

SHARP CONVERGENCE RATE ON SCHRÖDINGER TYPE OPERATORS

MENG WANG AND SHUIJIANG ZHAO*

ABSTRACT. For Schrödinger type operators in one dimension, we consider the relationship between the convergence rate and the regularity for initial data. By establishing the associated frequency-localized maximal estimates, we prove sharp results up to the endpoints. The optimal range for the wave operator in all dimensions is also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $a > 0$, the solution of the fractional Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\frac{a}{2}} u = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = f(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

can be formally written as the Schrödinger type operator

$$S^a f(x, t) = e^{it(-\Delta)^{\frac{a}{2}}} f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\xi + t|\xi|^a)} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Here, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the inhomogeneous Sobolev space of order s . When $a = 2$, S^2 is the standard Schrödinger operator.

Carleson [5] initially proposed the problem of determining the optimal regularity s such that

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} S^a f(x, t) = f(x), \quad a.e. \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $a = 2$. He proved that the almost everywhere pointwise convergence holds for $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$ when $n = 1$ and $a = 2$, which is also necessary as shown by Dahlberg-Kenig [9]. For the standard Schrödinger operator S^2 in higher dimensions, this problem is much more complicated. Du-Guth-Li [11] and Du-Zhang [12] showed that (1.1) holds for $s > \frac{n}{2n+2}$ when $n \geq 2$ and $a = 2$, which is sharp up to the endpoints according to the counterexamples by Bourgain [2] and Lucà-Rogers [17]. See [19, 22, 3, 21, 16, 1] and references therein for more previous results related to this problem.

For the case $a > 1$, Sjölin [19] showed that (1.1) holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$ when $n = 1$. Miao-Yang-Zheng [18] and Cho-Ko [6] obtained partial results in higher dimensions when $a > 1$. For the case $0 < a < 1$, it was shown by Walther [23] that the critical regularity in one spatial dimension is $\frac{a}{4}$. However, the endpoint problem $s = \frac{a}{4}$ remains open. Related results in higher dimensions can be found in [26]. For the case $a = 1$, it is well known that, in any dimension, (1.1) holds if and only if $s > \frac{1}{2}$. See [24] and [25] for more details.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B25.

Key words and phrases. convergence rate, maximal estimate, Schrödinger type operator.

* Corresponding author.

A natural generalization of the pointwise convergence problem is to consider the convergence rate of $S^a f$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. More specifically, we are interested in the sharp range of δ such that

$$(1.2) \quad S^a f(x, t) - f(x) = o(|t|^\delta), \quad a.e. \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

holds whenever $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since critical values of regularity are unknown in higher dimensions for general $a > 0$, we focus on the case $n = 1$ in this paper.

By a slight modification of [4, Proposition 2.1], it is clear that $\delta < 1$ is necessary if (1.2) holds. To the best of the authors' knowledge, the earliest results regarding this problem were established by Cowling [7, 8], using harmonic analysis on semigroups.

Theorem 1.1 ([8], p.85). *Let H be a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and let $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Suppose that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $|H|^\delta f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $M_\delta f$ be defined by the formula*

$$M_\delta f(\cdot) = \sup\{|t|^{-\delta} |e^{itH} f - f| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then $M_\delta f$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|M_\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\delta) \||H|^\delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Applying Theorem 1.1 with $H = (-\Delta)^{\frac{a}{2}}$, by a standard argument (see, e.g., [19, Theorem 5]), we obtain

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} R_\delta^a f(x, t) = 0, \quad a.e. \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $s = a\delta$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < 1$. Here and in what follows we use the notation

$$R_\delta^a f(x, t) = \frac{e^{it(-\Delta)^{\frac{a}{2}}} f(x) - f(x)}{|t|^\delta}.$$

Since (1.3) is equivalent to (1.2), we obtain the convergence rate δ for all $f \in H^{a\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in any dimension when $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < 1$.

Cao-Fan-Wang [4] obtained partial results for the case $a > 1$ in one spatial dimension when $\frac{1}{4} \leq s \leq \frac{a}{2}$.

Theorem 1.2 ([4], Theorem 1.2). *Let $n = 1, a > 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1$, and assume $a\delta + \frac{1}{4} \leq s$. Then (1.2) holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$.*

When $n = 1$, we extend the above results to the sharp range for the case $a > 1$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n = 1, a > 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1$, and assume*

$$(1.4) \quad (a-1)\delta + \max\{\delta, \frac{1}{4}\} < s.$$

Then (1.2) holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Conversely, if (1.2) holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, then $(a-1)\delta + \max\{\delta, \frac{1}{4}\} \leq s$.

We also obtain the following sharp results for the case $0 < a < 1$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $n = 1, 0 < a < 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1$, and assume $\max\{\frac{1}{4}, \delta\} \cdot a < s$. Then (1.2) holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Conversely, if (1.2) holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, then $\max\{\frac{1}{4}, \delta\} \cdot a \leq s$.*




FIGURE 1. The relationship between the sharp convergence rate δ and the regularity s for $a > 1$ when $n = 1$. The endpoint problem for the open edge (A, B) and the closed edge $[B, C]$ remains open.




FIGURE 2. The relationship between δ and s for the case $0 < a < 1$ when $n = 1$. The endpoint problem for the closed edge $[E, F]$ is unknown.

Remark 1.5. For the case $0 < a \neq 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < 1$, the borderline $s = a\delta$ is covered by Theorem 1.1 (see the open edges (C, D) and (F, G) in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively). Note that, for the case $0 < a < 1$, there is a surprising jump for δ when s is bigger than the critical regularity $\frac{a}{4}$ even though we do not know whether (1.1) holds true for the endpoint $s = \frac{a}{4}$.

For the exceptional case $a = 1$ which corresponds to the wave operator, we can obtain the optimal range in all dimensions $n \geq 1$. As in the case $0 < a < 1$, there is also a surprising jump for δ when $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $n \geq 1$, $a = 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Then (1.2) holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and $s \geq \delta$.

Notations. Throughout this paper, we shall use c and C to denote positive constants, which may differ from line to line. By $A \lesssim B$, we mean that there exists a constant C independent of the relevant parameters such that $A \leq CB$ and similarly we use $A \ll B$ to mean that A is far less than B . We write $A \sim B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. We denote the annulus $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : |\xi| \sim R\}$ by $A(R)$. \hat{f} and \check{f} denote the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform respectively.

Our paper is organized as follows. We prove sufficient conditions and necessary conditions for the case $a > 1$ in Section 2 and Section 3 respectively, which provides typical methods for other cases. The proof of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.6 is given in Section 4.

2. POSITIVE RESULTS FOR THE CASE $a > 1$

By a similar standard argument, it suffices to show the following maximal estimate.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $a > 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Then for any $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > (a-1)\delta + \max\{\delta, \frac{1}{4}\}$, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \left\| \sup_{0 < t < 1} |R_\delta^a f(\cdot, t)| \right\|_{L^2(B)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})},$$

where B denotes the unit ball in \mathbb{R} and the constant C is independent of f .

Proof. By Littlewood-Paley decomposition,

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} f_k,$$

where \hat{f}_0 is supported in $B(0, 1)$ and \hat{f}_k is supported in $A(2^k)$ for $k \geq 1$. We claim that

$$(2.2) \quad \left\| \sup_{0 < t < 1} |R_\delta^a f_0(\cdot, t)| \right\|_{L^2(B)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$. Otherwise, we can replace δ by some $\delta' > \frac{1}{2}$ since $|R_\delta^a f(x, t)| \leq |R_{\delta'}^a f(x, t)|$ when $t \in (0, 1)$. Applying Theorem 1.1 with $H = (-\Delta)^{\frac{a}{2}}$, we obtain that

$$\left\| \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |R_\delta^a f_0(\cdot, t)| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f_0\|_{H^{a\delta}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Note that \hat{f}_0 is supported in $B(0, 1)$. Thus, the $H^{a\delta}(\mathbb{R})$ norm of f_0 is controlled by the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ norm of f , which proves (2.2). To get (2.1), by Minkowski's inequality and direct summation, it is sufficient to show the following frequency-localized estimate. \square

Proposition 2.2. *If $a > 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$, then for $k \geq 1$ and $s = (a-1)\delta + \max\{\delta, \frac{1}{4}\}$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \sup_{0 < t < 1} |R_\delta^a f(\cdot, t)| \right\|_{L^2(B)} \leq Ck2^{ks} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

whenever $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset A(2^k)$. Moreover, the factor k can be removed when $\delta \neq \frac{1}{4}$.

We recall two important maximal estimates which will be used in the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 2.3 ([15], [19]). *If $n = 1$ and $a > 1$, then*

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| \sup_{0 < t < 1} |S^a f(\cdot, t)| \right\|_{L^2(B)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

This sharp local estimate was first proven by Kenig and Ruiz [15, Theorem 1] when $a = 2$ and later generalized to the case $a > 1$ by Sjölin [19, Theorem 3]. We also need a variant of the above inequality, which was established by Dimou and Seeger [10].

Lemma 2.4 ([10], Proposition 2.1). *If $J \subset [0, 1]$ is an interval and $0 < a \neq 1$, then*

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| \sup_{t \in J} |S^a f(\cdot, t)| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(1 + |J|^{\frac{1}{4}} 2^{\frac{ka}{4}}) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

whenever $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset A(2^k)$.

Now let us turn to prove Proposition 2.2. The key idea is to decompose the interval $(0, 1)$ according to the localized frequency.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. It is clear that

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1} |R_\delta^a f(x, t)| \leq I_1(x) + I_2(x) + I_3(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &= \sup_{0 < t \leq 2^{-ak}} |R_\delta^a f(x, t)|, \\ I_2(x) &= \sup_{2^{-ak} < t < 2^{(1-a)k}} |R_\delta^a f(x, t)|, \\ I_3(x) &= \sup_{2^{(1-a)k} \leq t < 1} |R_\delta^a f(x, t)|. \end{aligned}$$

We first give the upper bound for $\|I_3\|_{L^2(B)}$. Note that

$$I_3(x) \leq 2^{(a-1)k\delta} \left(\sup_{0 < t < 1} |S^a f(x, t)| + |f(x)| \right).$$

We invoke Lemma 2.3 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_3\|_{L^2(B)} &\leq 2^{(a-1)k\delta} \left(\left\| \sup_{0 < t < 1} |S^a f(x, t)| \right\|_{L^2(B)} + \|f\|_{L^2(B)} \right) \\ &\lesssim 2^{(a-1)k\delta} \|f\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim 2^{ks} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $I_1(x)$, we use Taylor's expansion

$$e^{it|\xi|^a} - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(it|\xi|^a)^j}{j!}.$$

Thus we can then write

$$\begin{aligned} R_\delta^a f(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} t^{-\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} (e^{it|\xi|^a} - 1) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{i^j t^{j-\delta}}{j!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} |\xi|^{aj} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta < 1$, by triangle inequality, we get

$$I_1(x) = \sup_{0 < t \leq 2^{-ak}} |R_\delta^a f(x, t)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2^{-ak(j-\delta)}}{j!} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{ja}{2}} f(x)|.$$

It follows from Plancherel's theorem and the Taylor's expansion of exponential function e^x that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2^{-ak(j-\delta)}}{j!} (C2^k)^{ja} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= 2^{ak\delta} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{C^{ja}}{j!} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq 2^{ak\delta} \exp(C^a) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim 2^{ks} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term $I_2(x)$, we use dyadic decomposition towards interval $(2^{-ak}, 2^{(1-a)k})$ so that

$$I_2(x) \leq \sup_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (a-1)k \leq l \leq ak+1}} \sup_{t \in J_l} |R_\delta^a f(x, t)|,$$

where $J_l = [2^{-l}, 2^{-l+1}]$. Using the natural embedding $l^1 \hookrightarrow l^\infty$, we have

$$I_2(x) \leq C \sum_{(a-1)k \leq l \leq ak+1} 2^{l\delta} \left(\sup_{t \in J_l} |S^a f(x, t)| + |f(x)| \right).$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 with $J = J_l$, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \sum_{(a-1)k \leq l \leq ak+1} 2^{l\delta} \left(\left\| \sup_{t \in J_l} |S^a f(\cdot, t)| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{(a-1)k \leq l \leq ak+1} 2^{l\delta} 2^{-\frac{l}{4}} 2^{\frac{ka}{4}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{ks} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, & \text{if } \delta \neq \frac{1}{4}, \\ k 2^{ks} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, & \text{if } \delta = \frac{1}{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the estimates for $\|I_i\|_{L^2(B)}$, we finish the proof of (2.3). \square

3. NEGATIVE RESULTS FOR THE CASE $a > 1$

In order to prove necessary conditions, we make use of Stein's maximal principle [20, Chapter X, §3.4].

Proposition 3.1. *Let $a > 0$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and assume that (1.3) holds for any $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset (0, 1)$ be a sequence with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$. Then there is a constant C , independent of $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha > 0$, such that*

$$\text{meas}(\{x \in [-1, 1] : \sup_n |R_\delta^a f(x, t_n)| > \alpha\}) \leq C \alpha^{-2} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

holds for any $\alpha > 0$. Here we denote the Lebesgue measure of $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ by $\text{meas}(A)$.

It is easy to verify that the above proposition satisfies the assumptions in Stein's maximal principle, so we omit the details of proof. However, since this maximal principle only focuses on a countable family of linear operators, it seems plausible to replace the supremum over $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by the supremum over $t \in (0, 1)$ or any other bounded interval.

With the help of the above proposition, we are in position to present an explicit counterexample, which is a variant of construction in [9].

Proof of negative results in Theorem 1.3. We set $e(z) = e^{iz}$ for simplicity. Choose a nontrivial smooth function ϕ with compact support in $[-1, 1]$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R} and $\int \phi(\xi) d\xi = 1$. We define f_λ^ε with $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and λ sufficiently large by its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{f_\lambda^\varepsilon}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi\left(\frac{\xi - \lambda^{1+\varepsilon}}{\lambda}\right).$$

It is clear that $\widehat{f_\lambda^\varepsilon}(\xi)$ is supported in the interval $[\lambda^{1+\varepsilon} - \lambda, \lambda^{1+\varepsilon} + \lambda]$, which implies that

$$(3.1) \quad \|f_\lambda^\varepsilon\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \sim \lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)s} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Another key point is to choose a time sequence with an appropriate decay rate. Take $t_n = n^{-\gamma}$ for all $n \geq 1$. For the case $a > 1$, $\gamma = 2(a-1)$ is enough to guarantee the sharp counterexample. Change of variables gives that

$$|S^a f_\lambda^\varepsilon(x, t_n)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} e(\Phi_\lambda^\varepsilon(\xi; x, t_n)) \phi(\xi) d\xi \right|,$$

where

$$\Phi_\lambda^\varepsilon(\xi; x, t_n) = x(\lambda^{1+\varepsilon} + \lambda\xi) + t_n \lambda^{a(1+\varepsilon)} (1 + \lambda^{-\varepsilon}\xi)^a.$$

It follows from Taylor's expansion that

$$(1 + \lambda^{-\varepsilon}\xi)^a = 1 + a\lambda^{-\varepsilon}\xi + \frac{a(a-1)}{2} \lambda^{-2\varepsilon}\xi^2 + O(\lambda^{-3\varepsilon})$$

for all $\xi \in \text{supp}\phi$. Consequently,

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi_\lambda^\varepsilon(\xi; x, t_n) = \lambda^{1+\varepsilon}x + \lambda^{a(1+\varepsilon)}t_n + E_1(\xi; x, t_n, \lambda, \varepsilon) + E_2(\xi; t_n, \lambda, \varepsilon) + E_3(\xi; t_n, \lambda, \varepsilon),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\xi) &= \xi(\lambda x + at_n \lambda^{a(1+\varepsilon)-\varepsilon}), \\ E_2(\xi) &= \frac{a(a-1)}{2} t_n \lambda^{a(1+\varepsilon)-2\varepsilon} \xi^2, \\ E_3(\xi) &= t_n O(\lambda^{a(1+\varepsilon)-3\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the shorthand notation $E_i(\xi)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, for convenience if it does not cause any confusion. Set

$$I_{\lambda, \varepsilon} := [-c_0 \lambda^{-(1-\varepsilon)}, -c_0 \lambda^{-(1-\varepsilon)}/2],$$

where c_0 is a small positive constant to be chosen later. We claim that for any $x \in I_{\lambda, \varepsilon}$, there exists a $n(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad |S^a f_\lambda^\varepsilon(x, t_{n(x, \lambda)})| \geq \frac{1}{4\pi}.$$

Note that by Fourier inverse transform, we have $f(x) = e(\lambda^{1+\varepsilon}x)\check{\phi}(\lambda x)$. Since $\check{\phi}$ is a Schwartz function, we have $|f(x)| \leq C_N \lambda^{-\varepsilon N}$ for any $x \in I_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$. Then, by triangle inequality,

$$(3.4) \quad |S^\alpha f_\lambda^\varepsilon(x, t_{n(x,\lambda)}) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{8\pi},$$

when λ is sufficiently large. Since the first two terms in (3.2) are independent of ξ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |S^\alpha f_\lambda^\varepsilon(x, t_{n(x,\lambda)})| &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} e(E_1(\xi) + E_2(\xi) + E_3(\xi)) \phi(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int \phi(\xi) d\xi \right| - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} (e(E_1(\xi) + E_2(\xi) + E_3(\xi)) - 1) \phi(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \max_{|\xi| \leq 1} |e(E_1(\xi) + E_2(\xi) + E_3(\xi)) - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to get (3.3), it suffices to show that the absolute value of phase functions $E_i(\xi)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, is small for our choices of x and $n(x, \lambda)$. For any $x \in I_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$, we can find a unique $n(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x \in (-at_{n(x,\lambda)}\lambda^{(a-1)(1+\varepsilon)}, -at_{n(x,\lambda)+1}\lambda^{(a-1)(1+\varepsilon)}].$$

Since $|x|$ is small and $a > 1$, some simple computation yields that

$$(3.5) \quad c|x| \leq t_{n(x,\lambda)}\lambda^{(a-1)(1+\varepsilon)} \leq C|x|.$$

Moreover, recall that $t_n = n^{-\gamma}$, we easily obtain that

$$t_{n(x,\lambda)} - t_{n(x,\lambda)+1} \leq C t_{n(x,\lambda)}^\beta, \quad \beta = \frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma} = \frac{2a-1}{2(a-1)}.$$

Then for any $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $x \in I_{\lambda,\varepsilon}$

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1(\xi; x, t_{n(x,\lambda)}, \lambda, \varepsilon)| &\leq a\lambda^{a(1+\varepsilon)-\varepsilon}(t_{n(x,\lambda)} - t_{n(x,\lambda)+1}) \\ &\leq C(\lambda^{a(1+\varepsilon)-\varepsilon} t_{n(x,\lambda)}) t_{n(x,\lambda)}^{1/\gamma} \\ &\leq C\lambda|x| t_{n(x,\lambda)}^{1/\gamma} \\ &\leq c_0 C \lambda^\varepsilon t_{n(x,\lambda)}^{1/\gamma} \\ &\leq c_0 C \lambda^{\varepsilon - (a-1)\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\gamma} - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\varepsilon - (a-1)\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\gamma} - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\gamma} \leq -\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \leq 0$ since $\varepsilon \leq 1$ and $\gamma = 2(a-1)$. Hence $E_1(\xi)$ is sufficiently small provided that c_0 is small enough. Now we give the upper bound for $E_2(\xi)$. Using (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E_2(\xi; t_{n(x,\lambda)}, \lambda, \varepsilon)| &\leq C t_{n(x,\lambda)} \lambda^{a(1+\varepsilon)-2\varepsilon} \\ &\leq C|x|\lambda^{1-\varepsilon} \leq c_0 C. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also obtain

$$E_3(\xi; t_{n(x,\lambda)}, \lambda, \varepsilon) = O(\lambda^{-\varepsilon}).$$

Combining all the estimates for $|E_i(\xi)|$ yields the desired lower bound (3.3).

Notice that (3.5) implies

$$|t_{n(x,\lambda)}| \sim \lambda^{-a(1+\varepsilon)+2\varepsilon}$$

for any $x \in I_{\lambda, \varepsilon}$. Then it follows from (3.4) that

$$|R_\delta^\alpha f_\lambda^\varepsilon(x, t_{n(x, \lambda)})| \gtrsim \lambda^{[a(1+\varepsilon)-2\varepsilon]\delta}, \quad \forall x \in I_{\lambda, \varepsilon}.$$

Combining the above estimate with (3.1), we have

$$\lambda^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-[a(1+\varepsilon)-2\varepsilon]\delta} \lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)s-\frac{1}{2}},$$

according to Proposition 3.1. This clearly implies

$$(3.6) \quad [a(1+\varepsilon)-2\varepsilon]\delta \leq (1+\varepsilon)s - \varepsilon/2,$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ in (3.6) respectively, we get

$$(a-1)\delta + \max\{\delta, \frac{1}{4}\} \leq s,$$

which completes the proof. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4 AND THEOREM 1.6

Now we turn to the case $0 < a < 1$, whose proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1.3. We first prove the sufficient condition. As we did in the proof of Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show the corresponding frequency localized estimate.

Proposition 4.1. *If $0 < a < 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$, then for $k \geq 1$ and $s = \max\{\delta, \frac{1}{4}\} \cdot a$, we have*

$$\left\| \sup_{0 < t < 1} |R_\delta^\alpha f(\cdot, t)| \right\|_{L^2(B)} \leq Ck2^{ks} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

whenever $\text{supp} \hat{f} \subset A(2^k)$. Moreover, the factor k can be removed when $\delta \neq \frac{1}{4}$.

Proof. We can also write

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1} |R_\delta^\alpha f(x, t)| \leq \tilde{I}_1(x) + \tilde{I}_2(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_1(x) &= \sup_{0 < t \leq 2^{-ak}} |R_\delta^\alpha f(x, t)|, \\ \tilde{I}_2(x) &= \sup_{2^{-ak} < t < 1} |R_\delta^\alpha f(x, t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as in the estimate for $I_1(x)$ in Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$\|I_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C2^{ka\delta} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

For the second term $I_2(x)$, we use dyadic decomposition towards interval $(2^{-ak}, 1)$ so that

$$I_2(x) \leq \sup_{1 \leq l \leq ak+1} \sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}, t \in J_l} |R_\delta^\alpha f(x, t)|,$$

where $J_l = [2^{-l}, 2^{-l+1}]$. Again using Lemma 2.4 with $J = J_l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq l \leq ak+1} 2^{l\delta} \left(\left\| \sup_{t \in J_l} |S^a f(\cdot, t)| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq l \leq ak+1} 2^{l\delta} 2^{-\frac{l}{4}} 2^{\frac{ka}{4}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{ks} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, & \text{if } \delta \neq \frac{1}{4}, \\ k2^{ks} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, & \text{if } \delta = \frac{1}{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we consider the necessary condition for the case $0 < a < 1$. The counterexample here is quite similar to that in Theorem 1.3, except for a slight modification of the time sequence.

Proof of negative results in Theorem 1.4. We use the same constructions ϕ and f_λ^ε utilized in the preceding section. Then $\|f_\lambda^\varepsilon\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \sim \lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)s} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Take $t_n = n^{-\gamma}$. Here ε and γ satisfy $\varepsilon(\gamma + 2 - a) < a$. Arguing as before, we get that, for all $x \in I_{\lambda,\varepsilon} := [-c_0\lambda^{-(1-\varepsilon)}, -c_0\lambda^{-(1-\varepsilon)}/2]$, there exists a unique $n(x, \lambda)$ such that

$$x \in (-at_{n(x,\lambda)}\lambda^{(a-1)(1+\varepsilon)}, -at_{n(x,\lambda)+1}\lambda^{(a-1)(1+\varepsilon)}].$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$t_{n(x,\lambda)} \sim |x|\lambda^{(1-a)(1+\varepsilon)} \sim \lambda^{\varepsilon(2-a)-a} \ll 1,$$

and

$$t_{n(x,\lambda)} - t_{n(x,\lambda)+1} \leq Ct_{n(x,\lambda)}^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}.$$

Using the identical notations as before, we have

$$|S^a f_\lambda^\varepsilon(x, t_{n(x,\lambda)})| \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \max_{|\xi| \leq 1} |e(E_1(\xi) + E_2(\xi) + E_3(\xi)) - 1|.$$

It is clear that

$$E_3(\xi; t_{n(x,\lambda)}, \lambda, \varepsilon) = O(\lambda^{-\varepsilon}).$$

By a simple computation, we get

$$|E_1(\xi; x, t_{n(x,\lambda)}, \lambda, \varepsilon)| \leq C\lambda^{a\frac{\varepsilon(\gamma+2-a)-a}{\gamma}} \ll 1,$$

and

$$|E_2(\xi; t_{n(x,\lambda)}, \lambda, \varepsilon)| \leq Ct_{n(x,\lambda)}\lambda^{a(1+\varepsilon)-2\varepsilon} \leq c_0C.$$

Proceeding as in the proof for the case $a > 1$, we have

$$[a(1 + \varepsilon) - 2\varepsilon]\delta \leq (1 + \varepsilon)s - \varepsilon/2,$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{a}{\gamma+2-a}$. Then we get $a\delta \leq s$ if $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, let $\varepsilon \rightarrow \frac{a}{\gamma+2-a}$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 0+$, we obtain another necessary condition $s \geq \frac{a}{4}$. \square

Now we turn to the case $a = 1$. The positive result of Theorem 1.6 follows from Theorem 1.1. It is easy to see that (1.2) for the case $a = 1$ implies

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} S^1 f(x, t) = f(x), \quad a.e. \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

So the failure of almost everywhere pointwise convergence for $S^1 f(x, t)$ yields the failure of (1.2) when $a = 1$. The counterexample for (4.1) when $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was established by [24, Theorem 14.2], [14, Lemma A.2] and [25, Section 3.1]. Thus, it suffices to show the remaining necessary condition $s \leq \delta$. We point out that the following construction of radial function can be used to generalize the negative results of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 to all dimensions $n \geq 1$.

Proof. We only consider the case $n \geq 2$ since the case $n = 1$ is much easier. Choose the same smooth function ϕ as above. Then define the Schwartz function g_λ^ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and λ sufficiently large by its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{g_\lambda^\varepsilon}(\xi) = \lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \phi\left(\frac{|\xi| - \lambda^{1+\varepsilon}}{\lambda}\right).$$

By Plancherel's theorem, it is easy to see

$$(4.2) \quad \|g_\lambda^\varepsilon\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)s} \lambda^{\varepsilon\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now we take the time sequence to be $t_n = n^{-2}$. It remains to show that for all $x \in A(\lambda^{-1+\varepsilon})$, there exists a $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad |R_\delta^1 g_\lambda^\varepsilon(x, t_{n(x)})| \geq C|x|^{-(\frac{n-1}{2}+\delta)} \lambda^{\varepsilon\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

If (4.3) holds, it follows from Proposition 3.1 and (4.2) that

$$\lambda^{-(1-\varepsilon)(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)} \lambda^{\varepsilon\frac{n-1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{\varepsilon\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)s}.$$

By a simple computation, we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)\delta \leq (1+\varepsilon)s - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, we get the desired upper bound $\delta \leq s$.

To get (4.3), we recall the well known asymptotic expansion (see, e.g., [13, p. 580])

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{ix \cdot \theta} d\theta = c_\pm |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\pm i|x|} + O(|x|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda^\varepsilon(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e(x \cdot \xi) \phi\left(\frac{|\xi| - \lambda^{1+\varepsilon}}{\lambda}\right) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \int_0^{+\infty} \phi\left(\frac{r - \lambda^{1+\varepsilon}}{\lambda}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e(x \cdot r\theta) d\theta\right) r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \frac{c_\pm}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_0^{+\infty} r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \phi\left(\frac{r - \lambda^{1+\varepsilon}}{\lambda}\right) e(\pm|x|r) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \int_0^{+\infty} r^{n-1} \phi\left(\frac{r - \lambda^{1+\varepsilon}}{\lambda}\right) O((r|x|)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}) dr \\ &= \frac{c_\pm}{(2\pi)^n} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e(\pm|x|\lambda^{1+\varepsilon}) \int_{-1}^1 (r + \lambda^\varepsilon)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \phi(r) e(\pm\lambda|x|r) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 (r + \lambda^\varepsilon)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \phi(r) O((\lambda^{1+\varepsilon}|x|)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}) dr \\ &= I_+ + I_- + \lambda^{\varepsilon\frac{n-1}{2}} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} O((\lambda^{1+\varepsilon}|x|)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Integration by part yields that

$$I_\pm = \lambda^{\varepsilon\frac{n-1}{2}} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} O((\lambda|x|)^{-1}).$$

Thus, we obtain, as long as $x \in A(\lambda^{-1+\varepsilon})$, that

$$(4.4) \quad |g_\lambda^\varepsilon(x)| \ll \lambda^{\varepsilon\frac{n-1}{2}} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Arguing as in the previous section, there exists a unique $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x| \in [t_{n(x)}, t_{n(x)+1})$$

for all $x \in A(\lambda^{-1+\varepsilon})$. Moreover, we have

$$(4.5) \quad t_{n(x)} \sim |x|,$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad t_{n(x)} - t_{n(x)+1} \leq C|x|^2.$$

Proceeding as in the estimate for $g_\lambda^\varepsilon(x)$, we can write

$$(4.7) \quad S_\delta^1 g_\lambda^\varepsilon(x, t) = \tilde{I}_+ + \tilde{I}_- + \lambda^\varepsilon \frac{n-1}{2} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} O((\lambda^{1+\varepsilon}|x|)^{-1}),$$

where

$$\tilde{I}_\pm = \frac{c_\pm}{(2\pi)^n} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e((t \pm |x|)\lambda^{1+\varepsilon}) \int_{-1}^1 (r + \lambda^\varepsilon)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \phi(r) e(\lambda(t \pm |x|)r) dr.$$

It follows from integration by part that

$$(4.8) \quad |\tilde{I}_+| \leq C\lambda^\varepsilon \frac{n-1}{2} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} (\lambda|x|)^{-1}.$$

Now we estimate the main term \tilde{I}_- . It is clear that

$$|\lambda(t_{n(x)} - |x|)r| \leq \lambda(t_{n(x)} - t_{n(x)+1}) \lesssim \lambda|x|^2 \lesssim \lambda^{-1+2\varepsilon} \ll 1,$$

for all $r \in \text{supp}\phi$ and $x \in A(\lambda^{-1+\varepsilon})$. Applying triangle inequality as before, we have

$$(4.9) \quad |\tilde{I}_-| \gtrsim \lambda^\varepsilon \frac{n-1}{2} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

It is concluded from (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9) that

$$(4.10) \quad |S_\delta^1 g_\lambda^\varepsilon(x, t_{n(x)})| \gtrsim \lambda^\varepsilon \frac{n-1}{2} |x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Finally, we prove (4.3) using (4.5), (4.4) and (4.10), which completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author is supported in part by NSFC12371100 and NSFC12171424. The authors would like to thank Professor Dashan Fan for his helpful discussion.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain. On the Schrödinger maximal function in higher dimension. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 280:53–66, 2013. [1](#)
- [2] J. Bourgain. A note on the Schrödinger maximal function. *J. Anal. Math.*, 130:393–396, 2016. [1](#)
- [3] Jean Bourgain. Some new estimates on oscillatory integrals. In *Essays on Fourier analysis in honor of Elias M. Stein (Princeton, NJ, 1991)*, volume 42 of *Princeton Math. Ser.*, pages 83–112. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995. [1](#)
- [4] Zhenbin Cao, Dashan Fan, and Meng Wang. The rate of convergence on Schrödinger operator. *Illinois J. Math.*, 62(1-4):365–380, 2018. [2](#)
- [5] Lennart Carleson. Some analytic problems related to statistical mechanics. In *Euclidean harmonic analysis (Proc. Sem., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1979)*, volume 779 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 5–45. Springer, Berlin, 1980. [1](#)
- [6] Chu-Hee Cho and Hyerim Ko. Pointwise convergence of the fractional Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^2 . *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 26(1):177–200, 2022. [1](#)
- [7] Michael G. Cowling. Harmonic analysis on semigroups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 117(2):267–283, 1983. [2](#)
- [8] Michael G. Cowling. Pointwise behavior of solutions to Schrödinger equations. In *Harmonic analysis (Cortona, 1982)*, volume 992 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 83–90. Springer, Berlin, 1983. [2](#)

- [9] Björn E. J. Dahlberg and Carlos E. Kenig. A note on the almost everywhere behavior of solutions to the Schrödinger equation. In *Harmonic analysis (Minneapolis, Minn., 1981)*, volume 908 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 205–209. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982. [1](#), [7](#)
- [10] Evangelos Dimou and Andreas Seeger. On pointwise convergence of Schrödinger means. *Mathematika*, 66(2):356–372, 2020. [5](#)
- [11] Xiumin Du, Larry Guth, and Xiaochun Li. A sharp Schrödinger maximal estimate in \mathbb{R}^2 . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 186(2):607–640, 2017. [1](#)
- [12] Xiumin Du and Ruixiang Zhang. Sharp L^2 estimates of the Schrödinger maximal function in higher dimensions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 189(3):837–861, 2019. [1](#)
- [13] Loukas Grafakos. *Classical Fourier analysis*, volume 249 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2014. [11](#)
- [14] Seheon Ham, Hyerim Ko, and Sanghyuk Lee. Dimension of divergence set of the wave equation. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 215:Paper No. 112631, 10, 2022. [10](#)
- [15] Carlos E. Kenig and Alberto Ruiz. A strong type $(2, 2)$ estimate for a maximal operator associated to the Schrödinger equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 280(1):239–246, 1983. [5](#)
- [16] Sanghyuk Lee. On pointwise convergence of the solutions to Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^2 . *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages Art. ID 32597, 21, 2006. [1](#)
- [17] Renato Lucà and Keith M. Rogers. A note on pointwise convergence for the Schrödinger equation. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 166(2):209–218, 2019. [1](#)
- [18] Changxing Miao, Jianwei Yang, and Jiqiang Zheng. An improved maximal inequality for 2D fractional order Schrödinger operators. *Studia Math.*, 230(2):121–165, 2015. [1](#)
- [19] Per Sjölin. Regularity of solutions to the Schrödinger equation. *Duke Math. J.*, 55(3):699–715, 1987. [1](#), [2](#), [5](#)
- [20] Elias M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, volume 43 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. [6](#)
- [21] T. Tao and A. Vargas. A bilinear approach to cone multipliers. II. Applications. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 10(1):216–258, 2000. [1](#)
- [22] Luis Vega. Schrödinger equations: pointwise convergence to the initial data. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 102(4):874–878, 1988. [1](#)
- [23] Björn G. Walther. Maximal estimates for oscillatory integrals with concave phase. In *Harmonic analysis and operator theory (Caracas, 1994)*, volume 189 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 485–495. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. [1](#)
- [24] Björn G. Walther. Some $L^p(L^\infty)$ - and $L^2(L^2)$ -estimates for oscillatory Fourier transforms. In *Analysis of divergence (Orono, ME, 1997)*, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., pages 213–231. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999. [1](#), [10](#)
- [25] Chengbo Wang and Shuijiang Zhao. Pointwise convergence of the solutions to wave equations with potentials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 151(9):3817–3825, 2023. [1](#), [10](#)
- [26] Chunjie Zhang. Pointwise convergence of solutions to Schrödinger type equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 109:180–186, 2014. [1](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310058, P. R. CHINA
Email address: mathdreamcn@zju.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310058, P. R. CHINA
Email address: zhaoshuijiang@zju.edu.cn