ON SOME ANALYTIC INEQUALITIES FOR GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS VIA GRÜSS DISCRETE INEQUALITY

MUSTAPHA RAÏSSOULI¹ AND MOHAMED CHERGUI²

Abstract. Recently, many researchers devoted their attention to study the extensions of the gamma and beta functions. In the present work, we focus on investigating some approximations for a class of Gauss hypergeometric functions by exploiting Grüss discrete inequality.

1. Introduction

The gamma and beta functions are widely applied in modeling many phenomena in different fields of pure and applied sciences. Many generalizations and extensions concerning these special functions have been carried out, as we can find for instance in [\[3,](#page-8-0) [4,](#page-9-0) [8,](#page-9-1) [9,](#page-9-2) [10,](#page-9-3) [11\]](#page-9-4) and in the related references cited therein.

In this preliminary section, we will present a brief overview about some classes of Gauss hypergeometric functions that will be used in the current study. We begin by recalling the Gauss hypergeometric function (GHF) that was defined in [\[11,](#page-9-4) p.1] by

$$
{}_2F_1(a,b;c;z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad \Re e(a) > 0, \quad \Re e(b) > 0, \quad \Re e(c) > 0,\tag{1.1}
$$

provided that this series is convergent. Here, the notation $(\lambda)_n$, for $\Re e(\lambda) > 0$, refers to the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$
(\lambda)_n := \lambda(\lambda + 1)...(\lambda + n - 1) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \text{ and } (\lambda)_0 = 1,
$$

where $\Gamma(x) := \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$, $\Re e(x) > 0$, is the standard gamma function. The (GHF) can be written in terms of the classical beta function as follows [\[3\]](#page-8-0)

$$
{}_2F_1(a,b;c;z) = \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n B(b+n,c-b) \frac{z^n}{n!},
$$
\n(1.2)

provided that $0 < \Re e(b) < \Re e(c)$, where $B(x, y) := \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt$, for $\Re e(x) > 0, \Re e(y) > 0$, is the standard beta function. The formula [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) was deduced from the following integral representation, [\[11,](#page-9-4) p.20]

Date: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 33C05, 33C15, 33C99.

Key words and phrases. confluent hypergeometric function, generalized Gauss hypergeometric function, Grüss type inequalities.

$$
{}_2F_1(a,b;c;z) = \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} dt, \ |z| \le 1.
$$
 (1.3)

The confluent hypergeometric function (CHF), also called Kummer's function, was defined in $[6]$ by the following formula

$$
{}_1F_1(b;c;z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad \Re e(b) > 0, \quad \Re e(c) > 0,\tag{1.4}
$$

provided that this series is convergent. It can be written in terms of the classical beta function as follows [\[1,](#page-8-1) p.504]

$$
{}_{1}F_{1}(b;c;z) = \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B(b+n,c-b) \frac{z^{n}}{n!}, \ \ 0 < \Re(e) < \Re(e). \tag{1.5}
$$

In integral form we have, [\[8\]](#page-9-1)

$$
{}_1F_1(b;c;z) = \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_0^1 u^{b-1} (1-u)^{c-b-1} e^{zu} du.
$$
 (1.6)

The definition of the confluent hypergeometric function given by [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) permits Ozergin et al. to introduce in $[8]$ $[8]$ the following generalized beta function

$$
B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) := \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} {}_1F_1\left(\alpha;\beta; \frac{-p}{t(1-t)}\right) dt, \tag{1.7}
$$

where $\Re e(\alpha) > 0, \Re e(\beta) > 0, \Re e(p) \geq 0$. It is obvious that $B_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ $b_0^{(\alpha,p)}(x,y) = B(x,y).$ For the seek of simplicity, we need to normalize [\(1.7\)](#page-1-1) by setting

$$
B^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(x,y) := \frac{1}{B(x,y)} \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} {}_1F_1\left(\alpha;\beta; \frac{-p}{t(1-t)}\right) dt. \tag{1.8}
$$

Based on (1.7) , Özergin et al. defined in $[8]$ $[8]$ the generalized Gauss hypergeometric function (GGHF) and the generalized confluent hypergeometric function (GCHF), respectively, as follows

$$
{}_2F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a,b;c;z) := \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+n,c-b) \frac{z^n}{n!},
$$
 (1.9)

$$
{}_{1}F_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z) := \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+n,c-b) \frac{z^{n}}{n!}.
$$
 (1.10)

It is clear that

$$
{}_2F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;0)}(a,b;c;z) = {}_2F_1(a,b;c;z) \text{ and } {}_1F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;0)}(b;c;z) = {}_1F_1(b;c;z). \tag{1.11}
$$

In [\[8\]](#page-9-1), the authors gave integral representations of (GGHF) and (GCHF) as follows

$$
{}_{2}F_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a,b;c;z)
$$

= $\frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} {}_{1}F_{1}\left(\alpha;\beta; \frac{-p}{t(1-t)}\right) dt, |z| \le 1,$ (1.12)

$$
{}_{1}F_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z)
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} e^{zt} {}_{1}F_{1}\left(\alpha;\beta; \frac{-p}{t(1-t)}\right) dt.
$$
 (1.13)

The following remark will be of interest in the sequel.

Remark 1.1*.* All the previous series as well as their related improper integrals are uniformly convergent. This implies that, whenever we have an infinite summation with one of these improper integrals then the order of the integral with the summation can be interchanged. We can also differentiate and take the limit under these infinite summations or improper integrals.

The fundamental target of this manuscript is to investigate some approximations for the previous generalized hypergeometric functions by the use of Grüss discrete inequality.

2. The main results

We start this section by giving some upper bounds of the previous hypergeometric functions in terms of simple analytic functions.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $a > 0, a \neq 1, b \geq 1, c \geq b + 1, \alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq \alpha + 1, p > 0,$ $z \in \mathbb{R}^*$, with $|z| < 1$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. We have the following inequalities

$$
{}_{1}F_{1}(b;c;z) \leq \frac{\lambda_{b-1,c-b-1}}{B(b,c-b)} \times \frac{e^{z}-1}{z}, \qquad (2.1)
$$

$$
{}_1F_1\left(\alpha;\beta;\frac{-p}{t(1-t)}\right) \le \frac{\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta-\alpha-1}}{4\,p\,B(\alpha,\beta-\alpha)},\tag{2.2}
$$

$$
B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b,c-b) \le \theta_p^{\alpha,\beta}(b,c),\tag{2.3}
$$

$$
{}_2F_1(a,b;c;z) \leq \frac{\lambda_{b-1,c-b-1}}{B(b,c-b)} \times \frac{1-(1-z)^{1-a}}{(1-a)z},
$$
\n(2.4)

where we set

$$
\lambda_{x,y} := \frac{x^x y^y}{(x+y)^{x+y}} \text{ for } x, y \ge 0 \text{ and } \theta_p^{\alpha,\beta}(b,c) := \frac{\lambda_{b,c-b} \lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta-\alpha-1}}{4 p B(\alpha,\beta-\alpha)}.
$$

Proof. One can easily check that

$$
\sup_{t \in (0,1)} t^x (1-t)^y = \frac{x^x y^y}{(x+y)^{x+y}} := \lambda_{x,y} \text{ for all } x, y \ge 0,
$$

with the usual convention $0^0 = 1$. Using this, we get

$$
{}_{1}F_{1}(b;c;z) = \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} e^{zt} dt
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{\lambda_{b-1,c-b-1}}{B(b,c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} e^{zt} dt
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\lambda_{b-1,c-b-1}}{B(b,c-b)} \times \frac{e^{z}-1}{z},
$$

hence (2.1) . It follows that we have

$$
{}_1F_1\left(\alpha;\beta;\frac{-p}{t(1-t)}\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta-\alpha-1}}{B(\alpha,\beta-\alpha)} \times \frac{1-e^{\frac{-p}{t(1-t)}}}{p}t(1-t). \tag{2.5}
$$

It is obvious that $\frac{1-e^{\frac{-p}{t(1-t)}}}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p}$ $\frac{1}{p}$ and $t(1-t) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ for all $t \in (0,1)$, and so (2.2) follows. By [\(1.7\)](#page-1-1) and [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1), with the fact that $t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} \leq \lambda_{b,c-b}$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$, we get (2.3) . Finally, (2.4) can be proved in a similar manner as $(2.1).$ $(2.1).$

Using (1.12) and (1.13) , with the help of (2.2) , we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *With the same conditions as in the previous proposition, we have*

$$
{}_{1}F_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta-\alpha-1}}{4 p B(\alpha,\beta-\alpha)} {}_{1}F_{1}(b;c;z),
$$

$$
{}_{2}F_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a,b;c;z) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha-1,\beta-\alpha-1}}{4 p B(\alpha,\beta-\alpha)} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z).
$$

As already pointed out before, the aim of this section is to investigate some inequalities involving the functions $(GCHF)$ and (GHF) by using the Grüss discrete inequality [\[2\]](#page-8-2) which we recall in the following.

Lemma 2.3. Let $(x_k), (y_k), (m_k), 1 \leq k \leq n$, be three families of reals numbers *such that* $m_k \geq 0$ *for any* $k = 1, 2, ..., n$ *. Then we have*

$$
\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \times \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k x_k y_k - \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k x_k \times \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k y_k \right| \le
$$

$$
\frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \right)^2 (\Gamma - \gamma)(\Phi - \varphi), \quad (2.6)
$$

provided $\gamma \leq x_k \leq \Gamma$, $\varphi \leq y_k \leq \Phi$ *and* $m_k \geq 0$, *for all* $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ *. Further, the constant* $\frac{1}{4}$ *is sharp.*

We need to introduce an appropriate notation in order to simplify the writing of some inequalities throughout this paper. With the above notations, we set

$$
{}_{1}R_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z) := \frac{{}_{1}F_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z)}{B^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b,c-b)},
$$
\n(2.7)

$$
{}_{2}R_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a,b;c;z) := \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a,b;c;z)}{B^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b,c-b)}.
$$
\n(2.8)

It is easy to see that

$$
{}_{1}R_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;0)}(b;c;z) = {}_{1}F_{1}(b;c;z), \quad {}_{2}R_{1}^{(\alpha,\beta;0)}(a,b;c;z) = {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z). \tag{2.9}
$$

Now, we are in the position to state our first main result recited in the following.

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $0 < b < c$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$ *and* $z, z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ *be such that* $0 < z \le z_0$ *. We have the following inequalities*

$$
\left| (e^{z_0} - 1) \left({}_1R_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z) - 1 \right) - (e^z - 1) \left({}_1R_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z_0) - 1 \right) \right| \le \frac{(e^{z_0} - 1)^2}{4} \frac{z}{z_0}, \quad (2.10)
$$

and

$$
\left| {}_1R_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z) - e^{z-z_0} {}_1R_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z_0) \right| \le \frac{e^{z_0}}{4}.
$$
 (2.11)

Proof. We consider the following sequences,

$$
x_k = B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b), y_k = \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^k
$$
 and $m_k = \frac{z_0^k}{k!}$ for $k \ge 0$.

Since $0 < z \le z_0$ then for all $k \ge 1, 0 \le y_k \le$ z z_0 . Otherwise, it is easy to see that (x_k) is decreasing and so we can write

$$
\forall k \ge 1 \quad 0 \le x_k \le B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b,c-b). \tag{2.12}
$$

Applying the weighted Grüss inequality (2.6) for the bounded sequences (x_k) and (y_k) , with the weights (m_k) , we get

$$
\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \times \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k x_k y_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k x_k \times \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k y_k \right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{z}{z_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \right)^2 B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b, c - b). \quad (2.13)
$$

In another part, we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_0^k}{k!} = e^{z_0} - 1 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k y_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} = e^z - 1,
$$
 (2.14)

and from [\(1.10\)](#page-1-2) we get, respectively,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k x_k y_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b) \frac{z^k}{k!} =
$$

$$
B(b, c-b) {}_1F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c;z) - B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b, c-b), \quad (2.15)
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b) \frac{z_0^k}{k!}
$$

= $B(b, c-b) {}_1F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b;c; z_0) - B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b, c-b).$ (2.16)

Letting $n \longrightarrow \infty$ in [\(2.13\)](#page-4-0) and then substituting [\(2.14\)](#page-4-1),[\(2.15\)](#page-5-0) and [\(2.16\)](#page-5-1) therein, we obtain (2.10) after a simple manipulation and by using (1.8) and (2.7) .

Now, by considering the same previous sequences $(x_k), (y_k)$ and (m_k) for k from 0 into *n*, we have $0 \le y_k \le 1$ and (2.12) persists for any $k \ge 0$. Since (2.13) holds for $k = 0, 1, ..., n$, we can deduce (2.11) in a similar manner after administering some little algebraic manipulations. The details are straightforward and therefore omitted here. \Box

Taking $p = 0$ in the previous theorem and using the first relationship in (2.9) we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let* $0 < b < c$ *and* $z, z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ *be such that* $0 < z \leq z_0$ *. Then we have*

$$
\left| \left(e^{z_0} - 1 \right) \left({}_1F_1(b;c;z) - 1 \right) - \left(e^z - 1 \right) \left({}_1F_1(b;c;z_0) - 1 \right) \right| \le \frac{\left(e^{z_0} - 1 \right)^2}{4} \frac{z}{z_0},
$$

and

$$
\left| {}_1F_1(b;c;z) - e^{z-z_0} {}_1F_1(b;c;z_0) \right| \leq \frac{e^{z_0}}{4}.
$$

Our second main result reads as follows.

Theorem 2.6. *Let* $a > 0, 0 < b < c, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, p \ge 0$ *and* $0 < z \le z_0 < 1$ *. Then we have the following inequalities*

$$
\left| \left((1 - z_0)^{-a} - 1 \right) \left({}_2R_1^{(\alpha, \beta; p)}(a, b; c; z) - 1 \right) \right|
$$

$$
- \left((1 - z)^{-a} - 1 \right) \left({}_2R_1^{(\alpha, \beta; p)}(a, b; c; z_0) - 1 \right) \left| \leq \frac{z}{4} \left((1 - z_0)^{-a} - 1 \right)^2, \quad (2.17)
$$

$$
\left| (1-z)^a {}_2R_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a,b;c;z) - (1-z_0)^a {}_2R_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a,b;c;z_0) \right| \leq \frac{z}{4} \frac{(1-z)^a}{(1-z_0)^a}.
$$
 (2.18)

Proof. We consider the following sequences,

$$
x_k = \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^k
$$
, $y_k = B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b)$ and $m_k = (a)_k \frac{z_0^k}{k!}$, $k \ge 0$.

As above, we have for all $k \geq 1$,

$$
0 \le x_k \le \frac{z}{z_0}
$$
 and $0 \le y_k \le B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b, c - b)$.

Here we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a)_k \frac{z^k}{k!} = (1-z)^{-a} - 1,
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a)_k \frac{z_0^k}{k!} = (1-z_0)^{-a} - 1, \quad (2.19)
$$

and from (1.9) we get,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k x_k y_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a)_k B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b) \frac{z^k}{k!}
$$

= $B(b, c-b) {}_2F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a, b; c; z) - B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b, c-b),$ (2.20)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k y_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a)_k B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b+k, c-b) \frac{z_0^k}{k!}
$$

= $B(b, c-b) {}_2F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a, b; c; z_0) - B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b, c-b).$ (2.21)

According to Grüss inequality [\(2.6\)](#page-3-0), if we let $n \longrightarrow \infty$ and we then substitute $(2.19),(2.20)$ $(2.19),(2.20)$ $(2.19),(2.20)$ and (2.21) , we obtain (2.17) after simple algebraic operations with the use of (1.8) and (2.8) .

We prove (2.18) by similar arguments as in the the proof of Theorem [2.4.](#page-4-8) \Box

If we take $p = 0$ in Theorem [2.6](#page-5-4) and we use the second relationship in (2.9) we get the following result.

Corollary 2.7. Let $a, b, c > 0$ with $b < c$ and $0 < z \le z_0$. Then we have

$$
\left| \left((1 - z_0)^{-a} - 1 \right) \left({}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) - 1 \right) - \left((1 - z)^{-a} - 1 \right) \left({}_2F_1(a, b; c; z_0) - 1 \right) \right|
$$

\$\leq \frac{z}{4} \left((1 - z_0)^{-a} - 1 \right)^2,

and

$$
\left| (1-z)^a \, {}_2F_1(a,b;c;z) - (1-z_0)^a \, {}_2F_1(a,b;c;z_0) \right| \leq \frac{z}{4} \, \frac{(1-z)^a}{(1-z_0)^a}.
$$

We also have the following main result.

Theorem 2.8. *Let* $0 < b < c$, $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, p \ge 0, 0 \le z_1 \le 1, 0 \le z_2 \le 1$ *and* $z_3 \geq 0$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\left| {}_1F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}\big(b;c;z_1z_2z_3\big)-e^{(z_2-1)z_3}\times {}_1F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}\big(b;c;z_1z_3\big)\right|\leq \frac{1}{4}e^{z_3}\;B^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b,c-b).
$$

Proof. We now consider the following sequences,

$$
x_k = z_1^k B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b), y_k = z_2^k
$$
 and $m_k = \frac{z_3^k}{k!}, k \ge 0.$

We have

$$
\forall k \ge 0 \quad 0 \le x_k \le B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b,c-b), \ 0 \le y_k \le 1.
$$

The Grüss inequality (2.6) yields

$$
\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k \times \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k x_k y_k - \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k x_k \times \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k y_k \right| \le \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k \right)^2 B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b, c - b). \quad (2.22)
$$

Computing, we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_3^k}{k!} = e^{z_3} \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k y_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z_2 z_3)^k}{k!} = e^{z_2 z_3}.
$$

and from [\(1.10\)](#page-1-2) we get, respectively,

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k x_k y_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b) \frac{(z_1 z_2 z_3)^k}{k!} = B(b, c-b) {}_1F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b; c; z_1 z_2 z_3),
$$

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b) \frac{(z_1 z_3)^k}{k!} = B(b, c-b) {}_1F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(b; c; z_1 z_3).
$$

Taking into account these latter expressions, letting $n \longrightarrow \infty$ in [\(2.22\)](#page-7-0) and using (1.8) and (2.7) , we then deduce the desired inequality.

The particular case $p = 0$ in Theorem [2.8](#page-6-3) gives the following result.

Corollary 2.9. *With the same assumptions as in Theorem [2.8,](#page-6-3) we have*

$$
\left| {}_1F_1(b;c;z_1z_2z_3) - e^{(z_1-1)z_3} \times {}_1F_1(b;c;z_1z_3) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}e^{z_3}.
$$

Finally, we state an analogous result of Theorem [2.8](#page-6-3) with ${}_2F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}$ $a_1^{(\alpha,\rho;p)}(a,b;c;z)$ instead of $_1F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;z)}$ $j_1^{(\alpha,\beta;z)}(b;c;z).$

Theorem 2.10. *Let* $a > 0, 0 < b < c, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, p \ge 0, 0 \le z_1 \le 1, 0 \le z_2 \le 1$ *and* $0 \leq z_3 < 1$ *. Then we have*

$$
\left| (1 - z_2 z_3)^a {}_2F_1^{(\alpha, \beta; p)}(a, b; c; z_1 z_2 z_3) - (1 - z_3)^a {}_2F_1^{(\alpha, \beta; p)}(a, b; c; z_1 z_3) \right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(1 - z_2 z_3)^a}{(1 - z_3)^a} B^{(\alpha, \beta; p)}(b, c - b).
$$

Proof. Let us consider the following sequences,

$$
x_k = z_1^k B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b), y_k = z_2^k
$$
 and $m_k = (a)_k \frac{z_3^k}{k!}, k \ge 0.$

It is obvious that

$$
\forall k \ge 0 \quad 0 \le x_k \le B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b,c-b), \ \ 0 \le y_k \le 1.
$$

We have the following formulas

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a)_k \frac{z_3^k}{k!} = (1 - z_3)^{-a} \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k y_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a)_k \frac{(z_2 z_3)^k}{k!} = (1 - z_2 z_3)^{-a},
$$

and from [\(1.9\)](#page-1-4) we get, respectively,

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k x_k y_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b) \frac{(z_1 z_2 z_3)^k}{k!} = B(b, c-b) \, _2F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a, b; c; z_1 z_2 z_3),
$$

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a)_k B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+k, c-b) \frac{(z_1 z_3)^k}{k!} = B(b, c-b) {}_2F_1^{(\alpha,\beta;p)}(a, b; c; z_1 z_3).
$$

As previous, applying the Grüss inequality (2.6) , taking into account these last sums and letting $n \to \infty$, we deduce the desired inequality. The details are similar to those of the previous results and therefore omitted here. \Box

The case $p = 0$ in Theorem [2.10](#page-7-1) corresponds to the following result.

Corollary 2.11. *With the same conditions as in Theorem [2.10](#page-7-1) we have*

$$
\left| (1 - z_2 z_3)^a {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z_1 z_2 z_3) - (1 - z_3)^a {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z_1 z_3) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(1 - z_2 z_3)^a}{(1 - z_3)^a}.
$$

3. Concluding remarks

Studies concerning the hypergeometric functions are increasing, especially to provide generalizations and to establish suitable properties that allow to undertake various calculus related to those special functions.

In this paper, we have been interested to this last topic. Namely, we investigated some approximations involving the generalized Gauss hypergeometric and the generalized confluent hypergeometric functions. The use of the discrete Grüss inequality enabled us to establish several analytic inequalities for these two functions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1964, Reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, 1970.
- $[2]$ P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, A refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications, Tamkang J. Math 38/1 (2007), 37-49.
- [3] M. A. Chaudhry and S. M. Zubair, Generalized incomplete gamma functions with applications, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 55 (1994), 99-124.
- [4] M. A. Chaudhry, A. Qadir, H. M. Srivastava, and R. B. Paris, Extended hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions, Appl. Math. Comput. 159 (2004), 589-602.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, A Grüss type discrete inequality in inner product spaces and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250 (2000), 494-511.
- [6] AD. Macdonald, Properties of the Confluent Hypergeometric Function, Technical Report 84, Research Laboratories of Electronics 1948.
- [7] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pećarić, and A. M. Fink, Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis, Kluwer, Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [8] E. Özergin, M. A $Ozarslan$, and A. Altin, *Extension of gamma*, beta and hypergeometric functions, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **235** (2011), 4601-4610.
- [9] M. Raïssouli and S. I. El-Soubhy, On some generalizations of the beta function in several variables, Turkish J. Math. 45 (2021), 820-842.
- [10] M. Raïssouli and S. I. El-Soubhy, Some inequalities involving two generalized beta functions in n Variables, J. Ineq. Appl. (2021), 2021:91.
- [11] L. J. Slater, Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE FACULTY, MOULAY ISMAIL UNIVERSITY, Meknes, Morocco.

² Department of Mathematics, CRMEF-RSK, EREAM Team, LaREAMI-Lab, KENITRA, MOROCCO.

Email address: raissouli.mustapha@gmail.com Email address: chergui m@yahoo.fr