

# Representations of extensions of simple groups

Scott Harper & Martin W. Liebeck

Feit and Tits (1978) proved that a nontrivial projective representation of minimal dimension of a finite extension of a finite nonabelian simple group  $G$  factors through a projective representation of  $G$ , except for some groups of Lie type in characteristic 2; the exact exceptions for  $G$  were determined by Kleidman and Liebeck (1989). We generalise this result in two ways. First we consider all low-dimensional projective representations, not just those of minimal dimension. Second we consider all characteristically simple groups, not just simple groups.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, by an *extension of a group  $G$  (by a group  $N$ )* we mean a surjective group homomorphism  $\gamma: H \rightarrow G$  (with kernel  $N$ ). An extension  $\gamma: H \rightarrow G$  is said to be *proper* if  $\gamma$  is not an isomorphism and *minimal* if  $K\gamma < G$  for all  $K < H$ .

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. For a finite group  $G$ , let  $R_k(G)$  be the minimal dimension of a nontrivial projective representation of  $G$  over  $k$ , that is, a homomorphism  $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$ . In [4], Feit and Tits asked whether it was possible that an extension  $H$  of a finite simple group  $G$  could have a nontrivial projective representation  $H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$  where  $m < R_k(G)$ . The following example highlights one way that this can happen.

**Example.** Assume that  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$ . Let  $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(2)$  with  $n > 2$ . By [4, Theorem (I)], there exists a minimal extension  $H$  of  $G$  (by an elementary abelian group of order  $2^{2n}$ ) that embeds irreducibly in  $\mathrm{PGL}_{2^n}(k)$ . Hence,  $R_k(H) \leq 2^n$ , but  $R_k(G) > 2^n$  (see the main theorem of [10]).

In light of this example, for a finite group  $G$ , define

$$n_G = \min\{n \mid G \preccurlyeq \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(2) \text{ irreducible}\}.$$

The following is one of the main theorems of [4].

**Theorem** (Feit & Tits, 1978). *Let  $G$  be a finite nonabelian simple group, and  $\gamma: H \rightarrow G$  a finite minimal extension. Let  $\lambda: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$  be a nontrivial projective representation of minimal dimension. Assume that  $m < 2^{n_G}$  if  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$ . Then  $\ker \gamma = \ker \lambda$ .*

Hence, for any finite nonabelian simple group  $G$  the minimum of  $R_k(H)$  across all finite extensions  $H$  of  $G$  is  $\min\{R_k(G), 2^{n_G}\}$  if  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$ , and is  $R_k(G)$  if  $\mathrm{char} k = 2$ . In [8], Kleidman and Liebeck determine exactly when  $2^{n_G} < R_k(G)$  and hence when there exists a finite extension  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $R_k(H) < R_k(G)$ .

Bounds on the smallest dimension of a nontrivial projective representation of a group have many applications. Moreover, often it is useful to know about all low-dimensional representations and not just the ones of minimal dimension. There are many such results for simple groups. Denote by  $\mathrm{Lie}(p)$  the set of simple groups of Lie type defined over fields of characteristic  $p$ . Some results on low-dimensional representations of groups in  $\mathrm{Lie}(p)$  in  $p'$ -characteristic are summarised in [13], while for representations of  $\mathrm{Lie}(p)$  in characteristic  $p$ , see [12], and for alternating groups, see [6].

In view of this, it is natural to ask about the low-dimensional projective representations of extensions of simple groups. This is what our first main theorem concerns. In the statement, as usual, a projective representation  $\lambda: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k) \cong \mathrm{PGL}(V)$  is *imprimitive* if the

preimage of  $H$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  permutes among themselves the subspaces  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  in a direct sum decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$  where  $m > 1$ ; otherwise  $\lambda$  is *primitive*.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite nonabelian simple group, and let  $\gamma: H \rightarrow G$  be a finite minimal extension. Let  $\lambda: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$  be a primitive projective representation. Assume that  $m < 2^{n_G}$  if  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$ . Then  $\ker \gamma \leq \ker \lambda$ .*

To state a consequence of Theorem 1, for a finite group  $G$ , define

$$P(G) = \min\{d \mid G \cong S_d\}.$$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be as in Theorem 1, and let  $\lambda: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$  be an irreducible projective representation. Assume that  $m < P(G)$ , and if  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$ , that  $m < 2^{n_G}$ . Then  $\ker \gamma \leq \ker \lambda$ .*

Corollary 2 follows immediately from Theorem 1, since any irreducible representation of  $H$  of dimension  $m < P(G)$  must be primitive. The values of  $P(G)$  for  $G = G(q) \in \mathrm{Lie}(p)$  are given by [9, Thm. 5.2.2] if  $G$  is a classical group, and by [11] if  $G$  is an exceptional group. In all cases,  $P(G)$  is a polynomial in  $q$  of degree at least the Lie rank of  $G$  (and in most cases much larger degree).

Corollary 2 is not true without the hypothesis  $m < P(G)$ , as the next example illustrates.

**Example.** Let  $G = A_n$  with  $n > 9$  and let  $p$  be an odd prime dividing  $n$ . By [5, Theorem 1.2(i)], there exists a minimal extension  $H$  of  $G$  by an elementary abelian  $p$ -group  $M$  that embeds in  $S_{\frac{1}{2}pn(n-1)}$  and hence in  $\mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$  for  $m < \frac{1}{2}pn(n-1)$ . (The extension is minimal since, as noted in the proof of [5, Theorem 1.2(i)],  $M$  is contained in the Frattini subgroup of  $G$ .) However,  $n_G \geq n-2$ , so if  $p = 3$ , then  $m < \frac{3}{2}n(n-1) < 2^{n_G}$ .

The next result determines the low-dimensional projective representations of extensions of simple groups of Lie type in defining characteristic.

**Corollary 3.** *Assume that  $\mathrm{char} k = p > 0$ . Let  $G \in \mathrm{Lie}(p)$  and assume that  $(G, p) \neq (\mathrm{PSp}_4(3), 3)$ . Let  $\gamma: H \rightarrow G$  be a finite minimal extension, and  $\lambda: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$  an irreducible projective representation. If  $m < P(G)$ , then  $\ker \gamma \leq \ker \lambda$ .*

Corollary 3 is proved in Section 3. The excluded case  $(G, p) = (\mathrm{PSp}_4(3), 3)$  is a genuine exception, as there is a minimal extension of the form  $2^6.G \cong 2^6.\Omega_6^-(2)$  that has a faithful irreducible projective representation of dimension 8 in characteristic 3, whereas  $P(G) = 27$ .

As mentioned above, if  $G = G(q) \in \mathrm{Lie}(p)$ , then  $P(G)$  is a polynomial in  $q$  of degree at least the Lie rank of  $G$ , whereas  $G$  has irreducible representations of much smaller dimension than this, so Corollary 3 is quite effective in many cases. We illustrate with some examples.

**Example.**

- (i) Let  $G = \mathrm{PSL}_d(q)$ , excluding  $\mathrm{PSL}_4(2) \cong A_8$ , and let  $\gamma: H \rightarrow G$  be a finite minimal extension. Suppose  $\lambda: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$  an irreducible projective representation of dimension  $m \leq \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)$ , where  $\mathrm{char} k = p$  and  $q = p^f$ . If  $d = 2$ , then  $P(G) > 3 = \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)$ , and if  $d \geq 3$ , then  $P(G) = \frac{q^d-1}{q-1} > \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)$ . Hence  $m < P(G)$ , so Corollary 3 implies that  $\ker \gamma \leq \ker \lambda$ , which means that  $\lambda$  is the lift of a projective representation of  $G$ . The irreducible projective representations of  $G$  of dimension at most  $\frac{1}{2}d(d+1)$  are given in [9, Proposition 5.4.11]:  $\lambda$  (or its dual) is the lift of the natural representation of  $G$  of dimension  $d$ , its alternating or symmetric square of dimension  $\frac{1}{2}d(d \pm 1)$ , or its alternating cube of dimension 20 if  $d = 6$ .

- (ii) If  $G = E_8(q)$ , then  $P(G)$  is the index of the largest parabolic subgroup, which is a polynomial in  $q$  of degree 57. Hence if  $H$  is a finite minimal extension of  $G$ , then every irreducible projective representation over  $k$  of dimension at most 100000 is the lift of one of the representations of  $G$  given in [12, Table A.53].

In our next result, we extend considerations to extensions of characteristically simple groups, not just simple groups. To state it, we need to define a variant of  $n_G$ :

$$n'_G = \min\{n \mid G \preccurlyeq \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(2) \text{ irreducible}\}.$$

It is clear that  $n'_G \leq n_G$ , but, as demonstrated in Lemma 3.1,  $n'_G$  is easier to work with.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G = T^\ell$  for a finite nonabelian simple group  $T$  and a positive integer  $\ell$ . Let  $\gamma: H \rightarrow G$  be a finite minimal extension. Let  $\lambda: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$  be a faithful primitive projective representation. Assume that  $m < \ell \cdot 2^{n'_T}$  if  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$ . Then  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism.*

The following corollary is immediate from the theorem.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $G = T^\ell$  for a finite nonabelian simple group  $T$  and a positive integer  $\ell$ . Let  $\gamma: H \rightarrow G$  be a finite proper minimal extension.*

- (i) *If  $\mathrm{char} k = 2$ , then  $H$  has no faithful irreducible primitive projective representations.*
- (ii) *If  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$ , then every faithful primitive projective representation of  $H$  has dimension at least  $\ell \cdot 2^{n'_T}$ .*

We expect these results to have applications. Indeed, Theorem 4 has already been applied in a recent paper of Ellis and Harper [3].

**Acknowledgements.** The first author is an EPSRC Postdoctoral Fellow (EP/X011879/1). In order to meet institutional and research funder open access requirements, any accepted manuscript arising shall be open access under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) reuse licence with zero embargo.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Symplectic-type $r$ -groups

We use this first preliminary section to collect together some key results from [4, Section 2].

Let  $V = \mathbb{F}_r^d$  where  $r$  is prime and let  $f$  be an alternating form on  $V$ . If  $r = 2$ , then let  $Q$  be a quadratic form on  $V$  with bilinear form  $f$ . Write  $\dim(V/\mathrm{rad} V) = 2n$ . As noted in [4, (2.1)], up to isomorphism, there exists a unique central extension of groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\pi} V \rightarrow 0$$

such that for all  $x, y \in R$ ,  $[x, y] = f(x\pi, y\pi)$  and  $x^r = 1$  if  $r \neq 2$  and  $x^r = Q(x\pi)$  if  $r = 2$ . Now assume that  $Z(R)$  is cyclic, which means that

1. if  $r \neq 2$ , then  $f$  is nondegenerate and the isometry group of  $f$  is  $X = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(r)$
2. if  $r = 2$ , then  $Q$  is nondegenerate and the isometry group  $X$  of  $Q$  is as follows
  - (a)  $f$  is nondegenerate and  $X = \mathrm{O}_{2n}^\epsilon(2)$  where  $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$  is the sign of  $Q$
  - (b)  $f$  has defect 1 and  $X = \mathrm{O}_{2n+1}(2) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(2)$ .

According to these cases, we will denote  $R$  by

$$(1) \quad r^{1+2n} \quad (2a) \quad 2_{\pm}^{1+2n} \quad (2b) \quad 4 \circ 2^{1+2n}. \quad (2.1)$$

These groups have a straightforward characterisation [4, Lemma 2.6].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $r$  be prime and let  $R$  be a nonabelian  $r$ -group all of whose proper characteristic subgroups are cyclic and central. Then  $R$  is isomorphic to a group in (2.1) for some  $n$ .*

Let  $R$  be a group in (2.1). Write  $I = \text{Inn}(R)$  and  $A = C_{\text{Aut}(R)}(Z(R))$ . Continue to write  $X$  for the associated isometry group. The following is recorded in [4, (2.2) & (2.3)].

**Lemma 2.2.** *There is a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow X \rightarrow 1$ , which splits if  $r \neq 2$ .*

The following is [4, (2.4) & Proposition 2.5].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field with  $\text{char } k \neq r$ . Let  $\tau: R \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(k)$  be a faithful irreducible representation. Then  $m = r^n$  and  $N_{\text{GL}_m(k)}(R\tau)$  is an extension of  $A$  by  $Z(\text{GL}_m(k))$ .*

The following is extracted from [4, (3.4)].

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, let  $m \geq 2$  be an integer, let  $H \leq \text{GL}_m(k)$  and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $H$  such that*

- (i)  $N$  is nilpotent
- (ii)  $N$  contains the subgroup of  $Z(\text{GL}_m(k))$  of order 4 if  $\text{char } k \neq 2$
- (iii)  $N$  is an irreducible subgroup of  $\text{GL}_m(k)$
- (iv) for all  $M \leq N$  such that  $M \trianglelefteq H$ , either  $M$  is an irreducible subgroup of  $\text{GL}_m(k)$  or  $M$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $Z(H)$ .

Then there exists a prime  $r \neq \text{char } k$  and a positive integer  $n$  such that  $m = r^n$  and there exists a faithful irreducible representation  $H/N \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(r)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E_0$  be a minimal noncentral normal subgroup of  $H$  contained in  $N$ . Since  $N$  is nilpotent,  $E_0$  is a  $r$ -group for some prime  $r$ . If  $\text{char } k = p > 0$ , then  $N$  has no normal  $p$ -subgroup since  $H$  is irreducible, but  $N$  is nilpotent, which means that  $N$  is a  $p'$ -group, so  $r \neq p$ . If  $r \neq 2$ , then let  $E = E_0$ , and if  $r = 2$ , then  $E = E_0 Z_4$ , where  $Z_4$  is the subgroup of  $Z(\text{GL}_m(k))$  of order 4. Since  $E$  is noncentral, the hypotheses in the statement ensure that  $E$  is irreducible and hence  $E$  is nonabelian. Note that every proper characteristic subgroup of  $E$  is cyclic and central. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1,  $E$  is isomorphic to a group in (2.1) for some  $n$ . In fact, the choice of  $E$  ensures that  $E = r^{1+2n}$  if  $r \neq 2$  and  $E = 4 \circ 2^{1+2n}$  if  $r = 2$ . Since  $E$  is irreducible, Lemma 2.3 implies that  $m = r^n$ .

Let  $Y = C_N(E)$ . Since  $E$  is irreducible, by Schur's Lemma,  $Y \leq Z(\text{GL}_m(k))$ . In particular,  $Y = Z(N)$ . Let  $I = \text{Inn}(E)$  and  $A = C_{\text{Aut}(E)}(Z(E))$ . Let  $\tilde{A} = N_{\text{GL}_m(k)}(E)$ , noting that, by Lemma 2.3, we have  $\tilde{A}/Z(\text{GL}_m(k)) = A$ . In particular, we have

$$EY \leq N \leq H \leq \tilde{A} \leq \text{GL}_m(k)$$

and  $I = EY/Y \leq A \leq \text{PGL}_m(k)$ . Since  $\tilde{A}/EY \cong A/I \cong \text{Sp}_{2n}(r)$ , we obtain a faithful representation  $\mu: H/EY \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(r)$ .

We claim that  $(H/EY)\mu$  is irreducible. To see this, let  $U$  be a proper subgroup of  $EY/Y$  that is normalised by  $H$ . Noting that  $EY/Y \cong E_0/(E_0 \cap Y)$ , let  $U_0$  be the corresponding subgroup of  $E_0$ , which is normalised by  $H$ . Since  $E_0$  was chosen to be a minimal noncentral normal subgroup of  $H$  contained in  $N$ ,  $U_0$  is central in  $H$ . In particular,  $U$  is trivial.

We claim that  $N = EY$ . Since  $N$  is nilpotent,  $N/Y$  is an  $r$ -group, so  $N/EY$  is an  $r$ -group too. However, since  $(H/EY)\mu$  is irreducible  $H/EY$  has no nontrivial normal  $r$ -subgroup, so  $N = EY$ . Therefore,  $\mu: H/N \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(r)$  is a faithful irreducible representation, as required.  $\square$

## 2.2 Subdirect products

We conclude this preliminary section with a technical lemma regarding subdirect products.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $H$  be a subdirect product of  $H_1 \times H_2$  with projections  $\pi_1: H \rightarrow H_1$  and  $\pi_2: H \rightarrow H_2$ . Assume that  $N$  is a soluble normal subgroup of  $H$  such that  $H/N \cong T^\ell$  where  $T$  is a nonabelian simple group and  $\ell$  is a positive integer. Then there exist integers  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq 0$  satisfying  $\ell_1 + \ell_2 \geq \ell$  such that  $H_1/N\pi_1 \cong T^{\ell_1}$  and  $H_2/N\pi_2 \cong T^{\ell_2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Write  $K_i = \ker \pi_i$ . Since  $NK_i/N \triangleleft H/N \cong T^\ell$ , write  $NK_i/N \cong T^{m_i}$  for some  $m_i \leq \ell$ . Now

$$H_i/N\pi_i \cong (H/K_i)/(NK_i/K_i) \cong H/NK_i \cong (H/N)/(NK_i/N) \cong T^{\ell-m_i},$$

since  $H/N \cong T^\ell$  and  $NK_i/N \cong T^{m_i}$ . Write  $\ell_i = \ell - m_i$ . We claim that  $m_1 + m_2 \leq \ell$ , which implies that  $\ell_1 + \ell_2 \geq \ell$ , as required.

To prove the claim, first note that

$$K_i/(K_i \cap N) \cong NK_i/N \cong T^{m_i}$$

and  $K_i \cap N$  is soluble, so  $K_i \cap N$  is the soluble radical of  $K_i$ . Since  $K_1 \cap K_2 = 1$ , we have  $K_1K_2 \cong K_1 \times K_2$ , which implies that  $(K_1 \cap N)(K_2 \cap N)$  is the soluble radical of  $K_1K_2$ . Since

$$K_1K_2/(K_1K_2 \cap N) \cong K_1K_2N/N \leq H/N \cong T^\ell$$

write  $K_1K_2/(K_1K_2 \cap N) \cong T^m$  for some  $m \leq \ell$ . Since  $K_1K_2 \cap N$  is soluble,  $K_1K_2 \cap N$  is the soluble radical of  $K_1K_2$ , so  $K_1K_2 \cap N = (K_1 \cap N)(K_2 \cap N)$ . Therefore,

$$T^m \cong K_1K_2/(K_1K_2 \cap N) \cong K_1/(K_1 \cap N) \times K_2/(K_2 \cap N) \cong T^{m_1} \times T^{m_2},$$

so  $m_1 + m_2 = m \leq \ell$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $H$  be a subdirect product of  $H_1 \times \cdots \times H_r$  and let  $\pi_i: H \rightarrow H_i$  be the projection onto the  $i$ th factor. Assume that  $N$  is a soluble normal subgroup of  $H$  such that  $H/N \cong T^\ell$  where  $T$  is a nonabelian simple group and  $\ell$  is a positive integer. Then there exist nonnegative integers  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r$  satisfying  $\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_r \geq \ell$  such that  $H_i/N\pi_i \cong T^{\ell_i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $r$ , noting that the result certainly holds when  $r = 1$ . Now assume that  $r > 1$ . Let  $\pi_0: H \rightarrow H_2 \times \cdots \times H_r$  be the projection to  $H_2 \times \cdots \times H_r$  and let  $H_0 = \text{im} \pi_0$ . Then  $H$  is a subdirect product of  $H_1 \times H_0$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.5 we can fix integers  $\ell_1, \ell_0 \geq 0$  satisfying  $\ell_1 + \ell_0 \geq \ell$  such that  $H_1/N\pi_1 = T^{\ell_1}$  and  $H_0/N\pi_0 = T^{\ell_0}$ . Since  $N\pi_0$  is soluble and  $H_0$  is a subdirect product of  $H_2 \times \cdots \times H_r$ , by induction, there exist integers  $\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r \geq 0$  satisfying  $\ell_2 + \cdots + \ell_r \geq \ell_0$  such that  $H_i/N\pi_i = H_i/N\pi_0\pi_i \cong T^{\ell_i}$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq r$ . Therefore,  $\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_r \geq \ell$  and  $H_i/N\pi_i \cong T^{\ell_i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , which completes the induction.  $\square$

## 3 Proofs

We now prove our main results. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 4 are both inspired by the proof of [4, Theorem (II')].

**Proof of Theorem 1.** For a contradiction, suppose otherwise, and choose  $m$  minimally for a counterexample. Let  $N = \ker \gamma$ .

We claim that  $N$  is the unique maximal normal subgroup of  $H$ . To see this, let  $K$  be a proper normal subgroup of  $H$ . Since  $K < H$  we have  $K\gamma < G$ , so  $K\gamma = 1$  since  $G$  is simple. Therefore,  $K \leq N$ , as claimed.

In particular,  $\ker \lambda \leq N$ , so  $G$  is a quotient of  $H\lambda$ . Therefore, by replacing  $H$  by  $H\lambda$  we may assume that  $\lambda$  is faithful. Let  $\eta: \tilde{H} \rightarrow H$  be a finite central extension such that  $\lambda$  lifts to a faithful representation  $\tilde{\lambda}: \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$ , and, if  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$ , then choose  $\tilde{H}$  such that  $\tilde{H}\tilde{\lambda}$  contains the subgroup  $Z_4$  of  $Z(\mathrm{GL}_m(k))$  of order 4. Let  $\tilde{\gamma} = \eta\gamma$  and let  $\tilde{N} = \ker \tilde{\gamma}$ .

We claim that  $\tilde{N}$  is nilpotent. Let  $S$  be a Sylow subgroup of  $N$ . By the Frattini argument,  $H = N_H(S)N$ , so  $N_H(S)\gamma = G$ , which implies that  $H = N_H(S)$ , so  $S \triangleleft N$ . Therefore,  $N$  is nilpotent, and  $\tilde{N}$ , being a central extension of  $N$ , is also nilpotent.

Let  $\tilde{M} \leq \tilde{N}$  such that  $\tilde{M} \triangleleft \tilde{H}$ . We claim that either  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{M}}$  is irreducible or  $\tilde{M}$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $Z(\mathrm{GL}_m(k))$ . Since  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is irreducible, by Clifford's Theorem, we can write  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{M}} = \alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_k$  where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  are the homogenous components of  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{M}}$  whose respective irreducible components are pairwise nonisomorphic. Moreover,  $\tilde{H}$  acts transitively on these  $k$  components, and so, since by hypothesis the representation  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is primitive, we have  $k = 1$ . Thus  $\tilde{\lambda}|_{\tilde{M}} = \alpha_1$ , which is the direct sum of  $m_1$  isomorphic irreducible representations of dimension  $m_2$ . Therefore,  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2$  where  $\lambda_1: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{m_1}(k)$  and  $\lambda_2: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{m_2}(k)$  are irreducible projective representations of  $H$  (see [2, Theorem 3]). Suppose that  $1 < m_1 < m$  and  $1 < m_2 < m$ . The minimality of  $m$  means that  $\ker \gamma \leq \ker \lambda_1$  and  $\ker \gamma \leq \ker \lambda_2$ , so  $\ker \gamma \leq \ker \lambda$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we can assume that either  $m_1 = 1$  or  $m_2 = 1$ , which is to say, either  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{M}}$  is irreducible, or  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{M}}$  a direct sum of isomorphic linear representations. In the latter case,  $\tilde{M}$  is represented by scalars, so  $\tilde{M}$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $Z(\mathrm{GL}_m(k))$ .

We claim that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{N}}$  is irreducible. Suppose otherwise. Then the previous paragraph implies that  $\tilde{N} \leq Z(\mathrm{GL}_m(k))$ , so  $\ker \gamma = N = \tilde{N}\eta = 1$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore, Proposition 2.4 yields a faithful irreducible representation  $\mu: G \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(r)$  where  $m = r^n$  for a prime  $r \neq \mathrm{char} k$ . By Lemma 2.2, if  $r \neq 2$ , then  $H$  is a split extension of  $G$ , so  $\ker \gamma = 1$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $r = 2$ , so  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$  and  $m = 2^n \geq 2^{n_G}$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $m < 2^{n_G}$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 3.** Let  $G \in \mathrm{Lie}(p)$ , and let  $\gamma: H \rightarrow G$  be a finite minimal extension. The conclusion follows from Corollary 2 if  $p = 2$ , so assume that  $p \neq 2$ .

We first claim that either  $P(G) \leq 2^{n_G}$  or  $G$  is as in the table below:

$G$	$P(G)$	$n_G$
$\mathrm{PSL}_2(17)$	18	4
$\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$	27	3
$\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$	28	3
$G_2(3)$	351	7

It is a routine matter to verify the claim. The values of  $P(G)$  are given by [9, Thm. 5.2.2] if  $G$  is a classical group, and by [11] when  $G$  is an exceptional group of Lie type; while  $n_G \geq \frac{1}{2}R_{p'}(G)$ , lower bounds for which can be found in [9, Table 5.3.A]. Comparison of these bounds gives  $P(G) \leq 2^{n_G}$  apart from some small simple groups  $G$  (including those in the table above), for which the precise values of  $n_G$  can be read off using [7]. The claim follows.

Given the claim, the conclusion of Corollary 3 follows from Corollary 2, provided we rule out the groups  $G$  in the above table. The group  $\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$  is excluded by hypothesis. Now consider  $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(17)$  (resp.  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$ ,  $G_2(3)$ ). From [7] we see that the nontrivial irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_2G$ -modules of dimension at most  $2 \log_2(P(G))$  have dimensions 8 (resp. 6, 14). Suppose  $\lambda: H \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_m(k)$  is irreducible of dimension  $m < P(G)$  with  $\ker \gamma \not\leq \ker \lambda$ . Then it follows

from the proof of Theorem 1 that  $K := \ker \gamma = 2^8$  (resp.  $2^6, 2^{14}$ ) and  $m = 16$  (resp. 8, 128). Now  $H$  must be a nonsplit extension of  $G$  by  $K$ . However, a computation in MAGMA [1] shows that  $H^2(G, K) = 0$  for  $G = \text{PSL}_2(17), G_2(3)$ , which rules out these groups. The last observation does not apply to  $G = \text{PSU}_3(3)$  (as  $H^2(\text{PSU}_3(3), 2^6) \neq 0$ ); however, for this case we have  $H\lambda = 2^6.\text{PSU}_3(3) < \text{PGL}_8(9) < \text{PGL}_8(k)$ , whereas a MAGMA computation reveals that this extension  $2^6.\text{PSU}_3(3)$  splits. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Before proving Theorem 4 we need a result that highlights a key property of the invariant  $n'_G$  defined in the introduction.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G = T^\ell$  for a nonabelian finite simple group  $T$  and  $\ell \geq 1$ . Then  $n'_G \geq n'_T \cdot 2^{\ell-1}$ .*

*Proof.* The definition of  $n'_G$  guarantees the existence of a faithful irreducible representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2n'_G}(2)$ . Let  $V$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_2G$ -module afforded by  $\rho$ , let  $E = \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_2G}(V)$  and let  $e = |E : \mathbb{F}_2|$ . Then  $e$  divides  $2n'_G$  and there exists a faithful absolutely irreducible representation  $\rho_1: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2n'_G/e}(2^e)$  (see [9, Lemma 2.10.2]). Since  $G = T^\ell$ , there exists a faithful absolutely irreducible representation  $\rho_2: T \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(2^e)$  where  $n^\ell = 2n'_G/e$  (see [9, Lemma 5.5.5]). Let  $f$  be minimal such that  $\rho_2$  is expressible over the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_{2^f} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^e}$ , and consider the corresponding faithful absolutely irreducible representation  $\rho_3: T \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(2^f)$ . Via the field extension embedding  $\text{GL}_n(2^f) \leq \text{GL}_{fn}(2)$ , we obtain a faithful irreducible representation  $\rho_4: T \rightarrow \text{GL}_{fn}(2)$ . Therefore,  $fn \geq 2n'_T$ . We now conclude that

$$2n'_T \leq fn \leq en \leq \frac{en^\ell}{2^{\ell-1}} \leq \frac{n'_G}{2^{\ell-2}},$$

and hence  $n'_G \geq n'_T \cdot 2^{\ell-1}$ , as required.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.** For a contradiction, suppose otherwise, and choose  $m$  minimally for a counterexample. Let  $N = \ker \gamma$ .

Since  $\lambda$  is faithful, by replacing  $H$  with  $H\lambda$ , we assume that  $H \leq \text{PGL}_m(k)$ . Let  $\eta: \tilde{H} \rightarrow H$  be a finite central extension such that  $\tilde{H} \leq \text{GL}_m(k)$ , and, if  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , then choose  $\tilde{H}$  to contain the subgroup  $Z_4$  of  $Z(\text{GL}_m(k))$  of order 4. Let  $\tilde{\gamma} = \eta\gamma$  and let  $\tilde{N} = \ker \tilde{\gamma}$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 1,  $\tilde{N}$  is nilpotent. Let  $\tilde{M} \leq \tilde{N}$  such that  $\tilde{M} \trianglelefteq \tilde{H}$ . We claim that either  $\tilde{M}$  is irreducible or  $\tilde{M}$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $Z(\tilde{H})$ . Since  $\tilde{H}$  is primitive, by Clifford's theorem, the representation of  $\tilde{M}$  is the direct sum of  $m_1$  isomorphic irreducible representations of dimension  $m_2$ . Therefore,  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2$  where  $\lambda_1: H \rightarrow \text{PGL}_{m_1}(k)$  and  $\lambda_2: H \rightarrow \text{PGL}_{m_2}(k)$  are irreducible projective representations of  $H$  (see [2, Theorem 3]). Moreover,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are both primitive since  $\lambda$  is.

Suppose that  $1 < m_1 < m$  and  $1 < m_2 < m$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , let  $K_i = \ker \lambda_i$  and  $H_i = H/K_i$ , and note that we have a faithful primitive projective representation of  $H_i$  afforded by the embedding  $H_i \cong \text{im } \lambda_i \leq \text{PGL}_{m_i}(k)$ . Let  $N_i = NK_i/K_i$  and let  $\gamma_i: H_i \rightarrow H_i/N_i$  be the corresponding quotient map. Now  $H$  is isomorphic to a subdirect product of  $H_1 \times H_2$ , so Lemma 2.5 implies that  $H_i/N_i = T^{\ell_i}$  for integers  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq 0$  such that  $\ell_1 + \ell_2 \geq \ell$ . Suppose that there exists  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $X < H_i$  such that  $X\gamma_i = H_i/N_i$ . Then  $XN_i/N_i = H_i/N_i$ , so  $XN_i = H_i$ . Since  $H_i = H/K_i$ , we can write  $X = Y/K_i$  for some  $K_i \leq Y < H$ . Then  $(Y/K_i)(NK_i/K_i) = H/K_i$ , so  $YN = H$  since  $K_i \leq Y$ . By assumption, this means that  $Y = H$ , so  $X = H_i$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , if  $X < H_i$ , then  $X\gamma_i < H_i/N_i$ . For now assume that  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ . We claim that  $m_i < \ell_i \cdot 2^{n'_T}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Write  $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$ . Suppose that  $m_i \geq \ell_i \cdot 2^{n'_T}$ . Since  $m < \ell \cdot 2^{n'_T}$ , we have

$$m_j = m/m_i < \ell/\ell_i \leq 2(\ell - \ell_i) \leq 2\ell_j.$$

Note that  $m_j < \ell_j \cdot 2^{n'_T}$  since  $n'_T \geq 1$ . Therefore, the minimality of  $m$  implies that  $\gamma_j$  is an isomorphism, so  $T^{\ell_j} = H_j$  is an irreducible subgroup of  $\mathrm{PGL}_{m_j}(k)$ . Writing  $d_p(T)$  for the smallest dimension  $d$  of an irreducible projective representation  $T \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(k)$ , by [9, Proposition 5.5.7(ii)], we have  $m_j \geq d_p(T)^{\ell_j} \geq 2^{\ell_j} \geq 2\ell_j$ , which contradicts the displayed inequality. Therefore,  $m_i < \ell_i \cdot 2^{n'_T}$  as claimed. Since we have verified all of the conditions of the statement, the minimality of  $m$  implies that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are isomorphisms. This implies that  $N \leq K_1$  and  $N \leq K_2$ , so  $N \leq K_1 \cap K_2 = 1$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we can assume that either  $m_1 = 1$  or  $m_2 = 1$ , which is to say, either  $\tilde{M}$  is irreducible, or  $\tilde{M}$  a direct sum of isomorphic linear representations. In the latter case,  $\tilde{M}$  is represented by scalars, so  $\tilde{M}$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $Z(\mathrm{GL}_m(k))$ .

We claim that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{N}}$  is irreducible. Suppose otherwise. Then the previous paragraph implies that  $\tilde{N} \leq Z(\mathrm{GL}_m(k))$ , so  $\ker \gamma = N = \tilde{N}\eta = 1$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore, Proposition 2.4 yields a faithful irreducible representation  $\mu: G \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(r)$  where  $m = r^n$  for a prime  $r \neq \mathrm{char} k$ . By Lemma 2.2, if  $r \neq 2$ , then  $H$  is a split extension of  $G$ , so  $\ker \gamma = 1$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $r = 2$ , so  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$  and, using Lemma 3.1,

$$m = 2^n \geq 2^{n_G} \geq 2^{n'_G} = 2^{2^{\ell-1}(n'_T)^\ell} \geq \ell \cdot 2^{n'_T},$$

which contradicts our assumption that  $m < \ell \cdot 2^{n'_T}$ . □

## References

- [1] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, *The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [2] A. H. Clifford, *Representations induced in an invariant subgroup*, Ann. of Math. **38** (1937), 533–550.
- [3] D. Ellis and S. Harper, *Orbits of permutation groups with no derangements*, in preparation.
- [4] W. Feit and J. Tits, *Projective representations of minimum degree of group extensions*, Can. J. Math. **30** (1978), 1092–1102.
- [5] R. M Guralnick and M. W Liebeck, *Permutation representations of nonsplit extensions involving alternating groups*, Israel J. Math. **229** (2019), 181–191.
- [6] G.D. James, *On the minimal dimensions of irreducible representations of symmetric groups*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **94** (1983), 417–424.
- [7] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. Parker and R. Wilson, *An Atlas of Brauer Characters*, LMS Monographs New Series Vol. 11, Oxford Univ. Press, 1995.
- [8] P. B. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck, *On a theorem of Feit and Tits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1989), 315–322.
- [9] P. B. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck, *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 129, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [10] V. Landazuri and G. M. Seitz, *On the minimal degrees of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups*, J. Algebra **32** (1974), 418–443.
- [11] M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, *On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite exceptional groups of Lie type*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (1987), 299–330.
- [12] F. Lübeck, *Small degree representations of finite Chevalley groups in defining characteristic*, LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics **4** (2001), 135–169.
- [13] P.H. Tiep, *Low dimensional representations of finite quasisimple groups*, in Groups, Combinatorics and Geometry (Durham, 2001), 277–294, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.

Scott Harper  
School of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of St Andrews  
St Andrews, KY16 9SS, UK  
scott.harper@st-andrews.ac.uk

Martin W. Liebeck  
Department of Mathematics  
Imperial College London  
London, SW7 2BZ, UK  
m.liebeck@imperial.ac.uk