

ON THE BOUNDEDNESS OF GENERALIZED INTEGRATION OPERATORS ON HARDY SPACES

N. CHALMOUKIS AND G. NIKOLAIDIS

ABSTRACT. We study the boundedness and compactness properties of the generalized integration operator $T_{g,a}$ when it acts between distinct Hardy spaces in the unit disc of the complex plane. This operator has been introduced in [8] by the first author in connection to a theorem of Cohn about factorization of higher order derivatives of functions in Hardy spaces. We answer in the affirmative a conjecture stated in the same work, therefore giving a complete characterization of the class of symbols g for which the operator is bounded from the Hardy space H^p to H^q , $0 < p, q < \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disc of the complex plane, \mathbb{T} be its boundary and $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ the space of analytic functions defined in \mathbb{D} . The classical Volterra operator is defined as

$$(1) \quad Vf(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

For a fixed $g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$, we can define the following operator on $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$

$$(2) \quad T_g f(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta) g'(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The motivation to study integral operators such as T_g comes partially from the fact that, as g varies in $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$, T_g represents some significant classical operators. For instance, if $g(z) = z$, T_g is the Volterra operator V , while, when $g(z) = -\log(1-z)$, it coincides with the Cesàro summation operator. Originally, the generalized Volterra operator was introduced and studied in the context of the Hardy spaces of the unit disc. The Hardy space H^p is defined as the space of functions $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} < \infty.$$

In particular, Ch. Pommerenke [21], characterized the symbols g for which T_g is bounded on the Hilbert space H^2 . The complete characterisation of symbols for which T_g acts boundedly between different Hardy spaces was given in a series of papers (see [4] and [3]). Subsequently,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30H10; Secondary 30H30,47G10.

Key words and phrases. Hardy Spaces, Volterra type Operators, Integral Operators.

This research project was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (H.F.R.I.) under the ‘2nd Call for H.F.R.I. Research Projects to support Faculty Members & Researchers’ (Project Number: 4662).

the study of such operators on various spaces of analytic functions attracted a lot of attention (see [5], [23], [1], [19]).

In this article we study a further generalization of the integral operator T_g . An inspection of (2) shows that T_g is a primitive of the first term of the derivative of the product fg . Applying the Leibniz rule of differentiation we get

$$(3) \quad (T_g f)^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} f^{(k)} g^{(n-k)}.$$

Now, if we consider an arbitrary n -tuple $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $a \neq \mathbf{0}$, we can define the following operator

$$T_{g,a} f = V^n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k f^{(k)} g^{(n-k)} \right),$$

where V^n is the n -th iterate of the Volterra operator (1). It is then clear by (3) that the generalized Volterra operator is a particular instance of the operator $T_{g,a}$.

The integral operator $T_{g,a}$ was introduced by the first author in [8], in the context of Hardy spaces of the unit disc. Thereafter, J. Du, S. Li, and D. Qu [11] and X. Zhu [26] studied the action of the operators

$$(4) \quad T_g^{n,k} f = V^n (f^{(k)} g^{(n-k)})$$

on weighted Bergman spaces and on $F(p, q, s)$ spaces respectively, which are specific examples of $T_{g,a}$ when a is a standard unit vector of \mathbb{C}^n . Recently, H. Arroussi et al. [6] characterised the Sobolev-Carleson measures for Bergman spaces and consequently characterised the space of symbols g for which $T_{g,a}$ acts boundedly between Bergman spaces.

The motivation for studying the operator $T_{g,a}$, beyond the fact that it generalizes the classical T_g , stems also from the connection of $T_{g,a}$ to a factorization theorem of holomorphic functions by W. Cohn [10] and a theorem of J. Rättyä [22] about higher order linear differential equations with holomorphic coefficients. The interested reader is referred to [8, Theorems 1.5 and 1.6] for more details. For completeness, we state the main result of [8] regarding $T_{g,a}$. In order to do that, we recall the definitions of some spaces of analytic functions.

Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The analytic Lipschitz space Λ_α consists of $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ which are continuous up to the boundary, and its boundary function $f(e^{i\theta})$ is Hölder continuous of order α . An equivalent description, see [12, Theorem 5.1], is that $f \in \Lambda_\alpha$ iff

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_\alpha} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f'(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^{1-\alpha} < \infty.$$

The space λ_α consists of those functions in $f \in \Lambda_\alpha$, such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |f'(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^{1-\alpha} = 0.$$

A comprehensive introduction to this class can be found in [12, Chapter 4]. Also, recall that the space of analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation, $BMOA$ consists of $f \in H^2$, such that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)\|_{H^2} < +\infty,$$

where $\varphi_a(z) = \frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Equivalent descriptions of $BMOA$ can be found for example in [15]. The following is the main theorem from [8]. In what follows, $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Theorem A. *Let $0 < p, q < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $a_0 \neq 0$ and $g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$.*

(i) *When $0 < p < q < \infty$, let $\ell = \max\{k: a_k \neq 0\}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$. Then the following is true.*

(a) *If $\kappa < \alpha \leq \kappa + 1 \leq n - \ell$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is bounded if and only if*

$$g^{(\kappa)} \in \Lambda_{\alpha-\kappa}.$$

(b) *If $\alpha > n - \ell$ and $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is bounded, then $T_{g,a}$ is the zero operator.*

(ii) *When $p = q$, then $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^p$ is bounded if and only if*

$$g \in BMOA.$$

(iii) *When $0 < q < p < \infty$ and $g \in H^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$, then $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is bounded. When $n = 2$, $a = (1, 0)$ and assuming that $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is bounded, then*

$$g \in H^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}.$$

As it is clear, the third part of Theorem A does not give a complete characterisation for the case $0 < q < p < \infty$. Moreover, this result does not offer us any information when $a_0 = 0$. The main scope of this article is to answer completely these questions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 < q < p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ and $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $a \neq \mathbf{0}$.*

(i) *If $a_0 \neq 0$, then $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is bounded, if and only if $g \in H^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$.*

(ii) *If $a_0 = 0$, then $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is bounded, if and only if $g \in BT^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$.*

The space BT^p , $0 < p < \infty$, sometimes called the Bloch tent space, consists of $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$(5) \quad \|f\|_{BT^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |f'(z)|(1 - |z|^2) \right)^p |d\zeta| < \infty.$$

where, $|d\zeta|$ is the normalized arc-length measure on \mathbb{T} and $\Gamma_M(\zeta)$ is the Stolz angle of aperture M centred at ζ , i.e.,

$$\Gamma_M(\zeta) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}: |1 - z\bar{\zeta}| < \frac{M}{2}(1 - |z|^2) \right\}, \quad M > 1, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}.$$

This space of analytic functions has been studied only recently and there is limited literature describing its properties. In fact, the first to study the basic properties of BT^p was A. Perälä in [20]. In addition to other results, he proved that this space can be identified using also higher order derivatives of functions when $p > 1$ [20, Theorem 4]. Additionally, properties such as growth properties of functions in BT^p have been recently studied in [9]. For our purposes, we prove that we can express the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{BT^p}$ using higher order derivatives of functions, extending the result of [20] for $0 < p \leq 1$.

Finally, we finish the study of the integral operator $T_{g,a}$ by characterising the space of symbols g for which the operator $T_{g,a}$ acts compactly between Hardy spaces when $a_0 \neq 0$. Incorporating the known result [8, Theorem 1.1] we arrive at the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 < p, q < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $a_0 \neq 0$ and $g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$.*

(i) *When $0 < p < q < \infty$, let $\ell = \max\{k: a_k \neq 0\}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$. Then the following conditions hold.*

(a) *If $\kappa < \alpha \leq \kappa + 1 < n - \ell$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is compact if and only if*

$$(6) \quad g^{(\kappa)} \in \lambda_{\alpha-\kappa}.$$

(b) *If $\alpha = n - \ell$ and $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is compact, then $T_{g,a}$ is the zero operator.*

(ii) *When $0 < q < p < \infty$, $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is compact whenever it is bounded, i.e*

$$g \in H^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}.$$

Our techniques fall short of characterizing the compactness of $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ when $q < p$ and $a_0 = 0$.

As it is customary, for real valued functions A, B , we write $A \lesssim B$, if there exists a positive constant C (which may be different in each occurrence) independent of the arguments of A, B such that $A \leq CB$. The notation $A \gtrsim B$ can be understood in an analogous manner. If both $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$ hold simultaneously, then we write $A \cong B$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Hyperbolic geometry. We recall some elementary facts from the geometry of the Poincaré disc. Let

$$dA(z) = \frac{dx dy}{\pi}, \quad z = x + iy$$

be the normalised Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} . We use the notation

$$\rho(z, w) = |\varphi_z(w)| = \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{z}w} \right|, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{D}$$

for the *pseudohyperbolic* metric of \mathbb{D} . Moreover,

$$\beta(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \rho(z, w)}{1 - \rho(z, w)}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{D}$$

is the *hyperbolic* metric of \mathbb{D} . The set $D(z, r) = \{w \in \mathbb{D}: \beta(z, w) < r\}$ is the hyperbolic disc, centered at z with radius $r > 0$. For $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$, by applying the Cauchy integral formula and subsequently a sub-mean inequality for hyperbolic discs [13, Lemma 13, p. 66], we have that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $r > 0$, there exists $C = C(n, r) > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$,

$$(7) \quad |f^{(n)}(z)|^p \leq \frac{C}{(1 - |z|^2)^{2+np}} \int_{D(z, r)} |f(w)|^p dA(w).$$

It is well known, see [25, Proposition 4.5], that given $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $r > 0$,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} |1 - \bar{w}a| &\cong |1 - \bar{w}z|, & w \in \mathbb{D}, a \in D(z, r), \\ 1 - |w|^2 &\cong 1 - |z|^2, & w \in D(z, r), \\ A(D(z, r)) &\cong (1 - |z|^2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, the following result, which connects the hyperbolic distance and the region $\Gamma_M(\zeta)$, is crucial in our work.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $M > 0$ and $r > 0$. If $M^* = (M + 1)e^{2r} - 1 > M$ then*

$$\bigcup_{z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} D(z, r) \subset \Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta).$$

For a proof of this result, see [23, Lemma 2.3, p. 992.].

A sequence $\{z_\lambda\}_\lambda \subset \mathbb{D}$ is called r -hyperbolically separated if there exists a constant $r > 0$ such that $\beta(z_k, z_\lambda) \geq r$ for $k \neq \lambda$, while is said to be an (R, r) -lattice in the hyperbolic distance, for $R > r > 0$, if it is $2r$ -separated and

$$\mathbb{D} = \bigcup_k D(z_k, R).$$

When the particular constants are not important we will say simply lattice. Hyperbolically separated sequences have the following useful “finite overlapping” property.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r > 0$ and $Z = \{z_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be a hyperbolically separated sequence. There exists a constant $N = N(r) > 0$ such that for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ there exist at most N hyperbolic discs $D(z_\lambda, r)$ such that $z \in D(z_\lambda, r)$.*

A proof can be found in [25, Lemma 4.8].

2.2. Function spaces. In the following section, we recall some known facts about the spaces of functions we consider, while also prove some auxiliary results we will need later.

Given $0 < p < \infty$, the point evaluation functionals of the derivatives are bounded in the Hardy spaces H^p [12, Lemma p. 36].

That is, for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists a constant $C = C(n, p) > 0$, such that

$$(9) \quad |f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{C}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n + \frac{1}{p}}} \|f\|_{H^p}, \quad \forall f \in H^p, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $T_{g,a}$ is an integral operator, we desire a way to connect the H^p norm with a quantity which involves the derivatives. By a well known result of C. Fefferman and E. Stein [14] and its extension to higher order derivatives by P. Ahern and J. Bruna [2, Theorem 4.2], we have that

$$(10) \quad \|f\|_{H^p}^p \cong \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f^{(k)}(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_M(\zeta)} |f^{(n)}(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{2n-2} dA(z) \right)^{p/2} |d\zeta|.$$

Let $0 < p, q < \infty$. The *tent spaces* T_q^p consist of measurable functions φ defined on \mathbb{D} such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{T_q^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_M(\zeta)} |\varphi(z)|^q dA(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} |d\zeta| \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

When $q = \infty$, we define the tent space in terms of the non-tangential maximal function. Namely, the space T_∞^p consist of measurable functions φ defined on \mathbb{D} such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{T_\infty^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} N_M(\varphi)(\zeta)^p |d\zeta| \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where

$$N_M(\varphi)(\zeta) = \sup_{z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |\varphi(z)|$$

is the non-tangential maximal function.

Remark 2.3. While the quantities $N_M(\varphi)$ and $\int_{\Gamma_M(\zeta)} |\varphi(z)|^q dA(z)$ both depend on the value of M , the spaces T_p^q and T_∞^p do not. This follows for T_∞^p by [14, Lemma 1, p. 166], while for the other values of p, q , it follows from [16, Proposition 1]. Consequently, from now on, we drop the subscript M , where it does not play any role in the arguments.

Proceeding further, we focus our attention to the Bloch tent space. Even though (5) only induces a semi norm on BT^p , it suffices for our needs, since $T_{g+c,a} = T_{g,a}$ for c a constant.

It follows immediately that the Bloch space $\mathcal{B} = BT^\infty$ is contained in $BT^p, p > 0$. In this article, we need to provide a way to identify $f \in BT^p$ functions by a quantity involving higher order derivatives of f . We mention that in [20, Theorem 4], Perälä proves the same result for $p > 1$. However, as his method include duality arguments, it does not extend to $0 < p \leq 1$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < p < \infty$ and $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$. Then $H^p \subseteq BT^p$ and $f \in BT^p$ if and only if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma(\zeta)} |f^{(n)}(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^n \right)^p |d\zeta| < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{BT^p}^p \cong \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |f^{(k)}(0)| + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma(\zeta)} |f^{(n)}(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^n \right)^p |d\zeta|.$$

Proof. Let $M > 1$ and fix a $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. By the means of (7), we have that, for $z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)$,

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{D(z,r)} |f'(w)| dA(w).$$

Hence, using (8), we conclude that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - |z|^2)^n |f^{(n)}(z)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)} \int_{D(z,r)} |f'(w)| dA(w) \\
 &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \int_{D(z,r)} (1 - |w|^2) |f'(w)| dA(w) \\
 &\leq \frac{A(D(z,r))}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \sup_{w \in D(z,r)} |f'(w)| (1 - |w|^2) \\
 &\cong \sup_{w \in D(z,r)} |f'(w)| (1 - |w|^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

For that fixed $r > 0$, Lemma 2.1 implies that there exists a $M^* > M > 1$, such that $D(z,r) \subset \Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)$ for all $z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)$. Hence, for every $z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - |z|^2)^n |f^{(n)}(z)| &\lesssim \sup_{w \in D(z,r)} |f'(w)| (1 - |w|^2) \\
 &\leq \sup_{w \in \Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)} |f'(w)| (1 - |w|^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the independence of the definition of the tent Bloch space from the aperture of the Stolz angle, allows us to conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |f^{(n)}(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^n \right)^p |d\zeta| \lesssim \|f\|_{BT^p}^p.$$

Let now $f \in H^p$. By [15, Theorem 3.1], we have that $f \in T_\infty^p$.

Similarly, we have that

$$(1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| \lesssim \sup_{w \in D(z,r)} |f(w)|.$$

Hence, as above, there exists $M^* > M$ such that for every $z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)$, it holds

$$(1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| \lesssim \sup_{w \in \Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)} |f(w)|.$$

Therefore, we conclude that for every $f \in H^p$, we have that $f \in BT^p$, proving the first part of this proposition. For the final conclusion, fix again an $M > 1$. Without the loss of generality, we assume that

$$f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0.$$

Observe that for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, the Stolz region $\Gamma_M(\zeta)$ is a convex set, containing the point 0. Consequently, if $z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)$, the line segment which connects 0 with z denoted by $[0, z]$, lies

inside $\Gamma_M(\zeta)$. If $z = |z|e^{i\theta}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(n-1)}(z)| &\leq \int_0^{|z|} |f^{(n)}(te^{i\theta})| dt \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in [0, |z|]} |f^{(n)}(\xi)| (1 - |\xi|)^n \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{(1-t)^n} dt \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |f^{(n)}(\xi)| (1 - |\xi|^2)^n \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - |z|)^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |f^{(n-1)}(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^{n-1} \right)^p |d\zeta| \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |f^{(n)}(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^n \right)^p |d\zeta|.$$

An induction on n gives then desired result. \square

Finally, we turn our attention to analytic Lipschitz classes. Once more, we shall need the equivalent description of the functions in these spaces using higher order derivatives. We refer the reader to [24] for a proof.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The following hold;*

(i) *A holomorphic function f belongs to Λ_α if and only*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{n-\alpha} |f^{(n)}(z)| < \infty.$$

(ii) *A holomorphic function f belongs to λ_α if and only*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{n-\alpha} |f^{(n)}(z)| = 0.$$

2.3. Tent sequence spaces. The discrete analogue of tent spaces are the *tent sequence spaces*. Let $Z = \{z_\lambda\}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, be a lattice and $0 < p, q < \infty$. We define the *tent sequence space* $T_q^p(Z)$, consisting of complex sequences $\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda$ such that

$$\|\{c_\lambda\}\|_{T_q^p(Z)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{\lambda: z_\lambda \in \Gamma(\zeta)} |c_\lambda|^q \right)^{p/q} |d\zeta| \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

If $q = \infty$, we define the space $T_\infty^p(Z)$ as the sequence space of $\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda$ satisfying

$$\|\{c_\lambda\}\|_{T_\infty^p(Z)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{\lambda: z_\lambda \in \Gamma(\zeta)} |c_\lambda| \right)^p |d\zeta| \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Such spaces have been used to study derivative embedding problems in Hardy spaces [16]. For our purposes, we mention the factorization and the duality properties of tent sequence spaces that we need.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $Z = \{z_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be a lattice and $0 < p \leq p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and $0 < q \leq q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}.$$

If $\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_{p_1}^{q_1}(Z)$ and $\{t_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_{p_2}^{q_2}(Z)$, then $\{c_\lambda t_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_p^q(Z)$ with

$$\|\{c_\lambda t_\lambda\}_\lambda\|_{T_p^q(Z)} \lesssim \|\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda\|_{T_{p_1}^{q_1}(Z)} \cdot \|\{t_\lambda\}_\lambda\|_{T_{p_2}^{q_2}(Z)}.$$

Conversely, if $\{k_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_p^q(Z)$, then there exist sequences $\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_{p_1}^{q_1}(Z)$ and $\{t_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_{p_2}^{q_2}(Z)$ such that $k_\lambda = c_\lambda t_\lambda$ and

$$\|\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda\|_{T_{p_1}^{q_1}(Z)} \cdot \|\{t_\lambda\}_\lambda\|_{T_{p_2}^{q_2}(Z)} \lesssim \|\{k_\lambda\}_\lambda\|_{T_p^q(Z)}.$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $1 < q < \infty$. The dual of $T_1^q(Z)$ can be identified with the space $T_\infty^{q'}(Z)$, where $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$, under the pairing*

$$\langle c_\lambda, \mu_\lambda \rangle = \sum_\lambda c_\lambda \mu_\lambda (1 - |z_\lambda|),$$

where $\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_1^q(Z)$ and $\{\mu_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_\infty^{q'}(Z)$. In particular,

$$\|\{\mu_\lambda\}_\lambda\|_{T_\infty^{q'}(Z)} \cong \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_\lambda c_\lambda \mu_\lambda (1 - |z_\lambda|) \right| : \|\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda\|_{T_1^q(Z)} = 1 \right\}.$$

See [18, Proposition 6] for a proof of Lemma 2.6 and [16, Proposition 2], (see also [7, Lemma 6]) for a proof of Lemma 2.7. The bridge between the boundedness of $T_{g,a}$ and tent sequence space is the following result, which is a slight modification of [16, Lemma 3], so that we omit its proof. We mention also that this result is proved in [17, Proposition A] for tent spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n .

Lemma 2.8. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $b > \max\{1, 2/p\}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $Z = \{z_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be a lattice. Then the function*

$$S_j[\{c_\lambda\}](z) = \sum_\lambda c_\lambda \left(\frac{1 - |z_\lambda|^2}{1 - |z_\lambda|^j \overline{z_\lambda} z} \right)^b$$

belongs to H^p , whenever $\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_2^p(Z)$ and

$$\|S_j[\{c_\lambda\}]\|_{H^p} \lesssim \|\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda\|_{T_2^p(Z)}.$$

2.4. Some further lemmas. Finally, we present the auxiliary lemmas we shall use in later sections. The first inequality is the well known Banach valued variant of Khinchine's inequality.

Kahane's Inequality. *Define the Rademacher functions r_λ by*

$$r_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t - [t] < \frac{1}{2} \\ -1, & \frac{1}{2} \leq t - [t] < 1. \end{cases}$$

$$r_\lambda(t) = r_0(2^\lambda t) \quad \lambda \geq 1.$$

Also, let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $0 < p < q < \infty$. There exists positive constant $a = a(p, q), b = b(p, q)$ such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$

$$a \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{\lambda=1}^m r_\lambda(t) c_\lambda \right\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{\lambda=1}^m r_\lambda(t) c_\lambda \right\|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq b \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{\lambda=1}^m r_\lambda(t) c_\lambda \right\|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

The next lemma is a simple linear algebra calculation that we require for the necessity part in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and assume that f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} are complex valued functions on the unit disc. Given the system of linear equations*

$$D_j(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |z|^{jk} f_k(z) \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^n}{(1 - |z|^{j+2})^k} \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

then for each $0 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$f_k(z)(1 - |z|)^{n-k} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{jk}(z) D_j(z), \quad 0 < |z| < 1,$$

where b_{jk} are bounded when $\frac{1}{2} < |z| < 1$.

Proof. The proof of the lemma amounts to solving a system of linear equations.

The original assumption is equivalently translated in the following matrix equation;

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{|z|(1+|z|)}{1+|z|+|z|^2} & \dots & \left(\frac{|z|(1+|z|)}{1+|z|+|z|^2} \right)^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \frac{|z|^{n-1}(1+|z|)}{\sum_{k=0}^n |z|^k} & \dots & \left(\frac{|z|^{n-1}(1+|z|)}{\sum_{k=0}^n |z|^k} \right)^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_0(z)(1 - |z|)^n \\ f_1(z)(1 - |z|)^{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-1}(z)(1 - |z|) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_0(z) \\ D_1(z) \\ \vdots \\ D_{n-1}(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix on the left hand side is a Vandermonde matrix, $V = \{x_i^j\}_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$, with

$$x_i = x_i(z) = \frac{|z|^i(1 + |z|)}{\sum_{k=0}^{i+1} |z|^k}.$$

The determinant of V is given by

$$\det(V) = \prod_{0 \leq i < \lambda \leq n-1} (x_i - x_\lambda) \neq 0,$$

when $0 < |z| < 1$. The inverse matrix of V , is given by the equation $V^{-1} = \det(V)^{-1} \text{adj}(V)$, where $\text{adj}(V)$ is the adjoint matrix. We must show that the elements of V^{-1} , as functions of z , stay bounded for $1/2 \leq |z| < 1$. As $\text{adj}(V)$ contains elements which are polynomials of the elements of V , then the elements of $\text{adj}(V)$ are bounded in $\frac{1}{2} \leq |z| < 1$, as $x_i(z)$ are bounded.

To finish the proof, it suffices to show a lower bound for $\det(V)$. In particular, we compute that for $j < \nu$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_\nu(z) - x_j(z)| &= \left| \frac{|z|^\nu(1+|z|)}{\sum_{k=0}^{\nu+1} |z|^k} - \frac{|z|^j(1+|z|)}{\sum_{k=0}^{j+1} |z|^k} \right| \\
 &= |z|^j(1+|z|) \left| \frac{|z|^{\nu-j} \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} |z|^k - \sum_{k=0}^{\nu+1} |z|^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{j+1} |z|^k \sum_{k=0}^{\nu+1} |z|^k} \right| \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\nu+1} |z|^k - |z|^{\nu-j} \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} |z|^k}{(j+2)(\nu+2)} \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{(j+2)(n-1)} \sum_{k=j+2}^{\nu+1} |z|^k \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+j+1} \frac{1}{(j+2)(n-1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, let us recall [8, Lemma 2.3] which we state for completeness of the presentation. Here and subsequently,

$$(\gamma)_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma)_k = (\gamma)_{k-1}(\gamma + k - 1) \quad k \geq 1.$$

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose that f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} are complex valued functions on the unit disc and γ be sufficiently large. If for any $\{z_m\}_m \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $|z_m| \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k(z_m)(\gamma)_k \right| = 0,$$

then,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f_k(z_m)| = 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

To prove Theorem 1.1, we study first the operators $T_g^{n,k}$, we defined in (4). Clearly, the operators $T_{g,a}$ are linear combinations of operators $T_g^{n,k}$. The following result extends the previous result of [8, Theorem 1.3]. For the proofs that will follow in this section, set

$$dA_n(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{2n-2} dA(z) \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $0 < q < p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. If $g \in BT^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$, then $T_g^{n,k}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is bounded and $\|T_g^{n,k}\|_{H^p \rightarrow H^q} \lesssim \|g\|_{BT^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in H^p$. By estimating the Hardy norms of $T_g^{n,k}f$ and f using (10), applying Hölder's inequality, and estimating the BT^p seminorm of g by the means of Proposition 2.4,

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T_g^{n,k} f\|_{H^q}^q &\cong \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} |(T_g^{n,k} f)^{(n)}(z)|^2 dA_n(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} |f^{(k)}(z)|^2 |g^{(n-k)}(z)|^2 d_n A(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma(\zeta)} |g^{(n-k)}(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^{n-k} \right)^q \left(\int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} |f^{(k)}(z)|^2 dA_k(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_{z \in \Gamma(\zeta)} \left(|g^{(n-k)}(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^{n-k} \right)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} |d\zeta| \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} |f^{(k)}(z)|^2 dA_k(z) \right)^{p/2} |d\zeta| \right)^{q/p} \\
 &\cong \|g\|_{BT^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}^q \|f\|_{H^p}^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We mention that the sufficiency of parts (i) and (ii), are easy consequences of [8, Theorem 1.3] and Proposition 3.1 respectively. For the necessity, we start by proving part (ii). Specifically, let $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be an arbitrary n -tuple, $a \neq \mathbf{0}$, and $T_{g,a}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ be bounded. Hence, there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$\|T_{g,a} f\|_{H^q} \leq C \|f\|_{H^p}, \quad \forall f \in H^p.$$

Fix an $r > 0$, $M > 1$ and consider an (R, r) -lattice $Z = \{z_\lambda\}_\lambda$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists an $M^* > M > 1$ such that

$$\bigcup_{\lambda: z_\lambda \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} D(z_\lambda, r) \subset \Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta).$$

Now, taking into account (10), we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f^{(k)}(z) g^{(n-k)}(z) \right|^2 dA_n(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \leq C \|f\|_{H^p}^q, \quad \forall f \in H^p.$$

Set

$$G(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_k (b)_k |w|^{jk} \overline{w}^k g^{(n-k)}(z)}{(1 - |w|^j \overline{w} z)^k} \quad z, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let $\mathcal{W} = \{w_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be a sequence of points such that

- i) $w_\lambda \in \overline{D(z_\lambda, r)}$;
- ii) w_λ is a point where the function $|G(z, z)|(1 - |z|^2)^n$ takes its maximum value in $\overline{D(z_\lambda, r)}$.

\mathcal{W} may not be hyperbolic separated, as the hyperbolic discs $D(z_\lambda, r)$ overlap. However, as Lemma 2.2 implies, we can write it as a union of finitely many (R, r) -lattices. Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that \mathcal{W} is an (R, r) -lattice. Let $b > \max\{1, 2/p\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Consider as test function

$$S_j[\{c_\lambda\}](z) = \sum_\lambda c_\lambda \left(\frac{1 - |w_\lambda|^2}{1 - |w_\lambda|^j \overline{w_\lambda} z} \right)^b, \quad \{c_\lambda\} \in T_2^p(\mathcal{W}).$$

By Lemma 2.8, we have that

$$\|S_j[\{c_\lambda\}]\|_{H^p} \leq C \|\{c_\lambda\}\|_{T_2^p(\mathcal{W})}.$$

Substituting in the place of f the our test function, we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)} \left| \sum_\lambda c_\lambda \left(\frac{1 - |w_\lambda|^2}{1 - |w_\lambda|^j \overline{w_\lambda} z} \right)^b G(z, w_\lambda) \right|^2 dA_n(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \leq C \|c_\lambda\|_{T_2^p(\mathcal{W})}^q,$$

Let $c_\lambda \in T_2^p(\mathcal{W})$ be a sequence with finitely non-zero entries. Replace c_λ in the above formula with $c_\lambda r_\lambda(t)$, where r_λ are the Rademacher variables and integrate the resulting inequality with respect of t in $(0, 1)$. By applying the [Kahane's Inequality](#), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|c_\lambda\|_{T_2^p(\mathcal{W})}^q &\gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)} \left| \sum_\lambda c_\lambda r_\lambda(t) \left(\frac{1 - |w_\lambda|^2}{1 - |w_\lambda|^j \overline{w_\lambda} z} \right)^b G(z, w_\lambda) \right|^2 dA_n(z) \right)^{q/2} dt |d\zeta| \\ &\gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_\lambda c_\lambda r_\lambda(t) \left(\frac{1 - |w_\lambda|^2}{1 - |w_\lambda|^j \overline{w_\lambda} z} \right)^b G(z, w_\lambda) \right|^2 dt dA_n(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \\ (11) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)} \sum_\lambda |c_\lambda|^2 \left(\frac{1 - |w_\lambda|^2}{|1 - |w_\lambda|^j \overline{w_\lambda} z|} \right)^{2b} d\mu_\lambda(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_\lambda(z) = |G(z, w_\lambda)|^2 dA_n(z).$$

The last equality holds, due to the fact that $\{r_\lambda\}_\lambda$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(0, 1)$.

As the implicit constant does not depend on the number of points, by a limiting argument, we conclude that (11) holds also for an arbitrary $\{c_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_2^p(\mathcal{W})$. Using estimates (8), we readily verify that

$$\chi_{D(w_\lambda, R)}(z) \lesssim \frac{1 - |w_\lambda|^2}{|1 - |w_\lambda|^j \overline{w_\lambda} z|} \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where χ denotes the characteristic function. Consequently, we estimate the right hand side of (11),

$$\begin{aligned} \|c_\lambda\|_{T_2^p(\mathcal{W})}^q &\gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)} \sum_{\lambda} |c_\lambda|^2 \left(\frac{1 - |w_\lambda|^2}{|1 - |w_\lambda|^j \bar{w}_\lambda z|} \right)^{2b} d\mu_\lambda(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \\ &\gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{M^*}(\zeta)} \sum_{\lambda} |c_\lambda|^2 \chi_{D(w_\lambda, R)}(z) d\mu_\lambda(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \\ &\gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{\lambda: w_\lambda \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |c_\lambda|^2 \mu_\lambda(D(w_\lambda, R)) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta|. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality comes from the fact that \mathcal{W} is a finite union of $2R$ -hyperbolically separated subsequences.

Let now $\ell > \max\{1, \frac{1}{q}, \frac{p-q}{pq}\}$ and $\{h_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_1^{\frac{pq\ell}{q-p+p\ell q}}(\mathcal{W})$. By Lemma 2.6 and the fact that \mathcal{W} is a finite union of (r, R) -lattices, we can factorize $h_\lambda = \tilde{c}_\lambda t_\lambda$ with $\{\tilde{c}_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_{2^\ell}^{p\ell}(\mathcal{W})$ and $\{t_\lambda\}_\lambda \in T_{\frac{2^\ell}{2^\ell-1}}^{\frac{\ell q}{2^\ell-1}}(\mathcal{W})$, such that

$$\|\{\tilde{c}_\lambda\}\|_{T_{2^\ell}^{p\ell}(\mathcal{W})} \cdot \|\{t_\lambda\}\|_{T_{\frac{2^\ell}{2^\ell-1}}^{\frac{\ell q}{2^\ell-1}}(\mathcal{W})} \lesssim \|\{h_\lambda\}\|_{T_1^{\frac{pq\ell}{q-p+p\ell q}}(\mathcal{W})}.$$

Set $c_\lambda = \tilde{c}_\lambda^\ell$. We estimate the following quantity, using first Fubini's Theorem and then Hölder's inequality twice,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{\lambda} h_\lambda \mu_\lambda^{1/2\ell}(D(w_\lambda, R))(1 - |w_\lambda|) \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{\lambda: z_\lambda \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |t_\lambda \tilde{c}_\lambda \mu_\lambda^{1/2\ell}(D(w_\lambda, R))| |d\zeta| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{\lambda: w_\lambda \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |c_\lambda|^2 \mu_\lambda(D(w_\lambda, R)) \right)^{\frac{1}{2\ell}} \left(\sum_{\lambda: w_\lambda \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |t_\lambda|^{\frac{2\ell}{2\ell-1}} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{2\ell}} |d\zeta| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{\lambda: w_\lambda \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |c_\lambda|^2 \mu_\lambda(D(w_\lambda, R)) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \right)^{\frac{1}{q\ell}} \cdot \|\{t_\lambda\}\|_{T_{\frac{2^\ell}{2^\ell-1}}^{\frac{\ell q}{2^\ell-1}}(\mathcal{W})} \\ &\leq C \|\{\tilde{c}_\lambda\}\|_{T_2^p(\mathcal{W})} \cdot \|\{t_\lambda\}\|_{T_{\frac{2^\ell}{2^\ell-1}}^{\frac{\ell q}{2^\ell-1}}(\mathcal{W})} \lesssim \|\{h_\lambda\}\|_{T_1^{\frac{pq\ell}{q-p+p\ell q}}(\mathcal{W})} \end{aligned}$$

By the duality of tent sequences spaces Lemma 2.7 and Hahn-Banach we conclude that

$$\mu_\lambda^{1/2\ell}(D(w_\lambda, R)) \in T_\infty^{\frac{\ell pq}{p-q}} \iff \mu_\lambda(D(w_\lambda, R)) \in T_\infty^{\frac{pq}{2(p-q)}},$$

which means that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{w_\lambda \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} \int_{D(w_\lambda, R)} |G(z, w_\lambda)|^2 dA_n(z) \right)^{\frac{pq}{2(p-q)}} |d\zeta| < \infty.$$

Using the fact that $|G(z, w_\lambda)|^2$ is subharmonic in the first variable, and estimates (8), we moreover have that

$$(12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{w_\lambda \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |G(w_\lambda, w_\lambda)|(1 - |w_\lambda|^2)^n \right)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} |d\zeta| < \infty.$$

As before, due to Lemma 2.1, we can choose a M_- , with $M > M_- > 1$ such that

$$\bigcup_{\lambda: D(w_\lambda, R) \cap \Gamma_{M_-}(\zeta) \neq \emptyset} D(w_\lambda, R) \subset \Gamma_M(\zeta)$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma_{M_-}(\zeta)} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (b)_k a_k |z|^{jk} \bar{z}^k g^{(n-k)}(z) \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^n}{(1 - |z|^{j+2})^k} \right| \right)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} |d\zeta| = \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma_{M_-}(\zeta)} |G(z, z)|(1 - |z|^2)^n \right)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} |d\zeta| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{w_\lambda \in \Gamma_M(\zeta)} |G(w_\lambda, w_\lambda)|(1 - |w_\lambda|^2)^n \right)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} |d\zeta| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

due to (12). The next step is to use Lemma 2.9 for $f_k(z) = a_k (b)_k \bar{z}^k g^{(n-k)}(z)$. In the notation of Lemma 2.9 we have proved that

$$D_j \in T_\infty^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Therefore, for any k such that $a_k \neq 0$, the function $g^{(n-k)}(z)(1 - |z|)^{n-k}$ in $\frac{1}{2} < |z| < 1$ can be written as a linear combination of products of bounded functions and the functions D_j . Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sup_{z \in \Gamma(\zeta)} |g^{(n-k)}(z)|(1 - |z|)^{n-k} \right)^p |d\zeta| < \infty.$$

Proposition 2.4 now implies that

$$g \in BT^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}.$$

So far, we have proved that if $T_{g,a}$ is bounded, then $g \in BT^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$, which proves part (ii) of the theorem.

To prove part (i), we recall that, since $g \in BT^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$, Proposition 3.1 implies that $T_g^{n,k}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ are bounded for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Therefore, when $a_0 \neq 0$, the boundedness of $T_{g,a}$ implies

the boundedness of $T_g^{n,0}$, since

$$a_0 T_g^{n,0} = T_{g,a} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k T_g^{n,k}.$$

By an application of (10), the boundedness of $T_g^{n,0}$ is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} |f(z)|^2 |g^{(n)}(z)|^2 dA_n(z) \right)^{q/2} |d\zeta| \leq C \|f\|_p^q \quad \forall f \in H^p.$$

However, [17, Theorem 1.2] implies that the above inequality is equivalent to the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} |g^{(n)}(z)|^2 dA_n(z) \right)^{\frac{pq}{2(p-q)}} |d\zeta| < \infty.$$

Finally, using once more (10), we acquire that $g \in H^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$.

□

4. COMPACTNESS OF $T_{g,a}$

The compactness of $T_{g,a}$ relies on the compactness of the Volterra operator V on Hardy spaces and an approximation argument. We recall from [3, Lemma 1] that $V: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is compact, for $0 < p, q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < 1$. Consequently, we prove the following auxiliary proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $0 < p < q < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ fixed and $g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$. Set $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$. If $\kappa < \alpha \leq \kappa + 1 < n - k$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $g^{(\kappa)} \in \lambda_{\alpha-k}$, then $T_g^{n,k}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is compact.*

Proof. We start by proving that if $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < r$ and $p < \frac{1}{r-1}$, then $V^r: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is compact. For, if $r = 1$, then this reduces to [3, Lemma 1]. If $r > 1$, then we write $V^r = VV^{r-1}$ and we verify, by using [3, Theorem 1], that $V^{r-1}: H^p \rightarrow H^s$ is bounded, where $s = \frac{p}{1-(r-1)p}$. Then $\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{q} < 1$ and consequently $V: H^s \rightarrow H^q$ is compact. Hence, the operator V^r is also compact.

Notice then, that for $r = n - k$, our assumption implies that $p < \frac{1}{n-k-1}$ and therefore, $V^{n-k}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is compact. Now, let P be a polynomial. Consecutive integration by parts, one can write $T_P^{n,k}$ in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} T_P^{n,k} &= c_1 V^{n-k} M_{P(n-k)} + c_2 V^{n-k+1} M_{P(n-k+1)} + \cdots + c_k V^n M_{P(n)} \\ &= V^{n-k} (c_1 M_{P(n-k)} + c_2 V M_{P(n-k+1)} + \cdots + c_k V^k M_{P(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{P(j)} f = f \cdot P^{(j)}$ is the pointwise multiplication operator. Moreover, the multiplication operators induced by polynomial symbols are bounded on H^p , and so are the operators V, \dots, V^k . Thus, we have that $T_P^{n,k}$ is compact. Let now $g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying $g^{(\kappa)} \in \lambda_{\alpha-k}$. The polynomials are dense in $\lambda_{\alpha-\kappa}$, (see for example [24, Proposition 2]), hence there exists

a sequence of polynomials $\{P_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|g^{(\kappa)} - P_m\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha-\kappa}} \rightarrow 0$ as m goes to infinity. Consider the polynomials satisfying

$$\begin{cases} G_m^{(\kappa)}(z) = P_m(z), & z \in \mathbb{D} \\ G_m(0) = \dots = G_m^{(\kappa-1)}(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then for the operator norms, we have that

$$\|T_g^{n,k} - T_{G_m}^{n,k}\| = \|T_{g-G_m}^{n,k}\| \leq C \|g^{(\kappa)} - P_m\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha-\kappa}} \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

As $T_g^{n,k}$ is approximated in operator norm by a sequence of compact operators, it is compact. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i)

Assume that $\alpha < n - \ell$ and g satisfies (6). Proposition 2.5 implies this is equivalent to

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1 - |z|)^{n-k} |g^{(n-k)}(z)|}{(1 - |z|)^\alpha} = 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq \ell.$$

Hence, Proposition 4.1 implies that, $T_g^{n,k}$ are simultaneously compact for $0 \leq k \leq \ell$ and consequently $T_{g,a}$ is compact as a sum of compact operators. For the other implication, let $\{\lambda_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\lambda_n| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Use the test functions

$$f_{\lambda_n, \gamma}(z) = \frac{(1 - |\lambda_n|^2)^{\gamma-1/p}}{(1 - \bar{\lambda}_n z)^\gamma} \quad \gamma > 1/p, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

These functions converge uniformly to zero on compact subsets of the unit disc as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and there exists a constant $C = C(\gamma) > 0$ such that $\|f_{\lambda_n, \gamma}\|_{H^p} \leq C$.

So, the growth estimate (9) shows that

$$|T_{g,a}(f_{\lambda_n, \gamma})^{(n)}(\lambda_n)| \lesssim \frac{\|T_{g,a}(f_{\lambda_n})\|_{H^q}}{(1 - |\lambda_n|^2)^{1/q+n}}.$$

The compactness of $T_{g,a}$ implies that $\|T_{g,a}(f_{\lambda_n, \gamma})\|_{H^q} \rightarrow 0$ as n goes to infinity. Computing the n -th derivative of $T_{g,a}$, we verify that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \bar{\lambda}_n^{-k} (\gamma)_k \frac{(1 - |\lambda_n|^2)^{n-k} g^{(n-k)}(\lambda_n)}{(1 - |\lambda_n|^2)^\alpha} \right| = 0.$$

Appealing to Lemma 2.10, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 - |\lambda_n|^2)^{n-\ell} |g^{(n-\ell)}(\lambda_n)|}{(1 - |\lambda_n|^2)^\alpha} = 0$$

which is equivalent to $g^{(\kappa)} \in \lambda_{\alpha-\kappa}$.

For (b), we observe that similar steps as the in the previous part, allow us to conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g^{(n-\ell)}(\lambda_n)| = 0$, which implies that $g^{(n-\ell)} \equiv 0$, hence $T_{g,a}$ is the zero operator. For (ii), let P be a polynomial and $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. As a consequence of [8, Proposition 3.5], $T_P^{n,k}$ acts compactly on H^p , therefore $T_P^{n,k}: H^p \rightarrow H^q$ is compact too. The arguments now follow the same pattern as in (i). Specifically let $g \in H^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$. As polynomials are dense

in Hardy spaces, there exists a sequence of polynomials approximating g in $\|\cdot\|_{H^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}$ and consequently $T_g^{n,k}$ is the limit in operator norm of compact operators, therefore compact. So $T_{g,a}$ is compact, as linear combination of compact operators. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Tanausú Aguilar-Hernández and Petros Galanopoulos. Average radial integrability spaces, tent spaces and integration operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 523(2):127028, 2023.
- [2] Patrick Ahern and Joaquim Bruna. Maximal and area integral characterizations of Hardy-Sobolev spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n . *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 4(1):123–153, 1988.
- [3] Alexandru Aleman and Joseph A Cima. An integral operator on H^p and Hardy’s inequality. *J. Anal. Math.*, 85(1):157–176, 2001.
- [4] Alexandru Aleman and Aristomenis G Siskakis. An integral operator on H^p . *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 28(2):149–158, 1995.
- [5] Alexandru Aleman and Aristomenis G Siskakis. Integration operators on Bergman spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, pages 337–356, 1997.
- [6] Hicham Arroussi, Huijie Liu, Cezhong Tong, and Zicong Yang. A new class of Carleson measures and integral operators on Bergman spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.11692*, 2024.
- [7] Miloš Arsenović. Embedding derivatives of m -harmonic functions into L^p spaces. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, pages 61–76, 1999.
- [8] Nikolaos Chalmoukis. Generalized integration operators on Hardy spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(8):3325–3337, 2020.
- [9] Jiale Chen. Closures of holomorphic tent spaces in weighted Bloch spaces. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 17(6):87, 2023.
- [10] William Cohn. A factorization theorem for the derivative of a function in H^p . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(2):509–517, 1999.
- [11] Juntao Du, Songxiao Li, and Dan Qu. The generalized Volterra integral operator and Toeplitz operator on weighted Bergman spaces. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 19(6):263, 2022.
- [12] Peter L. Duren. *Theory of H^p Spaces*. Academic press, 1970.
- [13] Peter L. Duren and A. Schuster. *Bergman Spaces*. Mathematical surveys and monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [14] Charles Fefferman and Elias M. Stein. H^p spaces of several variables. *Acta Math.*, 129:137–193, 1972.
- [15] John Garnett. *Bounded analytic functions*, volume 236. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [16] Daniel H. Luecking. Embedding derivatives of Hardy spaces into Lebesgue spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3), s3-63(3):595–619, 1991.
- [17] Xiaofen Lv and Jordi Pau. Tent Carleson measures for Hardy spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, page 110459, 2024.
- [18] Santeri Miihkinen, Jordi Pau, Antti Perälä, and Maofa Wang. Volterra type integration operators from Bergman spaces to Hardy spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 279(4):108564, 2020.
- [19] José Peláez and Jouni Rättyä. Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 227(1066), 2014.
- [20] Antti Perälä. Duality of holomorphic Hardy type tent spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.10584*, 2018.
- [21] Christian Pommerenke. Schlichte Funktionen und analytische Funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer Oszillation. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 52(1):591–602, 1977.
- [22] Jouni Rättyä. Linear differential equations with solutions in Hardy spaces. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 52(9):785–795, 2007.
- [23] Zhijian Wu. Area operator on Bergman spaces. *Sci. China Math.*, 49:987–1008, 2006.
- [24] Kehe Zhu. Bloch type spaces of analytic functions. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 23(3):1143–1177, 1993.
- [25] Kehe Zhu. *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*. Mathematical surveys and monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2007.

- [26] Xiangling Zhu. Generalized integration operators from weighted Bergman spaces into general function spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 26(4), 2023.

Email address: nikolaos.chalmoukis@unimib.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITA DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO BICOCCA, VIA ROBERTO COZZI, 55 20125, MILANO, ITALY

Email address: nikolaidg@math.auth.gr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI, 54124, GREECE