Singular Topological Edge States in Locally Resonant Metamaterials

Yeongtae Jang,^{1, *} Seokwoo Kim,^{1, *} Eunho Kim,^{2, 3, [†](#page-7-1)} and Junsuk Rho^{1, 4, 5, 6, [‡](#page-7-2)}

 1 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Pohang University of Science

and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea[‡](#page-7-2)

 2 Division of Mechanical System Engineering, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, 54896, Republic of Korea^{[†](#page-7-1)}

³Department of JBNU-KIST Industry-Academia Convergence Research, Jeonju, 54896, Republic of Korea

⁴Department of Chemical Engineering, Pohang University of Science

and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea

⁵Department of Electrical Engineering, Pohang University of Science

and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea

⁶POSCO-POSTECH-RIST Convergence Research Center for Flat Optics and Metaphotonics, Pohang 37673, Korea

(Dated: August 20, 2024)

Band topology has emerged as a novel tool for material design across various domains, including photonic and phononic systems, and metamaterials. A prominent model for band topology is the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) chain, which reveals topological in-gap states within Bragg-type gaps (BG) formed by periodic modification. Apart from classical BGs, another mechanism for bandgap formation in metamaterials involves strong coupling between local resonances and propagating waves, resulting in a local resonance-induced bandgap (LRG). Previous studies have shown the challenge of topological edge state emergence within the LRG. Here, we reveal that topological edge states can emerge within an LRG by achieving both topological phase and bandgap transitions simultaneously. We describe this using a model of inversion-symmetric extended SSH chains for locally resonant metamaterials. Notably, this topological state can lead to highly localized modes, comparable to a subwavelength unit cell, when it emerges within the LRG. We experimentally demonstrate distinct differences in topologically protected modes—highlighted by wave localization—between the BG and the LRG using locally resonant granule-based metamaterials. Our findings suggest the scope of topological metamaterials may be extended via their bandgap nature.

INTRODUCTION

Band topology, a landmark of 20th-century physics [\[1\]](#page-7-3), has emerged as a novel design strategy for photonic crystals, phononic crystals, and various metamaterials [\[2–](#page-7-4)[4\]](#page-7-5). The core idea of band topology is to characterize the band structure of an infinite bulk system and predict the be-havior of its local boundaries in finite systems [\[5,](#page-7-6) [6\]](#page-7-7). This bulk-boundary correspondence [\[7\]](#page-7-8) has emerged as a new degree of freedom for designing materials with intriguing energy localization features on their corners, edges, and surfaces $[8-19]$ $[8-19]$. What makes this special is that the topological nature of the bulk ensures robustness to the boundaries against perturbation [\[20\]](#page-8-1). This characteristic of topology opens up potential applications in optical, phononic, and mechanical computing [\[3,](#page-7-10) [21,](#page-8-2) [22\]](#page-8-3), energy harvesting [\[22\]](#page-8-3), and signal processing applications, among others [\[23,](#page-8-4) [24\]](#page-8-5).

The topological "in-gap" eigenstates of finite systems are determined by the specific symmetries and bandgap properties (i.e., the imaginary part of the wavevectors) assigned to them. Typically, bandgaps can be categorized into two types according to their underlying physical principles: "Bragg-type" bandgaps (BG) and "local resonance-type" bandgaps (LRG) [Fig. [1\(](#page-1-0)a)]. One of the most fundamental systems in topological band theory is the one-dimensional Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) dimer model [\[25\]](#page-8-6), which exhibits BGs. This system undergoes transitions between different topological phases when the

inter- and intra-particle potentials are manipulated, leading to the emergence of edge states at the boundaries between distinct topologies [Fig. [1\(](#page-1-0)b)].

Apart from the BG, the LRG is a key feature of metamaterials, enabling subwavelength functionalities and characterized by strong wave attenuation [\[26–](#page-8-7)[28\]](#page-8-8). This behavior is explained by solutions involving the imaginary part of the band structures. Indeed, the dispersion character of the local resonant system is similar to that of polaritons—a strong coupling between the propagating waves within the host medium and the resonance of the unit cell [\[29,](#page-8-9) [30\]](#page-8-10). To realize such resonance, diverse design principles have been proposed and successfully applied in the fields of photonics [\[31,](#page-8-11) [32\]](#page-8-12), and phononics [\[26](#page-8-7)[–28\]](#page-8-8).

Building on this background, we asked the following question: Can we achieve nontrivial topological states within LRGs? [Fig. [1\(](#page-1-0)c)]. Since the localization properties of in-gap states rely on the bandgap properties, the topological edge state within the LRG is expected to exhibit stronger localization compared to conventional BGs. Indeed, by combining locally resonant metamaterials with band topology, previous studies have successfully demonstrated the emergence of topological states, ranging from locally resonant 1D SSH models) [\[33](#page-8-13)[–36\]](#page-8-14) to 2D crystalline-based metamaterials [\[19,](#page-8-0) [30,](#page-8-10) [37–](#page-8-15)[40\]](#page-8-16). However, in most of these studies, the role of local resonance has primarily been to lower or tune the frequency of edge states. Therefore, while topological in-gap states

FIG. 1. Singular topological edge state. (a) Classification of two types of bandgaps: Bragg-type gap and local resonancetype gap, based on their underlying physical principles. (b) Conventional Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) chain configured with dimerized hopping (stiffness coefficient). The right is the real part and the left is the imaginary part of the band structures respectively. The topological edge states emerge inside the Bragg-type gap (gray-shaded area). At the bottom is the mode profile of their topological edge states. (c) Our proposed model system of inversion-symmetric extended SSH chains for locally resonant metamaterials, characterized by bi-local resonance frequencies. In this scheme, a topological edge state can emerge inside the strong local resonance gap (red-shaded area), leading to highly localized modes comparable to a subwavelength unit cell.

can achieve subwavelength characteristics, they still depend on BGs. To date, implementing nontrivial topological states within the framework of LRGs has remained challenging. The primary reason is that LRGs exhibit singularities in their gaps where the effective parameters diverge, thus preventing the necessary gap closure for band inversion [\[33\]](#page-8-13).

In this study, we reveal the fundamental mechanism for achieving a topological state in LRGs within subwavelength regimes. Interestingly, the topological in-gap states can be located near singularities in the imaginary part of the wavenumber within the LRGs [see Fig. $1(a)$ $1(a)$]. This demonstrates extreme wave localization characteristics on a scale comparable to a single wavelength unit cell, which we term "singular topological edge states" in this study. The marked differences in wave localization between the BGs and the LRGs were experimentally verified using locally resonant granular-based metamaterials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Extended SSH chain

In what follows, we introduce an extended SSH springmass chain without local resonance [see Fig. $2(a)$ $2(a)$], which is an intermediate model toward our proposed model [Fig. $1(c)$ $1(c)$]. The construction of an extended unit cell by connecting mirror-symmetric mass dimer pairs is related to the preservation of inversion symmetry. The inversion symmetry plays a major role in achieving a nontrivial topology [\[41,](#page-8-17) [42\]](#page-8-18) although chiral symmetry is broken by mass dimerization. In the extended SSH model, each sublattice mass $(m_0^{a(b)})$ is connected by constant stiffness t. By invoking the Bloch-Floquet theory, the equations of motion for our unit cell yield an eigenvalue problem

$$
\left[\mathcal{H}_s(k) + \omega^2 \mathcal{H}_m\right] |\hat{\mathbf{u}}\rangle = 0.
$$
 (1)

Here, \mathcal{H}_m and \mathcal{H}_s are Hermitian matrices composed of mass and spring constants, respectively (see Supplementary Note 1 for details); $|\hat{\mathbf{u}}\rangle = [u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3 \ u_4]^T$ is the displacement vector of each site; k is the wavenumber. The bulk Hamiltonian $(\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_m^{-1} \mathcal{H}_s)$ conserves inversion (\mathcal{R}) symmetry, i.e., $\mathcal{RH}(k)\mathcal{R}^{-1}=\mathcal{H}(-k)$; where the inversion symmetry can be defined by the unitary operator $\mathcal{R} = \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x$. Therefore, since this model exhibits inversion symmetry, we can define \mathbb{Z}_2 topological invariant ν^n as $(-1)^{\nu^n} = \xi_0^n \xi_{\pi}^n = \pm 1$ [\[43\]](#page-8-19), where *n* is the band index and ξ_k is the eigenvalue of the inversion operator at the time-reversal symmetric point of the Brillouin zone.

To characterize the shape of the eigenmodes in terms of symmetry (namely, orbital), we introduce a sublattice inversion operator $\mathcal{R}_s = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x$, switching positions between two sublattices m_0^a and m_0^b . Although the Hamiltonian does not commute with \mathcal{R}_s , this approach can be effective for defining eigenmodes. In Fig. [2\(](#page-2-0)b), we shows the eigenmode profiles of $|s_{\pm}\rangle$ and $|p_{\pm}\rangle$ obtained from our

FIG. 2. Topological phase transition in the inversion-symmetric extended Su-Schrieffer-Hegger chain. (a) Representative mass-modulated (Δm_0) unit cell. (b) The mode profiles for different eigenmodes, characterized by two distinct symmetry operators. $s(p)$ represents the positive (negative) eigenvalues of the sublattice inversion symmetry operator \mathcal{R}_s and $+(-)$ represents the positive (negative) eigenvalue of inversion symmetry R. (c) Parametric band diagram as a function of mass difference $(\Delta m_0 = m_0^b - m_0^a)$. The color index indicates the parity of eigenmodes, which is obtained from the eigenvalues of the inversion operator. (d) The first two s bands, comprise the lower Dirac point (DP1), and the first two p bands, comprising the upper Dirac point (DP2). When Δm_0 becomes positive (negative), the parities between $p(s)$ bands start to mix, which indicates a topological phase transition.

systems. The $s(p)$ represents positive (negative) eigenvalues of \mathcal{R}_s and the +(−) index is the eigenvalue of inversion symmetry. One can find that $s(p)$ orbital has in-phase (out-of-phase) displacement between the a and b sublattices.

Next, we calculate a parametric band diagram as a function of the mass difference $\Delta m_0 = m_0^b - m_0^a$ [see Fig. $2(c)$ $2(c)$. In Fig. $2(d)$, we present each energy band individually. The lower two bands exhibit s−orbital characteristics, while the upper two bands exhibit p−orbital characteristics. The color index indicates the parity of the eigenmodes (blue for even, red for odd), determined by the eigenvalues of the inversion operator. In the parametric band diagram, we observe well-defined Dirac points (DPs) at momentum $k = \pi$ when $\Delta m_0 = 0$. These two DPs (for the lower and upper bands) arise from the degeneracy between each s and p band. Therefore, the topological phase transition, characterized by mixed parity, occurs in each of the s and p bands as Δm_0 deviates from zero. Specifically, when $\Delta m_0 > 0$, the topological phase transition occurs in the lower s bands, and when Δm_0 < 0, it occurs in the upper p bands.

To gain a deeper understanding of the underlying physics of our systems, we employ a perturbative approach to derive the effective Hamiltonian near the DPs. Through a unitary transformation, we can map it to the well-known form of a massive Dirac Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} [\[44\]](#page-8-20) (see Methods for the detailed derivation).

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}(k) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8m_0\omega_0} \begin{bmatrix} -\eta \Delta m_0 \omega_0^2 & it\Delta k\\ -it\Delta k & \eta \Delta m_0 \omega_0^2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8m_0\omega_0} \left(t\Delta k \sigma_y \pm \Delta m_0 \omega_0^2 \sigma_z \right),
$$
\n(2)

where ω_0 is the eigenfrequency at degeneracy, with $\eta =$ ∓ 1 for DPs_{1,2}. In this analogy, the diagonal element of the Hamiltonian—often called the Dirac mass—depends on the sign of Δm_0 and η . For the topological phase, our attention is on the off-diagonal element—Dirac velocity—exhibiting odd behavior in momentum space. This indicates coupling between different parities and is consistent with the results of the parametric band diagram. Hence, despite the breaking of the well-known chiral symmetry, the inversion symmetry achieved through a modulated mass distribution in the unit cell enables the realization of a topologically nontrivial phase.

Locally resonant system

Next, we introduce an internal resonator while maintaining the inversion-symmetric configurations, as shown in Fig. $3(a)$ $3(a)$. This mass-in-mass model effectively represents the subwavelength local resonance behavior of metamaterials [\[28\]](#page-8-8). The internal resonators in the host mass have a coupling constant k_1 and a local mass m_1 . In this scheme, the effective mass of the unit cell, expressed as $m_{\text{eff}}(\omega) = m_0 + m_1 \omega_1^2 / (\omega_1^2 - \omega^2)$, where $\omega_1 = \sqrt{k_1/m_1}$ (see Supplementary Note 2). The effective mass exhibits frequency-dependent behavior, and as the frequency approaches the local resonant frequency, the effective mass diverges.

Specifically, we investigate both *single* and *bi*-local resonance frequency scenarios, while keeping the hopping parameter t and the local stiffness of the resonators k_1 constant. In Fig. $3(b)$ $3(b)$, we show the parametric band diagram for a single frequency case related to γ , with the

FIG. 3. Realization of singular topological edge state in locally resonant metamaterials. (a) Schematic of the locally resonant unit cells for the single-frequency case (top) and the bi-frequency case (bottom), both preserving inversion symmetry. (b) Parametric band diagram in the single-frequency configuration. The four bands below the local resonance gap (LRG) exhibit in-phase motion between the host mass and local mass, while the four bands above the gap exhibit out-of-phase motion. (i.e., simple doubling of the bands). (c)-(d) Spectrum of finite local resonant systems, including bulk modes and topological edge states, as a function of local mass detuning (γ) , where color denotes the localization index. The dotted lines represent the changes in local resonance frequency for each case. (c): In the single-frequency case, the topological edge states always reside within the BGs rather than the LRGs. The type of gap can be identified through the imaginary part of the band structure (not shown here). (d): In the bi-frequency case, the topologically nontrivial bandgaps transition from a BG to an LRG as γ increases (third and fifth bandgap). Further increasing γ leads to a reversion from an LRG back to a BG. (e) Evolution of the topological edge states at specific detuning values of local mass γ , as indicated by the black arrow in (d). When the topologically nontrivial bandgap becomes an LRG, the localization index (IPR) approaches nearly unity.

host mass m_0 denoted as $1+\gamma$ and $1-\gamma$. Introducing local resonators into the sublattice results in an avoided crossing and opens an LRG at ω_1 (see the gray box), which expands the four bands to eight, i.e., doubling the bands. The only difference between the upper four bands and the lower four bands, relative to the LRG, lies in the relative motion between the host mass and the local resonators. Specifically, the lower four bands exhibit inphase motion between the host and local masses, while the upper four bands exhibit out-of-phase motion. Thus, in the four bands of the subwavelength regimes $(\omega < \omega_1)$, we observe a similar mode parities pattern to that of the previous inversion-symmetric SSH chain [Fig. $2(c)$ $2(c)$]. However, the LRG still remains topologically trivial regardless of the value γ because there is no occurrence of a gap-closing and reopening process (i.e., a topological phase transition). This results in a conclusion similar to the subwavelength BGs achieved in many previous studies, while the topological states within LRGs remain unrealized. In Fig. $3(c)$ $3(c)$, we present the spectrum for chains with different topologies when connected, high-

lighting the topological interface modes. The color indicates the wave localization characteristics, which we quantify using the inverse participation ratio (IPR), defined as $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n^4\right) / (\sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n^2)^2$. When all the energy is confined to a single particle, $IPR=1$. As the wave disperses, IPR approaches $1/N$, where N is the total number of particles in the chain. The dotted lines represent the local resonance frequencies. We observe the emergence of topological edge modes within each classical BG, rather than in the LRG.

Moving on, in the bi-frequency case, the unit cell consists of a homogeneous host mass (m_0) with two different types of local masses $(m_1^{a,b})$. This results in the formation of two distinct local resonance frequencies that vary with γ (i.e., $\omega_1^a=1/\sqrt{1+\gamma}$ and $\omega_1^b=1/\sqrt{1-\gamma}$). Fig. [3\(](#page-3-0)d) shows the calculated spectrum for the bi-frequency case. With a gradual variation in γ , an intriguing observation unfolds: local resonance frequencies $(\omega_1^{a,b})$ begin to penetrate into a topologically nontrivial bandgap, accompanied by a notable increase in the wave localization. This indicates that the topological bandgap has transi-

FIG. 4. Topological phase map and localization index map. (a) Boundaries obtained by calculating phase maps in the parametric space of the bi-frequency resonance. This map describes the type of topologically nontrivial bandgap, indicating whether it is a BG or an LRG. The red areas highlight regions where topologically nontrivial bands undergo orbital transitions, i.e., from BG to LRG. (b) Localization index map in the same parametric space of the bi-frequency resonance. This map shows a significant increase in energy localization as the topologically nontrivial bandgap transitions to an LRG, with the red line indicating regions where the IPR equals 1.

tioned from a classical BG to a strong LRG. Indeed, this bandgap transition is verified in the same manner by examining the imaginary part of the band structure derived from an infinite system (not shown here). In addition, this gap transition is accompanied by an orbital transition, i.e., a transformation of the host mass's orbital from p−orbital to s−orbital, and vice versa. This occurs because the relative motion of the host mass changes with respect to the local resonance gap.

In Fig. $3(e)$ $3(e)$, we summarize the mode profiles of specific topological edge states indicated by the arrows in Fig. $3(d)$ $3(d)$. The left and right panels depict the topological edge states within the BG, while the middle panel shows the edge states when the bandgap transitions to a LRG. We observe that singular edge states emerge when the topological bandgap becomes an LRG. This is highlighted by an IPR of almost 1, indicating that most of the energy is confined within a subwavelength unit cell. We attained this outcome by tuning the local resonance frequency. It should be noted that nontrivial topology in 1D systems is largely based on chiral symmetry originating from classical SSH chains. In this framework, tuning local resonance clearly breaks chiral symmetry, resulting in trivial topology. This has, until now, hindered the realization of topological edge states in LRGs. However, we have overcome this challenge by achieving a well-defined nontrivial topology through an inversion-symmetric configuration.

The distinct difference in wave localization between the BG and LRG is explained by the differences in their bandgap mechanisms. The gap properties of BG can be traced back to the work in Ref. [\[45\]](#page-8-21). For an in-gap mode within the BG, the localization (\mathcal{P}) is determined by the ratio of two dimerization parameters, represented as $\mathcal{P} \propto \ln(c_a/c_b)$. In our system, the $c_{a,b}$ can be either mass or stiffness. According to this formula, achieving

highly localized waves—where energy is confined to just a few unit cells—within this BG is impossible because the physical coefficient of $c_{a,b}$ must be either zero or infinite. In contrast to the BG, highly localized waves can be successfully realized within the LRG. According to the discussion in Ref. [\[46\]](#page-8-22), the displacement of the particles within the local resonance gap takes the form U $\propto e^{-\beta x}$, where β is the imaginary part of the wavenumber and x is space. In this formula, if β becomes infinite, most of the energy becomes highly localized to scales comparable to the unit cell. At the local resonance frequency, the imaginary part of the wavenumber can indeed become infinite, and this condition can be achieved by tuning the local resonance frequency.

Topological phase map

We now examine a general framework for understanding how the topological bandgap transitions between a BG and an LRG depending on the local resonance conditions. To characterize the topological phase together with the type of bandgap, we introduce a physical quantity $\Delta \Phi \equiv \Phi_0 - \Phi_\pi$, where Φ_k is sign (u_1/u_3) . This quantity allows us to consider not only the inversion eigenvalue, directly linked to the topological invariant but also the orbital information. Thus, this quantity provides evidence for the bandgap transition. Fig. $4(a)$ $4(a)$ shows the boundary line obtained by calculating $\Delta\Phi$ for the entire band structure in the parametric space of the bifrequency resonance (see Supplementary Note 2. B for details). Within the boundary map obtained from the topological phase, the red areas represent regions where topologically nontrivial bands undergo orbital transitions.

To validate this approach, we calculate the localization index (IPR) by focusing exclusively on topological gap

FIG. 5. Experimental observation of topologically protected singular edge states in a locally resonant granularbased metamaterial. (a) Schematic of the experimental platform for a granule-based metamaterial, featuring two beams of different lengths $(L_a$ and $L_b)$ that preserve an inversion-symmetric configuration. The continuum unit cell (a single beam) is directly mapped to a spring-mass unit cell with multiple internal resonators using an analytical physic-informed discrete element modeling [\[47\]](#page-8-23). (b) Mode shape of bending vibrational modes of a cylindrical element, which exhibits strong coupling to external excitation (i.e., the local resonance effect). (c)-(d) Numerical mode profiles of topological edge states: (c) within the BG and (d) within the LRG. To simplify the visualization of the mode profiles, the angular variation of adjacent cylinders is omitted; the dotted lines represent the actual contact axis. (e)-(f) Measured mode profiles of the topological boundary edge states within the BG and LRG (logarithmic scale of magnitude). The extracted experimental data (red markers) match the results of the eigenanalysis (yellow markers).

states in finite chains, within the same parameter space illustrated in Fig. $4(b)$ $4(b)$. In agreement with the phase map findings, we observe a significant increase in the localization index corresponding to the transition from the BG to the LRG. Specifically, the IPR approaches 1 near the centerline of the LRG regimes, indicating that energy is confined within a single unit cell, i.e., singular edge states. As another approach, the spectral ordering, which can be intuitively examined, is investigated in Supplementary Note 2. C, demonstrating the identical bandgap transition condition.

Experimental realization

The remaining task is to experimentally demonstrate the transition of the topological bandgap from the classical BG to the LRG. This can be achieved by comparing the differences in wave localization of the topological edge states between the BG and LRG. To implement our theoretical framework, we need to map the structural elements of the locally resonant metamaterial onto the proposed discrete spring-mass model. Additionally, achieving tunable local resonance within each unit cell is essential. Moreover, achieving tunable local resonance within a unit cell is crucial.

To that end, we utilize locally resonant granular crystals known as woodpile metamaterials [\[48](#page-8-24)[–50\]](#page-8-25), composed of slender cylindrical beams [see Fig. $5(a)$ $5(a)$]. In this testbed, the interaction potential between neighboring el-

ements is governed by the Hertz contact law [\[51\]](#page-8-26). What distinguishes this system from other locally resonant metamaterials [\[26,](#page-8-7) [28\]](#page-8-8) is that the local resonance originates from the bending vibrational modes of the cylindrical elements themselves [see Fig. [5\(](#page-5-0)b)]. Thus, by adjusting the length of the cylinders, one can easily tune the local resonance frequency according to the relationship of $\omega \propto 1/L^2$ [\[52\]](#page-8-27), where L is the length of the beam. As mentioned earlier, this continuous cylinder can be discretized using the recently developed physics-informed discrete element modeling [\[47\]](#page-8-23). This approach is rooted in analytic continuum beam theory and wave dynamics within periodic structures. Therefore, with the geometry and material properties of the elastic cylindrical elements, the local resonance frequency can be determined and adjusted with analytic accuracy. Detailed mathematical modeling is provided in Supplementary Note 3.

Building on the discretized building blocks, we reapply and analyze the previously explored theoretical framework. Through this approach, we chose the beam lengths L_a and L_b such that the topological edge states emerged in either the BG or LRG (i.e., the presence or absence of gap transition). In addition, through finite element methods (see Method section), we further validate our experimental approach. In Figs. $5(c)-(d)$ $5(c)-(d)$, we first show the numerical results of topological boundary modes and interface modes present in the BG and LRG, respectively. To streamline the representation of the mode profile for topological edge states within the chain, the angular orientation of adjacent cylinders is omitted; the dotted lines indicate the actual contact axis. We observe highly localized modes when edge states occur within the LRG, as opposed to the BG.

In Figs. $5(e)$ $5(e)$ - (f) , we show the experimentally extracted mode shapes (absolute values and logarithmic scale) for the topological boundary modes, alongside the theoretical results. Details on the experimental setup and data acquisition are provided in the Methods section and Supplementary Fig. S7. The exponentially decaying profiles match closely between experiments and theory. We demonstrate that energy localization of topological edge states is significantly greater in the LRG [Fig. $5(f)$ $5(f)$] compared to the BG [Fig. $5(e)$ $5(e)$], with a notable concentration in the initial part of the chain. The modal amplitude of the remaining part of the chain shows noise from the experimental environment (see Supplementary Note 4). More specifically, the localization index (IPR) is calculated as IPR = 0.427 (theory) and 0.476 ± 0.008 (experiment) for the BG, and as $IPR = 0.992$ (theory) and 0.975 ± 0.006 (experiment) for the LRG. In this way, we demonstrate our topological singular edge states, which arise from the transition of the topological bandgap. For the topological interface modes, we experimentally use input signals from the ends of a finite chain to couple with evanescent waves inside the band gap, which allows us to confirm their topological edge state indirectly. Related experimental validation is provided in Supplementary Note 5.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we demonstrate theoretically and experimentally herein the topological singular edge states in locally resonant metamaterials, leading to highly localized modes comparable to a subwavelength unit cell. This singular state is achieved through a topological bandgap transition from a Bragg-type gap, induced by periodic modification, to a local resonance gap driven by strong coupling effects. This bandgap transition can be achieved by tuning the local resonance frequency, which typically breaks chiral symmetry, but our study demonstrates a well-defined nontrivial topology through inversion symmetry. Our findings open up possibilities for the extension of topological metamaterials by leveraging intrinsic bandgap properties, and we anticipate that this topological bandgap transition mechanism could provide insights for higher-dimensional topological systems e.g., highly localized surface states. In addition, we believe our framework can be applied to various physical systems that support material or structural resonances, such as plasmonics, optics, and acoustics, among others.

METHODS

Effective Hamiltonian.—We derive the effective Hamiltonian based on the perturbative approach. When the two types of host mass (m_0^a, m_0^b) become equal, the both lower and higher band gaps are closed and generate two lower and upper one-dimensional Dirac cones at the edge of the Brillouin zone. By the perturbation theory, the effective Hamiltonian of the perturbed system can be constructed by means of a set of eigenvectors that are obtained from the unperturbed Bloch Hamiltonian at the degeneracy point $(k = k_0)$. At $k = k_0$, the generalized eigenvalue problem of an inversion symmetric springmass configuration [Fig. $2(a)$] on the sublattice basis set $\{\psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 \psi_4\}$ is as follows:

$$
\left[\mathcal{H}_s(k_0) + \omega_0^2 \mathcal{H}_m\right] \left|\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k_0}^{\pm}\right\rangle = 0, \tag{3}
$$

where $|\hat{u}_{k_0}^{\pm}\rangle$ is the degenerated eigenvector having the eigenvalue ± 1 for an inversion operator. Note that only \mathcal{H}_s is dependent on Bloch momentum k, but not in the case of \mathcal{H}_m . By introducing the perturbation on \mathcal{H}_m while conserving inversion symmetry, the above eigenvalue equation for the momentum near the degeneracy $(k = k_0 + \Delta k)$ is approximated as

$$
\left[\mathcal{H}_s(k_0 + \Delta k) + \omega^2 \mathcal{H}'_m\right] |\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\rangle = 0 \tag{4}
$$

Here, \mathcal{H}_s can be approximated as

$$
\mathcal{H}_s(k_0 + \Delta k) \approx \mathcal{H}_k(k_0) + \mathcal{H}'_k(\Delta k), \tag{5}
$$

where the first-order approximation is applied as

$$
\mathcal{H}'_s(\Delta k) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_k}{\partial k}|_{k=k_0}\right) \Delta k \n= i \Delta k \left(|\psi_4\rangle \langle \psi_1| - |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_4|\right).
$$
\n(6)

And we write \mathcal{H}'_M as:

$$
\mathcal{H}'_m = m_0^a(|\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1| + |\psi_4\rangle \langle \psi_4|) + m_0^b(|\psi_2\rangle \langle \psi_2| + |\psi_3\rangle \langle \psi_3|)
$$

= $m\mathbb{I} + \frac{\Delta m_0}{2} \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z,$ (7)

where $\Delta m_0 \equiv m_0^a - m_0^b$ and $m_0 \equiv (m_0^a + m_0^b)/2$. In a perturbative limit, we can rewrite the $|\hat{u}_k\rangle$ as the linear combination of degenerated eigenvectors as $|\hat{u}_k\rangle =$ $c_{+}|\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k_0}^{+}\rangle+c_{-}|\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{k_0}^{-}\rangle$. Then, we can rewrite the generalized eigenvalue problem as

$$
\left[\mathcal{H}'_k(\Delta k) + \omega^2 \mathcal{H}'_m - \omega_0^2 \mathcal{H}_m\right] |\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\rangle = 0 \tag{8}
$$

By approximating ω^2 as $\omega_0^2 + 2\Delta\omega\omega_0$, above equation is represented as

$$
\left[\mathcal{H}'_k(\Delta k) + \omega_0^2(\mathcal{H}'_k - \mathcal{H}_m) + 2\omega_0 \Delta \omega \mathcal{H}'_m\right] |\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\rangle = 0 \quad (9)
$$

Using the definition of \mathcal{H}_m and \mathcal{H}'_k , equation becomes

$$
-\frac{1}{2\omega_0 m_0} \left[\mathcal{H}_k'(\Delta k) + \frac{\Delta m_0 \omega_0^2}{2} (\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z)\right] |\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\rangle = \Delta \omega \, |\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_k\rangle \tag{10}
$$

We can transform above equation with the transformation matrix $\mathcal{W} = |\hat{u}^+_{k_0}\rangle \langle \hat{u}^+_{k_0}| + |\hat{u}^-_{k_0}\rangle \langle \hat{u}^-_{k_0}|$. Here, we newly define the two constituent matrices

$$
\mathcal{H}_1 \equiv \mathcal{W}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_k'(\Delta k) \mathcal{W} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} t \Delta k \sigma_y,
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_2 \equiv \mathcal{W}^\dagger \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \mathcal{W} = \eta \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sigma_z,
$$
 (11)

where η is 1 for upper degeneracy and -1 for lower degeneracy; t is spring constant and σ_y is Pauli matrix for y component. The final form of the eigenvalue equation is expressed as follows:

$$
\mathcal{H}\psi = \Delta\omega\psi\tag{12}
$$

where $\psi = \begin{bmatrix} c_+ & c_- \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger}$. Here, the Bloch Hamiltonian becomes a massive Dirac Hamiltonian form represented by:

$$
\mathcal{H} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8m_0\omega_0}(t\Delta k\sigma_y \pm \Delta m_0\omega_0^2\sigma_z)
$$

=
$$
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8m_0\omega_0} \begin{bmatrix} -\eta\Delta m_0\omega_0^2 & it\Delta k \\ -it\Delta k & \eta\Delta m_0\omega_0^2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (13)

Numerical simulations. Three-dimensional eigenfrequency simulations are conducted using the commercial finite element software, COMSOL Multiphysics. In the numerical simulations, 3D linear elasticity is applied to the cylindrical elements. The interaction between neighboring cylinders is modeled as linear springs, with stiffness derived from linearized Hertzian contact (see Supplementary Note 3). Following a methodology akin to the experiment, we utilized a total of 24 cylindrical beams of two different lengths $(L_a$ and $L_b)$ in the chain configuration.

Experiments. Our elastic chain consists of 24 slender cylindrical elements made of fused quartz (Young's modulus $E=72$ GPa, Poisson's ratio $\nu=0.17$, and density $\rho=2187 \ kg/m^3$) with low material damping. This allows us to observe the topological edge states in the cylinder chain with minimal wave dissipation. To validate our predictions, we select two types of cylinder lengths $(L_a \text{ and } L_b)$ using physics-informed discrete element modeling, ensuring the topological edge state emerges in either the BG or LRG. This cylindrical element is orthogonally stacked in an inversion-symmetric configuration, with each cylinder having an identical diameter of 5 mm. For a digital image of the experimental setup, see Supplementary Fig. S7. We then apply a static pre-compression force (18 N) to the chain by placing a free weight, thus restricting the system dynamics to the linear regime under weak excitation amplitude. At one end of the cylindrical chain, a piezo-actuator (PAHL 18/20) locally excites the first cylinder with a frequency sweep signal ranging from 1 to 50 kHz. The particle velocity of each cylinder near the contact point is precisely measured using non-contact laser Doppler vibrometry (LDV), mounted on an automatic linear guide. Reflection tape is affixed near the center of each cylinder to facilitate this measurement. In this way, we scan the velocity profiles for all particles in the chain by moving the LDV. We then obtain mode profiles experimentally by performing Fourier transformations on the temporal velocity profiles of all particles.

- [∗] These authors contributed equally to this work.
- † eunhokim@jbnu.ac.kr
- ‡ jrsho@postech.ac.kr
- [1] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, [Reviews of Modern Physics](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.82.3045) 82[, 3045–3067 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.82.3045)
- [2] L. Lu, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, [Nature Pho](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.248)tonics 8[, 821–829 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.248)
- [3] T. Ozawa, H. M. Price, A. Amo, N. Goldman, M. Hafezi, L. Lu, M. C. Rechtsman, D. Schuster, J. Simon, O. Zilberberg, and I. Carusotto, Reviews of Modern Physics 91, [10.1103/revmodphys.91.015006](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.91.015006) (2019).
- [4] H. Xue, Y. Yang, and B. Zhang, [Nature Reviews Mate](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-022-00465-6)rials 7[, 974–990 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-022-00465-6)
- [5] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, [Reviews of Modern Physics](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.83.1057) 83[, 1057–1110 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.83.1057)
- [6] A. Bansil, H. Lin, and T. Das, Reviews of Modern Physics 88, [10.1103/revmodphys.88.021004](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.88.021004) (2016).
- [7] E. Prodan and H. Schulz-Baldes, [Bulk and Boundary In](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29351-6)[variants for Complex Topological Insulators: From K-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29351-6)[Theory to Physics](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29351-6) (Springer International Publishing, 2016).
- [8] R. Süsstrunk and S. D. Huber, Science 349, 47-50 (2015).
- [9] L. M. Nash, D. Kleckner, A. Read, V. Vitelli, A. M. Turner, and W. T. M. Irvine, [Proceedings of the National](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1507413112) Academy of Sciences 112[, 14495–14500 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1507413112)
- [10] M. Serra-Garcia, V. Peri, R. Süsstrunk, O. R. Bilal, T. Larsen, L. G. Villanueva, and S. D. Huber, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25156) 555[, 342–345 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25156)
- [11] J. Cha, K. W. Kim, and C. Daraio, Nature 564[, 229–233](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0764-0) [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0764-0)
- [12] P. Wang, L. Lu, and K. Bertoldi, Physical Review Letters 115, [10.1103/physrevlett.115.104302](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.115.104302) (2015).
- [13] J. Vila, R. K. Pal, and M. Ruzzene, Physical Review B 96, [10.1103/physrevb.96.134307](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.96.134307) (2017).
- [14] M. Xiao, G. Ma, Z. Yang, P. Sheng, Z. Q. Zhang, and C. T. Chan, Nature Physics 11[, 240–244 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3228)
- [15] C. L. Kane and T. C. Lubensky, [Nature Physics](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2835) 10, [39–45 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2835)
- [16] R. K. Pal and M. Ruzzene, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aa56a2) 19, [025001 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aa56a2)
- [17] S. H. Mousavi, A. B. Khanikaev, and Z. Wang, Nature Communications 6, [10.1038/ncomms9682](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9682) (2015).
- [19] R. Chaunsali, C.-W. Chen, and J. Yang, Physical Review B 97, [10.1103/physrevb.97.054307](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.97.054307) (2018).
- [20] J. K. Asbóth, L. Oroszlány, and A. Pályi, [A Short Course](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25607-8) [on Topological Insulators](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25607-8) (Springer International Publishing, 2016).
- [21] H. Yasuda, P. R. Buskohl, A. Gillman, T. D. Murphey, S. Stepney, R. A. Vaia, and J. R. Raney, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03623-y) 598, [39–48 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03623-y)
- [22] S. D. Huber, Nature Physics 12[, 621–623 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3801)
- [23] F. Zangeneh-Nejad and R. Fleury, Nature Communications 10, [10.1038/s41467-019-10086-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10086-3) (2019).
- [24] H. Pirie, S. Sadhuka, J. Wang, R. Andrei, and J. E. Hoffman, Physical Review Letters 128, [10.1103/phys](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.128.015501)[revlett.128.015501](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.128.015501) (2022).
- [25] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, [Physical](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.42.1698) Review Letters 42[, 1698–1701 \(1979\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.42.1698)
- [26] Z. Liu, X. Zhang, Y. Mao, Y. Y. Zhu, Z. Yang, C. T. Chan, and P. Sheng, Science 289[, 1734–1736 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289.5485.1734)
- [27] S. A. Cummer, J. Christensen, and A. Alù, Nature Reviews Materials 1, [10.1038/natrevmats.2016.1](https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2016.1) (2016).
- [28] G. Ma and P. Sheng, Science Advances 2, [10.1126/sci](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501595)[adv.1501595](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501595) (2016).
- [29] N. Kaina, F. Lemoult, M. Fink, and G. Lerosey, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14678) 525[, 77–81 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14678)
- [30] S. Yves, R. Fleury, T. Berthelot, M. Fink, F. Lemoult, and G. Lerosey, Nature Communications 8, [10.1038/n](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms16023)[comms16023](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms16023) (2017).
- [31] D. R. Smith, J. B. Pendry, and M. C. K. Wiltshire, [Sci](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1096796)ence 305[, 788–792 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1096796)
- [32] N. I. Zheludev and Y. S. Kivshar, [Nature Materials](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3431) 11, [917–924 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3431)
- [33] D. Zhao, M. Xiao, C. W. Ling, C. T. Chan, and K. H. Fung, Physical Review B 98, [10.1103/phys](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.98.014110)[revb.98.014110](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.98.014110) (2018).
- [34] Z.-w. Li, X.-s. Fang, B. Liang, Y. Li, and J.-c. Cheng, Physical Review Applied 14, [10.1103/physrevap](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevapplied.14.054028)[plied.14.054028](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevapplied.14.054028) (2020).
- [35] A. Coutant, V. Achilleos, O. Richoux, G. Theocharis, and V. Pagneux, [The Journal of the Acoustical Society](https://doi.org/10.1121/10.0011550) of America 151[, 3626–3632 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1121/10.0011550)
- [36] D. Zhao, X. Chen, P. Li, and X.-F. Zhu, AIP Advances 11, [10.1063/5.0034811](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0034811) (2021).
- [37] A. Foehr, O. R. Bilal, S. D. Huber, and C. Daraio, Physical Review Letters 120, [10.1103/physrevlett.120.205501](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.120.205501) (2018).
- [38] T. Lee and H. Iizuka, Physical Review B 99, [10.1103/physrevb.99.064305](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.99.064305) (2019).
- [39] L. Fan, Y. Chen, S. An, T. Liu, H. Fan, J. Zhu, and Z. Su, Physical Review Applied 19, [10.1103/physrevap-](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevapplied.19.034065)

[plied.19.034065](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevapplied.19.034065) (2023).

- [40] Z. Zhang, Y. Cheng, X. Liu, and J. Christensen, Physical Review B 99, [10.1103/physrevb.99.224104](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.99.224104) (2019).
- [41] Z.-Q. Jiao, S. Longhi, X.-W. Wang, J. Gao, W.-H. Zhou, Y. Wang, Y.-X. Fu, L. Wang, R.-J. Ren, L.-F. Qiao, and X.-M. Jin, Physical Review Letters 127, [10.1103/phys](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.127.147401)[revlett.127.147401](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.127.147401) (2021).
- [42] S. Longhi, [Optics Letters](https://doi.org/10.1364/ol.43.004639) 43, 4639 (2018).
- [43] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Physical Review B 76, [10.1103/physrevb.76.045302](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.76.045302) (2007).
- [44] [Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A,](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1928.0023) [Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Char](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1928.0023)acter 117[, 610–624 \(1928\).](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1928.0023)
- [45] P. B. Allen, S. Aubin, and R. B. Doak, [American Journal](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.19405) of Physics 68[, 228–231 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.19405)
- [46] H. Huang, C. Sun, and G. Huang, [International Journal](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijengsci.2008.12.007) [of Engineering Science](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijengsci.2008.12.007) 47, 610–617 (2009).
- [47] Y. Jang, E. Kim, J. Yang, and J. Rho, [Applied Mathe](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2023.09.011)[matical Modelling](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2023.09.011) 125, 571–590 (2024).
- [48] C. Chong, M. A. Porter, P. G. Kevrekidis, and C. Daraio, [Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648x/aa7672) 29, 413003 (2017).
- [49] E. Kim, F. Li, C. Chong, G. Theocharis, J. Yang, and P. Kevrekidis, Physical Review Letters 114, [10.1103/physrevlett.114.118002](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.114.118002) (2015).
- [50] E. Kim and J. Yang, [Journal of the Mechanics and](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2014.06.012) [Physics of Solids](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2014.06.012) 71, 33–45 (2014).
- [51] K. L. Johnson, [Contact Mechanics](https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139171731) (Cambridge University Press, 1985).
- [52] D. J. Inman and R. C. Singh, Engineering vibration, Vol. 3 (Prentice Hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1994).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financially supported by the POSCO-POSTECH-RIST Convergence Research Center program funded by POSCO and the National Research Foundation (NRF) grant (RS-2024-00356928) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT) of the Korean government, and the grant (PES4400) from the endowment project of "Development of smart sensor technology for underwater environment monitoring" funded by Korea Research Institute of Ships Ocean engineering (KRISO). E.K. also acknowledges the support of the National Research Foundation grant (NRF-2020R1A2C2013414) and the Commercialization Promotion Agency for R&D Outcomes(COMPA) grant (RS-2023-00304743) funded by the Korean Government (Ministry of Science and ICT, 2023).