

The Structural Complexity Landscape of Finding Balance-Fair Shortest Paths

Matthias Bentert^{1,2}, Leon Kellerhals¹, and Rolf Niedermeier¹

¹Technische Universität Berlin

²University of Bergen

matthias.bentert@uib.no

leon.kellerhals@tu-berlin.de

Abstract

We study the parameterized complexity of finding shortest s - t -paths with an additional fairness requirement. The task is to compute a shortest path in a vertex-colored graph where each color appears (roughly) equally often in the solution. We provide a complete picture of the parameterized complexity landscape of the problem with respect to structural parameters by showing a tetrachotomy including polynomial kernels, fixed-parameter tractability, XP-time algorithms (and W[1]-hardness), and para-NP-hardness.

1 Introduction

Computing shortest paths in graphs is a fundamental problem in algorithmic graph theory. In many applications, however, not every shortest path is equally valuable. Consider a group of people traveling together. Some members of the group may prefer traveling next to rivers, others prefer forests, and still others may prefer urban regions. To satisfy all group members' preferences and not create any envy, the group decides to search for a *fair* route, that is, a route that (roughly) encounters the same amount of river sights, forests, and urban regions. Clearly, such a travel from a starting point s to an endpoint t can be modeled as finding an s - t -path in a graph. To model the fairness aspect, the vertices (or edges¹) are colored, say blue vertices for paths next to rivers, green for forest routes, and gray for streets in a city. The task is to find a *balance-fair* path, i.e., a path in which every color appears equally often. We provide an example with three colors in [Figure 1](#).

We study the parameterized complexity landscape of finding balance-fair shortest s - t -paths.

Related work. Path finding in vertex-colored graphs has been a subject of broad and intensive study. Here, we only point to algorithmically motivated work that seems particularly close to our scenario of balance-fair shortest paths. First of all, finding colorful paths (each color appears at most once) is an important algorithmic topic, both in static and in temporal graphs [6, 17].

Cohen et al. [15] analyze the complexity of finding tropical paths (shortest and longest), that is, paths containing each color in the input graph at least once. Another close (and

¹We decided for the vertex variant, but both variants are computationally equivalent.




Figure 1: A graph with colored vertices (blue, green, and gray). The highlighted path is a shortest path between s and t and contains three vertices of each color. Thus, it is balance-fair.

also broad) area is that of finding resource-constrained shortest paths [34], where roughly speaking the desired path shall have minimum cost and only a limited consumption of resources. Generally, the problem is NP-hard [25] and received a lot of attention in recent years [1, 21, 26]. Note, however, that there are no immediate fairness aspects modeled here. Hanaka et al. [24] are somewhat closer to fairness aspects in path finding. They study shortest paths under diversity aspects, meaning that they search for multiple shortest paths that are maximally different from each other. This fits into the recent trend of finding diverse sets of solutions to optimization problems [8, 33]. Coming back to balance-fair paths, we have shown in previous work that finding balance-fair paths of length at most some given ℓ is fixed-parameter tractable by ℓ and W[1]-hard parameterized by the number of colors in the input graph [9]. Note that searching for balance-fair shortest s - t -paths is a special case where ℓ is the distance between the two terminals s and t in the input graph.

Finally, we only mention in passing that fairness aspects are currently investigated in all kinds of optimization problems (particularly graph-based ones), including topics such as graph-based data clustering [2, 3, 22, 23], influence maximization [30], and graph mining [18, 28]. Fairness notions similar to balance-fairness are studied in various contexts [4]. A slightly more general scenario is considered for the classic graph problems VERTEX COVER and EDGE COVER [7]. Particularly close to our setting is the work by Chierichetti et al. [14]. They study balance-fairness (their definition only differs in small technical details from ours) in problems that can be solved by finding a largest independent set in a matroid or the intersection of two matroids. Their results e.g. imply that finding balance-fair spanning trees can be solved in polynomial time when the number of colors is constant.²

Our contributions. Altogether, we provide a quite complete picture of the parameterized complexity landscape of a well-motivated fairness scenario for one of the back-bone problems in network algorithmics: finding shortest paths. Our contribution is a complete tetrachotomy (a division into four parts) for structural parameterizations for BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH (see Section 2 for a formal definition) depicted in Figure 2.

We divide the parameters into (i) parameters allowing for polynomial kernels, (ii) parameters that allow for FPT-time algorithms but (presumably) not for polynomial kernels, (iii) parameters for which the resulting parameterized problem is W[1]-hard but allows for XP-time algorithms, and (iv) parameters for which the resulting parameterized problem is para-NP-hard.

²By a result of Brezovec, Cornuéjols, and Glover [13], the polynomial-time solvability also holds for an unbounded number of colors.




Figure 2: The relations between structural graph parameters and our respective results for BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH. An edge from a parameter α to a parameter β below α means that α upper-bounds β (the bounds are usually linear functions; see also [35]). A parameter k is marked green (○) if BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH admits a polynomial kernel with k , yellow (○) if it is FPT with k but presumably does not admit a polynomial kernel, orange (○) if the respective parameterized problem is in XP and W[1]-hard, and red (○) if BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH is NP-hard for constant k . Parameters without a thick border obtain their classification from parameters above or below.

The remainder of this work is structured as follows. We start with some preliminaries and basic definitions in Section 2. These include a formal problem definition and an overview over the parameters and tools used throughout the paper. We then list in Section 3 two results from our previous work [9] and derive observations that are relevant for our tetrachotomy. Afterwards, we present all our results related to polynomial kernels in Section 4. We complete our tetrachotomy with two para-NP-hardness results in Section 5 and conclude the paper in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Graph theory. We use standard graph-theoretic terminology. In particular, for an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ we set $n := |V|$ and $m := |E|$. For a subset $V' \subseteq V$ of the vertices, we use $G[V'] := (V', \{e \in E \mid e \subseteq V'\})$ to denote the subgraph of G induced by V' and denote by $G - V'$ the subgraph $G[V \setminus V']$. The *degree* $\deg_G(v)$ of v is the number of vertices the vertex v is adjacent to in G . A *path* P on ℓ vertices is a graph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell\}$ and edge set $\{\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \mid i \in [\ell - 1]\}$. The vertices v_1 and v_ℓ are called *endpoints*. The *length* of the path is the number of edges it contains. A *connected component* in a graph is a maximal set V' of vertices such that for each pair $u, v \in V'$ of vertices in V' , there is a path in the graph with endpoints u and v .

Problem definition. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with two vertices s and t . An s - t -path P is a path in G whose endpoints are s and t . We denote by $\text{dist}_G(s, t)$ the length of a shortest s - t -path in G . Whenever clear from context, we may drop the subscript G . Let $\chi: V \rightarrow [c]$ be a vertex coloring. For a color $i \in [c]$, we denote by $\chi^i := \{v \in V \mid \chi(v) = i\}$ the set of vertices of color i . For a subgraph H of G and a color $i \in [c]$, we denote by χ_H the coloring χ restricted to the vertices of H and by χ_H^i the set of vertices of color i in H . We say that a path P in G is *balance-fair* if $\max_{i \in [c]} |\chi_P^i| - \min_{i \in [c]} |\chi_P^i| \leq 1$. The problem we study in this work is defined as follows.

BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH

Input: An undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, a vertex coloring $\chi: V \rightarrow [c]$, and two vertices $s, t \in V$.

Question: Is there a balance-fair shortest s - t -path P in G ?

Observe that in the introduction we motivated the task of finding a solution path containing *exactly* the same number of vertices of each color. However, if the number of vertices in a shortest s - t -path is divisible by the number c of colors without remainder, then any balance-fair shortest s - t -path also satisfies this stronger requirement. We have decided for the definition above as it is a more interesting problem in the case when the number of vertices in a solution is not divisible by c without remainder.

Matroids and representative families. A pair $M = (U, \mathcal{I})$, where U is called *ground set* and \mathcal{I} is a family of subsets (called *independent sets*) of U , is a *matroid* if (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$, (ii) if $A' \subseteq A$ and $A \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A' \in \mathcal{I}$ (hereditary property), and (iii) if $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ and $|A| < |B|$, then there is an $e \in B \setminus A$ such that $A \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{I}$ (exchange property). An inclusion-wise maximal independent set is a *basis* of M . It follows from the exchange property that all bases of M have the same size. This size is called the *rank* of M . Let A be a matrix over a finite field \mathbb{F} , and let U be the set of columns of A . We associate a matroid $M = (U, \mathcal{I})$ with A as follows. A set $X \subseteq U$ is independent (i.e., $X \in \mathcal{I}$) if the columns in X are linearly independent over \mathbb{F} . We say that the matroid is *linear* and that A represents M .

We use matroids in order to compute representative families which are defined as follows.

Definition 1. Given a matroid $M = (U, \mathcal{I})$ and a family \mathcal{A} of size- p subsets of U , we say that a subfamily $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a q -*representative* for \mathcal{A} if the following holds: For every set $B \subseteq U$ of size at most q , if there is a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$, then there is a set $\widehat{A} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\widehat{A} \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\widehat{A} \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$. We then write $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq_{\text{rep}}^q \mathcal{A}$.

Fomin et al. [20] gave multiple efficient recipes for the computation of representative families. The following works for linear matroids. Here, $\omega < 2.373$ is the matrix multiplication constant [5].

Theorem 2 (Fomin et al. [20]). *Let $M = (U, \mathcal{I})$ be a linear matroid of rank $p + q = k$ given together with its representation matrix A over a field \mathbb{F} . Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_t\}$ be a family of independent sets of size p and let $w: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ be a weight function. Then a q -representative family $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for \mathcal{A} with at most $\binom{p+q}{p}$ sets can be found in*

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\binom{p+q}{p} t p^\omega + t \binom{p+q}{q}^{\omega-1}\right)$$

operations over \mathbb{F} .

Parameterized complexity. Let Σ be a finite alphabet. A *parameterized problem* is a set of instances (I, k) where $I \in \Sigma^*$ is a problem instance from some finite alphabet Σ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is the *parameter*. A parameterized problem L is *fixed-parameter tractable* if $(I, k) \in L$ can be decided in $f(k) \cdot |I|^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ time, where f is a computable function only depending on k . We call (I, k) a **yes-instance** (of L) if $(I, k) \in L$. The class XP contains all parameterized problems which can be decided in polynomial time if the parameter k is constant, that is, in $|I|^{f(k)}$ time. To show that a parameterized problem L is presumably not fixed-parameter tractable, one may use a *parameterized reduction* from a W[1]-hard problem to L [19]. A parameterized reduction from a parameterized problem L to another parameterized problem L' is an algorithm satisfying the following. There are two computable functions f and g , such that given an instance (I, k) of L , the algorithm computes in $f(k) \cdot |I|^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ time an instance (I', k') of L' such that (I, k) is a **yes-instance** if and only if (I', k') is a **yes-instance** and $k' \leq g(k)$. A *kernelization* is an algorithm that, given an instance (I, k) of a parameterized problem L , computes in $|I|^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ time an instance (I', k') of L (the *kernel*) such that (I, k) is a **yes-instance** if and only if (I', k') is a **yes-instance** and $|I'| + k' \leq f(k)$ for some computable function f only depending on k . We say that f measures the *size* of the kernel. If f is a polynomial, then we say that P admits a *polynomial kernel*. While all fixed-parameter tractable problems admit a kernel, it is not clear whether all of them admit one of polynomial size. Indeed, assuming that $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP} / \text{poly}$, one can show that certain parameterized problems do not admit a polynomial kernel. This can be done e.g. via an OR-cross-composition or via a polynomial parameter transformation from a parameterized problem that (presumably) does not admit a polynomial kernel. For the definition of OR-cross-compositions, we first need the following. Given an NP-hard problem L , an equivalence relation \mathcal{R} on the instances of L is a *polynomial equivalence relation* if (i) one can decide for any two instances in polynomial time whether they belong to the same equivalence class, and (ii) for any finite set S of instances, \mathcal{R} partitions the set into at most $(\max_{I \in S} |I|)^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ equivalence classes.

Definition 3 (OR-cross-composition [10]). Given an NP-hard problem Q , a parameterized problem L , and a polynomial equivalence relation \mathcal{R} on the instances of Q , an OR-cross-composition of Q into L (with respect to \mathcal{R}) is an algorithm that takes q instances I_1, I_2, \dots, I_q of Q belonging to the same equivalence class of \mathcal{R} and constructs in time polynomial in $\sum_{i=1}^q |I_i|$ an instance (I, k) of L such that (i) k is polynomially upper-bounded by $\max_{i \in [q]} |I_i| + \log(q)$, and (ii) (I, k) is a **yes-instance** of L if and only if there exists an $i \in [q]$ such that I_i is a **yes-instance** of Q .

If a parameterized problem admits an OR-cross-composition, then it does not admit a polynomial kernel unless $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP} / \text{poly}$ [10]. A *polynomial parameter transformation* from a parameterized problem L to a parameterized problem L' is a parameterized reduction from L to L' that, given an instance (I, k) of L , runs in $(|I| + k)^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ time and returns an instance (I', k') of L' such that k' is bounded from above by a polynomial in k . If L does not admit a polynomial kernel unless $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP} / \text{poly}$, and there is a polynomial parameter transformation from L to L' , then L' does not admit a polynomial kernel unless $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP} / \text{poly}$ [11].

Graph parameters and classes. We give an overview over the different graph parameters and graph classes used throughout the paper. We start with the graph parameters ordered from left to right in Figure 2. To this end, let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. The *minimum clique cover* of G is the minimum number of cliques needed to partition V . The *maximum diameter of components* is the maximum distance $\text{dist}(u, v)$ between any two vertices u and v in the same connected component of G . The *average distance* is analogously the average distance $\text{dist}(u, v)$ between two vertices u and v in the same connected component of G . The *distance to Π* for some graph class Π is the minimum number of vertices needed to be removed from G such that it becomes a graph in Π . The *feedback vertex number* is the distance to forests. The *treedepth* of an unconnected graph is the maximum treedepth of any of its connected components. The treedepth of a connected graph $G = (V, E)$ is defined as follows. Let T be a rooted tree with vertex set V such that if $\{x, y\} \in E$, then x is either an ancestor or a descendant of y in T . We say that G is embedded in T . The depth of T is the number of vertices in a longest path in T from the root to a leaf. The treedepth of G is the minimum t such that there is a rooted tree of depth t in which G is embedded. The *maximum leaf number* of G is the maximum number of leaves among all spanning trees of G . The *feedback edge number* is the minimum size of a set F of edges such that removing F from G results in a forest. The *genus* of G is the minimal integer k such that G can be drawn without crossing itself on a sphere with k handles, that is, on a surface of genus k . Given an injective function $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, the bandwidth cost of f is defined as $\max_{\{u, v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|$. The *bandwidth* is the minimum bandwidth cost over all possible injective functions from V to \mathbb{N} . We say that two vertices u, v have the same *type* if $N(v) \setminus \{u\} = N(u) \setminus \{v\}$. The *neighborhood diversity* is the minimum number of sets into which V can be partitioned such that every two vertices in the same partition have the same type.

In this work we consider the following graph classes. A *cograph* is a graph in which each component has diameter at most two. Equivalently, a cograph is a graph without induced paths of length three. An *interval graph* is a graph where each vertex can be represented by an interval of real numbers such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if their respective intervals overlap. The vertex set of a *bipartite graph* can be partitioned into two independent sets. A set of *disjoint paths* is a graph in which each connected component is a path. An *outerplanar graph* is a graph that has a planar drawing (a drawing in the plane without edge crossings) for which all vertices belong to the outer face of the drawing. Finally, a graph is a *cactus graph* if every edge is part of at most one cycle.

3 Known Results and Basic Observations

In this section, we adapt some previously known results and show some simple classification results. In previous work, we have shown that a generalization of BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the parameter ℓ [9, Theorem 4]. In that generalization, we are not looking for a shortest s - t -path but for a path of length at most some given ℓ . Additionally, the difference between the most and least frequent colors can be up to some constant δ . By setting $\ell := \text{dist}(s, t)$ and $\delta := 1$, we get that BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH is fixed-parameter tractable by the distance between s and t . Now observe that any vertex v with $\text{dist}(s, v) + \text{dist}(v, t) > \text{dist}(s, t)$ cannot be part of a solution and thus can be removed from the input. Doing so exhaustively results in a graph of diameter at most $\text{dist}(s, t)$. To see this, observe that $\text{dist}(u, v) \leq \min(\text{dist}(u, s) + \text{dist}(s, v), \text{dist}(u, t) + \text{dist}(t, v))$ for each pair u, v of vertices. Since the minimum is always at most the average, we get

$$\text{dist}(u, v) \leq \frac{\text{dist}(u, s) + \text{dist}(s, v) + \text{dist}(u, t) + \text{dist}(t, v)}{2} = \text{dist}(s, t).$$

Observation 4. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH *parameterized by maximum diameter d of components can be solved in $(n + m) \log(n) \cdot (4e^2)^{d+2} \cdot d^{\mathcal{O}(\log(d))}$ time.*

Moreover, we have shown that BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH is W[1]-hard with respect to the number c of colors [9, Theorem 3]. The reduction is from MULTICOLORED CLIQUE parameterized by solution size k and the distance d to disjoint paths in the resulting instance is linear in k . This yields the following.

Observation 5. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH *parameterized by distance to disjoint paths is W[1]-hard.*

Next, we investigate the two parameters feedback vertex number and feedback edge number. Since a set of disjoint paths is a forest, **Observation 5** also likely excludes the possibility for fixed-parameter tractability for the feedback vertex number. We can, however, show containment in XP.

Observation 6. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH *parameterized by feedback vertex number k is solvable in $\mathcal{O}(3.460^k \cdot n^{2k+2})$ time.*

Proof. We compute a feedback vertex set F of size k in $\mathcal{O}(3.460^k \cdot k \cdot n)$ time [27]. Next, we guess³ the vertices $F' \subseteq F$ belonging to a solution. We then order these vertices with respect to their distance to terminal s . Note that this ordering is strict or otherwise the guess is wrong. Hence, it only remains to find the correct vertices outside of F to connect each pair of consecutive vertices in F' . To this end, we guess the first vertex after and the last vertex before each vertex in F' in a solution path. Observe that $G - F$ is a forest and thus there is a unique path in $G - F$ between each pair of vertices in $V \setminus F$ (or no path if the two vertices belong to different connected components). Thus, any guess can be extended to a unique s - t -path in linear time. We return **yes** if and only if one of these paths is a balance-fair shortest s - t -path. Note that if a balance-fair shortest s - t -path P exists, then we find it as it corresponds to the guess that considers all vertices from P

³Whenever we pretend to guess something, we iterate over all possibilities and consider the correct iteration.

that are in F or adjacent to a vertex in F . Finally, since the number of possibilities to guess from is upper-bounded by $2^{|F|} \cdot n^{2|F|} = 2^k \cdot n^{2k}$, the overall running time is in $\mathcal{O}(3.460^k \cdot k \cdot n + 2^k \cdot n^{2k} \cdot (n + m)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(3.460^k \cdot n^{2k+2})$. \square

For the closely related feedback edge number, we can show a stronger result.

Observation 7. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH *parameterized by feedback edge number k is solvable in $\mathcal{O}(2^k \cdot (n + m))$ time.*

Proof. We assume without loss of generality that the input instance is connected. First, we compute a minimum feedback edge set F in linear time by computing a minimum spanning tree and taking all remaining edges. Second, we guess the set $F' \subseteq F$ of feedback edges contained in a solution path. Let $V' := \bigcup_{e \in F'} e$ be the set of endpoints of edges in F' . We sort the vertices in V' by their distance from s in linear time using bucket sort. Since $G' := (V, E \setminus F)$ is a tree, it holds for each pair u, v of consecutive vertices in the order that if they are not connected by an edge in F' , then there is a unique u - v -path in G' . Hence, any guess can be extended to a unique s - t -path in linear time. If any of these paths is a balance-fair shortest s - t -path, then we return **yes**, otherwise we return **no**. Note that if a balance-fair shortest s - t -path P exists, then we find it as it corresponds to the guess that considers all edges from P that are in F . Finally, since the number of possibilities to guess from is $2^{|F|} = 2^k$, the overall running time is $\mathcal{O}(2^k \cdot (n + m))$. \square

We end this section with the parameter average distance. We show that adding a large clique to the input yields para-NP-hardness for this parameter.

Observation 8. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH *is NP-hard even for instances where the average distance between vertices is at most 3.*

Proof. We provide a reduction from BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH. We add a clique of n^2 vertices and make each of its vertices adjacent to the terminal s . First, note that none of these vertices can be part of any shortest s - t -path and thus it is an equivalent instance. Hence, it only remains to analyze the average distance between vertices. To this end, we denote by V the set of the n original vertices and by K the set of the n^2 new vertices. The maximum distance between two vertices in V is at most n , the maximum distance between two vertices in K is one, and the maximum distance between a vertex in V and a vertex in K is n . Thus, the average distance is at most

$$\frac{\binom{n}{2} \cdot n + \binom{n^2}{2} \cdot 1 + n \cdot n^2 \cdot n}{\binom{n^2+n}{2}} \leq \frac{n^4 + n^4 + n^4}{n^4} = 3. \quad \square$$

4 Kernelization

In this section, we present all our results related to polynomial kernels, that is, we show a polynomial kernel for distance to cographs (and more generally for distance to P_h -free graphs for any constant h) and we exclude polynomial kernels for minimum clique cover and for treedepth. We also show that a slight generalization of BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH regarding a generalized fairness parameter δ does not allow for a polynomial kernel for the parameter maximum leaf number plus δ . We leave open whether BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by maximum leaf number admits a polynomial kernel. Let us start by providing a polynomial kernel for BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by the neighborhood diversity.

Proposition 9. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH admits a kernel containing at most k^2 vertices, where k is the neighborhood diversity. The kernel is computable in $\mathcal{O}(n^2k)$ time.

Proof. Let $I = (G = (V, E), \chi, s, t)$ be an instance of BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH and let ℓ be the distance between s and t in G . We start off by computing a neighborhood partition of minimum width k , which can be achieved in $\mathcal{O}(n^2k)$ time [31]. The crucial observation towards obtaining the kernel now is that a shortest path does not visit two vertices u, v of the same type: If a path P first visits u and later v , then the predecessor of u is also adjacent to v ; thus the path can be short-cut. Hence, $\ell \leq k$, and it suffices to keep one vertex of each color for each type. If the number c of colors is at most k , then this yields a kernel at most k^2 vertices. If $c > k$, then we derive from $k \geq \ell$ that the sought shortest path will contain at most one vertex of each color. In this case, it suffices to keep k vertices of different colors for each type: No matter how the remaining $\ell - 1 \leq k - 1$ vertices of other types were chosen, there is one vertex of our type which has a different color. Hence, if there is a type that contains at least k vertices of different colors, we can remove the remaining vertices of that type. This again yields a kernel with at most k^2 vertices. The running time is dominated by determining the neighborhood diversity. \square

We next provide a polynomial kernel for BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by the distance to P_h -free graphs for any constant h .

Theorem 10. Let $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Then BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by the vertex deletion distance k to P_h -free graphs admits a kernel with at most $\mathcal{O}(h^{h+2}(k+1)^{h+1})$ vertices. The kernel can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(n^{\omega h} + n^4)$ time.

Proof. Let $I = (G = (V, E), \chi, s, t)$ be an instance of BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH. Let ℓ be the number of vertices in a shortest s - t -path, that is one more than the distance between s and t in G . Let $K' \subseteq V$ be a set of k vertices such that K' is P_h -free. Note that each connected component of $G - K'$ has diameter at most $h - 2$, thus any shortest s - t -path can contain at most $h - 1$ vertices from any such connected component. Thus, at least each h^{th} vertex on a shortest s - t -path has to be contained K . Hence, we have $\ell \leq h(k + 1)$. Next, we compute a set $K \subseteq V(G)$ with $|K| \leq hk$ such that $G - K$ is P_h -free in $\mathcal{O}(k \cdot n^h)$ time. We can find an induced P_h in $\mathcal{O}(n^h \cdot h^2)$ time and any vertex deletion set to P_h -free graphs contains at least one of the h vertices. Taking all h vertices into the set K is therefore an h -approximation. For convenience, we will also add s and t to K . Next, we compute all shortest paths between any pair $u, v \in K$ which do not contain any other vertices from k . Each such path P visits the same number $d_{uv} := \text{dist}_G(u, v) - 1 \leq h - 1$ of vertices, all of which are in some connected component of $G - K$. We replace the graph $G - K$ by the union of all paths computed and we remove duplicate paths, that is, if there are two u - v -paths which use the same multiset of colors, then we remove one of them. Let \mathcal{S}_{uv} be the set of remaining u - v -paths.

We now make a case distinction on the number c of colors in the input graph. If $c \leq \ell$, then note that $|\mathcal{S}_{uv}| \leq c^{h-1} \leq (h(k+1))^{h-1} = h^{h-1}(k+1)^{h-1}$. Since each path in \mathcal{S}_{uv} contains $d_{uv} < h$ vertices, the entire remaining graph contains at most $|K| + |K|^2 h^h (k+1)^{h-1} \in \mathcal{O}(h^{h+2}(k+1)^h)$ vertices.

If $c > \ell$, then each color can appear at most once in any solution as by the pigeonhole principle, at least one color does not appear at all. Hence, for each pair $u, v \in K$, we remove any path from \mathcal{S}_{uv} that contains the same color twice. Note that this implies $|\mathcal{S}_{uv}| \leq n^h$. Finally, we use the technique of representative families by Fomin et al. [20] to shrink the

size of each set \mathcal{S}_{uv} even further. Let $M = (V, \mathcal{I})$ be a matroid, where the set V of vertices in G is the ground set and a set $X \subseteq V$ is independent in M if and only if each color appears at most once in X and $|X| \leq \ell$. More formally, the set \mathcal{I} is defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq V \mid |X \cap \chi^i| \leq 1 \text{ for } i \in [c] \text{ and } |X| \leq \ell\}.$$

Recall that χ^i is the set of vertices with color i . Note that M is a partition matroid of rank ℓ ; therefore, we can compute a linear representation over a field of size $\mathcal{O}(n)$ in $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$ time [32]. We can then use [Theorem 2](#) (with a uniform weight function) to compute, for each set \mathcal{S}_{uv} , a $(\ell - d_{uv})$ -representative family $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{uv}$ of size at most $\binom{\ell}{d_{uv}} \leq \ell^{h-1}$ in $\mathcal{O}(n^h \ell^{h(\omega-1)}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(n^{\omega h})$ time, where ω is the fast matrix multiplication constant. We return the kernel that contains all vertices in K and all paths in $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{uv}$ for each pair $u, v \in K$ (which might be a single edge if $\{u, v\} \in E$). Note that the number of vertices in the kernel is at most

$$|K| + |K|^2 \ell^{h-1} h \leq kh + 2 + (kh + 2)^2 (h(k + 1))^{h-1} h \in \mathcal{O}(h^{h+2} (k + 1)^{h+1}).$$

It remains to show that the returned instance is equivalent to the input instance. In the first direction, note that any path in the kernel corresponds to a path in the original graph that collects the same multiset of colors. Moreover, such a path is a shortest s - t -path in the kernel if and only if it is a shortest s - t -path in the original instance. For the reverse direction, let P be some balance-fair shortest s - t -path in G . If $c \leq \ell$, then P directly corresponds to a path in the kernel that uses the same number of vertices and the same multiset of colors. If $c > \ell$, then let $L = \{s = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_p, t = v_{p+1}\} \subseteq K$ be the set of vertices in K that appear in P . We assume that the vertices are ordered in such a way that v_i appears earlier than v_j in P whenever $i < j$. We iteratively replace subpaths of P by paths in the kernel. To this end, let $P_0 = P$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq p + 1$, let P_i be constructed as follows. Let Q_i be the set of colors in P_{i-1} that do not include the set of vertices in the path between v_{i-1} and v_i (Q_i contains the colors of v_{i-1} and v_i). Let S_i be all remaining colors in P_{i-1} . By definition, there is a set $S \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{v_{i-1}v_i}$ such that $S \cup Q_i \in \mathcal{I}$, that is, there is a path in $\mathcal{S}_{v_{i-1}v_i}$ whose colors are disjoint from Q_i . We replace the subpath of P_{i-1} between v_{i-1} and v_i by the subpath with colors in S to get path P_i . Note that P_{p+1} is then a shortest s - t -path in the kernel that uses each color at most once. This concludes the proof. \square

Since cographs are exactly the P_4 -free graphs, this implies a polynomial kernel for distance to cographs.

Corollary 11. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH admits a kernel of size $\mathcal{O}(k^5)$, where k is the distance to cographs. The kernel can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(n^{10})$ time.

The maximum diameter of components is a parameter directly under the distance to cographs in the parameter hierarchy (see [Figure 2](#)). As shown in [Observation 4](#), BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by maximum diameter of components is fixed-parameter tractable. We next show that it presumably does *not* allow for a polynomial kernel by excluding polynomial kernels for BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by two parameters upper-bounding maximum diameter of components—treedepth and minimum clique cover. We first show that BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by treedepth admits an OR-cross-composition.

Proposition 12. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH *parameterized by treedepth does not admit a polynomial kernel unless* $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP} / \text{poly}$.

Proof. We prove the statement by showing that BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH OR-cross-composes into BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by treedepth. We first define the polynomial equivalence relation \mathcal{R} . Two instances $(G = (V, E), \chi: V \rightarrow [c], s, t)$ and $(G' = (V', E'), \chi': V' \rightarrow [c'], s', t')$ of BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH are \mathcal{R} -equivalent if and only if $c = c'$ and $\text{dist}_G(s, t) = \text{dist}_{G'}(s', t')$. Clearly, the relation fulfills condition (i) of an equivalence relation (see Section 2). Since both integers considered for equivalence are upper-bounded in the size of their respective instance, condition (ii) holds as well. Consider q instances I_1, I_2, \dots, I_q of BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH, where $I_i := (G_i, \chi_i, s_i, t_i)$ for all $i \in [q]$ and all instances are from the same equivalence class of \mathcal{R} . Let $\ell := \text{dist}_{G_i}(s_i, t_i) + 1$ be the number of vertices in each shortest path in each graph G_i , and let c be the number of colors used in each instance I_i . Further, let $x := \lfloor \ell/c \rfloor$ and $x' := \lceil \ell/c \rceil$ be the minimum and maximum number of appearances of a color in a balance-fair path in I_i . We OR-cross-compose into one instance $I := (G, \chi, s, t)$ of BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by the treedepth of G .

The graph G contains for each $i \in [p]$ the graph G_i as an induced subgraph. We make the terminal s adjacent to each s_i . Next, we introduce a path on $\max(x' - 1, 1)$ vertices, one endpoint of which is adjacent to each t_i , and the other endpoint of which is t . Our coloring χ adopts the colorings of χ_i for each of the vertices in G_i . Terminal s is assigned color $c + 1$. If $x' \leq 1$, then the path consists only of t and we set $\chi(t) = c + 2$. Otherwise, we assign color $c + 1$ to each vertex of the path.

The construction clearly runs in time polynomial in the sum of the input instance sizes. The treedepth of G is at most

$$x' + 1 + \max_{i \in [q]} \text{td}(G_i) \leq 2 \max_{i \in [q]} |I_i|,$$

wherein $\text{td}(G_i)$ is the treedepth of G_i . Hence, our construction fulfills property (i) of Definition 3. We next show that it also fulfills property (ii), that is, I is a **yes**-instance if and only if there exists an $i \in [p]$ such that I_i is a **yes**-instance. To this end, observe that $\text{dist}_G(s, t) = \ell + \max(x', 2)$, and that for each $i \in [q]$ and each shortest s_i - t_i -path P_i , the (unique) s - t -path that contains P_i is a shortest path in G .

Suppose first that one of the input instances is a **yes**-instance, that is, one of the graphs G_i contains a shortest balance-fair s_i - t_i -path P_i . Then, each color $j \in [c]$ appears x_j times in P_i , wherein $x \leq x_j \leq x'$. We show that the s - t -path P which contains P_i is balance-fair as well. If $x' \leq 1$, then $\ell \leq c$, each color $j \in [c]$ is visited at most once, and the only additional vertices are s and t , which both have unique colors. If $x' > 1$, then there are exactly x' vertices in P that are not in P_i and all of those have color $c + 1$. As this color does not appear in P_i , the path P is balance-fair. Hence, I is a **yes**-instance.

Suppose next that I is a **yes**-instance, that is, there exists a shortest balance-fair s - t -path P . Such a path P visits vertices from exactly one of the graphs G_i , let P_i be the corresponding subpath from s_i to t_i . If $x' \leq 1$, then P contains $\ell + 2$ vertices and χ assigns by construction $c + 2$ colors to the vertices in G . Hence, P must contain at most one vertex of each color. As $\chi(s) = c + 1$ and $\chi(t) = c + 2$, the subpath P_i must contain each color in $[c]$ at most once and it is therefore a shortest balance-fair s_i - t_i -path and I_i is a **yes**-instance. If $x' > 1$, then P contains $\ell + x'$ vertices and χ assigns $c + 1$ colors to the vertices in G . Since (i) there are exactly x' vertices in P that are not in P_i and (ii) P_i

consists of exactly ℓ vertices whose colors are in $[c]$, it must contain each of these colors at least x and at most x' times. Thus, P_i is a shortest balance-fair s_i - t_i -path and I_i is a **yes**-instance. \square

We only need a slight modification of the above OR-cross-composition to show that there is presumably no polynomial kernel for BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH when parameterized by minimum clique cover.

Proposition 13. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH *parameterized by minimum clique cover does not admit a polynomial kernel unless* $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP} / \text{poly}$.

Proof. We extend the construction shown in the proof of Proposition 12 to show that BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH OR-cross-composes into BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH parameterized by minimum clique cover. We use the same polynomial equivalence relation. Given q instances I_1, I_2, \dots, I_q with $I_i = (G_i, \chi_i, s_i, t_i)$ for all $i \in [q]$ from the same equivalence class in \mathcal{R} , let $I = (G = (V, E), \chi, s, t)$ be the instance constructed in the proof of Proposition 12. We extend the construction as follows. Let $\ell = \text{dist}_G(s, t)$. For each $d \in [\ell]$, let $V_d := \{v \in V \mid \text{dist}_G(s, v) = d\}$ be the set of vertices with distance exactly d from s . For each V_d , we add an edge between each pair of vertices in V_d . Let E_d be the set of these edges for each $d \in [|\ell|]$.

Clearly, the composition can still be computed in time polynomial in the sum of the input instance sizes. As for property (i) of Definition 3, note that G now contains a minimum clique cover of size ℓ , consisting of the cliques on V_d for each $d \in [\ell]$ (and s being part of the clique on V_1). This is trivially linearly upper-bounded in $\max_{i \in [q]} |I_i|$. Lastly, note that each s - t -paths or s_i - t_i -paths for some $i \in [q]$ containing an edge from a set E_d is not a shortest path. Hence, our extension does not affect any of the shortest paths of interest, and our construction fulfills property (ii) of Definition 3. \square

Concluding this section, we investigate the parameter maximum leaf number. We show that BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH does not admit a polynomial kernel in the maximum leaf number by providing a polynomial parameter transformation from EXACT HITTING SET. EXACT HITTING SET admits no problem kernel of size polynomial in $|U|$ unless $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP} / \text{poly}$ [16].⁴ It is defined as follows.

EXACT HITTING SET

Input: A universe U and a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of U .

Question: Is there a subset $X \subseteq U$ such that $|S \cap X| = 1$ for each $S \in \mathcal{F}$?

Proposition 14. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH *parameterized by the maximum leaf number does not admit a polynomial kernel unless* $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP} / \text{poly}$.

Proof. Let (U, \mathcal{F}) be an instance of EXACT HITTING SET. We provide a polynomial parameter transformation from EXACT HITTING SET with parameter $d := |U|$. We construct an instance $I = (G = (V, E), \chi, s, t)$ of BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH as follows. We will use $|\mathcal{F}| + 4$ colors: a color p_S for each set $S \in \mathcal{F}$, and three additional colors r_ℓ , r_h , and q . The graph G consists of a path G_P , a gadget G_x for each element $x \in U$, and the vertex t with color r_h . For an element $x \in U$, let s_x be the number of sets in \mathcal{F}

⁴We mention that the authors only exclude a polynomial kernel for HITTING SET parameterized by $|U|$, but all of the arguments work exactly the same for EXACT HITTING SET.

containing x and let $\mathcal{F}_x = \{S_1^x, S_2^x, \dots, S_{s_x}^x\}$ be the set of sets in \mathcal{F} that contain x . The gadget G_x consists of two disjoint paths $Y_x = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{s_x})$ and $N_x = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{s_x})$, wherein each vertex y_i with $i \in [s_x]$ receives color $p_{S_i^x}$ and each vertex n_j with $j \in [s_x]$ receives color q . Let $a = (\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} |S|) - d$. The path G_P starts with a vertices of color r_ℓ and a vertices of color r_h , the first of which is the terminal s . It then proceeds with $a - 1$ vertices of each color p_S for each $S \in \mathcal{F}$. We then arrange all gadgets G_x in a line and connect each last vertex of the two paths of a gadget with each first vertex of the two paths of the next gadget. Moreover, we connect the last vertex of G_P with the first vertices in the two paths of the first gadget and the last vertices in the two paths of the last gadget with t .

Note that for each induced path in the resulting graph, there can be at most two leaves in any spanning tree. Since all edges can be partitioned into $2d+1$ paths (two in the gadget for each element $x \in U$ and one is G_P), the maximum leaf number of the resulting instance is at most $4d+2$ (which is polynomial in d). Moreover, since the reduction can clearly be computed in polynomial time, it only remains to show that the constructed instance of BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH is a **yes**-instance if and only if the original instance of EXACT HITTING SET is a **yes**-instance. To this end, observe that each shortest s - t -path contains all vertices in G_P , the vertex t , and either all vertices of Y_x or all vertices of N_x for each $x \in U$. Hence, each s - t -path contains exactly a vertices of color r_ℓ and $a+1$ vertices of color r_h . Thus, every solution path must contain between a and $a+1$ vertices of each color.

Assume first that there is an exact hitting set $X \subseteq U$. Consider the s - t -path P in G that contains all vertices in G_P and, for each gadget G_x , the vertices in Y_x if $x \in X$ and the vertices in N_x if $x \notin X$. Since X is an exact hitting set, the path contains exactly one vertex of color p_S for each set $S \in \mathcal{F}$. Since the path G_P contains $a-1$ vertices of each such color, each such color appears exactly a times in P . Finally, note that the number of vertices with color q in P is also a as P contains all $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} |S| = a+d$ vertices in all paths N_x except for the exactly d vertices where it contains vertices in the Y_x -paths. Thus, the path P verifies that I is a **yes**-instance.

Now assume that I is a **yes**-instance. Then, there is a path P containing all vertices in G_P , the vertices of Y_x or the vertices of N_x for each $x \in U$, and the vertex t , such that each color appears between a and $a+1$ times in P . Consider the set $X \subseteq U$ containing an element $x \in U$ if and only if P contains the vertices of Y_x . Since P contains each color at least a times, it contains at least one vertex of each color p_S in some subpath Y_x , that is, X is a hitting set. Moreover, assume that it holds for some set $S \in \mathcal{F}$ that $|S \cap X| > 1$. Then, P contains at most $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} |S| - d - 1 = a - 1$ vertices of color q , a contradiction. Thus, X is an exact cover. This concludes the proof. \square

5 Para-NP-Hardness

We complete our tetrachotomy by showing para-NP-hardness for several parameters. We start by showing that BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH is NP-hard on interval graphs by providing a reduction from VERTEX COVER. Afterwards, we conclude with a reduction from EXACT COVER showing NP-hardness for bipartite outerplanar graphs with genus zero and bandwidth two. VERTEX COVER is NP-hard and defined as follows [29].




Figure 3: The vertex gadget G_v for a vertex v and a legend providing the names of each color.



Figure 4: The vertex gadget G_e for an edge $e = \{u, v\}$ and a legend providing color names.

VERTEX COVER

Input: A graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k .

Question: Is there a set $K \subseteq V$ with $|K| \leq k$ such that $G - K$ is edgeless?

Proposition 15. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH is NP-hard even on interval graphs.

Proof. Let $(H = (V, E), k)$ be an instance of VERTEX COVER. Moreover, let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$. We construct an interval graph $G = (V', E')$ with two vertices s, t and a coloring function $\chi: V' \rightarrow [n + 3]$ such that there is a balance-fair shortest s - t -path in G if and only if there is a vertex cover of size k in H . We will use one color p_v for each vertex $v \in V$ and three additional colors q_1 , q_2 , and r . The graph G consists of a path G_P and three types of gadgets: a vertex gadget G_v for each vertex $v \in V$, an edge gadget G_e for each edge $e \in E$, and a filler gadget G_f . We next describe the different parts in more detail.

The vertex gadget G_v consists of two parallel paths $U_v = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m+1})$ and $L_v = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{m+1})$ of length m . Additionally, there is an edge between ℓ_i and u_i for each $i \in [m + 1]$ and an edge between ℓ_i and u_{i+1} for each $i \in [m]$. Lastly, there are two vertices s_v and t_v of color r where s is adjacent to u_1 and ℓ_1 and t is adjacent to u_{m+1} and ℓ_{m+1} . Each vertex in U_v gets color p_v , vertex ℓ_{m+1} gets color q_2 , and all other vertices in L_v get color q_1 . See Figure 3 for an example. The gadget for an edge $e = \{u, v\}$ is a diamond where the two vertices s_e and t_e of degree two have color r and the other two vertices have colors p_u and p_v , respectively. See Figure 4 for an illustration.

The filler gadget G_f consists of $k \cdot (m + 1) - m$ levels, where each level contains for each vertex $v \in V$ a vertex of each color p_v . Each level induces a clique and all vertices of two consecutive levels are pairwise adjacent. Figure 5 illustrates G_f .

The path G_P contains $km - k$ vertices of colors q_2 , $km - 2(m + n) - 1$ vertices of color r , and $km - (m + 1)$ vertices of color p_v for each vertex $v \in V$. One of the vertices of color r is s and this is the first vertex in G_P . To finish the construction of G , we add the vertex t with color r and connect the gadgets as follows. We add an edge between the last vertex of G_P and the first vertex s_{v_1} in G_{v_1} and an edge between t_{v_i} and $s_{v_{i+1}}$ for all $i \in [n - 1]$. We then add an edge between t_{v_n} and s_{e_1} and between t_{e_i} and $s_{e_{i+1}}$ for all $i \in [m - 1]$.




Figure 5: An extract from the filler gadget G_f .

Finally, we add an edge between t_{e_m} and each vertex in the first level of G_f and an edge between each vertex in the last level of G_f and t .

Note that since each gadget of G is an interval graph and since we only place them along a line, G is also an interval graph. Moreover, G can be constructed in polynomial time (since we may assume that $k \leq n$). Thus, it only remains to be shown that G contains a balance-fair shortest s - t -path if and only if H contains a vertex cover of size k .

Observe that any shortest path through a vertex gadget contains $m + 3$ vertices, each shortest path through an edge gadget contains three vertices, each shortest path through G_f contains $k \cdot (m + 1) - m$ vertices, and the number of vertices in the (unique) path through G_P is

$$km - k + km - 2(m + 1) - 1 + n \cdot (km - (m + 1)).$$

Since the path ends in vertex t which is not part of any gadget, the number of vertices in each shortest s - t -path in G is

$$\begin{aligned} & n \cdot (m + 3) + 3m + k \cdot (m + 1) - m \\ & + km - k + km - 2(m + n) + n \cdot (km - (m + 1)) \\ & = nm + 3n + 3m + km + k - m + km - k + km - 2m - 2n + nkm - nm - n \\ & = 3km + nkm = (n + 3) \cdot km \end{aligned}$$

Since there are $n + 3$ colors, each color has to appear exactly $k \cdot m$ times.

For the forward direction, assume that there is a vertex cover S of size k in H . We will construct an s - t -path P in G which contains exactly $k \cdot m$ vertices of each color. The path P contains all vertices in G_P . For each vertex $v \in S$, the path P contains in G_v all vertices in L_v and the two vertices s_v and t_v . For each vertex $u \in V \setminus S$, the path P contains in G_u all vertices in U_u and the two vertices s_u and t_u . For each edge $e \in E$, let $v_e \in S$ be a vertex such that $v_e \in e$. Note that v_e exists since S is a vertex cover in H and if both endpoints of e are contained in S , then we choose an arbitrary endpoint. The path P contains for each edge $e \in E$ the vertices s_e , t_e , and the vertex of color p_{v_e} in G_e . For each vertex $v \in S$, let x_v be the number of vertices of color p_v in P in the edge gadgets. The path P contains exactly $(m + 1) - x_v$ vertices of color p_v in G_f . It is easy to verify that P contains exactly $k \cdot m$ vertices of colors q_1 , q_2 , r , and of each color p_u , where $u \in V \setminus S$. So consider a color p_v with $v \in S$. Observe that P contains $k \cdot m - (m + 1)$ vertices of color p_v in G_P and no vertex of that color in G_v . Moreover, P contains x_v vertices of that color in all of the edge gadgets combined and $m + 1 - x_v$ vertices of that color in G_f . Hence, P contains exactly $k \cdot m$ vertices of color p_v and is therefore balance-fair.

For the other direction, let P be a balance-fair shortest s - t -path in G . Since P passes through G_P , it holds that P contains exactly k vertices of color q_2 outside of G_P . Note that if P contains a vertex of color q_2 in a vertex gadget G_v , then it has to contain the whole path L_v . Since there are exactly k vertex gadgets G_v such that P contains the whole path L_v , it also holds that P contains exactly $m \cdot k$ vertices of color q_1 in these vertex gadgets. Hence, P cannot contain any further vertices of color q_1 and it therefore has to contain the whole path U_u for each other vertex gadget G_u . Furthermore, for each vertex $u \in V$ with P passing through U_u , there cannot be any vertices of color p_u outside of G_P and G_u . Hence, in order to pass through each edge gadget G_e , there has to be a vertex $v \in V$ such that P passes through L_v and $v \in e$. Thus, these k vertices form a vertex cover in H . This concludes the proof. \square

Concluding this section, we next provide a reduction from EXACT COVER proving that BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH is NP-hard even on bipartite cactus graphs with constant bandwidth. Recall that a graph is a *cactus graph* if it is connected and any two cycles in the graph share at most one vertex, it is bipartite if its vertex set can be partitioned into two independent sets, and its bandwidth is the minimum cost $\max_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|$ over all injective functions f from V to \mathbb{N} . EXACT COVER is NP-complete and defined as follows [29].

EXACT COVER

Input: A universe U and a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of U .

Question: Is there an *exact cover* in \mathcal{F} , that is, is there a subfamily $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that for each $x \in U$ there is exactly one $S \in \mathcal{F}'$ with $x \in S$?

Proposition 16. BALANCE-FAIR SHORTEST PATH is NP-hard even on bipartite cactus graphs with bandwidth two.

Proof. We reduce from EXACT COVER. To this end, let $I = (U := [n], \mathcal{F} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\})$ be an instance of EXACT COVER and let $\sigma := \sum_{S_j \in \mathcal{F}} |S_j|$. We construct a bipartite cactus graph $G = (V, E)$ with bandwidth two. Moreover, we define a coloring function $\chi: V \rightarrow [n+1]$. The graph G will contain two designated vertices s and t and there is a balance-fair shortest s - t -path in G if and only if there is an exact cover in I . Herein, the colors $1, \dots, n$ represent the elements of the universe, and color $n+1$ is used as a *filler color*. The vertices s and t receive color $n+1$. Additionally, the graph consists of a *set gadget* G_j for each set $S_j \in \mathcal{F}$ and a path G_P .

For each $S_j \in \mathcal{F}$, let $p_j = |S_j|$ and let $\{x_1^j, x_2^j, \dots, x_{p_j}^j\} \subseteq [n]$ denote the elements of S_j . The set gadget G_j consists of two vertices s_j and t_j and two parallel paths induced by the sets $A_j := \{a_1^j, a_2^j, \dots, a_{p_j}^j\}$ and $B_j := \{b_1^j, b_2^j, \dots, b_{p_j}^j\}$, respectively. The endpoints are a_1^j and $a_{p_j}^j$ and b_1^j and $b_{p_j}^j$, respectively. The endpoints a_1^j and b_1^j are adjacent to s_j , while $a_{p_j}^j$ and $b_{p_j}^j$ are adjacent to t_j . The vertices s_j and t_j as well as the vertices in B_j are all colored with the filler color $n+1$, and vertex a_q^j is colored with x_q^j for each $q \in [p_j]$.

The path G_P consists of $n \cdot (2m+1 + \sigma - n)$ vertices. For each color $i \in [n]$, there are $2m+1 + \sigma - n$ vertices of color i in G_P , and there are no vertices of color $n+1$. We denote by V_P the set of vertices in G_P .

Finally, we connect the gadgets with the path and terminals by adding an edge between s and one endpoint of G_P , an edge between the other endpoint of G_P and s_1 , an edge between t_j and s_{j+1} for all $j \in [m]$, and an edge between t_m and t .

Observe that G is a bipartite cactus graph as the only cycles in G are the different set gadgets. These are cycles of even length that do not share vertices with any other cycles. We show that the bandwidth of our constructed graph G is at most 2 by constructing an injective function $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and proving $\max_{\{u,v\} \in E} |\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)| \leq 2$. We start by setting $\varphi(s) = 1$ and assigning consecutive numbers to vertices adjacent in the path induced by $\{s\} \cup V_P \cup \{s_1\}$. Suppose that we have assigned a number to s_j for some $j \in [m]$. Then, we set $\varphi(a_q^j) := \varphi(s_j) + 2q - 1$ and $\varphi(b_q^j) := \varphi(s_j) + 2q$ for each $q \in [p_j]$. Next, we set $\varphi(t_j) := \varphi(b_{p_j}^j) + 1$ and if $j < m$, then we set $\varphi(s_{j+1}) = \varphi(t_j) + 1$. Lastly, we assign $\varphi(t) = \varphi(t_m) + 1$. By construction, all edges are between two vertices in a set gadget or their two endpoints are assigned consecutive numbers. Note that the endpoints of each edge within a set gadget G_j are assigned numbers with a difference of at most two (exactly two for all edges except for $\{s_j, a_1^j\}$ and $\{b_{p_j}^j, t_j\}$).

We next show that there is an exact cover in I if and only if G contains a balance-fair shortest s - t -path. To this end, we first make some observations on the properties of any s - t -path P in G . Each such path contains all vertices in

$$C := \{s, t\} \cup V_P \cup \bigcup_{S_j \in \mathcal{F}} \{\{s_j, t_j\}\}.$$

Note that $2m + 2$ of them are colored with color $n + 1$ and $2m + 1 + \sigma - n$ of them are colored with color i for each $i \in [n]$. For each $j \in [m]$, the path P contains either the vertices in A_j or the vertices in B_j . Let A_P be the set of vertices in P contained in A_j for some $j \in [m]$ and let B_P be the set of vertices in P contained in B_j for some $j \in [m]$. Then, $|A_P| + |B_P| = \sigma$ and P contains overall $2m + 2 + |B_P|$ vertices of color $n + 1$.

Suppose that there is an exact cover \mathcal{F}' in I . Let $A := \bigcup_{S_j \in \mathcal{F}'} A_j$ and let $B := \bigcup_{S_j \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}'} B_j$. We show that $P := G[A \cup B \cup C]$ induces a balance-fair shortest s - t -path in G . Since \mathcal{F}' is an exact cover, it holds that $|A| = n$ and A contains exactly one vertex of each color $i \in [n]$. Hence, the number of vertices of each color in P is exactly $2m + 2 + \sigma - n$. Since the number of vertices of color $n + 1$ in P is $2m + 2 + |B| = 2m + 2 + \sigma - n$, it follows that P is balance-fair.

For the converse, suppose that P is a balance-fair shortest s - t -path in G . Again, let A_P be the set of vertices in P that are contained in A_j for some $j \in [m]$ and let B_P be the set of vertices in P that are contained in B_j for some $j \in [m]$. We claim that A_P contains exactly one vertex of each color $i \in [n]$. Assume towards a contradiction that this is not the case. Then $|A_P| < n$, $|A_P| > n$, or $|A_P| = n$ and at least one color appears at least twice in A_P . In the first case, there exists a color $i \in [n]$ that appears less than $2m + 2 + \sigma - n$ times in P . Recall that $|A_P| + |B_P| = \sigma$ and therefore $|B_P| > \sigma - n$. Hence, the color $n + 1$ appears more than $2m + 2 + \sigma - n$ times, a contradiction to P being balance-fair. In the second case, there exists a color $i \in [n]$ that appears more than $2m + 2 + \sigma - n$ times in P . Since $|B_P| < \sigma - n$, the color $n + 1$ appears less than $2m + 2 + \sigma - n$ times, a contradiction to P being balance-fair. In the third and final case, there exists a color $i \in [n]$ that appears at least twice in A_P . Then, there exists by the pigeonhole principle another color $j \in [n]$ not appearing in A_P and the color i therefore appears at least two more times in P than color j , a contradiction to P being balance-fair. Consequently, $\mathcal{F}' := \{S_i \in \mathcal{F} \mid A_i \subseteq A_P\}$ is an exact cover in I . \square

Since cactus graphs are outerplanar, the above result also implies para-NP-hardness for the parameters genus and distance to outerplanar graphs.

6 Conclusion

Our study shows that injecting balance-fairness to the problem of finding shortest paths makes the problem computationally hard even when many (structural) graph parameters are small. This is somewhat surprising as the computation of balance-fair spanning trees remains polynomial time solvable [13, 14]. A question that arises is how the tractability of other problems change when adding this natural fairness constraint.

In this work, we only focus on one fairness notion. However, most of our results also hold for slight variations of balance-fairness, i. e., proportionality, giving appearance lower and upper bounds for each color. Another fairness concept that could be studied with respect to paths is the margin of victory fairness that measures the difference between the most and second-most appearing color [12].

References

- [1] Saman Ahmadi, Guido Tack, Daniel Harabor, and Philip Kilby. “A Fast Exact Algorithm for the Resource Constrained Shortest Path Problem”. In: *Proceedings of the 35th Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI ’21)*. AAAI Press, 2021, pp. 12217–12224 (cit. on p. 2).
- [2] Sara Ahmadian, Alessandro Epasto, Marina Knittel, Ravi Kumar, Mohammad Mahdian, Benjamin Moseley, Philip Pham, Sergei Vassilvitskii, and Yuyan Wang. “Fair Hierarchical Clustering”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Conference on Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS ’20)*. 2020, pp. 21050–21060 (cit. on p. 2).
- [3] Sara Ahmadian, Alessandro Epasto, Ravi Kumar, and Mohammad Mahdian. “Fair Correlation Clustering”. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS ’20)*. PMLR, 2020, pp. 4195–4205 (cit. on p. 2).
- [4] Meysam Alishahi and Frédéric Meunier. “Fair Splitting of Colored Paths”. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 24.3 (2017), P3.41. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).
- [5] Josh Alman and Virginia Vassilevska Williams. “A Refined Laser Method and Faster Matrix Multiplication”. In: *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA ’21)*. SIAM, 2021, pp. 522–539. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 5).
- [6] Noga Alon, Raphael Yuster, and Uri Zwick. “Color-Coding”. *Journal of the ACM* 42.4 (1995), pp. 844–856. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 1).
- [7] Sayan Bandyapadhyay, Aritra Banik, and Sujoy Bhore. “On Fair Covering and Hitting Problems”. In: *Proceedings of the 47th International Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science (WG ’21)*. Springer, 2021, pp. 39–51. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).
- [8] Julien Baste, Michael R. Fellows, Lars Jaffke, Tomáš Masarík, Mateus de Oliveira Oliveira, Geevarghese Philip, and Frances A. Rosamond. “Diversity of solutions: An exploration through the lens of fixed-parameter tractability theory”. *Artificial Intelligence* 303 (2022), p. 103644. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).

- [9] Matthias Bentert, Leon Kellerhals, and Rolf Niedermeier. “Fair Short Paths in Vertex-Colored Graphs”. In: *Proceedings of the 37th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI ’23)*. AAAI Press, 2023, pp. 12346–12354 (cit. on pp. 2, 3, 7).
- [10] Hans L. Bodlaender, Bart M. P. Jansen, and Stefan Kratsch. “Kernelization Lower Bounds by Cross-Composition”. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 28.1 (2014), pp. 277–305. [↗](#) (cit. on pp. 5, 6).
- [11] Hans L. Bodlaender, Stéphan Thomassé, and Anders Yeo. “Kernel bounds for disjoint cycles and disjoint paths”. *Theoretical Computer Science* 412.35 (2011), pp. 4570–4578. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 6).
- [12] Niclas Boehmer and Tomohiro Koana. “The Complexity of Finding Fair Many-to-One Matchings”. In: *Proceedings of the 49th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP ’22)*. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2022. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 18).
- [13] Carl Brezovec, Gérard Cornuéjols, and Fred Glover. “A Matroid Algorithm and its Application to the Efficient Solution of Two Optimization Problems on Graphs”. *Mathematical Programming* 42 (1988), pp. 471–487. [↗](#) (cit. on pp. 2, 18).
- [14] Flavio Chierichetti, Ravi Kumar, Silvio Lattanzi, and Sergei Vassilvitskii. “Matroids, Matchings, and Fairness”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS ’19)*. PMLR, 2019, pp. 2212–2220 (cit. on pp. 2, 18).
- [15] Johanne Cohen, Giuseppe F. Italiano, Yannis Manoussakis, Nguyen Kim Thang, and Hong Phong Pham. “Tropical paths in vertex-colored graphs”. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization* 42.3 (2021), pp. 476–498. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 1).
- [16] Michael Dom, Daniel Lokshtanov, and Saket Saurabh. “Kernelization Lower Bounds Through Colors and IDs”. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms* 11.2 (2014), 13:1–13:20. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 12).
- [17] Riccardo Dondi and Mohammad Mehdi Hosseinzadeh. “Colorful path detection in vertex-colored temporal”. *Network Science* 11.4 (2023), pp. 615–631 (cit. on p. 1).
- [18] Yushun Dong, Jing Ma, Song Wang, Chen Chen, and Jundong Li. “Fairness in Graph Mining: A Survey”. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 35.10 (2023), pp. 10583–10602 (cit. on p. 2).
- [19] Rodney G. Downey and Michael R. Fellows. *Fundamentals of Parameterized Complexity*. Texts in Computer Science. Springer, 2013. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 5).
- [20] Fedor V. Fomin, Daniel Lokshtanov, Fahad Panolan, and Saket Saurabh. “Efficient Computation of Representative Families with Applications in Parameterized and Exact Algorithms”. *Journal of the ACM* 63.4 (2016), 29:1–29:60. [↗](#) (cit. on pp. 5, 9).
- [21] Bryce T. Ford, Rachit Aggarwal, Mrinal Kumar, Satyanarayana G. Manyam, David Casbeer, and David Grymin. “Backtracking Hybrid A* for Resource Constrained Path Planning”. In: *Proceedings of the AIAA Scitech 2022 Forum (AIAA ’22)*. 2022, p. 1592. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).

- [22] Zachary Friggstad and Ramin Mousavi. “Fair Correlation Clustering with Global and Local Guarantees”. In: *Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Algorithms and Data Structures (WADS '21)*. Springer, 2021, pp. 414–427. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).
- [23] Vincent Froese, Leon Kellerhals, and Rolf Niedermeier. “Modification-Fair Cluster Editing”. In: *Proceedings of the 36th Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI '22)*. AAAI Press, 2022, pp. 6631–6638 (cit. on p. 2).
- [24] Tesshu Hanaka, Yasuaki Kobayashi, Kazuhiro Kurita, See Woo Lee, and Yota Otachi. “Computing Diverse Shortest Paths Efficiently: A Theoretical and Experimental Study”. In: *Proceedings of the 36th Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI '22)*. AAAI Press, 2022, pp. 3758–3766 (cit. on p. 2).
- [25] Gabriel Y. Handler and Israel Zang. “A dual algorithm for the constrained shortest path problem”. *Networks* 10.4 (1980), pp. 293–309. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).
- [26] Stefan Irnich and Guy Desaulniers. “Shortest Path Problems with Resource Constraints”. In: *Column Generation*. Springer US, 2005, pp. 33–65 (cit. on p. 2).
- [27] Yoichi Iwata and Yusuke Kobayashi. “Improved analysis of highest-degree branching for feedback vertex set”. *Algorithmica* 83.8 (2021), pp. 2503–2520. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 7).
- [28] Jian Kang and Hanghang Tong. “Fair Graph Mining”. In: *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM '21)*. ACM, 2021, pp. 4849–4852. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).
- [29] Richard M. Karp. “Reducibility Among Combinatorial Problems”. In: *Complexity of Computer Computations*. 1972, pp. 85–103 (cit. on pp. 13, 16).
- [30] Moein Khajehnejad, Ahmad Asgharian Rezaei, Mahmoudreza Babaei, Jessica Hoffmann, Mahdi Jalili, and Adrian Weller. “Adversarial Graph Embeddings for Fair Influence Maximization over Social Networks”. In: *Proceedings of the 29th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI '20)*. ijcai.org, 2020, pp. 4306–4312. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).
- [31] Michael Lampis. “Algorithmic Meta-theorems for Restrictions of Treewidth”. *Algorithmica* 64.1 (2012), pp. 19–37. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 9).
- [32] Dániel Marx. “A parameterized view on matroid optimization problems”. *Theoretical Computer Science* 410.44 (2009), pp. 4471–4479 (cit. on p. 10).
- [33] Thierry Petit and Andrew C. Trapp. “Enriching Solutions to Combinatorial Problems via Solution Engineering”. *Informatics Journal on Computing* 31.3 (2019), pp. 429–444. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).
- [34] Luigi Di Puglia Pugliese and Francesca Guerriero. “A survey of resource constrained shortest path problems: Exact solution approaches”. *Networks* 62.3 (2013), pp. 183–200. [↗](#) (cit. on p. 2).
- [35] Johannes Schröder. “Comparing Graph Parameters”. Bachelor thesis. TU Berlin, 2019 (cit. on p. 3).