

GALOIS DESCENT OF SPLENDID RICKARD EQUIVALENCES FOR BLOCKS OF p -NILPOTENT GROUPS

SAM K. MILLER

ABSTRACT. We strengthen results of Boltje and Yilmaz regarding Galois descent of equivalences of blocks of p -nilpotent groups, and a result of Kessar and Linckelmann regarding Galois descent of splendid Rickard equivalences for blocks with compatible Galois stabilizers. A more general descent criteria for chain complexes is proven along the way, which requires the adaptation of a theorem of Reiner for chain complexes. This verifies Kessar and Linckelmann's refinement of Broué's abelian defect group conjecture for blocks of p -nilpotent groups with abelian Sylow p -subgroup.

Recently, there has been new interest in verifying Broué's abelian defect group conjecture over *arbitrary fields*, in particular over \mathbb{F}_p , rather than the standard assumption that one works over a splitting field. This interest stems from a refined version of the conjecture proposed by Kessar and Linckelmann in [10], which predicts that for *any* complete discrete valuation ring \mathcal{O} and any block of a finite group G over \mathcal{O} with abelian defect group, there is a splendid Rickard equivalence between the block algebra and its Brauer correspondent. Given a p -modular system (K, \mathcal{O}, k) , one may use unique lifting of splendid Rickard equivalences from k to \mathcal{O} to reformulate the strengthened conjecture over fields of positive characteristic. Broué's conjecture is known over \mathbb{F}_p in some instances, such as in the case of symmetric groups [4]. In most cases however, Broué's conjecture has only been verified under the more common assumption that the field k is a splitting field for the group G .

Recent papers such as [8], [9], and [10] have verified Kessar and Linckelmann's strengthened conjecture for cases in which Broué's conjecture was already known to hold. One common approach to verify the conjecture over \mathbb{F}_p is to take a previously known construction of a splendid Rickard equivalence and modify it such that it descends to the base field \mathbb{F}_p from a splitting field extension k/\mathbb{F}_p . Broué's conjecture is known to hold for p -nilpotent groups, that is, finite groups whose largest p' -normal subgroup N is a complement to a Sylow p -subgroup of G (and more generally holds for p -solvable groups due to [6]). In [2], Boltje and Yilmaz determined two cases for when equivalences of block algebras over \mathbb{F}_p of p -nilpotent groups exist by modifying previously known techniques. However, in one of the two cases, [2, Theorem B], only a weaker form of equivalence known as a p -permutation equivalence (as introduced by Boltje and Xu in [1]) was shown. In this paper, we improve their result by demonstrating the existence of splendid Rickard equivalences in the same setting.

Theorem 1. ([2, Theorem B] for splendid Rickard equivalences) *Let G be a p -nilpotent group with abelian Sylow p -subgroup and let \tilde{b} be a block idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_p G$. Then there exists a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\mathbb{F}_p G \tilde{b}$ and its Brauer correspondent block algebra. In particular, Kessar and Linckelmann's strengthened abelian defect group conjecture holds for blocks of p -nilpotent groups with abelian Sylow p -subgroups.*

In fact, Theorem B follows from [2, Corollary 5.15], which we also prove an analogue of. The key tool for proving these theorems is a splendid Rickard equivalence-theoretic analogue of [2, Theorem D]. This theorem also strengthens a descent result of Kessar and Linckelmann, [10, Theorem 6.5(b)], by allowing for descent from larger finite fields, rather than only the field of realization of a block.

Theorem 2. ([2, Theorem D] for splendid Rickard equivalences) *Let b and c be block idempotents of kG and kH . Let \tilde{b} and \tilde{c} denote the corresponding block idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_p G$ and $\mathbb{F}_p H$ associated to b and c respectively, i.e. the unique block idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_p G$ and $\mathbb{F}_p H$ for which $\tilde{b}\tilde{b} \neq 0$ and $\tilde{c}\tilde{c} \neq 0$. Set*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C20, 20J05, 20C05, 20F19, 18G35.

Key words and phrases. Splendid Rickard equivalence, Galois descent, block, p -nilpotent groups, abelian defect group conjecture.

$\Gamma := \text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then explicitly,

$$\tilde{b} = \text{tr}_\Gamma(b) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\text{stab}_\Gamma(b)} \sigma b, \text{ and } \tilde{c} = \text{tr}_\Gamma(c) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\text{stab}_\Gamma(c)} \sigma c.$$

Let X be a splendid Rickard equivalence between kGb and kHc . Suppose we have $\text{stab}_\Gamma(X) = \text{stab}_\Gamma(b) = \text{stab}_\Gamma(c)$. Then $\mathbb{F}_p G \tilde{b}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p H \tilde{c}$ are splendidly equivalent.

Notation and conventions. For this paper, we follow the notation and setup of [10]. Let $(K, \mathcal{O}, k) \subseteq (K', \mathcal{O}', k')$ be an extension of p -modular systems, i.e. a p -modular system such that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}'$ with $J(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq J(\mathcal{O}')$. Furthermore, assume k and k' are finite, and that \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' are absolutely unramified unless otherwise specified. In other words, we have $\mathcal{O} \cong W(k)$ and $\mathcal{O}' \cong W(k')$, where $W(k)$ denotes the ring of Witt vectors over k . Equivalently, we have $J(\mathcal{O}) = p\mathcal{O}$ and $J(\mathcal{O}') = p\mathcal{O}'$. Set $d = [k' : k]$. Then \mathcal{O}' is free of rank d as an \mathcal{O} -module. Let $\sigma : k' \rightarrow k'$ be a generator of $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(k'/k)$ (such as the Frobenius endomorphism) and denote by the same letter $\sigma : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$ the unique ring homomorphism of \mathcal{O}' lifting σ . This lift is unique since \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' are absolutely unramified.

We use the following notation for Galois twists for extensions of commutative rings $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}'$. Given a finitely generated \mathcal{O} -algebra A , a module U over the \mathcal{O}' -algebra $A' = \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$, and a ring automorphism σ of \mathcal{O}' which restricts to the identity map on \mathcal{O} , we denote by ${}^\sigma U$ the A' -module which is equal to U as a module over the subalgebra $1 \otimes A$ of A' , such that $\lambda \otimes a$ acts on U as $\sigma^{-1}(\lambda) \otimes a$ for all $a \in A$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}'$. The Galois twist induces an \mathcal{O} -linear (but not in general \mathcal{O}' -linear) self-equivalence on $A' \mathbf{mod}$. The same notation extends to chain complexes in the obvious way. Note the Krull-Schmidt theorem for chain complexes holds over A , see [11, Theorem 4.6.11].

We obtain functors

$$-_{\mathcal{O}} : A' \mathbf{mod} \rightarrow A \mathbf{mod} \text{ and } \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} - : A \mathbf{mod} \rightarrow A' \mathbf{mod},$$

restriction and extension of scalars, respectively. These are both \mathcal{O} -linear exact functors. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} -$ is left adjoint to $-_{\mathcal{O}}$. We also have restriction and extension of scalars for k -algebras, and the same adjunction holds. Moreover, these functors extend to functors over chain complex categories, and the same adjunctions hold.

Let $\tau : A' \mathbf{mod} \rightarrow A' \mathbf{mod}$ be the functor sending an A' -module U to the A' -module

$$\tau(U) := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d-1} \sigma^i U,$$

and a morphism f to

$$\tau(f) := (f, \dots, f).$$

τ is an exact functor of \mathcal{O} -linear categories, where we regard $A' \mathbf{mod}$ as an \mathcal{O} -linear category by restriction of scalars.

Proposition 3. [10, Proposition 6.3] *With the notation and assumptions above, the functors $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (-)_{\mathcal{O}}$ and τ are naturally isomorphic. That is, for any A' -module U , we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} U_{\mathcal{O}} \cong \tau(U).$$

It easily follows that the above statement holds as well for k', k replacing $\mathcal{O}', \mathcal{O}$. We next require an adaptation of a theorem of Reiner for chain complexes. This next lemma is an extension of [13, Proposition 15] for chain complexes.

Lemma 4. *Let $C \in \text{Ch}^b(A \mathbf{mod})$, and set $E(C) := \text{End}_A(C)$ and $\tilde{E}(C) := E(C)/J(E(C))$. Each decomposition of $C' := \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} C$ into a direct sum of indecomposable subcomplexes*

$$C' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l D_j$$

induces a corresponding decomposition of $k' \otimes_k \tilde{E}(C)$ into indecomposable left ideals,

$$k' \otimes_k \tilde{E}(C) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l L_j,$$

where D_j and L_j correspond via the idempotent $\tau_j \in E(C')$ for which $\tau_j(C') = D_j$. Moreover, for all i, j we have $D_i \cong D_j$ as A -complexes if and only if $L_i \cong L_j$ as left $k' \otimes_k \tilde{E}(C)$ -modules.

Proof. First, note that for any chain complexes $C, D \in Ch^b({}_A\mathbf{mod})$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{A'}(C', D') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, D'_\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, D),$$

where the first isomorphism arises from adjunction, and the second isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, D) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, D'_\mathcal{O}) \\ a \otimes f &\mapsto (m_i \mapsto a \otimes f_i(m_i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \end{aligned}$$

where $a \in \mathcal{O}'$ and $f = \{f_i : C_i \rightarrow D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a chain complex homomorphism $C \rightarrow D$ (the author thanks D. Benson for his suggestion [7]). One may verify this is a well-defined homomorphism for chain complexes. Moreover, the homomorphism is surjective, as $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, D'_\mathcal{O})$ is spanned by homomorphisms of the form $m \mapsto a \otimes f_i(m)$ in degree i , where $a \in \mathcal{O}'$ and $f = \{f_i : C_i \rightarrow D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a chain complex homomorphism $C \rightarrow D$. This follows from the identification $D'_\mathcal{O} \cong D^{\oplus d}$ of A -chain complexes, which implies $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, D'_\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, D)^{\oplus d}$. The surjection is therefore injective as well, by rank counting. Moreover if $C = D$, the composite of the two isomorphisms is an \mathcal{O}' -algebra isomorphism, as in this case the composite is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathrm{End}_A(C) &\rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{A'}(C') \\ a \otimes f &\mapsto m_a \otimes f \end{aligned}$$

where m_a is the multiplication by a map. Therefore, we have an isomorphism $E(C') = \mathrm{End}_{A'}(C') \cong \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E(C)$ of \mathcal{O}' -algebras. Now, choose

$$I = p\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E(C) + \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} J(E(C)),$$

then I is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E(C)$ contained in $J(\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E(C))$. We may also regard I as a two-sided ideal of $E(C')$ via the isomorphism $E(C') \cong \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E(C)$. Since I is contained in $J(E(C'))$, $E(C')$ decomposes into left ideals in the same way as the factor ring $E(C')/I$. However,

$$E(C')/I \cong (\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E(C))/I \cong k' \otimes_k \tilde{E}(C).$$

Now, [11, Corollary 4.6.12] asserts that a decomposition $C' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l D_j$ into indecomposable summands corresponds bijectively to a decomposition of $\mathrm{id} \in E(C')$ into primitive idempotents τ_i with $\tau_i(C') = D_i$ and τ_i is $E(C')$ -conjugate to τ_j if and only if $D_i \cong D_j$. Therefore, we obtain a corresponding decomposition of $E(C') = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l K_j$ into indecomposable left ideals, with $K_i = E(C')\tau_i$, $E(K_i) \cong \tau_i E(C')\tau_i$, and moreover, $D_i \cong D_j$ if and only if $K_i \cong K_j$ as $E(C')$ -modules. On the other hand, since $I \subseteq J(E(C'))$, the decomposition $E(C') = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l K_j$ also corresponds bijectively to a decomposition $E(C')/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l L_j$ into indecomposable left ideals, with $L_i \cong L_j$ if and only if $K_i \cong K_j$. The result follows. \square

The following proposition is an extension of [13, Theorem 3] for chain complexes.

Proposition 5. *For each indecomposable chain complex of finitely generated A -modules C , the chain complex of A' -modules $C' := \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} C$ is a direct sum of nonisomorphic indecomposable subcomplexes.*

Proof. We use the notation from the previous lemma. Note $\tilde{E}(C)$ is semisimple, but since C is indecomposable, $\tilde{E}(C)$ is a division algebra over k . However, k is finite; hence $\tilde{E}(C)$ is as well, and thus a field by Wedderburn's theorem. Then $k' \otimes_k \tilde{E}(C)$ is a semisimple commutative algebra by [5, Theorem 7.8]. Therefore, it is a direct sum of fields, none of which are isomorphic as $k' \otimes_k \tilde{E}(C)$ -modules. The result now follows from the previous lemma. \square

The following theorem demonstrates the necessary and sufficient condition for a chain complex of A' -modules to descend to a chain complex of A -modules is Galois stability.

Theorem 6. (a) *Suppose $C \in Ch^b({}_A\mathbf{mod})$ is indecomposable and satisfies $\sigma C \cong C$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$, where we regard σ as the unique ring homomorphism of \mathcal{O}' lifting $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$. Then there exists a chain complex $\tilde{C} \in Ch^b({}_A\mathbf{mod})$ such that $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{C} \cong C$. Moreover, \tilde{C} is unique up to isomorphism.*

(b) Conversely, let $\tilde{C} \in Ch^b({}_A\mathbf{mod})$ and define $C := \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{C}$. Then C satisfies ${}^{\sigma}C \cong C$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k'/k)$.

Proof. For (a), let $d = [k' : k]$. By [10, Proposition 6.3], there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} C_{\mathcal{O}} \cong C^{\oplus d}$. We claim $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ has exactly d indecomposable summands. Indeed, suppose for contradiction that $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ contains less than $[k' : k]$ indecomposable summands. Since extension of scalars is an exact functor, there exists a summand D of $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ for which $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} D \cong C^{\oplus i}$ for some $1 < i \leq d$ by the Krull-Schmidt theorem. However, this contradicts Proposition 5 which asserts that $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} D$ decomposes into a direct sum of nonisomorphic indecomposable subcomplexes. On the other hand, $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ cannot contain more than d indecomposable summands since extension of scalars is exact. Thus, $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ contains exactly d indecomposable summands, $C_1, \dots, C_d \in Ch^b({}_A\mathbf{mod})$. We have

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^d (\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} C_i) \cong \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (C_1 \oplus \dots \oplus C_d) = \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} C_{\mathcal{O}} = \tau(C) \cong C^{\oplus d},$$

and by the Krull-Schmidt theorem, choosing $\tilde{C} := C_i$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ demonstrates the first statement in (a).

For uniqueness in (a), suppose that $\tilde{C}_1, \tilde{C}_2 \in Ch^b({}_A\mathbf{mod})$ satisfy $C \cong \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{C}_1 \cong \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{C}_2$. Since \mathcal{O}' is a free \mathcal{O} -module of rank d , we may take an \mathcal{O} -basis of \mathcal{O}' , $\{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$. Then, $(\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{C}_1)_{\mathcal{O}} \cong \tilde{C}_1^{\oplus d}$, since $a_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{C}_1$ is an A -direct summand of $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{C}_1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Similarly, $(\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{C}_2)_{\mathcal{O}} \cong \tilde{C}_2^{\oplus d}$, so we have $\tilde{C}_1^{\oplus d} \cong \tilde{C}_2^{\oplus d}$ and by the Krull-Schmidt theorem, $\tilde{C}_1 \cong \tilde{C}_2$, as desired.

For (b), given any A -module M and $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k'/k)$, we have an isomorphism of A' -modules $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M \cong \sigma(\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M)$, natural in M , given by $a \otimes m \mapsto \sigma^{-1}(a) \otimes m$. The result follows by applying this isomorphism in each component of C . \square

As a result, Galois stability allows us to determine when a splendid Rickard equivalence descends to the field which realizes two splendidly Rickard equivalent blocks.

Lemma 7. *Let k'/k be a finite field extension, let G be a finite group, and let b be a block idempotent of kG . Then $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$ acts transitively on the set of block idempotents b' of $k'G$ for which $b'b \neq 0$.*

Proof. We induct on the degree d of $[k' : k]$. If $d = 1$, then $k' = k$ and there is nothing to prove since b is a block idempotent. If $d = p$, then either b remains a block idempotent of $k'G$, in which case this is again vacuously true, or b decomposes into at most p blocks (if b were to decompose into more than p blocks, then since a trace sum over $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$ yields a block of k , b would not be primitive). If the latter occurs, then since b decomposes, each new block idempotent in the decomposition must have some coefficients strictly not in k , and therefore any element of $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$ acts nontrivially on the set of block idempotents. Since $|\text{Gal}(k'/k)| = p$, the action must be faithful, so b decomposes into exactly p blocks in $k'G$, the set of which is acted transitively and faithfully upon by $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$.

Now suppose $d > p$. Let l denote the unique finite field extension of k for which $[k' : l] = p$. Then we have a decomposition $b = b_1 + \dots + b_n$ in lG , and by the inductive hypothesis, $\text{Gal}(l/k)$ acts transitively on the set $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$. In particular, since the Frobenius endomorphism $x \mapsto x^{|k|}$ generates $\text{Gal}(l/k)$, for all integral $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ there exists some integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $b_i^x = b_j$.

Let

$$b = c_1^1 + \dots + c_1^{a_1} + c_2^1 + \dots + c_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} + c_n^1 + \dots + c_n^{a_n}$$

be the decomposition of b into blocks of $k'G$, where $c_i^{j'} b_j \neq 0$ if and only if $i = j$. In particular, for all integers $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have a decomposition $b_i = c_i^1 + \dots + c_i^{a_i}$ (note from the base case $d = p$, a_i can only be 1 or p). By induction from considering the extension k'/l , there exists some integer α for which $(c_i^{j_1})^{\alpha} = c_i^{j_2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq a_i$. Moreover, since the Frobenius endomorphism $x \mapsto x^{|k|}$ generates $\text{Gal}(l/k)$, every element of $\text{Gal}(l/k)$ extends to an element of $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$. In particular, if $b_i^x = b_j$ for some integers $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, then there exist integers i', j' for which $(c_i^{i'})^x = c_j^{j'}$, and we conclude that $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$ acts transitively on the set of block idempotents b' of $k'G$ for which $b'b \neq 0$. Note this also implies $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$. \square

Corollary 8. *Let k'/k be a finite field extension and let G, H be finite groups. Let b, c be block idempotents of kG and kH respectively. There exists a splendid Rickard equivalence $X' \in Ch^b({}_{k'G} \mathbf{triv}_{k'Hc})$ which*

satisfies ${}^\sigma X' \cong X'$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k'/k)$ if and only if there exists a splendid Rickard equivalence $X \in \text{Ch}^b({}_k G b \text{triv}_k H c)$.

Proof. Let $(K, \mathcal{O}, k) \subseteq (K', \mathcal{O}', k')$ be an extension of p -modular systems with $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'$ absolutely unramified (this exists since we may take $\mathcal{O} = W(k)$ and $\mathcal{O}' = W(k')$).

To prove the reverse direction, suppose X exists. By unique lifting of splendid equivalences ([14, Theorem 5.2]), there exists a splendid Rickard equivalence Y of $(\mathcal{O}G b, \mathcal{O}H c)$ -bimodules, unique up to isomorphism, which satisfies $k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} Y \cong X$. [10, Proposition 4.5(a)] then asserts that $Y' = \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} Y$ induces a Rickard equivalence between $\mathcal{O}'G b$ and $\mathcal{O}'H c$ and [10, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2] assert that Y' is splendid. Then $X' := k' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}'} Y'$ is a splendid equivalence for $k'G b$ and $k'H c$ and is stable under $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$ -action by Theorem 6(b).

For the forward direction, let X' be as given, and by [3, Proposition 5.4] we may assume X' has no contractible summands. By unique lifting of splendid equivalences, there exists a splendid Rickard equivalence Y' of $(\mathcal{O}'G b, \mathcal{O}'H c)$ -bimodules, unique up to isomorphism, which satisfies $k' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}'} Y' \cong X'$. Note $k'G b$ and $k'H c$ may no longer be indecomposable. Let $b = b_1 + \cdots + b_m$ and $c = c_1 + \cdots + c_n$ denote the decomposition of b and c into blocks of $k'G$ and $k'H$ respectively (using unique lifting of block idempotents for ease of notation). By [3, Theorem 8.4], we have that $m = n$, and there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that Y' decomposes into a direct sum of indecomposable splendid Rickard equivalences $Y' = Y'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Y'_n$ with Y'_i a splendid Rickard equivalence between b_i and $c_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Since ${}^\sigma Y' \cong Y'$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k'/k)$, the action of $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$ partitions the set $\{Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n\}$ into orbits, and Lemma 7 implies that in fact, the action is transitive. Let $\Omega \leq \text{Gal}(k'/k)$ denote the stabilizer of the action, and let \mathcal{L} (resp. l) denote the absolutely unramified extension $\mathcal{O}' \supseteq \mathcal{L} \supseteq \mathcal{O}$ (resp. extension $k'/l/k$) corresponding to Ω . [10, Proposition 6.3] gives that $(Y'_1)_{\mathcal{L}}$ satisfies $\mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} (Y'_1)_{\mathcal{L}} \cong Y'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Y'_n$, so $Y := (Y'_1)_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a splendid Rickard equivalence between $b \in Z(lG b)$ and $c \in Z(lH c)$. Since X' was assumed to have no contractible summands, it follows that Y has no contractible summands as well. Then [3, Theorem 8.4] implies Y is indecomposable, since it follows that b is a block of lG .

Theorem 6 asserts that there exists a unique chain complex Y'' of $(\mathcal{O}G b, \mathcal{O}H c)$ -bimodules satisfying $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} Y'' \cong Y$. [10, Proposition 4.5(a)] asserts that Y'' induces a Rickard equivalence between $\mathcal{O}G b$ and $\mathcal{O}H c$, and [10, Lemma 5.2] asserts that Y'' is splendid. Taking $X := k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} Y''$ completes the proof. \square

Note the previous corollary holds when $k = \mathbb{F}_p[b] = \mathbb{F}_p[c]$. We are now ready to prove Theorem 2, our analogue of [2, Theorem D]. This theorem also strengthens [10, Theorem 6.5] by allowing for larger finite field extensions.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let k' be the field extension $k \supseteq k' \supseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ corresponding to $\text{stab}_{\Gamma}(b) \leq \Gamma$ via the Galois correspondence. It follows that $k' = \mathbb{F}_p[b] = \mathbb{F}_p[c]$, and that $\text{Gal}(k/k') = \text{stab}_{\Gamma}(b) = \text{stab}_{\Gamma}(c) = \text{stab}_{\Gamma}(X)$. By Corollary 8, there exists a splendid Rickard equivalence \tilde{X} for $k'G b$ and $k'H c$. The result now follows by [10, Theorem 6.5(b)] after lifting \tilde{X} to \mathcal{O}' , which may be done via [14, Theorem 5.2]. \square

Proving an analogue of [2, Theorem B] follows nearly identically to the p -permutation case, and of the groundwork has already been laid out via [2, Proposition 5.13] and [2, Lemma 5.14]. We summarize the setup, and refer the reader to [2, Section 5] for more details and proofs of the following claims.

Setup. From here, we assume G is a p -nilpotent group, so G has a normal p' -subgroup $N = O_{p'}(G)$ and G/N is a p -group. Let (K, \mathcal{O}, k) be a p -modular system large enough for G , and set $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_p)$. Fix a block idempotent b of kG and denote by $\tilde{b} := \text{tr}_{\Gamma}(b)$ the corresponding block idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_p G$. Let e be a block idempotent of kN such that $be \neq 0$. Set $S := \text{stab}_G(e)$, then

$$b = \sum_{g \in G/S} {}^g e,$$

and e is also a block idempotent of kS . Let $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(S)$. Then Q is a defect group of the block idempotents e of kS , b of kG , and \tilde{b} of $\mathbb{F}_p G$. Set $\tilde{e} = \text{tr}_{\Gamma}(e)$ and set $\tilde{S} := \text{stab}_G(\tilde{e})$. Then by [2, Lemma 5.1], $S \trianglelefteq \tilde{S}$ and

$$\tilde{b} = \sum_{G/\tilde{S}} {}^g \tilde{e}.$$

Set

$$e' := \sum_{\tilde{s} \in \tilde{S}/S} {}^{\tilde{s}} e.$$




FIGURE 1. The lattice of subgroups and blocks for a p -nilpotent group G .

e' is a block idempotent of $k\tilde{S}$. [2, Lemma 5.3] asserts $\text{tr}_\Gamma(e') = \tilde{e}$ and \tilde{e} is a block idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_p\tilde{S}$. Set $H := N_G(Q)$, which is a p -nilpotent group, and set $M := O_{p'}(H)$. Then $M = H \cap N = C_N(Q)$. Let c denote the block idempotent of kH which is in Brauer correspondence with b . It follows that $\text{stab}_\Gamma(c) = \text{stab}_\Gamma(b)$ and $\tilde{c} := \text{tr}_\Gamma(c)$ is the Brauer correspondent of \tilde{b} .

Let V denote the unique simple kNe module. Since V is absolutely irreducible, it extends to a simple kSe module which we again denote by V . Let f denote the block idempotent of kM whose irreducible module is the Glaubermann correspondent of the Q -stable irreducible module $V \in {}_{kN}\mathbf{mod}$. f remains a block idempotent of kT , where $T = H \cap S$. It follows that the block idempotents e of kS and f of kT are Brauer correspondents. Let $\tilde{f} := \text{tr}_\Gamma(f)$, $\tilde{T} := \text{stab}_H(\tilde{f})$, and $f' = \text{tr}_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{f})$. It follows that

$$\text{stab}_\Gamma(f') = \text{stab}_\Gamma(b) = \text{stab}_\Gamma(c) = \text{stab}_\Gamma(e'),$$

and $\tilde{T} = H \cap \tilde{S}$.

Now, we act under the assumption that $\text{Res}_Q^S V$ has an endosplit p -permutation resolution X_V . In fact, $\text{Res}_Q^S V$ is a capped endopermutation module. Note that if Q is abelian, this condition is satisfied, since every indecomposable endopermutation module for an abelian p -group is a direct summand of tensor products of inflations of Heller translates of the trivial module of quotient groups, and every indecomposable endopermutation module is absolutely indecomposable. Under this assumption, there exists a direct summand Y_V of $\text{Ind}_Q^S X_V$ such that Y_V is an endosplit p -permutation resolution of V as a kS -module, and we may choose Y_V to be contractible-free. Set $\Delta_Q S := \{(nq, q) : n \in N, q \in Q\} \leq S \times Q$. The induced chain complex $\text{Ind}_{\Delta_Q S}^{S \times Q} Y_V$ is then a splendid Rickard equivalence between kSe and kQ , by [14, Theorem 7.8].

Let U be the simple kM -module belonging to f . Set $\Delta_Q T := \Delta_Q S \cap (T \times Q)$. The bimodule

$$k\tilde{T}f \otimes_{kT} \text{Ind}_{\Delta_Q T}^{T \times Q} U$$

induces a splendid Morita equivalence between $k\tilde{T}f'$ and kQ . Altogether, the chain complex

$$Z := k\tilde{S}e \otimes_{kS} \text{Ind}_{\Delta_Q S}^{S \times Q} Y_V \otimes_{kQ} (k\tilde{T}f \otimes_{kT} \text{Ind}_{\Delta_Q T}^{T \times Q} U)^*$$

induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $k\tilde{S}e'$ and $k\tilde{T}f'$.

Finally, we assume there exists a $W \in {}_{\mathbb{F}_p Q}\mathbf{mod}$ such that $\text{Res}_Q^S V \cong k \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} W$ and that W has an endosplit p -permutation resolution X_W . Then, the chain complex $k \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} X_W$ is an endosplit p -permutation resolution of $\text{Res}_Q^S V$ and we may assume $X_V = k \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} X_W$. As before, if Q is abelian then this property is satisfied.

The following theorem is a strengthening of [2, Corollary 5.15], and the proof follows analogously.

Theorem 9. *Suppose that R is abelian.*

- (a) *There exists a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\mathbb{F}_p\tilde{S}\tilde{e}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p\tilde{T}\tilde{f}$.*

(b) *There exists a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\mathbb{F}_p G\tilde{b}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p H\tilde{c}$.*

Proof. (a) By [2, Lemma 5.14], we have $\text{stab}_\Gamma(Z) = \text{stab}_\Gamma(e') = \text{stab}_\Gamma(f')$. Hence by Theorem 2, there exists a splendid Rickard equivalence \tilde{Z} for $\mathbb{F}_p \tilde{S}\tilde{e}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p \tilde{T}\tilde{f}$.

(b) The p -permutation bimodule $\mathbb{F}_p G\tilde{e}$ induces a splendid Morita equivalence, hence a splendid Rickard equivalence, between $\mathbb{F}_p G\tilde{b}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p \tilde{S}\tilde{e}$ see for instance [12, Theorem 6.8.3], by regarding the bimodule as a chain complex concentrated in degree 0. Similarly, the bimodule $\mathbb{F}_p H\tilde{f}$ induces a splendid Rickard equivalence between $\mathbb{F}_p H\tilde{c}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p \tilde{T}\tilde{f}$ in the same way. The result now follows from (a) via the splendid Rickard equivalence

$$\mathbb{F}_p G\tilde{e} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p \tilde{S}\tilde{e}} \tilde{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p \tilde{T}\tilde{f}} \mathbb{F}_p H\tilde{f}$$

of $(\mathbb{F}_p G\tilde{b}, \mathbb{F}_p H\tilde{c})$ -bimodules.

□

Theorem 1 now follows immediately from the previous theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Boltje and B. Xu. On p -permutation equivalences: between Rickard equivalences and isotypies. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 360(10):5067–5087, 2008.
- [2] R. Boltje and D. Yilmaz. Galois descent of equivalences between blocks of p -nilpotent groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 150(2):559–573, 2022.
- [3] J. V. Breland and S. K. Miller. Brauer pairs for splendid Rickard complexes. *arXiv:2312.10258 [math.RT]*, 2023.
- [4] J. Chuang and R. Rouquier. Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and \mathfrak{sl}_2 -categorification. *Annals of Mathematics*, 167:245–298, 2008.
- [5] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Methods of Representation Theory with Applications to Finite Groups & Orders, Volume 1*. John Wiley & Sons, 1981.
- [6] M. Harris and M. Linckelmann. Splendid derived equivalences for blocks of finite p -solvable groups. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, pages 85–96, 2000.
- [7] D. Benson (https://mathoverflow.net/users/460592/dave_benson). Extension of scalars for bounded chain complexes of kg -modules. MathOverflow. URL:<https://mathoverflow.net/q/471654> (version: 2024-05-20).
- [8] X. Huang. Descent of equivalences for blocks with klein four defect groups. *J. of Algebra*, 614:898–905, 2023.
- [9] X. Huang, P. Li, and J. Zhang. The strengthened broué abelian defect group conjecture for $\text{SL}(2, p^n)$ and $\text{GL}(2, p^n)$. *J. of Algebra*, 633:114–137, 2023.
- [10] R. Kessar and M. Linckelmann. Descent of equivalences and character bijections. In *Geometric and topological aspects of the representation theory of finite groups*, PROMS, pages 181–212. Springer, 2018.
- [11] M. Linckelmann. *The Block Theory of Finite Group Algebras, Volume 1*. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [12] M. Linckelmann. *The Block Theory of Finite Group Algebras, Volume 2*. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [13] I. Reiner. Relations between integral and modular representations. *Michigan Math J.*, 13:357–372, 1966.
- [14] J. Rickard. Splendid equivalences: Derived categories and permutation modules. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 72(2):331–358, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA CRUZ, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064
 Email address: sakmille@ucsc.edu