

# LI-YAU SUB-GRADIENT ESTIMATES AND PERELMAN-TYPE ENTROPY FORMULAS FOR THE HEAT EQUATION IN QUATERNIONIC CONTACT GEOMETRY

STEFAN IVANOV AND ALEXANDER PETKOV

ABSTRACT. We establish in the present paper two sub-gradient estimates for the quaternionic contact (qc) heat equation on a compact qc manifold of dimension  $4n+3$ , provided some positivity conditions are satisfied. These are qc versions of the prominent Li-Yau gradient estimate in Riemannian geometry. Another goal of this paper is to get two Perelman-type entropy formulas for the qc heat equation on a compact qc-Einstein manifold of dimension  $4n+3$  with non-negative qc scalar curvature (e.g. compact 3-Sasakian manifold), as well as an integral sub-gradient estimate for the positive solutions of the qc heat equation.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Quaternionic contact manifolds	4
2.1. Quaternionic contact structures and the Biquard connection	5
2.2. Invariant decompositions	5
2.3. The torsion tensor	5
2.4. Torsion and curvature	6
2.5. The Ricci identities	7
2.6. The horizontal divergence theorem	7
3. Proof of the Theorems	8
3.1. Preliminary results	8
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1	11
3.3. Proof of Corollary 1.2	11
3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4	12
3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6	13
3.6. Proof of Theorem 1.7	14
References	17

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the seminal paper [22], P. Li and S.-T. Yau established the parabolic Li-Yau gradient estimate and Harnack inequality for the positive solution of the heat equation in a complete Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature.

In his fundamental paper G. Perelman [23] derived an entropy formula for Ricci flow. The formula turns out being of fundamental importance in the study of Ricci flow (cf. [23, Sections 3, 4, 10]). The derivation of the entropy formula in [23, Section 9] resembles the gradient estimate for the linear heat equation proved by Li-Yau on the linear parabolic equation.

---

*Date:* May 24, 2024.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21,58J60,53C17,35P15,53C25.

*Key words and phrases.* Quaternionic contact structures, Heat equation, Li-Yau sub-gradient estimates, Nash-type functionals, Perelman-type functionals, Perelman-type entropy formulas.

The corresponding problems in CR-geometry, namely the sub-parabolic Li-Yau CR gradient estimate and the Perelman CR entropy formula are developed in [6].

Here we investigate the quaternionic contact (qc) counterpart of the Li-Yau gradient estimate and the Perelman's entropy.

Quaternionic contact geometry is an example of sub-Riemannian geometry. A quaternionic contact (qc) structure, [2], appears naturally as the conformal boundary at infinity of the quaternionic hyperbolic space and it is further developed in connection with finding the extremals and the best constant in the  $L^2$  Folland-Stein inequality on the quaternionic Heisenberg group and related qc Yamabe problem [8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 13, 17].

A *quaternionic contact structure* (qc structure) on a real  $(4n+3)$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  is a codimension three distribution  $H$  (*the horizontal distribution*) locally given as the kernel of an  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -valued one-form  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ , such that the three two-forms  $d\eta_i|_H$  are the fundamental forms of a quaternionic Hermitian structure on  $H$ . Any quaternionic contact manifold admits a canonical connection  $\nabla$  preserving the qc structure and having minimal torsion, called the Biquard connection, which role in qc geometry is similar to the Levi-Civita connection in Riemannian geometry and Tanaka-Webster connection in CR geometry.

The basic concrete examples of qc-manifolds are provided by the extensively studied 3-Sasakian spaces and the quaternionic Heisenberg group. As well known [4], see also [3] for a recent complete account, 3-Sasakian manifolds are characterized as Riemannian manifolds whose cone is a hyper-Kaehler manifold. These spaces are Einstein with positive Riemannian scalar curvature [21].

From the point of view of qc geometry, 3-Sasakian structures are qc manifolds whose torsion endomorphism of the Biquard connection vanishes. In turn, the latter property is equivalent to the qc structure being qc-Einstein, i.e., the trace-free part of the qc-Ricci tensor vanishes, see [10]. As a consequence of the second Bianchi identity for the Biquard connection it follows that for any qc-Einstein manifold the horizontal scalar curvature  $S$  of the Biquard connection (the qc scalar curvature) is constant and if  $S > 0$  the qc-Einstein space is locally 3-Sasakian [10, 12].

We also recall that complete and regular 3-Sasakian spaces have canonical fibering with fiber  $Sp(1)$  or  $SO(3)$  and base a quaternionic Kaehler manifold of positive scalar curvature [4, 3]. It is shown in [19] that if the qc scalar curvature of a regular qc-Einstein manifold is negative,  $S < 0$ , then the space is "essentially" an  $SO(3)$  bundle over quaternionic Kaehler manifold with negative scalar curvature, described in [26, 20]. Similarly, in the "regular" case, a qc-Einstein manifold of zero scalar curvature,  $S = 0$ , fibers over a hyper-Kaehler manifold, see [12, Proposition 6.3]. In particular, the quaternionic Heisenberg group is an  $SO(3)$  bundle over the flat space.

Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc manifold. We consider the qc heat equation [27, 24, 25]

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = -\Delta_b u,$$

where  $u(x, t) : M \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function and  $\Delta_b : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)$  is the sub-Laplacian on  $M$ .

Let

$$\nabla_v \varphi = \sum_{s=1}^3 d\varphi(\xi_s) \xi_s$$

be the vertical gradient of a function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ . Our main result is the next

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$  and the positivity condition*

$$(1.2) \quad 2(n+2)Sg(X, X) + 2nT^0(X, X) + 4(n+4)U(X, X) \geq 0$$

*holds. Suppose that  $u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1), satisfying*

$$(1.3) \quad (\nabla^3 u)(e_a, e_a, \nabla_v u) = -\nabla_v u(\Delta_b u).$$

*For  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$  the following sub-gradient estimate holds*

$$(1.4) \quad |\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + \frac{8n}{3} t |\nabla_v f|^2 \leq \frac{2n\alpha^2}{t}, \quad \alpha = \frac{9+2n}{2n},$$

*where we apply Convention 1.8 about summation rules, and the torsion tensors  $T^0$  and  $U$ , as well as the normalized qc scalar curvature  $S$ , are defined below in (2.2) and (2.5).*

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we get the next

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc-Einstein manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$  with non-negative constant qc scalar curvature,  $S \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1). Then  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$  satisfies the sub-gradient estimate (1.4).*

*In particular, on a compact 3-Sasakian manifold the function  $f(x, t)$  satisfies the sub-gradient estimate (1.4).*

Our second main result concerns the case when the a priori lower bound (1.2) of Theorem 1.1 is replaced by a negative constant, namely, we state the

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$  satisfying the positivity condition*

$$(1.5) \quad 2(n+2)Sg(X, X) + 2nT^0(X, X) + 4(n+4)U(X, X) \geq -kg(X, X),$$

*where  $k > 0$  is a constant. Suppose that  $u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1), satisfying (1.3). Then the following sub-gradient estimate for  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$  holds:*

$$(1.6) \quad |\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f < \frac{n\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{t} + \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{k+3}, \quad \alpha_k = \frac{9+2n(k+1)}{2n}.$$

As a simple consequence of Theorem 1.3 we obtain the following

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc-Einstein manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$  with negative qc scalar curvature  $S = -\frac{k}{2(n+2)}$ , where  $k > 0$  is a constant. Suppose that  $u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1). Then  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$  satisfies the sub-gradient estimate (1.6).*

Furthermore, following the Riemannian and CR cases [23, 6], we define the *Nash-type functionals*

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{N}(u, t) = - \int_M (\ln u) u \text{Vol}_\eta,$$

$$(1.8) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t) = \mathcal{N}(u, t) - 2n\alpha^2 a [\ln(4\pi t) + 1],$$

as well as the *Perelman-type functionals*

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{W}(u, t) = \int_M [t|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \varphi - 4n\alpha^2 a] u \text{Vol}_\eta,$$

$$(1.10) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(u, t) = \mathcal{W}(u, t) + 4nt^2 \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta.$$

In the expressions (1.7), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10)  $u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1), normalized as

$$(1.11) \quad \int_M u \text{Vol}_\eta = 1, \quad \text{expressed by}$$

$$(1.12) \quad u(x, t) = \frac{e^{-\varphi(x, t)}}{(4\pi t)^{2n\alpha^2 a}}$$

for a suitable function  $\varphi(x, t) : M \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\alpha = \frac{9+2n}{2n}$  and  $a$  is a real constant to be determined. As a consequence of Corollary 1.2 we obtain two Perelman-type entropy formulas concerning  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(u, t)$ .

Namely, the first one states as follows

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc-Einstein  $(4n + 3)$ -dimensional manifold with non-negative qc scalar curvature. Let  $u(x, t)$  be a positive solution of (1.1), satisfying (1.11). Then we have*

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t) = \int_M \left[ |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + \frac{2n(\alpha-1)\alpha^2 a}{t} \right] u \text{Vol}_\eta \leq 0$$

for  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and  $a \geq 1$ .

*In particular, on a compact 3-Sasakian manifold any positive solution to the qc heat equation (1.1) normalized by (1.11) satisfies the inequality (1.13).*

As a consequence of Theorem 1.5 we obtain the following integral version of the sub-gradient estimate:

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc-Einstein manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$  with non-negative qc scalar curvature. Suppose that  $u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1). Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  s.t. the following estimate holds:*

$$(1.14) \quad \int_M |\nabla_b u^{\frac{1}{2}}|^2 Vol_\eta \leq \frac{C}{t}.$$

*In particular, on a compact 3-Sasakian manifold any positive solution  $u(x, t)$  of the qc heat equation (1.1) satisfies the integral estimate (1.14).*

The second one is the content of the following

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc-Einstein manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$  with non-negative qc scalar curvature. Let  $u(x, t)$  be a positive solution of (1.1), satisfying (1.11). Then we have*

$$(1.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(u, t) \leq -2t \int_M u |(\nabla^2 \varphi)_{[-1]_{[sym]}}|^2 Vol_\eta - \frac{t}{2n} \int_M u (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 Vol_\eta - 4(n+2)St \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 Vol_\eta + \frac{2(2n - na + 6)\alpha^2}{t} \leq 0$$

for  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and  $a \geq \frac{2n+6}{n}$ .

*In particular, on a compact 3-Sasakian manifold any positive solution to the qc heat equation (1.1) normalized by (1.11) satisfies the inequality (1.15).*

**Convention 1.8.**

- a) We shall use  $X, Y, Z, U$  to denote horizontal vector fields, i.e.  $X, Y, Z, U \in H$ .
- b)  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, I_1 e_1, \dots, I_1 e_n, I_2 e_1, \dots, I_2 e_n, I_3 e_1, \dots, I_3 e_n\}$  denotes an adapted local orthonormal basis of the horizontal space  $H$ .
- c) The summation convention over repeated vectors/indices from the basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{4n}\}$  will be used. For example, for a  $(0,2)$ -tensor  $P$  we have

$$P(e_b, e_b) = \sum_{b=1}^{4n} P(e_b, e_b) = \sum_{b=1}^n P(e_b, e_b) + \sum_{b=1}^n P(I_1 e_b, I_1 e_b) + \sum_{b=1}^n P(I_2 e_b, I_2 e_b) + \sum_{b=1}^n P(I_3 e_b, I_3 e_b).$$

- d) The triple  $(i, j, k)$  denotes any cyclic permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ .
- e)  $s$  and  $t$  will be any numbers from the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $s, t \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Acknowledgments** The research of S.I. is partially supported by the European Union-Next Generation EU, through the National Recovery and Resilience Plan of the Republic of Bulgaria, project SUMMIT BG-RRP-2.004-0008-C01. The research of A.P. is partially financed by Contract KP-06-H72-1/05.12.2023 with the National Science Fund of Bulgaria, Contract 80-10-181/22.4.2024 with the Sofia University "St. Kl. Ohridski" and the National Science Fund of Bulgaria, National Scientific Program "VIHREN", Project No. KP-06-DV-7. A.P. also acknowledges the University of Miami (UM), during his stay in which as a Fulbright Scholar under the auspices of the UM's agreement with Fulbright Bulgaria, the first version of the manuscript was prepared.

## 2. QUATERNIONIC CONTACT MANIFOLDS

Quaternionic contact manifolds, introduced by O. Biquard in [2], appear naturally as the conformal boundary at infinity of the quaternionic hyperbolic space and it is further developed in connection with finding the extremals and the best constant in the  $L^2$  Folland-Stein inequality on the quaternionic Heisenberg group and related qc Yamabe problem [10, 11, 14, 13, 17].

**2.1. Quaternionic contact structures and the Biquard connection.** Following Biquard, a quaternionic contact (qc) manifold  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  is a  $4n + 3$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  with a codimension three distribution  $H$  equipped with an  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -structure. Explicitly,  $H$  is the kernel of a local 1-form  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  together with a compatible Riemannian metric  $g$  and a rank-three bundle  $\mathbb{Q}$  consisting of endomorphisms of  $H$  locally generated by three almost complex structures  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  on  $H$  satisfying the identities of the imaginary unit quaternions. Thus, we have  $I_1 I_2 = -I_2 I_1 = I_3$ ,  $I_1 I_2 I_3 = -id|_H$ , hermitian compatible with the metric,  $g(I_s \cdot, I_s \cdot) = g(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and the next conditions hold  $2g(I_s X, Y) = d\eta_s(X, Y)$ .

On a qc manifold of dimension  $(4n + 3) > 7$  with a fixed metric  $g$  on  $H$  there exists a canonical connection defined in [2]. Biquard showed that there is a unique connection  $\nabla$  with torsion  $T$  and a unique supplementary subspace  $V$  to  $H$  in  $TM$ , such that:

- (i)  $\nabla$  preserves the splitting  $H \oplus V$  and the  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -structure on  $H$ ,

$$\nabla g = 0, \quad \nabla I_i = -\alpha_j I_k + \alpha_k I_j$$

and its torsion on  $H$  is given by  $T(X, Y) = -[X, Y]|_V$ ;

- (ii) for  $\xi \in V$ , the endomorphism  $T(\xi, \cdot)|_H$  of  $H$  lies in  $(sp(n) \oplus sp(1))^\perp \subset gl(4n)$ ;  
 (iii) the connection on  $V$  is induced by the natural identification of  $V$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\nabla \varphi = 0$ ,

$$\nabla \xi_i = -\alpha_j \xi_k + \alpha_k \xi_j.$$

When the dimension of  $M$  is at least eleven [2] also described the supplementary *vertical distribution*  $V$ , which is (locally) generated by the so called *Reeb vector fields*  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$  determined by

$$(2.1) \quad \eta_s(\xi_k) = \delta_{sk}, \quad (\xi_s \lrcorner d\eta_s)|_H = 0, \quad (\xi_s \lrcorner d\eta_k)|_H = -(\xi_k \lrcorner d\eta_s)|_H,$$

where  $\lrcorner$  denotes the interior multiplication.

If the dimension of  $M$  is seven, Duchemin shows in [7] that if we assume, in addition, the existence of Reeb vector fields as in (2.1), then the Biquard result holds. *Henceforth, by a qc structure in dimension 7 we shall mean a qc structure satisfying (2.1)*. This implies the existence of the connection with properties (i), (ii) and (iii) above.

The fundamental 2-forms  $\omega_s$  of the quaternionic contact structure are defined by

$$2\omega_s|_H = d\eta_s|_H, \quad \xi \lrcorner \omega_s = 0, \quad \xi \in V.$$

The torsion of the Biquard connection restricted to  $H$  has the form

$$T(X, Y) = -[X, Y]|_V = 2 \sum_{s=1}^3 \omega_s(X, Y) \xi_s.$$

**2.2. Invariant decompositions.** Any endomorphism  $\Psi$  of  $H$  can be decomposed with respect to the quaternionic structure  $(\mathbb{Q}, g)$  uniquely into four  $Sp(n)$ -invariant parts,  $\Psi = \Psi^{+++} + \Psi^{+--} + \Psi^{-+-} + \Psi^{--+}$ , where  $\Psi^{+++}$  commutes with all three  $I_i$ ,  $\Psi^{+--}$  commutes with  $I_1$  and anti-commutes with the others two, etc. The two  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -invariant components are given by  $\Psi_{[3]} = \Psi^{+++}$ ,  $\Psi_{[-1]} = \Psi^{+--} + \Psi^{-+-} + \Psi^{--+}$ . These are the projections on the eigenspaces of the Casimir operator  $\Upsilon = I_1 \otimes I_1 + I_2 \otimes I_2 + I_3 \otimes I_3$ , corresponding, respectively, to the eigenvalues 3 and  $-1$ , see [5]. Note here that each of the three 2-forms  $\omega_s$  belongs to the  $[-1]$ -component,  $\omega_s = \omega_{s[-1]}$ , and constitute a basis of the Lie algebra  $sp(1)$ .

If  $n = 1$  then the space of symmetric endomorphisms commuting with all  $I_s$  is 1-dimensional, i.e., the  $[3]$ -component of any symmetric endomorphism  $\Psi$  on  $H$  is proportional to the identity,  $\Psi_{[3]} = -\frac{tr \Psi}{4} Id|_H$ .

**2.3. The torsion tensor.** The torsion endomorphism  $T_\xi = T(\xi, \cdot) : H \rightarrow H$ ,  $\xi \in V$ , will be decomposed into its symmetric part  $T_\xi^0$  and skew-symmetric part  $b_\xi, T_\xi = T_\xi^0 + b_\xi$ . Biquard showed in [2] that the torsion  $T_\xi$  is completely trace-free,  $tr T_\xi = tr T_\xi \circ I_s = 0$ , its symmetric part has the properties  $T_{\xi_i}^0 I_i = -I_i T_{\xi_i}^0$ ,  $I_2(T_{\xi_2}^0)^{+--} = I_1(T_{\xi_1}^0)^{-+-}$ ,  $I_3(T_{\xi_3}^0)^{-+-} = I_2(T_{\xi_2}^0)^{-+-}$ ,  $I_1(T_{\xi_1}^0)^{-+-} = I_3(T_{\xi_3}^0)^{+--}$ . The skew-symmetric part can be represented as  $b_{\xi_i} = I_i u$ , where  $u$  is a traceless symmetric  $(1,1)$ -tensor on  $H$  which commutes with  $I_1, I_2, I_3$ . Therefore we have  $T_{\xi_i} = T_{\xi_i}^0 + I_i u$ . When  $n = 1$  the tensor  $u$  vanishes identically,  $u = 0$ , and the torsion is a symmetric tensor,  $T_\xi = T_\xi^0$ .

The two  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -invariant trace-free symmetric 2-tensors on  $H$

$$(2.2) \quad T^0(X, Y) = g((T_{\xi_1}^0 I_1 + T_{\xi_2}^0 I_2 + T_{\xi_3}^0 I_3)X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad U(X, Y) = g(uX, Y)$$

were introduced in [10] and enjoy the properties

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} T^0(X, Y) + T^0(I_1 X, I_1 Y) + T^0(I_2 X, I_2 Y) + T^0(I_3 X, I_3 Y) &= 0, \\ U(X, Y) = U(I_1 X, I_1 Y) = U(I_2 X, I_2 Y) = U(I_3 X, I_3 Y), \\ T^0(e_a, e_a) = T^0(I_s e_a, e_a) = U(e_a, e_a) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is shown in [17, Proposition 2.3]  $4T^0(\xi_s, I_s X, Y) = T^0(X, Y) - T^0(I_s X, I_s Y)$  which yields (see e.g. [16, formula (2.5)])

$$(2.4) \quad T(\xi_s, I_s X, Y) = \frac{1}{4} [T^0(X, Y) - T^0(I_s X, I_s Y)] - U(X, Y).$$

In dimension seven ( $n = 1$ ) the tensor  $U$  vanishes identically,  $U = 0$ .

**2.4. Torsion and curvature.** Let  $R = [\nabla, \nabla] - \nabla_{[\cdot, \cdot]}$  be the curvature tensor of  $\nabla$  and the dimension is  $4n + 3$ . We denote the curvature tensor of type (0,4) and the torsion tensor of type (0,3) by the same letter,  $R(A, B, C, D) := g(R(A, B)C, D)$ ,  $T(A, B, C) := g(T(A, B), C)$ ,  $A, B, C, D \in \Gamma(TM)$ . The Ricci tensor, the normalized scalar curvature and the Ricci 2-forms of the Biquard connection, called *qc-Ricci tensor*  $Ric$ , *normalized qc-scalar curvature*  $S$  and *qc-Ricci forms*  $\rho_s, \tau_s$ , respectively, are defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} Ric(A, B) &= R(e_b, A, B, e_b), \quad 8n(n+2)S = R(e_b, e_a, e_a, e_b), \\ \rho_s(A, B) &= \frac{1}{4n} R(A, B, e_a, I_s e_a), \quad \tau_s(A, B) = \frac{1}{4n} R(e_a, I_s e_a, A, B). \end{aligned}$$

The  $sp(1)$ -part of  $R$  is determined by the Ricci 2-forms and the connection 1-forms by

$$(2.6) \quad R(A, B, \xi_i, \xi_j) = 2\rho_k(A, B) = (d\alpha_k + \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j)(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(TM).$$

The horizontal Ricci tensor and the horizontal Ricci 2-forms can be expressed in terms of the torsion of the Biquard connection [10] (see also [17, 18]). We collect the necessary facts from [10, Theorem 3.12, Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.7], with slight modification presented in [17],

**Theorem 2.1.** [10] *On a  $(4n+3)$ -dimensional qc manifold  $(M, \eta, \mathbb{Q})$  with a normalized qc scalar curvature  $S$  we have the following relations*

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} Ric(X, Y) &= (2n+2)T^0(X, Y) + (4n+10)U(X, Y) + 2(n+2)Sg(X, Y), \\ \rho_s(X, I_s Y) &= -\frac{1}{2} [T^0(X, Y) + T^0(I_s X, I_s Y)] - 2U(X, Y) - Sg(X, Y), \\ \tau_s(X, I_s Y) &= -\frac{n+2}{2n} [T^0(X, Y) + T^0(I_s X, I_s Y)] - Sg(X, Y), \\ g(T(\xi_i, \xi_j), X) &= -\rho_k(I_i X, \xi_i) = -\rho_k(I_j X, \xi_j) = -g([\xi_i, \xi_j], X), \\ Ric(\xi_i, I_i X) &= 2\rho_k(I_j X, \xi_i) + 2\rho_j(I_i X, \xi_k) + \sum_{a=1}^{4n} (\nabla_{e_a} T)(\xi_i, I_i X, e_a). \end{aligned}$$

For  $n = 1$  the above formulas hold with  $U = 0$ .

**Definition 2.2.** *A qc structure is said to be qc-Einstein if the horizontal qc-Ricci tensor is a scalar multiple of the metric,  $Ric(X, Y) = fg(X, Y)$ .*

In view of Theorem 2.1 the qc-Einstein condition takes the form  $Ric(X, Y) = 2(n+2)Sg(X, Y)$  and is equivalent to the vanishing of the torsion endomorphism of the Biquard connection [10]. As a consequence of the second Bianchi identity for the Biquard connection one has that the qc scalar curvature  $S$  of a qc-Einstein manifold is a constant and the vertical distribution is integrable [10, 12]. We have

**Theorem 2.3.** [10, 12] *Any 3-Sasakian manifold has zero torsion endomorphism with integrable vertical distribution and it is a qc-Einstein space of positive constant qc scalar curvature. Conversely, any qc-Einstein manifold with positive qc scalar curvature is locally 3-Sasakian space.*

**2.5. The Ricci identities.** We use repeatedly the Ricci identities for the Biquard connection of order two and three, see also [17]. Let  $f$  be a smooth function on the qc manifold  $M$  with horizontal gradient  $\nabla_b f$  defined by  $g(\nabla_b f, X) = df(X)$ . The sub-Laplacian of  $f$  is  $\Delta_b f = -\nabla^2 f(e_a, e_a)$ . We have the following Ricci identities (see e.g. [10, 18])

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^2 f(X, Y) - \nabla^2 f(Y, X) &= -2 \sum_{s=1}^3 \omega_s(X, Y) df(\xi_s), \\ \nabla^2 f(X, \xi_s) - \nabla^2 f(\xi_s, X) &= T(\xi_s, X, \nabla_b f), \\ \nabla^3 f(X, Y, Z) - \nabla^3 f(Y, X, Z) &= -R(X, Y, Z, \nabla_b f) - 2 \sum_{s=1}^3 \omega_s(X, Y) \nabla^2 f(\xi_s, Z), \\ \nabla^3 f(\xi_s, X, Y) - \nabla^3 f(X, Y, \xi_s) &= -\nabla^2 f(T(\xi_s, X), Y) - \nabla^2 f(X, T(\xi_s, Y)) \\ &\quad - df((\nabla_X T)(\xi_s, Y)) - R(\xi_s, X, Y, \nabla_b f). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.8) we have the decompositions (see also [15, 14])

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 f)_{[3][0]}(X, Y) &= (\nabla^2 f)_{[3]}(X, Y) + \frac{1}{4n} \Delta_b f g(X, Y), \\ |(\nabla^2 f)_{[3][0]}|^2 &= |(\nabla^2 f)_{[3]}|^2 - \frac{1}{4n} (\Delta_b f)^2, \\ (\nabla^2 f)_{[-1]}(X, Y) &= (\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][sym]}(X, Y) + (\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][a]}(X, Y) \\ &= (\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][sym]}(X, Y) - \sum_{s=1}^3 \omega_s(X, Y) df(\xi_s), \\ |(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1]}|^2 &= |(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][sym]}|^2 + 4n |\nabla_v f|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.10) \quad g(\nabla^2 f, \omega_s) = \nabla^2 f(e_a, I_s e_a) = -4n df(\xi_s).$$

We also need the following qc-Bochner formula from [15, (4.1)]

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_b |\nabla_b f|^2 &= |\nabla^2 f|^2 - g(\nabla_b(\Delta_b f), \nabla_b f) + 2(n+2)S |\nabla_b f|^2 + 2(n+2)T^0(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b f) \\ &\quad + 2(2n+2)U(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b f) + 4 \sum_{s=1}^3 \nabla^2 f(\xi_s, I_s \nabla_b f), \end{aligned}$$

and the integral formulas that hold on a compact  $(4n+3)$ -dimensional qc manifold [15, (3.10) and (3.12)]:

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M \sum_{s=1}^3 \nabla^2 f(\xi_s, I_s \nabla_b f) Vol_\eta &= \int_M \left[ \frac{3}{4n} |(\nabla^2 f)_{[3]}|^2 - \frac{1}{4n} |(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1]}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^3 \tau_s(I_s \nabla_b f, \nabla_b f) \right] Vol_\eta, \\ \int_M \sum_{s=1}^3 \nabla^2 f(\xi_s, I_s \nabla_b f) Vol_\eta &= - \int_M \left[ 4n |\nabla_v f|^2 + \sum_{s=1}^3 T(\xi_s, I_s \nabla_b f, \nabla_b f) \right] Vol_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

**2.6. The horizontal divergence theorem.** Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a qc manifold of dimension  $4n+3 \geq 7$ . For a fixed local 1-form  $\eta$  and a fixed  $s \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  the form  $Vol_\eta = \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 \wedge \omega_s^{2n}$  is a locally defined volume form. Note that  $Vol_\eta$  is independent of  $s$  as well as the local one forms  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$ . Hence, it is a globally defined volume form. The (horizontal) divergence of a horizontal vector field/one-form  $\sigma \in \Lambda^1(H)$ , defined by  $\nabla^* \sigma = -tr|_H \nabla \sigma = -\nabla \sigma(e_a, e_a)$  supplies the integration by parts formula, [10], see also [28],

$$\int_M (\nabla^* \sigma) Vol_\eta = 0.$$

## 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

**3.1. Preliminary results.** In this subsection we prove a number of preliminary results, which serve as a base for establishing our main results. We begin with the following

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a qc manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$ . Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$  and a constant  $\nu > 0$  the following inequality holds:*

$$(3.1) \quad -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_b|\nabla_b f|^2 \geq |(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][sym]}|^2 + 4n|\nabla_v f|^2 + |(\nabla^2 f)_{[3][0]}|^2 + \frac{1}{4n}(\Delta_b f)^2 - g(\nabla_b \Delta_b f, \nabla_b f) \\ + 2(n+2)S|\nabla_b f|^2 + 2nT^0(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b f) + 4(n+4)U(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b f) - \frac{6}{\nu}|\nabla_b f|^2 - 2\nu \sum_{s=1}^3 [\nabla^2 f(e_a, \xi_s)]^2.$$

*Proof.* We have with the help of the second identity in (2.8), (2.4) and (2.3) consecutively

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{s=1}^3 \nabla^2 f(\xi_s, I_s \nabla_b f) = \sum_{s=1}^3 [\nabla^2 f(I_s \nabla_b f, \xi_s) - T(\xi_s, I_s \nabla_b f, \nabla_b f)] \\ = \sum_{s=1}^3 g(I_s \nabla_b f, \nabla_b(\xi_s f)) - T^0(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b f) + 3U(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b f).$$

For  $\nu = \text{Const} > 0$ , the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{s=1}^3 g(I_s \nabla_b f, \nabla_b(\xi_s f)) \geq -\sum_{s=1}^3 |\nabla_b f| |\nabla_b(\xi_s f)| \geq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^3 \left[ \frac{1}{\nu} |\nabla_b f|^2 + \nu |\nabla_b(\xi_s f)|^2 \right] \\ = -\frac{3}{2\nu} |\nabla_b f|^2 - \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{s=1}^3 |\nabla_b(\xi_s f)|^2.$$

Insert (3.2) and (3.3) into (2.11), taking into account the decompositions  $|\nabla^2 f|^2 = |(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1]}|^2 + |(\nabla^2 f)_{[3]}|^2$  and (2.9), to get (3.1).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a qc manifold and  $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ . Then the following equality holds:*

$$(3.4) \quad (\nabla^3 f)(e_a, e_a, \nabla_v f) = -\nabla_v f(\Delta_b f) + 2g(T_{\nabla_v f}, \nabla^2 f) - (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v f, \nabla_b f) + Ric(\nabla_v f, \nabla_b f).$$

*Proof.* We substitute  $X = e_a, Y = e_a$  into the last identity in (2.8), multiply the both sides by  $df(\xi_s)$ , take the sum over  $s$  of the obtained identity using the first identity in (2.8) and the properties of the torsion listed in (2.3) and (2.4) to get (3.4) after some standard calculations.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a qc manifold and  $u(x, t)$  be a positive solution of (1.1). Then  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$  satisfies*

$$(3.5) \quad (\nabla^3 f)(e_a, e_a, \nabla_v f) - \nabla_v f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f\right) = -2(\nabla^2 f)(\nabla_b f, \nabla_v f) + V(f),$$

where the operator  $V : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)$  is defined by

$$(3.6) \quad V(\varphi) \stackrel{def}{=} 2T(\nabla_v \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi) + 2g(T_{\nabla_v \varphi}, \nabla^2 \varphi) - (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi) + Ric(\nabla_v \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi).$$

*Proof.* It easy to see that  $f(x, t)$  determined in the condition of the present lemma satisfies the equality

$$(3.7) \quad (\Delta_b + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})f = |\nabla_b f|^2.$$

Furthermore, we have the following chain of equalities:

$$(\nabla^3 f)(e_a, e_a, \nabla_v f) - \nabla_v f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f\right) \\ = -\nabla_v f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f\right) - \nabla_v f(\Delta_b f) + 2g(T_{\nabla_v f}, \nabla^2 f) - (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v f, \nabla_b f) + Ric(\nabla_v f, \nabla_b f) \\ = -\nabla_v f(|\nabla_b f|^2) + 2g(T_{\nabla_v f}, \nabla^2 f) - (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v f, \nabla_b f) + Ric(\nabla_v f, \nabla_b f)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2df(\xi_s)df(e_a)[\nabla^2 f(e_a, \xi_s) - T(\xi_s, e_a, \nabla_b f)] + 2g(T_{\nabla_v f}, \nabla^2 f) - (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v f, \nabla_b f) + Ric(\nabla_v f, \nabla_b f) \\
&= -2(\nabla^2 f)(\nabla_b f, \nabla_v f) + 2T(\nabla_v f, \nabla_b f, \nabla_b f) + 2g(T_{\nabla_v f}, \nabla^2 f) - (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v f, \nabla_b f) + Ric(\nabla_v f, \nabla_b f) \\
&= -2(\nabla^2 f)(\nabla_b f, \nabla_v f) + V(f),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (3.4) in order to get the first equality and apply (3.7) to achieve the second one. The third equality is a result of the application of the second identity from (2.8).  $\square$

We shall use repeatedly the following

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a qc manifold,  $u(x, t) : M \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a positive smooth function and  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$ . Suppose that*

$$(3.8) \quad (\nabla^3 u)(e_a, e_a, \nabla_v u) = -\nabla_v u(\Delta_b u).$$

Then  $V(f) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, we have from (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
V(f) &= 2T(\nabla_v \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) + 2g(T_{\nabla_v \ln u}, \nabla^2 \ln u) - (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) + Ric(\nabla_v \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) \\
&= \frac{2}{u^3} T(\nabla_v u, \nabla_b u, \nabla_b u) + 2T(\nabla_v \ln u, e_a, e_b)(\nabla^2 \ln u)(e_a, e_b) - \frac{1}{u^2} (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v u, \nabla_b u) + \frac{1}{u^2} Ric(\nabla_v u, \nabla_b u) \\
&= \frac{2}{u^3} T(\nabla_v u, \nabla_b u, \nabla_b u) + \frac{2}{u} T(\nabla_v u, e_a, e_b) \left[ -\frac{du(e_a)du(e_b)}{u^2} + \frac{1}{u} (\nabla^2 u)(e_a, e_b) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{u^2} (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v u, \nabla_b u) + \frac{1}{u^2} Ric(\nabla_v u, \nabla_b u) \\
&= \frac{1}{u^2} [2g(T_{\nabla_v u}, \nabla_b u) - (\nabla_{e_a} T)(e_a, \nabla_v u, \nabla_b u) + Ric(\nabla_v u, \nabla_b u)] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

in view of (3.4) and (3.8).  $\square$

Next, following the Riemannian and CR cases, we define the *test function*

$$F(x, t, a, c) : M \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} :$$

$$(3.9) \quad F(x, t, a, c) = t \left( |\nabla_b f|^2 + a \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + ct |\nabla_v f|^2 \right),$$

where  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$  for a positive solution  $u(x, t)$  of (1.1) and the constants  $a, c$  are fixed.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$  and the a priori condition (1.5) with  $k \geq 0$  holds. Suppose that  $u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1). Then  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$  satisfies*

$$(3.10) \quad \left( -\Delta_b - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) F \geq -\frac{1}{t} F - 2g(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b F) \\ + t \left[ 2|(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][sym]}|^2 + (8n - c)|\nabla_v f|^2 + \frac{1}{2n} (\Delta_b f)^2 - 2 \left( k + \frac{12}{ct} \right) |\nabla_b f|^2 + 2ctV(f) \right].$$

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check after a differentiation of (3.9) with respect to  $t$  and using (3.7) that the following identity holds for  $t > 0$

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F = \frac{1}{t} F + t \left[ 2(a + 1)g(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f) - a\Delta_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + c|\nabla_v f|^2 + 2ct \sum_{s=1}^3 df(\xi_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} df(\xi_s) \right].$$

Furthermore, we obtain from (3.9), taking into account (1.5) with  $k \geq 0$  and (3.1), the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_b F &= t \left[ -\Delta_b |\nabla_b f|^2 - a\Delta_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f - ct\Delta_b |\nabla_v f|^2 \right] \\
&\geq t \left[ 2|(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][sym]}|^2 + 8n|\nabla_v f|^2 + \frac{1}{2n} (\Delta_b f)^2 - 2g(\nabla_b \Delta_b f, \nabla_b f) - 2 \left( k + \frac{6}{\nu} \right) |\nabla_b f|^2 - a\Delta_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$- 2(2\nu - ct) \sum_{s=1}^3 [(\nabla^2 f)(e_a, \xi_s)]^2 + 2ct \sum_{s=1}^3 df(\xi_s) \nabla^3 f(e_a, e_a, \xi_s)].$$

We substitute  $\nu = \frac{ct}{2}$  into the upper inequality, which, combined with (3.11), gives

$$(3.12) \quad \left(-\Delta_b - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)F \geq -\frac{1}{t}F + t \left\{ 2|(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][sym]}|^2 + (8n - c)|\nabla_v f|^2 + \frac{1}{2n}(\Delta_b f)^2 - 2g(\nabla_b \Delta_b f, \nabla_b f) \right. \\ \left. - 2\left(k + \frac{12}{ct}\right)|\nabla_b f|^2 + 2ct \sum_{s=1}^3 df(\xi_s) \left[ (\nabla^3 f)(e_a, e_a, \xi_s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} df(\xi_s) \right] - 2(a+1)g\left(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f\right) \right\}.$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -2g(\nabla_b \Delta_b f, \nabla_b f) + 2ct \sum_{s=1}^3 df(\xi_s) \left[ (\nabla^3 f)(e_a, e_a, \xi_s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} df(\xi_s) \right] - 2(a+1)g\left(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f\right) \\ & = -2g(\nabla_b |\nabla_b f|^2, \nabla_b f) - 4ct(\nabla^2 f)(\nabla_b f, \nabla_v f) + 2ctV(f) - 2ag\left(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f\right) \\ & = -2g(\nabla_b |\nabla_b f|^2, \nabla_b f) - 4ct(\nabla^2 f)(\nabla_b f, \nabla_v f) + 2ctV(f) - 2g\left(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b \left(\frac{F}{t} - |\nabla_b f|^2 - ct|\nabla_v f|^2\right)\right) \\ & = 2ctV(f) - \frac{2}{t}g(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b F), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.5) and (3.7) in order to obtain the first equality and the definition (3.9) of  $F$  to attain the second one. The third equality is obvious.

Finally, the substitution of the last equality into (3.12) proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$  and the a priori condition (1.5) with  $k \geq 0$  holds. Suppose that  $u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1). Then  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$  satisfies*

$$(3.13) \quad \left(-\Delta_b - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)F \geq \frac{1}{2na^2t}F(F - 2na^2) - 2g(\nabla_b f, \nabla_b F) \\ + t \left[ 2|(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][sym]}|^2 + \left(8n - c - \frac{c}{na^2}F\right)|\nabla_v f|^2 + \left(-\frac{a+1}{na^2t}F - 2k - \frac{24}{ct}\right)|\nabla_b f|^2 + 2ctV(f) \right].$$

*Proof.* First, we claim the truth of the following inequality

$$(3.14) \quad (\Delta_b f)^2 \geq \frac{F^2}{a^2t^2} - \frac{2(a+1)}{a^2t}F|\nabla_b f|^2 - \frac{2c}{a^2}F|\nabla_v f|^2.$$

Really, we have from (3.7) and (3.9)

$$\Delta_b f = \frac{a+1}{a}|\nabla_b f|^2 - \frac{F}{at} + \frac{ct}{a}|\nabla_v f|^2,$$

which, squared, gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_b f)^2 & = \frac{F^2}{(at)^2} + \left(\frac{a+1}{a}|\nabla_b f|^2 + \frac{ct}{a}|\nabla_v f|^2\right)^2 - \frac{2F}{at}\left(\frac{a+1}{a}|\nabla_b f|^2 + \frac{ct}{a}|\nabla_v f|^2\right) \\ & \geq \frac{F^2}{(at)^2} - \frac{2(a+1)}{a^2t}F|\nabla_b f|^2 - \frac{2c}{a^2}F|\nabla_v f|^2, \end{aligned}$$

that is exactly (3.14). The substitution of (3.14) into (3.10) gives (3.13), which completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Next, let  $a, c$  and  $\Theta \in [0, \infty)$  be fixed and for any  $t \in [0, \Theta]$ ,  $(p(t), s(t)) \in M \times [0, t]$  be the point where  $F$  attains its maximum on  $M \times [0, t]$ , i.e.

$$F(p(t), s(t), a, c) = \max_{(x, \mu) \in M \times [0, t]} F(x, \mu, a, c).$$

We have the following relations:

$$(3.15) \quad \nabla_b F(p(t), s(t), a, c) = 0, \quad -\Delta_b F(p(t), s(t), a, c) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(p(t), s(t), a, c) \geq 0.$$

The first relation in (3.15) follows from the circumstance that  $(p(t), s(t))$  is a critical point of  $F(x, \mu, a, c)$ . The second one is a consequence of the maximum principle for sub-elliptic operators (see e.g. [1]), whereas the last one follows from the fact that  $F(p(t), s(t), a, c)$  must be nondecreasing function in  $t$ .

The substitution of (3.15) into (3.13), considered at the point  $(p(t), s(t))$ , leads to the following crucial observation:

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a compact qc manifold of dimension  $4n + 3$  and the a priori condition (1.5) with  $k \geq 0$  holds. Suppose that  $u(x, t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1),  $f(x, t) = \ln u(x, t)$  and  $\Theta \in [0, \infty)$  is fixed. Then we have the following inequality at  $(p(t), s(t)) \in M \times [0, t], t \in [0, \Theta]$ :*

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \geq \frac{1}{2na^2s(t)}F(F - 2na^2) \\ + s(t) \left[ 2|(\nabla^2 f)_{[-1][sym]}|^2 + \left(8n - c - \frac{c}{na^2}F\right)|\nabla_v f|^2 + \left(-\frac{a+1}{na^2s(t)}F - 2k - \frac{24}{cs(t)}\right)|\nabla_b f|^2 + 2cs(t)V(f) \right].$$

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The proof relies on the observation that the following inequality holds

$$(3.17) \quad F(p(\Theta), s(\Theta), -\alpha, c) < \frac{4(9 + 2n)^2}{3c} = \frac{16n^2\alpha^2}{3c}, \quad \text{provided } 0 < c < \frac{8n}{3}.$$

We shall prove (3.17) by contradiction. Indeed, suppose that

$$(3.18) \quad F(p(\Theta), s(\Theta), -\alpha, c) \geq \frac{16n^2\alpha^2}{3c}.$$

Since  $F(p(t), s(t), -\alpha, c)$  is continuous in  $t \in [0, \Theta]$  and  $F(p(0), s(0), -\alpha, c) = 0$ , we get from (3.18) the existence of a  $t_0 \in (0, \Theta]$ , s.t.

$$F(p(t_0), s(t_0), -\alpha, c) = \frac{16n^2\alpha^2}{3c}.$$

Now we take (3.16) at the point  $(p(t_0), s(t_0))$ , under the assumption that  $a = -\alpha, k = 0$  and (1.3) hold (the latter yields  $V(f) = 0$ , due to Lemma 3.4), which, together with the upper equality, leads after some standard calculations to the inequality

$$0 \geq \frac{16n^2\alpha^2(8n - 3c)}{9c^2s(t_0)} + s(t_0)\frac{8n - 3c}{3}|\nabla_v f|^2.$$

But the right-hand side of this inequality is strictly greater than 0, provided  $0 < c < \frac{8n}{3}$ , which leads to a contradiction. Hence, the assumption (3.18) fails and (3.17) holds. In other words, we have

$$\max_{(x, \mu) \in M \times [0, \Theta]} \mu \left( |\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + c\mu |\nabla_v f|^2 \right) < \frac{16n^2\alpha^2}{3c},$$

or, if we restrict our considerations on the set  $M \times \{\Theta\}$ , we get

$$\Theta \left( |\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + c\Theta |\nabla_v f|^2 \right) < \frac{16n^2\alpha^2}{3c}.$$

Finally, since the upper inequality holds for any  $\Theta \geq 0$ , we get after setting  $\Theta = t > 0$  and letting  $c \rightarrow \frac{8n}{3}$  the needed estimate (1.4), which ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**3.3. Proof of Corollary 1.2.** First we show the following

**Lemma 3.8.** *On a qc-Einstein space  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  the condition (1.3) holds true.*

*Proof.* Let  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  be a qc-Einstein space. Theorem 2.3 tells us that that the torsion endomorphism  $T(\xi, X, Y) = 0$  and the vertical distribution is integrable. Then the fourth equality of (2.7) implies  $\rho_t(\xi_s, X) = 0, s \neq t$ , and the fifth equality in (2.7) yields  $Ric(\xi_t, X) = 0$ . Hence, the last three terms of the right-hand side of (3.4) vanish and we get (1.3) holds which proves the lemma.  $\square$

Further, Lemma 3.8 shows that on a qc-Einstein manifold the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied and the estimate (1.4) follows. The proof of Corollary 1.2 is completed.

**3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4.** Following the CR case, we shall separate our proof into two cases.

**Case I:**  $\Theta > \frac{3+k}{8n}$ . We claim in this case that

$$(3.19) \quad F\left(p(\Theta), s(\Theta), -\alpha_k, \frac{1}{\Theta}\right) < \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{k+3}\Theta.$$

Just as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we shall establish (3.19) by contradiction. Namely, suppose that

$$(3.20) \quad F\left(p(\Theta), s(\Theta), -\alpha_k, \frac{1}{\Theta}\right) \geq \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{k+3}\Theta.$$

Since  $F\left(p(t), s(t), -\alpha_k, \frac{1}{\Theta}\right)$  is continuous in  $t \in [0, \Theta]$  and  $F\left(p(0), s(0), -\alpha_k, \frac{1}{\Theta}\right) = 0$ , we obtain from (3.20) the existence of a  $t_0 \in (0, \Theta]$ , s.t.

$$(3.21) \quad F\left(p(t_0), s(t_0), -\alpha_k, \frac{1}{\Theta}\right) = \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{k+3}\Theta.$$

Now we consider (3.16) at the point  $(p(t_0), s(t_0))$ , assuming that  $a = -\alpha_k, c = \frac{1}{\Theta}$  and (1.3) holds. Hence,  $V(f) = 0$  according to Lemma 3.4. Then (3.21) substituted into (3.16) implies, after some straightforward computations, the inequality

$$(3.22) \quad 0 \geq \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)\Theta}{s(t_0)(k+3)} \left( \frac{4n(k+2)}{k+3}\Theta - 1 \right) \\ + s(t_0) \left( 8n - \frac{1}{\Theta} - \frac{8n(k+2)}{k+3} \right) |\nabla_v f|^2 + s(t_0) \left( \frac{4(9+2nk)(k+2)}{s(t_0)(k+3)}\Theta - 2k - \frac{24\Theta}{s(t_0)} \right) |\nabla_b f|^2.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{4n(k+2)}{k+3}\Theta - 1 > 0, \quad 8n - \frac{1}{\Theta} - \frac{8n(k+2)}{k+3} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4(9+2nk)(k+2)}{s(t_0)(k+3)}\Theta - 2k - \frac{24\Theta}{s(t_0)} > 0,$$

for  $\Theta > \frac{3+k}{8n}$ . The latter combined with (3.22) leads to a contradiction, and hence (3.20) fails, i.e. (3.19) holds. Thus we have

$$\max_{(x,\mu) \in M \times [0,\Theta]} \mu \left( |\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + \frac{\mu}{\Theta} |\nabla_v f|^2 \right) < \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{k+3}\Theta,$$

and if we shrink on the set  $M \times \{\Theta\}$ , we get

$$\Theta \left( |\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + |\nabla_v f|^2 \right) < \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{k+3}\Theta,$$

and hence

$$(3.23) \quad |\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f < \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{k+3}, \quad \text{provided} \quad t > \frac{3+k}{8n}.$$

**Case II:**  $\Theta \leq \frac{3+k}{8n}$ . As before, we assert that

$$(3.24) \quad F(p(\Theta), s(\Theta), -\alpha_k, c) < \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{(k+3)c}, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < c < \frac{8n}{k+3},$$

and we will prove it again by contradiction. Suppose that

$$(3.25) \quad F(p(\Theta), s(\Theta), -\alpha_k, c) \geq \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{(k+3)c}, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < c < \frac{8n}{k+3}.$$

Because of  $F(p(t), s(t), -\alpha_k, c)$  is continuous in  $t \in [0, \Theta]$  and  $F(p(0), s(0), -\alpha_k, c) = 0$ , we have from (3.25) that there exists a  $t_0 \in (0, \Theta]$ , s.t.

$$(3.26) \quad F(p(t_0), s(t_0), -\alpha_k, c) = \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{(k+3)c}.$$

We take the inequality (3.16) at the point  $(p(t_0), s(t_0))$ , assuming  $a = -\alpha_k, V(f) = 0$  and (3.26), leading to the following

$$(3.27) \quad 0 \geq \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{s(t_0)(k+3)c} \left( \frac{4n(k+2)}{(k+3)c} - 1 \right) \\ + s(t_0) \left( 8n - c - \frac{8n(k+2)}{k+3} \right) |\nabla_v f|^2 + s(t_0) \left( \frac{4(9+2nk)(k+2)}{s(t_0)(k+3)c} - 2k - \frac{24}{cs(t_0)} \right) |\nabla_b f|^2.$$

Since  $0 < c < \frac{8n}{k+3}$  and hence  $s(t_0)c < 1$ , we obtain that

$$\frac{4n(k+2)}{(k+3)c} - 1 > 0, \quad 8n - c - \frac{8n(k+2)}{k+3} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4(9+2nk)(k+2)}{s(t_0)(k+3)c} - 2k - \frac{24}{cs(t_0)} > 0.$$

These inequalities together with (3.27) bring us to a contradiction with (3.25), i.e. (3.24) holds. In other words, we have

$$\max_{(x,\mu) \in M \times [0,\Theta]} \mu \left( |\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + \mu c |\nabla_v f|^2 \right) < \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{(k+3)c},$$

and applying the same argument as in Case I, we get the inequality

$$|\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f < \frac{8n^2\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{(k+3)ct}, \quad \text{provided} \quad 0 < t \leq \frac{3+k}{8n}, \quad 0 < c < \frac{8n}{3+k}.$$

Finally, we let  $c \rightarrow \frac{8n}{3+k}$  into the above inequality in order to obtain

$$(3.28) \quad |\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f \leq \frac{n\alpha_k^2(k+2)}{t}, \quad \text{provided} \quad 0 < t \leq \frac{3+k}{8n}.$$

The inequality (1.6) follows from the inequalities (3.23) and (3.28), which ends the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4 is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 1.3.

**3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6.** We begin with the following equivalent form of (1.12):

$$(3.29) \quad f(x, t) = -\varphi(x, t) - 2n\alpha^2 a \ln(4\pi t),$$

which leads immediately to the equalities

$$(3.30) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(x, t) - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t}, \quad |\nabla_b f|^2 = |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla_b u|^2 = u^2 |\nabla_b \varphi|^2.$$

We get from Corollary 1.2

$$|\nabla_b f|^2 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f \leq \frac{2n\alpha^2}{t},$$

which can be written, taking into account the first two equalities in (3.30), into the form

$$(3.31) \quad |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + \frac{2n\alpha^2(\alpha a - 1)}{t} \leq 0.$$

Now we claim that

$$(3.32) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{N}(u, t) = \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \text{Vol}_\eta.$$

Indeed, we have the chain of identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{N}(u, t) &= - \int_M \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + (\ln u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \right) \text{Vol}_\eta = \int_M \Delta_b u \ln u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &= \int_M u \Delta_b \ln u \text{Vol}_\eta = \int_M \frac{|\nabla_b u|^2}{u} \text{Vol}_\eta = \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \text{Vol}_\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (1.1), the self-adjointness of the sub-Laplacian and the third identity in (3.30).

Furthermore, we claim the validity of the next identity

$$(3.33) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t) = \int_M \left( |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + \frac{2n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)a}{t} \right) u \text{Vol}_\eta.$$

Indeed, taking into account (1.1), (1.11), the first equality in (3.30) and (3.32), we calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{N}(u, t) - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} = \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \text{Vol}_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} = \int_M \left( |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 u - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} u \right) \text{Vol}_\eta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_M \left( |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 u + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi \right) u \right) Vol_\eta = \int_M \left( |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 u + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi u \right) Vol_\eta = \int_M \left( |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi \right) u Vol_\eta \\
&= \int_M \left( |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi \right) u Vol_\eta = \int_M \left( |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} \right) \right) u Vol_\eta \\
&= \int_M \left( |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + \frac{2n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)a}{t} \right) u Vol_\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $a \geq 1$  and hence

$$\frac{2n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)a}{t} \leq \frac{2n\alpha^2(\alpha a - 1)}{t}.$$

The last inequality together with (3.31) leads to

$$(3.34) \quad |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + \frac{2n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)a}{t} \leq |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + \frac{2n\alpha^2(\alpha a - 1)}{t} \leq 0.$$

Now, (3.34) implies the entropy formula (1.13) since  $u$  is a positive function. Theorem 1.5 is proved.

3.5.1. *Proof of Corollary 1.6.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $u(x, t)$  satisfies the normalization (1.11). Then we get from (1.13), (1.7), (1.8), (1.1) and an integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left( \mathcal{N}(u, t) - 2n\alpha^2 a (\ln(4\pi t) + 1) \right) = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_M (\ln u) u Vol_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} \\
&= \int_M (\ln u) \Delta_b u Vol_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} = \int_M \frac{|\nabla_b u|^2}{u} Vol_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} = 4 \int_M |\nabla_b u^{\frac{1}{2}}|^2 Vol_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} \leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof of Corollary 1.6 is completed.

3.6. **Proof of Theorem 1.7.** We start with the identity

$$(3.35) \quad \mathcal{W}(u, t) = \frac{d}{dt} (t\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t)).$$

Indeed, we have by the very definitions (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9) of  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$ , and also by (1.11), (3.29) and (3.32), the chain of identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} (t\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t)) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left( t\mathcal{N}(u, t) - 2n\alpha^2 a t (\ln(4\pi t) + 1) \right) = \mathcal{N}(u, t) + t \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 Vol_\eta - 2n\alpha^2 a \ln(4\pi t) - 4n\alpha^2 a \\
&= - \int_M (\ln u) u Vol_\eta + t \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 Vol_\eta - 2n\alpha^2 a \ln(4\pi t) - 4n\alpha^2 a \\
&= \int_M (\varphi + 2n\alpha^2 a \ln(4\pi t)) u Vol_\eta + t \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 Vol_\eta - 2n\alpha^2 a \ln(4\pi t) - 4n\alpha^2 a \\
&= \int_M (\varphi + t |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - 4n\alpha^2 a) u Vol_\eta = \mathcal{W}(u, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we get immediately from (3.35) the equality

$$(3.36) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(u, t) = 2 \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t) + t \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t).$$

Our next aim is to find a suitable estimation of the derivative  $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(u, t)$ , using the representation (3.36). More precisely, we claim the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.37) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(u, t) &\leq 2 \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 Vol_\eta - 2t \int_M |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 u Vol_\eta - 4t \left( (n+2)S - \frac{3}{\nu} \right) \int_M |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 u Vol_\eta \\
&\quad + 4\nu t \int_M \sum_{s=1}^3 ((\nabla^2 \ln u)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u Vol_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\nu > 0$  is a constant. In order to prove (3.37), we take into account the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.38) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \left( |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} \right) u \text{Vol}_\eta = \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \left( \frac{|\nabla_b u|^2}{u^2} - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} \right) u \text{Vol}_\eta \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \left( \Delta_b \ln u - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} \right) u \text{Vol}_\eta = \int_M \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Delta_b \ln u) u + \Delta_b \ln u \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \right) \text{Vol}_\eta + \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t^2} \\
&= \int_M \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Delta_b \ln u) u - \Delta_b \ln u \Delta_b u \right) \text{Vol}_\eta + \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we applied the very definition (1.8) of  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t)$ , (3.32), (3.30) and (1.1) in an obvious way.

Moreover, we obtain by some straightforward computations, using (1.1), that

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_b \right) \Delta_b \ln u = \Delta_b |\nabla_b \ln u|^2,$$

which, substituted into (3.38) and taking into account the self-adjointness of  $\Delta_b$ , leads to

$$(3.39) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t) = \int_M \left( \Delta_b |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 u - 2\Delta_b \ln u \Delta_b u \right) \text{Vol}_\eta + \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t^2}.$$

For a positive constant  $\nu$  we claim that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.40) \quad & -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_b |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 \\
& \geq |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 - g(\nabla_b \Delta_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) + 2 \left( (n+2)S - \frac{3}{\nu} \right) |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 - 2\nu \sum_{s=1}^3 \left( (\nabla^2 \ln u)(e_a, \xi_s) \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we get from (2.11), having in mind  $T^0 = U = 0$ , the following

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_b |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 &= |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 - g(\nabla_b \Delta_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) + 2(n+2)S |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 + 4 \sum_{s=1}^3 (\nabla^2 \ln u)(\xi_s, I_s \nabla_b \ln u) \\
&= |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 - g(\nabla_b \Delta_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) + 2(n+2)S |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 + 4 \sum_{s=1}^3 (\nabla^2 \ln u)(I_s \nabla_b \ln u, \xi_s) \\
&= |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 - g(\nabla_b \Delta_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) + 2(n+2)S |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 + 4 \sum_{s=1}^3 g(\nabla_b(\xi_s \ln u), I_s \nabla_b \ln u) \\
&\geq |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 - g(\nabla_b \Delta_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) + 2(n+2)S |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 - 4 \sum_{s=1}^3 \|\nabla_b(\xi_s \ln u)\| \|I_s \nabla_b \ln u\| \\
&\geq |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 - g(\nabla_b \Delta_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) + 2(n+2)S |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 - 2\nu \sum_{s=1}^3 g(\nabla_b(\xi_s \ln u), \nabla_b(\xi_s \ln u)) \\
&\quad - \frac{6}{\nu} g(\nabla_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) = |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 - g(\nabla_b \Delta_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) + 2 \left( (n+2)S - \frac{3}{\nu} \right) |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 \\
&\quad \quad \quad - 2\nu \sum_{s=1}^3 \left( (\nabla^2 \ln u)(e_a, \xi_s) \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly (3.40). Note that we used the second identity in (2.8) in order to get the second equality in the above chain. The first inequality is obtained by an application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, while the second inequality is obvious. Now we substitute (3.40) into (3.39) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.41) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(u, t) &\leq \int_M \left\{ \left[ -2|\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 + 2g(\nabla_b \Delta_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) - 4 \left( (n+2)S - \frac{3}{\nu} \right) |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 4\nu \sum_{s=1}^3 \left( (\nabla^2 \ln u)(e_a, \xi_s) \right)^2 \right] u - 2\Delta_b \ln u \Delta_b u \right\} \text{Vol}_\eta + \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_M \left[ -2|\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 - 4\left((n+2)S - \frac{3}{\nu}\right)|\nabla_b \ln u|^2 + 4\nu \sum_{s=1}^3 ((\nabla^2 \ln u)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 \right] u \text{Vol}_\eta + \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t^2},$$

where we used the identity

$$(3.42) \quad \int_M \Delta_b \ln u \Delta_b u \text{Vol}_\eta = \int_M g(\nabla_b \Delta_b \ln u, \nabla_b \ln u) u \text{Vol}_\eta$$

in order to conclude the equality above. Of course, (3.42) is obvious, due to an integration by parts. Finally, we substitute (3.41) into (3.36) and take into account the definition (1.8) of  $\tilde{N}(u, t)$  and (3.32) to get (3.37).

Furthermore, we shall need the following inequality

$$(3.43) \quad |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 \geq |(\nabla^2 \varphi)_{[-1][\text{sym}]}|^2 + 4n|\nabla_v \varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{4n}(\Delta_b \varphi)^2,$$

which is a result of (2.9) and (3.29).

Next, we have from the definition (1.10) of  $\tilde{W}(u, t)$ , (3.37), (1.1), (3.43) and (3.29) consecutively

$$(3.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{W}(u, t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(u, t) + 8nt \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta + 8nt^2 \int_M d\varphi_t(\nabla_v \varphi) u \text{Vol}_\eta + 4nt^2 \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 u_t \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &\leq 2 \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \text{Vol}_\eta - 2t \int_M |\nabla^2 \ln u|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta - 4t \left( (n+2)S - \frac{3}{\nu} \right) \int_M |\nabla_b \ln u|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &\quad + 4vt \int_M \sum_{s=1}^3 ((\nabla^2 \ln u)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} + 8nt \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta + 8nt^2 \int_M d\varphi_t(\nabla_v \varphi) u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &- 4nt^2 \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 \Delta_b u \text{Vol}_\eta \leq 2 \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \text{Vol}_\eta - 2t \int_M \left[ |(\nabla^2 \varphi)_{[-1][\text{sym}]}|^2 + 4n|\nabla_v \varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{4n}(\Delta_b \varphi)^2 \right] u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &- 4t \left( (n+2)S - \frac{3}{\nu} \right) \int_M |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta + 4vt \int_M \sum_{s=1}^3 ((\nabla^2 \varphi)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} + 8nt \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &\quad + 8nt^2 \int_M d\varphi_t(\nabla_v \varphi) u \text{Vol}_\eta - 4nt^2 \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 \Delta_b u \text{Vol}_\eta = -2t \int_M |(\nabla^2 \varphi)_{[-1][\text{sym}]}|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &- \frac{t}{2n} \int_M (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta - 4t \left( (n+2)S - \frac{3}{\nu} - \frac{1}{2t} \right) \int_M |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta + 4vt \int_M \sum_{s=1}^3 ((\nabla^2 \varphi)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} \\ &\quad + 8nt^2 \int_M d\varphi_t(\nabla_v \varphi) u \text{Vol}_\eta - 4nt^2 \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 \Delta_b u \text{Vol}_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

The next aim is to find a suitable representation of the term

$$8nt^2 \int_M d\varphi_t(\nabla_v \varphi) u \text{Vol}_\eta - 4nt^2 \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 \Delta_b u \text{Vol}_\eta$$

appearing at the right-hand side of (3.44).

First of all, we have from (1.12), after standard computations, the following formulas:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = -u \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi + \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_b u = -u(\Delta_b \varphi + |\nabla_b \varphi|^2).$$

Now, the substitution of the above two representations into (1.1) gives

$$(3.45) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi = -\Delta_b \varphi - |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t}.$$

Since  $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$  is a qc-Einstein manifold, we have from Lemma 3.8

$$(3.46) \quad (\nabla^3 \varphi)(e_a, e_a, \nabla_v \varphi) = -\nabla_v \varphi(\Delta_b \varphi).$$

Furthermore, we obtain after simple calculations from (3.45) and (3.46) the following equality

$$(3.47) \quad (\nabla_v \varphi) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi \right) = (\nabla^3 \varphi)(e_a, e_a, \nabla_v \varphi) - 2(\nabla^2 \varphi)(\nabla_v \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi).$$



Now, we assert the following identity

$$(3.48) \quad 8nt^2 \int_M d\varphi_t(\nabla_v \varphi)u \text{Vol}_\eta - 4nt^2 \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 \Delta_b u \text{Vol}_\eta = -8nt^2 \int_M ((\nabla^2 \varphi)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta.$$

Really, we have successively

$$\begin{aligned} 8nt^2 \int_M d\varphi_t(\nabla_v \varphi)u \text{Vol}_\eta - 4nt^2 \int_M |\nabla_v \varphi|^2 \Delta_b u \text{Vol}_\eta &= 16nt^2 \int_M (\nabla^3 \varphi)(e_a, e_a, \nabla_v \varphi)u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &\quad - 16nt^2 \int_M (\nabla^2 \varphi)(\nabla_v \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi)u \text{Vol}_\eta + 8nt^2 \int_M ((\nabla^2 \varphi)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &= 16nt^2 \int_M (\nabla^2 \varphi)(e_a, \xi_s)(\nabla^2 u)(e_a, \xi_s) \text{Vol}_\eta - 16nt^2 \int_M (\nabla^2 \varphi)(\nabla_v \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi)u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &\quad + 8nt^2 \int_M ((\nabla^2 \varphi)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta = -16nt^2 \int_M (\nabla^2 \varphi)(\nabla_b u, \nabla_v \varphi) \text{Vol}_\eta - 16nt^2 \int_M ((\nabla^2 \varphi)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta \\ &\quad - 16nt^2 \int_M (\nabla^2 \varphi)(\nabla_v \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi)u \text{Vol}_\eta + 8nt^2 \int_M ((\nabla^2 \varphi)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta = -8nt^2 \int_M ((\nabla^2 \varphi)(e_a, \xi_s))^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly (3.48). Notice that in the upper chain we took into account (3.47) and the self-adjointness of  $\Delta_b$  in order to take the first identity, while the second one is a consequence of (3.29) and an integration by parts. The third equality follows from (1.12), while we got the last one by the second Ricci identity from (2.8).

Now we substitute (3.48) into (3.44), setting  $\nu = 2nt$ , which leads to

$$(3.49) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(u, t) \leq -2t \int_M |(\nabla^2 \varphi)_{[-1][sym]}|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta - \frac{t}{2n} \int_M (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta - 4t(n+2)S \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \text{Vol}_\eta \\ + 2\left(\frac{3}{n} + 1\right) \int_M u |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \text{Vol}_\eta - \frac{2n\alpha^2 a}{t}.$$

We have from (1.4) that

$$(3.50) \quad \frac{|\nabla_b u|^2}{u} \leq \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \frac{2n\alpha^2}{t} u,$$

and since  $\nabla_b u = -u \nabla_b \varphi$ , we get after an integration of (3.50) that

$$\int_M |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 u \text{Vol}_\eta \leq \frac{2n\alpha^2}{t}.$$

A substitution of the last inequality into (3.49) gives (1.15), provided  $a \geq \frac{6+2n}{n}$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Battaglia, E., Biagi, S., Bonfiglioli, A., *The Strong Maximum Principle and the Harnack inequality for a class of hypoelliptic non-Hörmander operators*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 66, 2 (2016) 589–631. [11](#)
- [2] Biquard, O., *Métriques d'Einstein asymptotiquement symétriques*, Astérisque **265** (2000). [2](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [3] Boyer, C., Galicki, K., **3-Sasakian manifolds**, Surveys in differential geometry: essays on Einstein manifolds, 123-184, Surv. Differ. Geom. VI, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1999. [2](#)
- [4] Boyer, C., Galicki, K., Mann, B., *The geometry and topology of 3-Sasakian manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 455 (1994), 183-220. [2](#)
- [5] Capria, M. & Salamon, S., *Yang-Mills fields on quaternionic spaces*, Nonlinearity **1** (1988), no. 4, 517–530. [5](#)
- [6] Chang, S.-C., Kuo, T.-J. & Lai, S.-H., *Li-Yau gradient estimate and entropy formulae for the CR heat equation in a closed pseudohermitian 3-manifold*, J. Differential Geometry 89 (2011) 185-216. [2](#), [3](#)
- [7] Duchemin, D., *Quaternionic contact structures in dimension 7*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (2006), no. 4, 851–885. [5](#)
- [8] Folland, G., *Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups*, Ark. Math. 13 (1975), 161-207. [2](#)
- [9] Folland, G., Stein, E., *Estimates for the  $\bar{\partial}_b$  complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974), 429-522. [2](#)
- [10] Ivanov, S., Minchev, I., & Vassilev, D., *Quaternionic contact Einstein structures and the quaternionic contact Yamabe problem*, **Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.** (2014), vol. 231, number 1086. [2](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#)

- [11] S. Ivanov, I. Minchev, D. Vassilev, Extremals for the Sobolev inequality on the seven dimensional quaternionic Heisenberg group and the quaternionic contact Yamabe problem, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 12 (4) (2010) 1041-1067. [2](#), [4](#)
- [12] S. Ivanov, I. Minchev, D. Vassilev, *Quaternionic contact Einstein manifolds*, *Math. Res. Lett.* 23 (5) (2016), 1405-1432. [2](#), [6](#)
- [13] S. Ivanov, I. Minchev, D. Vassilev, Solution of the qc Yamabe equation on a 3-Sasakian manifold and the quaternionic Heisenberg group, *Analysis & PDE* 16:3 (2023), 839-860. DOI:10.2140/apde.2023..101 [2](#), [4](#)
- [14] S. Ivanov, A. Petkov, The qc Yamabe problem on non-spherical quaternionic contact manifolds, *Journal de Mathe'matiques Pures et Applique'es*, vol. 118, (2018), 44-81. DOI: 10.1016/j.matpur.2018.06.011 [2](#), [4](#), [7](#)
- [15] Ivanov, S., Petkov, A., & Vassilev, D., *The sharp lower bound of the first eigenvalue of the sub-Laplacian on a quaternionic contact manifold*, *J. Geom. Analysis*, **24** (2014), no. 2, 595-612. [7](#)
- [16] Ivanov, S., Petkov, A., & Vassilev, D., *The sharp lower bound of the first eigenvalue of the sub-Laplacian on a quaternionic contact manifold in dimension seven*, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 93 (2013), 51-61. [6](#)
- [17] Ivanov, S., & Vassilev, D., *Conformal quaternionic contact curvature and the local sphere theorem*, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 93 (2010), 277-307. [2](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [18] Ivanov, S., & Vassilev, D., *Extremals for the Sobolev Inequality and the Quaternionic Contact Yamabe Problem*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011. xviii+219 pp. ISBN: 978-981-4295-70-3; 981-4295-70-1. [6](#), [7](#)
- [19] Ivanov, S., Vassilev, D., *Quaternionic contact manifolds with a closed fundamental 4-form*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 42 (2010), 1021-1030. [2](#)
- [20] Jelonek, E., *Positive and negative 3-K-contact structures*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2000), 247-256. [2](#)
- [21] T. Kashiwada, *A note on Riemannian space with Sasakian 3- structure*, *Nat. Sci. Repts. Ochanomizu Univ.* 22 (1971), 1-2. [2](#)
- [22] P. Li and S.-T. Yau, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator*, *Acta Math.* 156 (1986), 139-168. [1](#)
- [23] Perelman G., *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv:math/0211159. [1](#), [3](#)
- [24] Petkov, A., *An entropy formula for the heat equation on a quaternionic contact manifold*, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* 72 (2019), no. 10, 1301-1312. [2](#)
- [25] Petkov, A., *On some applications of entropy formula for the heat equation on a quaternionic contact manifold*, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* 73 (2020), no. 2, 179-189. [2](#)
- [26] Tanno, S., *Remarks on a triple of K-contact structures*, *Tohoku Math. J.* 48 (1996), 519-531 [2](#)
- [27] Vassilev, D., *Convexity of the entropy of positive solutions to the heat equation on quaternionic contact and CR manifolds*, *Pacific J. Math.* 289 (2017), no. 1, 189-201. [2](#)
- [28] Wang, W., *The Yamabe problem on quaternionic contact manifolds*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 186 (2007), no. 2, 359-380. [7](#)

(Stefan Ivanov) UNIVERSITY OF SOFIA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BLVD. JAMES BOURCHIER 5, 1164, SOFIA, BULGARIA

AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
*Email address:* `ivanovsp@fmi.uni-sofia.bg`

(Alexander Petkov) UNIVERSITY OF SOFIA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BLVD. JAMES BOURCHIER 5, 1164, SOFIA, BULGARIA

*Email address:* `a_petkov_fmi@abv.bg`