

The coarea formula for projections of Lipschitz mappings on Carnot groups

Sergey Basalaev*

May 27, 2024

Abstract

A special type of coarea inequality is proved for compositions of Lipschitz mappings of Carnot groups with projections along horizontal vector fields. It is proved that the equality is achieved for mappings with finite codistortion and mappings on the Heisenberg group.

Keywords: Carnot group, coarea formula, Eilenberg inequality.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 22E30, 58C35, 28A75.

1 Introduction

H. Federer in [10] established the well-known coarea formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \mathcal{H}^{n-k}(f^{-1}(y)) dy = \int_{\Omega} J(x, f) dx \quad (1.1)$$

where $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, is a Lipschitz mapping and $J(x, f) = \sqrt{\det Df(x)Df(x)^*}$. This formula has numerous applications in analysis on Euclidean spaces [11, 8, 13, 23]. It extends naturally to the Riemannian spaces [4] and was also extended to Sobolev mappings of Euclidean spaces [31] and to Lipschitz mappings defined on \mathcal{H}^k -rectifiable sets in metric spaces [1].

Nowadays, many topics of geometric measure theory are studied in sub-Riemannian spaces which naturally arise e. g. in the theory of subelliptic equations, contact geometry, optimal control theory, non-holonomic mechanics (see [33] for a great review of the applications). Our interest is in a sub-Riemannian analogue of a particular case of this formula. Given a Lipschitz mapping $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, on Euclidean space and an orthogonal projection Pr_j on the hyperplane $\{x_j = 0\}$ the formula (1.1) for $\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi$ may be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y)) dy = \int_{\Omega} |\text{adj } D\varphi(x) \langle \partial_{x_j} \rangle| dx. \quad (1.2)$$

*The work is supported by the Mathematical Center in Akademgorodok under the agreement No. 075-15-2022-282 with the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation.

P. Pansu in [35, 36] introduced a suitable notion of a differential $D_{\mathcal{P}}$ for mappings between Carnot groups with Carnot–Carathéodory metrics and proved that Lipschitz continuous mappings between such groups are differentiable a. e. in this sense. In this paper we prove (see the precise statement in Theorem 4.1) that for a Lipschitz mapping $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$, on a Carnot group \mathbb{G} and a projection Pr_j on the hyperplane $\Pi_j = \{x_j = 0\}$ along the integral lines of a horizontal left-invariant vector field X_j the following inequality holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y)) dy \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\text{adj } D_{\mathcal{P}}\varphi(x) \langle X_j \rangle| dx. \quad (1.3)$$

The inequality is still useful for geometric measure theory since it leads to a Sard type property that the set of singular values of $\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi$ is negligible. However, it is interesting even on its own because, despite extensive research, the coarea formula for mappings between Carnot groups is still not well understood. Most of the results in this direction are established only for sufficiently smooth contact mappings [35, 14, 25, 27, 28, 18, 19, 34] or for Lipschitz mappings in the special case where $\ker D_{\mathcal{P}}f$ is a normal subgroup of the codomain [24, 26, 29, 5, 15]. When the latter condition is not fulfilled very little is known. Even the case of the mapping $\mathbb{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, \mathbb{H}^1 being the Heisenberg group, is a very challenging problem [22, 20, 21, 2, 30].

We emphasize that the inequality (1.3) is new and not a consequence of any of these works. The major obstacle here is that the projection Pr_j is generally not contact and therefore not Lipschitz in Carnot–Carathéodory metric. As a consequence the composition $\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi$ does not have enough regularity in both Riemannian and sub-Riemannian sense. Some developments for coarea of non-contact mappings may be found in [16, 17] though only for C^1 -smooth mappings with non-vanishing Jacobian. The case of regular values is actually quite straightforward in our case since it reduces to a combination of Fubini theorem and a change of variable. The case of singular values is what presents a real challenge. The key idea here is that while projections behave badly from the metric point of view they have nice properties in terms of measure. This allows us to prove Eilenberg type inequality 4.5. Then, we modify and adapt the reasoning of [24] to prove the inequality (1.3).

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce Carnot groups and all the necessary structures on them. In Section 3 we define projections along the vector fields and derive their basic properties. As a technical tool a Fubini type Theorem 3.6 is proved. In section 4 we state and prove the coarea inequality, Theorem 4.1, and some cases where the equality is achieved, namely functions of finite codistortion (Corollary 4.9) and functions on \mathbb{H}^1 (Corollary 4.11).

2 Carnot groups

Let \mathbb{G} be a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group. The group \mathbb{G} is *graded* (see e. g. [37, 12, 3]) if there are fixed subspaces V_1, \dots, V_m of its Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields \mathfrak{g} such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m \quad \text{and} \quad [V_1, V_k] \subset V_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

where we assume $V_{m+1} = \{0\}$. Denote $n_i = \dim V_i$, $N = n_1 + \dots + n_m = \dim \mathfrak{g}$. The group \mathbb{G} is *stratified* or is a *Carnot group* if \mathfrak{g} is generated by V_1 , i.e. $[V_1, V_k] = V_{k+1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

We call a collection of linear independent left-invariant vector fields X_1, \dots, X_N the *graded basis* of \mathfrak{g} if for each $k = 1, \dots, m$ some subcollection of these fields is a basis of V_k . To each X_i we assign a formal degree $\sigma_i = k$ if $X_i \in V_k$. We assume that X_i are ordered by degree, in particular X_1, \dots, X_{n_1} span V_1 .

The exponential mapping $g = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i X_i\right)(e)$ (e being the neutral element of \mathbb{G}) is a global diffeomorphism and defines a system of *canonical coordinates of the 1st kind* on \mathbb{G} . For convenience we identify the point $g \in \mathbb{G}$ with its coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, so diffeomorphisms $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ and $\log : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ are identities

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i X_i\right) = (x_1, \dots, x_N), \quad \log(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i X_i.$$

The group operation $x \cdot y$ in the canonical coordinates takes the form

$$(x \cdot y)_i = x_i + y_i + \sum_{\sigma_k > \sigma_i} P_{ik}(x, y), \quad (2.1)$$

where P_{ik} are polynomials depending only on coordinates of degree less than σ_i . In particular, $(x \cdot y)_i = x_i + y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n_1$.

The *dilations* δ_λ defined by $\delta_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (\lambda^{\sigma_1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{\sigma_N} x_N)$ are group automorphisms for all $\lambda > 0$. We denote the *homogeneous dimension* of \mathbb{G} as

$$\nu = \sum_{k=1}^m k n_k = \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i.$$

We call the subspace $V_1 = H\mathbb{G}$ a *horizontal space* of \mathfrak{g} , its elements are the *horizontal vector fields*. An absolutely continuous curve γ is *horizontal* if $\dot{\gamma} \in H\mathbb{G}$ a.e. If \mathbb{G} is a Carnot group, by Chow–Rashevskii theorem any two points can be connected by a horizontal curve. The sub-Riemannian structure on Carnot group \mathbb{G} is given by a scalar product on $H\mathbb{G}$. The *Carnot–Carathéodory* distance $\rho(x, y)$ between two points $x, y \in \mathbb{G}$ is the infimum of lengths of horizontal curves with the endpoints x and y . It is left-invariant and δ_λ -homogeneous:

$$\rho(x, y) = \rho(zx, zy), \quad \rho(\delta_\lambda x, \delta_\lambda y) = \lambda \rho(x, y), \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{G}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The topology given by the Carnot–Carathéodory metric is equivalent to the Euclidean one. However, unless $H\mathbb{G} = T\mathbb{G}$, the metric itself is not equivalent to any Riemannian metric. We denote an open ball in the metric d as $B_r(x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{G}$, $r > 0$ is a radius. We may omit x for the ball centered at the origin, i.e. $B_r = B_r(0)$.

For the purposes of proofs we extend the scalar product to the whole \mathfrak{g} in such a way that the subspaces V_1, \dots, V_m are orthogonal. In particular, we may assume that X_1, \dots, X_N is an orthonormal basis. So, \mathbb{G} is also equipped with the left-invariant Riemannian structure. The metric and measures corresponding the Riemannian structure

are denoted, e. g. as ρ_{riem} or $\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1$. Note, that the results obtained are independent of a particular extension of a scalar product and so only depend on a sub-Riemannian structure of a group.

The Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^N on \mathbb{R}^N is a bi-invariant Haar measure on \mathbb{G} . We denote integration by \mathcal{L}^N simply as dx .

Definition 2.1. *Outer s -dimensional (spherical) Hausdorff ε -measure $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s$, $s \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, of $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ with respect to Carnot–Carathéodory distance ρ is defined as*

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(E) = \beta_s \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k^s : E \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} B(x_k, r_k), \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} r_k \leq \varepsilon \right\},$$

where $\beta_s > 0$ is some normalization constant. We do not specify the value of this constant besides $\beta_1 = \omega_1 = 2$.¹

In the subsequent we use the following properties of ε -measures.

Lemma 2.2 (Properties of Hausdorff ε -measures).

1. $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(U) < +\infty$ for every bounded $U \subset \mathbb{G}$;
2. $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(x \cdot E) = \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(E)$ for all $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, $x \in \mathbb{G}$;
3. $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(\delta_r E) = r^s \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon/r}^s(E)$ for all $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, $r > 0$;
4. If $K_n \subset \mathbb{G}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a decreasing sequence of nested compact sets then

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(K_n).$$

Proof. The properties 1–3 are fairly obvious. For the last property let $K = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(K) \leq \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(K_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, since K is a compact, for each $\delta > 0$ it can be covered by a finite family of balls $B_k = B(x_k, r_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, such that $r_k \leq \varepsilon$ and

$$\beta_s \sum_{k=1}^m r_k^s < \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(K) + \delta.$$

Since $\bigcup B_k$ is open there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_n \subset \bigcup B_k$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(K_n) \leq \beta_s \sum_{k=1}^m r_k^s < \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(K) + \delta.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(K_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(K)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the lemma is proved. \square

Definition 2.3. *Outer s -dimensional (spherical) Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^s , $s > 0$, of $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ with respect to d_{cc} is defined as*

$$\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(E) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^s(E).$$

¹In Euclidean spaces the value of β_s is usually assumed to be $\omega_s = \frac{\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(1+s/2)}$. With such a choice the measure \mathcal{H}^k coincides with the Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^k on k -dimensional subspaces. On Carnot group it may be reasonable to choose other values, for instance such that \mathcal{H}^ν coincides with \mathcal{L}^N .

Recall that \mathcal{H}^s is a regular Borel measure on \mathbb{G} . The following properties easily follow from its definition.

Lemma 2.4 (properties of Hausdorff measures).

1. $\mathcal{H}^s(x \cdot E) = \mathcal{H}^s(E)$ for all $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, $x \in \mathbb{G}$;
2. $\mathcal{H}^s(\delta_r E) = r^s \mathcal{H}^s(E)$ for all $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, $r > 0$.
3. $\mathcal{H}^\nu = \Theta_{\mathbb{G}} \mathcal{L}^N$ for some constant $\Theta_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$ where \mathcal{L}^N is a Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{G} .

The latter holds due to the fact that \mathcal{H}^ν is a Radon measure on \mathbb{G} , both measures are left-invariant and homogeneous with respect to δ_r :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^\nu}{\partial \mathcal{L}^N}(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_r(x))}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_r(x))} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^\nu \mathcal{H}^\nu(B_1)}{r^\nu \mathcal{L}^N(B_1)} = \frac{\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_1)}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_1)} = \Theta_{\mathbb{G}}.$$

3 Hyperplanes and projections

Definition 3.1. For each $j = 1, \dots, n_1$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ define the *vertical hyperplane*

$$\Pi_{s,j} = \{x \in \mathbb{G} : x_j = s\}.$$

Denote $\Pi_j = \Pi_{0,j}$. Define also the axis $L_j = \{\exp(sX_j) : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Lemma 3.2. For all $j = 1, \dots, n_1$

1. L_j is an Abelian subgroup of \mathbb{G} of homogeneous degree 1.
2. The sub-Riemannian Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^1 , Riemannian Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1$ and Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^1 coincide on L_j .
3. Π_j is a normal subgroup of \mathbb{G} of homogeneous degree $\nu - 1$.
4. $\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1} = \Theta_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{L}^{N-1}$ on Π_j , where $\Theta_{\Pi_j} > 0$ is some constant, \mathcal{L}^{N-1} is a Lebesgue measure on Π_j .

Proof. From the definition it is obvious that $L_j \cong \mathbb{R}$. All three measures \mathcal{H}^1 , $\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1$, \mathcal{L}^1 are bi-invariant on L_j , hence, proportional. The intersection of both Riemannian and sub-Riemannian balls $B_r(0)$ with L_j is an interval $(-r, r)$ and so $\mathcal{H}^1|_{L_j} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1|_{L_j}$. Both measures coincide with \mathcal{L}^1 due to the choice of a normalizing constant $\beta_1 = 2$.

Recall, that from (2.1) $(x \cdot y)_j = x_j + y_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n_1$. Hence, for $x, y \in \Pi_j$ (i. e. $x_j = y_j = 0$) we have $xy, x^{-1} \in \Pi_j$ meaning Π_j is a subgroup. Next, for all $p \in \Pi_j$, $z \in \mathbb{G}$ we have $(zpz^{-1})_j = 0$, i. e. Π_j is a normal subgroup.

It is easy to see that $d(\delta_\lambda y) = \lambda^{\nu-1} dy$ on Π_j . Since both measures $\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}$ and \mathcal{L}^{N-1} are left-invariant on Π_j and δ_r -homogeneous of degree $\nu - 1$, similarly to Lemma 2.4 we obtain $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}}{\partial \mathcal{L}^{N-1}} = \frac{\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(B_1 \cap \Pi_j)}{\mathcal{L}^{N-1}(B_1 \cap \Pi_j)} = \Theta_{\Pi_j}$. \square

Note, that Π_j is always a graded nilpotent group but not necessarily a Carnot group.

Definition 3.3. By the last Lemma for each $j = 1, \dots, n_1$ the group \mathbb{G} is a semidirect product $\mathbb{G} = \Pi_j L_j$, i. e. every $x \in \mathbb{G}$ is uniquely represented as $x = p \exp(x_j X_j)$, $p \in \Pi_j$. Define the *projections* $\text{Pr}_j : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \Pi_j$, $\text{pr}_j : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $\text{Pr}_j(x) = p$, $\text{pr}_j(x) = x_j$. In other words Pr_j is a projection on Π_j along the integral lines of the vector field X_j .

The following properties are easy to check from the definition.

Lemma 3.4 (the properties of the projection).

1. $\text{Pr}_j(\delta_r x) = \delta_r \text{Pr}_j(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{G}$, $r > 0$.
2. For all $x, y \in \mathbb{G}$ the identity holds

$$\text{Pr}_j(x \cdot y) = \text{Pr}_j(x) \cdot \exp(x_j X_j) \cdot \text{Pr}_j(y) \cdot \exp(-x_j X_j).$$

In what follows we also use the following analytical properties of projections.

Lemma 3.5. 1) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The mappings $\Pi_{s,j} \rightarrow \Pi_j$ defined as $x \mapsto \exp(-sX_j)x$, $x \mapsto x \exp(-sX_j)$ and $x \mapsto \text{Pr}_j(x)$ are diffeomorphisms of $\Pi_{s,j}$ onto Π_j and have a Jacobian of 1.

2) The Jacobi matrix of the mapping Pr_j in the basis $X_j, X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_N$ (i. e. considering x_j as the first coordinate) has the form

$$D\text{Pr}_j(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_j(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\det T_j(x) = 1$.

Proof. The restrictions of left and right shifts $r_{s,j}(x) = x \cdot \exp(sX_j)$, $l_{s,j}(x) = \exp(sX_j) \cdot x$ on the hyperplane Π_j are diffeomorphisms $\Pi_j \rightarrow \Pi_{s,j}$. For the left shift we have $(X_k l_{s,j})(x) = X_k(l_{s,j}(x))$, $k = 1, \dots, N$, due to the left-invariance of X_1, \dots, X_N . Consequently, $Dl_{s,j} = \text{Id}$. For the right-shift on $\exp(sX_j)$ we have

$$(X_j r_{s,j})(x) = \frac{d}{dt} x \cdot \exp(sX_j) \cdot \exp(tX_j) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} x \cdot \exp((s+t)X_j) \Big|_{t=0} = X_j(r_{s,j}(x)).$$

Besides, $X_k r_{s,j}(x) \in T\Pi_{s,j}$ for $k \neq j$ and $x \in \Pi_j$. Therefore, the Jacobi matrix of the mapping $r_{s,j}$ in the basis $X_j, X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_N$ has the form

$$Dr_{s,j}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & DT_{s,j}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Pi_j,$$

where $T_{s,j} : \Pi_j \rightarrow \Pi_{s,j}$ is the restriction of $r_{s,j}$. Since the Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^N is right-invariant on \mathbb{G} , $\det DT_{s,j} = \det Dr_{s,j} = 1$.

For the mapping $\text{Pr}_j|_{\Pi_{s,j}}$ it is enough to notice that $\text{Pr}_j(x) = x \exp(-sX_j)$ for $x \in \Pi_{s,j}$, i. e. the restriction of Pr_j on $\Pi_{s,j}$ coincides with the restriction of $r_{-s,j}$ on $\Pi_{s,j}$. P.1) of Lemma is proved.

Finally, for the mapping $\text{Pr}_j : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \Pi_j$ we have

$$X_j \text{Pr}_j(x) = \frac{d}{dt} \text{Pr}_j(x \exp(tX_j)) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \text{Pr}_j(x) \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For $y \in \Pi_{s,j}$ we have $\text{Pr}_j(y) = y \cdot \exp(-sX_j)$. Thus, $\text{Pr}_j|_{\Pi_{s,j}} = T_{s,j}^{-1}$, where $T_{s,j} : \Pi_j \rightarrow \Pi_{s,j}$ is defined earlier. It follows that the Jacobi matrix of Pr_j in the basis $X_j, X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_N$ is

$$D\text{Pr}_j(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & DT_{s,j}^{-1}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\det DT_{s,j}^{-1}(x) = 1$. P. 2) of Lemma is proved. \square

An analogue of Fubini's theorem may be immediately derived from this lemma. A partial analogue of this theorem may also be found in [32].

Theorem 3.6 (Fubini type theorem). *If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{G})$, then*

- 1) for $\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}$ -a. e. $p \in \Pi_j$ the mapping $t \mapsto f(p \exp(tX_j))$ is integrable;
- 2) for a. e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the mapping $p \mapsto f(p \exp(tX_j))$ $\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}$ -integrable;
- 3) the following formula holds

$$\int_{\Pi_j} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(p \exp(tX_j)) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_{\Pi_j} f(p \exp(tX_j)) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(p) = \frac{\Theta_{\Pi_j}}{\Theta_{\mathbb{G}}} \int_{\mathbb{G}} f(x) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(x).$$

Proof. Applying the Riemannian coarea formula (1.1) to the smooth mapping Pr_j we obtain

$$\int_{\Pi_j} dp \int_{\text{Pr}_j^{-1}(p)} f(y) d\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1(y) = \int_{\mathbb{G}} f(x) J(x, \text{Pr}_j) dx.$$

Wherein $J(x, \text{Pr}_j) = \sqrt{\det D\text{Pr}_j(x) D\text{Pr}_j^*(x)} = 1$ by p. 2 of Lemma 3.5. Since $\text{Pr}_j^{-1}(p) = pL_j$, by Lemma 3.2 and left-invariance of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1$ it follows

$$\int_{\text{Pr}_j^{-1}(p)} f(y) d\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1(y) = \int_{L_j} f(ph) d\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(p \exp(tX_j)) dt.$$

On the other hand, by Fubini's theorem

$$\int_{\mathbb{G}} f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_{\Pi_{t,j}} f(z) dz.$$

Since by p. 1 of Lemma 3.5 the Jacobian of the change of variable $z = p \exp(tX_j)$ is equal to 1, we have

$$\int_{\Pi_{t,j}} f(z) dz = \int_{\Pi_j} f(p \exp(tX_j)) dp.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{\Pi_j} dp \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(p \exp(tX_j)) dt = \int_{\mathbb{G}} f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_{\Pi_j} f(p \exp(tX_j)) dp.$$

The statement of Theorem follows from the proportionality of dp and $d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(p)$. \square

4 The coarea inequality for projections

Let $\mathbb{G}, \tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ be two Carnot groups. The mapping $L : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ is a *contactomorphism* if it is a homomorphism of Lie groups that is contact, i. e. $DL\langle H\mathbb{G} \rangle \subset H\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$. The corresponding mapping of Lie algebras, which for brevity we denote as

$$\widehat{L} = \widetilde{\log} \circ L \circ \exp$$

is a *graded homomorphism of Lie algebras*, i. e. it is a linear mapping such that $\widehat{L}\langle H\mathbb{G} \rangle \subset H\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ and $L\langle [X, Y] \rangle = [L\langle X \rangle, L\langle Y \rangle]$. In a graded basis such a mapping is represented by a block-diagonal matrix.

The mapping $\varphi : E \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{G}}$, $E \subset \mathbb{G}$, is Pansu differentiable (\mathcal{P} -differentiable) at $x_0 \in E$ if there is such a contactomorphism L that

$$\tilde{\rho}(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x), L(x_0^{-1}x)) = o(\rho(x_0, x)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow x_0.$$

We denote this homomorphism (\mathcal{P} -differential) as $D_{\mathcal{P}}f(x_0)$ and the corresponding homomorphism of Lie algebras as $\widehat{D}f(x_0)$.

Lipschitz continuous mappings of Carnot groups are \mathcal{P} -differentiable a. e. In particular they are contact. This is proved in [36] for mappings defined on open sets and in [39] for mappings defined on measurable sets.

For a linear mapping $\widehat{L} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} as $\text{adj } \widehat{L}$ we denote the adjoint linear mapping. Its matrix is a transposed matrix of cofactors and its main property is

$$\widehat{L} \circ \text{adj } \widehat{L} = \text{adj } \widehat{L} \circ \widehat{L} = \det \widehat{L} \cdot \text{Id}.$$

Note, that if \widehat{L} is a graded homomorphism we also have $\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle H\mathbb{G} \rangle \subset H\mathbb{G}$ due to block-diagonal structure but $\text{adj } \widehat{L}$ is generally not a graded homomorphism.

The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 4.1 (coarea inequality). *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, $\varphi : E \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ be Lipschitz continuous. Then for every measurable $A \subseteq E$ the mapping*

$$p \mapsto \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(p) \cap A), \quad p \in \Pi_j,$$

is measurable and

$$\int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(p) \cap A) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(p) \leq \frac{\Theta_{\Pi_j}}{\Theta_{\mathbb{G}}} \int_A |\text{adj } \widehat{D}\varphi(x)\langle X_j \rangle| d\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(x),$$

where $\Theta_{\mathbb{G}}, \Theta_{\Pi_j}$ are the constants of proportionality of Hausdorff and Lebesgue measures, namely $\mathcal{H}^{\nu} = \Theta_{\mathbb{G}}\mathcal{L}^N$ on \mathbb{G} , $\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1} = \Theta_{\Pi_j}\mathcal{L}^{N-1}$ on Π_j .

Definition 4.2. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, $x \in E$ be a point of \mathcal{P} -differentiability of the mapping $\varphi : E \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{G}}$. Call the *sub-Riemannian Jacobian* of the mapping $\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi$ at x the value

$$\mathcal{J}(x, \text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi) = \frac{\Theta_{\Pi_j}}{\Theta_{\mathbb{G}}} |\text{adj } \widehat{D}\varphi(x)\langle X_j \rangle|.$$

The reasonableness of such a definition follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let L be a contactomorphism of the group \mathbb{G} , then*

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^\nu(\overline{B}_1)} \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y) = \frac{\Theta_{\Pi_j}}{\Theta_{\mathbb{G}}} |\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle|.$$

Proof. Applying the Riemannian coarea formula (1.1) to the smooth mapping $w \mapsto \text{Pr}_j(L(w))$ on a closed ball \overline{B}_1 we obtain

$$\int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1) dy = \int_{\overline{B}_1} J(x, \text{Pr}_j \circ L) dx, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1$ is a Hausdorff measure with respect to the Riemannian metric and

$$J(x, \text{Pr}_j \circ L) = \sqrt{\det D(\text{Pr} \circ L) D(\text{Pr} \circ L)^*}.$$

Show that $J(x, \text{Pr}_j \circ L) = |\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle|$. By p.2 of Lemma 3.5 in the basis of vector fields $X_j, X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_N$ we have

$$D(\text{Pr}_j \circ L)(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_j(L(x)) \end{pmatrix} \widehat{L} = T_j(L(x)) \widetilde{L}_j,$$

where \widetilde{L}_j is an $(N-1) \times N$ matrix obtained by removal of the first row (corresponding to X_j) from \widehat{L} . From this

$$J(\cdot, \text{Pr}_j \circ L) = \sqrt{\det T_j \widetilde{L}_j \widetilde{L}_j^* T_j^*} = |\det T_j| \sqrt{\det \widetilde{L}_j \widetilde{L}_j^*}.$$

By Lemma 3.5 $|\det T_j| = 1$. By Binet–Cauchy formula

$$\det \widetilde{L}_j \widetilde{L}_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^N (\det \widetilde{L}_{ji})^2 = |\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle|^2,$$

where \widetilde{L}_{ji} are $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ -minors of the matrix \widetilde{L}_j with i -th column excluded. Note, that $\text{adj } \widehat{L}$ is block-diagonal in the basis of X_1, \dots, X_N since \widehat{L} is such. Hence, $\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle \in H\mathbb{G}$.

Now prove that $\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1) = \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1)$ for a.e. $y \in \Pi_j$.

Case 1. Let $\det \widehat{L} \neq 0$. Then $\widehat{L}^{-1}\langle X \rangle = (\det \widehat{L})^{-1} \text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X \rangle$. Since $\text{Pr}_j^{-1}(y)$ is an integral curve of the vector field X_j passing through $(0, y)$, its preimage $L^{-1}(\text{Pr}_j^{-1}(y))$ is an integral curve of the horizontal vector field $\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1) = \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1).$$

Case 2. Let $\det \widehat{L} = 0$ but $\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle \neq 0$. In this case $\dim \widehat{L}\langle V_1 \rangle = n_1 - 1$, j -th row of the matrix \widehat{L} is linearly dependent with the rows $1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n_1$, and $X_j \notin \widehat{L}\langle V_1 \rangle$.

Note, that for every $y \in \Pi_j$ the intersection $\text{Pr}_j^{-1}(y) \cap L(\mathbb{G})$ consists of no more than a single point. Indeed, $L(\mathbb{G})$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{G} . If $y \exp(aX_j), y \exp(bX_j) \in L(\mathbb{G})$ then

$$(y \exp(aX_j))^{-1} y \exp(bX_j) = \exp((b-a)X_j) \in L(\mathbb{G}).$$

But from $\exp(X_j) \notin L(\mathbb{G})$ it follows $a = b$. Since $\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle \in \ker \widehat{L}$ the preimage of the point $\text{Pr}_j^{-1}(y) \cap L(\mathbb{G})$ under L is an integral curve of a horizontal vector field $\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1) = \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1).$$

Case 3. Let $\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle = 0$. Then the rows $1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n_1$ of the matrix \widehat{L} are linearly dependent and there is $Y \in \text{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_{n_1}\}$ such that $L\langle V_1 \rangle \perp Y$. Therefore, $\text{Pr}_j(L(\mathbb{G}))$ is in a subspace of Π_j of dimension less than $N-1$ and $(\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1 = \emptyset$ for \mathcal{L}^{N-1} -a. e. $y \in \Pi_j$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{riem}}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1) = \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1) = 0$$

for a. e. $y \in \Pi_j$.

Hence, the equality (4.1) becomes

$$\int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr} \circ L)^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B}_1) dy = \int_{\overline{B}_1} |\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle| dx = \mathcal{L}^N(\overline{B}_1) |\text{adj } \widehat{L}\langle X_j \rangle|.$$

The statement of Lemma now follows from the proportionality of Lebesgue and Hausdorff measures. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be Lipschitz continuous and $x_0 \in E$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\int_{\Pi_j}^* \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^1[(\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y)] d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y) = \int_{\Pi_j}^* \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^1\{x \in E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x)) = z\} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(z).$$

Proof. Let $s_0 = \text{pr}_j(x_0)$. By the properties of Pr_j (see Lemma 3.4) we have

$$\text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x)) = \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x_0)\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x)) = \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x_0)) \cdot \gamma_j(s_0) \cdot \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x)) \cdot \gamma_j(-s_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\{x \in E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x)) = y\} = \{x \in E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x)) = z\},$$

where $z = \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x_0)) \gamma_j(s_0) y \gamma_j(-s_0)$. By Lemma 3.5 the mapping $y \mapsto z$ is a diffeomorphism of Π_j on Π_j with a Jacobian of 1. The statement of Lemma follows. \square

The following lemma is an analogue of the well-known Eilenberg's inequality [6, 9] which is a key ingredient of most proofs of the classical coarea formula. An analogue of this inequality is even known for Lipschitz mappings of metric spaces (see review and self-contained proof in [7]). These results, however, are not applicable to our case since the composition $\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi$ is not Lipschitz continuous.

Lemma 4.5 (Eilenberg type inequality). *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be Lipschitz continuous. Then*

$$\int_{\Pi_j}^* \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y) \leq C \text{Lip}(\varphi)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{H}^{\nu}(E),$$

where the constant C is independent of the choice of E and φ .

Proof. The formula is trivial for the set of infinite measure so we assume $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E) < +\infty$.

Fix $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$. There is a countable family of balls $B_k = B_{r_k}(x_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$E \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} B_k, \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} r_k \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_\nu \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} r_k^\nu < \mathcal{H}^\nu(E) + \varepsilon.$$

We may assume without loss of generality that every ball intersects with E . Let $\tilde{x}_k \in B_k \cap E$ and $\tilde{B}_k = B_{2r_k}(\tilde{x}_k)$. Then $B_k \subset \tilde{B}_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.4

$$\int_{\Pi_j}^* \mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1 \{x \in B_k \cap E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x)) = y\} dy \leq \int_{\Pi_j}^* \mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1 \{x \in \tilde{B}_k \cap E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(\tilde{x}_k)^{-1}\varphi(x)) = z\} dz.$$

Since $\rho(\varphi(\tilde{x}_k), \varphi(x)) \leq \text{Lip}(\varphi)\rho(\tilde{x}_k, x) \leq 2\text{Lip}(\varphi)r_k$, the function under the last integral vanishes as soon as $z \notin \text{Pr}_j(B_{2\text{Lip}(\varphi)r_k}(0))$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\Pi_j}^* \mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1 \{x \in \tilde{B}_k \cap E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(\tilde{x}_k)^{-1}\varphi(x)) = z\} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(z) \leq \int_{\text{Pr}_j(B_{2\text{Lip}(\varphi)r_k})} \mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1(\tilde{B}_k) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(z).$$

Since Pr_j and $\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}$ are δ_r -homogeneous, it follows

$$\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(\text{Pr}_j(B_{2\text{Lip}(\varphi)r_k})) = \mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(\delta_{2\text{Lip}(\varphi)r_k} \text{Pr}_j(B_1)) = (2\text{Lip}(\varphi)r_k)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(\text{Pr}_j(B_1)).$$

From here, taking into account that $\mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1(\tilde{B}_k) \leq 2\beta_1 r_k$, we have

$$\int_{\text{Pr}_j(B_{2\text{Lip}(\varphi)r_k})}^* \mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1(\tilde{B}_k) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(z) \leq 2^\nu \beta_1 \mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(\text{Pr}_j(B_1)) \text{Lip}(\varphi)^{\nu-1} r_k^\nu = C \text{Lip}(\varphi)^{\nu-1} r_k^\nu.$$

Since for every $y \in \Pi_j$ it holds

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1 \{x \in E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x)) = y\} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1 \{x \in B_k \cap E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x)) = y\},$$

we derive

$$\int_{\Pi_j}^* \mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C \text{Lip}(\varphi)^{\nu-1} r_k^\nu \leq \frac{C}{\beta_\nu} \text{Lip}(\varphi)^{\nu-1} [\mathcal{H}^\nu(E) + \varepsilon].$$

Finally, since $\mathcal{H}_{2\delta}^1$ are monotone in δ , from Fatou's Lemma we obtain

$$\int_{\Pi_j}^* \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y) \leq \frac{C}{\beta_\nu} \text{Lip}(\varphi)^{\nu-1} [\mathcal{H}^\nu(E) + \varepsilon].$$

The statement of Lemma follows from the arbitrary choice of $\varepsilon > 0$. □

Corollary 4.6. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ be closed and $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be Lipschitz continuous.*

1. For each compact $K \subset \mathbb{G}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ the function $g_{j,\varepsilon} : \Pi_j \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ defined as

$$g_{j,\varepsilon}(y) = \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap K),$$

is measurable.

2. For each measurable $A \subset \mathbb{G}$ the function $g_j : \Pi_j \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ defined as

$$g_j(y) = \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap A)$$

is measurable.

Proof. Step 1. Let K be a compact set. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider a set

$$U_\varepsilon(t) = \{y \in \Pi_j : g_{j,\varepsilon}(y) < t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Let $y \in U_\varepsilon(t)$. Since $(\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap K$ is a compact, there is a finite family of balls $B_k = B_{r_k}(x_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, m$ such that

$$(\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap K \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_k, \quad r_k \leq \varepsilon, \quad \beta_1 \sum_{k=1}^m r_k < t.$$

Since $\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi$ is continuous and K is compact, $(\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(z) \cap K \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_k$ for z belonging to some neighborhood of y . Thus, $U_\varepsilon(t)$ is open and $g_{j,\varepsilon}$ is measurable. Hence, $g_j = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} g_{j,\varepsilon}$ is also measurable.

Step 2. Let A be open. Then there exists a sequence of compacts K_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots \subset A$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n = A$. Therefore, the function

$$g_j(y) = \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap K_n),$$

is measurable.

Step 3. Let $\mathcal{H}^\nu(A) < \infty$. There is a sequence of open sets U_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \dots \supset A$, $\mathcal{H}^\nu(U_1) < +\infty$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^\nu(U_n \setminus A) = 0.$$

By Lemma 4.5

$$\int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap (U_n)) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y) \leq C \text{Lip}(\varphi)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{H}^\nu(U_n) < +\infty.$$

Therefore, the function $y \mapsto \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap (U_n))$ is finite a. e. Then the sequence of functions

$$\mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap (U_n \setminus A)) = \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap U_n) - \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap A)$$

is finite a. e., decreases monotonically and by Lemma 4.5

$$\int_{\Pi_j}^* \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap (U_n \setminus A)) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y) \leq C \text{Lip}(\varphi)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{H}^\nu(U_n \setminus A) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows

$$\mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap U_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap A)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a. e. $y \in \Pi_j$.

Step 4. Finally, if $\mathcal{H}^\nu(A) = +\infty$ it is enough to split A into a countable disjoint family of measurable sets of finite measure. The lemma is proved. \square

The proof of the following Lemma is inspired in part by the reasoning in [24].

Lemma 4.7 (measure density estimate). *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ be closed and $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be Lipschitz continuous. Define an outer measure $\mu_{\varepsilon,j}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, on subsets $F \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ as*

$$\mu_{\varepsilon,j}(F) = \int_{\text{Pr}_j(\mathbb{G})}^* \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap E \cap F) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y).$$

Then for \mathcal{H}^ν -a. e. $x \in E$ we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,j}(\overline{B}_r(x))}{\mathcal{H}^\nu(\overline{B}_r(x))} \leq \mathcal{J}(x, \text{Pr} \circ \varphi).$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in E$ be a density point of E and \mathcal{P} -differentiability point of φ (almost all points of E are such). By Lemma 4.4

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\varepsilon,j}(\overline{B}_r(x_0)) &= \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1\{x \in \overline{B}_r(x_0) \cap E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x)) = y\} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y) \\ &= \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1\{x \in \overline{B}_r(x_0) \cap E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x)) = z\} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since Pr_j is δ_r -homogeneous we have

$$\text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x)) = z \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{Pr}_j[\delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x))] = \delta_{\frac{1}{r}}z.$$

Applying a change of variable $z = \delta_r w$ (in this case $dz = r^{\nu-1}dw$) to the last integral we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1\{x \in \overline{B}_r(x_0) \cap E : \text{Pr}_j(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x)) = z\} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(z) \\ &= \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1\{x \in \overline{B}_r(x_0) \cap E : \text{Pr}_j[\delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x))] = w\} r^{\nu-1} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(w) \\ &= r^{\nu-1} \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1\{x \in \overline{B}_r(x_0) \cap E : \delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x)) \in \text{Pr}_j^{-1}(w)\} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(w). \quad (4.2) \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider a change of variable $x = x_0\delta_r\xi$, $\xi \in \overline{B_1}$. From the properties of $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1$ (see Lemma 2.2) for $r \in (0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1\{x \in \overline{B_r}(x_0) \cap E : \delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x)) \in \text{Pr}_j^{-1}(w)\} \\ \leq r\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1\{\xi \in \overline{B_1} \cap \delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(x_0^{-1}E) : \delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x_0\delta_r\xi)) \in \text{Pr}_j^{-1}(w)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Since φ is \mathcal{P} -differentiable at x_0 it follows

$$\rho(\delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x_0\delta_r\xi)), L(\xi)) \leq \beta(r), \quad (4.4)$$

where $L = \widehat{D}\varphi(x_0)$ and $\beta(r) \rightarrow 0$ monotonically as $r \rightarrow 0$. Introduce for each $w \in \Pi_j$ a family of compact sets

$$K_r(w) = \{\xi \in \overline{B_1} : \text{dist}(L(\xi), \text{Pr}_j^{-1}(w)) \leq \beta(r)\}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1.$$

Then $K_{r_1}(w) \subseteq K_{r_2}(w)$ as long as $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq 1$ and

$$\bigcap_{r>0} K_r(w) = \overline{B_1} \cap (\text{Pr}_j \circ L)^{-1}(w).$$

From Lemma 2.2 we derive $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1(K_r(w)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1(\overline{B_1} \cap (\text{Pr}_j \circ L)^{-1}(w))$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Besides, from (4.4)

$$\{\xi \in \overline{B_1} \cap \delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(x_0^{-1}E) : \delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(\varphi(x_0)^{-1}\varphi(x_0\delta_r\xi)) \in \text{Pr}_j^{-1}(w)\} \subset K_r(w).$$

Hance, taking into account (4.2) and (4.3)

$$\frac{1}{r^\nu} \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1\{x \in \overline{B_r}(x_0) \cap E : \text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi(x) = y\} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y) \leq \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1(K_r(w)) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(w). \quad (4.5)$$

Since $K_1(w) \neq \emptyset$ only for w belonging to some neighborhood of the origin $\Pi_j \cap B_a$, the functions under the last integral have a common integrable dominant

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1(K_r(w)) \leq \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1(\overline{B_1})\chi_{\Pi_j \cap B_a}(w), \quad r \in (0, 1].$$

Therefore, going to the limit in (4.5) as $r \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,j}(\overline{B_r}(x_0))}{r^\nu} \leq \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1(\overline{B_1} \cap (\text{Pr}_j \circ L)^{-1}(w)) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(w).$$

Finally, since $\mathcal{H}^\nu(\overline{B_r}(x_0)) = \mathcal{H}^\nu(\overline{B_1})r^\nu$ and $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^1 \leq \mathcal{H}^1$ we derive

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,j}(\overline{B_r}(x_0))}{\mathcal{H}^\nu(\overline{B_r}(x_0))} \leq \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^\nu(\overline{B_1})} \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}^1(\overline{B_1} \cap (\text{Pr}_j \circ L)^{-1}(w)) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(w) = \mathcal{J}(x_0, \text{Pr} \circ \varphi),$$

which is precisely the statement of Lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Note that the Lipschitz continuous mapping φ may be extended to the closure \overline{E} while preserving the Lipschitz constant. Also, if $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E) = +\infty$ it is enough to split E into a countable family of disjoint subsets and prove the inequality on each such subset. So in the following we assume that E is closed and $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E) < +\infty$.

The mapping $x \mapsto \mathcal{J}(x, \text{Pr} \circ \varphi)$ is measurable since the partial derivatives $X_1\varphi, \dots, X_{n_1}\varphi$ are measurable. By Corollary 4.6 the measure

$$\mu_j(A) = \int_{\text{Pr}_j(\mathbb{G})} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(y) \cap A) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(y)$$

is defined on all measurable $A \subseteq \mathbb{G}$.

Step 1. Let $V \subseteq A$ be measurable and such that $\mathcal{J}(x, \text{Pr} \circ \varphi) < c$ for a.e. $x \in V$. Prove that $\mu_j(V) \leq c\mathcal{H}^\nu(A)$.

Fix $\delta > 0$. There is open $U \supseteq V$ such that $\mathcal{H}^\nu(U \setminus V) < \delta$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 4.7 there exists a null set $\Sigma_1 \subset V$ such that for each $x \in V \setminus \Sigma_1$ there is $r_\varepsilon(x) > 0$ where

$$\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon,j}(\overline{B_r}(x))}{\mathcal{H}^\nu(\overline{B_r}(x))} < c, \quad 0 < r \leq r_\varepsilon(x).$$

Consider a family of balls $\overline{B_r}(x)$ such that $x \in V \setminus \Sigma_1$, $\overline{B_r}(x) \subseteq U$, $0 < r \leq r_\varepsilon$. By Vitali's Theorem there is a countable disjoint subset $\{\overline{B_{r_k}}(x_k)\}$ that covers $V \setminus \Sigma_1$ up to a null set Σ_2 . By Lemma 4.5 we have $\mu_{\varepsilon,j}(\Sigma_i) \leq \mu_j(\Sigma_i) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore,

$$\mu_{\varepsilon,j}(V) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{\varepsilon,j}(\overline{B_{r_k}}(x_k)) \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^\nu(\overline{B_{r_k}}(x_k)) \leq c\mathcal{H}^\nu(U) < c(\mathcal{H}^\nu(V) + \delta).$$

Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary and $\mu_{\varepsilon,j}$ is increasing monotonically as ε decreases the statement of Step 1 follows.

Step 2. Since φ is Lipschitz continuous, $\mathcal{J}(x, \text{Pr} \circ \varphi)$ is bounded a.e. Let $\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty = M$. Denote

$$A_{kn} = \left\{ x \in A : M \frac{k}{2^n} \leq \mathcal{J}(x, \text{Pr} \circ \varphi) < M \frac{k+1}{2^n} \right\}, \quad k = 0, \dots, 2^n.$$

The sequence of simple functions

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n} M \frac{k+1}{2^n} \chi_{A_{kn}}(x)$$

is monotonically decreasing and converges to $\mathcal{J}(x, \text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)$ for a.e. $x \in A$. By step 1

$$\mu_j(A) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2^n} \mu_j(A_{kn}) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2^n} M \frac{k+1}{2^n} \mathcal{H}^\nu(A_{kn}) = \int_A g_n(x) d\mathcal{H}^\nu(x).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain the statement of Theorem. \square

The equality in Theorem 4.1 may be proved for some classes of functions and for functions on certain Carnot groups.

Definition 4.8. The a. e. differentiable function $f : E \subset \mathbb{G}$, $E \subset \mathbb{G}$, has *finite codistortion* if $|\text{adj } \widehat{D}f(x)| = 0$ for a. e. points $x \in E$ such that $\det \widehat{D}f(x) = 0$.

Corollary 4.9. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be Lipschitz continuous and have finite codistortion. Then for every measurable $A \subseteq E$

$$\int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(p) \cap A) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(p) = \frac{\Theta_{\Pi_j}}{\Theta_{\mathbb{G}}} \int_A |\text{adj } \widehat{D}\varphi(x) \langle X_j \rangle| d\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(x).$$

Proof. Let $\Sigma \subset A$ be a set of points which are not density points of A or in which φ is not differentiable. Then Σ is a null set and by Lemma 4.5

$$\int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(p) \cap \Sigma) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(p) = 0.$$

Next, let $Z = \{x \in A \setminus \Sigma : \det \widehat{D}\varphi(x) = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{J}(x, \text{Pr} \circ \varphi) = 0$ on Z and by Theorem 4.1

$$\int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(p) \cap Z) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(p) = 0.$$

The set $A \setminus (\Sigma \cup Z)$ is a set of density points where $\det \widehat{D}\varphi(x) \neq 0$. It may be split into a countable disjoint family of sets F_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\varphi|_{F_k}$ is bi-Lipschitz (see, e. g. [38, Remark 15]). Let $\psi : \varphi(F_k) \rightarrow F_k$ be the inverse map of $\varphi|_{F_k}$. For every $p \in \Pi_j$ denote

$$I_{p,j} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : p \exp(tX_j) \in \varphi(F_k)\}.$$

Then by Fubini theorem 3.6

$$\int_{\varphi(F_k)} \frac{\Theta_{\Pi_j}}{\Theta_{\mathbb{G}}} |X_j \psi(y)| d\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(y) = \int_{\Pi_j} d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(p) \int_{I_{p,j}} |X_j \psi(p \exp(tX_j))| dt.$$

The function $\gamma : t \mapsto \psi(p \exp(tX_j))$ is Lipschitz continuous and $\gamma' = X_j \psi$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_{p,j}} |X_j \psi(p \exp(tX_j))| dt &= \int_{I_{p,j}} |\gamma'(p \exp(tX_j))| dt = \mathcal{H}^1(\gamma(I_{p,j})) \\ &= \mathcal{H}^1(\psi(\text{Pr}_j^{-1}(p) \cap \varphi(F_k))) = \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(p) \cap F_k). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\varphi(F_k)} \frac{\Theta_{\Pi_j}}{\Theta_{\mathbb{G}}} |X_j \psi(y)| d\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(y) = \int_{\Pi_j} \mathcal{H}^1((\text{Pr}_j \circ \varphi)^{-1}(p) \cap F_k) d\mathcal{H}^{\nu-1}(p).$$

Applying a change of variable formula for Lipschitz functions [39, Theorem 7] to the left hand side we derive

$$\int_{\varphi(F_k)} |X_j \psi(y)| d\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(y) = \int_{F_k} |X_j \psi(\varphi(x))| |\det \widehat{D}\varphi(x)| d\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(x) = \int_{F_k} |\text{adj } \widehat{D}\varphi(x) \langle X_j \rangle| d\mathcal{H}^{\nu}(x).$$

Thus, the coarea equality is proved on F_k . Since E is a disjoint union of Σ , Z and F_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the corollary is proved. \square

The structure of Carnot group places certain restrictions on contact functions. Some Carnot groups are such that every (regular enough) contact mapping has finite codistortion. Let us show that is the case for the simplest non-Abelian Carnot group, the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 .

Recall, that $\mathbb{H}^1 = (\mathbb{R}^3, \cdot)$ is a two-step Carnot group with the group operation

$$(x, y, z) \cdot (x', y', z') = \left(x + x', y + y', z + z' + \frac{xy' - yx'}{2} \right).$$

The basis of its Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_1 of left-invariant vector fields is

$$X = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_z, \quad Y = \partial_y + \frac{x}{2}\partial_z, \quad Z = [X, Y] = \partial_z.$$

The horizontal subspace is $H\mathbb{H}^1 = \text{span}\{X, Y\}$.

Lemma 4.10. *Every contact mapping on \mathbb{H}^1 has finite codistortion.*

Proof. Let $\varphi = (f, g, h)$ be a mapping from (a subset of) \mathbb{H}^1 to \mathbb{H}^1 . And $p \in E$ be a point of its \mathcal{P} -differentiability. For brevity we omit the point. From contactness it follows

$$X\varphi = XfX + XgY, \quad Y\varphi = YfX + YgY.$$

Since $\widehat{D}\varphi$ is a graded homomorphism of Lie algebras,

$$\widehat{D}\varphi\langle Z \rangle = \widehat{D}\varphi\langle [X, Y] \rangle = [\widehat{D}\varphi\langle X \rangle, \widehat{D}\varphi\langle Y \rangle] = [X\varphi, Y\varphi] = (XfYg - XgYf)Z.$$

Thus, in the basis X, Y, Z we have

$$\widehat{D}\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} Xf & Yf & 0 \\ Xg & Yg & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_h\varphi \end{pmatrix},$$

where $J_h\varphi = XfYg - XgYf$. Then $\det \widehat{D}\varphi(p) = J_h\varphi(p)^2$. Computing the adjoint matrix we obtain

$$\text{adj } \widehat{D}\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} YgJ_h\varphi & -YfJ_h\varphi & 0 \\ -XgJ_h\varphi & XfJ_h\varphi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_h\varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, $\text{adj } \widehat{D}\varphi(p) = 0$ as soon as $J_h\varphi(p) = 0$. □

One can check that the same is true for any Carnot group \mathbb{G} with $\dim H\mathbb{G} = 2$, for instance, Engel group, and for direct products of such groups.

Corollary 4.11. *The coarea equality 4.9 holds for any Lipschitz mapping $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1$, $E \subset \mathbb{H}^1$.*

References

- [1] L. Ambrosio and B. Kirchheim. Rectifiable sets in metric and Banach spaces. *Mathematische Annalen*, 318:527–555, 2000.
- [2] S. Basalaev. One-Dimensional Level Sets of hc-Differentiable Mappings of Carnot–Carathéodory Spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 205(3):335–354, 2015.
- [3] A. Bonfiglioli, E. Lanconelli, and F. Uguzonni. *Stratified Lie Groups and Potential Theory for their Sub-Laplacians*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [4] I. Chavel. *Riemannian Geometry. A modern introduction*. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2006.
- [5] F. Corni. A reverse coarea-type inequality in Carnot groups. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 72(1):155–185, 2022.
- [6] S. Eilenberg. On Φ measures. *Ann. Soc. Pol. de Math*, 17:251–252, 1938.
- [7] B. Esmayli and P. Hajłasz. The coarea inequality. *Annales Fennici Mathematici*, 46(2):965–991, 2021.
- [8] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy. *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*. CRC Press, 1992.
- [9] H. Federer. Some integralgeometric theorems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 77:238–261, 1954.
- [10] H. Federer. Curvature measures. *Transactions of AMS*, 93(3):418–491, 1959.
- [11] H. Federer. *Geometric Measure Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [12] B. Folland, G. and M. Stein, E. *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, volume 28 of *Princeton Mathematical Notes*. Princeton Univ. Press, 1982.
- [13] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica, and J. Souček. *Cartesian currents in the calculus of variations*, volume I, II. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [14] J. Heinonen. Calculus on Carnot groups. In *Fall school in analysis (Jyväskylä, 1994)*, page 1–32. Univ. Jyväskylä, 1994.
- [15] A. Julia, S. N. Golo, and D. Vittone. Area of intrinsic graphs and coarea formula in Carnot groups. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 301:1369–1406, 2022.
- [16] M. Karmanova. Level sets of classes of mappings of two-step Carnot groups in a nonholonomic interpretation. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 60(2):304–311, 2019.
- [17] M. Karmanova. Sub-Riemannian Co-Area Formula for Classes of Noncontact Mappings of Carnot Groups. *Mathematical Notes*, 115(3):441–445, 2024.

- [18] M. Karmanova and S. Vodop'yanov. Geometry of Carnot-Carathéodory Spaces, Differentiability, Coarea and Area Formulas. In B. Gustafsson and A. Vasil'ev, editors, *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, page 233–335, Basel, 2009. Birkhäuser Basel.
- [19] M. Karmanova and S. Vodopyanov. A Coarea Formula for Smooth Contact Mappings of Carnot–Carathéodory Spaces. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 128:67–111, 2013.
- [20] A. Kozhevnikov. Roughness of level sets of differentiable maps on Heisenberg group, 2011.
- [21] A. Kozhevnikov. *Propriétés métriques des ensembles de niveau des applications différentiables sur les groupes de Carnot*. PhD thesis, Université Paris Sud - Paris XI, 2015.
- [22] G. P. Leonardi and V. Magnani. Intersections of intrinsic submanifolds in the Heisenberg group. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 378(1):98–108, 2011.
- [23] F. Lin and X. Yang. *Geometric Measure Theory: An Introduction*. Science Press, 2002.
- [24] V. Magnani. On a General Coarea Inequality and Applications. *Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ. Mathematica*, 27:121–140, 2002.
- [25] V. Magnani. Note on Coarea Formulae in the Heisenberg Group. *Publicacions Matemàtiques*, 48(2):409–422, 2004.
- [26] V. Magnani. The coarea formula for real-valued Lipschitz maps on stratified groups. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 278(14):1689–1705, 2005.
- [27] V. Magnani. Blow-up of regular submanifolds in Heisenberg groups and applications. *Cent. Eur. J. Math*, 4(1):82–109, 2006.
- [28] V. Magnani. Non-horizontal submanifolds and coarea formula. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 106:95–127, 2008.
- [29] V. Magnani. Area Implies Coarea. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 60(1):77–100, 2011.
- [30] V. Magnani, E. Stepanov, and D. Trevisan. A rough calculus approach to level sets in the Heisenberg group. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 97(3):495–522, 2018.
- [31] J. Malý, D. Swanson, and W. P. Ziemer. The Co-Area Formula for Sobolev Mappings. *Transactions of AMS*, 355(2):477–492, 2003.
- [32] F. Montefalcone. Some relations among volume, intrinsic perimeter and one-dimensional restrictions of BV functions in Carnot groups. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze*, 4(1):79–128, 2005.

- [33] R. Montgomery. *A Tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications*. AMS, 2002.
- [34] R. Monti and D. Vittone. Height estimate and slicing formulas in the Heisenberg group. *Analysis & PDE*, 8(6):1421–1454, 2015.
- [35] P. Pansu. *Geometrie du groupe d’Heisenberg*. Univ. Paris VII, 1982.
- [36] P. Pansu. Métriques de Carnot-Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un. *Annals of Mathematics*, 129(1):1–60, 1989.
- [37] L. P. Rothschild and E. M. Stein. Hypoelliptic Differential Operators and Nilpotent Groups. *Acta Math.*, 137:247–320, 1976.
- [38] S. K. Vodop’yanov and N. A. Evseev. Isomorphisms of Sobolev spaces on Carnot groups and quasi-isometric mappings. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 55(5):817–848, 2014.
- [39] S. K. Vodop’yanov and A. D. Ukhlov. Approximately differentiable transformations and change of variables on nilpotent groups. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 37(1):62–78, 1996.

Sergey Basalaev (ORCID 0000-0002-4161-9948),
Novosibirsk State University,
1 Pirogova st., 630090 Novosibirsk Russia
s.basalaev@g.nsu.ru