Letter frequency vs factor frequency in pure morphic words

Shuo Li

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, The University of Winnipeg, Winnipeg, Canada.

Abstract. We prove that, for any pure morphic word w, if the frequencies of all letters in w exist, then the frequencies of all factors in w exist as well. This result answers a question of Saari in his doctoral thesis.

1 Introduction

Let A be a finite alphabet and let $w = w[1]w[2]w[3]\cdots$ be an infinite word over A. Let v be a factor of w of length k, the *(ordinary) frequency* of v in w is defined as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\#\{i | w[i]w[i+1] \cdots w[i+k-1] = v, 1 \le i \le n\}}{n},$$

if the limit exists. For the factors such that k=1, the previous frequency is called the *(ordinary) frequency of letters*. The frequency of letters in morphic words has been studied since the early 1970's. It was proved by Cobham in 1972 [3] that the frequency of a letter in an automatic word, if it exists, is rational. Michel [4,5] proved that the frequencies of all letters exist in primitive morphic words. Peter [6] gave a sufficient and necessary condition for the existence of the frequency of a letter in an automatic word. Saari proved in [7] that the frequencies of both letters exist in any pure morphic binary word and gave a sufficient and necessary condition for the existence of the frequency of a letter in a morphic sequence over an arbitrary alphabet [8]. For any infinite word w and any factor v of length k of w, one can also define the logarithmic frequency of v in w as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{\log(n)}\sum_{\{i\mid w[i]w[i+1]\cdots w[i+k-1]=v,1\leq i\leq n\}}\frac{1}{i},$$

if this limit exists. It is proved in [1, Proposition 8.4.4] that if the frequency of a letter a in w exists, then the logarithmic frequency of a in w exists as well, and these two frequencies are equal. Allouche and Shallit asked in [1, Section 8.8] if the logarithmic frequency of any letter in a morphic word must exist and Bell gave a positive answer to this question in [2] and generalized it to all factors. Concerning the relation between the frequency of letters and the frequency of factors, Saari proved in his doctoral thesis [9, Proposition 3.1] that, for an arbitrary morphic word w, the existence of the frequencies of all letters in w cannot imply the existence of the frequencies of all factors in w and asked if it

is true for pure morphic words [9, Problem 3.1]. We establish a positive answer to this question.

Theorem 1 For any pure morphic word w, if the frequencies of all letters in w exist, then the frequencies of all factors in w exist as well.

2 Definitions and notation

Let A be a finite set. It will be called an alphabet and its elements will be called letters. Let A^* denote the free monoid generated by A under concatenations having neutral element the empty word ε . The elements in A^* are called the finite words with letters in A. For any finite word $w = w[1]w[2]w[3]\cdots w[n] \in A^*$, the length of w is the integer |w| = n. Let $A^{\mathbf{N}}$ be the set of infinite concatenations of elements in A. The elements in $A^{\mathbf{N}}$ are called the infinite words with letters in A. For any infinite word w, the length of w, which is also denoted by |w|, is infinite. Let $A^{\infty} = A^* \cup A^{\mathbf{N}}$. For any $w \in A^{\infty}$ and $v \in A^*$, let $|w|_v$ denote the number of occurrences of v in w.

Let $w=w[1]w[2]w[3]\cdots$ be an element in A^{∞} and let $v\in A^*$. We say v a prefix of w if there exists an integer t such that $1\leq t\leq |w|$ and $v=w[1]w[2]w[3]\cdots w[t]$ and we say v a factor of w if there exists a pair of integers t,r such that $1\leq t\leq r\leq |w|$ and $v=w[t]w[t+1]w[t+2]\cdots w[r]$. A prefix v of w is called proper if |v|<|w|. For any pair of integers t,r such that $0\leq t\leq r\leq |w|$, let $w[t,r]=w[t]w[t+1]w[t+2]\cdots w[r]$.

Let A and B be two alphabets. A $morphism\ \phi$ is a map $A^* \to B^*$ satisfying $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for any pair of elements x,y in A^* . The morphism ϕ is called k-uniform for some positive integer k if for all elements $a \in A$, $|\phi(a)| = k$, and it is called non-uniform otherwise. A morphism ϕ is called a coding function if it is 1-uniform, and it is called non-erasing if $\phi(a) \neq \varepsilon$ for all $a \in A$. For any positive integer k, by ϕ^k , we mean the k-fold composition of the morphism ϕ . Let $u \in A^*$ and $v \in B^*$, if $\phi(u) = v$, then v is called the image of u and u is the pre-image of v under ϕ . We write $u = \phi^{-1}(v)$. A morphism $\phi: A^* \to A^*$ is called primitive if there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that for all $a, b \in A$, a occurs in $\phi^n(b)$.

Let A be an alphabet and let $\phi: A^* \to A^*$ be a morphism. A letter $a \in A$ is called *bounded* if $|\phi^k(a)|$ is upper bounded by some constant C for all positive integers k and it is called *unbounded* otherwise.

Let A be a finite alphabet, and let w be an infinite word over A. w is called *morphic* if there exists an alphabet B, a letter $b \in B$, a nonempty word $v \in B^*$, a non-erasing morphism $\phi: B^* \to B^*$, and a coding function $\psi: B \to A$, such that $\phi(b) = bv$ and

$$w = \lim_{i \to \infty} \psi(\phi^i(b)).$$

Moreover, for any positive integer $k \geq 2$, the word w is called k-automatic if ϕ is k-uniform, it is called automatic if ϕ is k-uniform for some integer $k \geq 2$, and it is called non-automatic if ϕ is not k-uniform for any integer $k \geq 2$. The word

w is called *pure morphic* if A = B and $\psi = Id$ and it is called *primitive* if ϕ is primitive. Remark that if w is pure morphic, then $w = \phi(w)$.

3 Proof of the main theorem

Let A be an alphabet, $\phi: A^* \to A^*$ be a non-erasing morphism and w be a pure morphic word such that $w = \phi(w)$. Let $A_B = \{a | a \in A, \ a \text{ is bounded}\}$ and let $A_U = \{a | \ a \in A, \ a \text{ is unbounded}\}$. Obviously, $A_B \cup A_U = A$ and $A_B \cap A_U = \emptyset$. If all letters in A have a frequency, then for any $a \in A$, let $\alpha_a = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|w[1,n]|_a}{n}$ and let $\alpha = \sum_{a \in A_B} \alpha_a$. Let k_1 be an integer such that $|\phi^n(a)| < k_1$ for all $a \in A_B$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

The idea of the proof is that, for any pure morphic word w, any factor v of w and any prefix w[1,m] of w with m large enough, supposing that there exists the word $w[1,m'']=\phi^{-k}(w[1,m])$ for some positive integer k, then one can prove that, when k is large enough, $|w[1,m]|_v$ can be approximated by the summation of $|\phi^k(w[i])|_v$ for all unbounded letters w[i], $1 \le i \le m''$. Thus, the frequency of v can be estimated in terms of α_a , $|\phi^k(a)|$ and $|\phi^k(a)|_v$, for all $a \in A_U$.

The strategy of the proof is as follow: supposing that there exists some factor v of w such that $\limsup \frac{|w[1,n]|_v}{n} - \liminf \frac{|w[1,n]|_v}{n} = \Delta > 0$, then one can find a real number C, independent from Δ , such that $\left|\frac{|w[1,n]|_v}{n} - C\right| < \frac{1}{3}\Delta$ when n is large, which leads to a contradiction.

Proof (of Theorem 1). Let w be a pure morphic word satisfying the hypothesis in Theorem 1 and suppose that there exists a factor v of w of length L such that $\limsup \frac{|w[1,n]|_v}{n} - \liminf \frac{|w[1,n]|_v}{n} = \Delta > 0$. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $a \in A_U$

$$|\phi^M(a)| \ge \max \left\{ \frac{6(L + \alpha k_1)}{(1 - \alpha)\Delta}, \frac{k_1 \alpha (6 - \Delta)}{(1 - \alpha)\Delta} \right\}.$$

Let k_2 be an integer such that $|\phi^M(a)| < k_2$ for all $a \in A$.

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let m' be the largest integer smaller than or equal to m such that w[1,m'] is the image of a prefix of w under ϕ^M . Thus, there exists $m'' \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that

$$w[1, m'] = \phi^{M}(w[1, m'']). \tag{1}$$

From the definition of m', if w[m'+1,m] is not empty, then it is a proper prefix of $\phi^M(a)$ for some $a \in A$. Thus,

$$m' < m < m' + k_2, \tag{2}$$

$$|w[1, m']|_{v} < |w[1, m]|_{v} < |w[1, m']|_{v} + k_{2}.$$
(3)

One can estimate m' as well as $|w[1, m']|_v$ in terms of m''.

$$m' = \sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)| \cdot |w[1, m'']|_a + \epsilon_1, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\epsilon_1 = \sum_{a \in A_B} |\phi^M(a)| \cdot |w[1, m'']|_a. \tag{5}$$

Since $|\phi^M(a)| < k_1$ for all $a \in A_B$ and $\sum_{a \in A_B} |w[1, m'']|_a = \alpha m'' + o(m'')$, one has

$$0 \le \epsilon_1 \le k_1 m'' \alpha + o(m''). \tag{6}$$

Similarly,

$$|w[1,m']|_v = |\phi^M(w[1,m''])|_v = \sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)|_v \cdot |w[1,m'']|_a + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\epsilon_2 = \sum_{a \in A_B} |\phi^M(a)|_v \cdot |w[1, m'']|_a. \tag{8}$$

and ϵ_3 is the number of occurrences of v which begin in a factor $\phi^M(w[t])$ for some t but do not end in the same factor. For any bounded letter a, $|\phi^M(a)|_v \leq |\phi^M(a)| < k_1$. Thus,

$$0 \le \epsilon_2 \le k_1 m'' \alpha + o(m''). \tag{9}$$

For any integer $i, 1 \leq i \leq m''$, the number of occurrences of v which begin in $\phi^M(w[i])$ but do not end in the same factor is upper-bounded by the length of v, which is L. Thus,

$$0 \le \epsilon_3 \le Lm'',\tag{10}$$

One can estimate $\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m}$ in terms of m'' using Equations 4,7.

$$\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m} = \frac{\sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)|_v \cdot |w[1,m'']|_a + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4}{\sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)| \cdot |w[1,m'']|_a + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_5},$$
(11)

where $\epsilon_4 = |w[1, m]|_v - |w[1, m']|_v$ and $\epsilon_5 = m - m'$. From Equations 2, 3,

$$0 \le \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5 < k_2 = o(m''). \tag{12}$$

To simply the notation, let

$$S_1(m) = \sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)|_v \cdot |w[1, m'']|_a, \ S_2(m) = \sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)| \cdot |w[1, m'']|_a.$$

Since

$$\frac{S_1(m)}{S_2(m)} = \frac{\sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)|_v \cdot \frac{|w[1, m'']|_a}{m''}}{\sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)| \cdot \frac{|w[1, m'']|_a}{m''}}$$
(13)

and $\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{|w[1,m'']|_a}{m''} = \alpha_a$ for all $a\in A_U$, one has

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{S_1(m)}{S_2(m)} = \frac{\sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)|_v \alpha_a}{\sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)| \alpha_a} < 1.$$
 (14)

Let
$$C_{v,M} = \frac{\sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)|_v \alpha_a}{\sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)| \alpha_a}$$
.

To upper bound $\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m}$, take $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_5 = 0$, $\epsilon_2 = k_1 m'' \alpha + o(m'')$, $\epsilon_3 = Lm''$ and $\epsilon_4 = o(m'')$ using Equations 6, 8, 10, 12. One has

$$\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m} = \frac{S_1(m) + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4}{S_2(m) + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_5} \le \frac{S_1(m)}{S_2(m)} + \frac{(k_1\alpha + L)m'' + o(m'')}{S_2(m)}.$$
 (15)

Since $|\phi^M(a)| \ge \frac{6(L+\alpha k_1)}{(1-\alpha)\Delta}$ for all $a \in A_U$,

$$\frac{(k_1\alpha + L)m'' + o(m'')}{S_2(m)} = \frac{(k_1\alpha + L)m'' + o(m'')}{\sum_{a \in A_U} |\phi^M(a)| \cdot |w[1, m'']|_a}
\leq \frac{(k_1\alpha + L)m'' + o(m'')}{\frac{6(L + \alpha k_1)}{(1 - \alpha)\Delta}((1 - \alpha)m'' + o(m''))}
\leq \frac{\Delta}{6} + o(1).$$
(16)

Thus, combining Equations 15, 16,

$$\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m} \le \frac{S_1(m)}{S_2(m)} + \frac{\Delta}{6} + o(1). \tag{17}$$

From Equation 14, There exists an integer N_1 such that for all $m \geq N_1$,

$$\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m} < C_{v,M} + \frac{\Delta}{6} + \frac{\Delta}{6} = C_{v,M} + \frac{\Delta}{3}.$$
 (18)

To lower bound $\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m}$, take $\epsilon_1 = k_1 m'' \alpha + o(m'')$, $\epsilon_5 = o(m'')$ and $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4 = 0$ using Equations 6, 8, 10, 12. One has

$$\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m} = \frac{S_1(m) + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4}{S_2(m) + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_5} \ge \frac{S_1(m)}{S_2(m) + k_1 m'' \alpha + o(m'')}$$
(19)

Since $|\phi^M(a)| \ge \frac{k_1 \alpha (6-\Delta)}{(1-\alpha)\Delta}$ for all $a \in A_U$,

$$\frac{|w[1,m]|_{v}}{m} \ge \frac{S_{1}(m)}{S_{2}(m) + k_{1}m''\alpha + o(m'')}$$

$$\ge \frac{S_{1}(m)}{S_{2}(m)} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{k_{1}m''\alpha + o(m'')}{S_{2}(m)}}$$

$$\ge \frac{S_{1}(m)}{S_{2}(m)} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{k_{1}m''\alpha + o(m'')}{\frac{k_{1}m''\alpha + o(m'')}{(1-\alpha)\Delta}((1-\alpha)m'' + o(m''))}}$$

$$\ge \frac{S_{1}(m)}{S_{2}(m)} (1 - \frac{\Delta}{6}) + o(1)$$

$$\ge \frac{S_{1}(m)}{S_{2}(m)} - \frac{\Delta}{6} + o(1).$$
(20)

The last inequality is from the fact that $\frac{S_1(m)}{S_2(m)} < 1$ for all m. From Equation 14, there exists an integer N_2 such that for all $m \ge N_2$,

$$\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m} > C_{v,M} - \frac{\Delta}{6} - \frac{\Delta}{6} = C_{v,M} - \frac{\Delta}{3}.$$
 (21)

In conclusion, let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, then for any $m \ge N$, $\left|\frac{|w[1,m]|_v}{m} - C_{v,M}\right| < \frac{\Delta}{3}$ which is a contradiction to the hypothesis that $\limsup \frac{|w[1,n]|_v}{n} - \liminf \frac{|w[1,n]|_v}{n} = \Delta > 0$. Thus, all factors in w have a frequency.

Corollary 2 Let w be a pure morphic word over the alphabet A such that each element in A has a frequency in w. If ϕ is the morphism such that $\phi(w) = w$, then for any $v \in A^*$, with the same notation as above,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{|w[1, m]|_v}{m} = \lim_{M \to \infty} C_{v, M}.$$
 (22)

Proof. Once it is proved that each factor v of w has a frequency, the argument in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that, for any $\Delta > 0$, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for all $m \geq M$, there exists $N_m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for all $n \geq N_m$,

$$\left| C_{v,m} - \frac{|w[1,n]|_v}{n} \right| < \frac{\Delta}{3}. \tag{23}$$

See Equations 21, 18. On the other hand, letting α_v be the frequency of v in w, there exists $N'_m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for all $n \geq N'_m$,

$$\left|\alpha_v - \frac{|w[1,n]|_v}{n}\right| < \frac{\Delta}{3}.\tag{24}$$

Thus, for n large,

$$|\alpha_v - C_{v,m}| < \frac{2\Delta}{3}. (25)$$

However, there is no term in Equation 25 involving the variable n, thus, Equation 25 holds independently from the choice of n. Consequently,

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} C_{v,M} = \alpha_v. \tag{26}$$

Remark 3 In the proof of Theorem 1, the existence of the frequency of the factor v is not established in a direct way. The reason is that once Equation 23 is established, it is not trivial to prove that the sequence $(C_{v,m})_{m\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ converges. However, when it is proved that the frequency of v exists, then the limit of the above sequence exists as well.

4 Conclusion

The author concludes this note by asking the following question:

Question 4 For any pure morphic word w and any factor v of w, is it possible to prove the existence of the frequency of v and directly compute the value using the incidence matrix of w?

References

- 1. Allouche, J.-P., Shallit, J.: Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations. Cambridge University Press (2003)
- 2. Bell, J.P.: Logarithmic frequency in morphic sequences. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 20(2), 227-241 (2008)
- 3. Cobham, A.: Uniform tag sequences. Mathematical Systems Theory 6, 164–192 (1972)
- 4. Michel, P.: Sur les ensembles minimaux engendrés par les substitutions de longueur non constante. Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Renne (1975)
- 5. Michel, P.: Stricte ergodicité d'ensembles minimaux de substitution. In: Conze, J.P., Keane, M.S. (eds.) Théorie Ergodique: : Actes des Journées Ergodiques, Rennes 1973/1974. pp. 189–201. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg (1976)
- 6. Peter, M.: The asymptotic distribution of elements in automatic sequences. Theoretical Computer Science 301(1), 285–312 (2003)
- Saari, K.: On the frequency of letters in pure binary morphic sequences. In: De Felice, C., Restivo, A. (eds.) Developments in Language Theory. pp. 397–408. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg (2005)
- 8. Saari, K.: On the frequency of letters in morphic sequences. In: Grigoriev, D., Harrison, J., Hirsch, E.A. (eds.) Computer Science Theory and Applications. pp. 334–345. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg (2006)
- 9. Saari, K.: On the frequency and periodicity of infinite words. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Turku (2008)