

# Edge Zeta Functions and Eigenvalues for Buildings of Finite Groups of Lie Type

SHEN, Jianhao

May 24, 2024

## Abstract

We study the edge zeta functions of buildings associated to a finite group of Lie type, and prove that all the edge eigenvalues of these buildings are certain roots of powers of  $q$ . This work vastly generalizes the type **A** case [6], and generalizes Brouwer's work on oppositeness graph of these buildings [1].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Settings and Main Theorem</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Proof By Transferring to Group Algebra Question</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Partite Analysis . . . . .	4
2.2	Transitive Partite Action and the Relative Destination Elements . . . . .	4
2.3	Computing the RDEs . . . . .	5
2.4	The Eigenvalues of $D(v_0, v_{2m}, 2m)$ . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Decomposition of Longest Element</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Proof of Luo's Theorem . . . . .	8
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>Appendix: Data of cycles and <math>m</math></b>	<b>11</b>

## Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  be the Tits building associated to a finite group  $G$  of Lie type. Brouwer's paper [1] considered the oppositeness graph of such buildings, and proved that the squares of eigenvalues of these buildings are powers of  $q$ . In the thesis [6], the author developed several techniques, some similar to those in [1], to compute the edge zeta functions of these buildings for groups of type **A**, and showed that the sixth power of the associated eigenvalues are powers of  $q$ . In this paper, we apply previous techniques to buildings of all types and prove that the eigenvalues are some roots of powers of  $q$ .

In Section 1, we will define the problem and state the main theorem, and introduce an auxiliary graph  $X_2(\mathcal{B}(G))$ . In Section 2, we recall and apply previous procedure to

compute the eigenvalues, and prove the main theorem by applying Luo's theorem[4]. We will analyze the Luo's theorem in Section 3, which is an important result in decomposing the longest element.

**Acknowledgements** I would like to thank Professor Jiu-Kang Yu for his guidance and much helpful discussion. I also thank Professor Caihua Luo for discussion on the Luo's theorem and its application on this work, and thank Sam Mattheus for discussion on my previous work on type **A** and bringing me to attention of Brouwer's work on oppositeness graph.

## 1 Settings and Main Theorem

**Root System and Weyl Group of  $G$**  The settings are the same as in [1] and [7]. Let  $G$  be a finite group of Lie type defined over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $G$  is a group with a  $BN$ -pair. The Weyl group  $W = N/N \cap B$  is then an Euclidean reflection group of a real vector space  $V$ , which contains a root system  $\Phi$ . We choose a set of positive roots  $\Phi^+$  in  $\Phi$ , and let  $\Delta$  be the set of simple roots in  $\Phi^+$ . Let  $S = \{s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \subseteq W$  be the set of reflections with respect to roots in  $\Delta$ . Then  $(W, S)$  is a Coxeter system.

For  $I \subseteq S$ , let  $\Delta_I$  be the set of simple roots corresponding to  $I$ . Let  $W_I = \langle I \rangle$  be the subgroup generated by  $I$ , with the longest element  $w_I$ . The set  $\Phi_I$  denotes the roots spanned by  $\Delta_I$ . The standard parabolic group  $P_I$  of type  $I$  is the subgroup  $BW_I B$  of  $G$ .

Note that for  $\alpha \in \Delta_I$ ,  $-w_I \alpha \in \Delta_I$ . If  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_I$  satisfies  $\beta = -w_I \alpha$ , we say that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are opposite types of roots in  $\Delta_I$ . In this case,  $s_\alpha = w_I s_\beta w_I$ . In light of this, we say that  $r, s \in I$  are of opposite types in  $W_I$  when  $r$  and  $s$  are conjugate by  $w_I$ . In the Dynkin diagram of  $\Delta_I$ , two opposite roots  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are in the same component, and  $\alpha = \beta$  except when the component is of type **A**, **D<sub>n</sub>** ( $n$  odd), or **E<sub>6</sub>**.

**Tits Buildings** The Tits building  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  associated with  $G$  is a simplicial complex. The simplices within  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  correspond to cosets of standard parabolic subgroups of  $G$ , while the face relation is given by reverse inclusion. In particular:

- Vertices in  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  are cosets of maximal parabolic subgroups, represented as  $gP_{S-\{r\}}$  for  $g \in G$  and  $r \in S$ .
- Edges in  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  are cosets of parabolic subgroups associated with pairs of elements from  $S$ , represented as  $gP_{S-\{r,s\}}$  for  $g \in G$  and distinct  $r, s \in S$ .

Two different vertices  $gP_{S-\{r\}}$  and  $hP_{S-\{s\}}$  are connected by an edge if and only if their intersection is  $g'P_{S-\{r,s\}}$  for some  $g' \in G$ .

The goal of this paper is to compute the edge zeta function of  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(G)$ . This concerns the 1-skeleton of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$ , and counts the number of geodesic cycles in  $\mathcal{B}(G)$ . By definition, a length 2 path  $(aP_{S-\{r\}}, bP_{S-\{s\}}, cP_{S-\{t\}})$  in  $X_1(\mathcal{B})$  is a geodesic if and only if  $aP_{S-\{r\}}$  and  $cP_{S-\{t\}}$  are opposite in the link  $\text{lk}_{\mathcal{B}(G)}(bP_{S-\{s\}})$ . One can check that this is equivalent to that  $r$  and  $t$  are of opposite types in  $W_{S-\{s\}}$ , and  $a^{-1}c \in P_{S-\{r\}}w_{S-\{s\}}P_{S-\{t\}}$ .

A geodesic cycle of length  $n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is an ordered pair  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  of vertices in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $(x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1})$  is a length 2 geodesic path for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  (The indices of  $x$  are interpreted mod  $n$ ). For each  $l \geq 0$ , let  $N(l)$  be the number of geodesic paths of

length  $l$ . The (edge) zeta function  $Z(\mathcal{B})$  is a counting function of geodesic cycles in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$Z(\mathcal{B}, u) = \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{N(l)}{l} u^l\right). \quad (1)$$

Then  $1/Z(\mathcal{B}, u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$  is an integer polynomial with constant coefficient 1. Then  $1/Z(\mathcal{B}, u)$  can be uniquely factorized as  $1/Z(\mathcal{B}, u) = \prod(1 - \lambda_i u)$ , where  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ . These  $\lambda_i$  are algebraic integers, which are called the (edge) eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{B}$ . The main theorem of this paper concerns these eigenvalues:

**Theorem 1.0.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group of Lie type over a field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  its associated building. For each edge eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $G$ , there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $\lambda^k$  is an integer power of  $q$ .*

For groups of type **A**, this integer  $k$  can be chosen as 6 for all  $\lambda$  ([6, §7.2, 8.4]). For type **B** or **C** groups, we can take  $k = 8$ , and for type **D**, this  $k$  can be chosen as 6 or 8 for different eigenvalues. We will give a value of  $k$ , or  $m = \frac{k}{2}$  for various graphs in the appendix.

We can form a directed graph  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$  to capture the geodesic structure of the building  $\mathcal{B}(G)$ . It is an edge graph of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  defined as follows:

- Vertices are directed edges  $gP_{S-\{r\}} \rightarrow gP_{S-\{s\}}$  of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$ , for  $g \in G$  and distinct  $r, s \in S$ . We will represent such an edge as the pair  $(gP_{S-\{r,s\}}, r \rightarrow s)$ , and say that the type of this edge is  $(r, s)$ .
- Directed edges correspond to geodesics in  $X_1(\mathcal{B})$ : A directed edge exists from  $(gP_{S-\{r,s\}}, r \rightarrow s)$  to  $(hP_{S-\{s,t\}}, s \rightarrow t)$  if and only if  $r$  and  $t$  are opposite types with respect to  $s$ , and

$$g^{-1}h \in P_{S-\{r,s\}}w_{S-\{s\}}P_{S-\{s,t\}}. \quad (2)$$

Then the graph zeta function of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$  is the same the edge zeta function of the building  $\mathcal{B}$ , and the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{B}$  are the nonzero eigenvalues of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$ . Our main theorem then takes the following equivalent form:

**Theorem 1.0.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group of Lie type over a field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then for any nonzero eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $X_2(\mathcal{B}(G))$ ,  $\lambda^k$  is a power of  $q$  for some positive integer  $k$ .*

## 2 Proof By Transferring to Group Algebra Question

In [6, §2-4], the author developed some tools to transfer the question of zeta functions of buildings of type **A** to a representation theory question, which is to find the eigenvalues of the right multiplication on  $\mathbb{C}Ge_P$  of certain group algebra element.

In this section, we will follow that treatment and apply those tools to our general setting. In particular, we will firstly do partite analysis and component analysis of the graph  $X_2$ . Then express the eigenvalues of the component graphs as eigenvalues of right multiplication by some relative destination elements. Then, we will compute the relative destination elements. Finally, we will quote a theorem of Luo [4] to show all the eigenvalues are roots of powers of  $q$ .

We will often encounter elements of the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}G$ , and we will use the notation  $a_r$  or  $a_l$  to denote right or left multiplication of  $a$  for  $a \in \mathbb{C}G$ .

## 2.1 Partite Analysis

Recall that vertices in  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$  come naturally with a type  $(r, s) \in S^2 - \Delta(S)$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}^{(r,s)}$  be the vertices in  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$  of type  $(r, s)$ . Then edges from vertices in  $\mathcal{V}^{(r,s)}$  should end in vertices in  $\mathcal{V}^{(s, w_{S-\{s\}} r w_{S-\{s\}})}$ .

Define a next type function “next” on  $S^2 - \Delta(S)$  by  $\text{next}(r, s) = (s, w_{S-\{s\}} r w_{S-\{s\}})$ . This function is invertible, its inverse being the previous type function “prev” given by  $\text{prev}(r, s) = (w_{S-\{r\}} s w_{S-\{r\}}, r)$ .

For a type  $(r, s)$  and an integer  $i \geq 0$ , we denote  $(r, s)[i] = \text{next}^i(r, s)$ . Under the action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  via “next”, the finite set  $S \times S - \Delta(S)$  breaks into disjoint orbits  $C_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup C_l$ .

Let  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_{C_i}$  be the subgraph of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$  restricted to the vertices whose types are in  $C_i$ . Note that there is no path connecting vertices in  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_{C_i}$  to  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_{C_j}$  when  $i \neq j$ . Therefore,  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$  is the disjoint union of the subgraphs  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_{C_i}$ .

Consequently, the eigenvalues of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$  is the disjoint union of the eigenvalues of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_{C_i}$ , and the zeta function of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$  can be expressed as a product of the zeta functions of these subgraphs:

$$Z(X_2(\mathcal{B}), u) = \prod_{i=1}^l Z(X_2(\mathcal{B})|_{C_i}, u).$$

Then to show our main theorem 1.0.2, it suffices to show that the eigenvalues of each component  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_{C_i}$  are of the desired form.

## 2.2 Transitive Partite Action and the Relative Destination Elements

Now we focus on one orbit  $C = C_i$  of types, and show that the eigenvalues of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_C$  are of the required form. To do this, we first recall the relative destination elements (RDE) and express the eigenvalues of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_C$  as eigenvalues of the right action of certain RDE.

**The Graph  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_C$  is Cyclic Partite with Partite Transitive Group Action** Let  $c = |C|$ . Denote the types in  $C$  as  $\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{c-1}\}$ , such that  $\text{next}(t_i) = t_{i+1 \pmod{c}}$ . Then there exists  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{c-1} \in S$  such that  $t_0 = (r_0, r_1)$ ,  $t_1 = (r_1, r_2), \dots, t_{c-1} = (r_{c-1}, r_0)$ . From now on, indices of  $r$  and  $t$  are considered mod  $c$ .

Note that edges of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_C$  only exist from types  $t_i$  to types  $t_{i+1}$ . Then  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_C$  is a cyclic  $c$ -partite graph.

Moreover, vertices of type  $t_i = (r_i, r_{i+1})$  are of the form  $(gP_{S-\{r_i, r_{i+1}\}}, r_i \rightarrow r_{i+1})$ . Then  $G$  acts transitively on vertices of type  $t_i$  by acting on the left on the first component. This action is compatible with the edges in  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$ :  $g.v \rightarrow g.w \iff v \rightarrow w$  by the condition (equation (2)) for edges in  $X_2(\mathcal{B})$ . This affords a  $G$  action on the graph  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_C$ , and this action is partite-transitive, meaning that it preserves the types and is transitive on each type of vertices.

**Eigenvalues of Graphs with Partite-Transitive Group Action** We briefly review some facts from [6, §2-3]. Given a partite transitive action of  $G$  on a cyclic  $n$ -partite graph  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathcal{V}_c$ , where  $V_i$  only connects to  $V_{i+1}$  (again indices are mod  $c$ , same for  $v_i$  below), the eigenvalues of  $C$  can be computed via the following procedure:

- (1) Select and fix elements  $v_1 \in V_1, \dots, v_c \in V_c$ . Let  $P_i = \text{Stab}_G(v_i)$  for each  $i$ .

(2) Denote by  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{V}_i]$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -span of  $\mathcal{V}_i$ . Consider the graph adjacency map  $T_i : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{V}_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{V}_{i+1}]$  which maps each  $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$  to  $\sum_{v \rightarrow w} w$  and extends linearly. Then the zeta functions of  $\mathcal{V}$  is

$$Z(\mathcal{V}, u) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\lambda} (1 - \lambda u^c)},$$

where  $\lambda$  run across all the eigenvalues of  $T_{c-1} \dots T_1 T_0$ . Then the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{V}$  are all the  $c$ -th roots of the eigenvalues of  $T_{c-1} \dots T_1 T_0$ .

(3) Then identify each  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{V}_i]$  with  $\mathbb{C}G e_{P_i}$  as  $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules via  $g.V_i \mapsto g e_{P_i}$ . Denote this map by  $\alpha_{v_i}$ . Then  $T_i$  is identified with a map from  $\mathbb{C}G e_{P_i}$  to  $\mathbb{C}G e_{P_{i+1}}$ . This map is afforded by the right action of  $D(v_i, v_{i+1}, 1)$ , where  $D(v_i, v_{i+1}, 1)$  is an element of  $e_{P_i} \mathbb{C}G e_{P_{i+1}}$  called a relative destination element, whose formula is provided in (5).

(4) The action  $T_{c-1} \dots T_1 T_0 : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{V}_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{V}_0]$  is then identified as the right action of  $D(v_0, v_1, 1) D(v_1, v_2, 1) \dots D(v_{c-1}, v_c, 1)$  on  $\mathbb{C}G e_{P_0}$ . The eigenvalues of  $T_{c-1} \dots T_1 T_0$  are the same as the eigenvalues of  $(D(v_0, v_1, 1) D(v_1, v_2, 1) \dots D(v_{c-1}, v_c, 1))_r : \mathbb{C}G e_{P_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G e_{P_0}$ .

(5) The formula for the relative destination element is  $D(v_i, v_{i+1}, 1) = \alpha_{v_{i+1}}(\sum_{v_i \rightarrow w} w)$ . It is computed by considering all destinations of paths from  $v_i$  of length 1, and represent this as a group algebra element relative to  $v_{i+1}$ . The product  $D(v_0, v_1, 1) \dots D(v_{c-1}, v_c, 1)$  equals the length  $c$  RDE  $D(v_0, v_c, c)$ . We may also take this formula as the definition of  $D(v_0, v_c, c)$ .

**Applying the Procedure** Now we apply this procedure to our case.

In (1), We fix  $v_i = (P_{S-\{r_i, r_{i+1}\}}, r_i \rightarrow r_{i+1})$  for each  $i$ . Then  $P_i = P_{S-\{r_i, r_{i+1}\}}$ .

By (2), the  $c$ -th power of the eigenvalues of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_C$  are eigenvalues of  $T_{c-1} \dots T_0$ , and by (4), are eigenvalues of  $D(v_0, v_c, c)_r := (D(v_0, v_1, 1) D(v_1, v_2, 1) \dots D(v_{c-1}, v_c, 1))_r : \mathbb{C}G e_{P_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G e_{P_0}$ .

We will calculate the relative destination elements  $D(v_i, v_{i+1}, 1)$  using the formula in (5), and compute their product by a theorem of Luo [4]. The main theorem 1.0.2 is then proved using the same Springer's theorem arguments as in [1] and [6].

## 2.3 Computing the RDEs

**Length 1 RDEs** We first compute the length 1 RDE  $D(v_i, v_{i+1}, 1)$ . Recall that  $v_i = (P_{S-\{r_i, r_{i+1}\}}, r_i \rightarrow r_{i+1}) = (P_i, r_i \rightarrow r_{i+1})$  and  $v_{i+1} = (P_{i+1}, r_{i+1} \rightarrow r_{i+2})$ , and  $D(v_i, v_{i+1}, 1) = \alpha_{v_{i+1}}(\sum_{v_i \rightarrow w} w)$ .

For  $g \in G$ , there is an edge from  $v_i$  to  $g v_{i+1}$  if and only if  $P_i g P_{i+1} \in P_i w_{S-\{r_{i+1}\}} P_{i+1}$ . Write  $w_{S-\{r_{i+1}\}}$  as  $w_{i+1}$ . Then,

$$\sum_{v_i \rightarrow w} w = \sum_{g P_{i+1} \subseteq P_i w_{i+1} P_{i+1}} g v_{i+1}.$$

$$D(v_i, v_{i+1}, 1) = \alpha_{v_{i+1}}\left(\sum_{v_i \rightarrow w} w\right) = \sum_{g P_{i+1} \subseteq P_i w_{i+1} P_{i+1}} g e_{P_{i+1}} = a_{P_i w_{i+1} P_{i+1}},$$

where  $a_{P_w Q}$  denotes the element  $\frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{x \in P_x Q} x$  ( $w \in W$ ,  $P, Q$  are parabolic subgroups of  $G$ ).

**Products of Length 1 RDEs** In some good scenarios,  $a_{P_w Q} a_{Q_w' R} = a_{P_w w' R}$ , and the product  $D(v_0, v_1, 1) \dots D(v_{c-1}, v_c, 1) = a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} \dots a_{P_{c-1} w_c P_c}$  can be drastically simplified. We give a sufficient condition that this holds.

**Proposition 2.3.1.** *Let  $w_1, \dots, w_k \in W$ , and  $I_0, I_1, \dots, I_k \subseteq S$  be such that  $I_{i-1}w_i = w_i I_i$ . Let  $W_i = \langle I_i \rangle$ , and  $P_i = BW_i B$ . Suppose that  $w_i$  are minimal length element in  $W_{i-1}w_i = w_i W_i$ . Suppose  $l(w_1 \dots w_k) = l(w_1) + \dots + l(w_k)$ . Then  $w_1 \dots w_k$  is the minimal length element in  $W_0 w_1 \dots w_k$  and  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} \dots a_{P_{k-1} w_k P_k} = a_{P_0 w_1 \dots w_k P_k}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show the result for  $k = 2$ . This proposition then follows by induction.

The statement that  $w_1 w_2$  is the minimal length element in  $W_0 w_1 w_2$  follows from deletion condition: Suppose  $w_1 w_2$  is not. Then there exists  $s \in I_0$  such that  $l(sw_1 w_2) < l(w_1 w_2)$ . Cancellation does not occur at  $w_1$  since  $l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2)$  and  $w_1$  is minimal in  $W_0 w_1$ . Then  $sw_1 w_2 = w_1 w'_2$  for some  $w'_2$  of length  $l(w_2) - 1$ . Then  $s^{w_1} w_2 = w'_2$ . But  $s^{w_1} \in I_0^{w_1} = I_1$  and contradiction arises. Therefore,  $w_1 w_2$  is of minimal length in  $W_0 w_1 w_2$ .

We now prove that  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} a_{P_1 w_2 P_2} = a_{P_0 w_1 w_2 P_2}$ . Define a partial order  $\geq$  on  $\mathbb{C}G$  by  $a \geq b$  if and only if  $a - b = \sum_{g \in G} c_g g$  with  $c_g \geq 0$  for all  $g \in G$ . Then the set  $\mathbb{C}G_{\geq 0}$  is closed under addition and multiplication.

Firstly, we claim  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} a_{P_1 w_2 P_2} \geq a_{P_0 w_1 w_2 P_2}$ : Note that  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} = \frac{1}{|P_1|} \sum_{x \in P_0 w_1 P_1} \geq w_1 e_{P_1}$ . Then  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} a_{P_1 w_2 P_2} \geq w_1 e_{P_1} a_{P_1 w_2 P_2} = w_1 a_{P_1 w_2 P_2} \geq w_1 w_2 e_{P_2}$ . Then for any  $g \in P_0$ ,  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} a_{P_1 w_2 P_2} = g a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} a_{P_1 w_2 P_2} \geq g w_1 w_2 e_{P_2}$ . Then  $a_{P_0 w_1 w_2 P_2} = \sum_{g P_2 \subseteq P_0 w_1 w_2 P_2} g e_{P_2} = \sup_{g \in P_0} (g w_1 w_2 e_{P_2}) \leq a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} a_{P_1 w_2 P_2}$ , as claimed.

Secondly, by the following lemma 2.3.2,  $|P_0 w_1 P_1| = q^{l(w_1)} |P_1|$ ,  $|P_1 w_2 P_2| = q^{l(w_2)} |P_2|$ ,  $|P_0 w_1 w_2 P_2| = q^{l(w_1) + l(w_2)} |P_2|$ . Then the sum of coefficients of  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1}$ ,  $a_{P_1 w_2 P_2}$ ,  $a_{P_0 w_1 w_2 P_2}$  are  $q^{l(w_1)}$ ,  $q^{l(w_2)}$  and  $q^{l(w_1 w_2)}$  respectively. Then the sum of coefficients of  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} a_{P_1 w_2 P_2}$  is the same as  $a_{P_0 w_1 w_2 P_2}$ . This shows that the equality holds in  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} a_{P_1 w_2 P_2} \geq a_{P_0 w_1 w_2 P_2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.2.** *Let  $I, J \subseteq S$  and  $w \in W$  be such that  $I^w = J$ . Suppose  $w$  is the minimal length element in  $W_I w = w W_J$ . Let  $P_I = BW_I B$ ,  $P_J = BW_J B$ . Then  $|P_I| = |P_J|$ , and  $P_I w P_J = q^{l(w)} |P_I|$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $BW_I B w B = BW_I w B$  and  $B w B W_J B = B w W_J B$  for any  $I \subseteq S$ ,  $w \in W$  (see for example Lemma 8.2 in [2]). Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_I w P_J &= BW_I B w B W_J B = BW_I w B W_J B = BW_I w W_J B = BW_I w B \\ &= \sum_{u \in W_I} q^{l(uw)} |B| = q^{l(w)} \sum_{u \in W_I} q^{l(u)} |B| = q^{l(w)} |P_I|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $P_I w P_J = B w W_J B = q^{l(w)} |P_J|$ . Hence,  $|P_I| = |P_J|$ .  $\square$

Return to the simplification of  $a_{P_0 w_1 P_1} \dots a_{P_{c-1} w_c P_c}$ . We set  $W_i = w_{S - \{r_i, r_{i+1}\}}$  to align with  $P_i = P_{S - \{r_i, r_{i+1}\}}$ . The  $w_i = w_{S - \{r_i\}}$  is the maximal length element in  $W_{i-1} w_i$ , and the minimal length element in  $W_{i-1} w_i = w_i W_i$  is  $w_{S - \{r_{i-1}, r_i\}} w_{S - \{r_i\}} = w_{S - \{r_i\}} w_{S - \{r_i, r_{i+1}\}}$ .

We use  $w_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$  to denote  $w_{S - \{r_{i-1}, r_i\}}$ , and write  $w_{[a,b]}$  to mean the products of all  $w_i$  with indices  $i$  ranging over integers and half integers from  $a$  to  $b$ . We also write  $w_{a,b} = w_a w_b$ . Then, for example, the above equation becomes  $w_{[i-\frac{1}{2}, i]} = w_{[i, i+\frac{1}{2}]}$ , and they have length  $l(w_i) - l(w_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) = l(w_i) - l(w_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$ . This shows in particular that  $l(w_i) = l(w_j)$  for  $i, j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ .

We state Luo's theorem [4, §2] here. We will survey this theorem in Section 3.

**Theorem 2.3.3** (Luo). *Let  $(W, S)$  be the Weyl group associated to a reduced root system  $\Phi$ . Let  $s_0, s_1 \in S$  and let  $s_{n+1} = w_{S - \{s_n\}} s_{n-1} w_{S - \{s_n\}}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Then there exists*

some unique  $m$  such that  $w_{\frac{1}{2}}w_S = w_{[\frac{1}{2},m]}$  and that the lengths add up in the sense that  $l(w_{\frac{1}{2}}w_S) = l(w_{\frac{1}{2},1}) + \dots + l(w_{m-\frac{1}{2},m})$ .

We will also need a technical point that the number of terms of  $w_{i-\frac{1}{2},i}$  in Luo's theorem is again  $m$  if we start from  $(s_k, s_{k+1})$ .

**Corollary 2.3.4.** *Let  $m_0, m_1, \dots > 0$  be such that  $w_{[k+\frac{1}{2},k+m_k]} = w_{k+\frac{1}{2}}w_S$  and that the lengths add up. Then all  $m_k$ 's are equal.*

*Proof.* Note that  $w_{[k+\frac{1}{2},k+m_k]} = w_{k+\frac{1}{2}}w_S = w_{[k+1.5,k+1+m_{k+1}]}$  and the lengths add up. It cannot be true that  $m_{k+1} < m_k$ , for otherwise  $w_{[k+\frac{1}{2},k+m_k]} = w_{[k+\frac{1}{2},k+1]} \dots w_{[k+m_k-\frac{1}{2},k+m_k]}$  equals a proper subword of itself and contradiction arises. Therefore, the number  $m_k$  is weakly increasing. But  $m_k$  also has period  $c$  because  $s_i$  has period  $c$ . Then  $m_k$  is invariant of  $k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.5.** *In the setting of Luo's theorem, for each  $i$ ,  $s_i$  is conjugate to  $s_{i+m}$  by  $w_S$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $w_{[i-\frac{1}{2},i]} = w_{[i,i+\frac{1}{2}]}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $w_{[i-\frac{1}{2},j]} = w_{[i,j+\frac{1}{2}]}$  for positive integers  $i < j$ .

Note that  $w_{[1,m]} = w_{[2,m+1]} = w_S$  by Corollary 2.3.4. Then  $w_1w_S = w_{[\frac{3}{2},m]} = w_{[2,m+\frac{1}{2}]} = w_Sw_{m+1}$ . Hence,  $s_1$  and  $s_{m+1}$  are conjugate by  $w_0$ . Similarly,  $s_k$  and  $s_{m+k}$  are conjugate by  $w_0$ .  $\square$

Take  $s_0 = r_0, s_1 = r_1$  in Luo's theorem, then  $s_i = r_i$  for each  $i$ . Let  $m$  be the integer afforded by that theorem. Then by Luo's theorem and Proposition 2.3.1,  $D(v_0, v_m, m) = a_{P_0w_1P_1} \dots a_{P_{m-1}w_mP_m} = a_{P_0w_{\frac{1}{2},1}P_1} \dots a_{P_{m-1}w_{m-\frac{1}{2},m}P_m} = a_{P_0w_{S-\{s_0,s_1\}}w_S P_m} = a_{P_0w_S P_m}$ , and  $W_0^{w_S} = W_m$ .

Similarly,  $D(v_m, v_{2m}, m) = a_{P_mw_S P_{2m}}$  in view of Corollary 2.3.4. Now,  $W_0^{w_S} = W_m$  and  $W_m^{w_S} = W_{2m} = W_0$ . Therefore,  $P_{2m} = P_0$ , and  $a_{P_0w_1P_1} \dots a_{P_{2m-1}w_{2m}P_{2m}} = a_{P_0w_S P_m} a_{P_mw_S P_0}$ .

By Corollary 2.3.5,  $s_k^{w_S} = s_{k+m}$ . Then  $2m$  is a period for  $\{s_k\}$  and so  $c|2m$ . It follows that  $D(v_0, v_c, c)^{\frac{2m}{c}} = D(v_0, v_{2m}, 2m)$ . Then eigenvalues of  $D(v_0, v_c, c)_r$  are  $\frac{2m}{c}$ -th root of  $D(v_0, v_{2m}, 2m)_r$ . Combining with the results of Section 2.3, we have the eigenvalues of  $X_2(\mathcal{B})|_C$  are the  $2m$ -th roots of eigenvalues of  $D(v_0, v_{2m}, 2m)_r$ .

However, the eigenvalues of  $(a_{P_0w_S P_m} a_{P_mw_S P_0})_r : \mathbb{C}Ge_{P_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}Ge_{P_0}$  are integer powers of  $q$ , a fact that we will explain in the next section. This proves the main theorem 1.0.2.

## 2.4 The Eigenvalues of $D(v_0, v_{2m}, 2m)$

In this subsection, we explain that the eigenvalues of the map  $(a_{P_0w_S P_m} a_{P_mw_S P_0})_r : \mathbb{C}Ge_{P_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}Ge_{P_0}$  are powers of  $q$ , where  $w_S$  is the longest element in  $W$ , and  $P_0 = BW_I B$ ,  $P_m = BW_I w_S B$ .

This follows from [1] by noting that  $a_{P_0w_S P_m} a_{P_mw_S P_0}$  is a length 2 RDE of an oppositeness graph. This property also generalizes the Proposition 7.0.1 in [6], and we will state and prove it by adapting the proof in [6, §6.1-6.2]. Both our proof and the proof in [1] have Springer's theorem at the core.

**Theorem 2.4.1.** *Let  $I \subseteq S$ , and  $w$  be the longest length element in  $W$ , and  $J = I^w$ . Let  $P = P_I = BW_I B$ , and  $P_J = BW_J B$ . Then the eigenvalues of right multiplications of  $a_{P_I w P_J} a_{P_J w P_I}$  are powers of  $q$ .*

More precisely, let  $\chi$  be a representation of  $G$ . Let  $n_\chi$  be the multiplicity of  $\chi$  in  $\mathbb{C}Ge_P$ , and  $d_\chi = \dim(\chi)$ . Let  $f_\chi = \sum_{t \text{ conjugate to } s \in S} (1 + \frac{\chi(t)}{\chi(1)})$ . Then the eigenvalues of  $(a_{P_I w P_J} a_{P_J w P_I})_r$  are  $q^{f_\chi - 2l(w_I)}$  with multiplicities  $d_\chi n_\chi$ , where  $\chi$  ranges over representations of  $G$  with  $n_\chi \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Firstly,  $(a_{P_I w P_J} a_{P_J w P_I})_r$  acts as  $(q^{-2l(w_I)} a_{BwB}^2)_r$  on  $\mathbb{C}Ge_{P_I}$ : Note that by the proof of Lemma 2.3.2,  $P_I w P_J = B W_I w B = P_I w B$ , and similarly  $P_J w P_I = B w P_I$ . Then  $a_{P_I w P_J} a_{P_J w P_I} \sim e_{P_I} a_{BwB}^2 e_{P_I}$ , where  $a \sim b$  means  $a = \lambda b$  for some  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Note that  $e_{P_I} \in e_B \mathbb{C}Ge_B$  and  $a_{BwB}^2$  is in the center of  $e_B \mathbb{C}Ge_B$  (Springer's theorem, see below). Then  $e_{P_I} a_{BwB}^2 e_{P_I} = e_{P_I} a_{BwB}^2$ . But  $(e_{P_I})_r$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{C}Ge_{P_I}$ . Then the action  $(a_{P_I w P_J} a_{P_J w P_I})_r \sim (a_{BwB}^2)_r$  on  $\mathbb{C}Ge_{P_I}$ .

It remains to find the scalar. The minimal length element in  $P_I w P_J$  is  $w_I w$ , of length  $l(w) - l(w_I)$ . By Lemma 2.3.2,  $a_{P_I w P_J}$  and  $a_{P_J w P_I}$  both have total coefficients  $q^{l(w) - l(w_I)}$ . Similarly,  $a_{BwB}$  has total coefficient  $q^{l(w)}$ . Then  $(a_{P_I w P_J} a_{P_J w P_I})_r = q^{-2l(w_I)} (a_{BwB}^2)_r$ .

Secondly,  $(a_{BwB}^2)_r$  on  $\mathbb{C}Ge_P$  has the same eigenvalues as  $(a_{BwB}^2)_l$  on  $e_P \mathbb{C}G$ , by changing side (Lemma 6.1.1 in [6]).

We now compute these eigenvalues  $(a_{BwB}^2)_l$  by decomposing  $\mathbb{C}G$  as left  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module as  $\mathbb{C}G \simeq \bigoplus_\chi M_\chi^{d_\chi}$ . Then  $e_P \mathbb{C}G \simeq \bigoplus_\chi (e_P M_\chi)^{d_\chi}$  as vector subspaces, and  $e_B \mathbb{C}G \simeq \bigoplus_\chi (e_B M_\chi)^{d_\chi}$  as  $H$ -modules, where  $H := e_B \mathbb{C}Ge_B$  is the Hecke algebra associated with  $(G, B)$ , which is isomorphic to the Iwahori-Hecke algebra associated to  $(W, S)$  with parameter  $q$ . The element  $a_{BwB}$  in  $e_B \mathbb{C}Ge_B$  corresponds to  $T_w$  in the Iwahori-Hecke description.

Now,  $e_P M_\chi \subseteq e_B M_\chi$ , and the action of  $a_{BwB}^2 = T_w^2$  on  $e_B M_\chi$  is known by Springer's Theorem (Theorem 9.2.2 in [3]):  $a_{BwB}^2$  acts on  $e_B M_\chi$  as multiplication by scalar  $q^{f_\chi}$ . Therefore,  $a_{BwB}^2$  acts as this scalar on  $(e_P M_\chi)^{d_\chi}$ . Now,  $\dim(e_P M_\chi) = \langle \chi, (1_P)^G \rangle = n_\chi$  is the multiplicity of  $M_\chi$  in  $\mathbb{C}Ge_P$ . Then the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $q^{f_\chi}$  is  $n_\chi d_\chi$ . Multiplying the eigenvalues by  $q^{-2l(w_I)}$  gives the desired eigenvalues of  $(a_{P_I w P_J} a_{P_J w P_I})_r$ .  $\square$

### 3 Decomposition of Longest Element

This section is devoted to Luo's theorem [4, §2] on decomposition of the longest element of Weyl group. We will reproduce Luo's proof, and provide some corollaries.

#### 3.1 Proof of Luo's Theorem

In this section, we let  $(W, S)$  be the Weyl group associated with a reduced root system  $(\Phi, \Delta)$ . Let  $R(w) := w^{-1}(\Phi^-) \cap \Phi^+$  be the set of positive roots mapped to negative by  $w$ . For  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , we write  $\alpha > 0$  if  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$  and  $\alpha < 0$  if  $\alpha \in \Phi^-$ . For  $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$ , we use  $(W_{\Delta'}, S_{\Delta'})$  and  $\Phi_{\Delta'}$  to denote the substructures corresponding to  $\Delta'$ .

We need two lemmas:

**Lemma 3.1.1.** *Let  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ . Suppose  $R(w_2) \subseteq R(w_1 w_2)$ . Then  $l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2)$ . Suppose  $R(w_2) \cap R(w_1 w_2) = \emptyset$ . Then  $l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) - l(w_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R(w_2) \subseteq R(w_1 w_2)$ . Then  $w_1 w_2$  has a reduced expression which ends with any reduced expression of  $w_2$ . Write that reduced expression as  $s_1 \dots s_k w_2$ . Then  $s_1 \dots s_k = w_1$ , and  $l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2)$ .



Suppose  $R(w_2) \cap R(w_1w_2) = \emptyset$ . Then  $R(w_2^{-1}) \subseteq R(w_1)$ : For  $\alpha \in R(w_2^{-1})$ ,  $w_2^{-1}\alpha < 0$  but  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $-w_2^{-1}\alpha \in R(w_2)$  and hence  $-w_2^{-1}\alpha \notin R(w_1w_2)$ . Then  $-w_1\alpha > 0$ , and  $\alpha \in R(w_1)$ .

Then  $l(w_1) = l(w_1w_2w_2^{-1}) = l(w_1w_2) + l(w_2^{-1}) = l(w_1w_2) + l(w_2)$   $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.2.** *Let  $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Delta'$  be a simple root, and let  $w \in W$ . Suppose  $w(\Delta' - \{\alpha\}) \subseteq \Delta$ . Then if  $w\alpha < 0$  (resp.  $w\alpha > 0$ ) then  $w\beta < 0$  (resp.  $w\beta > 0$ ) for any positive root  $\beta \in \Phi_{\Delta'} - \Phi_{\Delta' - \{\alpha\}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta'' = w(\Delta' - \{\alpha\}) \subseteq \Phi^+$ . Then if  $w\alpha > 0$ ,  $w\Delta' \subseteq \Phi^+$ . Then  $w\beta > 0$  because  $\beta$  is a nonnegative combination of roots in  $\Delta'$ .

Now suppose  $w\alpha < 0$ . Note that the set  $w(\Delta')$  is linearly independent in the span  $V$  of  $\Delta$ . Write  $w\alpha = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} c_\gamma \gamma$ . Since  $w\alpha$  is linearly independent of  $w(\Delta' - \{\alpha\}) = \Delta''$ , there exists some  $\gamma_0 \in \Delta - \Delta''$  such that  $c_{\gamma_0} \neq 0$ . Then  $c_{\gamma_0} < 0$  because  $w\alpha < 0$ .

Because  $\beta > 0$  is in  $\Phi_{\Delta'} - \Phi_{\Delta' - \{\alpha\}}$ . Then  $\beta = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta'} c'_\delta \delta$  with  $c'_\alpha > 0$ . Then  $w\beta = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta'} c'_\delta w\delta$ . The coefficient of  $\gamma_0$  in  $w\beta$  is then equals  $c_{\gamma_0} c'_\alpha$ , and is negative. Then  $w\beta < 0$ .  $\square$

We now state again and prove Luo's theorem 2.3.3. We use  $w_{[a,b]}$  to denote the product  $w_a w_{a+\frac{1}{2}} w_{a+1} \dots w_b$  for  $a \leq b$ , and  $w_a w_{a-\frac{1}{2}} \dots w_b$  for  $a \geq b$ , and write  $w_{a,b}$  for  $w_a w_b$ .

**Theorem 3.1.3** (Luo). *Let  $(W, S)$  be the Weyl group associated with a reduced root system  $(\Phi, \Delta)$ . Let  $s_0, s_1 \in S$  and let  $s_{n+1} = w_{S-\{s_n\}} s_{n-1} w_{S-\{s_n\}}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $w_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = w_{S-\{s_i, s_{i+1}\}}$  and let  $w_i = w_{S-\{s_i\}}$ . Then there exists some unique  $m$  such that  $w_{\frac{1}{2}} w_S = w_{[\frac{1}{2}, m]}$  and that  $l(w_{\frac{1}{2}} w_S) = l(w_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}) + \dots + l(w_{[m-\frac{1}{2}, m]})$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $i$ , let  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$  be the positive simple root corresponding to  $s_i$ . Then  $\alpha_{n+1} = -w_{S-\{s_n\}} \alpha_{n-1}$ . Let  $\Delta_i = \Delta - \{\alpha_i\}$ , and  $\Delta_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta_{i,i+1} = \Delta - \{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}\}$ . Note that  $w_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_{i,i+1} = -\Delta_{i,i+1}$ , and  $w_{i+1} \Delta_{i+1} = -\Delta_{i+1}$ . Then  $w_{i+1} \Delta_{i,i+1} = w_{i+1}(\Delta_{i+1} - \{\alpha_i\}) = -(\Delta_{i+1} - \{\alpha_{i+2}\}) = -\Delta_{i+1, i+2}$ , and so  $w_{i+\frac{1}{2}, i+1} \Delta_{i+1, i+2} = \Delta_{i, i+1}$ , and  $w_{[\frac{1}{2}, n]} \Delta_{n, n+1} = \Delta_{0, 1}$ .

Note that each  $w_i$  has order 2 for  $i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ . The theorem then requires finding  $m$  such that  $w_S w_{\frac{1}{2}} = w_{[m, \frac{1}{2}]}$  and that the length is additive.

Let  $u_0 = w_S w_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and  $u_{n+1} = u_n w_{n+\frac{1}{2}, n+1}$  for each  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $u_n = w_S w_{[1, n]}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Our goal is to find some  $m$  so that  $u_m = e$ . We first use the two lemmas to show that the lengths satisfy

$$l(u_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} l(u_n) - l(w_{n+1, n+\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{if } u_n \alpha_n < 0 \\ l(u_n) + l(w_{n+1, n+\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{if } u_n \alpha_n > 0. \end{cases}$$

from the equality  $u_{n+1} w_{n+1, n+\frac{1}{2}} = u_n$ :

Note that  $R(w_{n+1}) = \Phi_{\Delta_{n+1}}^+$ , and  $R(w_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \Phi_{\Delta_{n, n+1}}^+$ . Then  $R(w_{n+1, n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \Phi_{\Delta_{n+1}}^+ - \Phi_{\Delta_{n, n+1}}^+$ . On the other hand,  $u_n \Delta_{n, n+1} = u_0 w_{[\frac{1}{2}, n]} \Delta_{n, n+1} = u_0 \Delta_{0, 1}$  equals  $u_0 \Delta_{0, 1} \subseteq \Delta$ .

We can now apply the Lemma 3.1.2, with  $w = u_n$ ,  $\Delta' = \Delta_{n+1}$ , and  $\alpha = \alpha_n$ . Then the sign of  $u_n$  on  $\Phi_{\Delta_{n+1}}^+ - \Phi_{\Delta_{n, n+1}}^+$  is determined by the sign of  $u_n \alpha_n$ . Then if  $u_n \alpha_n > 0$ ,  $R(w_{n+1, n+\frac{1}{2}}) \cap R(u_n) = \emptyset$ , and  $l(u_n) = l(u_{n+1}) - l(w_{n+1, n+\frac{1}{2}})$ . If  $u_n \alpha_n < 0$ ,  $R(w_{n+1, n+\frac{1}{2}}) \subseteq R(u_n)$  and  $l(u_n) = l(u_{n+1}) + l(w_{n+1, n+\frac{1}{2}})$ , by Lemma 3.1.1. This proves the pattern of lengths.

Clearly,  $u_0 \alpha_0 = w_S w_{S-\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}} \alpha_0 < 0$ . Let  $m$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $u_m \alpha_m > 0$  (Such an  $m$  exists because  $l(u_n)$  cannot decrease indefinitely). Then

$u_m \Delta_{m,m+1} = u_0 \Delta_{0,1}$  is positive, and  $u_m \alpha_m > 0$  and  $u_{m-1} \alpha_{m-1} < 0$  by assumption. Now,  $u_m \alpha_{m+1} = u_{m-1} w_{S-\{s_{m-1}, s_m\}} w_{S-\{m\}} \alpha_{m+1} = u_{m-1} w_{S-\{s_{m-1}, s_m\}} (-\alpha_{m-1})$ . Note that  $w_{S-\{s_{m-1}, s_m\}} \alpha_{m-1} > 0$  and lies in  $\Phi_{\Delta_m}^+ - \Phi_{\Delta_{m,m-1}}^+$ . Applying Lemma 3.1.2 again, we see that  $u_{m-1} w_{S-\{s_{m-1}, s_m\}} \alpha_{m-1} < 0$  because  $u_{m-1} \alpha_{m-1} < 0$ . Then  $u_m \alpha_{m+1} > 0$ . Therefore,  $u_m \Delta > 0$ , which implies that  $u_m = e$ .

It follows that  $w_S w_{S-\{s_0, s_1\}} = w_{[m, \frac{1}{2}]}$ , and the original statement follows from taking inverses of both sides. The additive of lengths follows from the pattern of lengths of  $l(u_n)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.4.** In Luo’s paper [4], the statement is  $w_S w_{S-\{s_1\}} = w_{m, m-\frac{1}{2}} \dots w_{2, \frac{3}{2}}$  and that the length is additive. This means  $w_S w_1 = w_{[m, \frac{3}{2}]}$ , which is equivalent to  $w_S = w_{[m, 1]}$  and to our statement  $w_S w_{\frac{1}{2}} = w_{[m, \frac{1}{2}]}$ .


The data of  $m$  for each irreducible type of  $\Delta$  is provided in the appendix.

## Bibliography

- [1] Andries E Brouwer. “The eigenvalues of oppositeness graphs in buildings of spherical type”. In: *Combinatorics and graphs* 531 (2010), pp. 1–10.
- [2] Walter Feit and Graham Higman. “The nonexistence of certain generalized polygons”. In: *Journal of algebra* 1.2 (1964), pp. 114–131.
- [3] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer. *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [4] Caihua Luo. “Casselman–Shahidi conjecture on the singularity of intertwining operators: groups of exceptional type”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.02694* (2022).
- [5] Martin Schönert et al. *GAP: Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, version 3.4.4 distribution gap3-jm*. <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~jean.michel/gap3/manual.pdf>. Accessed 21 May 2024. 1995.
- [6] Jianhao Shen. “Zeta Functions for Spherical Tits Buildings of Finite General Linear Groups”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17809* (2023).
- [7] Peter Sin. “Oppositeness in buildings and simple modules for finite groups of Lie type”. In: *Buildings, Finite Geometries and Groups: Proceedings of a Satellite Conference, International Congress of Mathematicians, Hyderabad, India, 2010*. Springer, 2012, pp. 273–286.

## Appendix: Data of cycles and $m$

In this appendix, we provide the data for the cycles  $C$ , as well as the corresponding number  $m$  appearing in Luo's theorem. The number  $k$  in our main theorem 1.0.1 can be chosen as  $2m$ . We use the CHEVIE package in GAP3 to do the computation, and the labels are the same as in the document of GAP3 [5, p1592, §85]. We draw the diagrams of types **B**, **D**, **E** to avoid confusion.



We now list the data of the cycles  $C = \{(s_0, s_1), (s_1, s_2), \dots, (s_{c-1}, s_c)\}$  by displaying  $s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s_c$ , and list the number  $m$  corresponding to these cycles. We display rank 5 results for classical types, and display all the data in the exception type. In fact, the number  $m = 3$  for type **A** by [6, §4.2], and in a similar way or by a length argument, one shows that  $m = 4$  for type **B**. The number  $m$  is 4 for type **D** except when  $s_0, s_1$  both have label among  $\{1, 2, n\}$ , and at that case  $m = 3$ .

Table 1: Data for  $A_5$

Cycles $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_c$	$m$
$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1$	3
$1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$	3
$1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$	3
$2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2$	3

Table 2: Data for  $B_5$  or  $C_5$

Cycles $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_c$	$m$
$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1$	4
$1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$	4
$2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2$	4
$2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2$	4
$3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3$	4
$4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4$	4

Table 3: Data for  $D_5$

Cycles $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_c$	$m$
$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1$	3
$1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$	4
$3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3$	4
$4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4$	4

Table 4: Data for  $G_2$

Cycles $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_c$	$m$
$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$	6

Table 5: Data for  $F_4$

Cycles $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_c$	$m$
$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$	6
$1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$	6
$1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$	4
$3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3$	6

Table 6: Data for  $E_6$

Cycles $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_c$	$m$
$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$	4
$1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$	5
$1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1$	4
$1 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 1$	3
$2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2$	6

Table 7: Data for  $E_7$ 

Cycles $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_c$	$m$
$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$	4
$1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$	6
$1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$	6
$1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1$	6
$1 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 1$	4
$1 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 1$	4
$2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 2$	5
$2 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2$	5
$3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3$	6
$4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 4$	6

Table 8: Data for  $E_8$ 

Cycles $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_c$	$m$
$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$	6
$1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$	8
$1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1$	8
$1 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 1$	6
$1 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 1$	6
$1 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 1$	4
$2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 2$	6
$2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 2$	8
$3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 3$	8
$4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 4$	8
$7 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 7$	6