P}_i.$$

Let $W_t := \Phi(\mathcal{W}^{b_1})(t)$ (see (2.13)). Then W is a standard Brownian motion under \mathbf{P}_1 . Write

$$Z_T := \exp \left(- \int_0^T (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{S}_{b_1-b_2})(s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{S}_{b_1-b_2}\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2}^2 \right).$$

By (2.16), (4.4) and Novikov's criterion, $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_1} Z_T = 1$. Thus, by Girsanov's theorem (Theorem 2.5),

$$t \mapsto \mathcal{W}_t^{b^1} + \mathcal{S}_{b_1-b_2}(t) = \mathcal{W}_t^{b^2}$$

is still an fBm under $\mathbf{Q} := Z_T \mathbf{P}_1$. Thus $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{S}(b_2, \nu)$. By the uniqueness in law of SDE_{b_2} , we have

$$Z_T \mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}_2.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P}_1 | \mathbf{P}_2) = - \int_{\mathbb{C}_T} \ln Z_T d\mathbf{P}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_1} \left(\|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{S}_{b_1-b_2}\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2}^2 \right) \stackrel{(2.16)}{\lesssim} C \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_1} \|\mathcal{S}_{b_1-b_2}\|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_H}}^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

By Theorem 2.9 and Girsanov's theorem, it is by now standard to show the following result (see [47, Theorem 2]).

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that for some $(p_1, q_1) \in [\frac{1}{1-H}, \infty]^2$ with $\alpha := \frac{1}{2} - (\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{Hd}{p_1}) > 0$,*

$$\kappa_b := \|b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}} < \infty.$$

Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a unique weak solution $\mathbf{P}_x \in \mathcal{S}(b, \delta_x)$ in the class that

$$\mathbf{P}_x \left(\int_0^T |b(s, w_s)|^{q_H} ds < \infty \right) = 1.$$

Moreover, we have the following conclusions:

(i) *For any $1 < p \leq q \leq \infty$, there is a constant $C = C(T, H, d, p_1, q_1, p, q) > 0$ such that for all $f \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$ and $t \in (0, T]$,*

$$\|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_x} f(w_t)\|_q \leq \exp \left\{ C \left(1 + \kappa_b^{2/\alpha} \right) \right\} t^{\frac{dH}{q} - \frac{dH}{p}} \|f\|_p. \quad (4.6)$$

(ii) *For any $p, q \in (1, \infty]$ with $\beta := 1 - (\frac{1}{q} + \frac{Hd}{p}) > 0$, there is a $C = C(T, H, d, p_1, q_1, \kappa_b, p, q) > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $m \geq 1$,*

$$\left\| \int_0^t f(s, w_s) ds \right\|_{L^m(\mathbb{C}_T; \mathbf{P}_x)} \leq C t^\beta m^{1-\beta} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p}. \quad (4.7)$$

(iii) *For any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $p_b, q_b \in (q_H, \infty]$ satisfying*

$$\beta_H := 1 - q_H \left(\frac{1}{q_b} + \frac{Hd}{p_b} \right) > 0,$$

there is a constant $C = C(\gamma, d, H, p, p_b, q_b, \kappa_b, T) > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $f \in \mathbf{B}_p^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_x} f(\cdot - w_t) - f(\cdot - x)\|_p \leq C t^{H\gamma} \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_p^\gamma} + t^{\beta_H} \|f\|_p \|b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_b} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_b}}^{q_H}, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\mathbf{B}_p^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the Sobolev space consisting of all functions f with

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_p^\gamma} := \sup_{h \neq 0} \frac{\|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_p}{|h|^\gamma} + \|f\|_p < \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. (Existence) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and W^H be an fBm over a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Define

$$\tilde{W}_t^H := W_t^H - \int_0^t b(s, W_s^H + x) ds =: W_t^H - \mathcal{J}_b^x(t).$$

Since $b \in \mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} - (\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{Hd}{p_1}) > 0$, by Theorem 2.9, for any $\lambda > 0$, there is a constant $C = C(\lambda, p_1, q_1, d, H) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \lambda \|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_b^x\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2}^2 \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ C \left(1 + \kappa_b^{2/\alpha} \right) \right\}. \quad (4.10)$$

By Theorem 2.5, \tilde{W}^H is an fBm under $\mathbb{Q}_x = Z_T^x \mathbb{P}$, where

$$Z_t^x = \exp \left(- \int_0^t (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_b^x)(s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_b^x\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2}^2 \right),$$

is an exponential martingale. Here $W_t := \Phi(W^H)(t)$ (see (2.13)). Now if we let $X_t^x := W_t^H + x$, then

$$X_t^x = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s^x) ds + \tilde{W}_t^H.$$

In particular, $\mathbf{P}_x := \mathbb{Q}_x \circ (X^x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}(b, \delta_x)$ is a solution of SDE_b .

(Uniqueness) For $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathbf{P}_i \in \mathcal{S}(b, \delta_x)$ so that \mathcal{W}^b is an fBm with Hurst parameter H under \mathbf{P}_i , and

$$\mathbf{P}_i(w_0 = x) = 1, \quad \mathbf{P}_i \left(\int_0^T |b(s, w_s)|^{q_n} ds < \infty \right) = 1.$$

Define a \mathcal{B}_t -stopping time by

$$\tau_n := \inf \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \int_0^t |b(s, w_s)|^{q_n} ds > n \right\}.$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_i(\tau_n = T) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{J}_b(t) := \int_0^t b(s, w_s) ds$. Note that

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_b(\cdot \wedge \tau_n)\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2} \leq C \|\mathcal{J}_b(\cdot \wedge \tau_n)\|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_n}} \leq C n^{1/q_n}.$$

By Girsanov's theorem,

$$\mathcal{W}_t^b + \mathcal{J}_b(t \wedge \tau_n) = w_t - w_0 - \int_{t \wedge \tau_n}^t b(s, w_s) ds \quad (4.11)$$

is still an fBm under the new probability $\mathbf{Q}_i^n := Z_T^n \mathbf{P}_i$, where

$$Z_T^n := \exp \left(- \int_0^T (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_b(\cdot \wedge \tau_n))(s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_b(\cdot \wedge \tau_n)\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^2}^2 \right).$$

In particular, for any $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m \leq T$ and $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by (4.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_1(w_{t_1} \in \Gamma_1, \dots, w_{t_m} \in \Gamma_m; \tau_n = T) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}_T} \mathbf{1}_{\{w_{t_1} \in \Gamma_1, \dots, w_{t_m} \in \Gamma_m; \tau_n = T\}} / Z_T^n \mathbf{Q}_1^n(d\omega) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}_T} \mathbf{1}_{\{w_{t_1} \in \Gamma_1, \dots, w_{t_m} \in \Gamma_m; \tau_n = T\}} / Z_T^n \mathbf{Q}_2^n(d\omega) \\ &= \mathbf{P}_2(w_{t_1} \in \Gamma_1, \dots, w_{t_m} \in \Gamma_m; \tau_n = T). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude the proof of uniqueness.

(Proofs of (i) and (ii)) Let $1 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $p_0 \in (1, p)$. Set $\gamma := \frac{p}{p_0}$ and $\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma'} = 1$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^x} f(w_t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^x}(f(X_t^x)) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(Z_T^x f(W_t^H + x)) \leq (\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(Z_T^x)^{\gamma'})^{1/\gamma'} (\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}|f(W_t^H + x)|^{\gamma})^{1/\gamma}.$$

Noting that by (4.10) and Hölder's inequality,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}|Z_t^x|^{\gamma'} \leq \exp \left\{ C \left(1 + \kappa_b^{2/\alpha} \right) \right\} =: C_0,$$

by Lemma B.1, we further have

$$\|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^x} f(w_t)\|_q \lesssim_{C_0} \|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}|f(W_t^H + \cdot)|^{\gamma}\|_{q/\gamma}^{1/\gamma} \lesssim t^{\frac{dH}{q} - \frac{dH}{\gamma p_0}} \|f\|_{p_0}^{1/\gamma} = t^{\frac{dH}{q} - \frac{dH}{p}} \|f\|_p.$$

Thus we get (4.6). For (4.7), it is similar by (2.21) and Hölder's inequality.

(Proof of (iii)): Let $\mathbf{Q}_x \in \mathcal{S}(0, \delta_x)$ and note that

$$\|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^x} f(\cdot - w_t) - f(\cdot - x)\|_p \leq \|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{Q}^x} f(\cdot - w_t) - f(\cdot - x)\|_p + \|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^x} f(\cdot - w_t) - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{Q}^x} f(\cdot - w_t)\|_p.$$

Since \mathbf{Q}_x is the law of fBm starting from $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{Q}^x} f(\cdot - w_t) - f(\cdot - x)\|_p &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\cdot - x - z) p_t^H(z) dz - f(\cdot - x) \right\|_p \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(\cdot - z) - f(\cdot)\|_p p_t^H(z) dz \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_p^\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z|^\beta p_t^H(z) dz \lesssim t^{\beta H} \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_p^\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_t^H(z) = (2\pi\lambda_{0,t}^H)^{-d/2} e^{-|z|^2/\lambda_{0,t}^H}$ and $\lambda_{0,t}^H$ is defined in (2.19).

Moreover, by (4.3), (4.5) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^x} f(\cdot - w_t) - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{Q}^x} f(\cdot - w_t)\|_p &\leq \|f\|_p \|\mathbf{P}_x \circ w_t^{-1} - \mathbf{Q}_x \circ w_t^{-1}\|_{\text{var}} \lesssim \|f\|_p \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{Q}^x} \|\mathcal{S}_b\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q_u}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim t^{\beta H} \|f\|_p \|b\|^{q_u} \|\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q_b/q_u} \mathbb{L}_{p_b/q_u}\| = t^{\beta H} \|f\|_p \|b\|_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q_b} \mathbb{L}_{p_b}}^{q_u}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 4.4. *In comparison with [48, Theorem 2], we relax the condition $p_1, q_1 \geq 2$ in [48, Theorem 2] to $p_1, q_1 \geq 1/(1-H)$ in Theorem 4.3. This allows us to treat the Biot-Savart kernel in subsequent discussions.*

It should be noted that recently, Butkovsky and Gallay [10] showed the existence of the weak solution under the weaker assumption for $b \in \mathbb{L}_T^q \mathbb{L}^p$ with

$$\frac{1-H}{q} + \frac{Hd}{p} < 1-H \Leftrightarrow \frac{Hd}{p} < (1-H)(1 - \frac{1}{q}),$$

which coincides with the result in [36] for $H = 1/2$. But the uniqueness in this case is still open. However, based on the entropy estimate in Lemma 4.2, we have the following partial result.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $p_1, q_1 \in [\frac{1}{1-H}, \infty)$ satisfy $\frac{Hd}{p_1} + \frac{1-H}{q_1} < (1-H)^2$. Assume $b \in \mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \mathbb{L}^{p_1}$. Let b_n be a sequence of bounded smooth function converging to b in $\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \mathbb{L}^{p_1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let X^n be the unique strong solution of*

$$X_t^n = x + \int_0^t b_n(s, X_s^n) ds + W_t^H, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Then the law \mathbf{P}_n of X^n in \mathbb{C}_T weakly converges to a solution $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{S}(b, \delta_x)$. We call such \mathbf{P} a regular solution of SDE_b . Moreover, for any two $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \mathbb{L}^{p_1}$, letting \mathbf{P}_i be the unique regular solution of SDE_{b_i} starting from x , where $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P}_1 | \mathbf{P}_2) \lesssim_C \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_1} \|\mathcal{S}_{b_1 - b_2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{q_u}}^2. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Let $\frac{Hd}{p} + \frac{1-H}{q} < 1 - H$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By [10, Lemma 3.11], there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathbb{L}_T^q \mathbb{L}^p$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^n} \left| \int_0^T f(s, w_s) ds \right|^m = \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T f(s, X_s^n) ds \right|^m \leq C \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \mathbb{L}^p}^m. \quad (4.13)$$

By Lemma 4.2 and the above Krylov's estimate with $q = q_1/q_H$ and $p = p_1/q_H$, where $q_H = 1/(1-H)$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P}_n | \mathbf{P}_m) \lesssim \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^n} \|\mathcal{S}_{b_n - b_m}\|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_H}}^2 \lesssim \| |b^n - b^m|^{q_H} \|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \mathbb{L}^p}^{2/q_H} = \|b_n - b_m\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \mathbb{L}^{p_1}}^2,$$

which implies by CKP's inequality (4.3),

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{P}_n - \mathbf{P}_m\|_{\text{var}}^2 \leq 2 \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P}_n | \mathbf{P}_m) \lesssim \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n - b_m\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \mathbb{L}^{p_1}}^2 = 0.$$

Let $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_T)$ be the limit point so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{P}_n - \mathbf{P}\|_{\text{var}}^2 = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

It is easy to see $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{S}(b, \delta_x)$ by taking limits. In fact, it suffices to show that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_k$ and $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^{kd})$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} f(W_{t_1}^H, \dots, W_{t_k}^H) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}} f(\mathcal{W}_{t_1}^b, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{t_k}^b), \quad (4.15)$$

where W^H is an fBm on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and \mathcal{W}^b is defined by (4.2). Since \mathcal{W}^{b_n} is an fBm w.r.t. \mathbf{P}_n , we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} f(W_{t_1}^H, \dots, W_{t_k}^H) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^n} f(\mathcal{W}_{t_1}^{b_n}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{t_k}^{b_n}). \quad (4.16)$$

By (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^n} f(\mathcal{W}_{t_1}^{b_n}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{t_k}^{b_n}) - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^n} f(\mathcal{W}_{t_1}^b, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{t_k}^b)| \\ & \leq \|\nabla f\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^n} \left(\int_0^{t_k} |b_n - b|(s, w_s) ds \right) \\ & \lesssim \|b_n - b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \mathbb{L}^{p_1}} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (4.14) we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^n} f(\mathcal{W}_{t_1}^{b_n}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{t_k}^{b_n}) - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}} f(\mathcal{W}_{t_1}^b, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{t_k}^b)| = 0.$$

By taking limits for (4.16), we obtain (4.15).

For $i = 1, 2$, let \mathbf{P}_i be the unique regular solution of SDE $_{b_i}$ with the same starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $b_i^{(n)}$ be the smooth approximation sequence of b_i , and $\mathbf{P}_i^{(n)}$ the law of the solution of the associated approximation SDE. By Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P}_1^{(n)} | \mathbf{P}_2^{(n)}) \lesssim_C \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}_1^{(n)}} \|\mathcal{S}_{b_1^{(n)} - b_2^{(n)}}\|_{\mathbb{H}_T^{q_H}}^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}(\mu | \nu)$ is lower semi-continuous w.r.t. μ, ν , by taking limits and as above, we get (4.12). \square

Remark 4.6. Assume $q_1 = \infty$ and $H \in (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2})$. The condition $dH/p_1 < (1 - H)^2$ is worse than $dH/p_1 < 1/2$. Thus in this case, the result in Theorem 4.3 is better than Theorem 4.5.

4.2. **FDSDEs driven by fBm.** In this subsection we consider the following FDSDE driven by fBm:

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^{\bullet}, \mu_{s,r}^{\bullet}) dr + W_t^H - W_s^H, \quad (s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T, \quad (4.17)$$

where $B : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0 \times \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies the following assumption:

(\mathbf{H}_1^s) Let $(p_1, q_1) \in [\frac{1}{1-H}, \infty]^2$ satisfy $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{Hd}{p_1} < \frac{1}{2}$. There is a $\kappa_1 > 0$,

$$\|B(\cdot, \mu^{\bullet}, \nu^{\bullet})\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \bar{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}} \leq \kappa_1, \quad \forall \mu^{\bullet}, \nu^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0, \quad (4.18)$$

and there is a function $\ell \in \mathbb{L}_T^{q_1}$ such that for all $\mu_i^{\bullet}, \nu_i^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\|B(t, \cdot, \mu_1^{\bullet}, \nu_1^{\bullet}) - B(t, \cdot, \mu_2^{\bullet}, \nu_2^{\bullet})\|_{p_1} \leq \ell(t) (\|\mu_1^{\bullet} - \mu_2^{\bullet}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0} + \|\nu_1^{\bullet} - \nu_2^{\bullet}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0}). \quad (4.19)$$

Theorem 4.7. *Under (\mathbf{H}_1^s), there is a unique weak solution to FDSDE (4.17). Moreover, for any $p > 1$, there is a constant $C = C(p, d, \kappa_1) > 0$ such that for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} f(X_{s,t}^x) \lesssim_C (t-s)^{-Hd/p} \|f\|_p, \quad (4.20)$$

and for any $p, q \in (1, \infty]$ with $\alpha := 1 - (\frac{1}{q} + \frac{Hd}{p}) > 0$, there is a $C = C(T, H, d, p_1, q_1, \kappa_1, p, q) > 0$ such that for all $m \geq 1$ and $(s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \int_s^t f(r, X_{s,r}^x) dr \right\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \lesssim_C m^{1-\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \bar{\mathbb{L}}^p}. \quad (4.21)$$

Proof. We use the method of freezing the flow-distribution as Theorem 1.2. Let $\mu_{s,t}^{\bullet,0} = \delta_x$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the following approximation sequence:

$$X_{s,t}^{x,n+1} = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^{x,n+1}, \mu_{r,T}^{\bullet,n}, \mu_{s,r}^{\bullet,n}) dr + W_t^H - W_s^H,$$

where $\mu_{s,t}^{x,n}$ is the law of $X_{s,t}^{x,n}$. By Theorem 4.3, there is a unique weak solution to the above approximation SDE, and by (4.7), for any $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $\alpha := 1 - (\frac{1}{q} + \frac{Hd}{p}) > 0$, there is a constant $C = C(T, H, d, p_1, q_1, \kappa_1, p, q) > 0$ such that for all $m \geq 1$ and $(s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T$,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \int_s^t f(r, X_{s,r}^{x,n}) dr \right\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \lesssim_C m^{1-\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^q \bar{\mathbb{L}}^p}. \quad (4.22)$$

For simplicity of notations, for any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we write

$$b_{n,k}(r, x) := B(r, x, \mu_{r,T}^{\bullet,n}, \mu_{s,r}^{\bullet,n}) - B(r, x, \mu_{r,T}^{\bullet,k}, \mu_{s,r}^{\bullet,k}).$$

Noting that by Lemma 4.2,

$$\mathcal{H}(\mu_{s,t}^{x,n+1} | \mu_{s,t}^{x,k+1}) \lesssim_C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_s^t |b_{n,k}(r, X_{s,r}^{x,n})|^{q_n} dr \right)^{2/q_n},$$

by CKP's inequality (4.3) and (4.22) with $(p, q) = (\frac{p_1}{q_n}, \frac{q_1}{q_n}) \in [1, \infty]$ and $m = \frac{2}{q_n} > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_{s,t}^{\bullet,n+1} - \mu_{s,t}^{\bullet,k+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0}^2 &\leq 2 \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{H}(\mu_{s,t}^{x,n+1} | \mu_{s,t}^{x,k+1}) \lesssim \left\| \int_s^t |b_{n,k}(r, X_{s,r}^{x,n})|^{q_n} dr \right\|_{L^{2/q_n}(\Omega)}^{2/q_n} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{1}_{[s,t]} |b_{n,k}|^{q_n}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{q_1/q_n} \bar{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1/q_n}}^{2/q_n} = \|\mathbf{1}_{[s,t]} b_{n,k}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{q_1} \bar{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(4.19)}{\leq} \left(\int_s^t \ell(r)^{q_1} \left[\|\mu_{r,T}^{\bullet,n} - \mu_{r,T}^{\bullet,k}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0} + \|\mu_{s,r}^{\bullet,n} - \mu_{s,r}^{\bullet,k}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0} \right]^{q_1} dr \right)^{2/q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality in Lemma B.4, we derive that for each $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n, k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{s,t}^{\bullet,n} - \mu_{s,t}^{\bullet,k}\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0} = 0.$$

Hence, there is a $\mu_{s,t}^* \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{s,t}^{*,n} - \mu_{s,t}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0} = 0.$$

Thus, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by (4.18) and Theorem 4.3, there is a unique weak solution $X_{s,t}^x$ to SDE

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*, \mu_{s,r}^*) dr + W_t^H - W_s^H.$$

By the same argument as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{P} \circ (X_{s,t}^x)^{-1} - \mu_{s,t}^{x,n}\|_{\text{var}}^2 &\leq 2\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{P} \circ (X_{s,t}^x)^{-1} | \mu_{s,t}^{x,n}) \\ &\leq \left(\int_s^t \ell(r)^{q_1} \left[\|\mu_{r,T}^{*,n} - \mu_{r,T}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0} + \|\mu_{s,r}^{*,n} - \mu_{s,r}^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0} \right]^{q_1} dr \right)^{2/q_1} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that

$$\mathbf{P} \circ (X_{s,t}^x)^{-1} = \mu_{s,t}^x.$$

By (4.6) and (4.7), we have (4.20) and (4.21). The proof is complete. \square

Example 4.8. Let $K_1 \in \mathbb{L}^1$ and $K_2 \in \mathbb{L}^p$ with some $p > d$. Let $\varphi_1 \in \mathbb{L}^\infty$ and $\varphi_2 \in \mathbb{L}^1$. For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0$, we introduce

$$B(t, x, \mu^*, \nu^*) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_1(x-y) \mu^y(\varphi_1) dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (K_2 * \nu^z)(x) \varphi_2(z) dz.$$

Then it is easy to see that (\mathbf{H}_1^s) holds with $(q_1, p_1) = (\infty, p)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(t, \cdot, \mu^*, \nu^*)\|_p &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_1(\cdot - y) \mu^y(\varphi_1) dy \right\|_\infty + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (K_2 * \nu^z)(\cdot) \varphi_2(z) dz \right\|_p \\ &\leq \|K_1 * \mu^*(\varphi_1)\|_\infty + \|\varphi_2\|_1 \sup_z \|K_2 * \nu^z\|_p \\ &\leq \|K_1\|_1 \|\varphi_1\|_\infty + \|\varphi_2\|_1 \|K_2\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\|B(t, \cdot, \mu^{*,1}, \nu^{*,1}) - B(t, \cdot, \mu^{*,2}, \nu^{*,2})\|_p \leq \|K_1\|_1 \|\varphi_1\|_\infty \|\mu^1 - \mu^2\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0} + \|K_2\|_p \|\varphi_2\|_1 \|\nu^1 - \nu^2\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}_0}.$$

In Section 5, we shall use Theorem 4.7 to study the 2D-Navier-Stokes equation with fBm .

4.3. Backward FDSDEs driven by Brownian motion. In this section, we consider the following backward FDSDE driven by Brownian motion:

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s), \quad (4.23)$$

where for some $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$B : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{p_0} \mathcal{P}_s \text{ (or } \mathcal{L}^{p_0} \mathcal{P}_s) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

is a measurable vector field. We first consider the following classical SDE

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t b(r, X_r^x) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s), \quad t \in [s, T]. \quad (4.24)$$

The following results were partly obtained in [57].

Theorem 4.9. Let $(p_1, q_1) \in (2, \infty)^2$ satisfy $\alpha := 1 - (\frac{2}{q_1} + \frac{d}{p_1}) > 0$. Assume that

$$\kappa_b := \|b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}} < \infty.$$

(i) For each $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a unique strong solution $X_{s,t}^x =: X_{s,t}^x(b)$ to SDE (4.24). Moreover, $x \mapsto X_{s,t}^x(b)$ is weakly differentiable and for any $p \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{(t,x) \in [s,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} |\nabla X_{s,t}^x(b)|^p < \infty. \quad (4.25)$$

(ii) For any $p \in (1, p_1]$, there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ only depending on T, d, p_1, q_1, p such that for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T$,

$$\|\mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,t}(b))^{-1}\|_p \lesssim_{C_1} 1 + (t-s)^{\alpha/2} \exp\left\{C_2 \left(1 + \kappa_b^{2/\alpha}\right)\right\}. \quad (4.26)$$

(iii) Let $p \in (1, p_1]$. For any $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}$, there is a constant $C_3 = C_3(T, d, p_1, q_1, p) > 0$ such that for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T$,

$$\|\mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,t}(b_1))^{-1} - \mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,t}(b_2))^{-1}\|_p \lesssim_{C_3} \int_s^t (t-r)^{-\frac{1+d/p_1}{2}} \|b_1(r) - b_2(r)\|_{p_1} dr. \quad (4.27)$$

(iv) If $\operatorname{div} b = 0$, then for any $f \in L^1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}|f(X_{s,t}^x)| dx = \|f\|_1. \quad (4.28)$$

Proof. (i) The existence and uniqueness of strong solutions and estimate (4.25) follow by [57, Theorem 1.1].

(ii) For (4.26), we fix $t \in (0, T]$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $u(s, x) := \mathbb{E}\phi(\sqrt{2}W_{t-s} + x)$. Then $u \in C^1([0, t]; C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$\partial_s u + \Delta u = 0, \quad u(t) = \phi.$$

By Itô's formula, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\phi(X_{s,t}^x) = \mathbb{E}u(t, X_{s,t}^x) = u(s, x) + \mathbb{E} \int_s^t (b \cdot \nabla u)(r, X_{s,r}^x) dr.$$

Let $p \in [1, p_1]$ and $p_2, q_2 \in [1, \infty)$ be defined by

$$\frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_1} = 1, \quad \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{1}{p}. \quad (4.29)$$

By Lemma B.1 with $j = 0$, (4.6) with $H = \frac{1}{2}$ and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}\phi(X_{s,t}^x)\|_p &\leq \|u(s, \cdot)\|_p + \int_s^t \|\mathbb{E}(b \cdot \nabla u)(r, X_{s,r}^x)\|_p dr \\ &\lesssim_C \|\phi\|_p + \exp\left\{C\kappa_b^{2/\alpha}\right\} \int_s^t \|(b \cdot \nabla u)(r)\|_p dr \\ &\lesssim_C \|\phi\|_p + \exp\left\{C\kappa_b^{2/\alpha}\right\} \|b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{L}_{[s,t]}^{q_2} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Note that by (B.1) with $q = p_2$ and $p = p_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{L}_{[s,t]}^{q_2} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_2}} &= \left(\int_s^t \|\mathbb{E}\nabla\phi(\sqrt{2}W_{t-r} + \cdot)\|_{p_2}^{q_2} dr \right)^{1/q_2} \\ &\lesssim \|\phi\|_p \left(\int_s^t (t-r)^{q_2(d/p_2 - d/p_1)/2} dr \right)^{1/q_2} \lesssim \|\phi\|_p (t-s)^{(1-2/q_1 - d/p_1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (4.30) and by (2.9), we derive the estimate (4.26).

(iii) For simplicity we set $X_{s,t}^i := X_{s,t}(b_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. We fix $t \in [0, T]$ and consider the following backward PDE:

$$\partial_s u + \Delta u + b_1 \cdot \nabla u = 0, \quad u(t) = \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Since $b_1 \in \mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}$, by Theorem B.6, there is a unique solution u to the above equation. Then by the generalized Itô's formula (see [57]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}u(t, X_{s,t}^{x,2}) &= u(s, x) + \mathbb{E} \int_s^t (\partial_s u + \Delta u + b_2 \cdot \nabla u)(r, X_{s,r}^{x,2}) dr \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_s^t ((b_2 - b_1) \cdot \nabla u)(r, X_{s,r}^{x,2}) dr, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}u(t, X_{s,t}^{x,1}) = u(s, x) + \mathbb{E} \int_s^t (\partial_s u + \Delta u + b_1 \cdot \nabla u)(r, X_{s,r}^{x,1}) dr = u(s, x).$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\phi(X_{s,t}^{x,2}) - \mathbb{E}\phi(X_{s,t}^{x,1}) = \mathbb{E} \int_s^t ((b_2 - b_1) \cdot \nabla u)(r, X_{s,r}^{x,2}) dr,$$

and by (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}\phi(X_{s,t}^{x,2}) - \mathbb{E}\phi(X_{s,t}^{x,1})\|_p &\leq \int_s^t \|\mathbb{E}((b_2 - b_1) \cdot \nabla u)(r, X_{s,r}^{x,2})\|_p dr \\ &\lesssim \int_s^t \|((b_2 - b_1) \cdot \nabla u)(r, \cdot)\|_p dr. \end{aligned}$$

Let p_2, q_2 be defined by (4.29). By Hölder's inequality and Theorem B.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}\phi(X_{s,t}^{x,1}) - \mathbb{E}\phi(X_{s,t}^{x,2})\|_p &\lesssim_C \int_s^t \|b_1 - b_2\|_{p_1} \|\nabla u(r, \cdot)\|_{p_2} dr \\ &\lesssim_C \|\phi\|_p \int_s^t \|b_1 - b_2\|_{p_1} (t-r)^{-\frac{1+d/p-d/p_2}{2}} dr, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (4.27) by taking the supremum of $\phi \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty$.

(iv) Let $b_n(t, x) := b(t, \cdot) * \rho_n(x)$ be the mollifying approximation of b . For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $X_{s,t}^{x,n}$ be the unique solution of approximation SDE

$$X_{s,t}^{x,n} = x + \int_s^t b_n(r, X_{s,r}^{x,n}) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s).$$

It is well known that (see [57])

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|X_{s,t}^{x,n} - X_{s,t}^x| = 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{div} b_n = 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(X_{s,t}^{x,n}) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx.$$

By taking limits, we obtain that for any $0 \leq f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(X_{s,t}^x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx.$$

The proof is complete by a further approximation. \square

Remark 4.10. *If we replace all the norms of $\|\cdot\|_p$ by $\|\cdot\|_p$, then the results in Theorem 4.9 still hold.*

Now we make the following assumption on B :

(\mathbf{H}_2^s) For some $(p_1, q_1) \in (2, \infty)$ with $\frac{2}{q_1} + \frac{d}{p_1} < 1$ and $p_0 \in (1, p_1]$, there is a function $\ell \in \mathbb{L}_T^{q_1}$ such that for some $\beta \geq 0$ and all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\mu^* \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{p_0} \mathcal{P}_s$,

$$\|B(t, \cdot, \mu^*)\|_{p_1} \leq \ell(t)(1 + \|\mu^*\|_{p_0}^\beta), \quad (4.31)$$

and for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\mu^*, \nu^* \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{p_0} \mathcal{P}_s$,

$$\|B(t, \cdot, \mu^*) - B(t, \cdot, \nu^*)\|_{p_1} \leq \ell(t)\|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_{p_0}. \quad (4.32)$$

Now we can prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.11. *Under (\mathbf{H}_2^s), there is a time $T \in (0, 1)$ such that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a unique strong solution to FDSDE (4.23) on $[0, T]$. When $\beta = 0$, the time can be taken arbitrarily large. Moreover, if we replace all the norms in (\mathbf{H}_2^s) by $\|\cdot\|_p$, then the conclusion still holds.*

Proof. Let $\mu_{r,T}^{\bullet,1} = \delta_x$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the following Picard iteration to FDSDE (4.23):

$$X_{s,t}^{x,n+1} = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^{x,n+1}, \mu_{r,T}^{\bullet,n}) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s),$$

where $\mu_{r,T}^{x,n}$ is the law of $X_{r,T}^{x,n}$. By (4.26) with $p = p_0$, we have

$$\kappa_{\mu_{\cdot,T}^{\bullet,n+1}} = \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\mu_{s,T}^{\bullet,n+1}\|_{p_0} \leq C_1 \left(1 + T^{\alpha/2} \exp\left\{C_2 \kappa_{\mu_{\cdot,T}^{\bullet,n}}^{2\beta/\alpha}\right\}\right),$$

where $\alpha := 1 - \left(\frac{2}{q_1} + \frac{d}{p_1}\right) > 0$. If $\beta = 0$, then

$$\sup_n \kappa_{\mu_{\cdot,T}^{\bullet,n}} < \infty.$$

If $\beta > 0$, then one can choose a time T small enough so that

$$C_1 T^{\alpha/2} \exp\left\{C_2 (2C_1)^{2\beta/\alpha}\right\} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_n \kappa_{\mu_{\cdot,T}^{\bullet,n}} \leq 2C_1.$$

Now by (4.27) we have for any $p \in (1, p_1]$,

$$\|\mu_{s,t}^{\bullet,n+1} - \mu_{s,t}^{\bullet,m+1}\|_p^{q'_1} \lesssim C_3 \int_s^t (t-r)^{-q'_1 \frac{1+d/p_1}{2}} \|\mu_{r,T}^{\bullet,n} - \mu_{r,T}^{\bullet,m}\|_{p_0}^{q'_1} dr. \quad (4.33)$$

In particular, if we choose $p = p_0$ and set

$$h(t) := \overline{\lim}_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{t,T}^{\bullet,n} - \mu_{t,T}^{\bullet,m}\|_{p_0}^{q'_1},$$

then by Fatou's lemma,

$$h(s) \leq \int_s^T (T-r)^{-q'_1 \frac{1+d/p_1}{2}} h(r) dr.$$

Since $q'_1 \frac{1+d/p_1}{2} < 1$, by Gronwall's inequality of Voltera's type, we get

$$h(s) = \overline{\lim}_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{s,T}^{\bullet,n} - \mu_{s,T}^{\bullet,m}\|_{p_0}^{q'_1} = 0.$$

By Proposition 2.2, for each $s \in [0, T]$, there is a probability kernel $\mu_{s,T}^{\bullet} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{p_0} \mathcal{P}$ so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{s,T}^{\bullet,n} - \mu_{s,T}^{\bullet}\|_{p_0} = 0.$$

Now for each $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, let $X_{s,t}^x$ be the unique strong solution of the following SDE:

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B(r, X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^{\bullet}) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s). \quad (4.34)$$

By using (4.27) again, it is easy to see that for each $s \in [0, T]$ and Lebesgue almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,T}^x)^{-1} = \mu_{s,T}^x,$$

which gives the existence, and the uniqueness is from the stability estimate (4.27).

Now, let us replace all the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ by $\|\cdot\|_p$. Then By the same argument, we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{s,T}^{\bullet,n} - \mu_{s,T}^{\bullet,m}\|_{p_0} = 0.$$

The only difference from the localized L^p case is that by Proposition 2.2, we can only find a sub-probability kernel $\mu_{s,T}^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{L}^{p_0} \mathcal{P}_s$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_{s,T}^{\bullet,n} - \mu_{s,T}^{\bullet}\|_{p_0} = 0.$$

But this don't prevent us from considering the SDE (4.34). By using (4.27) again, for each $s \in [0, T]$ and Lebesgue almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathbb{P} \circ (X_{s,T}^x)^{-1} = \mu_{s,T}^x,$$

which implies that $\mu_{s,T}^x$ is in fact a probability kernel. The proof is complete. \square

Example 4.12. Let $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$ and $\phi \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_0}$. Let $p \in (1, \infty]$ satisfy

$$1 + \left(\frac{1}{d} \wedge \frac{1}{p_0}\right) - \frac{1}{p_0} > \frac{1}{p}. \quad (4.35)$$

Suppose that $K \in (\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p)^d$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function. Consider the following example:

$$B(x, \mu^*) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x-y)g(\mu^y(\phi))dy.$$

By (4.35), one can choose $p_1 > d \vee p_0$ so that $1 + \frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p_0}$. Thus by (2.5), we have

$$\|B(\cdot, \mu^*)\|_{p_1} \leq \|K\|_p^* \|g(\mu^*(\phi))\|_{p_0} \leq \|K\|_p^* (|g(0)| + \|g\|_{\text{Lip}} \|\mu^*\|_{p_0} \|\phi\|_{p_0}),$$

and

$$\|B(\cdot, \mu^*) - B(\cdot, \nu^*)\|_{p_1} \leq \|K\|_p^* \|g(\mu^*(\phi)) - g(\nu^*(\phi))\|_{p_0} \leq \|K\|_p^* \|g\|_{\text{Lip}} \|\phi\|_{p_0} \|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_{p_0}.$$

Hence, Theorem 4.11 can be applied to this case. In particular, if g is bounded Lipschitz, then we have a global solution.

5. 2D-NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH fBM

In this section we apply the previous results to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.5. Let ν_0 be a finite signed measure. Consider the following fractional version of 2D-Navier-Stokes equation:

$$X_t^x = x + \int_0^t B_{\nu_0}(X_s^x, \mu_s^*) ds + W_t^H, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$B_{\nu_0}(x, \mu^*) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (K_2 * \mu^y)(x) \nu_0(dy), \quad K_2(x) = \frac{(x_2, -x_1)}{2\pi|x|^2}.$$

Theorems 1.3 is an immediate consequence of the following result.

Theorem 5.1. Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. For any initial vorticity ν_0 being a finite signed measure, there is a unique strong solution X_t^x to FDSDE (5.1). Moreover, if we let

$$u(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E} K_2(x - X_t^y) \nu_0(dy) = B_{\nu_0}(x, \mu_t^*),$$

then for any $p > 1$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\|\nabla^j u(t)\|_p \lesssim_C t^{-2H(p-1)/p - (j-1)H} \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}^j. \quad (5.2)$$

Moreover, for any $p \in (1, 2)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $0 < s < t \leq T$,

$$\|u(t) - u(s)\|_p \lesssim_C t^{[H(\frac{2}{p}-1) \wedge \frac{1-2H}{1-H}] - \varepsilon},$$

and

$$\|u(t) - u(s)\|_\infty \lesssim_C s^{-2H} |t - s|^{\frac{H}{3} - \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Note that $K_2 = K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0} + K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0^c}$, where D_0 is the unit cube with center 0. For any $p \in (1, 2)$, by Minkowski's inequality and $\mathbb{L}^p + \mathbb{L}^\infty \subset \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$, we clearly have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{\nu_0}(\cdot, \mu^*)\|_p &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ((K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0}) * \mu^y)(\cdot) \nu_0(dy) \right\|_p + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ((K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0^c}) * \mu^y)(\cdot) \nu_0(dy) \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|(K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0}) * \mu^y\|_p |\nu_0|(dy) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|(K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0^c}) * \mu^y\|_\infty |\nu_0|(dy) \\ &\leq (\|K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0}\|_p + \|K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0^c}\|_\infty) \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\|B_{\nu_0}(\cdot, \mu_1^*) - B_{\nu_0}(\cdot, \mu_2^*)\|_p \leq (\|K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0}\|_p + \|K_2 \mathbf{1}_{D_0^c}\|_\infty) \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}} \|\mu_1^* - \mu_2^*\|_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}_0}.$$

Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. One can choose $p_1 < (\frac{1}{1-H}, 2)$ and $q_1 = \infty$ so that $\frac{Hd}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus one sees that (\mathbf{H}_1^s) holds for the above B_{ν_0} . By Theorem 4.7, there is a unique weak solution X_t^x to FDSDE

(5.1). Moreover, for any $p > 1$, by (4.20), there is a constant $C = C(T, p, H) > 0$ such that for all $f \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$ and $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\sup_x |\mathbb{E}f(X_t^x)| \lesssim_C \|f\|_p t^{-2H/p},$$

which in turn implies that X_t^x admits a density $\rho_t^x(\cdot) \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p/(p-1)}$ with

$$\sup_x \|\rho_t^x\|_{p/(p-1)}^* \lesssim_C t^{-2H/p}.$$

Since ∇K_2 is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel, for any $p \in (1, \infty)$, by the L^p -boundedness of singular integral operators, we have

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla K_2 * \rho_t^y\|_p |\nu_0|(dy) \lesssim \sup_y \|\rho_t^y\|_p \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}} \lesssim t^{-2H(p-1)/p} \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}. \quad (5.4)$$

Thus we get (5.2) for $j = 1$.

For higher order derivative estimates, we use the Malliavin calculus. We first recall the main ingredients in the Malliavin calculus. Let μ be the classical Wiener measure on \mathbb{C}_T so that the coordinate process w is a d -dimensional standard Brownian motion. For an absolutely continuous function h with $h(0) = 0$ and $\int_0^T |\dot{h}(s)|^2 ds < \infty$, the Malliavin derivative of a functional $F : \mathbb{C}_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$D_h F(\omega) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\omega + \varepsilon h) - F(\omega)}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{C}_T; \mu).$$

The following integration by parts formula holds:

$$\mathbb{E}^\mu(D_h F) = \mathbb{E}^\mu \left(F \int_0^T \dot{h}(s) dw_s \right).$$

Recall that on the classical Wiener space (\mathbb{C}_T, μ) , the fBm $W_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) dw_s$ can be considered as a Wiener functional. Below we fix $t \in (0, T]$. By Girsanov's construction of weak solutions in Theorem 4.3 we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^x} \nabla^j f(w_t) = \mathbb{E}^\mu(Z_t^x \nabla^j f(W_t^H + x)), \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$Z_t^x := \exp \left(- \int_0^t (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_u^x)(s) dw_s - \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_u^x\|_{\mathbb{L}_t^2}^2 \right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_u^x(t) := \int_0^t u(s, W_s^H + x) ds.$$

Since the initial point x does not play any role in the following calculations, without loss of generality, we may assume $x = 0$ and drop the superscript. Note that for any $\gamma \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}^\mu |Z_t|^\gamma \leq C(\gamma, T, d, H, p, \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^\infty \mathbb{L}^p}). \quad (5.6)$$

Fix $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $h(s) := vs$ and $\nabla_v f := \langle \nabla f, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$. By simple calculations, we have for some constant $C_H > 0$,

$$D_h W_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) v ds = C_H t^{H+\frac{1}{2}} v \Rightarrow C_H t^{H+\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_v f(W_t^H) = D_h f(W_t^H), \quad (5.7)$$

and thus, by the integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} C_H t^{H+\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E}^\mu(Z_t \nabla_v f(W_t^H)) &= \mathbb{E}^\mu(Z_t D_h f(W_t^H)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}^\mu(Z_t f(W_t^H) \langle w_t, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}) - \mathbb{E}^\mu(D_h Z_t f(W_t^H)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

(Claim:) For any $\gamma > 1$, there is a constant $C = C(T, d, H, \gamma) > 0$ such that for all $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}^\mu |D_h Z_t|^\gamma \leq C |v|^\gamma \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}^\gamma t^\gamma. \quad (5.9)$$

By the chain rule, we have

$$D_h Z_t = -Z_t \left(\int_0^t \langle \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_u(s), v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} ds + \int_0^t (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H D_h \mathcal{J}_u)(s) dw_s + \langle \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H D_h \mathcal{J}_b, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_t^2} \right)$$

and

$$D_h \mathcal{J}_u(s) = \int_0^s \langle \nabla u(r, W_r^H), D_h W_r^H \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} dr = C_H \int_0^s r^{\frac{1}{2}+H} \nabla_v u(r, W_r^H) dr.$$

By BDG's inequality and Minkowskii's inequality, for any $\gamma \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^\mu \left| \int_0^t (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H D_h \mathcal{J}_u)(s) dw_s \right|^\gamma &\lesssim \mathbb{E}^\mu \left(\|\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H D_h \mathcal{J}_u\|_{L^2(0,t)}^\gamma \right) \stackrel{(2.16)}{\lesssim} \mathbb{E}^\mu \left(\|D_h \mathcal{J}_u\|_{\mathbb{H}_t^{q_\mu}}^\gamma \right) \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_0^t r^{(\frac{1}{2}+H)q_\mu} \|\nabla_v u(r, W_r^H)\|_{L^\gamma(\mu)}^{q_\mu} dr \right)^{\gamma/q_\mu} \\ &\lesssim |v|^\gamma \left(\int_0^t r^{(\frac{1}{2}+H)q_\mu - \frac{2Hq_\mu}{\gamma}} \|\nabla u(r)\|_\gamma^{q_\mu} dr \right)^{\gamma/q_\mu} \\ &\stackrel{(5.4)}{\lesssim} |v|^\gamma \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}^\gamma \left(\int_0^t r^{(\frac{1}{2}+H)q_\mu - 2Hq_\mu/\gamma' - \frac{2Hq_\mu}{\gamma}} dr \right)^{\gamma/q_\mu} \\ &\lesssim |v|^\gamma \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}^\gamma t^{\gamma(q_\mu + \frac{1}{2} - H)} \lesssim |v|^\gamma \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}^\gamma t^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the following observation in the fourth inequality:

$$\|\nabla_v u(r, W_r^H)\|_{L^\gamma(\mu)}^\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_v u(r, x)| g_r^H(x) dx \leq \|\nabla_v u(r)\|_\gamma^\gamma \|g_r^h\|_\infty \lesssim \|\nabla_v u(r)\|_\gamma^\gamma r^{-2H},$$

with g_r^h is the distributional density of the fBM W_r^H . Similarly, we can show

$$\mathbb{E}^\mu \left(|\langle \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_b, v \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_t^1} + |\langle \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H D_h \mathcal{J}_b, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_H \mathcal{J}_b \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_t^2}| \right)^\gamma \lesssim |v|^\gamma \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}^\gamma t^\gamma.$$

Combining the above calculations, by Hölder's inequality and (5.6), we obtain (5.9). Now by (5.5), (5.8), (5.9) and Lemma B.1, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in (0, T]$,

$$|\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{P}^x} \nabla f(w_t)| \lesssim \|f\|_p t^{-2H/p-H} \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}},$$

which in turn implies that

$$\sup_x \|\nabla \rho_t^x\|_{p/(p-1)}^* \lesssim C t^{-2H/p-H} \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}.$$

By the same argument as in (5.4), we obtain

$$\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla K_2 * \nabla \rho_t^y\|_p \nu_0(dy) \lesssim \sup_y \|\nabla \rho_t^y\|_p \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}} \lesssim t^{-2Hp'-H} \|\nu_0\|_{\text{var}}^2.$$

This gives (5.2) for $j = 2$. By induction, one can show (5.2) for $j = 3, 4, \dots$.

Next we show the time regularity of u . Let $\chi \in \mathbf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\chi(0) = 1$ and $p \in (1, 2)$. By (4.8), one sees that for any $p_1 \geq 1/(1-H)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u(0)\|_p &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (K_2 \chi) * (\mu_t^y - \delta_y)(\cdot) \nu_0(dy) \right\|_p + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (K_2(1-\chi)) * (\mu_t^y - \delta_y)(\cdot) \nu_0(dy) \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \sup_y \|(K_2 \chi) * (\mu_t^y - \delta_y)\|_p + \sup_y \|(K_2(1-\chi)) * (\mu_t^y - \delta_y)\|_\infty \\ &\leq t^{\beta_H} \left(\|K_2 \chi\|_{\mathbf{B}_p^\gamma} + \|K_2(1-\chi)\|_{\mathbf{B}_\infty^\gamma} \right) + t^{\beta_H} \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^\infty \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where $\beta_H := 1 - 2H/(p_1(1-H))$. For any $p_1 \in (1, 2)$, by (5.3), it is easy to see that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{p_1} < \infty.$$

and by [28, Lemma A.3-(iv) and Proposition 2.5], for any $\gamma \in (0, 2/p - 1)$,

$$K_2 \chi \in \mathbf{B}_p^\gamma, \quad K_2(1-\chi) \in \mathbf{C}_b^\infty.$$

Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, one can choose p_1 close to 2 so that

$$\|u(t) - u(0)\|_p \lesssim t^{[H(\frac{2}{p}-1)] \wedge [\frac{1-2H}{1-H}] - \varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, for any $0 < s < t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t, x) - u(s, x)| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}(K_2(1-\chi))(x - X_t^y) - \mathbb{E}(K_2(1-\chi))(x - X_s^y) \nu_0(dy) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}(K_2\chi)(x - X_t^y) - \mathbb{E}(K_2\chi)(x - X_s^y) \nu_0(dy) \right| =: \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_2(1-\chi) \in \mathbf{C}_b^\infty$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \leq \|\nabla(K_2(1-\chi))\|_\infty \sup_y \mathbb{E}|X_t^y - X_s^y|.$$

In view of (4.7), we have for any $p \in (1, 2)$

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t^y - X_s^y| \leq \mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^t u(r, X_r^y) dr \right| + \mathbb{E}|W_t^H - W_s^H| \leq (t-s)^{1-\frac{2H}{p}} \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p} + (t-s)^H, \quad (5.11)$$

which by taking p close to 2 implies that

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \lesssim (t-s)^H, \quad \text{since } H < 1/2.$$

For \mathcal{J}_2 , let ρ_ε be the usual mollifiers. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}((K_2\chi) * \rho_\varepsilon)(x - X_t^y) - \mathbb{E}((K_2\chi) * \rho_\varepsilon)(x - X_s^y) \nu_0(dy) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}((K_2\chi) * \rho_\varepsilon - K_2\chi)(x - X_t^y) \nu_0(dy) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}((K_2\chi) * \rho_\varepsilon - K_2\chi)(x - X_s^y) \nu_0(dy) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.11) and (4.6), we have for any $p_1, p_2 \in (1, 2)$ and $\gamma_2 < 2/p_2 - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 &\leq \|\nabla(K_2\chi) * \rho_\varepsilon\|_\infty \sup_y \mathbb{E}|X_t^y - X_s^y| + \sum_{r=s,t} \sup_y \mathbb{E}|(K_2\chi) * \rho_\varepsilon - K_2\chi|(x - X_r^y) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{-(1+\frac{2}{p_1})} \|K_2\chi\|_{p_1} |t-s|^H + s^{-\frac{2H}{p_2}} \|(K_2\chi) * \rho_\varepsilon - K_2\chi\|_{p_2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{-(1+\frac{2}{p_1})} |t-s|^H + s^{-\frac{2H}{p_2}} \varepsilon^{\gamma_2} \|K_2\chi\|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_2}^{\gamma_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for any $\delta > 0$, one chooses p_1 close to 2 and p_2 close to 1, and $\varepsilon = |t-s|^{\frac{H}{3}}$,

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \lesssim s^{-2H} |t-s|^{\frac{H}{3}-\delta}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.2. We would like to mention the following open questions:

- Can we show the limit of $H \rightarrow 1/2$ and the regularity of u in t ?
- When $H = 1/2$, it is well known that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_\infty = 0$ when ν_0 is a finite measure (see [23]). Can we show the same assertion for $H < 1/2$?
- In [25], the ergodicity was obtained for the solution to SDE driven by fBm. Is it possible to establish the ergodicity of (5.1)?

The above result does not work for $H = \frac{1}{2}$ since (5.3) is no longer true for $p > 2$. In what follows, we consider the backward version of Navier-Stokes equation:

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t B_g(X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s), \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$B_g(x, \mu^*) = (K_2 * \mu^*(g))(x).$$

Theorem 5.3. *Let $g \in \mathbb{L}^{1+} = \cup_{p>1} \mathbb{L}^p$. For each $s \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, there is a unique strong solution $X_{s,t}^x$ to FDSDE (5.12). Moreover, $u(s, x) := B_g(x, \mu_{s,T}^*) \in C([0, T]; C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$ solves the following backward Navier-Stokes equation:*

$$\partial_s u + \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \quad u(T) = K_2 * g. \quad (5.13)$$

Proof. Let $p_0 \in (1, 2)$ and $g \in \mathbb{L}^{p_0}$. We divide the proof into three steps. In step 1, we check the assumption (\mathbf{H}_2^s) with $\beta = 0$ for the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ and show the well-posedness of FDSDE (5.12). In step 2, we show the stability of the solution with respect to the initial value. In step 3, we show that u is smooth and solves the 2D Navier-Stokes equation.

(Step 1). Let $p_1 \in (2, \infty)$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{p_0}$. For any $\mu^*, \nu^* \in \mathcal{L}^{p_0} \mathcal{P}_s$, by Hard-Littlewood's inequality (see [1, Theorem 1.7]), we have

$$\|B_g(\cdot, \mu^*)\|_{p_1} \lesssim \|\mu^*(g)\|_{p_0} \leq \|\mu^*\|_{p_0} \|g\|_{p_0}$$

and

$$\|B_g(\cdot, \mu^*) - B_g(\cdot, \nu^*)\|_{p_1} \lesssim \|\mu^*(g) - \nu^*(g)\|_{p_0} \leq \|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_{p_0} \|g\|_{p_0}.$$

Since $\|B_g(\cdot, \mu^*)\|_{p_1}$ is not bounded in $\|\mu^*\|_{p_0}$, we need to truncate it. Define

$$\tilde{B}_g(x, \mu^*) := B_g(x, \mu^*) \mathbf{1}_{\|\mu^*\|_{p_0} \leq 1} + \frac{1}{\|\mu^*\|_{p_0}} B_g(x, \mu^*) \mathbf{1}_{\|\mu^*\|_{p_0} > 1}.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\|\tilde{B}_g(\cdot, \mu^*)\|_{p_1} \lesssim \|g\|_{p_0}, \quad (5.14)$$

and by the fact $\mathcal{L}^{p_0} \mathcal{P}_s \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}^{p_0}, \mathbb{L}^{p_0})$ and Lemma B.5,

$$\|\tilde{B}_g(\cdot, \mu^*) - \tilde{B}_g(\cdot, \nu^*)\|_{p_1} \lesssim \|\mu^*(g) - \nu^*(g)\|_{p_0} \leq \|\mu^* - \nu^*\|_{p_0} \|g\|_{p_0}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 4.11, for any $T > 0$ there is a unique strong solution to

$$X_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t \tilde{B}_g(X_{s,r}^x, \mu_{r,T}^*) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s).$$

Noting that $\operatorname{div} \tilde{B}_g(\cdot, \mu^*) = 0$, by (5.14) and (4.28), we in fact have

$$\tilde{B}_g(x, \mu_{r,T}^*) = B_g(x, \mu_{r,T}^*).$$

Then the strong well-posedness holds for FDSDE (5.12).

(Step 2). Let $g_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the smooth approximation of g with $\|g_n - g\|_{\mathbb{L}^{p_0}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $X_{s,t}^{x,n}$ be the unique solution to the following FDSDE

$$X_{s,t}^{x,n} = x + \int_s^t B_{g_n}(X_{s,r}^{x,n}, \mu_{r,T}^{*,n}) dr + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s),$$

where $\mu_{s,t}^{x,n}$ is the law of $X_{s,t}^{x,n}$. Let

$$u_n(s, x) := B_{g_n}(x, \mu_{s,T}^{*,n}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_2(x - y) \mu_{s,T}^{y,n}(g) dy.$$

By (4.27) and Remark 4.10, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_{s,t}^{*,n} - \mu_{s,t}^{x,n}\|_{p_0} &\lesssim \int_s^t (t-r)^{-\frac{1+d/p_1}{2}} \|B_g(\cdot, \mu_{r,T}^*) - B_{g_n}(\cdot, \mu_{r,T}^{*,n})\|_{p_1} dr \\ &\lesssim \int_s^t (t-r)^{-\frac{1+d/p_1}{2}} \left(\|\mu_{r,T}^* - \mu_{r,T}^{*,n}\|_{p_0} \|g_n\|_{p_0} + \|g_n - g\|_{p_0} \right) dr \\ &\lesssim \int_s^t (t-r)^{-\frac{1+d/p_1}{2}} \left(\|\mu_{r,T}^* - \mu_{r,T}^{*,n}\|_{p_0} + \|g_n - g\|_{p_0} \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $t = T$, by Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mu_{s,T}^* - \mu_{s,T}^{*,n}\|_{p_0} \lesssim \|g_n - g\|_{p_0}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty \mathbb{L}^{p_1}} &= \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|B_{g_n}(\cdot, \mu_{s, T}^{\cdot, n}) - B_g(\cdot, \mu_{s, T}^{\cdot, T})\|_{p_1} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mu_{s, T}^{\cdot, n} - \mu_{s, T}^{\cdot, T}\|_{p_0} \|g_n\|_{p_0} + \|g_n - g\|_{p_0} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

(Step 3). Since $g_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is smooth, it is well-known that $u_n \in C([0, T]; C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$ solves

$$\partial_s u_n + \Delta u_n + u_n \cdot \nabla u_n + \nabla p_n = 0, \quad u_n(T) = K_2 * g_n,$$

and for any $T_0 < T$,

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T_0]} \sup_n \|\nabla^k u_n(s)\|_\infty < \infty,$$

which together with (5.15) implies that $u \in C([0, T]; C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and solves (5.13). \square

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS 2.1 AND 2.2

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Equivalences (2.4) are proven in [52]. Let us prove (2.5). For $r = 1$, it follows by (2.4) and Hölder's inequality. Next we assume $r \in (1, \infty]$. Let $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$. By (2.4), it suffices to prove that for any $h \in \bar{\mathbb{L}}^{r'}$,

$$\mathcal{J} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) f(x-y) g(y) dx dy \leq \|h\|_{r'}^* \|f\|_p \|g\|_q^*.$$

Noting that $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q}$, by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= \sum_{i,j} \int_{D_i} \int_{D_j} (h(x)^{r'} f(x-y)^p)^{\frac{1}{q'}} (f(x-y)^p g(y)^q)^{\frac{1}{r}} (h(x)^{r'} g(y)^q)^{\frac{1}{p'}} dx dy \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} \left(\int_{D_i} \int_{D_j} h(x)^{r'} f(x-y)^p dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left(\int_{D_i} \int_{D_j} f(x-y)^p g(y)^q dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{D_i} \int_{D_j} h(x)^{r'} g(y)^q dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{D_i} h\|_{r'}^{\frac{r'}{q'}} \|f\|_p^{\frac{p}{q'}} \right) \left(\|f\|_p^{\frac{p}{r}} \|\mathbf{1}_{D_j} g\|_q^{\frac{q}{r}} \right) \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{D_i} h\|_{r'}^{\frac{r'}{p'}} \|\mathbf{1}_{D_j} g\|_q^{\frac{q}{p'}} \right) \\ &= \|f\|_p \sum_{i,j} \|\mathbf{1}_{D_i} h\|_{r'} \|\mathbf{1}_{D_j} g\|_q = \|f\|_p \|h\|_{r'}^* \|g\|_q^*. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. (i) We only show (2.9) for $p \in [1, \infty)$ since (2.8) is similar. Suppose that for some $C_0 > 0$ and any $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|\mu^\cdot(\phi)\|_p \leq C_0 \|\phi\|_p. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

To show it for all $\phi \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$, we divide the proof into four steps. Note that Fatou's lemma can not be used directly.

- First we show (A.1) holds for any $\phi = \mathbf{1}_O$ with O being a bounded open set. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\phi_n(x) := 1 - 1/(1 + \mathbf{d}(x, O^c))^n.$$

Clearly, $\phi_n \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\phi_n \uparrow \mathbf{1}_O$. Now by the monotone convergence theorem and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\|\mu^\cdot(\mathbf{1}_O)\|_p = \|\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^\cdot(\phi_n)\|_p \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu^\cdot(\phi_n)\|_p \leq C_0 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n\|_p \leq C_0 \|\mathbf{1}_O\|_p.$$

- Next we show (A.1) holds for any $\phi = \mathbf{1}_A$ with A being any Borel subset of $O = (-m, m]^d$. Define

$$\mathcal{E} := \left\{ A \in O \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|\mu^*(\mathbf{1}_A)\|_p \leq C_0 \|\mathbf{1}_A\|_p \right\}.$$

Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}$ and $A_n \downarrow A$. By Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\|\mu^*(\mathbf{1}_A)\|_p = \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(\mathbf{1}_{A_n}) \right\|_p \leq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu^*(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})\|_p \leq C_0 \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{1}_{A_n}\|_p = C_0 \|\mathbf{1}_A\|_p,$$

where the last equality is due to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{1}_{A_n - A}\|_p \lesssim \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{1}_{\{A_n - A\} \cap O}\|_p = 0$. So, $A \in \mathcal{E}$. Similarly, if $A_n \uparrow A$, then $A \in \mathcal{E}$. Thus \mathcal{E} is a monotone class. Let

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i] \cap (-m, m]^d, a_i < b_i \right\}$$

and \mathcal{A}_Σ be the algebra generated by \mathcal{A} through finite disjoint unions. For given $A \in \mathcal{A}_\Sigma$, there is a family of bounded open sets A_n so that $A_n \downarrow A$. By Fatou's lemma again, we have

$$\|\mu^*(\mathbf{1}_A)\|_p = \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(\mathbf{1}_{A_n}) \right\|_p \leq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu^*(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})\|_p \leq C_0 \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{1}_{A_n}\|_p \leq C_0 \|\mathbf{1}_A\|_p.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma \subset \mathcal{E}$. By the monotone class theorem, we have

$$\mathcal{B}(O) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma) \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{B}(O).$$

- Now we show (A.1) holds for any nonnegative bounded measurable function ϕ with support in $O = (-m, m]^d$. By Lusin's theorem, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a continuous function ϕ_ε with support in O so that

$$\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \|\phi\|_\infty, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\{x : \phi(x) \neq \phi_\varepsilon(x)\}| = 0.$$

Let $A_\varepsilon := \{x : \phi(x) \neq \phi_\varepsilon(x)\}$. By what we have proved, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\|\mu^*(\phi - \phi_\varepsilon)\|_p \leq 2\|\phi\|_\infty \|\mu^*(\mathbf{1}_{A_\varepsilon})\|_p \leq 2\|\phi\|_\infty C_0 \|\mathbf{1}_{A_\varepsilon}\|_p \leq C \|\mathbf{1}_{A_\varepsilon}\|_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\|\mu^*(\phi)\|_p = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mu^*(\phi_\varepsilon)\|_p \leq C_0 \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_\varepsilon\|_p = C_0 \|\phi\|_p.$$

- Finally, for general nonnegative $\phi \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$, let $\phi_n(x) := (\phi(x) \wedge n) \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < n\}}$. By the monotone convergence theorem and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\|\mu^*(\phi)\|_p = \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(\phi_n) \right\|_p \leq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu^*(\phi_n)\|_p \leq C_0 \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n\|_p \leq C_0 \|\phi\|_p.$$

(ii) Let \mathbb{X} denote $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$ or \mathbb{L}^p and let $\mathbb{X}\mathcal{P}_s$ denote $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^p\mathcal{P}_s$ or $\mathcal{L}^p\mathcal{P}_s$. Suppose that $(\mu'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{X}\mathcal{P}_s$. Since the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$ of all bounded linear operators from \mathbb{X} to \mathbb{X} is complete with respect to the operator norm, and $(\mu'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be regarded as a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$ in a natural way, there is an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu'_n - T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|\phi\|_{\mathbb{X}} \leq 1} \|\mu'_n(\phi) - T(\phi)\|_{\mathbb{X}} = 0. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

By (i), it suffices to show that there is a sub-probability kernel $\mu^* \in \mathbb{X}\mathcal{P}_s$ so that for each $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$T(\phi)(x) = \mu^x(\phi) \text{ for Lebesgue almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Note that for each $\phi \in \mathbb{X}$, there is a null set A_ϕ and a subsequence n_k so that for each $x \notin A_\phi$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_{n_k}^x(\phi) - T(\phi)(x)| = 0.$$

Let $\{\phi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a dense subset of $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p \cap \mathbb{L}^p$. By a standard diagonalization method, one can find a common null set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and a subsequence n'_k so that for each $x \notin A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_{n'_k}^x(\phi_m) - T(\phi_m)(x)| = 0, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Moreover, since the dual space of $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of all finite Borel measures, by the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a sub-probability measures μ^x and a subsequence $n_k''(x)$ of n_k' such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n_k''(x)}^x(\phi) = \mu^x(\phi),$$

which together with (A.4) implies that for any $x \notin A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu^x(\phi_m) = T(\phi_m)(x)$. From this and by the density of $\{\phi_m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we derive (A.3). The completeness of $\mathbb{X}\mathcal{P}_s$ is obtained.

Next we show the completeness of $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p\mathcal{P}$. Let $(\mu_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of probability kernels. We need to show that μ^x is a probability measure. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\psi_m \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $|\psi_m| \leq 1$,

$$\psi_m(y) = 1 \text{ for } |y| \leq m \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_m(y) = 0 \text{ for } |y| > 2m.$$

It follows from (A.3) that there is a common Lebesgue null set A' such that for all $x \notin A'$,

$$\mu^x(\psi_m) = T(\psi_m)(x), \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{A.5}$$

We note that by the fact $\sup_m \|\psi_m\|_p \lesssim \sup_m \|\psi_m\|_\infty = 1$ and (A.2), for each bounded domain D , we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_m \int_D |\mu_n^x(\psi_m) - T(\psi_m)(x)| dx = 0, \tag{A.6}$$

which implies that

$$\int_D |T(\psi_m)(x)| dx \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_m \int_D |\mu_n^x(\psi_m)| dx \leq |D|.$$

Moreover, since for each n , $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_D \mu_n^x(\psi_m) dx = |D|$, and by (A.6), we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_D T(\psi_m)(x) dx = |D|.$$

This in turn implies that there is a null set A'' and subsequence m_k so that for each $x \notin A''$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T(\psi_{m_k})(x) = 1.$$

This together with (A.5) implies that for each $x \notin A' \cup A''$, $\mu^x(\mathbb{R}^d) = 1$.

Finally, we show the uncompleteness of $\mathbb{L}^p\mathcal{P}$ through a counterexample. Consider $d = 1$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu_n^x(dy) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) \mathbf{1}_{[0,n]}(y) dy / n.$$

It is easy to see that for any $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\|\mu_n^*\|_p = \|n^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{[0,n]}\|_{p/(p-1)} = n^{-1/p} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof is complete. □

APPENDIX B. TECHNICAL LEMMAS

Lemma B.1. *Let $\xi \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ be a d -dimensional normal random variable with mean zero and variance σ^2 . For any $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is a constant $C = C(j, q, p, d) > 0$ such that for all $f \in \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^p$,*

$$\|\mathbb{E} \nabla^j f(\xi + \cdot)\|_q \lesssim_C (\sigma^{-j} + \sigma^{d/q-d/p-j}) \|f\|_p. \tag{B.1}$$

Proof. Note that by the integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E} \nabla^j f(\xi + x)| &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-d/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y+x) \nabla^j e^{-|y|^2/2\sigma^2} dy \right| \\ &\leq (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y+x)| |\nabla^j e^{-|y|^2/2\sigma^2}| dy \\ &\lesssim \sigma^{-d-j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y+x)| e^{-c|y|^2/\sigma^2} dy = \sigma^{-d-j} |f| * \phi_\sigma(x), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that for some $c > 0$,

$$|\nabla^j e^{-|y|^2/2\sigma^2}| \lesssim \sigma^{-j} e^{-c|y|^2/\sigma^2} =: \phi_\sigma(y).$$

Let $1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}$. By Young's convolution inequality (2.5), we get

$$\|\mathbb{E}\nabla^j f(\xi + \cdot)\|_q \lesssim \sigma^{-d-j} \|f\|_p \|\phi_\sigma\|_r^*.$$

By the definition of $\|\cdot\|_r^*$, we have

$$\|\phi_\sigma\|_r^* = \sum_i \left(\int_{D_i} e^{-cr|x|^2/\sigma^2} dx \right)^{1/r} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{D_z} e^{-cr|x|^2/\sigma^2} dx \right)^{1/r} dz,$$

where D_z is the unit cube with center $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Noting that for $|z| \geq \sqrt{d}$ and $x \in D_z$,

$$|x| \geq |z| - |x - z| \geq |z| - \sqrt{d}/2 \geq |z|/2,$$

we have

$$\int_{|z| \geq \sqrt{d}} \left(\int_{D_z} e^{-cr|x|^2/\sigma^2} dx \right)^{1/r} dz \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-c|z|^2/4\sigma^2} dz \lesssim \sigma^d.$$

On the other hand, we clearly have

$$\int_{|z| \leq \sqrt{d}} \left(\int_{D_z} e^{-cr|x|^2/\sigma^2} dx \right)^{1/r} dz \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-cr|x|^2/\sigma^2} dx \right)^{1/r} \lesssim \sigma^{d/r}.$$

Hence,

$$\|\mathbb{E}\nabla^j f(\xi + \cdot)\|_q \lesssim \sigma^{-d-j} (\sigma^d + \sigma^{d/r}) \|f\|_p,$$

which in turn gives the desired estimate. \square

Recall

$$\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta) := \int_0^1 r^{\alpha-1} (1-r)^{\beta-1} dr, \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \geq 0.$$

Lemma B.2 (Estimate for Beta functions). *For any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $\beta > 0$, we have for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathcal{B}(\alpha, k\beta + 1) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) k^{-\alpha}.$$

Proof. For any $h \in (0, 1)$, one sees that

$$\mathcal{B}(\alpha, k\beta + 1) \leq \int_0^h r^{\alpha-1} dr + h^{\alpha-1} \int_h^1 (1-r)^{k\beta} dr \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} h^\alpha + \frac{1}{k\beta + 1} h^{\alpha-1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{k\beta} h^{-1} \right) h^\alpha.$$

Taking $h = k^{-1}$, we complete the proof. \square

Lemma B.3. *For any $\alpha > 0$, there is a constant $C = C(\alpha) > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{(m!)^\alpha} \leq e^{C\lambda^{1/\alpha} \ln \lambda}.$$

Proof. By Stirling's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{(m!)^\alpha} &\leq 1 + C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{m^{m\alpha}} \leq 1 + C \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^x}{x^{x\alpha}} dx = 1 + C \int_1^{\infty} e^{\alpha x \ln(\lambda^{1/\alpha}/x)} dx \\ &\leq 1 + C \int_1^{2\lambda^{1/\alpha}} e^{x \ln \lambda} dx + C \int_{2\lambda^{1/\alpha}}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha x \ln 2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

From this we derive the desired estimate. \square

Lemma B.4 (Gronwall's inequality). *Let $f(s, t), g(s, t) : \mathbb{D}_T \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $h : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be measurable functions. Assume that for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{D}_T$,*

$$f(s, t) \leq g(s, t) + \int_s^t h(r)(f(s, r) + f(r, T))dr.$$

Then we have

$$f(s, t) \leq G(s, t) + \int_s^t H(s', t) \left(G(s', T) + \int_{s'}^T G(r, T)H(r)e^{\int_{s'}^r H(r')dr'} dr \right) ds',$$

where

$$G(s, t) := g(s, t) + \int_s^t g(s, r)h(r)e^{\int_r^t h(r')dr'} dr$$

and

$$H(r, t) := h(r) \left(1 + \int_r^t h(r')e^{\int_{r'}^t h(r'')dr''} dr' \right).$$

Proof. For fixed $s \in [0, T]$, by the assumption we have

$$f(s, t) \leq F(s, t) + \int_s^t h(r)f(s, r)dr,$$

where

$$F(s, t) := g(s, t) + \int_s^t h(r)f(r, T)dr.$$

By the usual Gronwall's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(s, t) &\leq F(s, t) + \int_s^t F(s, r)h(r)e^{\int_r^t h(r')dr'} dr \\ &= g(s, t) + \int_s^t h(r)f(r, T)dr + \int_s^t g(s, r)h(r)e^{\int_r^t h(r')dr'} dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^t \left(\int_s^r h(r')f(r', T)dr' \right) h(r)e^{\int_r^t h(r')dr'} dr \\ &= G(s, t) + \int_s^t H(r, t)f(r, T)dr, \end{aligned}$$

where G and H are defined in the lemma. In particular,

$$f(s, T) \leq G(s, T) + \int_s^T H(r, T)f(r, T)dr,$$

By Gronwall's inequality again, we have

$$f(s, T) \leq G(s, T) + \int_s^T G(r, T)H(r)e^{\int_s^r H(r')dr'} dr,$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain the desired estimate. \square

Lemma B.5. *Let E_i , be two Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $G : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a Lipschitz mapping with $G(0) = 0$ and define*

$$F(x) := G(x)\mathbf{1}_{\|x\|_1 \leq 1} + G(x)\mathbf{1}_{\|x\|_1 > 1}/|x|_1.$$

Then for any $x, y \in E$

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\|_2 \leq 2\|G\|_{\text{Lip}}\|x - y\|_1.$$

Proof. We consider three cases: (i) $\|x\|_1 \wedge \|y\|_1 \leq 1$; (ii) $\|x\|_1 \leq 1 < \|y\|_1$; (iii) $\|x\|_1 \wedge \|y\|_1 > 1$. In case (i), $F(x) = G(x)$, it is trivial. In case (ii), one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(y) - F(x)\| &= \|G(y)/\|y\|_1 - G(x)\|_2 \leq \|(G(y) - G(x))/\|y\|_1\|_2 + \|G(x)/\|y\|_1 - G(x)\|_2 \\ &\leq (\|G\|_{\text{Lip}}\|x - y\|_1 + \|G(x)\|_2(\|y\|_1 - 1)) \\ &\leq \|G\|_{\text{Lip}}(\|x - y\|_1 + \|x\|_1(\|y\|_1 - \|x\|_1)) \leq 2\|G\|_{\text{Lip}}\|x - y\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

In case (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(y) - F(x)\| &= \left\| \frac{G(x)\|y\|_1 - G(y)\|x\|_1}{\|x\|_1\|y\|_1} \right\|_2 \leq \frac{\|(G(x) - G(y))\|y\|_1 - G(y)(\|x\|_1 - \|y\|_1)\|_2}{\|x\|_1\|y\|_1} \\ &\leq \|G\|_{\text{Lip}}\|x - y\|_1 + \|G(y)\|_2\|x - y\|_1/\|y\|_1 \leq 2\|G\|_{\text{Lip}}\|x - y\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Consider the following PDE:

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u, \quad u_0 = \phi. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Theorem B.6. *Let $q_1, p_1, p_0 \in (1, \infty]$ satisfy $\frac{2}{q_1} + \frac{d}{p_1} < 1$. Assume $b \in \mathbb{L}_T^{q_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}$ and $\phi \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For any $p \in [p_0 \vee \frac{p_1}{p_1-1}, \infty]$ with $\frac{2}{q_1} + \frac{d}{p_0} < 1 + \frac{d}{p}$, there is a unique solution u to PDE (B.2) with*

$$\|\nabla^j u(t)\|_p \lesssim t^{-\frac{j+d/p_0-d/p}{2}} \|\phi\|_{p_0}.$$

Proof. Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the Gaussian heat semigroup. By Duhamel's formula, we have

$$u(t) = P_t \phi + \int_0^t P_{t-s}(b \cdot \nabla u)(s) ds.$$

Let $p \in [p_0 \vee p_3, \infty]$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p_3} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p} \leq 1$. For $j = 0, 1$, by Lemma B.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^j u(t)\|_p &\lesssim t^{-\frac{j+d/p_0-d/p}{2}} \|\phi\|_{p_0} + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{j+d/p_3-d/p}{2}} \|b(s) \cdot \nabla u(s)\|_{p_3} ds \\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{j+d/p_0-d/p}{2}} \|\phi\|_{p_0} + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{j+d/p_1}{2}} \|b(s)\|_{p_1} \|\nabla u(s)\|_p ds. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Suppose $\frac{2}{q_1} + \frac{d}{p_0} < 1 + \frac{d}{p}$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_p^{q'_1} \lesssim t^{-q'_1 \frac{1+d/p_0-d/p}{2}} \|\phi\|_{p_0}^{q'_1} + \|b\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^{q'_1} \tilde{\mathbb{L}}^{p_1}}^{q'_1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-q'_1 \frac{1+d/p_1}{2}} \|\nabla u(s)\|_p^{q'_1} ds,$$

which implies that by Gronwall's inequality of Volterra's type,

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_p \lesssim t^{-\frac{1+d/p_0-d/p}{2}} \|\phi\|_{p_0}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bahouri H., Chemin J.-Y. and Danchin R.: Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations, vol. 343 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] Barbu V. and Röckner M.: Probabilistic representation for solutions to nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **50** (2018), 4246–4260.
- [3] Barbu V. and Röckner M.: From nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations to solutions of distribution dependent SDE. *Ann. Probab.* **48** (2020), 1902–1920.
- [4] Barbu V. and Röckner M.: Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations with fractional Laplacian and McKean-Vlasov SDEs with Lévy-Noise. arXiv:2210.05612 (2022).
- [5] Barbu V. and Röckner M.: Uniqueness for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations and for McKean-Vlasov SDEs: The degenerate case. arXiv:2203.00122 (2022).
- [6] Barbu V., Röckner M. and Zhang D.: Uniqueness of distributional solutions to the 2D vorticity Navier-Stokes equation and its associated nonlinear Markov process. arXiv:2309.13910 (2023).

- [7] Beale J. T. and Majda A.: Rates of convergence for viscous splitting of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Math. of Compo.* **37** (1981), pp. 243–260.
- [8] Benachour S., Roynette B., Talay D. and Vallois P.: Nonlinear self-stabilizing processes. I: Existence, invariant probability, propagation of chaos. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **75**(2), 173-201, 1998.
- [9] Bossy M. and Talay D.: A stochastic particle method for the McKean-Vlasov and the Burgers equation. *Math. Comp.* **66**, 157-192, 1997.
- [10] Butkovsky O. and Gallay S.: Weak existence for SDEs with singular drifts and fractional Brownian or Lévy noise beyond the subcritical regime. Available in arXiv:2311.12013. (2023)
- [11] Butkovsky O., Lê K. and Mytnik L.: Stochastic equations with singular drift driven by fractional Brownian motion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.11937, 2023.
- [12] Catellier R. and Gubinelli M.: Averaging along irregular curves and regularisation of ODEs. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **126**(8):2323–2366, 2016.
- [13] Chaudru de Raynal P.E., Jabir J.-F. and Menozzi S. (2022). Multidimensional Stable driven McKean-Vlasov SDEs with distributional interaction kernel – a regularization by noise perspective. Available in arXiv:2205.11866.
- [14] Chaudru de Raynal P.E., Jabir J.-F. and Menozzi S. (2023). McKean-Vlasov SDEs with distributional interaction kernel and stable additive: Critical thresholds and related models. Available in arXiv:2302.09900.
- [15] Chorin A. J.: Numerical study of slightly viscous flow. *J. Fluid Mech.* **57** (1973), pp. 785–796.
- [16] Constantin, P., Iyer, G.: A stochastic lagrangian representation of the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **LXI**, 330-345 (2008)
- [17] D. Crisan, J. Xiong, Approximate McKean-Vlasov representations for a class of SPDEs. *Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes*, **82**(1): 53-68, 2010.
- [18] Decreusefond, L.; Üstünel, A. S. (1999). Stochastic analysis of the fractional Brownian motion. *Potential Analysis*.
- [19] Nicolas Fournier, Maxime Hauray, and Stéphane Mischler. Propagation of chaos for the 2d viscous vortex model. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **16**(7):1423-1466, 2014.
- [20] Galeati L. and Gerencsér M.: Solution theory of fractional SDEs in complete subcritical regimes. Available in arXiv:2207.03475. (2024).
- [21] Galeati L. and Gubinelli M.: Noiseless regularisation by noise. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* **38**(2): 433–502, 2021.
- [22] Galeati L., Harang F. A. and Mayorcas A.: Distribution dependent SDEs driven by additive fractional Brownian motion. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* pages 1–59, 2022.
- [23] Gallagher I. and Gallay T. (2005). Uniqueness for the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation with a measure as initial vorticity. *Math. Ann.* **332** 287-327.
- [24] Goodman J.: Convergence of the random vortex method. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **40**(2) (1987), pp. 189–220.
- [25] Hairer M. (2005). Ergodicity of stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.* **33** 703–758.
- [26] Yi Han. Solving McKean–Vlasov SDEs via relative entropy. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.05709,
- [27] Hao Z., Röckner M., and Zhang X.: Strong convergence of propagation of chaos for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with singular interactions. Available in arXiv:2204.07952. (2022)
- [28] Hao Z., Röckner M., and Zhang X.: Second order fractional mean-field SDEs with singular kernels and measure initial data. Available in arXiv:2302.04392. (2023)
- [29] Hao Z., Zhang X., Zhu R. and Zhu X.: Singular kinetic equations and applications. arXiv:2108.05042. To appear in *Annals of Probability* (2024+).
- [30] Harang F. A., Nilssen T. K. and Proske F. N.: Girsanov theorem for multifractional Brownian processes. *Stochastics* **94** (2022), 1137-1165.
- [31] Issoglio E., Pagliarani S., Russo F. and Trevisani D.: Degenerate McKean-Vlasov equations with drift in anisotropic negative Besov spaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09165.
- [32] Issoglio E. and Russo F.: McKean SDEs with singular coefficients. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **59** (2023), no. 3, 1530–1548.
- [33] P.-E. Jabin and Z. Wang. Mean field limit and propagation of chaos for Vlasov systems with bounded forces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **271**,3588-3627, 2016.
- [34] Jabin P.-E. and Wang Z.: Mean field limit for stochastic particle systems. *Model. Simul. Sci. Eng. Technol. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham*, 2017, 379–402.
- [35] P.-E. Jabin and Z. Wang. Quantitative estimates of propagation of chaos for stochastic systems with $w^{-1,\infty}$ kernels. *Invent. Math.* **214**(1):523–591, 2018.
- [36] Krylov N. V. (2020). On time inhomogeneous stochastic Itô equations with drift in L_{d+1} . *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.*, **72**(9): 1232–1253.
- [37] Lacker D.: On a strong form of propagation of chaos for McKean-Vlasov equations, *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **23** (2018), 1–11.
- [38] Lacker D.: Hierarchies, entropy, and quantitative propagation of chaos for mean field diffusions. Available in arXiv:2105.02983. (2021)
- [39] Lê K.: A stochastic sewing lemma and applications. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **25**, 2020.

- [40] Lê K.: Stochastic sewing in Banach spaces. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, **28**: 1–22, 2023.
- [41] Lévy P.: *Random functions: General theory with special references to Laplacian random functions*. University of California Publications in Statistics, vol. 1, pp. 331–390. (1953)
- [42] Liu W. and Röckner M.: Stochastic partial differential equations: an introduction. Universitext *Springer, Cham*, 2015, vi+266 pp.
- [43] Long, D.-G.: Convergence of the random vortex method in two dimensions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1988), no. 4, 779–804.
- [44] Marchioro C. and Pulvirenti M.: Hydrodynamics in two dimensions and vortex theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **84** (1982), pp. 483–503.
- [45] H. P. McKean, Propagation of chaos for a class of non-linear parabolic equations. In *Stochastic Differential Equations (Lecture Series in Differential Equations, Session 7, Catholic Univ., 1967)*, pages 41–57. Air Force Office Sci. Res., Arlington, Va., 1967.
- [46] Y. Mishura and A. Veretennikov, Existence and uniqueness theorems for solutions of McKean-Vlasov stochastic equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.02212, 2016.
- [47] Nualart D. and Ouknine Y.: Regularization of differential equation by fractional noise. *Stochastic Processes Appl.* **102** (2002), 103–116.
- [48] Nualart D. and Ouknine Y.: Stochastic differential equations with additive fractional noise and locally unbounded drift, *Stochastic inequalities and applications*, Progr. Probab., vol. 56, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003, pp. 353–365.
- [49] Hirofumi Osada. Propagation of chaos for the two dimensional navier-stokes equation. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A, Mathematical Sciences*, 62(1):8–11, 1986.
- [50] Qian Z., Süli E. and Zhang Y.: Random vortex dynamics via functional stochastic differential equations *Proc. A.* **478** (2022), no. 2266, Paper No. 20220030, 23 pp.
- [51] Robinson J.C., Rodrigo J.L. and Sadowski W.: *The Three-Dimensional Navier-Stokes Equations: Classical Theory*. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge* (2016).
- [52] M. Röckner and X. Zhang. Well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts. *Bernoulli* **27** (2) 1131–1158, 2021.
- [53] Sylvia Serfaty. Mean field limit for coulomb-type flows. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 169(15):2887–2935, 2020.
- [54] Villani C.: Optimal transportation: Old and News.
- [55] A. Vlasov. The vibrational properties of an electron gas. *Physics-Uspexhi*, 10(6):721–733, 1968.
- [56] F.-Y. Wang, Distribution dependent SDEs for Landau type equations, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 128, 595–621, 2018.
- [57] Xia P., Xie L., Zhang X. and Zhao G.: $L^q(L^p)$ -theory of stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Processes Appl.* **130** (2020), no. 8, 5188–5211.
- [58] Zhang, X.: A stochastic representation for backward incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **148**, 305–332 (2010)
- [59] Zhang X. (2013). Well-posedness and large deviation for degenerate SDEs with Sobolev coefficients. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **29** no. 1, 25–52.
- [60] Zhang X.: Stochastic differential equations with Sobolev diffusion and singular drift and applications. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **26** (2016), no. 5, 2697–2732.
- [61] Zhang X.: Weak solutions of McKean–Vlasov SDEs with supercritical drifts. *Communications in Mathematics and Statistics* 1–14, 2023.
- [62] Zhang X. and Zhao G.: Singular Brownian diffusion processes. *Commun. Math. Stat.* **6**, 533–581 (2018).
- [63] Zhao G.: On distribution depend SDEs with singular drifts. *arXiv:2003.04829v3* (2020).
- [64] Zvonkin A. K.: A transformation of the phase space of a diffusion process that removes the drift. (Russian) *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **93(135)** (1974), 129–149.

ZIMO HAO: FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, 33615, BIELEFELD, GERMANY, EMAIL: ZHAO@MATH.UNI-BIELEFELD.DE

MICHAEL RÖCKNER: FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, 33615, BIELEFELD, GERMANY, EMAIL: ROECKNER@MATH.UNI-BIELEFELD.DE

XICHENG ZHANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 100081, CHINA, EMAIL: XICHENGZHANG@GMAIL.COM