COMPATIBLE ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS AND SOME INVARIANTS

ERIK MAINELLIS¹, AHMED ZAHARI ^{2*}, BOUZID MOSBAHI ^{3*}

ABSTRACT. A compatible associative algebra is a vector space equipped with two associative multiplication structures that interact in a certain natural way. This article presents the classification of these algebras with dimension less than four, as well as the classifications of their corresponding derivations, centroids, automorphisms, and quasi-centroids. We then characterize a selection of further invariants such as Rota-Baxter operators and second cohomology for some specific examples.

1. Introduction

The classification of algebraic structures and their invariants is an important and ongoing research area in mathematics and physics (see, for example, [11, 12, 14, 15]). The classical settings include associative, Lie, and Jordan algebras. In particular, an associative algebra (\mathbb{A}, λ) consists of a vector space \mathbb{A} equipped with a bilinear map $\lambda : \mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$, denoted $\lambda(u \otimes v) = u \star v$, that satisfies the associativity condition

$$(u \star v) \star w = u \star (v \star w)$$

for all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{A}$. In [4], the authors classify associative algebras over the complex field with dimension less than five. On a related note, invariant structures such as derivations and centroids have been studied for various classes of algebras in numerous works [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 13]. The algebra of derivations, for example, is useful in algebraic and geometric classification problems. In another direction, there has been recent interest in the second cohomology of associative algebras with additional structure [8, 9, 10]. Other invariants include special types of linear maps from an algebra to itself, such as Rota-Baxter operators or Nijenhuis operators.

In the present paper, we are concerned with *compatible* associative algebras, which are characterized by a pair of associative-algebraic structures over a common vector space that interact in a nice way. Specifically, a compatible associative algebra is a triple $(\mathbb{A}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ for which (\mathbb{A}, λ_1) and (\mathbb{A}, λ_2) are both associative algebras that satisfy the compatibility axiom

$$\lambda_2 \circ (\lambda_1 \otimes \mathrm{id}) + \lambda_1 \circ (\lambda_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}) = \lambda_1 \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \lambda_2) + \lambda_2 \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \lambda_1)$$

where id denotes the identity map on A. Letting \star_1 and \star_2 denote the multiplications λ_1 and λ_2 respectively, the axiom becomes

$$(u \star_1 v) \star_2 w + (u \star_2 v) \star_1 w = u \star_1 (v \star_2 w) + u \star_2 (v \star_1 w)$$

for $u, v, w \in \mathbb{A}$. We note that this identity is symmetric with respect to \star_1 and \star_2 .

The paper is structured as follows. We first classify compatible associative algebras of dimension less than four. We then classify derivations, centroids, automorphisms, and quasi-centroids for all algebras in these dimensions. For a selection of cases, we classify further invariants such as Rota-Baxter operators, Nijenhuis operators, averaging operators, Reynolds operators, quasi-derivations, generalized derivations, and second cohomology. The computations for our classifications were done using Maple and Mathematica. Throughout, we work over the complex field.

Date: May 29, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 16E40, 16W20, 16W25, 16W99.

Key words and phrases. Compatible, automorphism, derivation, centroid, Rota-baxter, cohomology.

2. Compatible Associative Algebras

Consider a pair of compatible associative algebras $\mathbb{A} = (\mathbb{A}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $\mathbb{A}' = (\mathbb{A}', \lambda'_1, \lambda'_2)$. A linear transformation $\psi : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}'$ is called a homomorphism if $\psi \circ \lambda_i = \lambda'_i \circ (\psi \otimes \psi)$ for i = 1, 2. A homomorphism is an isomorphism if it is bijective, and two compatible associative algebras are called isomorphic if there is an isomorphism between them. We now recall the classification of complex associative algebras of dimensions 2 and 3 (obtained in [4]). We use these to classify the complex compatible associative algebras in the same dimensions, listed as pairs of associative algebras.

Theorem 2.1. Any 2-dimensional complex associative algebra can be included in one of the following isomorphism classes of algebras:

 $\begin{array}{rll} \mathbb{A}_{2}^{1}: & e_{1}e_{1}=e_{2} \\ \mathbb{A}_{2}^{2}: & e_{1}e_{1}=e_{1}, e_{1}e_{2}=e_{2} \\ \mathbb{A}_{2}^{3}: & e_{1}e_{1}=e_{1}, e_{2}e_{1}=e_{2} \\ \mathbb{A}_{2}^{4}: & e_{1}e_{1}=e_{1}, e_{1}e_{2}=e_{2}, e_{2}e_{1}=e_{2} \end{array}$

Here, $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is a basis for the underlying vector space.

Theorem 2.2. Any 3-dimensional complex associative algebra can be included in one of the following isomorphism classes of algebras:

 \mathbb{A}^1_3 : \mathbb{A}^2_3 : $e_1e_3 = e_2, e_3e_1 = e_2$ $e_1e_3 = e_2, e_3e_1 = \alpha e_2, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ $\mathbb{A}_{3}^{3}:$ $e_1e_1 = e_2, e_1e_2 = e_3, e_2e_1 = e_3$ $\mathbb{A}_3^{\check{4}}$: $e_1e_3 = e_2, e_2e_3 = e_2, e_3e_3 = e_3$ $\mathbb{A}_3^5: \quad e_2e_3 = e_2, e_3e_1 = e_1, e_3e_3 = e_3$ $\mathbb{A}_3^{\tilde{6}}$: $e_3e_1 = e_2, e_3e_2 = e_2, e_3e_3 = e_3$ $\mathbb{A}_{3}^{7}:$ $e_1e_2 = e_1, e_2e_2 = e_2, e_3e_1 = e_1, e_3e_3 = e_3$ $\mathbb{A}_{3}^{8}: \quad e_{1}e_{3} = e_{1}, e_{2}e_{3} = e_{2}, e_{3}e_{1} = e_{1}, e_{3}e_{3} = e_{3}$ \mathbb{A}_{3}^{9} : $e_2e_3 = e_2, e_3e_1 = e_1, e_3e_2 = e_2, e_3e_3 = e_3$ $\mathbb{A}_{3}^{10}: e_{1}e_{3} = e_{1}, e_{2}e_{3} = e_{2}, e_{3}e_{1} = e_{1}, e_{3}e_{2} = e_{2}, e_{3}e_{3} = e_{3}$ $\mathbb{A}_{3}^{11}: \quad e_{1}e_{3}=e_{2}, e_{2}e_{3}=e_{2}, e_{3}e_{1}=e_{2}, e_{3}e_{2}=e_{2}, e_{3}e_{3}=e_{3}$ \mathbb{A}_{3}^{12} : $e_1e_1 = e_2, e_1e_3 = e_1, e_2e_3 = e_2, e_3e_1 = e_1, e_3e_2 = e_2, e_3e_3 = e_3$

Here, $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a basis for the underlying vector space.

Proposition 2.3. The 2-dimensional complex compatible associative algebras are given by the pairs

1. $(\mathbb{A}_2^2, \mathbb{A}_2^3),$

2. $(\mathbb{A}_2^2, \mathbb{A}_2^4)$.

Proposition 2.4. The 3-dimensional complex compatible associative algebras are given by the pairs

1.	$(\mathbb{A}_3^1,\mathbb{A}_3^3),$	6. $(\mathbb{A}_3^3, \mathbb{A}_3^{11}),$	11. $(\mathbb{A}_3^5, \mathbb{A}_3^9)$,	16. $(\mathbb{A}_3^6, \mathbb{A}_3^8),$	21. $(\mathbb{A}_3^7, \mathbb{A}_3^{10}),$	26. $(\mathbb{A}_3^9, \mathbb{A}_3^{10}),$
2.	$(\mathbb{A}_3^1,\mathbb{A}_3^{10}),$	$7. (\mathbb{A}_3^4, \mathbb{A}_3^8),$	12. $(\mathbb{A}_3^5, \mathbb{A}_3^{10}),$	17. $(\mathbb{A}_{3}^{6}, \mathbb{A}_{3}^{9}),$	22. $(\mathbb{A}_3^7, \mathbb{A}_3^{12}),$	27. $(\mathbb{A}_3^9, \mathbb{A}_3^{12}),$
3.	$(\mathbb{A}_3^1,\mathbb{A}_3^{11}),$	8. $(\mathbb{A}_3^4, \mathbb{A}_3^{12}),$	13. $(\mathbb{A}_3^5, \mathbb{A}_3^{11}),$	18. $(\mathbb{A}_3^6, \mathbb{A}_3^{10}),$	23. $(\mathbb{A}_3^8, \mathbb{A}_3^9),$	28. $(\mathbb{A}_3^{10}, \mathbb{A}_3^{11}),$
4.	$(\mathbb{A}_3^2,\mathbb{A}_3^4),$	9. $(\mathbb{A}_3^5, \mathbb{A}_3^7),$	14. $(\mathbb{A}_3^5, \mathbb{A}_3^{12}),$	19. $(\mathbb{A}_3^6, \mathbb{A}_3^{12}),$	24. $(\mathbb{A}_3^8, \mathbb{A}_3^{10}),$	29. $(\mathbb{A}_3^{10}, \mathbb{A}_3^{12}),$
5.	$(\mathbb{A}_3^2,\mathbb{A}_3^5),$	10. $(\mathbb{A}_3^5, \mathbb{A}_3^8),$	15. $(\mathbb{A}_3^6, \mathbb{A}_3^7),$	20. $(\mathbb{A}_3^7, \mathbb{A}_3^9),$	25. $(\mathbb{A}_3^8, \mathbb{A}_3^{12}),$	<i>30.</i> $(\mathbb{A}_3^{11}, \mathbb{A}_3^{12}).$

3. Some Invariants

The aim of this section is to classify a selection of invariants for compatible associative algebras that are analogous to well-known operators from other algebraic settings. Most of these take the form of linear operators that are characterized by certain identities. In particular, let $(\mathbb{A}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ be a compatible associative algebra with multiplications denoted by $\lambda_i(u \otimes v) = u \star_i v$ for i = 1, 2 and $u, v \in \mathbb{A}$. In the following definitions, we let i = 1, 2 and work with elements $u, v \in \mathbb{A}$.

- An automorphism θ on \mathbb{A} is an isomorphism $\theta : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$. The set of all automorphisms on \mathbb{A} forms a group with respect to the composition, denoted by Aut(\mathbb{A}).
- A derivation is a linear map $d : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ that satisfies $d(u *_i v) = d(u) *_i v + u *_i d(v)$.
- A linear map $d : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ is called a quasi-derivation if there exists another linear map $d' : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ such that

$$d'(u *_i v) = d(u) *_i v + u *_i d(v).$$

• A linear map $d : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ is called a generalized derivation if there exist linear maps $d', d'' : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ such that

$$d''(u \star_i v) = d(u) \star_i v + u \star_i d'(v).$$

- A centroid is a linear map $\beta : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ that satisfies $\beta(u \star_i v) = \beta(u) \star_i v = u \star_i \beta(v)$.
- A quasi-centroid is a linear map $\delta : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ that satisfies $\delta(u) \star_i v = u \star_i \delta(v)$.
- A Rota-Baxter operator is a linear map $R : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ that satisfies

$$R(u) \star_i R(v) = R(R(u) \star_i v + u \star_i R(v)).$$

• A Nijenhuis operator is a linear map $N : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ such that

$$N(u) \star_i N(v) = N(N(u) \star_i v) + u \star_i N(v) - N(u \star_i v)).$$

• An averaging operator is a linear map $\chi : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ such that

$$\chi(\chi(u) \star_i v) = \chi(u) \star_i \chi(v) = \chi(u \star_i \chi(v))).$$

• A Reynolds operator is a linear map $\xi : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ such that

$$\xi(\xi(u) \star_i v) = \xi(\xi(u) \star_i v + u \star_i \xi(v) - \xi(u) \star_i \xi(v)).$$

Our last invariant will be second cohomology, studied in [5]. To this end, let $(\mathbb{A}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $(\mathbb{A}', \lambda'_1, \lambda'_2)$ be compatible associative algebras with multiplications denoted by

$$\lambda_i(u \otimes v) = u \star_i v, \qquad \qquad \lambda_i'(u \otimes v) = u \star_i' v$$

for i = 1, 2. A pair (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) of bilinear maps $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}'$ is called a 2-cocycle if

$$\phi_2(u \star_1 v, w) + \phi_1(u \star_2 v, w) = \phi_1(u, v \star_2 w) + \phi_2(u, v \star_1 w)$$

for all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{A}$. Next, a 2-cocycle (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) is called a 2-coboundary if there exists a linear transformation $T : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}'$ such that

$$\phi_i(u,v) = -T(u \star_i v)$$

for i = 1, 2 and $u, v \in \mathbb{A}$. We let $\mathcal{Z}^2(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}')$ and $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}')$ denote 2-cocycles and 2-coboundaries respectively. The quotient group

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{A}') = \mathcal{Z}^2(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{A}')/\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{A},\mathbb{A}')$$

is called the second cohomology group of \mathbb{A} with coefficients in \mathbb{A}' .

We now describe the process for classifying these invariants. To begin, consider an automorphism θ on a finite-dimensional complex compatible associative algebra $\mathbb{A} = (\mathbb{A}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with multiplications denoted by $\lambda_i(u \otimes v) = u \star_i v$ for i = 1, 2 and $u, v \in \mathbb{A}$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{A} and denote the multiplications on this basis by

$$e_i \star_1 e_j = b_{ij}^1 e_1 + b_{ij}^2 e_2 + \dots + b_{ij}^n e_n, \qquad e_i \star_2 e_j = c_{ij}^1 e_1 + c_{ij}^2 c_2 + \dots + c_{ij}^n e_n$$

for structure constants $b_{ij}^k, c_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$\theta(e_i) = \theta_i^1 e_1 + \theta_i^2 e_2 + \dots + \theta_i^n e_n$$

for some $\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2, \ldots, \theta_i^n \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the equalities

$$\theta(e_i \star_1 e_j) = \theta(e_i) \star_1 \theta(e_j), \qquad \qquad \theta(e_i \star_2 e_j) = \theta(e_i) \star_2 \theta(e_j)$$

yield

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ij}^k \theta_k^r = \sum_{q=1}^{n} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \theta_i^p \theta_j^q b_{pq}^r, \qquad \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{ij}^k \theta_k^r = \sum_{q=1}^{n} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \theta_i^p \theta_j^q c_{pq}^r$$

for r = 1, 2, ..., n. One obtains a classification of automorphisms on \mathbb{A} by solving this system of equations via computer algebra. We use a similar process to classify the other invariants. As another example, let d be a derivation on \mathbb{A} with

$$d(e_i) = d_i^1 e_1 + d_i^2 e_2 + \dots + d_i^n e_n$$

for $d_i^1, d_i^2, \ldots, d_i^n \in \mathbb{C}$. The equalities

$$d(e_i \star_1 e_j) = d(e_i) \star_1 e_j + e_i \star_1 d(e_j), \qquad \qquad d(e_i \star_2 e_j) = d(e_i) \star_2 e_j + e_i \star_2 d(e_j)$$

yield

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ij}^{k} d_{k}^{r} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(d_{i}^{k} b_{kj}^{r} + d_{j}^{k} b_{ik}^{r} \right), \qquad \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{ij}^{k} d_{k}^{r} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(d_{i}^{k} c_{kj}^{r} + d_{j}^{k} c_{ik}^{r} \right)$$

for r = 1, 2, ..., n. For the remaining operators, we solve similar systems of equations and present our results using the following structure constants.

- Let β be a centroid on \mathbb{A} with $\beta(e_i) = \beta_i^1 e_1 + \beta_i^2 e_2 + \dots + \beta_i^n e_n$.
- Let δ be a quasi-centroid on \mathbb{A} with $\delta(e_i) = \delta_i^1 e_1 + \delta_i^2 e_2 + \dots + \delta_i^n e_n$.
- Let R be a Rota-Baxter operator on A with $R(e_i) = R_i^1 e_1 + R_i^2 e_2 + \dots + R_i^n e_n$.
- Let N be a Nijenhuis operator on A with $N(e_i) = N_i^1 e_1 + N_i^2 e_2 + \dots + N_i^n e_n$.
- Let ξ be an averaging operator on \mathbb{A} with $\xi(e_i) = \xi_i^1 e_1 + \xi_i^2 e_2 + \dots + \xi_i^n e_n$.
- Let χ be a Reynold operator on \mathbb{A} with $\chi(e_i) = \chi_i^1 e_1 + \chi_i^2 e_2 + \dots + \chi_i^n e_n$.
- Let (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) be an 2-cocycle on A with

$$\phi_1(e_i, e_j) = g_{ij}^1 e_1 + g_{ij}^2 e_2 + \dots + g_{ij}^n e_n, \qquad \phi_2(e_i, e_j) = h_{ij}^1 e_1 + h_{ij}^2 e_2 + \dots + h_{ij}^n e_n,$$

For the linear maps d' and d'' that appear in the definitions of quasi-derivations and generalized derivations, we will use the notations

$$d'(e_i) = d'_i^1 e_1 + d'_i^2 e_2 + \dots + d'_i^n e_n, \qquad \qquad d''(e_i) = d''_i^1 e_1 + d''_i^2 e_2 + \dots + d''_i^n e_n$$

for their structure constants.

Proposition 3.1. The following matrix forms characterize the derivations, centroids, automorphisms and quasi-centroids (respectively) of 2-dimensional compatible associative algebras.

•
$$(\mathbb{A}_2^2, \mathbb{A}_2^3) : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ d_1^2 & d_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2^1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• $(\mathbb{A}_2^2, \mathbb{A}_2^4) : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2^1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2^1 \end{pmatrix}$

Proposition 3.2. The following matrix forms characterize the Rota-Baxter operators on 2-dimensional compatible associative algebras.

• $(\mathbb{A}_2^2, \mathbb{A}_2^3) : \begin{pmatrix} R_1^1 & R_2^1 \\ \frac{-(R_1^2)^2}{R_2^2} & -R_1^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ R_1^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ • $(\mathbb{A}_2^2, \mathbb{A}_2^4) : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ R_1^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Proposition 3.3. The following matrix forms characterize the derivations, centroids, automorphisms and quasi-centroids (respectively) of 3-dimensional compatible associative algebras.

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet (\mathbb{A}_{3}^{1}, \mathbb{A}_{3}^{3}) &: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{1}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \theta_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \theta_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{1}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{A}_{3}^{1}, \mathbb{A}_{3}^{10}) &: \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ d_{1}^{2} & d_{1}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{1}^{2} & \theta_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ \theta_{1}^{2} & \theta_{1}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{1}^{1} & 0 & \delta_{3}^{1} \\ 0 & \delta_{1}^{2} & \delta_{3}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{A}_{3}^{1}, \mathbb{A}_{3}^{11}) &: \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{1}^{2} & d_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{1}^{2} & \beta_{3}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \theta_{1}^{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{1}^{2} & \theta_{3}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{A}_{3}^{2}, \mathbb{A}_{3}^{4}) &: \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{1}^{2} & d_{3}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{2}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{2}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{2}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{1}^{2} & \theta_{3}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{3}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{3}^{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{3}^{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{A}_{3}^{2}, \mathbb{A}_{3}^{1}) &: \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{1}^{2} & d_{3}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{2}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{2}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{1}^{2} & \theta_{3}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{3}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{3}^{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{3}^{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{A}_{3}^{4}, \mathbb{A}_{3}^{1}) &: \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{1}^{2} & d_{3}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{1}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{1}^{1} & \theta_{3}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{1}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{A}_{4}^{4}, \mathbb{A}_{3}^{11}) &: \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ d_{1}^{2} & d_{1}^{2} & d_{1}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{1}^{2} & \theta_{2}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{1}^{1} & \theta_{3}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{3}^{3} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ \bullet (\mathbb{A}_{4}^{4}, \mathbb{A}_{3}^{11}) &: \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\ d_{1}^{1} & d_{1}^{2} & d_{1}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{1$$

ERIK MAINELLIS¹, AHMED ZAHARI $^{2\ast},$ BOUZID MOSBAHI 3*

Example 3.4. We characterize further invariants on $\mathbb{A} = (\mathbb{A}_3^1, \mathbb{A}_3^3)$ via the following matrix forms.

(1) Rota-Baxter operators:

$$\bullet \left(\begin{array}{c} \xi_1^1 & 0 & \xi_3^1 \\ \xi_1^2 & 0 & \xi_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} \xi_1^1 & 0 & \xi_3^1 \\ \xi_1^2 & 2\xi_1^2 & \xi_3^2 \\ \frac{\xi_1^3)^2}{\xi_1^3} & 0 & \xi_1^3 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \xi_1^2 & 0 & \xi_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi_3^3 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} \xi_1^1 & 0 & \xi_1^3 \\ \xi_1^2 & 0 & \xi_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \xi_1^2 & 0 & \xi_3^2 \\ \xi_1^3 & 0 & \xi_3^3 \end{array} \right)$$

(3) Reynolds operators:

$$\bullet \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \chi_1^1 & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_1^3 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_1^3 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_1^3 & 0 & \chi_3^3 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_1^2 & \chi_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

(4) Nijenhuis operators:

•
$$\begin{pmatrix} N_1^1 & 0 & 0 \\ N_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ N_1^3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ N_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ N_1^3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} N_3^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N_1^3 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} N_3^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ N_1^3 & 0 & N_1^3 \end{pmatrix}$

(5) Quasi-derivations:

$$\bullet \left(\begin{array}{ccc} d_1^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_1^3 \end{array} \right) \,, \left(\begin{array}{ccc} {d'}_1^1 & {d'}_2^1 & {d'}_3^1 \\ {d'}_1^2 & {d'}_2^2 & -{d'}_1^2 - {d'}_2^2 + 2{d'}_1^2 \\ {d'}_1^3 & {d'}_2^3 & -{d'}_1^3 - {d'}_2^3 + 2{d'}_1^3 \end{array} \right)$$

(6) Generalized derivations:

$$\bullet \begin{pmatrix} d_1^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_1^3 + d'_2^3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} d'_1^1 & d'_{12} & d'_{13} \\ d'_{21} & d'_{11} + d'_{21} & 0 \\ -d_{31} & 0 & d'_{11} + d'_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} d''_1^1 & d''_2 & d''_1^3 \\ d''_{21} & d''_2 & d'_1^2 + d_1^2 + d_1^2 - d''_1^2 - d''_2 \\ d''_1^3 & d''_2 & d_2^3 - d'_1^3 - d''_1^3 - d''_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 3.5. Consider the 4-dimensional associative algebras \mathbb{A}^1_4 and \mathbb{A}^2_4 with bases $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ and multiplication structures

$$\mathbb{A}_{4}^{1}: e_{1}e_{1} = e_{4}, e_{2}e_{2} = e_{2}, e_{3}e_{2} = e_{3}, \qquad \mathbb{A}_{4}^{2}: e_{1}e_{1} = e_{1}, e_{1}e_{3} = e_{3}, e_{2}e_{2} = e_{2}, e_{2}e_{4} = e_{4}, e_{4}e_{1} = e_{4}.$$

These form a compatible associative algebra $\mathbb{A} = (\mathbb{A}^1_4, \mathbb{A}^2_4)$. The derivations, centroids, quasi-centroids, Reynolds operators, averaging operators, Nijenhuis operators, and automorphisms on \mathbb{A} are characterized (respectively) by the following matrix forms.

Example 3.6. In this example, we compute the second cohomology groups for several compatible associative algebras. We begin with 2-cocycles:

•
$$(\mathbb{A}_2^2, \mathbb{A}_2^3)$$
 : $\begin{pmatrix} g_{11}^1 & g_{11}^2 \\ g_{12}^1 & g_{12}^2 \\ g_{11}^1 & g_{11}^2 \\ g_{12}^1 & g_{12}^2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} h_{11}^1 & h_{11}^2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ h_{21}^1 & h_{21}^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

This yields the following:

- $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{A}_2^2,\mathbb{A}_2^3) = \left(\left\langle g_{11}^1, g_{12}^2 \right\rangle, \left\langle h_{11}^1, h_{21}^2 \right\rangle\right)$
- $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{A}_2^2,\mathbb{A}_2^4) = (\langle g_{11}^1, g_{12}^2 \rangle, \langle h_{11}^1, h_{12}^2, h_{21}^2 \rangle)$
- $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{A}^5_3, \mathbb{A}^8_3) = (\langle g^2_{23}, g^1_{31}, g^3_{33} \rangle, \langle h^1_{13}, h^2_{23}, h^1_{31}, h^3_{33} \rangle)$
- $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{A}^9_3, \mathbb{A}^{10}_3) = (\langle g^2_{23}, g^1_{31}, g^2_{32}, g^3_{33} \rangle, \langle h^1_{13}, h^2_{23}, h^1_{31}, h^2_{32}, h^3_{33} \rangle)$

References

- Alkhezi, Y.A.; Fiidow, M.A. "Inner Derivations of Finite Dimensional Dendriform Algebras." International Mathematical Forum, Vol. 17, No. 4 (2022).
- Arfa, A.; Saadaoui, N.; Silvestrov, S. "Classification, Centroids and Derivations of Two-Dimensional Hom-Leibniz Algebras" in *Non-commutative and Non-associative Algebra and Analysis Structures*, SPAS 2019. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, Vol 426 (2023). Springer Cham.
- Basri, W. "Classification and derivations of low-dimensional complex dialgebras" (2014). Doctoral thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 4. Basri, W.; Rakhimov, I. S.; Rikhsiboev, I. M. "Complete lists of low dimensional complex associative algebras" (2009). arXiv:0910.0932.
- Chtioui, T; Das, A.; Mabrouk, S. "(Co)homology of compatible associative algebras." Communications in Algebra, Vol. 52, No. 2 (2024).
- Fiidow, M.A.; Rakhimov, I.S.; Said Husain, S.K. "Centroids and Derivations of Associative Algebras." Proceedings of the IEEE (2015).
- 7. Leger, G.F.; Luks, E.M. "Generalized Derivations of Lie Algebras." Journal of Algebra, Vol. 228, No. 1 (2000).
- Mainellis, E. "Multipliers and covers of perfect diassociative algebras." Journal of Algebra and Its Applications (2023). https://doi.org/10.1142/S021949882450244X
- Mainellis, E. "Multipliers and Unicentral Diassociative Algebras." Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, Vol. 22, No. 05 (2023).

ERIK MAINELLIS¹, AHMED ZAHARI ^{2*}, BOUZID MOSBAHI ^{3*}

- 10. Mainellis, E. "Multipliers of Nilpotent Diassociative Algebras." Results in Mathematics, Vol. 77, No. 191 (2022).
- 11. Makhlouf, A.; Zahari, A. "Structure and Classification of Hom-Associative Algebras." Acta et commentationes universitis Tartuensis de mathematica, Vol. 24, No. 1 (2020).
- 12. Mazzola, G. "The algebraic and geometric classification of associative algebras of dimension five." manuscripta mathematica, Vol. 27 (1979).
- Mosbahi, B.; Basdouri, I.; Zahari, A. "Classification, α-Inner Derivations and α-Centroids of Finite-Dimensional Complex Hom-Trialgebras." Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal, Vol. 12, No. 5 (2023).
- 14. Su, Y.; Xu, X.; Zhang, H. "Derivation-simple algebras and the structures of Lie algebras of Witt type." *Journal of Algebra*, Vol. 233, No. 2 (2000).
- 15. Zahari, A.; Bakayoko, I. "On BiHom-Associative dialgebras." Open Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 7, No. 1 (2023).
- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, St. Olaf College
- ^{2*} IRIMAS-Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- ^{3*} Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia
- Email address: ¹mainel1@stolaf.edu
- Email address: ^{2*}abdou-damdji.ahmed-zahariQuha.fr
- Email address: ^{3*}mosbahi.bouzid.etud@fss.usf.tn