

Geometric quantization and unitary highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodules

Meng-Kiat Chuah
Department of Mathematics
National Tsing Hua University
Hsinchu, Taiwan
chuah@math.nthu.edu.tw

Rita Fiorese
FaBiT, University of Bologna
Bologna, Italy
rita.fiorese@unibo.it

Abstract:

Geometric quantization transforms a symplectic manifold with Lie group action to a unitary representation. In this article, we extend geometric quantization to the super setting. We consider real forms of contragredient Lie supergroups with compact Cartan subgroups, and study their actions on some pseudo-Kähler supermanifolds. We construct their unitary representations in terms of sections of some line bundles. These unitary representations contain highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodules, whose occurrences depend on the image of the moment map. As a result, we construct a Gelfand model of highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodules. We also perform symplectic reduction, and show that quantization commutes with reduction.

Keywords: Contragredient Lie supergroup, highest weight supermodule, pseudo-Kähler, geometric quantization, moment map, unitary representation.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B15, 17B20, 53D20, 53D50.

1 Introduction

Let G be a real semisimple Lie group. Geometric quantization [21] associates a G -invariant symplectic manifold X to a unitary G -representation \mathcal{H} , where \mathcal{H} consists of some sections of line bundles on X . For G with compact Cartan subgroup, we apply geometric quantization to obtain a family of discrete series representations in [6]. In view of great mathematical interests in supersymmetry (see for instance [9][22][27] and references therein), it is natural to extend the above result to the super setting. In this article, we consider Berezin integration [27, §4.6] on invariant measure of X to provide an L^2 -structure on \mathcal{H} . This leads to a super unitary G -representation [10] on a family of highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodules [3]. We also consider the notions of moment map [14, §26] and symplectic reduction [14], and study their effects on the unitary representation. We now explain these projects in more details.

In what follows we use the word “ordinary” for the theory of Lie algebras, Lie groups and their representations, to make a distinction from the theory of their super counterparts. We shall discuss many concepts such as pseudo-Kähler, geometric quantization, unitary representation, moment map, symplectic reduction, and it is understood that they are handled in the super setting. To avoid lengthy terminology, we refrain from adding the word “super” such as super pseudo-Kähler and so on.

Let L be a complex Lie supergroup, with $\mathfrak{l} = \text{Lie}(L)$ a contragredient Lie superalgebra. So \mathfrak{l} is one of $\mathfrak{sl}(m, n)$, $B(m, n)$, $C(n)$, $D(m, n)$, $D(2, 1; \alpha)$, $F(4)$, $G(3)$ [18, §2]. Let \mathfrak{g} be a real form of \mathfrak{l} , namely \mathfrak{g} is a real subalgebra such that $\mathfrak{g} \oplus i\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}$ [25]. We assume that \mathfrak{g} has a compact Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{t} . Let $\mathfrak{a} = i\mathfrak{t}$, so that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{l} . It leads to a root space decomposition $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\Delta} \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}$, where \mathfrak{l}_{α} is the root space of $\alpha \in \Delta$. By a choice of positive system Δ^+ , we let \mathfrak{n} consist of the positive root spaces. Then $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ is the Iwasawa decomposition. We always let the uppercase Roman letters be the subgroups for the subalgebras in lowercase Gothic letters, so for instance $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Lie}(A)$ and so on.

We are interested in the supermanifold

$$X = GA.$$

We express the real supergroups by

$$\text{super Harish-Chandra pair (SHCP)} \quad G = (G_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{g}), \quad X = (G_{\bar{0}}A, \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{a}),$$

where $G_{\bar{0}}$ and $G_{\bar{0}}A$ are the ordinary Lie groups with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} + \mathfrak{a}$ respectively [2, Prop.7.4.15]. We will treat X as a supermanifold with symplectic structures and supersymmetries. By the Iwasawa decomposition, GAN is an open subset of L , so we can identify X with an open subset of the complex superspace

L/N (see [3]). Hence X is a complex supermanifold with $G \times H$ -action (namely left G and right H) because H normalizes N .

For convenience, we make the convention that \mathfrak{h}^* denotes the real subspace (1.10) of the dual space of \mathfrak{h} , so that $\mathfrak{h}^* \cong i\mathfrak{t}^* \cong \mathfrak{a}^*$. See Remark 1.8.

We fix a nondegenerate invariant super symmetric bilinear form B on \mathfrak{g} . It extends to \mathfrak{l} by \mathbb{C} -linearity, and is unique up to multiplication by non-zero scalar [18, Prop.2.5.5]. For each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, we have the coroot

$$(1.1) \quad h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}, \quad B(h_\alpha, v) = \alpha(v)$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{h}$. We define the regular elements

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}}^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*; \lambda(h_\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta^+\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}}^*$ is a disjoint union of open cones, known as Weyl chambers.

We shall discuss in Section 3 the $G \times T$ -invariant differential forms on X . In particular, a symplectic form is a closed nondegenerate 2-form, and a pseudo-Kähler form is a symplectic form of type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the complex structure of X . We shall compute the moment map [14, §26] of the right T -action on the pseudo-Kähler form,

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi : X \longrightarrow i\mathfrak{t}^*.$$

The original formula of moment map has image in \mathfrak{t}^* , but we intend to relate it to the integral weights $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$ of T -action, so we add a factor i and obtain (1.2).

We identify a $G \times T$ -invariant function on X with $F \in C^\infty(A)$, namely $F : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. By the exponential map, we identify F with $\tilde{F} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ by $F(e^v) = \tilde{F}(v)$. In Remark 1.8, we use the derivative of \tilde{F} to define the gradient map $F' : A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}^*$. Also, we say that F is nondegenerate (resp. strictly convex) if the Hessian matrix of \tilde{F} is nondegenerate (resp. positive definite) everywhere. The assertion $\Phi(ga) = \frac{1}{2}F'(a)$ below makes use of $i\mathfrak{t}^* \cong \mathfrak{a}^*$.

Theorem 1.1. *Every $G \times T$ -invariant closed $(1,1)$ -form on X can be expressed as $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$, where $F \in C^\infty(A)$. It is pseudo-Kähler if and only if F is nondegenerate and $\text{Im}(F') \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}}^*$. The right T -action has moment map $\Phi(ga) = \frac{1}{2}F'(a)$.*

We shall use the pseudo-Kähler form to obtain unitary realizations of an important class of G -representations, known as Harish-Chandra highest weight supermodules $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$. Let $\Delta_{\bar{0}}, \Delta_{\bar{1}}$ be the even and odd roots. Let \mathfrak{k} be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{l}_{\bar{0}}$ containing \mathfrak{h} , such that $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is a maximal compact subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. We say that an even root is compact if it is a root of \mathfrak{k} , and is noncompact otherwise. We write

$\Delta_{\bar{0}} = \Delta_c \cup \Delta_n$ for the compact and noncompact roots. So for example Δ_c^+ are the positive compact roots. The coroots h_α of (1.1) are used to define

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{C} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* ; \lambda(h_\alpha) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_c^+, \lambda(h_\beta) < 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in \Delta_n^+ \cup \Delta_{\bar{1}}^+\}.$$

We call \tilde{C} the Harish-Chandra cone, since for the ordinary setting, it is defined in [15, §5] to study Harish-Chandra representations. The irreducible highest weight supermodules $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ are parametrized by integral weights $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ in the set

$$(1.4) \quad C = \{\lambda \in \tilde{C} ; (\lambda + \rho)(h_\alpha) < 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_n^+ \cup \Delta_{\bar{1}}^+\},$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\Delta_0^+} \alpha - \sum_{\Delta_{\bar{1}}^+} \alpha \right).$$

The condition in (1.4) assures that $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ is irreducible, and moreover λ is typical. See also [6, (1.7)] for the ordinary setting.

In general C may be empty. To avoid this, we recall the notion of admissible system. Let $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\Delta_c} \mathfrak{l}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{q}^\pm = \sum_{\Delta_n^\pm \cup \Delta_{\bar{1}}^\pm} \mathfrak{l}_\alpha$, so that $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{q}^+ + \mathfrak{q}^-$. The positive system is called admissible if

$$(1.5) \quad [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{q}^\pm] \subset \mathfrak{q}^\pm, \quad [\mathfrak{q}^\pm, \mathfrak{q}^\pm] = 0.$$

Then $C \neq \emptyset$ if and only if the positive system is admissible [7, Thm.1.1]. The real forms with admissible systems are classified in [7, Figs.1-6]. We now assume that the positive system is admissible, so that $C \neq \emptyset$.

Fix a $G \times T$ -invariant pseudo-Kähler form $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ on X , where F is strictly convex. We first construct a super line bundle \mathbb{L} on X . In the ordinary setting, the canonical line bundle $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$ over $X_{\bar{0}} = G_{\bar{0}}A$ has the property that the Chern class of $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$ is the cohomology class $[\omega_{\bar{0}}]$ [21]. Here we extend $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$ to \mathbb{L} , by fixing a global splitting of the complex supergroup $X = GA$.

The line bundle $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$ has a connection ∇ whose curvature is $\omega_{\bar{0}}$. A section s of $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$ is said to be holomorphic if $\nabla_v s = 0$ for all anti-holomorphic vector fields v . We extend the holomorphic structure to \mathbb{L} , via the fixed global splitting of X . Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ denote the space of holomorphic sections on \mathbb{L} .

For each integral weight $\lambda \in it^*$, let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_\lambda$ denote the holomorphic sections that transform by λ under the right T -action (see (2.11)). Let $W(\mathbb{L})_\lambda$ be the smallest SHCP representation of $(G_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{g})$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_\lambda$ which contains the highest weight vector. For $\lambda \in C$, as irreducible G -modules [3, Thm.1],

$$\Theta_{\lambda+\rho} \cong W(\mathbb{L})_\lambda.$$

Here we apply $\mathfrak{h}^* \cong it^* \cong \mathfrak{a}^*$ as explained in Remark 1.8. By this isomorphism, we can regard C as a subset of \mathfrak{h}^* , it^* or \mathfrak{a}^* . We assume that $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ is unitarizable; see Proposition 2.1.

There is an invariant Hermitian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$, which extends to \mathbb{L} canonically, if we require orthogonality between odd and even subspaces [18, Sec.1]. Let μ_A be the positive Haar measure of A . We assume that G has a positive Haar supermeasure μ_G [8][1], i.e. G has non-zero volume, so that $\mu_X = \mu_G \mu_A$ is a positive measure of X for us to perform Berezin integration [27, §4.6].

Consider $\oplus_C W(\mathbb{L})_\lambda$, summed over the integral weights λ in C of (1.4). Let

$$(1.6) \quad (s, t) = \int_X \langle s, t \rangle \mu_X \quad , \quad s, t \in \oplus_C W(\mathbb{L})_\lambda.$$

We shall show that (1.6) is positive definite on the square integrable elements, so we can define

$$(1.7) \quad W^2(\mathbb{L}) = \text{completion of } \{s \in \oplus_C W(\mathbb{L})_\lambda ; (s, s) \text{ converges}\}.$$

Then $W^2(\mathbb{L})$ is a super Hilbert space, and it is a unitary $G \times T$ -representation. See (2.1), (2.2) and Proposition 4.1.

The next theorem determines the occurrences of $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ in $W^2(\mathbb{L})$. Let $\text{Im}(\Phi)$ denote the image of the moment map $\Phi : X \rightarrow it^*$. By Theorem 1.1, $\text{Im}(\Phi) \subset it_{\text{reg}}^*$, so $\text{Im}(\Phi)$ is contained in an open Weyl chamber. We choose ω so that $\text{Im}(\Phi) \subset \tilde{C}$ of (1.3).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ be a $G \times T$ -invariant pseudo-Kähler form on X , where F is strictly convex. Then we have a unitary $G \times T$ -representation on the super Hilbert space $W^2(\mathbb{L}) \cong \sum_{\text{Im}(\Phi)} \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$.*

In Theorem 1.2, we identify $\mathfrak{h}^* \cong it^*$ by Remark 1.8. Also, $\sum_{\text{Im}(\Phi)}$ denotes the Hilbert space sum over the integral weights λ in $\text{Im}(\Phi)$.

Let us consider the consequences of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Let C° be the interior of C , given by replacing \geq with $>$ in (1.3). By Theorem 1.1, $\text{Im}(\Phi) \subset C^\circ$. So if $\lambda \in C \setminus C^\circ$, then $\lambda \notin \text{Im}(\Phi)$, and by Theorem 1.2, $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ cannot occur in $W^2(\mathbb{L})$. To remedy this defect, we generalize the construction of X .

Let Π_c denote the compact simple roots. Given $R \subset \Pi_c$, we define

$$(1.8) \quad \sigma = \{\lambda \in C ; \lambda(h_\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in R \text{ and } \lambda(h_\alpha) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi_c \setminus R\}.$$

We call σ a cell. The subsets of Π_c correspond to the cells, and C is a disjoint union of the cells. Note that $R = \emptyset$ corresponds to the cell $\sigma = C^\circ$.

Fix a cell σ , and equivalently $R \subset \Pi_c$. We define

$$\mathfrak{h}_\sigma = \cap_R \ker(\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{h},$$

and by convention $\mathfrak{h}_\sigma = \mathfrak{h}$ if $R = \emptyset$. Let $\mathfrak{t}_\sigma = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{h}_\sigma$ and $\mathfrak{a}_\sigma = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h}_\sigma$. We use the coadjoint action to define $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma = \{v \in \mathfrak{g} ; \text{ad}_v^* \sigma = 0\}$. Its commutator subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma = [\mathfrak{g}^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}^\sigma]$ is a semisimple Lie algebra. Let

$$X_\sigma = G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \times A_\sigma.$$

It is a complex supermanifold (see (5.3)) with $G \times H_\sigma$ -action. The special case $X_\sigma = GA$ corresponds to $\sigma = C^\circ$.

Let \overline{R} be the roots which are nonnegative linear combinations of R . Then

$$(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma^*)_{\text{reg}} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\sigma^* ; \lambda(h_\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \overline{R}\}.$$

This is a disjoint union of open cones in \mathfrak{a}_σ^* . Similar to (1.11), we have $\mathfrak{a}_\sigma^* \cong i\mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*$, and we define $(i\mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*)_{\text{reg}}$ accordingly. The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. *Every $G \times T_\sigma$ -invariant closed (1,1)-form on X_σ can be expressed as $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$, where $F \in C^\infty(A_\sigma)$. It is pseudo-Kähler if and only if F is nondegenerate and $\text{Im}(F') \subset (\mathfrak{a}_\sigma^*)_{\text{reg}}$. The right T_σ -action has moment map $\Phi_\sigma : X_\sigma \rightarrow i\mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*$ given by $\Phi_\sigma(ga) = \frac{1}{2}F'(a)$.*

Fix a $G \times T_\sigma$ -invariant pseudo-Kähler form $\omega_\sigma = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ on X_σ , where F is strictly convex. We similarly construct a super line bundle \mathbb{L}^σ over X_σ with Hermitian structure. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$ denote its holomorphic sections, and we similarly define $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)_\lambda$ for integral weight $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*$. This is a G -module, and we let $W(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)_\lambda$ be its irreducible submodule which contains the highest weight vector. Consider $\oplus_\sigma W(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)_\lambda$, summed over the integral weights λ in σ . There exists a $G \times H_\sigma$ -invariant measure μ_{X_σ} on X_σ . Similar to (1.6) and (1.7), we integrate to define

$$(s, t) = \int_{X_\sigma} \langle s, t \rangle \mu_{X_\sigma} \quad , \quad s, t \in \oplus_\sigma W(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)_\lambda$$

and obtain the super Hilbert space

$$W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma) = \text{completion of } \{s \in \oplus_\sigma W(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)_\lambda ; (s, s) \text{ converges}\}.$$

By Theorem 1.3, $\text{Im}(\Phi_\sigma) \subset (i\mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*)_{\text{reg}}$, so $\text{Im}(\Phi_\sigma)$ is contained in an open Weyl chamber of $i\mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*$. We choose ω so that $\text{Im}(\Phi_\sigma) \subset \tilde{C}$ of (1.3). Theorem 1.2 generalizes to the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ be a $G \times T_\sigma$ -invariant pseudo-Kähler form on X_σ , where F is strictly convex. Then we have a unitary $G \times T_\sigma$ -representation on the super Hilbert space $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma) \cong \sum_{\text{Im}(\Phi_\sigma)} \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$.*

According to Gelfand [12], a model of compact Lie group is a unitary representation on a Hilbert space in which every irreducible representation occurs once. For non-compact Lie groups with compact Cartan subgroups, this notion is generalized to models of holomorphic discrete series representations [16], and is constructed via geometric quantization [6]. We now extend this construction to the super setting, where we obtain a model of irreducible highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodules.

Fix a cell $\sigma \subset C \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$. Let $\{\lambda_j\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{a}_σ^* such that $\sigma = \{\sum_j c_j \lambda_j ; c_j > 0\}$. Define

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{F}_\sigma : \mathfrak{a}_\sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{F}_\sigma(x) = \sum_j \exp(\lambda_j(x)).$$

This is related to $F_\sigma \in C^\infty(A_\sigma)$ by Remark 1.8. We apply geometric quantization to $(X_\sigma, i\partial\bar{\partial}F_\sigma)$ and obtain $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$. Let $\sum_{\{\sigma\}}$ denote the sum over the collection of all the cells σ .

Theorem 1.5. *For each cell σ , let $F_\sigma \in C^\infty(A_\sigma)$ be given by (1.9). Then $\sum_{\{\sigma\}} W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$ is a unitary G -representation on a super Hilbert space in which every irreducible highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodule occurs once.*

For symplectic manifolds with Lie group actions, symplectic reduction transforms them to symplectic manifolds of lower dimensions [23]. We now extend this process to the super setting.

Let $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ be a $G \times T_\sigma$ -invariant pseudo-Kähler form on X_σ , with moment map $\Phi_\sigma : X_\sigma \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*$ of the right T_σ -action. Let $\lambda \in \text{Im}(\Phi_\sigma)$, and let

$$j : \Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow X_\sigma$$

be the natural inclusion. There is a natural right T_σ -action on $\Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda)$, and it leads to the quotient map

$$\pi : \Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda) \longrightarrow \Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda)/T_\sigma.$$

Theorem 1.6. *There exists a discrete set $\Gamma \subset A_\sigma$ such that $\Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda)/T_\sigma = G/G^\sigma \times \Gamma$. It has a unique G -invariant pseudo-Kähler form $-id\lambda$ such that $\pi^*(-id\lambda) = j^*\omega$.*

In Theorem 1.6, d is the exterior derivative [5, p.234], and we shall explain in (7.9) that $-id\lambda$ can be regarded as a G -invariant 2-form on G/G^σ . Write

$$(X_\sigma)_\lambda = \Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda)/T_\sigma, \quad \omega_\lambda = -id\lambda.$$

The process

$$(X_\sigma, \omega) \rightsquigarrow ((X_\sigma)_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$$

is called symplectic reduction with respect to λ , and $((X_\sigma)_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$ is called the symplectic quotient.

The concept of “quantization commutes with reduction” is proposed by Guillemin and Sternberg [13], often fondly written as $[\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}] = 0$. See [26] for its later developments. We now show that it holds in our setting.

Let us write $\mathcal{Q}(X_\sigma, \omega) = W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$ to emphasize that we obtain $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$ from (X_σ, ω) via geometric quantization. Let $\mathcal{Q}(X_\sigma, \omega)_\lambda$ denote the elements of $\mathcal{Q}(X_\sigma, \omega)$ that transform by λ under the right T_σ -action.

We similarly apply geometric quantization to $((X_\sigma)_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$, and obtain a unitary G -representation $\mathcal{Q}((X_\sigma)_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$. The following theorem says that applying symplectic reduction followed by geometric quantization is equivalent to geometric quantization followed by taking subrepresentation.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ be a $G \times T_\sigma$ -invariant pseudo-Kähler form on X_σ , where F is strictly convex. As unitary G -representations, $\mathcal{Q}((X_\sigma)_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) \cong \mathcal{Q}(X_\sigma, \omega)_\lambda$.*

We end this Introduction by stating two conventions.

Remark 1.8. *Conventions on \mathfrak{h}^* and $C^\infty(A)$.*

For convenience, we define

$$(1.10) \quad \mathfrak{h}^* = \{\lambda : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} ; \lambda \text{ is } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear, } \lambda(\mathfrak{t}) \subset i\mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathbb{R}\}.$$

So \mathfrak{h}^* is a real subspace of the dual space of \mathfrak{h} . By restricting the elements of \mathfrak{h}^* to \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{a} respectively, we have an isomorphism

$$(1.11) \quad \mathfrak{h}^* \cong i\mathfrak{t}^* \cong \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

We let λ denote an integral weight of $\mathfrak{h}^*, i\mathfrak{t}^*, \mathfrak{a}^*$ in various contexts, and they are all related by (1.11). For example, when Theorem 1.1 writes about $\Phi(ga) = \frac{1}{2}F'(a)$, it makes use of (1.11) because $\Phi(ga) \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$ and $\frac{1}{2}F'(a) \in \mathfrak{a}^*$.

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be some linear coordinates on \mathfrak{a} . Given $H \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$, we can define $dH = (\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} dx_i) : \mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}^*$, and this is independent of x . The Hessian matrix $(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_i \partial x_j})$ depends on x , but its nondegenerate or positive definite property is independent of x .

Let $F \in C^\infty(A)$. By the exponential map $\mathfrak{a} \cong A$, so F uniquely determines $\tilde{F} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ by $\tilde{F}(v) = F(e^v)$ for all $v \in \mathfrak{a}$. We define the gradient mapping $F' : A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}^*$ by $F'(e^v) = (dH)(v)$. We say that F is nondegenerate (resp. strictly convex) if the Hessian matrix of \tilde{F} is nondegenerate (resp. positive definite) everywhere.

The sections in this article are arranged as follows. In Section 2, we construct an L^2 -structure on the holomorphic functions by Berezin integration, and obtain a unitary representation on some highest weight supermodules. In Section 3, we study the pseudo-Kähler forms and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we perform geometric quantization and prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we generalize the above results to the setting with cells, and prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Consequently in Section 6, we construct the Gelfand model and prove Theorem 1.5. In Section 7, we study symplectic reduction and prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Prof. P. Grassi, J. Huerta, M. A. Lledo, V. Serganova and Dr. C. A. Cremonini, S. D. Kwok, S. Noja for helpful discussions. The first author is partially supported by the National Science and Technology Council of Taiwan. The second author is partially supported by research grants CaLISTA CA 21109 and CaLIGOLA MSCA-2021-SE- 01-101086123.

2 Unitary supermodules

In this section, we construct an invariant L^2 -structure on the holomorphic functions of the complex supermanifold $X = GA$. As a result, we obtain unitary realizations of highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodules of G .

We say that $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ is homogeneous if it belongs to \mathfrak{g}_r for $r \in \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$. In that case we let $|u| = r$ denote its parity. A super Hermitian metric on a super vector space V is a map $H : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is linear (resp. anti-linear) on the first (resp. second) entry, such that for all non-zero homogeneous vectors u, v ,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(a) } H(u, v) = 0 \text{ if } |u| \neq |v| \text{ (consistent),} \\ & \text{(b) } H(u, v) = (-1)^{|u||v|} \overline{H(v, u)} \text{ (super Hermitian symmetric),} \\ & \text{(c) } H(u, u) \in \mathbb{R}^+, H(v, v) \in i\mathbb{R}^+ \text{ for } u \in V_{\bar{0}}, v \in V_{\bar{1}} \text{ (super positive).} \end{aligned}$$

See [10, §4.1]. Then $H|_{V_{\bar{0}}} \oplus (-iH)|_{V_{\bar{1}}}$ is an ordinary inner product on $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$. We say that V is a super Hilbert space if it is complete with respect to this inner product.

We say that a representation of a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} on a super Hilbert space V is *unitary* if:

$$(2.2) \quad (X \cdot v, w) + (-1)^{|X||v|} (v, X \cdot w) = 0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad v, w \in V$$

[27, p.101][4, Sec.3]. This is equivalent to conditions (U1), (U2) of [4, Sec.1], as specified in Sec. 3 of the same work. We say that a representation of the supergroup $G = (G_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{g})$ is *unitary*, if it is a unitary representation of the ordinary group $G_{\bar{0}}$ and a unitary representation for the superalgebra \mathfrak{g} , together with compatibility conditions expressed in [2][4].

Since we consider only unitarizable highest weight supermodules, let us first obtain a list of all real forms with such modules. The list (2.3) indicates \mathfrak{l} and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, which uniquely determines the real form \mathfrak{g} of \mathfrak{l} [18, Prop.5.3.2]. Here $\mathfrak{g}_{2,c}$ denotes the compact real form of G_2 .

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{A(m, n)} & : \mathfrak{su}(p, m - p|n) \\ \underline{B(m, n)} & : \mathfrak{so}(2m + 1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) \\ \underline{C(n)} & : \mathfrak{sp}(n - 1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \\ \underline{D(m, n)} & : \mathfrak{so}(2m) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2m - 2, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(2m)^* \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) \\ \underline{F(4)} & : \mathfrak{so}(2, 5) + \mathfrak{su}(2) \\ \underline{G(3)} & : \mathfrak{g}_{2,c} + \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \\ \underline{D(2, 1; \alpha)} & : \mathfrak{su}(2)^2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^3 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\lambda \in C$. The highest weight supermodule $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ of \mathfrak{g} is unitarizable if and only if \mathfrak{g} belongs to (2.3) (apart from exceptions in $F(4)$ and $G(3)$, see*

Remark 2.2).

Proof. In [17], Jakobsen provides a complete list of all the unitarizable highest weight modules for basic classical Lie superalgebras. We check case by case that, for all $G = (G_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{g})$ with $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ in (2.3), our condition $\lambda \in C$ of (1.4) ensures that $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ belongs to Jakobsen's list.

We demonstrate our computation by an example, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(2m+1|n)$, $m, n > 0$, with $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{so}(2m+1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Unlike the general positive systems in [17], we consider only the admissible positive system (1.5).

Following the notation in [17, Ch.7], we write for the highest weight

$$\Lambda = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \lambda, \lambda - a_2, \dots, \lambda - a_n), \quad a_n \geq \dots \geq a_2 \geq 0, \quad \mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n \geq 0.$$

We can see that (1.3) is satisfied, in fact the parameters μ_i, a_j are defined to make such necessary condition true. For example $\Lambda(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) = \mu_1 - \mu_2 \geq 0$. We write

$$\Lambda + \rho = (\mu_1 + m - 1/2, \dots, \mu_m + 1/2, \lambda + n - m - 1/2, \dots, \lambda - a_n + 1 - m - 1/2)$$

and impose (1.4). We get for one odd root:

$$(\Lambda + \rho)(\varepsilon_1 - \delta_1) = \mu_1 + m - 1/2 + (\lambda + n - m - 1/2) < 0.$$

It gives $\lambda < 1 - \mu_1 - n$, which ensures that $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ is unitarizable [17, Prop.7.4].

The remaining cases are checked in similar ways. Namely for each \mathfrak{g} in (2.3), we check that (1.3) and (1.4) imply that $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ appears in the list of unitarizable modules in [17]. The only rare exceptions occur in $F(4)$ and $G(3)$, see remark below. \square

Remark 2.2. *Unitarizable modules for real forms of $F(4)$ and $G(3)$.*

For $F(4)$ and $G(3)$, the condition $\lambda \in C$ of (1.4) is neither weaker nor stronger than unitarizability in [17]. So the real forms in (2.3) have some supermodules which are unitarizable, as well as other supermodules which are not unitarizable. For example, we consider $G(3)$. We are concerned only about the case I in [17, p.102], since it is the only admissible positive system (1.5). In the notation of [17, Prop.11.2], a short calculation gives (1.4) as $\mu < a + b - 10$, but unitarizability is under the more restrictive condition $\mu < -3a - 3b - 9$; we have in both cases $a, b - a > 0$, due to ordinary unitarizability. For convenience, we shall ignore these exceptions without explicitly saying so.

Proposition 2.1 is consistent with [24, Thm.6.2.1], which provides a list of \mathfrak{g} with no nontrivial unitary representation.

Assume for now that G is an ordinary connected Lie group. We identify \mathfrak{g} with the left invariant vector fields of G , so we similarly identify $\wedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$ with the left invariant n -forms of G . Let $U \subset G$ be a closed subgroup. We define

$$(2.4) \quad \wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})^* := \{\omega \in \wedge^n \mathfrak{g}^* ; \text{ad}_v^* \omega = \iota(v)\omega = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathfrak{u}\}.$$

Here $\text{ad}_v^* : \wedge^n \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \wedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the coadjoint map, and $\iota(v) : \wedge^n \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the contraction map. The natural quotient $\pi : G \rightarrow G/U$ intertwines with the left G -action, so it has pullback $\pi^* : \Omega^n(G/U)^G \hookrightarrow \Omega^n(G)^G$, where $\Omega^n(\cdot)^G$ denotes n -forms that are invariant under the left G -action. Since π^* is injective, we can identify $\Omega^n(G/U)^G$ with its image in $\Omega^n(G)^G \cong \wedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$, consisting of elements that are invariant under the coadjoint map of \mathfrak{g} and annihilate tangent vectors of \mathfrak{g} . This motivates (2.4), which gives

$$\wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})^* \cong \Omega^n(G/U)^G.$$

For $n = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{u}$, the non-zero elements (if they exist) in $\wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})^*$ are unique up to non-zero scalar multiple, and they amount to G -invariant measures of G/U . The existence of such measures is closely related to the notion of unimodular groups.

We recall the unimodular Lie groups; see for instance [20, VIII]. For each $x \in G$, let $\text{Ad}_x \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the adjoint representation, and let $\Delta(x) = \det \text{Ad}_x$. Then $\Delta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a group homomorphism, called the modular function of G . If $\Delta(x) = 1$ for all $x \in G$, we say that G is unimodular.

The Haar measure μ_G is invariant under the left action of G on itself. One can also consider a right invariant measure of G , and in fact $\Delta \cdot \mu_G$ is right invariant [20, Cor.8.30(c)]. Therefore, we have two equivalent definitions for unimodular groups.

Definition 2.3. [20, VIII-2] We say that a Lie group G is unimodular if its left invariant Haar measure is also right invariant, or equivalently $\Delta(x) = 1$ for all $x \in G$.

Example 2.4. [20, Cor.8.31] An abelian Lie group is unimodular because its adjoint representation is trivial. A compact Lie group is unimodular because the image of Δ is a compact subgroup of \mathbb{R}^+ , and hence is $\{1\}$. A semisimple Lie group is unimodular because its 1-dimensional representation is trivial. A reductive Lie group is unimodular because it is generated by an abelian subgroup and a semisimple subgroup, both of which are unimodular.

We now let G be the real form of a contragredient Lie supergroup, as outlined in the introduction. Motivated by the Lie group setting, we again adopt (2.4) as the definition for $\Omega^n(G/U)^G \cong \wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})^*$. It differs from the Lie algebra setting because odd vectors commute, namely $v \wedge w = w \wedge v$ for $v, w \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. If G or \mathfrak{g} has

super dimension $r|k$, we say that its dimension is $r + k$, to ease the notation. Let $\dim G = m$. Then $\wedge^m \mathfrak{g}^*$ is more than 1-dimensional, for instance it contains $f \wedge \dots \wedge f$ where $f \in \mathfrak{g}_1^*$. The Haar super measure of G is given by $\tau_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_m \in \wedge^m \mathfrak{g}^*$, where $\{\tau_i\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g}^* . Because of G invariance, this notion is equivalent to the Haar super measures as in [8][1].

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a real contragredient Lie supergroup. Let U be a unimodular subgroup of $G_{\bar{0}}$. Let $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} - \dim \mathfrak{u}$ and $k = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$. There exists a G -invariant measure of G/U given by $\tau \wedge \nu \in \wedge^{n+k}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})^*$, where $\tau \in \wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})^*$ is extended from $\wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{u})^*$ by annihilating $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, and $\nu \in \wedge^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})^*$.*

Proof. Let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$ be a basis of \mathfrak{g} , where δ_i annihilates $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, and ν_i annihilates $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Let $\delta = \delta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_m$ and $\nu = \nu_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \nu_k$. Then $\delta \wedge \nu$ is a Haar super measure of G , so δ and ν are measures of $G_{\bar{0}}$ and $G_{\bar{1}}$ respectively.

We claim that $\nu \in \wedge^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})^*$. Clearly $\iota(x)\nu = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, so it remains to show that

$$(2.5) \quad \text{ad}_x^* \nu = 0, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}.$$

Let $g \in G_{\bar{0}}$, and let R_g denote the right action. Then $R_g(\delta \wedge \nu)$ is again a Haar super measure of G . Such measures are unique up to scalar multiple, so there exists $c \in C^\infty(G_{\bar{0}})$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad c(g)(\delta \wedge \nu) = \text{Ad}_g^*(\delta \wedge \nu) = (\text{Ad}_g^* \delta) \wedge (\text{Ad}_g^* \nu), \quad g \in G_{\bar{0}}.$$

Since G is a real form of a contragredient Lie supergroup, it follows that $G_{\bar{0}}$ is reductive [18, §2]. So by Example 2.4, $G_{\bar{0}}$ is unimodular, and its Haar measure satisfies $\text{Ad}_g^* \delta = \delta$. Then (2.6) implies that $\text{Ad}_g^* \nu = c(g)\nu$, namely we have a real representation

$$(2.7) \quad \text{Ad}^* : G_{\bar{0}} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}(\nu)).$$

Since $G_{\bar{0}}$ is reductive, it is generated by its center Z and semisimple subgroup $(G_{\bar{0}})_{\text{ss}}$. We have $Z \subset T$, so Z is compact. For compact groups and semisimple Lie groups, the only real 1-dimensional representation is the trivial representation. Hence $\text{Ad}^*(Z)$ and $\text{Ad}^*(G_{\bar{0}})_{\text{ss}}$ act trivially on $\mathbb{R}(\nu)$, and so (2.7) is a trivial representation. This implies (2.5). We have shown that:

$$(2.8) \quad G_{\bar{1}} = G/G_{\bar{0}} \text{ has a } G\text{-invariant measure given by } \nu \in \wedge^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})^*.$$

Let $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} - \dim \mathfrak{u}$. Since $G_{\bar{0}}$ and U are unimodular, $G_{\bar{0}}/U$ has a $G_{\bar{0}}$ -invariant measure [20, Prop.8.36]. In other words, there exists $0 \neq \tau_{\bar{0}} \in \wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{u})^*$. We extend

it to $\tau \in \wedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$ by annihilating $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$. We claim that $\tau \in \wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})^*$. Let $v \in \mathfrak{u}$. It is clear that $\iota(v)\tau = 0$, and it remains to check that $\text{ad}_v^* \tau = 0$. Let $x^1, \dots, x^n \in \mathfrak{g}$, and write $x^i = x_0^i + x_1^i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{ad}_v^* \tau)(x^1, \dots, x^n) &= \sum_i \tau(x^1, \dots, [v, x^i], \dots, x^n) \\
&= \sum_i \tau(x_0^1, \dots, [v, x_0^i]_{\bar{0}}, \dots, x_0^n) && \text{because } \tau \text{ annihilates } \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \\
&= \sum_i \tau(x_0^1, \dots, [v, x_0^i], \dots, x_0^n) && \text{because } [v, x_j^i] \in \mathfrak{g}_j \\
&= (\text{ad}_v^* \tau_{\bar{0}})(x_0^1, \dots, x_0^n) \\
&= 0 && \text{because } \tau_{\bar{0}} \in \wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{u})^*.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\tau \in \wedge^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})^*$ as claimed.

Let $\nu \in \wedge^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})^*$ be given by (2.8). Then $\tau \wedge \nu \in \wedge^{n+k}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u})^*$. Since τ and ν give super measures of $G_{\bar{0}}/U$ and $G_{\bar{1}}$ respectively, it follows that $\tau \wedge \nu$ gives a super measure of G/U . \square

The contragredient Lie supergroup L has an Iwasawa decomposition of open subset $G\bar{A}N \subset L$, where the unipotent subgroup N is determined by an admissible positive system (1.5). In this way, we obtain the complex supermanifold

$$X = G\bar{A}$$

as an open subset of the complex homogeneous superspace L/N (see [3]).

Let $\mathcal{H}(X)$ denote the space of holomorphic functions on X . We first define an action on $\mathcal{H}(X)$. In the Super Harish-Chandra pairs (SHCP) formalism, an action of $G = (G_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{g})$ is given by a pair consisting of an action of the ordinary Lie group $G_{\bar{0}}$ and an action of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} , with compatibility conditions [2, Ch.7]. Since X is the Cartesian product of the Lie supergroup G and the ordinary Lie group A , it splits [2, Ch.9]. So we can express a holomorphic function as

$$(2.9) \quad f = \sum_I f_I \xi^I \in \mathcal{H}(G_{\bar{0}}A) \otimes \wedge(\xi) \cong \mathcal{H}(X).$$

Here we use multiple index notation, for example if $I = \{1, 2\}$, then $\xi^I = \xi^1 \xi^2$. The action of $G_{\bar{0}}$ on $f \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ is given by the action on each component f_I together with an action on ξ^I preserving degree [3, Sec.4]. The action of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is via left invariant vector fields.

We have $G \times H$ -action (namely left G and right H) on X , because H normalizes N . The actions of G and T commute. This is true in the ordinary setting, so the actions of $G_{\bar{0}}$ and T commute. As for the super setting, in the SHCP language, the infinitesimal action of \mathfrak{g} is via the left invariant vector fields, hence it also commutes with the right action of T .

We now want to give a functorial point of view of these actions. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$, $\{\Xi^i, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ a basis for $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$. We write any $g \in G(S)$ as

$$g = g_{\bar{0}}(1 + \xi^1 \Xi^1) \dots (1 + \xi^m \Xi^m), \quad \xi^i \in S_{\bar{1}}, g_{\bar{0}} \in G_{\bar{0}}(S),$$

where S is the superalgebra of global sections of a supermanifold, and $G(S)$ are the S points of the Lie supergroup G [11, Props.3.2.4,3.5.2][2, Ch.3]. Hence to define an action of G on $\mathcal{H}(X)$, we only need to provide a functorial action of $g_{\bar{0}} \in G_{\bar{0}}(S)$ and $1 + \xi^i \Xi^i$ on the S points of the super vector space $\mathcal{H}(X)$, that is on:

$$\mathcal{H}(X)(S) := S_{\bar{0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(X)_{\bar{0}} \oplus S_{\bar{1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(X)_{\bar{1}}$$

[2, Ch.1,3,8]. The action of $g_{\bar{0}}$ is given by the ordinary theory, S linearity and (2.9), so we only need to specify the action of $1 + \xi^i \Xi^i$:

$$(2.10) \quad (1 + \xi^i \Xi^i) \cdot (rf + \rho\phi) = rf + \rho\phi + \xi^i(r\Xi^i(f) - \rho\Xi^i(\phi)),$$

where $rf \in S_{\bar{0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(X)_{\bar{0}}$ and $\rho\phi \in S_{\bar{1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(X)_{\bar{1}}$.

For an integral weight $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$, we let $\chi : T \rightarrow S^1$ be its character, namely $\exp(\lambda(v)) = \chi(\exp v)$ for all $v \in \mathfrak{t}$. Then for a $G \times T$ -module V (such as $V = \mathcal{H}(X)$), we use $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$ to define the G -subrepresentation

$$(2.11) \quad V_\lambda = \{f \in V ; R_t f = \chi(t)f \text{ for all } t \in T\},$$

where R is the right T -action.

We now consider the holomorphic functions $\mathcal{H}(X)$. By (2.11), we obtain $\mathcal{H}(X)_\lambda \subset \mathcal{H}(X)$. Let $\lambda \in C$, for C in (1.4). Let

$$(2.12) \quad W_\lambda = \text{smallest SHCP representation of } (G_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{g}) \text{ in } \mathcal{H}(X)_\lambda$$

which contains the highest weight vector.

Then $W_\lambda \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$, where $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ is the Harish-Chandra supermodule with highest weight λ [3, Thm.1]. Consider

$$\oplus_C W_\lambda \subset \mathcal{H}(X),$$

summed over all the integral weights $\lambda \in C$. In this way, each W_λ is irreducible. We provide an L^2 -structure on $\oplus_C W_\lambda$ by Berezin integration [27, §4.6] on the invariant form of X . Let $\mu_G, \mu_{G_{\bar{0}}}$ and μ_A be the Haar super measures of $G, G_{\bar{0}}$ and A respectively, and let $\mu_X = \mu_G \mu_A$ be their product measure on X . Fix a positive real valued function $\psi \in C^\infty(A)$, and extend it uniquely to a G -invariant function on X , still denoted by ψ . We define

$$(2.13) \quad (f, h) = \int_X f \bar{h} \psi \mu_X, \quad f, h \in \oplus_C W_\lambda.$$

We shall see in the next proposition that (2.13) is positive definite on the square integrable functions, so that we can take the completion,

$$(2.14) \quad W^2 = \text{completion of } \{f \in \oplus_C W_\lambda; (f, f) \text{ converges}\}.$$

Clearly W^2 depends on ψ . In later section, we shall let $\psi = e^{-F}$, where F is the potential function of a pseudo-Kähler form.

Proposition 2.6. *For all \mathfrak{g} in (2.3), W^2 is a unitary $G \times T$ -representation with respect to the positive definite super Hermitian metric (2.13).*

Proof. Let

$$(\oplus_C W_\lambda)^2 = \{f \in \oplus_C W_\lambda; \int_X f \bar{f} \psi \mu_X \text{ converges}\}.$$

We restrict the \mathfrak{g} -action on W_λ to \mathfrak{t} , and obtain the weight space decomposition $W_\lambda = \oplus_a W_\lambda^a$. The proof is divided into four steps:

- (a) $(\oplus_C W_\lambda)^2$ is a super vector space,
- (b) the $G \times T$ -action on $\oplus_C W_\lambda$ preserves (2.13),
- (2.15) (c) for any $G \times T$ -invariant super Hermitian form H on $W_\lambda \oplus W_\nu$, we have $H(W_\lambda, W_\nu) = H(W_\lambda^a, W_\lambda^b) = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \nu$ and $a \neq b$,
- (d) the G -invariant Hermitian forms on each W_λ are unique up to scalar.

We apply Proposition 2.5 with $U = \{e\}$ and express the Haar super measure of G as $\mu_G = \mu_{G_0} \mu_{G_1}$, where μ_{G_0} is the Haar measure of G_0 , and μ_{G_1} is the G -invariant measure of $G_1 = G/G_0$. We take its product with the Haar measure μ_A of A , and obtain a $G \times A$ -invariant measure of X by $\mu_X = \mu_G \mu_A = \mu_{G_0 A} \mu_{G_1}$, where $\mu_{G_0 A} = \mu_{G_0} \mu_A$. Let P be the power set of $\{1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}_1\}$. Since μ_X is a real measure, there exists $S \subset P$ and non-zero constants $\{a_K\}_{K \in S}$ such that

$$(2.16) \quad \mu_X = \mu_{G_0 A} \mu_{G_1} = \mu_{G_0 A} \left(\sum_{K \in S} a_K \xi^K \bar{\xi}^K \right).$$

We first prove (2.15)(a). For $I \in P$, we let I^c be its complement, for example $\{1, 2\}^c = \{3, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}_1\}$. For S given by (2.16), let $S^c = \{I^c; I \in S\}$. By (2.9), we write $f = \sum_{I \in P} f_I \xi^I \in \oplus_C W_\lambda$. By (2.13) and (2.16),

$$(2.17) \quad (f, f) = \int_{G_1} \int_{G_0 A} f \bar{f} \psi \mu_{G_0 A} \mu_{G_1} = \sum_{K \in S} \sum_{I \in P} \int_{G_1} \int_{G_0 A} f_I \bar{f}_I \xi^I \bar{\xi}^I \psi \mu_{G_0 A} a_K \xi^K \bar{\xi}^K.$$

The Berezin integration annihilates all the monomials $\xi^I \bar{\xi}^J$ other than $\xi_1 \dots \xi_m \bar{\xi}_1 \dots \bar{\xi}_m$ [27, §4.6]. So for each $K \in S$, the only I in (2.17) with non-trivial Berezin integration

is $I = K^c \in S^c$, and in that case we write $c_I = \int_{G_1} a_K \xi^I \bar{\xi}^I \xi^K \bar{\xi}^K \neq 0$. Then (2.17) becomes

$$(2.18) \quad (f, f) = \sum_{I \in S^c} c_I \int_{G_0 A} f_I \bar{f}_I \psi \mu_{G_0 A}, \quad c_I \neq 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}^2(G_0 A, \psi) = \{h \in \mathcal{H}(G_0 A) ; \int_{G_0 A} h \bar{h} \psi \mu_{G_0 A} < \infty\}$. By (2.18),

$$(2.19) \quad f \in (\oplus_C W_\lambda)^2 \iff f_I \in \mathcal{H}^2(G_0 A, \psi) \text{ for all } I \in S^c.$$

By (2.19), since $\mathcal{H}^2(G_0 A, \psi)$ is a vector space, so is $(\oplus_C W_\lambda)^2$. This proves (2.15)(a).

Next we prove (2.15)(b). Let $f, h \in \oplus_C W_\lambda$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. By the property of super Haar measure [8, Lem.2.2],

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} (Xf, h) + (-1)^{|X||f|} (f, Xh) &= \int_{GA} \left[(X(f) \bar{h} + (-1)^{|X||f|} f \overline{X(h)}) \right] \psi \mu_X \\ &= \int_{GA} X(f \bar{h}) \psi \mu_X = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The representation of \mathfrak{g} satisfies the conditions (U1) and (U2) of [4, Thm.2], so the action of G on W preserves (2.13). Since G_0 is unimodular, its Haar measure is invariant under the right T -action, so the right T -action preserves (2.13). This proves (2.15)(b).

Next we prove (2.15)(c). Let H be a $G \times T$ -invariant super Hermitian form on $W_\lambda \oplus W_\nu$. We first show that $H(W_\lambda, W_\nu) = 0$. Let $\chi_\lambda, \chi_\nu : T \rightarrow S^1$ be the characters of λ, ν . Since λ, ν are distinct, there exists $t \in T$ such that $\chi_\lambda(t) \overline{\chi_\nu(t)} \neq 1$. Let R denote the right T -action. By (2.12), the elements of W_λ and W_ν transform by χ_λ and χ_ν respectively under R . So for $f \in W_\lambda$ and $h \in W_\nu$,

$$(2.21) \quad H(f, h) = H(R_t f, R_t h) = H(\chi_\lambda(t) f, \chi_\nu(t) h) = \chi_\lambda(t) \overline{\chi_\nu(t)} H(f, h).$$

Since $\chi_\lambda(t) \overline{\chi_\nu(t)} \neq 1$, this implies that $H(f, h) = 0$. Hence $H(W_\lambda, W_\nu) = 0$.

Next we show that $H(W_\lambda^a, W_\lambda^b) = 0$. We restrict the \mathfrak{g} -action on W_λ to \mathfrak{t} , and let π be the corresponding T -action. We repeat the method of (2.21), namely if $f \in W_\lambda^a$ and $h \in W_\lambda^b$, then $H(f, h) = H(\pi_t f, \pi_t h) = \overline{\chi_a(t)} \chi_b(t) H(f, h)$ vanishes. This proves (2.15)(c).

Finally we prove (2.15)(d). The highest weight space of $W_\lambda = \oplus_a W_\lambda^a$ is W_λ^λ . It is even and satisfies $\dim W_\lambda^\lambda = 1$. Let H_1, H_2 be G -invariant super Hermitian forms on W_λ . We multiply H_1 by a scalar so that $H_1 = H_2$ on W_λ^λ . Let $0 \neq v \in W_\lambda^\lambda$, and consider all vectors of the form $X_1 \dots X_n v$ where $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}$. For $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$(2.22) \quad H_j(X_1 \dots X_n v, Y_1 \dots Y_m v) = (-1)^n H_j(v, X_n \dots X_1 Y_1 \dots Y_m v).$$

Let $\rho : W_\lambda \rightarrow W_\lambda^\lambda$ be the projection which annihilates all the weight spaces other than W_λ^λ . By (2.15)(c), different weight spaces are orthogonal, so the last expression of

(2.22) is $(-1)^n H_j(v, \rho(X_n \dots X_1 Y_1 \dots Y_m v))$. It has the same value for $j = 1, 2$, because $H_1 = H_2$ on W_λ^λ . So (2.22) has the same value for $j = 1, 2$. Since W_λ consists of all linear combinations of $\{X_1 \dots X_n v ; X_j \in \mathfrak{g}\}$, it follows that $H_1 = H_2$ on W_λ . This proves (2.15)(d).

Let H be the Hermitian form (2.13). By (2.15)(b), the G -action preserves H , so each irreducible subrepresentation W_ν satisfies $W_\nu \subset (\oplus_C W_\lambda)^2$ or $W_\nu \cap (\oplus_C W_\lambda)^2 = 0$. So $(\oplus_C W_\lambda)^2 = \oplus_D W_\lambda$ for some $D \subset C$.

Let $\lambda \in D$. Since \mathfrak{g} belongs to the list (2.3), by Proposition 2.1, W_λ is a unitarizable \mathfrak{g} -module. So together with (2.15)(d), there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that cH is positive definite on W_λ . But by (2.18), we have $H(f, f) > 0$ for all ordinary non-zero functions f , so c is a positive real number, namely H is already positive definite on W_λ . By (2.15)(c), distinct summands of $\oplus_D W_\lambda$ are orthogonal in H , so H is positive definite on $\oplus_D W_\lambda$. We take its completion with respect to H , and obtain the unitary representation W^2 . \square

3 Pseudo-Kähler structures

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. Let \mathfrak{l} be a complex contragredient Lie superalgebra. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{l} , and let $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\Delta} \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}$ be the root space decomposition. We have fixed a nondegenerate bilinear form in (1.1). It identifies \mathfrak{l} with \mathfrak{l}^* , so it allows us to write $\mathfrak{h}^* \subset \mathfrak{l}^*$ and so on.

Similar to (2.4) and thereafter, the left invariant n -forms on L are $\Omega^n(L)^L = \wedge^n \mathfrak{l}^*$. We consider the elements which are also invariant under the right H -action. Since the simultaneous left and right actions amount to the adjoint action, they are invariant under the adjoint action of H . So the $L \times H$ -invariant forms on L are

$$(3.1) \quad \Omega^n(L)^{L \times H} = \wedge_{\mathfrak{h}}^n \mathfrak{l}^* = \{\omega \in \wedge^n \mathfrak{l}^* ; \text{ad}_v^* \omega = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Here $\text{ad}^* : \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \text{End}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{l}^*)$ is the coadjoint action. We have a chain complex under the exterior derivative $d : \wedge_{\mathfrak{h}}^n \mathfrak{l}^* \rightarrow \wedge_{\mathfrak{h}}^{n+1} \mathfrak{l}^*$ [5, p.234], and we let $H^n(\wedge_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{l}^*)$ denote the cohomology at degree n .

Let \mathfrak{g} be a real form of \mathfrak{l} , and suppose that \mathfrak{g} has a compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{h}$. We similarly define the chain complex $\wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Proposition 3.1. $H^2(\wedge_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{l}^*) = H^2(\wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\omega \in \wedge_{\mathfrak{h}}^2 \mathfrak{l}^*$. We first claim that for all $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$,

$$(3.2) \quad \omega(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}) = \omega(\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{l}_{\beta}) = 0.$$

Let $x_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}$ and $x_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{l}_{\beta}$. Pick $z \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $(\alpha + \beta)(z) \neq 0$. Then

$$\alpha(z)\omega(x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}) = \omega([z, x_{\alpha}], x_{\beta}) = -\omega(x_{\alpha}, [z, x_{\beta}]) = -\beta(z)\omega(x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}).$$

This shows that $\omega(\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{l}_{\beta}) = 0$. By similar arguments (regard \mathfrak{h} as \mathfrak{g}_0), we also have $\omega(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}) = 0$. This proves (3.2) as claimed.

The coroot (1.1) can be written as $h_{\alpha} = [x_{\alpha}, x_{-\alpha}]$, where $x_{\pm\alpha} \in \mathfrak{l}_{\pm\alpha}$. Let Π be a simple system of \mathfrak{l} . Since $\{h_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in \Pi\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{h} , we can define $\phi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$(3.3) \quad \phi(h_{\alpha}) = \phi([x_{\alpha}, x_{-\alpha}]) = -\omega(x_{\alpha}, x_{-\alpha}) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi.$$

To simplify notations, we let $\omega_1 = \omega$ and $\omega_2 = d\phi$. By (3.2) and (3.3),

$$(3.4) \quad (\omega_1)|_{\sum_{\pm\alpha} \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}} = (\omega_2)|_{\sum_{\pm\alpha} \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}}.$$

Clearly ω_2 is closed. Suppose that ω_1 is also closed. We now prove that $\omega_1 = \omega_2$. By (3.2), we need to show that for all positive roots α ,

$$(3.5) \quad \text{(a) } (\omega_1)|_{\mathfrak{h}} = (\omega_2)|_{\mathfrak{h}}, \text{ (b) } (\omega_1)|_{\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha} + \mathfrak{l}_{-\alpha}} = (\omega_2)|_{\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha} + \mathfrak{l}_{-\alpha}}.$$

Since $d\omega_j = 0$, there exist $a, b = \pm 1$ such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad \omega_j(h_\alpha, h_\beta) &= \omega_j([x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}], [x_\beta, x_{-\beta}]) \\
&= a\omega_j([x_\alpha, [x_\beta, x_{-\beta}]], x_{-\alpha}) + b\omega_j([x_{-\alpha}, [x_\beta, x_{-\beta}]], x_\alpha) \\
&= (-1)^{|x_\alpha|}(a+b)\alpha([x_\beta, x_{-\beta}])\omega_j(x_{-\alpha}, x_\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

The values of a, b depend only on the parities of α and β , so they are the same for $j = 1, 2$. By (3.4), the last expression of (3.6) is the same for $j = 1, 2$. This proves (3.5)(a).

It remains to show (3.5)(b). The height of $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ is the positive integer h such that $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_h$ and $\alpha_i \in \Pi$. Let $\Pi = S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_n = \Delta^+$, where S_i consists of positive roots of heights $\leq i$. We already know that (3.5)(b) holds for all $\alpha \in \Pi = S_1$. To complete the induction, suppose that it holds for all $\alpha \in S_i$. An element of S_{i+1} can be expressed as $\alpha + \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in S_i$. Let $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] \in \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $[x_{-\alpha}, x_{-\beta}] \in \mathfrak{l}_{-\alpha-\beta}$. Since $d\omega_j = 0$,

$$(3.7) \quad \omega_j([x_\alpha, x_\beta], [x_{-\alpha}, x_{-\beta}]) = a\omega_j([x_\alpha, [x_{-\alpha}, x_{-\beta}]], x_\beta) + b\omega_j([x_\beta, [x_{-\alpha}, x_{-\beta}]], x_\alpha),$$

where a, b are the same for $j = 1, 2$. By the induction assumption, the right hand side of (3.7) are the same for $j = 1, 2$. so ω_1 and ω_2 agree on $\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha+\beta} + \mathfrak{l}_{-\alpha-\beta}$. This completes the induction, which proves (3.5)(b). Together with (3.2), they imply that $d\phi = \omega$. We have proved that $H^2(\wedge_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{l}^*) = 0$.

Since $\wedge_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{l}^*$ is the complexification of $\wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*$, by the universal coefficient theorem on chain complexes, it implies that $H^2(\wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*) = 0$. The proposition follows. \square

We are interested in $X = GA$. By the Iwasawa decomposition, it is a complex supermanifold via the embedding $GA \hookrightarrow L/N$. The $G \times T$ -invariant $(1, 0)$ (resp. $(0, 1)$) forms are the complex 1-forms that are eigenvectors of the complex structure on GA with eigenvalue i (resp. $-i$), and their exterior products lead to the $G \times T$ -invariant (p, q) -forms $\Omega^{p,q}(GA, \mathbb{C})^{G \times T}$. The Dolbeault operators $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ acts on them, where ∂ raises the p -degree and $\bar{\partial}$ raises the q -degree.

Proposition 3.2. *Every closed element of $\Omega^{1,1}(GA)^{G \times T}$ can be written as $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ for some $F \in C^\infty(A)$.*

Proof. The $G \times T$ -invariant forms on GA are the product of chain complexes,

$$(3.8) \quad \Omega^\bullet(GA)^{G \times T} = \Omega^\bullet(A) \otimes \Omega^\bullet(G)^{G \times T} = \Omega^\bullet(A) \otimes \wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

where $\wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined similarly as (3.1). Since $\Omega^\bullet(A)$ has trivial cohomology, and since $H^2(\wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*) = 0$ by Proposition 3.1, the chain complex (3.8) at degree 2 gives

$$(3.9) \quad H^2(\Omega^\bullet(GA)^{G \times T}) = 0.$$

Given $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, it satisfies $\text{ad}_v^* f = 0$ for all $v \in \mathfrak{t}$ if and only if $f \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Hence $\wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^1 \mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{t}^*$. The chain complex (3.8) at degree 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad \Omega^1(GA)^{G \times T} &= \Omega^1(A) + C^\infty(A) \otimes \wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \\
&= C^\infty(A) \otimes \mathfrak{a}^* + C^\infty(A) \otimes \mathfrak{t}^* \\
&= C^\infty(A) \otimes \mathfrak{h}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Let ω be a closed element of $\Omega^{1,1}(GA)^{G \times T}$. By (3.9) and (3.10), we can write

$$(3.11) \quad \omega = d\beta, \quad \beta \in C^\infty(A) \otimes \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

Write $\beta = \psi + \bar{\psi}$, where $\psi \in C^\infty(A, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \wedge^{0,1} \mathfrak{h}^*$. Since ω is a $(1,1)$ -form, it follows that $\bar{\partial}\psi = 0$. Let $z = x + iy$ be the holomorphic coordinates of H , where x and y are the coordinates on A and T respectively. Write $\psi = \sum_k f_k d\bar{z}_k$, where $f_k \in C^\infty(A, \mathbb{C})$. Then

$$(3.12) \quad 0 = \bar{\partial}\psi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j} d\bar{z}_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k.$$

By (3.12), $\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_k}$ for all j, k . Hence there exists $h \in C^\infty(A, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_k} = f_k$. Let $F = -2i(h - \bar{h}) \in C^\infty(A)$. Then

$$i\bar{\partial}F = 2\bar{\partial}(h - \bar{h}) = \partial\psi + \bar{\partial}\bar{\psi} = d(\psi + \bar{\psi}) = d\beta = \omega.$$

This proves the proposition. \square

We recall the symplectic action and moment map for ordinary symplectic manifold $(X_{\bar{0}}, \omega_{\bar{0}})$ [14, §26]. Suppose that a Lie group T acts on $X_{\bar{0}}$. Originally, its moment map is written as $X_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. But it is more convenient to work with $i\mathfrak{t}^*$, so we add i and write

$$(3.13) \quad \Phi_{\bar{0}} : X_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow i\mathfrak{t}^*.$$

We say that $\Phi_{\bar{0}}$ is T -equivariant if it intertwines the T -action on $X_{\bar{0}}$ with the coadjoint action on $i\mathfrak{t}^*$.

We recall the construction of $\Phi_{\bar{0}}$. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$. Let ξ^\sharp denote the infinitesimal vector field on $X_{\bar{0}}$, given by $(\xi^\sharp f)(x) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} f(e^{t\xi}x)$ for all functions f and $x \in X_{\bar{0}}$. Let $i(\xi^\sharp)\omega_{\bar{0}}$ be the 1-form given by $(i(\xi^\sharp)\omega_{\bar{0}})(v) = \omega_{\bar{0}}(\xi^\sharp, v)$ for all vector fields v . We say that the T -action on $X_{\bar{0}}$ has moment map (3.13) if $\Phi_{\bar{0}}$ is T -equivariant and

$$(3.14) \quad d(\Phi_{\bar{0}}, \xi) = i(\xi^\sharp)\omega_{\bar{0}}.$$

Here $(\Phi_{\bar{0}}, \xi) \in C^\infty(X_{\bar{0}})$ denotes the function $x \mapsto (\Phi_{\bar{0}}(x))(\xi)$, so that $d(\Phi_{\bar{0}}, \xi)$ is a 1-form on $X_{\bar{0}}$ with imaginary values (due to the factor i in (3.13)).

An action on a symplectic manifold may not have moment map. An obstruction to (3.14) is the cohomology $H^1(X_{\bar{0}})$, so for example the ordinary 2-torus acting on itself preserving the invariant volume form has no moment map.

A sufficient condition for (3.14) is that $\omega_{\bar{0}} = d\beta_{\bar{0}}$, and $\beta_{\bar{0}}$ is also T -invariant. In that case the moment map is given by

$$(\Phi_{\bar{0}}(x))(\xi) = -i\beta_{\bar{0}}(\xi^{\sharp})_x \ ; \ x \in X_{\bar{0}} \ , \ \xi \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

We now turn to the supermanifold X . A symplectic form on X is a closed nondegenerate 2-form, and a pseudo-Kähler form is a symplectic $(1,1)$ -form. We want to define the moment map of the T -action on the symplectic supermanifold (X, ω) ,

$$(3.15) \quad \Phi : X \longrightarrow i\mathfrak{t}^*.$$

Denote, as usual $\Phi^* : \mathcal{O}(i\mathfrak{t}^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ the map on the superalgebras of global sections, induced by Φ (see [2, Ch.4] [19, Ch.6]). Let $(\Phi, \xi) := \Phi^*(\xi) \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, viewed as an element of $\mathcal{O}(i\mathfrak{t}^*)$. We say that Φ as in (3.15) is the *moment map* if it is T -equivariant and

$$(3.16) \quad d(\Phi, \xi) = i\iota(\xi^{\sharp})\omega.$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let T be a Lie group acting on a supermanifold X . Let $\omega = d\beta$ be a symplectic form on X , where β is T -invariant. Then $(\Phi, \xi) = -i\beta(\xi^{\sharp})$ defines a moment map.*

Proof. We first observe that, since $i\mathfrak{t}^*$ is an ordinary manifold, by the Chart theorem (see [2, Thms.4.1.11,4.2.5]), Φ is determined by the choice of $\text{rk}(\mathfrak{t})$ even functions in $\mathcal{O}(X)$ the global sections on X . Since $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(i\mathfrak{t}^*)$ are generators, $(\Phi, \xi) = -i\beta(\xi^{\sharp})$ defines a map $\Phi : X \longrightarrow i\mathfrak{t}$. We now prove (3.16). It is the same as for the ordinary setting; we apply the Lie derivative $L_{\xi^{\sharp}} = \iota(\xi^{\sharp})d + d\iota(\xi^{\sharp})$ to β , obtaining $d(\beta(\xi^{\sharp})) = \iota(\xi^{\sharp})\omega$ (see for example [19] for the Lie derivative in super setting). \square

Remark 3.4. *Functor of points notation.*

In what follows, to ease the notation, we shall employ the functor of points notation for the moment map, writing $\Phi(ga)$ for $g \in G(R)$ and $a \in A(R)$ for an arbitrary supermanifold R . For more details see [2, §3.2]. Also, since G acts on GA , we can define $g \cdot \Phi$ as in [3, p.35], via SHCP terminology.

Proof of Theorem 1.1:

The first statement of Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 3.2. We now study the conditions for ω to be nondegenerate. Since ω is G -invariant, it suffices to consider

ω_a for $a \in A$. By (3.11), we have $\omega = d\beta$, where $\beta \in C^\infty(A) \otimes \mathfrak{h}^*$. Since $d\mathfrak{a}^* = 0$, we may assume that

$$(3.17) \quad \beta = \sum_k f_k \xi_k \in C^\infty(A) \otimes \mathfrak{t}^* \quad , \quad \omega = d\beta = \sum_k df_k \wedge \xi_k + \sum_k f_k d\xi_k,$$

where $f_k \in C^\infty(A)$ and $\xi_k \in \mathfrak{t}^*$.

Since \mathfrak{t} is a compact Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , we have the root space decomposition

$$(3.18) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \quad , \quad \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathfrak{g} \cap (\mathfrak{l}_\alpha + \mathfrak{l}_{-\alpha}).$$

Write $\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} + V$, where $V = \sum_{\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. In (3.17), $\sum_k df_k \wedge \xi_k \in \Omega^1(A) \otimes \mathfrak{t}^*$ annihilates V . Also, $\sum_k f_k d\xi_k$ annihilates \mathfrak{h} . Hence for each $a \in A$, ω_a is nondegenerate if and only if the following restricted 2-forms are both nondegenerate,

$$(3.19) \quad \text{(a) } \left(\sum_k (df_k)_a \wedge \xi_k \right)|_{\mathfrak{h}} \quad , \quad \text{(b) } \left(\sum_k f_k(a) d\xi_k \right)|_V.$$

We identify A with \mathfrak{a} , and F with \tilde{F} , see Remark 1.8. Write $z = x + iy$, where x and y are linear coordinates on \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{t} respectively. By Proposition 3.2 and (3.17),

$$(3.20) \quad \beta = \sum_k f_k \xi_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial x_k} dy_k.$$

Thus (3.19)(a) is nondegenerate for all $a \in A$ if and only if $\{f_k(a)\xi_k\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{t}^* , or equivalently $\sum_k f_k \xi_k : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ is a local diffeomorphism, namely the Hessian matrix of \tilde{F} is nondegenerate everywhere.

Next we consider (3.19)(b). Given a basis v_α, w_α of \mathfrak{g}_α , we have $[v_\alpha, w_\alpha] = ct_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. Then

$$(3.21) \quad \left(\sum_k f_k(a) d\xi_k \right)(v_\alpha, w_\alpha) = \left(\sum_k f_k(a) \xi_k, [v_\alpha, w_\alpha] \right) = \beta_a(ct_\alpha).$$

So (3.21) is nonzero for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ if and only if $\beta_a \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}^*$, or equivalently $\text{Im}(F') \in \mathfrak{a}_{\text{reg}}^*$ due to (3.20).

We compute the moment map $\Phi : X \rightarrow i\mathfrak{t}^*$ of the right T -action. Since ω is G -invariant, we have $\Phi(gx) = \Phi(x)$ (see Remark 3.4). By Proposition 3.3 and (3.20),

$$(3.22) \quad (\Phi(x))(\xi) = -i(\beta, \xi^\sharp)(x) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial x_k} dy_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} (F'(x))(\xi).$$

Here we make use of $i\mathfrak{t}^* \cong \mathfrak{a}^*$ (see (1.11)), as the images of Φ and F' lie in $i\mathfrak{t}^*$ and \mathfrak{a}^* respectively. This proves Theorem 1.1. \square

4 Geometric quantization

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. Let $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ be a pseudo-Kähler form on $X = GA$ as given by Theorem 1.1, and suppose that F is strictly convex. Let $\omega_{\bar{0}}$ be restriction of ω to the ordinary manifold $G_{\bar{0}}A$. We first recall geometric quantization on $G_{\bar{0}}A$ [21]. Let $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$ be the complex line bundle on $G_{\bar{0}}A$ whose Chern class is the cohomology class $[\omega_{\bar{0}}]$. Since ω is exact, so is $\omega_{\bar{0}}$, hence $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$ is topologically a trivial line bundle. It has a connection ∇ whose curvature is $\omega_{\bar{0}}$, along with an invariant Hermitian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\bar{0}}$. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}})$ denote the holomorphic sections on $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$. The $G_{\bar{0}} \times T$ -action on $G_{\bar{0}}A$ lifts to a $G_{\bar{0}} \times T$ -representation on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}})$. There exists a $G_{\bar{0}} \times T$ -invariant section $u_{\bar{0}} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}})$ such that (see [6, Prop.4.2])

$$(4.1) \quad \langle u_{\bar{0}}, u_{\bar{0}} \rangle_{\bar{0}} = e^{-F}.$$

Since $X = GA$ is a Lie supergroup, it is globally split, so $\mathcal{H}(X) \cong \mathcal{H}(X_{\bar{0}}) \otimes \wedge(\xi)$. If we fix such an isomorphism, the line bundle $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$ on $G_{\bar{0}}A$ extends to the $1|0$ vector bundle \mathbb{L} on X , (see [2, Ch.4], [19, Ch.6]) and we can view $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}})$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$, the holomorphic sections on \mathbb{L} . The above section $u_{\bar{0}}$ extends uniquely to $u \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$. Since $u_{\bar{0}}$ is nowhere vanishing, so is u . Therefore, it gives an identification between $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ and the free $1|0$ module $\mathcal{H}(X)$ of holomorphic functions on X , i.e. the global sections of the structural sheaf on the complex supermanifold X :

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{H}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}), \quad f \mapsto fu.$$

By (4.1) and (4.2), we extend the Hermitian structure of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}})$ to a super Hermitian structure on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ by

$$(4.3) \quad \langle fu, gu \rangle := f\bar{g}e^{-F},$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ have the same parity.

We shall always let $u \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ denote the above section. The $G_{\bar{0}} \times T$ -action on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}})$ keeps $u_{\bar{0}}$ invariant. We extend this to a $G \times T$ -action on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ such that u is invariant. In the same fashion as (2.10), we define an action of G on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ via functor of points; this amounts to an action of $G(S)$ on

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(S) &:= (S_{\bar{0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{\bar{0}}) \oplus (S_{\bar{1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{\bar{1}}), \\ g \cdot (rfu + \rho\phi u) &:= (g \cdot rf + g \cdot \rho\phi)u \text{ where } rf \in S_{\bar{0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{\bar{0}}, \rho\phi \in S_{\bar{1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{\bar{1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$ be an integral weight, and define $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{\lambda}$ with respect to the right T -action; see (2.11). Let $W(\mathbb{L})_{\lambda} \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{\lambda}$ be smallest SHCP representation of $G = (G_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{g})$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{\lambda}$ which contains the highest weight vector. Consider

$$\oplus_C W(\mathbb{L})_{\lambda},$$

summed over the integral weights $\lambda \in C$ of (1.4). Let $\mu_X = \mu_G \mu_A$ be the product of Haar super measures. We define

$$(4.4) \quad (s, t) = \int_X \langle s, t \rangle \mu_X, \quad s, t \in \oplus_C W(\mathbb{L})_\lambda.$$

Similar to (2.14), we can take the following completion because (4.4) is positive definite on the square integrable sections,

$$W^2(\mathbb{L}) = \text{completion of } \{s \in \oplus_C W(\mathbb{L})_\lambda ; (s, s) \text{ converges}\}.$$

In (2.14), W^2 depends on a function ψ of (2.13). Let us rewrite it as $W^2(e^{-F})$ to emphasize that $\psi = e^{-F}$.

Proposition 4.1. *$W^2(\mathbb{L})$ is a unitary $G \times T$ -representation, and $W^2(e^{-F}) \cong W^2(\mathbb{L})$ as $G \times T$ -modules.*

Proof. By (2.13), (4.3) and (4.4), for all $f \in W^2(e^{-F})$,

$$(f, f) = \int_X f \bar{f} e^{-F} \mu_X = \int_X \langle fu, fu \rangle \mu_X = (fu, fu).$$

The nowhere vanishing section u is $G \times T$ -invariant, so the trivialization $f \mapsto fu$ is a $G \times T$ -equivariant isometry $W^2(e^{-F}) \cong W^2(\mathbb{L})$. By Proposition 2.6, $W^2(e^{-F})$ is a unitary $G \times T$ -representation, and so is $W^2(\mathbb{L})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

In (2.16), μ_X determines a subset of the power set of $\{1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}_1\}$, denoted by S . By Proposition 4.1, $W^2(\mathbb{L})$ is a unitary $G \times T$ -representation, and the study of $W^2(\mathbb{L})$ can be replaced by $W^2(e^{-F})$. Let $\lambda \in C$, and we define W_λ in (2.12). Let $0 \neq f = \sum_I f_I \xi^I \in W_\lambda$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f \in W^2(e^{-F}) &\iff f_I \in \mathcal{H}^2(G_0 A, e^{-F}) \text{ for all } I \in S^c && \text{by (2.19)} \\ &\iff \lambda \in \text{Im}(\tfrac{1}{2}F') && \text{by [6, Thm.2]} \\ &\iff \lambda \in \text{Im}(\Phi) && \text{by Theorem 1.1.} \end{aligned}$$

By [3, Thm.1], $W_\lambda \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$. It follows that $W^2(\mathbb{L}) \cong W^2(e^{-F}) \cong \sum_{\text{Im}(\Phi)} \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$. \square

5 Cells

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Recall that \mathfrak{l} is a contragredient Lie superalgebra with real form \mathfrak{g} , and it has an admissible positive system (1.5) so that $C \neq \emptyset$ in (1.4). Let Π_c be the compact simple roots. We have a correspondence between the subsets $R \subset \Pi_c$ and the cells $\sigma \subset C$ defined in (1.8). In this way, C is a disjoint union of all the cells.

Fix a cell σ , and equivalently $R \subset \Pi_c$. For any root α , let $\ker(\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ denote its kernel. Let

$$\mathfrak{h}_\sigma = \bigcap_R \ker(\alpha),$$

and by convention $\mathfrak{h}_\sigma = \mathfrak{h}$ if $R = \emptyset$. Let $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\Delta^+} \mathfrak{l}_\alpha$, and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}$ is a Borel subalgebra. Let $\overline{R} \subset \Delta^+$ be the positive roots that are non-negative linear combinations of elements of R . It determines a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b} + \sum_{-\overline{R}} \mathfrak{l}_\alpha$. Let $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ be its commutator subalgebra. Let $\mathfrak{h}_R = \mathfrak{h} \cap [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$, and we have

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\Delta^+ \cup (-\overline{R})} \mathfrak{l}_\alpha, \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{h}_R + \sum_{\Delta^+ \cup (-\overline{R})} \mathfrak{l}_\alpha.$$

We have a direct sum $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_\sigma + \mathfrak{h}_R$. By intersecting with \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{a} , we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_\sigma + \mathfrak{t}_R, \quad \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_\sigma + \mathfrak{a}_R.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{p}$, and it is the centralizer of σ in \mathfrak{g} under the coadjoint action. Its commutator subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma = \mathfrak{g} \cap [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is a semisimple ordinary Lie algebra (see proof of Proposition 5.1). By the Iwasawa decomposition, $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. We have $\mathfrak{a}_R, \mathfrak{n} \subset [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$, so

$$(5.2) \quad \mathfrak{l}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cong \mathfrak{g}/(\mathfrak{g} \cap [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_\sigma = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \oplus \mathfrak{a}_\sigma.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma$ corresponds to Lie subgroup $G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \subset G_{\overline{0}}$. We are interested in the space

$$X_\sigma = G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \times A_\sigma, \quad (X_\sigma)_{\overline{0}} = G_{\overline{0}}/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \times A_\sigma.$$

By (5.2), the map

$$(5.3) \quad X_\sigma = G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \times A_\sigma \hookrightarrow L/(P, P).$$

is a super diffeomorphism at each point as the tangent spaces are isomorphic, and it is an ordinary diffeomorphism of $(X_\sigma)_{\overline{0}}$ onto its open image in $(L/(P, P))_{\overline{0}}$. Hence X_σ is diffeomorphic to an open supersupermanifold in $L/(P, P)$. In this way, X_σ inherits a natural complex structure.

In the special case where σ is the interior of C , we have $R = \emptyset$, $\mathfrak{h}_\sigma = \mathfrak{h}$, $P = B$, $(P, P) = N$, $G^\sigma = T$ and $G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma = \{e\}$, so X_σ is just GA discussed previously.

We have a $G \times H_\sigma$ -action on X_σ , as T_σ normalizes G_{ss}^σ . Similar to (2.4), (3.1) and (3.8), and using the complex structure inherited from (5.3), we have

$$(5.4) \quad \Omega^{p,q}(X_\sigma)^{G \times T_\sigma} = C^\infty(A_\sigma) \otimes \wedge_{\mathfrak{t}_\sigma}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{a}_\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma)^*,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge_{\mathfrak{t}_\sigma}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{a}_\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma)^* &= \{\omega \in \wedge^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{a}_\sigma)^* \}; \\ \text{ad}_u^* \omega &= 0 \text{ for all } u \in \mathfrak{t}_\sigma \text{ and } \text{ad}_v^* \omega = \iota(v)\omega = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \}. \end{aligned}$$

The Dolbeault operators ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ raise the degrees of p and q respectively.

By (5.1), we have the Cartesian product $A = A_\sigma A_R$, so we can identify $C^\infty(A_\sigma)$ with the A_R -invariant elements of $C^\infty(A)$. In this way we have the subspace

$$(5.5) \quad C^\infty(A_\sigma) \otimes \wedge_{\mathfrak{t}_\sigma}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{a}_\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma)^* \subset C^\infty(A) \otimes \wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{a})^*,$$

where the latter is discussed in Section 3. The natural fibration $L/N \rightarrow L/(P, P)$ leads to the inclusion map in (5.5).

Proof of Theorem 1.3:

Let $\omega \in \Omega^{1,1}(X_\sigma)^{G \times T_\sigma}$ be a closed element. By (5.4) and (5.5), we treat it as an element of $C^\infty(A) \otimes \wedge_{\mathfrak{t}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{a})^*$. So by Theorem 1.1, we obtain $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ where $F \in C^\infty(A)$. But since ω belongs to the subspace in (5.5), we have $F \in C^\infty(A_\sigma)$. We identify it with $\tilde{F} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma)$ by Remark 1.8.

Let x_1, \dots, x_p and y_1, \dots, y_p be the linear coordinates on \mathfrak{a}_σ and \mathfrak{t}_σ respectively. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma + \mathfrak{a}_\sigma = \mathfrak{h}_\sigma + V_\sigma, \quad \text{where } V_\sigma = \mathfrak{g} \cap \sum_{\Delta^+ \setminus \bar{R}} (\mathfrak{l}_\alpha + \mathfrak{l}_{-\alpha}).$$

Similar to (3.19), ω_a is nondegenerate if and only if

$$(5.6) \quad \omega|_{\mathfrak{h}_\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} dx_j \wedge \xi_k, \quad \omega|_{V_\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial x_k} d\xi_k$$

are both nondegenerate. We follow the proof of Theorem 1.1. The first term of (5.6) is nondegenerate if and only if the Hessian matrix of \tilde{F} is nondegenerate everywhere. The second term of (5.6) is nondegenerate if and only if $F'(a) \in (\mathfrak{a}_\sigma^*)_{\text{reg}}$. Similar to (3.22), the moment map is $\Phi_\sigma(ga) = \frac{1}{2}F'(a)$ (see notation via the functor of points in Remark 3.4 and also [2, 3.2]). This proves Theorem 1.3. \square

Let $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ be given by Theorem 1.3, and suppose that F is strictly convex. Let $\omega_{\bar{0}}$ be its restriction to $(X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}} = (G_{\bar{0}}/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma)A_\sigma$. Let $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}^\sigma$ be the complex line bundle on $(X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}}$, whose Chern class is the cohomology class $[\omega_{\bar{0}}] = 0$. It has an invariant

Hermitian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\bar{0}}$, and there exists a nowhere vanishing $G_{\bar{0}} \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant holomorphic section $u_{\bar{0}}^{\sigma}$ such that

$$\langle u_{\bar{0}}^{\sigma}, u_{\bar{0}}^{\sigma} \rangle_{\bar{0}} = e^{-F}.$$

See [6, Prop.4.2]. We let $u_{\bar{0}}^{\sigma}$ denote this specific section, and later u^{σ} its extension.

The line bundle $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}^{\sigma}$ extends to the $1|0$ vector bundle \mathbb{L}^{σ} on X_{σ} , and $u_{\bar{0}}^{\sigma}$ extends uniquely to $u^{\sigma} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma})$, similarly to the corresponding result in Section 4 regarding $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{0}}$, \mathbb{L} and u . Since $u_{\bar{0}}^{\sigma}$ is nowhere vanishing, so is u^{σ} . We have an identification between $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma})$ and the free $1|0$ module $\mathcal{H}(X_{\sigma})$ of holomorphic functions on X_{σ} :

$$(5.7) \quad \mathcal{H}(X_{\sigma}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma}), \quad f \mapsto fu^{\sigma}.$$

We define, both on $\mathcal{H}(X_{\sigma})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma})$, an action of $G \times T_{\sigma}$ via SHCP, similarly to what we did in Section 4 for $G \times T$. The action of G on $\mathcal{H}(X_{\sigma})$ is via left invariant vector fields (see [2, Sec.8.3]). On $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma})$ we have:

$$g_{\bar{0}} \cdot fu^{\sigma} := (g_{\bar{0}} \cdot f)u^{\sigma}, \quad X \cdot fu^{\sigma} = (X \cdot f)u^{\sigma}, \quad g \in G_{\bar{0}}, X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We also have a right T_{σ} -action R on $\mathcal{H}(X_{\sigma})$ given by the dual of right translation. On $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma})$, we have $R_t(fu^{\sigma}) = (R_t f)u^{\sigma}$ for all $t \in T_{\sigma}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(X_{\sigma})$. In this way, u^{σ} is $G \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant. The G and T_{σ} actions commute.

For $\lambda \in \sigma$, we define $W(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma})_{\lambda}$ (resp. $W(X_{\sigma})_{\lambda}$) to be the irreducible submodule of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma})_{\lambda}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(X_{\sigma})_{\lambda}$) which contains the highest weight vector.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\lambda \in \sigma$.*

- (a) $W(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma})_{\lambda} \cong W(X_{\sigma})_{\lambda} \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$,
- (b) X_{σ} has a $G \times H_{\sigma}$ -invariant measure of the form $\mu_{X_{\sigma}} = \mu_{(X_{\sigma})_{\bar{0}}} \mu_{G_{\bar{1}}}$, where $\mu_{(X_{\sigma})_{\bar{0}}}$ is a $G_{\bar{0}} \times H_{\sigma}$ -invariant measure of $(X_{\sigma})_{\bar{0}}$, and $\mu_{G_{\bar{1}}}$ is a G -invariant measure of $G_{\bar{1}} = G/G_{\bar{0}}$.

Proof. The trivialization (5.7) implies that $W(\mathbb{L}^{\sigma})_{\lambda} \cong W(X_{\sigma})_{\lambda}$. Next we show that $W(X_{\sigma})_{\lambda} \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$. The fibration $\pi : X \longrightarrow X_{\sigma}$ is $G \times T_{\sigma}$ -equivariant, so it leads to an injection of G -modules, $\pi^* : \mathcal{H}(X_{\sigma})_{\lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(X)_{\lambda}$. By [3, Thm.2.12,4.27], we have $W(X)_{\lambda} \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$, and it is irreducible. Hence its G -submodule $\pi^*(W(X_{\sigma})_{\lambda})$ is either 0 or $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$. In the ordinary setting, $\mathcal{H}((X_{\sigma})_{\bar{0}})_{\lambda}$ is a discrete series representation of $G_{\bar{0}}$, so it is not 0 [15]. It follows that $W(X_{\sigma})_{\lambda} \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$. This proves part (a).

For part (b), we first recall the root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ in (3.18). By (1.3) and the discussions preceding (5.2),

$$(5.8) \quad \mathfrak{g}^{\sigma} = \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{\bar{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^{\sigma} = \mathfrak{t}_R + \sum_{\bar{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},$$

where \overline{R} consists of the positive roots α such that $\lambda(h_\alpha) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in C$. All $\lambda \in C$ and $\beta \in \Delta_1^+$ satisfy $\lambda(h_\beta) < 0$, so \overline{R} does not contain any odd root. Hence (5.8) implies that: $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$, and \mathfrak{g}^σ is reductive, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma$ is semisimple. By Example 2.4, semisimple and reductive Lie groups are unimodular, so

$$(5.9) \quad G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \text{ and } G^\sigma \text{ are unimodular Lie groups.}$$

We apply Proposition 2.5 with $U = G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma$ and obtain a G -invariant measure of G/G_{ss}^σ given by $\mu_{G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma} = \mu_{G_0/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma} \mu_{G_1}$, where $\mu_{G_0/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma}$ is a G_0 -invariant measure of G_0/G_{ss}^σ , and μ_{G_1} is a G -invariant measure of $G_1 = G/G_0$. Since T_σ is compact, $\mu_{G_0/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma}$ is automatically $G_0 \times T_\sigma$ -invariant. We take the product of $\mu_{G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma}$ with the Haar measure of A_σ and obtain the desired result of part (b). \square

Consider $\oplus_\sigma W(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)_\lambda$ and $\oplus_\sigma W(X_\sigma)_\lambda$, summed over all integral weights $\lambda \in \sigma$. Let

$$(5.10) \quad (s, t) := \int_{X_\sigma} \langle s, t \rangle \mu_{X_\sigma}, \quad s, t \in \oplus_\sigma W(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)_\lambda,$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad (f, h) = \int_{X_\sigma} f \bar{h} e^{-F} \mu_{X_\sigma}, \quad f, h \in \oplus_\sigma W(X_\sigma)_\lambda.$$

We shall show that (5.10) and (5.11) provide positive definite Hermitian metrics on the square integrable elements, so we can define their completions $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$ and $W^2(X_\sigma, e^{-F})$ respectively.

Proposition 5.2. *$W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$ and $W^2(X_\sigma, e^{-F})$ are equivalent unitary $G \times T_\sigma$ -modules with respect to the positive definite super Hermitian metrics (5.10) and (5.11) respectively.*

Proof. Similar to Proposition 4.1, the square integrable elements of (5.10) and (5.11) are identified by $fu^\sigma \mapsto f$, where u^σ is the section in (5.7). It remains to show that $W^2(X_\sigma, e^{-F})$ is a unitary $G \times T_\sigma$ -representation with respect to (5.11). Let

$$(\oplus_\sigma W(X_\sigma)_\lambda)^2 = \{f \in \oplus_\sigma W(X_\sigma)_\lambda ; (f, f) \text{ converges}\}.$$

The arguments resemble Proposition 2.6, namely we check the following four steps:

- $$(5.12)$$
- (a) $(\oplus_\sigma W(X_\sigma)_\lambda)^2$ is a super vector space,
 - (b) the $G \times T_\sigma$ -action on $W^2(X_\sigma, e^{-F})$ preserves (5.11),
 - (c) for any $G \times T_\sigma$ -invariant super Hermitian form H on $W(X_\sigma)_\lambda \oplus W(X_\sigma)_\nu$, $H(W(X_\sigma)_\lambda, W(X_\sigma)_\nu) = H(W(X_\sigma)_\lambda^a, W(X_\sigma)_\nu^b) = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \nu$ and $a \neq b$,
 - (d) the G -invariant Hermitian forms on each $W(X_\sigma)_\lambda$ are unique up to scalar.

Since the arguments are similar to (2.15), we merely sketch the ideas here.

Let P be the power set of $\{1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}\}$. By Proposition 5.1(b), we have

$$(5.13) \quad \mu_{X_\sigma} = \mu_{(X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}}} \mu_{G_{\bar{1}}} = \mu_{(X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}}} \left(\sum_{K \in S} a_K \xi^K \bar{\xi}^K \right)$$

for some $S \subset P$ and non-zero constants $\{a_K\}_{K \in S}$. Let $f = \sum_{I \in P} f_I \xi^I \in \oplus_\sigma W(X_\sigma)_\lambda$. Similar to (2.17) and (2.18), we have

$$(5.14) \quad (f, f) = \int_{G_{\bar{1}}} \int_{(X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}}} f \bar{f} e^{-F} \mu_{(X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}}} \mu_{G_{\bar{1}}} = \sum_{I \in S^c} c_I \int_{(X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}}} f_I \bar{f}_I e^{-F} \mu_{(X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}}},$$

where $c_I = \int_{G_{\bar{1}}} a_{I^c} \xi^{I^c} \bar{\xi}^{I^c} \xi^I \bar{\xi}^I \neq 0$ for all $I \in S^c$. It follows that $f \in (\oplus_\sigma W(X_\sigma)_\lambda)^2$ if and only if $f_I \in \mathcal{H}^2((X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}}, e^{-F})$ for all $I \in S^c$. Since the latter is a vector space, so is the former. This proves (5.12)(a).

Similar to the arguments of (2.20), the G -action preserves (5.11). Since $\mu_{G_{\bar{0}}/G_{\text{ss}}}$ is right T_σ -invariant, the right T_σ -action also preserves (5.11). This proves (5.12)(b). The proofs of (5.12)(c,d) are identical to (2.15)(c,d). This proves (5.12).

Let H be the Hermitian form (5.11). By (5.12)(b), the G -action preserves H , so $(\oplus_\sigma W(X_\sigma)_\lambda)^2 = \oplus_\tau W(X_\sigma)_\lambda$ for some $\tau \subset \sigma$. Let $\lambda \in \tau$. By Proposition 2.1 and (5.12)(d), there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that cH is positive definite on the unitarizable \mathfrak{g} -module $W(X_\sigma)_\lambda$. But by (5.14), we have $H(f, f) > 0$ for all ordinary non-zero functions f , so $c > 0$, and H is already positive definite on $W(X_\sigma)_\lambda$. By (5.12)(c), distinct summands of $\oplus_\tau W(X_\sigma)_\lambda$ are orthogonal, so H is positive definite on $\oplus_\tau W(X_\sigma)_\lambda$. We take its completion with respect to H , and obtain the unitary representation $W^2(X_\sigma, e^{-F})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4:

By Proposition 5.2, we may consider $W^2(X_\sigma, e^{-F})$ in place of $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)$. Let S be the index set in (5.13). Let $\lambda \in \sigma$. Given $0 \neq f = \sum_I f_I \xi^I \in W(X_\sigma)_\lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \in W^2(X_\sigma, e^{-F}) &\iff f_I \in \mathcal{H}^2((X_\sigma)_{\bar{0}}, e^{-F}) \text{ for all } I \in S^c && \text{by (5.14)} \\ &\iff \lambda \in \text{Im}(\tfrac{1}{2}F') && \text{by [6, Thm.2]} \\ &\iff \lambda \in \text{Im}(\Phi_\sigma) && \text{by Theorem 1.3.} \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.1(a), $W(X_\sigma)_\lambda \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$, so $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma) \cong W^2(X_\sigma, e^{-F}) \cong \sum_{\text{Im}(\Phi_\sigma)} \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$. This proves Theorem 1.4. \square

6 Models

The notion of a model was first proposed by Gelfand for compact Lie groups [12]. It refers to a unitary representation on a Hilbert space in which every irreducible representation occurs once. It has been extended to non-compact semisimple Lie groups [6], and here we construct models of real forms G of contragredient Lie supergroups. A model of highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodules is a unitary G -representation on a super Hilbert space in which every irreducible highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodule occurs once. In this section, we construct such a model and prove Theorem 1.5.

Fix a cell $\sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$, see (1.8). Let $\sigma = \{\sum_j c_j \lambda_j ; c_j > 0\}$ for some $\lambda_j \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. In (1.9), we define $\tilde{F}_\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma)$ by $\tilde{F}_\sigma(x) = \sum_j \exp(\lambda_j(x))$. We have

$$(6.1) \quad d\tilde{F}_\sigma : \mathfrak{a}_\sigma \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_\sigma^* , \quad d\tilde{F}_\sigma(x) = \sum_j \exp(\lambda_j(x)) \lambda_j,$$

and its Hessian matrix is the diagonal matrix with positive entries $\exp(\lambda_j(x))$. We identify \tilde{F}_σ with $F_\sigma \in C^\infty(A_\sigma)$ by Remark 1.8. Then F_σ is strictly convex, and by (6.1), $\text{Im}(F'_\sigma) = \sigma \subset (\mathfrak{a}_\sigma^*)_{\text{reg}}$. By Theorem 1.3, $\omega_\sigma = i\partial\bar{\partial}F_\sigma$ is a $G \times T_\sigma$ -invariant pseudo-Kähler form on X_σ . Its moment map has image $\text{Im}(\Phi_\sigma) = \text{Im}(\frac{1}{2}F'_\sigma) = \sigma$. So by Theorem 1.4,

$$(6.2) \quad W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma) \cong \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} \Theta_{\lambda+\rho},$$

summed over the integral weights λ . We repeat this construction for each cell σ , and take the sum over the collection $\{\sigma\}$ of all the cells. By (6.2),

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{\{\sigma\}} W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma) = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} \Theta_{\lambda+\rho} = \sum_{\lambda \in C} \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}.$$

The irreducible highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodules $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$ are parametrized by the integral weights $\lambda \in C$ of (1.4). So (6.3) is a model for such supermodules. This proves Theorem 1.5.

7 Symplectic reduction

In this section, we discuss symplectic reduction and prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7. Let $X_\sigma = G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \times A_\sigma$ be equipped with a $G \times T_\sigma$ -invariant pseudo-Kähler form $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}F$, with moment map $\Phi_\sigma : X_\sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*$ of the right T_σ -action. Let $\lambda \in \text{Im}(\Phi_\sigma) \subset (\mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*)_{\text{reg}}$. By Theorem 1.3, the Hessian matrix is nondegenerate, so the gradient map F' is a local diffeomorphism. We have $\Phi_\sigma(ga) = \frac{1}{2}F'(a)$, so the restriction of Φ_σ to $A_\sigma \subset X_\sigma$ is also a local diffeomorphism. Hence there exists a discrete set $\Gamma \subset A_\sigma$ such that

$$(7.1) \quad j : \Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda) = G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \times \Gamma \hookrightarrow X_\sigma,$$

where j is the inclusion. There is a right T_σ -action on $\Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda)$ because T_σ normalizes G_{ss}^σ . This leads to the quotient map

$$(7.2) \quad \pi : \Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda) \longrightarrow \Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda)/T_\sigma = G/G^\sigma \times \Gamma.$$

In [6, §7], we show that if G is an ordinary Lie group, there exists a unique symplectic form ω_λ on $G/G^\sigma \times \Gamma$ such that

$$(7.3) \quad \pi^*\omega_\lambda = j^*\omega.$$

Write $(X_\sigma)_\lambda = G/G^\sigma \times \Gamma$. The process $(X_\sigma, \omega) \rightsquigarrow ((X_\sigma)_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$ is called symplectic reduction [23]. We shall show that (7.3) holds in our super setting, then compute ω_λ and show that it is pseudo-Kähler.

Proof of Theorem 1.6:

Let $u \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$. Here $\mathfrak{t}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by annihilation of the root spaces. We claim that

$$(7.4) \quad \text{(a) } \iota(u)(d\xi) = 0 \quad , \quad \text{(b) } \text{ad}_u^*(d\xi) = 0.$$

In (7.4), we regard $d\xi \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\text{ad}_u^* \in \text{End}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*)$ (not $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{t}^*$ and $\text{End}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{t}^*)$). Recall from (3.18) that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + V$, where V is the sum of root spaces. Given $x + y \in \mathfrak{t} + V$, we have $(d\xi)(u, x + y) = \xi([u, x] + [u, y]) = 0$ because $[u, x] = 0$ and $[u, y] \in V$. Hence $\iota(u)(d\xi) = 0$, which proves (7.4)(a).

To prove (7.4)(b), let $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and we have

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{ad}_u^*(d\xi))(x, y) &= \xi([u, x], y) + \xi(x, [u, y]) = \xi([u, [x, y]]) \\ &= (d\xi)(u, [x, y]) = (\iota(u)(d\xi))([x, y]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression of (7.5) vanishes because $\iota(u)(d\xi) = 0$ by (7.4)(a). This proves (7.4)(b).

By Remark 1.8, we identify F with $\tilde{F} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma)$. Recall from (5.6) that $\omega = \omega|_{\mathfrak{h}_\sigma} + \omega|_{V_\sigma}$, where

$$(7.6) \quad \omega|_{\mathfrak{h}_\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} dx_j \wedge \xi_k, \quad \omega|_{V_\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial x_k} d\xi_k.$$

Here x_j are the linear coordinates on \mathfrak{a}_σ , and $\xi_k \in \mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*$. The expression $dx_j \wedge \xi_k$ means that \mathfrak{t}_σ and \mathfrak{a}_σ are Lagrangian subspaces of $\omega|_{\mathfrak{h}_\sigma}$. The image of j of (7.1) intersects the submanifold $A_\sigma \subset X_\sigma$ on the discrete set Γ , so $j^*(\omega|_{\mathfrak{h}_\sigma}) = 0$. It follows that

$$(7.7) \quad j^*\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial x_k} d\xi_k.$$

By (7.4) and (7.7),

$$(7.8) \quad \iota(u)(j^*\omega) = \text{ad}_u^*(j^*\omega) = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

Since j is G -equivariant, $j^*\omega$ is G -invariant. Hence $j^*\omega \in \Omega^2(G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma)^G = \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}^\sigma)^*$ (see definition in (2.4)). This, together with (7.8), imply that $j^*\omega \in \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\sigma)^*$. So there exists $\omega_\lambda \in \Omega^2(G/G^\sigma)^G$ such that $\pi^*\omega_\lambda = j^*\omega$, where π is the map (7.2). Here ω_λ is unique because π^* is injective. This proves (7.3).

Next we compute ω_λ . By (7.3) and (7.7), $\omega_\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial x_k}(a) d\xi_k$. By Theorem 1.3, $\lambda = \Phi_\sigma(a) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial x_k}(a) \xi_k$, so

$$(7.9) \quad -id\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial x_k}(a) d\xi_k = \omega_\lambda.$$

Finally we show that ω_λ is pseudo-Kähler. The supermanifolds $(G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma)A_\sigma$ and G/G^σ acquire their complex structures as open subsets of $L/(P, P)$ and L/P respectively. The quotient map $L/(P, P) \rightarrow L/P$ leads to a holomorphic fibration

$$\rho : G/G_{\text{ss}}^\sigma \times A_\sigma \rightarrow G/G^\sigma.$$

By (7.6) and (7.9), $\rho^*\omega_\lambda = \omega|_{V_\sigma}$. Since ω is a $(1, 1)$ -form and $\omega(\mathfrak{h}_\sigma, V_\sigma) = 0$, it follows that $\omega|_{V_\sigma}$ is also a $(1, 1)$ -form, and so is ω_λ . Since $\omega|_{V_\sigma}$ is nondegenerate, so is ω_λ . Hence ω_λ is pseudo-Kähler. This proves Theorem 1.6. \square

Guillemin and Sternberg [13] propose that symplectic reduction is the geometric analogue of taking subrepresentation. This is known as ‘‘quantization commutes with reduction’’. We now prove Theorem 1.7, which shows that our setting fulfills this principle.

Proof of Theorem 1.7:

By (5.9), G^σ is a unimodular Lie group (see Definition 2.3). Since $G_{\bar{0}}$ is reductive [18, §2], by Example 2.4, it is also unimodular. So $G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma$ has a $G_{\bar{0}}$ -invariant measure $\mu_{G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma}$ [20, Prop.8.36]. We recall Harish-Chandra's construction of the discrete series representations. Fix an integral weight $\lambda \in \sigma$. It determines a homogeneous line bundle $\mathbb{L}_0^\lambda = G_{\bar{0}} \times_\lambda \mathbb{C}$ over $G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma$. There exists a Hermitian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the holomorphic sections $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_0^\lambda)$, and we define

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{L}_0^\lambda) = \{s \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_0^\lambda) ; \int_{G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma} \langle s, s \rangle \mu_{G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma} < \infty\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{L}_0^\lambda)$ is irreducible, and is the discrete series representation with Harish-Chandra parameter $\lambda + \rho$ [16].

We extend Harish-Chandra's construction to the super setting. Since G is a Lie supergroup and G^σ is an ordinary Lie group, G/G^σ is globally split, hence $\mathcal{H}(G/G^\sigma) \cong \mathcal{H}(G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma) \otimes \wedge(\xi)$. If we fix such an isomorphism, the line bundle \mathbb{L}_0^λ on $G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma$ extends to the $1|0$ vector bundle \mathbb{L}^λ on G/G^σ (see [2, Ch.4], [19, Ch.6]), and we can view $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_0^\lambda)$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$, the holomorphic sections on \mathbb{L}^λ . We extend the Hermitian structure to $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$ by $\langle s\xi_1, t\xi_2 \rangle = \langle s, t \rangle \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_2$.

Let $W(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$ be the irreducible G -submodule of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$ which contains the highest weight vector. Since G^σ is unimodular, we can apply Proposition 2.5 with $U = G^\sigma$, namely there exists a G -invariant measure of G/G^σ given by

$$\mu_{G/G^\sigma} = \mu_{G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma} \mu_{G_{\bar{1}}} = \mu_{G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma} \left(\sum_{K \in S} a_K \xi^K \bar{\xi}^K \right),$$

where S is a subset of the power set of $\{1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}\}$, and $a_K \neq 0$ for all $K \in S$. We then define

$$(7.10) \quad W^2(\mathbb{L}^\lambda) = \{s \in W(\mathbb{L}^\lambda) ; \int_{G/G^\sigma} \langle s, s \rangle \mu_{G/G^\sigma} \text{ converges}\}.$$

Let $s = \sum_I s_I \xi^I \in W(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$. Similar to (5.14), we have

$$\int_{G/G^\sigma} \langle s, s \rangle \mu_{G/G^\sigma} = \sum_{I \in S^c} c_I \int_{G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma} \langle s_I, s_I \rangle \mu_{G_{\bar{0}}/G^\sigma},$$

where $c_I \neq 0$ for all $I \in S^c$. Thus $s \in W^2(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$ if and only if $s_I \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{L}_0^\lambda)$ for all $I \in S^c$. Here $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{L}_0^\lambda)$ is a discrete series representation [16], so $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$ is a non-trivial vector space. The Hermitian form (7.10) is G -invariant, so $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$ is a G -subrepresentation of $W(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$. But by [3, Thm.4.2.7], $W(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$ is irreducible and is given by $\Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$. Hence as algebraic G -representations,

$$(7.11) \quad W^2(\mathbb{L}^\lambda) \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}.$$

Similar to the arguments of Proposition 5.2, $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$ is a unitary G -representation with respect to (7.10). The holomorphic sections form a closed subspace within the square integrable sections, so $W^2(\mathbb{L}^\lambda)$ is complete.

By Theorem 1.6, the symplectic quotient is $(G/G^\sigma \times \Gamma, -id\lambda)$. If F is strictly convex, then F' is injective, so there exists a unique $a \in A_\sigma$ such that $\frac{1}{2}F'(a) = \lambda$, namely $\Gamma = \{a\}$. We write the symplectic quotient as

$$((X_\sigma)_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) = (G/G^\sigma, -id\lambda).$$

We perform geometric quantization to it. By (7.10) and (7.11), we write

$$(7.12) \quad \mathcal{Q}((X_\sigma)_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) = W^2(\mathbb{L}^\lambda) \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho},$$

where \mathcal{Q} denotes geometric quantization. By Theorem 1.4, $\mathcal{Q}(X_\sigma, \omega)_\lambda = W^2(\mathbb{L}^\sigma)_\lambda \cong \Theta_{\lambda+\rho}$. So together with (7.12), we have

$$\mathcal{Q}((X_\sigma)_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) \cong \mathcal{Q}(X_\sigma, \omega)_\lambda.$$

This proves Theorem 1.7. □

References

- [1] A. Alldridge and J. Hilgert, *Invariant Berezin integration on homogeneous supermanifolds*, J. Lie Theory **20** no. 1 (2010), 65-91.
- [2] C. Carmeli, L. Caston and R. Fiorese, with an appendix by I. Dimitrov, *Mathematical Foundation of Supersymmetry*, EMS Ser. Lect. Math., European Math. Soc., Zurich, 2011.
- [3] C. Carmeli, R. Fiorese and V. S. Varadarajan, *Highest weight Harish-Chandra supermodules and their geometric realizations*, Transf. Groups **25**, no. 1 (2020), 33-80.
- [4] C. Carmeli, R. Fiorese and V. S. Varadarajan, *Unitary Harish-Chandra representations of real supergroups*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **17** (2023), 287-303.
- [5] S. J. Cheng and W. Wang, *Dualities and Representations of Lie Superalgebras*, Grad. Studies in Math. **144**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2012.
- [6] M. K. Chuah, *Holomorphic discrete models of semisimple Lie groups and their symplectic constructions*, J. Funct. Anal. **175** (2000), 17-51.
- [7] M. K. Chuah and R. Fiorese, *Hermitian real forms of contragredient Lie superalgebras*, J. Algebra **437** (2015), 161-176.
- [8] K. Coulembier and R. B. Zhang *Invariant integration on orthosymplectic and unitary supergroups*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45** no. 9 (2012), 095204.
- [9] P. Deligne, P. Etingof, D. Freed, L. Jeffrey, D. Kazhdan, J. Morgan, D. Morrison, E. Witten (eds.), *Quantum fields and strings, a course for mathematicians*, Vol. 1-2. Material from the Special Year on Quantum Field Theory held at the Institute for Advanced Studies 1996-1997, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1999.
- [10] R. Fiorese and F. Gavarini, *Real forms of complex Lie superalgebras and supergroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **397** (2023), 937-965.
- [11] F. Gavarini, *A new equivalence between super Harish-Chandra pairs and Lie supergroups*, Pacific J. Math. **306** no. 2 (2020), 451-485.
- [12] I. M. Gelfand and A. Zelevinski, *Models of representations of classical groups and their hidden symmetries*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **18** (1984), 183-198.
- [13] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 515-538.

- [14] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Symplectic techniques in physics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984.
- [15] Harish-Chandra, *Representations of semi-simple Lie groups V*. Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 1-41.
- [16] Harish-Chandra, *Representations of semi-simple Lie groups VI*. Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 564-628.
- [17] H. P. Jakobsen, *The Full Set of Unitarizable Highest Weight Modules of Basic Classical Lie Superalgebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **532** (1994).
- [18] V. G. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math. **26** (1977), 8-96.
- [19] E. Kessler, *Supergeometry, Super Riemann Surfaces and the Superconformal Action Functional*, Springer LNM, 2019.
- [20] A. W. Knap, *Lie Groups beyond an Introduction, 2nd. ed.*, Progr. Math. **140**, Birkhäuser, Boston 2002.
- [21] B. Kostant, *Quantization and unitary representations*, Lecture Notes in Math. **170**, pp.87-208, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin 1970.
- [22] Y. I. Manin, *Gauge field theory and complex geometry, 2nd. edition*; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1997.
- [23] J. Marsden and A. Weinstein, *Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry*, Rep. Math. Phys. **5** (1974), 121-130.
- [24] K.-H. Neeb and H. Salmasian, *Lie supergroups, unitary representations, and invariant cones*, in “Supersymmetry in mathematics and physics”, Lecture Notes in Math. **2027**, pp. 195-239, Springer, Heidelberg 2011.
- [25] M. Parker, *Classification of real simple Lie superalgebras of classical type*, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980), 689-697.
- [26] R. Sjamaar, *Symplectic reduction and Riemann-Roch formulas for multiplicities*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1996), 327-338.
- [27] V. S. Varadarajan, *Supersymmetry for mathematicians: an introduction*, Courant Lecture Notes **1**, AMS, 2004.