EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF POINTS IN THE HARMONIC ENSEMBLE FOR THE WASSERSTEIN DISTANCE

PABLO GARCÍA ARIAS

Departament de Matemàtiques i Informàtica, Universitat de Barcelona

ABSTRACT

We study the asymptotics of the expected Wasserstein distance between the empirical measure of a Determinantal Point Process and the background volume form. The main DPP studied is the harmonic ensemble, where we get the optimal rate of convergence for homogeneous manifolds of dimension $d \geq 3$, and for two-point homogeneous manifolds. We also discuss some variations of this process on the torus.

Keywords Determinantal Point Process · Harmonic ensemble

Acknowledgements

The author has been supported by the grant "Ayudas para contratos predoctorales para la formación de doctores 2022", by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación and by the FSE+, project PID2021-123405NB-I00 and by the Departament de Recerca i Universitats, grant 2021 SGR 00087.

I would also like to thank Joaquim Ortega-Cerdà for his insights during the writing of this paper.

1 Introduction

Determinantal Point Processes (abbreviated DPPs) are a type of random point process that have gained interest due to its applications in physics, its frequent appearance in random matrix theory, and its ability to get uniformly distributed points. Unlike other ways of getting random points, like choosing them from identically independently distributed random variables, DPPs have a built-in repulsion between points. Due to this, they are used to model fermionic particle systems, where the particles have all the same charge and therefor are influenced by repulsive forces. Other notable DPPs are constructed by taking eigenvalues or singular values of matrices (under some assumptions), like the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble.

This gives a motivation to check whether for a DPP the empirical measure $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\delta_{x_n}$ approximates the background measure. When it is the case, we can also try to quantify this equidistribution. There are several ways of doing it, being perhaps the most common ones through the discrepancy and the Wasserstein distance. In this paper we focus on the latter, being worth commenting that we will work with W_2 , while many of the previous literature studied W_1 .

A DPP has its joint intensities given by the determinant of a positive semi-definite kernel, being the point process fully determined by a suitable choice of the kernel. A type that is specially common is when this is the reproducing kernel of some vector space of functions. The harmonic ensemble is constructed this way, by taking as vector space the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator with eigenvalue up to a certain threshold. The study of this ensemble has been a motivation for this paper.

The key scheme for the proofs are based in the paper [\[1](#page-11-0)], where the harmonic and spherical ensemble in \mathbb{S}^2 and a variation of the harmonic in \mathbb{T}^2 were studied. The idea is to use a "smoothing inequality", a Berry-Esseen type inequality for the Wasserstein distance W_2 (see [\[2](#page-11-1)] and Section [4.1](#page-4-0) for the details). We rewrite the application of this inequality for a general manifold and general point process. The main contributions of this paper are:

- We get an optimal result for finite dimensional projection kernels with constant first intensity in dimension $d \geq 3$. This applies to the spherical ensemble, the jittered sampling, and the harmonic ensemble in homogeneous manifolds. We present weaker results for lower dimensions and the harmonic ensemble in general compact manifolds.
- We apply a different approach to the harmonic ensemble in two-point homogeneous manifolds, where we can get the optimal result for dimension $d \geq 2$. It uses that the kernel is explicit, so we can bound precisely the variance of smooth linear statistics.
- We discuss variations of the Harmonic Ensemble in the torus \mathbb{T}^2 , proving also an optimal rate of convergence. For higher dimensions, the previous results can be directly applied.

2 Background

We will assume during this paper that M is a compact connected Riemannian manifold without boundary. We denote by d its real dimension. The normalized volume form is Vol. When integrating with respect to it we will abbreviate $d \text{Vol}(x)$ to just dx, unless it could be confused with some other standard measure.

The Laplacian $\Delta = -\text{div }\nabla$ has a decomposition in eigenvalues $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots$ and eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ that form an orthonormal base of $L^2(\mathbb{M})$.

A special class of compact manifolds we will work with are the homogeneous manifolds, that is, manifolds such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{M}$ there exists an isometry $g : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{M})$ with $g(x) = y$.

A two point homogeneous manifold is a Riemannian manifold such that for any two pairs of points $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{M}$ and $y_0, y_1 \in \mathbb{M}$ with $d(x_0, x_1) = d(y_0, y_1)$ there exists an isometry g with $g(x_i) = y_i$.

The complete list of compact connected two-point homogeneous manifolds is known [\[3](#page-11-2)]:

- The sphere \mathbb{S}^d
- The projective spaces \mathbb{RP}^n , \mathbb{CP}^n , \mathbb{HP}^n and \mathbb{OP}^2 .

Integrating over projective spaces is very similar to integrating overs spheres, and [\[4](#page-11-3)] realizes a parallel study of them by choosing some adequate constants. What we will require to use is the fact that for an integrable function $F: \mathbb{FP}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of the form $F(x) = f(d(x, x_0))$ we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{P}^n} F(x)dx = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} f(r) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_M} \sin^{d-1} r \cos^{\dim \mathbb{F} - 1} r\right) dr
$$

where dim F means the dimension of F as a real vector space and d the dimension of the manifold $d = n \dim F$. The constant γ_M depends on the projective space we are integrating over, so that its volume is 1.

2.1 Determinantal Point Processes

A Point Process on M is a random variable that outputs subsets of M without accumulation points. A Determinantal Point Process (or DPP) is a point process whose joint intensities $\rho_k : \mathbb{M}^k \to [0, \infty)$ are given by $\rho_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) =$ $\det(K(x_i, x_j))_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}$ for some kernel $K : \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{C}$ and a background measure that we have fixed as Vol.

Note that for any kernel K , we are not guaranteed the existence of a DPP with it as kernel. There are conditions to impose just to get the functions ρ_k to be non-negative, which is needed to get valid probability distributions. Besides the necessary basic assumptions, Macchi-Soshnikov theorem answers this question.

We assume that the kernel is locally square integrable on \mathbb{M}^2 , so we can consider the operator

$$
\mathcal{K}: L^2(\mathbb{M}) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{M})
$$

$$
f \longmapsto \mathcal{K}f(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y)dy
$$

We are particularly interested in the case when K is a projection operator over some vector space $V \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{M})$. These are called projection DPP, or finite dimensional projection DPP, given that the dimension of V is finite. If ${f_n}_{n=1}^N$ is an orthonormal base of V , we can write the kernel as

$$
K(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(x) \overline{f}_n(y).
$$

It is a fact well known that the joint intensities of a point process satisfy that for f a measurable function and $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathbb{M}$ points given by the DPP

$$
\mathbb{E} \sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_k \text{ distinct}} f(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \int f(x_1,\ldots,x_k) \ d\rho_k(x_1,\ldots,x_k).
$$

The expression we are computing the expected value is called a statistic of the process. A specially interesting case are linear statistics, this is, the random variable $\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(x_n)$.

For finite projection kernels, we can compute the variance of a linear statistic like

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(x_n)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \iint |f(x) - f(y)|^2 |K(x, y)|^2 dx dy. \tag{1}
$$

For a more detailed explanation of Determinantal Point Processes, we recommend [\[5\]](#page-11-4).

The main DPP we focus our attention in this paper is the *Harmonic ensemble*. In a compact manifold M, if we write the eigenvalues of Δ without repetition $\tilde{\lambda}_0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 < \tilde{\lambda}_2 < \ldots$ we define the kernel of the harmonic ensemble as

$$
K_L(x,y) := \sum_{\lambda_m \leq \tilde{\lambda}_L} \varphi_m(x) \varphi_m(y)
$$

for $L \in \mathbb{N}$. This is, we are projecting over the vector space formed by the eigenfunctions with eigenvalue $\lambda \leq \lambda_L$.

We assume this has N points, this is, $N = # \{\lambda_m \leq \tilde{\lambda}_L\}$. A useful point-wise bound for this kernel is Hörmander result [\[6\]](#page-11-5):

$$
K_L(x,y) \lesssim \frac{N}{1 + N^{\frac{1}{d}} d(x,y)}.
$$

Another interesting DPP is the spherical ensemble. Here, in the Riemann sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , we are projecting over the space of polynomials of degree less than *n*. We usually prefer to look it in $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{p\}$. For this, consider the standard stereographic projection $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then the kernel can be seen to be

$$
K_n(x,y) = C \frac{\left(1 + f(x)\overline{f(y)}\right)^n}{\left(1 + |f(x)|^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(1 + |f(y)|^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}
$$

for an appropriate constant C .

Generalizing this ensemble to higher dimension in a useful way is not a trivial fact. In [\[7](#page-11-6)] the authors showed there is a *reasonable* generalization to even-dimensional spheres that has constant first intensity. Another process related to it is the projective ensemble, constructed in an analogous way but in the complex projective spaces. See [\[8\]](#page-11-7) for the details.

The Jittered Sampling consists in partitioning the manifold M in N equal area parts, and choosing at random one point in each piece. This turns out to be a DPP with finite dimensional kernel: for a partition $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^N$ with $\text{Vol}(A_n) = \frac{1}{N}$ its kernel has the form

$$
K(x, y) = N \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{A_n}(x) \chi_{A_n}(y).
$$

2.2 Wasserstein distance

The Wasserstein distance of two probabilities measures μ and ν is defined as

$$
W_p(\mu, \nu) = \left(\inf_{\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M}} \int d(x, y)^p \ d\pi(x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},
$$

where the infimum is taken over all probabilities π on $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M}$ with μ as one marginal and ν as the other. For $p \ge 1$ this is a distance in the space of probabilities with finite p-order moment.

The key property of this metric is that if we have a sequence of probabilities μ_N , then $\mu_N \to \mu$ if and only if $W_p(\mu_N, \mu) \to 0$. This gives a way of quantifying the speed of convergence for some sequences of probabilities measures. Of course, there are other interesting approaches to quantify this, like the discrepancy. A standard reference for this topic is [\[9\]](#page-11-8).

It is worth commenting that when we have $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N$ points in a d-dimensional manifold, there is a classical lower bound for the Wasserstein distance between its empirical measure and the volume. It requires using that the Wasserstein distances are increasing: $W_1 \leq W_p$ for $p \geq 1$, and then we can use the Kantorovich duality to get

$$
W_1\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\right) \ge \int d(y, \{x_n\}) dy
$$

Consider now around each point a ball of radius $\delta > 0$. Note that is volume is Vol $B(x, \delta) \approx \delta^d$. Outside these balls, the distance function can be bounded by $d(y, \{x_n\}) \ge \delta$. This gives us the bound

$$
W_1\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\right) \ge \int_{\{d(y,\{x_n\})\ge \delta} d(y,\{x_n\}) dy \ge \delta\big(1 - \text{Vol}\left(\{d(y,\{x_n\}) \le \delta\}\right)\big) \ge \delta\left(1 - N c \delta^d\right)
$$

Finally, we make $\delta^d = \frac{1}{2cN}$, to get

$$
W_1\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\right) \gtrsim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{d}}}.
$$

2.3 Sobolev seminorms

For functions in $L^2(\mathbb{M})$ and $0 < s < 1$ we define the fractional Sobolev spaces as

$$
H^{s}(\mathbb{M}) = \left\{ f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{M}) \ : \ [f]_{s} < \infty \right\}, \qquad [f]_{s}^{2} = \iint \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^{2}}{d(x, y)^{d+2s}} dx dy.
$$

Beside the definition, we will use that for this seminorm is known for eigenfunctions $[\varphi_m]_s^2 \approx \lambda_m^s$, see [\[10\]](#page-11-9) for the computations. We also require the following proposition. This is an adaptation of [\[11,](#page-11-10) Lemma 4.2] done in [\[12,](#page-11-11) Proposition 2.14], where we highlight that the assumption on the injectivity radius is not necessary for complete manifolds.

Proposition ([\[12](#page-11-11), Proposition 2.14]). Let \mathbb{M} be a d-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with diameter $d_{\mathbb{M}}$. Assume there is a constant $c_M \leq d_M/2$ such that $\text{Vol}(B(x,r)) \gtrsim r^d$ for $r \leq c_M$. Let $K : \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric *kernel such that*

$$
\int_{B(x,2r)\backslash B(x,r)} |K(x,y)| dy \leq \Lambda r^{-2s}, \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{M}, \forall r \in \left(0, \frac{d_{\mathbb{M}}}{2}\right),
$$

for some $\Lambda > 0$ *and some* $s \in (0,1)$ *. Then there exists a constant* $C = C(d, s)$ *such that for any* $g \in H^s(\mathbb{M})$

$$
\iint_{d(x,y)<2c_{\mathbb{M}}} |g(x)-g(y)|^2 K(x,y)dxdy \leq C\Lambda[g]_s^2.
$$

3 Main results

Theorem 1. *Given* $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N$ *given by a finite dimensional projection DPP*

$$
K_N(x,y) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} f_m^N(x) \overline{f}_m^N(y)
$$

with $\left\{f_m^N\right\}_{m=1}^N$ *orthonormal in* $L^2(\mathbb{M})$ *and* $K_N(x, x) = N$ *constant, then*

• *For dimension* $d \geq 3$ *,* $\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ $\frac{1}{N}\sum \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\bigg) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{d}}.$

• For dimension
$$
d = 2
$$
, $\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum \delta_{x_n}$, Vol $\right) \lesssim \sqrt{\log N}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

It is immediate that this theorem applies to the Spherical ensemble and the jittered sampling. It also work in the generalizations of the spherical ensemble discussed before.

Corollary 2. *The harmonic ensemble on a compact connected homogeneous manifold satisfies the hypothesis of the previous theorem.*

Moreover, when we don't assume that the manifold is homogeneous, we can still give some convergence. Theorem 3. *For a compact connected manifold, the harmonic ensemble satisfies*

\n- For dimension
$$
d \geq 3
$$
, $\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum \delta_{x_n}$, Vol $\right) \lesssim N^{-\frac{2}{d^2}}$.
\n- For dimension $d = 2$, $\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum \delta_{x_n}$, Vol $\right) \lesssim \sqrt{\log N}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
\n

Finally, the next theorem follows a different approach and proves the optimal rate of convergence for some families of

Theorem 4. For a two point homogeneous manifold \mathbb{M} with dimension $d \geq 2$, the harmonic ensemble satisfies

$$
\mathbb{E} W_2\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\right) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{d}}.
$$

We would like to comment on the fact that i.i.d. points attain the optimal rate for dimensions $d \geq 3$ but for $d = 2$ they instead satisfy

$$
\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\right) \approx \sqrt{\log N}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

This means that the improvement due to the repulsion of a DPP is visible when $d = 2$. In section [5](#page-9-0) we will check that the same happens when the manifold is a (not necessarily flat) torus.

4 Proofs

manifolds.

4.1 Smoothing inequality

The main tool to obtain the results is the smoothing inequality, a Berry-Esseen type inequality for the Wasserstein distance W_2 . This was proved in [\[2](#page-11-1), Theorem 5].

For points in $\{x_n\} \subseteq M$ and any $t \geq 0$ we have the inequality

$$
W_2\left(\sum_{n=1}^N\frac{1}{N}\delta_{x_n},\text{Vol}\right)\leq \left(d\cdot t+K(\mathbb{M})t^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+2\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{m=1}^\infty\frac{e^{-\lambda_m t}}{\lambda_m}\left|\sum_{n=1}^N\varphi_m(x_n)\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where the constant $K(\mathbb{M})$ depends only on the manifold, being actually 0 in many common cases. In any case, we are interested in looking into the asymptotics when $t \to 0$, so we can disregard this term of $t^{\frac{3}{2}}$ at the cost of (possibly) worsening the constant of the term t . When the points come from some random process, we can pass to the expected value using the triangular inequality for the L^2 norm:

$$
\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\sum_{n=1}^N\frac{1}{N}\delta_{x_n},\text{Vol}\right)\leq\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(W_2^2)}\leq\left(d\cdot t+K(\mathbb{M})t^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+2\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{m=1}^\infty\frac{e^{-\lambda_m t}}{\lambda_m}\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^N\varphi_m(x_n)\right|^2\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\tag{2}
$$

Remark: Note that here we have a key strength of this method, as from the point process we now only require a estimate on $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\varphi_{m}(x_{n})\right| \right)$ $\binom{2}{1}$, and from this we can independently get a bound for the Wasserstein distance. This is precisely what we have done to produce the main results of this theorem, bound the relevant expression in each case and then substituting the bound in the smoothing inequality

We can summarize this technique in the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{M}$ be from some point process. Assume we have the following estimates

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_m(x_n)\right|^2\right) \lesssim N^a \lambda_m^b, \qquad b + \frac{d}{2} > 1.
$$

Then

$$
\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\gamma}}, \qquad \gamma = \frac{2-a}{2b+d}.
$$

If instead we have $b + \frac{d}{2} = 1$ *, we have* $\mathbb E W_2 \left(\sum^N \right)$ $n=1$ 1 $\frac{1}{N} \delta_{x_n}, \operatorname{Vol} \Bigg) \lesssim$ $\sqrt{\log N}$ $\frac{\log 1}{N^{\gamma}}$.

Proof. Let's focus on the $b + \frac{d}{2} > 1$ case first. To prove it, we use that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{\lambda_m t}\lambda_m^A\lesssim t^{-\left(A+\frac{d}{2}\right)}
$$

for $A = b - 1$. To compute the series we define the following function and bound it using Weyl's law:

 $F(x) = |\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_m \leq x\}| = C_d V_M x^{\frac{d}{2}} + O(x^{\frac{d-1}{2}}) \lesssim x^{\frac{d}{2}}.$ Then the series can be bound as

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_m t} \lambda_m^A = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} x^A dF(x) = -\int_0^{\infty} F(x) (e^{tx} x^A)' dx = \int_0^{\infty} F(x) (te^{tx} x^A - e^{-tx} A x^{A-1}) dx
$$

\n
$$
I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} F(x) t e^{-tx} x^A dx \lesssim t \int_0^{\infty} e^{tx} x^{A + \frac{d}{2}} dx = \int_0^{\infty} e^{y} t^{-(A + \frac{d}{2})} y^{A + \frac{d}{2}} dy = t^{-(A + \frac{d}{2})} \Gamma\left(A + \frac{d}{2} + 1\right)
$$

\n
$$
I_2 = \int F(x) e^{-tx} x^{A-1} dx \le t^{-(A + \frac{d}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} y^{A-1 + \frac{d}{2}} \le t^{-(A + \frac{d}{2})} \Gamma\left(A + \frac{d}{2}\right)
$$

\nWe can put this in (2) to set

We can put this in [\(2\)](#page-4-1) to get

$$
\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\right) \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(N^{a-2} t^{-\left(b-1+\frac{d}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \underset{t=N-a}{=} \left(N^{-\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(N^{a-2+\alpha\left(b-1+\frac{d}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and, optimizing the exponent in terms of α we get the exponent $\gamma = \frac{2-a}{2b+d}$.

For the case when $b + \frac{d}{2} = 1$ we have to be a little more precise, as the Gamma integrals do not converge. The first observation is that, because $F(x) = 0$ for $0 \le x \le \lambda_1$ we can eliminate this part of the integrals and bound them with

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_m} \lambda_m^{b-1} \lesssim \int_{\lambda_1}^{\infty} F(x) e^{-tx} \left(tx^{b-1} + x^{b-2} \right) dx \lesssim \int_{\lambda_1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-tx} \left(tx^{b-1} + x^{b-2} \right) dx
$$

$$
= \int_{\lambda_1}^{\infty} t e^{-tx} + e^{-tx} x^{-1} dx = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\infty} e^{-y} (1 + y^{-1}) dy \le 1 - \log \lambda_1 t \lesssim 1 - \log t
$$

We can input this in the smoothing inequality, and after substituting $t = N^{-\alpha}$, we get the bound

$$
\mathbb{E} W_2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol} \right) \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}} + N^{\frac{a-2}{2}} \left(1 - \log t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = N^{\frac{-\alpha}{2}} + N^{\frac{a-2}{2}} \left(1 + \alpha \log N \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim N^{\frac{a-2}{2}} \sqrt{\log N}
$$

Remark: The first new observation is that while previous results were bounding the next quantity directly, we can write it using the variance as

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\varphi_{m}(x_{n})\right|^{2}\right) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\varphi_{m}(x_{n})\right) + \left|\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\varphi_{m}(x_{n})\right)\right|^{2},\tag{3}
$$

 \Box

being this form more useful to bound. A key example is when the first intensity $\rho_1(x) = K(x, x)$ is constant, as then the second term vanishes we only require a bound in the variance of a smooth linear statistic.

4.2 Finite Projection kernels

Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-3-0) Due to the previous remark, it is a direct consequence of the following bound to the variance, applied to the smoothing inequality lemma.

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} g(x_n)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \iint |g(x) - g(y)|^2 |K_N(x, y)|^2 dx dy \le 2 \iint |g(x)|^2 |K_N(x, y)|^2 dx dy
$$

$$
= 2 \int |g(x)|^2 K_N(x, x) dx = 2N ||g||_{L_2}^2.
$$

Proof of Corollary [2.](#page-4-2) We only need to prove that the harmonic ensemble has first intensity constant under the assumption that the manifold is homogeneous. For this, recall first that if $g : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M}$ is an isometry, then it commutes with the Laplacian (see [\[13](#page-11-12), Section 4.4]). Then, if $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{M})$ is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian, the function $\varphi \circ g$ is also an eigenfunction with the same eigenvalue.

Recall that we can write the eigenvalues without repetitions as $0 = \tilde{\lambda}_0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 < \tilde{\lambda}_2 < \cdots$. Note that for any $\tilde{\lambda}_l$ there are finitely eigenfunctions V_l , with a base Y_j^l for $j = 1, 2, ..., d_l = \dim V_l$. Then the harmonic kernel is just the sum of the reproducing kernels of V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_L .

$$
K_L(x,y) = \sum_{l=0}^L \sum_{j=1}^{d_l} Y_j^l(x) \overline{Y_j^l(y)}.
$$

But given an isometry g, we have that $\left\{Y_j^l \circ g\right\}_{j=1}^{d_l}$ is another base of V_l and then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d_l}Y_j^l(x)\overline{Y_j^l(y)}=\sum_{j=1}^{d_l}Y_j^l(g(x))\overline{Y_j^l(g(y))}.
$$

The homogeneous assumption on the manifold guaranties that we can always find $g(x) = a$ for $a \in M$ fixed, so $K(x, x) = K(a, a)$ is constant. Note that the fact that $Vol(M) = 1$ implies $K(x, x) = N$. $K(x, x) = K(a, a)$ is constant. Note that the fact that $Vol(M) = 1$ implies $K(x, x) = N$.

Proof of Theorem [3.](#page-4-3) We need to estimate the two parts in [\(3\)](#page-5-0). Let's start with the variance. As we don't have now that the first intensity is constant, we can use either Hörmander bound or the following consequence of Weyl's law (see [\[14](#page-11-13)]): $K_L(x, x) = N + \mathcal{O}(N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}) \lesssim N$. We have then

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_m(x_m)\right) \le 2 \int |\varphi_m(x)|^2 K_L(x,x) dx \lesssim N.
$$

For the other term,

$$
\left| \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \varphi_m(x_n)\right) \right| = \left| \int \varphi_m(x) K_L(x, x) \, dx \right| = \left| \int \varphi_m(x) \left(K_L(x, x) - N \right) dx \right| \lesssim \left| \int |\varphi_m(x)| N^{1 - \frac{1}{d}} dx \right|
$$

$$
\left| \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \varphi_m(x_n)\right) \right|^2 \lesssim N^{2 - \frac{2}{d}} \int |\varphi_m(x)|^2 dx = N^{2 - \frac{2}{d}}.
$$

To sum up, we have gotten for dimension $d \geq 2$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\varphi_m(x_n)\right|^2\right) \lesssim N + N^{2-\frac{2}{d}} \lesssim N^{2-\frac{2}{d}}
$$

 \Box

4.3 2 point homogeneous manifolds

The following result was proved in [\[12\]](#page-11-11) for the sphere \mathbb{S}^d . We generalize it for the projective spaces, as we can take advantage that the kernel can be expressed in terms of Jacobi polynomials when the manifold is two point homogeneous.

Theorem 6. Let \mathbb{FP}^n be a two point homogeneous manifold with dimension $d \geq 2$ and $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{FP}^n)$. Given $\{x_n\}$ N *points from the harmonic ensemble, we have the following limit is a seminorm equivalent to*

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(x_n)\right) = |||f|||_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \approx_d [f]_{\frac{1}{2}}^2.
$$

where $|||f|||_{\frac{1}{2}}^2$ is a seminorm equivalent to $[f]_{\frac{1}{2}}^2$.

Proof. In the projective spaces, the harmonic ensemble has an explicit kernel:

$$
K_L(x,y)=\frac{\left(\frac{d}{2}+\frac{\dim \mathbb{F}}{2}\right)_L}{\left(\frac{\dim \mathbb{F}}{2}\right)_L}P_L^{\left(\frac{d}{2},\frac{\dim \mathbb{F}}{2}-1\right)}\left(\cos(2d(x,y))\right)=\frac{N}{\left(\frac{L+\frac{d}{2}}{L}\right)}P_L^{\left(\frac{d}{2},\frac{\dim \mathbb{F}}{2}-1\right)}\left(\cos(2d(x,y))\right)
$$

where the number of points is $N \approx L^d$. Using the formula [\(1\)](#page-2-0) for the variance we deduce that the limit we want to compute is \mathbf{v}

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{1 - \frac{1}{d}}} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} g(x_n)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{L^{d-1}} \int \int |g(x) - g(y)|^2 K_L(x, y)^2 dx dy.
$$

We are going to split the integral in 3 parts, depending on a parameter $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

For the first part, we can use the global bound due to Hörmander:

$$
K_L(x, y) \le C \frac{L^d}{1 + Ld(x, y)} \le C \frac{L^{d-1}}{d(x, y)}.
$$

This gives us

$$
\frac{1}{L^{d-1}} \iint_{d(x,y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2L}} |g(x) - g(y)|^2 K_L(x,y)^2 dx dy \lesssim L^{d-1} \iint_{d(x,y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2L}} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{d(x,y)^2} dx dy
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\varepsilon^{d-1}}{2^{d-1}} \iint_{d(x,y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2L}} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{d(x,y)^{d+1}} dx dy \lesssim \varepsilon^{d-1} [g]_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \tag{4}
$$

For the far side, we can use the following result of Szegö [\[15,](#page-11-14) Theorem 7.32.3] to get

$$
\left| L\left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{d+1} \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\dim \mathbb{F}+1} P_L^{\left(\frac{d}{2}, \frac{\dim F}{2}-1\right)}(\cos\theta)^2 \right| \leq C.
$$

To apply this result, we will take the convention $\theta = 2d(x, y)$ for the rest of the proof. This way we have

$$
K_L(x,y) = \frac{N}{\binom{L+\frac{d}{2}}{L}} P_L^{\left(\frac{d}{2},\frac{\dim F}{2}-1\right)}(\cos \theta).
$$

It is easy to check that $\frac{N^2}{\sqrt{N}}$ $\frac{1}{\left(\frac{L+\frac{d}{2}}{L}\right)^2} \approx L^d$. With these facts we can deduce

$$
\frac{1}{L^{d-1}} \iint_{2d(x,y)>\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{L}} |g(x)-g(y)|^2 K_L(x,y) dxdy \lesssim \frac{1}{L^{d-1}} \iint_{2d(x,y)>\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{L}} |g(x)|^2 K_L(x,y) dxdy
$$
\n
$$
\approx L \int |g(x)|^2 \int_{2d(x,y)>\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{L}} P_L^{\left(\frac{d}{2},\frac{\dim F}{2}-1\right)}(\cos\theta)^2 dy dx
$$
\n
$$
= L \int |g(x)|^2 \int_{2t>\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{L}} P_L^{\left(\frac{d}{2},\frac{\dim F}{2}-1\right)}(\cos 2t)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma_M} \sin^{d-1}(t) \cos^{(\dim F-1)}(t) dt dx
$$
\n
$$
\approx ||g||_{L^2}^2 \int_{\theta=\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{L}}^{\pi} L P_L^{\left(\frac{d}{2},\frac{\dim F}{2}-1\right)}(\cos\theta)^2 \sin^{d-1}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos^{(\dim F-1)}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) dt
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim ||g||_{L^2}^2 \int_{\theta=\pi-\frac{\epsilon}{L}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} \approx ||g||_{L^2}^2 \frac{\epsilon}{L} \xrightarrow{L\to\infty} 0
$$
\n(5)

We can now focus on the middle region,

$$
\Omega_{\varepsilon,L} = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{FP}^n \; : \; \frac{\varepsilon}{L} \leq 2d(x,y) = \theta \leq \pi - \frac{\varepsilon}{L} \right\}.
$$

This other result of Szegö [\[15](#page-11-14), Theorem 8.21.13] allows us to write

$$
K_L(x, y)^2 = \frac{N^2}{L\left(\frac{L + \frac{d}{2}}{L}\right)^2} k^2(\theta) h_L^2(\theta)
$$

$$
k^2(\theta) = \pi^{-1} \left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{-(d+1)} \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{-(\dim \mathbb{F} - 1)}
$$

$$
h_L(\theta) = \cos\left(\theta \left(L + \frac{d + \dim \mathbb{F}}{4}\right) - \frac{\pi}{4}(d+1)\right) + \frac{O(1)}{L\sin\theta}
$$

We can define now the seminorm

$$
\iint |g(x) - g(y)|^2 k (2d(x, y))^2 dx dy =: 2|||g|||_{\frac{1}{2}}^2.
$$

Because of $k(2d(x, y)) \ge \sin(d(x, y))^{-(d+1)} \ge d(x, y)^{-(d+1)}$ we have $|||g|||_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \gtrsim |g|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2$. For the other inequality, we want to use [\[12,](#page-11-11) Proposition 2.14], so we have to check that

$$
\int_{r \le d(x,y) \le 2r} k(2d(x,y))^2 dx dy \le \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{r \le d(x,y) \le \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{dy}{\sin(d(x,y))^{d+1} \cos(d(x,y))^{\dim \mathbb{F}-1}} \approx \int_{r}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(\sin t)^{d-1} (\cos t)^{\dim \mathbb{F}-1}}{(\sin t)^{d+1} (\cos t)^{\dim \mathbb{F}-1}} dt = \int_{r}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{(\sin t)^2} dt \approx \frac{1}{\tan \frac{r}{4}} \lesssim \frac{1}{r}.
$$

Finally, this proposition gives $\|g\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \lesssim [g]_{\frac{1}{2}}^2$, proving the equivalence of the seminorms.

We write now $h_L^2(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} a_L(\theta) + b_L(\theta) O(1)$ for $a_L(\theta) = \cos\left(\theta \left(2L + \frac{d + \dim \mathbb{F}}{2}\right)\right)$ 2 \setminus − π $\frac{\pi}{2}(d+1)$, $b_L(\theta) = \frac{2}{L\sin\theta} + \frac{1}{L^2\sin\theta}$ $\frac{1}{L^2\sin^2\theta}$.

Notice that $b_L(\theta) \xrightarrow{L \to \infty} 0$ pointwise. Because we have the bound $b_L(\theta) \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{3}{\varepsilon^2}$ we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to get

$$
\lim_{L \to \infty} \iint |g(x) - g(y)|^2 k(\theta)^2 b_L(\theta) O(1) dx dy = 0.
$$

If we apply the disintigration theorem to the distance $d : \mathbb{FP}^n \times \mathbb{FP}^n \to [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ we get a family of Borel probability measures $\{\mu_t\}_{t\in[0,\frac{\pi}{2}]}$ with $\text{supp}\,\mu_t\subseteq d^{-1}(t)=\{d(x,y)=t\}$, and the push-forward measure $\nu=d_*(\text{Vol}\otimes\text{Vol})$ with expression $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_M} \sin^{d-1}(t) \cos^{\dim \mathbb{F} - 1}(t) dt$. Because

$$
\iint |g(x) - g(y)|^2 k (2d(x, y))^2 dx dy = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \iint_{d(x, y) = t} |g(x) - g(y)|^2 k (2t)^2 d\mu_t(x, y) d\nu(t)
$$

we deduce that the function

$$
F(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_M} \sin^{d-1}(t) \cos^{\dim \mathbb{F}-1}(t) \iint_{d(x,y)=t} |g(x) - g(y)|^2 k(2t)^2 d\mu_t(x,y)
$$

belongs to $F(t) \in L^1([0, \frac{\pi}{2}], dt)$ and, after noticing that

$$
a_L(\theta) \in \left\{ \pm \cos \left(\theta \left(2L + \frac{d + \dim \mathbb{F}}{2} \right) \right), \pm \sin \left(\theta \left(2L + \frac{d + \dim \mathbb{F}}{2} \right) \right) \right\},\
$$

we can use the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma to get

$$
\iint |g(x)-g(y)|^2 k(2d(x,y))^2 a_L(2d(x,y)) dx dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[0,\frac{\pi}{2}]}(t) F(t) a_L(2t) dt \xrightarrow{L \to \infty} 0.
$$

 \Box

From this, we can deduce a Corollary, which is actually what we are interested in using.

Corollary 7. For a two-point homogeneous manifold M with dimension $d \geq 2$ and all functions $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{M})$ with $||f||_{L^2}^2 \leq N^{\frac{1}{d}}[f]_{\frac{1}{2}}^2$ the harmonic ensemble satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n)\right) \lesssim N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}[f]_{\frac{1}{2}}^2.
$$

Proof. This result is a simplification of the previous result, where we computed the limit. We comment the proof for the projective spaces following the proof of Theorem [6,](#page-7-0) being the same in the sphere following now [\[12](#page-11-11), Theorem 2.2].

Fix a small value for ε , let's say $\varepsilon = 0.01$.

- In the region $d(x, y) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2L}$ the inequalities of [\(4\)](#page-7-0) work directly.
- In the region $\pi \ge 2d(x, y) \ge \pi \frac{\varepsilon}{L}$ we use the hypothesis in [\(5\)](#page-7-0).
- In the middle region, the proof is shorter as we can directly use that $|h_L(\theta)| \leq C$.

$$
\frac{1}{L^{d-1}} \iint_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,L}} |g(x) - g(y)|^2 K(x,y)^2 dx dy \approx \iint_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,L}} |g(x) - g(y)|^2 k(\theta)^2 h_L(\theta)^2 dx dy
$$

$$
\lesssim \iint_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,L}} |g(x) - g(y)|^2 k(\theta)^2 dx dy \lesssim [g]_{\frac{1}{2}}^2.
$$

 \Box

It is clear we can apply this result to the eigenvalues of the Laplacian, so using this bound in the smoothing inequality lemma proves Theorem [4.](#page-4-4)

The key idea of the proof has been to write in an adequate region the kernel as $K_L(x, y)^2 = C_{d,L} \cdot k(d(x, y)) \cdot h_L(x, y)$ with $C_{d,L}$ a constant of the appropriate order to get the results, the oscillatory term h_L bounded independently of L, and k satisfying

$$
\int_{\mathbb{M}} F(d(x,y)) dy \approx \int_0^{d_{\mathbb{M}}} F(r)k(r) dr.
$$

This approach does not seem to work for general homogeneous manifolds, as some numerical computations suggest that this does already not hold on the torus \mathbb{T}^2 .

5 Variations of the Harmonic ensemble on the torus

In the torus $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{Z}^d$, the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian are well studied. Indeed, they are $f(x) = e^{2\pi i \langle j, x \rangle}$ for the grid $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Each has as eigenvalue $4\pi^2 ||j||_2^2$. For the p–norms, $1 \le p \le \infty$, we can consider the DPP with kernel

$$
K_p(x,y) = \sum_{\|j\|_p \le L} e^{2\pi i \langle j, x-y \rangle} \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{T}^d.
$$

Note that what we have called by the harmonic ensemble is the case $p = 2$, while [\[1](#page-11-0)] called the case $p = \infty$ the harmonic ensemble.

Assume ${x_n}_{n=1}^N$ are given by the "p–Harmonic ensemble". The number of points N is the number of lattice integers in the p–ball of radius L. Then, because the DPP has a projection kernel with $K(x, x)$ constant, we can use Theorem [1](#page-3-0) to get that

•
$$
\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{x_n}
$$
, Vol $\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{d}}}$ for dimension $d \ge 3$.
\n• $\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{x_n}$, Vol $\right) \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ for dimension $d = 2$.

This already shows an optimal rate of convergence for high dimensions, so we will focus on $d = 2$. The smoothing inequality lemma and the results for two point homogeneous manifolds suggest that we should aim to prove an inequality as

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle k, x_n \rangle}\right|^2\right) \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}} \|k\|_2, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}
$$

Indeed, this approach is what [\[1](#page-11-0)] used for the case $p = \infty$, where they could use the geometry of the L^{∞} balls to precisely compute the above value. This can be modified to approximately work in the $p = 1$ case, but looks too difficult for a general p.

Following the proof of [\[1,](#page-11-0) Lemma 8], for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, we can express this expected value as follows:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{p} := \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i \langle k, x_{n}\rangle}\right|^{2}\right) = \int K(x, x)\left|e^{2\pi i \langle k, x\rangle}\right|^{2} dx + \iint e^{2\pi i \langle k, x-y\rangle}\left(K(x, x)K(y, y) - K(x, y)^{2}\right) dxdy
$$
\n
$$
= N - \iint e^{2\pi i \langle k, x-y\rangle} K(x, y)^{2} dxdy = N - \sum_{\substack{l, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \\ ||l||_{p}, ||m||_{p} \le L}} \iint e^{2\pi i \langle k + l - m, x-y\rangle} dxdy
$$
\n
$$
= N - \sum_{\substack{l, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \\ ||l||_{p}, ||m||_{p} \le L}} 1
$$
\n
$$
= N - \# \{\text{lattice points in } \overline{B}_{p}(0, L) \cap \overline{B}_{p}(k, L)\}
$$
\n
$$
= \# \{\text{lattice points in } \overline{B}_{p}(0, L) \setminus \overline{B}_{p}(k, L)\}
$$

To prove the desired inequality, we can assume without loss of generality that $0 < ||k||_p < \frac{L}{2}$. Because we have the inclusion $\overline{B}_p(0,L) \setminus \overline{B}_p(k,L) \subseteq \overline{B}_p(0,L) \setminus \overline{B}_p(0,L - ||k||_p)$, we get the inequality

$$
\mathbb{E}_p \leq \#\left\{\text{lattice points in } \overline{B}_p(0,L) \setminus \overline{B}_p(0,L-\|k\|_p)\right\}.
$$

This is going to be much easier to estimate. For example, the case $p = 2$ is already trivial to prove if we use some results of the 'Gauss circle problem'. Call $F(r)$ the number of integer points of \mathbb{Z}^2 inside the closed ball of radius r. Then it is a classical result that

$$
F(r) = \pi r^2 + E(r),
$$

$$
|E(r)| \le 2\sqrt{2}\pi r.
$$

Which for our cases implies

$$
\mathbb{E}_2 \le F(L) - F(L - \|k\|_2) = \pi L^2 + E(L) - \pi^2 (L - \|k\|_2)^2 - E(L - \|k\|_2)
$$

$$
\le 2\pi L \|k\|_2 + 2\sqrt{2}\pi (L + L - k) \lesssim L \|k\|_2 \approx N^{\frac{1}{2}} \|k\|_2.
$$

We could look for similar estimations for other norms and repeat the argument, but for the sake of self-containment we present an elementary one. Again, we will study the $\|\cdot\|_2$ norm case first and then comment on its generalizations.

Consider that there are X integer lattice points in the set $\overline{B}_2(R,0)\setminus\overline{B}_2(r,0)$ with $1 \leq \frac{R}{2} < r < R$. Then we could put a ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ centered at each of the points and the ball would be disjoint. Moreover, they would be contained in $\overline{B}_2(R+\frac{1}{2},0)\backslash\overline{B}_2(r-\frac{1}{2},0)$. Comparing the areas we get

$$
X\pi \frac{1}{4} \le \text{Area}\left(\overline{B}_2(R+\frac{1}{2},0)\backslash \overline{B}_2(r-\frac{1}{2},0)\right) \le 2\pi (R+\frac{1}{2})\cdot (R-r+1) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad X \lesssim R\cdot (R-r)
$$

In our case, we had $R = L$ and $R - r = ||k||_2$, so we still get $\mathbb{E}_2 \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}} ||k||_2$.

Note that this generalizes naturally to any other norm $\|\cdot\|$. With the natural notation

$$
B_{\|\cdot\|}(0,r) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : \ \|x\| \leq r \right\}
$$

we can consider the DPP with kernel

$$
K(x,y) = \sum_{\|k\| \le L} e^{2\pi i \langle k, x-y \rangle}
$$

Because this norm is equivalent to the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ we still get that the number of points is $N_{\|\cdot\|} \approx L^2$, and we can repeat the same argument adjusting the constants.

Theorem 8. Given a norm in \mathbb{T}^2 and x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N drawn from the DPP with kernel

$$
K(x,y) = \sum_{\|k\| \le L} e^{2\pi i \langle k, x-y \rangle},
$$

then we have $\mathbb{E} W_2 \left(\frac{1}{N} \right)$ N \sum N $n=1$ $\delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\right\} \lesssim \frac{1}{N}$ $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Corollary 9. Let $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma$ be a general torus with Γ a lattice. For $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N$ given by the harmonic ensemble they *satisfy*

$$
\mathbb{E}W_2\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{x_n}, \text{Vol}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

Proof. Now the eigenfunctions take the parameters not on the grid \mathbb{Z}^2 but on the dual lattice Γ^* , but we can repeat the argument of the flat torus up to the point

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle k, x_n\rangle}\right|\right) = \#\left\{\text{ lattice points of }\Gamma^*\text{ in }\overline{B}(0,L)\setminus\overline{B}(k,L)\right\}, \qquad k \in \Gamma^*\setminus\{0\}\,.
$$

We can reduce this to flat torus case by noticing that this quantity is the number of points in the lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 for the balls of some norm $\|\cdot\|$. If the dual lattice Γ^* is generated by the vectors v and w this norm is $\|(x, y)\| := \|xv + yw\|_{L^2}$.

References

- [1] B. Borda, P. Grabner, and R. W. Matzke, *Riesz energy,* L ² *discrepancy, and optimal transport of determinantal point processes on the sphere and the flat torus*, 2023.
- [2] B. Borda, *Empirical measures and random walks on compact spaces in the quadratic wasserstein metric*, 2022.
- [3] H.-C. Wang, "Two-point homogeneous spaces," *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 177–191, 1952, ISSN: 0003486X. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1969427>.
- [4] A. Anderson, M. Dostert, P. J. Grabner, R. W. Matzke, and T. A. Stepaniuk, *Riesz and green energy on projective spaces*, 2022.
- [5] J. B. Hough, M. Krishnapur, and Y. Peres, *Zeros of gaussian analytic functions and Determinantal Point Processes*. American Mathematical Society, 2012.
- [6] C. D. Sogge, "On the convergence of riesz means on compact manifolds," *The Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 126, no. 3, p. 439, 1987. DOI: [10.2307/1971356](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971356).
- [7] C. Beltrán and U. Etayo, "A generalization of the spherical ensemble to even-dimensional spheres," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 475, no. 2, pp. 1073–1092, 2019, ISSN: 0022-247X.
- [8] C. Beltrán and U. Etayo, *The projective ensemble and distribution of points in odd-dimensional spheres*, 2017.
- [9] V. Cédric, *Optimal transport: Old and new*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- [10] L. Brandolini, C. Choirat, L. Colzani, G. Gigante, R. Seri, and G. Travaglini, "Quadrature rules and distribution of points on manifolds," *ANNALI SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE - CLASSE DI SCIENZE*, 889–923, 2014. DOI: [10.2422/2036-2145.201103_007](https://doi.org/10.2422/2036-2145.201103_007).
- [11] C. Imbert and L. Silvestre, *The weak harnack inequality for the boltzmann equation without cut-off*, 2019.
- [12] M. Levi, J. Marzo, and J. Ortega-Cerdà, *Linear statistics of determinantal point processes and norm representations*, 2023.
- [13] Y. Canzani, *Analysis on manifolds via the laplacian*. [Online]. Available: <https://canzani.web.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/12623/2016/08/Laplacian.pdf>.
- [14] L. Hörmander, "The spectral function of an elliptic operator," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 121, 193–218, 1968. DOI: [10.1007/bf02391913](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02391913).
- [15] Szegö, *Orthogonal polynomials*. American Mathematical Society, 1959.