
Deformation and breakup of the liquid ligament with various disturbances on the interface in shear flow

 **Hideki Yanaoka (柳岡英樹)** *

Department of Systems Innovation Engineering,
Faculty of Science and Engineering, Iwate University,
4-3-5 Ueda, Morioka, Iwate 020-8551, Japan

Wataru Sakamoto (坂本 亘)

Department of Science and Engineering,
Graduate School of Integrated Arts and Sciences, Iwate University,
4-3-5 Ueda, Morioka, Iwate 020-8551, Japan

May 24, 2024

ABSTRACT

This study performed a numerical analysis of the deformation and breakup of a liquid ligament with various disturbances on the interface in shear flow. The shear flow generates a three-dimensional flow and vortices around the liquid ligament. These vortices promote the movement of the liquid inside the liquid ligament. When the velocity difference of shear flow increases, a nonlinear effect becomes strong, and turbulence with higher wavenumber components than the initial disturbance occurs at the interface. This turbulence accelerates the ligament splitting and increases the number of breakup droplets. Then, the droplet diameters become uniform, and the atomization quality improves. As the wavenumber of the disturbance applied to the interface increases, the liquid moving velocity along the central axis of the liquid ligament increases. As the wavenumber increases, the breakup time of the liquid ligament becomes short. In addition to the initial reference disturbance with a low wavenumber, when turbulence with twice the wavenumber of the reference disturbance is applied to the interface, the interface deformation and the splitting of the liquid ligament are similar to those with the single reference disturbance. When turbulence with four times the wavenumber is added to the initial disturbance with the low wavenumber at the interface, the deformation of the liquid ligament is accelerated, and the liquid ligament splits faster. In addition, the total surface area of the liquid increases because the number of breakup droplets increases. The droplet diameter becomes uniform, and therefore, the atomization quality of the liquid ligament is improved.

Keywords Ligament, Droplet, Deformation, Breakup, Shear flow, Vortex, Wavenumber, Disturbance, Numerical simulation

1 INTRODUCTION

Liquid atomization technology is used in various fields, including industry, agriculture, and medicine. In the industrial field, atomization equipment is applied in internal combustion engines, spray coating, spray drying, and powder production. For automobile engines, atomization technology is utilized in fuel injectors. Therefore, further clarification of atomization characteristics is required to improve engine thermal efficiency and achieve cleaner exhaust gas.

*Email address for correspondence: yanaoka@iwate-u.ac.jp

In the general atomization process, as the ejected liquid in the form of a liquid sheet or column is destabilized by the shearing of gas and liquid, a fine-scale liquid column, called a ligament, is generated, and the liquid eventually splits into small-scale droplets (Hashimoto, 1995). Numerous studies have been reported on the destabilization of liquid films, liquid columns, and droplets (Squire, 1953; O'Rourke and Amsden, 1987; Li and Tankin, 1991; Li et al., 2000; Kourmatzis and Masri, 2014; Fernandez et al., 2009; Shinjo and Umemura, 2010; Li et al., 2011; Odier et al., 2015; Gong et al., 2015). As spray consists of many small-scale droplets, it is considered that the behavior and splitting of liquid ligaments that generate droplets significantly affect the spray characteristics. However, the destabilization and breakup of liquid ligaments have not been elucidated in detail, and therefore, further research focusing on the behavior of liquid ligaments is necessary.

Marmottant and Villermaux (2004a) experimentally investigated the splitting behavior of liquid ligaments formed by pulling up a circular tube immersed in liquid and stretching the liquid. It has been clarified from this investigation that the ligament breakup time depends on the diameter of the tube, not on the speed with which the liquid is pulled up. Bizjan et al. (2014) conducted experiments on the breakup of liquid ligaments formed by centrifugal force using a rotating disk. As a result, it has been clarified that the Weber number, Ohnesorge number, and liquid volumetric flow rate greatly influence the behavior and splitting length of the liquid ligament. In addition to the above studies, experimental (Henderson et al., 2000) and numerical (Dumouchel et al., 2015; Zhang and Yuan, 2022) studies on liquid ligaments have been reported. These studies did not focus on the deformation of liquid due to gas–liquid shear velocity and did not investigate the effect of surrounding airflows on the behavior of liquid ligaments. Constante-Amores et al. (2021) captured a ligament around a liquid jet interface with direct numerical simulation. The ligament detached from the interface and formed droplets. In this manner, fine-scale liquids such as ligaments split in atomization processes. Therefore, the breakup characteristics of ligaments should be investigated to clarify spray characteristics. The authors (Yanaoka and Nakayama, 2022) performed a three-dimensional analysis of the destabilization and splitting of a liquid ligament in shear flow. The breakup time of the ligament in the shear flow became shorter as the wavenumber of initial disturbance increased, and the diameter of the formed droplet became small. As the velocity difference of the shear flow increased, the dispersion of the droplet diameter decreased, and the droplet size became uniform.

Airflows exist around liquids injected from injectors. As liquid ligaments are destabilized by gas–liquid shear velocity, it is necessary to investigate the effect of shear flow on the deformation and splitting of liquid ligaments. In addition, both air and liquid flows are turbulent in high-speed jets. In such a turbulent flow field, the velocity turbulence intensity increases around the gas–liquid interface. At this time, disturbances with various wavenumber components occur at the interface, and it is considered that these turbulences promote the deformation and splitting of liquid ligaments. However, it is difficult to experimentally investigate the effects of various turbulences on interface deformation, focusing on fine-scale liquid ligaments generated in a turbulent flow. Therefore, the influences of wide-ranging wavenumber disturbances on interface deformation have not been fully elucidated. Thus, it is necessary to investigate the effects of various disturbances on the behavior of liquid ligaments.

From the above viewpoints, to clarify the behavior of a liquid ligament in turbulent flow, we perform a numerical analysis of a ligament in shear flow and investigate the effects of the airflow velocity and disturbances with various wavenumbers at the interface on the deformation and breakup of the liquid ligament.

2 NUMERICAL PROCEDURES

This study considers incompressible viscous flow. We apply the level set method (Sussman et al., 1994) to track the interface. In addition, the continuum surface force method (Brackbill et al., 1992) is used to evaluate surface tension, which is treated as a body force. The governing equations for incompressible two-phase flow are the continuity equation, the Navier-Stokes equation, the advection equation for the level set function, and the reinitialization equation, which are given as follows:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left[-\nabla p + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla \cdot (2\mu \mathbf{D}) + \frac{1}{We} \kappa \hat{\mathbf{n}} \delta_s \right], \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \phi = S(\phi_0), \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{V} = S(\phi_0) \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|}, \quad (5)$$

$$S(\phi_0) = \frac{\phi_0}{\sqrt{\phi_0^2 + \epsilon^2}}, \quad (6)$$

where t is the time, $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$ is the velocity vector at the coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$, ρ is the density, p is the pressure, μ is the viscosity coefficient, and \mathbf{D} is the strain rate tensor. κ is the interface curvature, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the unit normal vector at the interface, δ_s is the delta function, and ϕ is the level set function. τ is a pseudo time for reinitialization, and ϕ_0 is the level set function before reinitialization. The variables in the fundamental equations are non-dimensionalized as follows using the reference values of length L_{ref} , velocity U_{ref} , density ρ_{ref} , and viscosity coefficient μ_{ref} :

$$t^* = \frac{U_{\text{ref}} t}{L_{\text{ref}}}, \quad \mathbf{x}^* = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{L_{\text{ref}}}, \quad \mathbf{u}^* = \frac{\mathbf{u}}{U_{\text{ref}}}, \quad p^* = \frac{p}{\rho U_{\text{ref}}^2}, \quad \phi^* = \frac{\phi}{L_{\text{ref}}},$$

$$\rho^* = \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{ref}}}, \quad \mu^* = \frac{\mu}{\mu_{\text{ref}}}, \quad (7)$$

where the superscript $*$ represents a dimensionless variable and is omitted in the above governing equations. As dimensionless parameters in these equations, $Re = U_{\text{ref}} L_{\text{ref}} / \nu$ is the Reynolds number, $We = \rho_{\text{ref}} L_{\text{ref}} U_{\text{ref}}^2 / \sigma$ is the Weber number, and σ is the surface tension coefficient. The strain rate tensor is defined as

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T]. \quad (8)$$

The density and viscosity coefficients for the gas–liquid two-phase flow are expressed as

$$\rho = \rho_g + (\rho_l - \rho_g)H(\phi), \quad \mu = \mu_g + (\mu_l - \mu_g)H(\phi), \quad (9)$$

where the subscripts l and g represent liquid and gas, respectively. $H(\phi)$ is the Heaviside function and is given as

$$H(\phi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi > \epsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } \phi < -\epsilon, \\ \frac{\phi + \epsilon}{2\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi\phi}{\epsilon}\right) & \text{if } |\phi| \leq \epsilon. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

The gas–liquid interface is represented as a transition region with a thickness of 2ϵ , where ϵ is set to 1.0 – 2.0 times the grid width. The interface curvature and the unit normal vector are expressed as

$$\kappa = -\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|}. \quad (12)$$

The delta function at the interface is obtained as the gradient of the Heaviside function as follows:

$$\delta_s = \nabla_\phi H(\phi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\phi| > \epsilon, \\ \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \left[1 + \cos\left(\frac{\pi\phi}{\epsilon}\right) \right] & \text{if } |\phi| \leq \epsilon. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

The numerical method is almost the same as the previous research (Yanaoka and Nakayama, 2022), but the time discretization is different. The simplified marker and cell method (Amsden and Harlow, 1970) is used to solve Eqs. (1) and (2). The implicit midpoint rule is used to discretize the time derivatives, and time marching is performed. The second-order central difference scheme is used for the discretization of the space derivatives. Similar to existing studies (Yanaoka and Inafune, 2023; Yanaoka, 2023), we used a simultaneous relaxation method for velocity and pressure. For the discretization of Eqs. (3) and (4), the implicit midpoint rule is applied for the time derivatives, and the fifth-order weighted essentially non-oscillatory (WENO) scheme (Jiang and Peng, 2000) is applied for the space derivatives. The existing research (Yanaoka and Nakayama, 2022) used the explicit method for the time derivatives in Eqs. (3) and (4), but considering the calculation efficiency, the time discretization was changed to the implicit method. In preparation for large-scale calculations to be performed in the future, we have improved the numerical method.

3 CALCULATION CONDITIONS

3.1 Instability analysis of a liquid ligament in a stationary fluid

Figure 1 shows the flow configuration and coordinate system. We first analyze the instability of the interface of a liquid ligament in stationary fluid to verify the validity of the present numerical method. The validity of the computational method has been verified in existing research (Yanaoka and Nakayama, 2022), but as the time discretization




Figure 1: Flow configuration and coordinate system for ligament in shear flow.

has been changed, the calculation accuracy is confirmed again. In this analysis, we deal with a liquid ligament without distortion. The origin is placed on the central axis of a ligament with diameter d . The horizontal direction is the x -axis, the vertical direction is the y -axis, and the central axis of the ligament is the z -axis.

The calculation region is $10d$ in the x -direction, $8d$ in the y -direction, and λ in the z -direction. The setting of this computational domain was adapted to the analysis of liquid ligaments in shear flow, which will be described later. Herein, λ is the wavenumber of an initial disturbance on the ligament interface. To confirm the dependence of the grid on calculation results, we use three grids of $103 \times 87 \times 41$ (grid1), $159 \times 141 \times 81$ (grid2), and $209 \times 197 \times 101$ (grid3). The minimum grid widths of three grids are $0.08d$, $0.04d$, and $0.02d$, respectively. As will be described later, when we compared the growth rate of the liquid ligament interface using three grids, we found that reasonable results could be obtained with the grid resolution of grid2.

As an initial condition in this calculation, similar to the previous study (Yanaoka and Nakayama, 2022), a disturbance with wavelength λ and initial amplitude η_0 is given to the interface as follows:

$$\eta = \eta_0 \cos(2\pi z/\lambda) = \eta_0 \cos(kz), \quad (14)$$

where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wavenumber. The interface of the stationary ligament interface grows due to the influence of this disturbance. For the boundary conditions of the velocity and level set function, periodic boundary conditions are given at all boundaries.

To examine the effect of the initial amplitude η_0 on the growth of the interface, the results obtained using three amplitudes ($\eta_0/d = 0.01$, 0.001 , and 0.0001) were compared. There was no difference in the results for $\eta_0/d \leq 0.01$. It was found that $\eta_0/d = 0.01$ was sufficiently small. Therefore, the results obtained using $\eta_0/d = 0.01$ are shown below.

The liquid is a light oil and the surrounding gas is air. The densities of the liquid and gas are $\rho_l = 851 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and $\rho_g = 1.20 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and the viscosity coefficients are $\mu_l = 2.225 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa s}$ and $\mu_g = 1.827 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Pa s}$, respectively. The surface tension coefficient is $\sigma = 2.44 \times 10^{-2} \text{ N/m}$. The reference values used in the calculation are $L_{\text{ref}} = d$, $U_{\text{ref}} = \sqrt{\sigma/(\rho_l d)}$, $\rho_{\text{ref}} = \rho_l$, and $\mu_{\text{ref}} = \mu_l$. The time intervals used in the calculation are $\Delta t/(d/U_{\text{ref}}) = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$, 5.0×10^{-4} , and 2.5×10^{-4} for grid1, grid2, and grid3, respectively. The time interval was set so that the Courant number was smaller than one.

To verify the validity of this calculation result, we varied the wavenumber k of the initial disturbance given to the interface and compared the growth rate of the interface with the theoretical value (Weber, 1931) of a liquid column at each wavenumber. The interface growth rate ω for the liquid column in a stationary viscous fluid is defined by linear theory as follows:

$$\omega^2 + \frac{3\mu_l(ka)^2}{\rho_l a^2} \omega = \frac{\sigma(ka)^2}{2\rho_l a^3} [1 - (ka)^2], \quad (15)$$

where a is the radius of the liquid column, and ka is the dimensionless wavenumber. The growth rate ω is made dimensionless as $\Omega = \omega/\sqrt{\sigma/(\rho_l a^3)}$. In this calculation, we set $ka = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$, and 0.9 .

3.2 Analysis of the deformation of liquid ligament interface in shear flow

Next, we investigate the effect of shear flow on the deformation of a liquid ligament. As shown in Fig. 1, the gas flows in the positive and negative directions of the x -axis at the velocity U_g on the lower and upper sides of the liquid ligament, respectively. The velocity difference between the upper and lower boundaries is $\Delta U = 2U_g$. In a liquid jet ejected from a nozzle, the direction of the shear velocity between gas and liquid is parallel to the central axis of a liquid column. On the other hand, in the model targeted by this study, the two directions are orthogonal, and therefore, we consider that the interface is likely to be deformed. We assumed a situation in which a ligament breaks up from the interface of a liquid jet or a liquid sheet, and the ligament is exposed to airflow. Such ligaments are present in non-uniform shear flows rather than uniform flows. Therefore, this study analyzes the behavior of a ligament in a shear flow.

As for the calculation region, as the liquid ligament is elongated in the x -direction due to the shear flow, the wide area in the x -direction is set. The region is $10d$, $8d$, and λ in the x -, y -, and z -directions, respectively. The grid used for the calculations is the same as in Subsection 3.1.

As the initial condition in this calculation, the following velocity is applied to the gas, as in the previous study (Yanaoka and Nakayama, 2022):

$$u = -U_g \tanh(y/\delta), \quad (16)$$

where δ is a parameter related to the velocity boundary layer thickness. In this study, we set $\delta/d = 0.5$ and set the velocity boundary layer thickness to about the radius of the ligament so that the entire ligament is in an airflow with a velocity gradient. In addition, as the liquid flow in the high-speed flow field is turbulent, the formed liquid ligaments are not straight but curved (Marmottant and Villermaux, 2004b; Wahono et al., 2007). To model such a deformed liquid ligament, in this calculation, the position Y_c of the central axis of the liquid ligament is changed in the y -direction as shown in the following equation:

$$Y_c = A \cos(2\pi z/\lambda) = A \cos(kz), \quad (17)$$

where A is a fluctuation amplitude. We set $A/d = 0.1$ so that the liquid ligament exists approximately in the velocity boundary layer. On the interface of this curved liquid ligament, we give the initial disturbance of Eq. (14).

Regarding the boundary conditions for the velocity and level set function, periodic boundary conditions are given in the x - and z -directions. At the upper and lower boundaries in the y -direction, uniform flow velocities $-U_g$ and U_g are given, respectively, and the level set function is extrapolated.

The physical properties and the reference values are the same as those described in Subsection 3.1. In our calculation, as in the previous study (Yanaoka and Nakayama, 2022), the ligament diameter is determined by back calculation from the droplet diameter using linear theory (Rayleigh, 1878). The diameter of a droplet formed by an atomizer depends on several factors, such as the nozzle diameter, injection pressure, and nozzle shape, but is generally about $1.0 \times 10^{-5} - 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ m (Tamaki et al., 2001; Lee and Park, 2002; Liu et al., 2006; Suh et al., 2007; Suh and Lee, 2008; Kim and Lee, 2008). In the linear theory (Rayleigh, 1878), the relationship between the diameter d of the liquid column and the diameter d_{drop} of the droplet is expressed as $d_{\text{drop}} = 1.89d$. From this, the ligament diameter is $d = 5.3 \times 10^{-6} - 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ m. In this calculation, the ligament diameter is set to $d = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ m.

The airflow velocity difference in this calculation is $\Delta U = 10, 20$, and 30 m/s, referring to a study (Zha et al., 2015) on the airflow behavior inside the cylinder of a diesel engine. The dimensionless velocity difference $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}}$ at this time is $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9, 11.9$, and 17.8 . The time intervals used in the calculation are $\Delta t/(d/U_{\text{ref}}) = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}, 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$, and 2.5×10^{-4} for grid1, grid2, and grid3, respectively. In this analysis, we show the results for the condition of wavenumber $ka = 0.7$, which gives the fastest growth rate for a ligament in a stationary fluid.

3.3 Analysis of the effects of disturbance with various wavenumber components on the deformation and breakup of liquid ligament

In a turbulent flow field, disturbance with various wavenumber components occurs at the interface of a liquid ligament. In this analysis, we investigate the effects of varied disturbances generated at the interface of a liquid ligament in shear flow on the deformation and splitting of the liquid ligament. The flow field and coordinate system are the same as Subsection 3.2.

The computational domain is the same as the analysis in Subsection 3.2. We confirmed the grid dependence on calculation results using the same three grids as in Subsection 3.1. As a result, we found that valid results could be obtained with the grid resolution of grid2.

To model disturbance with various wavenumber components, we combine higher wavenumber disturbances with a reference fluctuation defined by Eq. (14). The initial disturbance is given to the interface of the liquid ligament




Figure 2: Time variations of amplitudes and growth rates for various wave numbers: (a) amplitude (grid2) and (b) growth rate.

curved using Eq.(17) as follows:

$$\eta = \eta_h \cos(2\pi z/\lambda_h) + \eta_0 \cos(2\pi z/\lambda) = \eta_h \cos(k_h z) + \eta_0 \cos(k z), \quad (18)$$

where η_h , λ_h , and k_h represent the amplitude, wavelength, and wavenumber of the high wavenumber component disturbance, respectively. The amplitude and wavenumber ratios of the high wavenumber component to the reference disturbance are defined as $\eta_r = \eta_h/\eta_0$ and $k_r = k_h/k$, respectively. When we give a single reference disturbance component to the liquid ligament interface, we set $\eta_r = 0$ and $k_r = 0$. Under this condition, we vary the wavenumber as $ka = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$, and 0.9 . We investigated the effects of η_r and k_r on the deformation of the interface and found that $\eta_r = 0.9$ had the greatest effect. In addition, when the wavenumbers of the high wavenumber disturbance were two and four times the wavenumbers of the low wavenumber disturbance, i.e., $k_r = 2.0$ and 4.0 , the effect of the high wavenumber disturbance appeared. In this calculation, we vary the wavenumber ratio as $k_r = 2.0$ and 4.0 under $\eta_r = 0.9$.

Calculation conditions for initial conditions, boundary conditions, physical property values, reference values, and time steps are the same as in Subsection 3.2. In this calculation, we use the velocity difference $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$ where the effect of shear velocity is the strongest. In the results shown below, the dimensionless time when the sampling starts is $T = 0$.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Instability analysis of liquid ligament in a stationary fluid

First, we verify the validity of this numerical method by comparing the growth rate of the liquid ligament interface with the theoretical value (Weber, 1931). Figure 2(a) shows the time variation of the amplitude of the liquid ligament interface at each dimensionless wavenumber ka . The amplitude is the mean value of the amplitudes at $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and 1.0 . This result was obtained using grid2, and the same result was obtained with other grids. The amplitude of the interface increases exponentially with time. The interface amplitude grows fastest at $ka = 0.7$ and slowest at $ka = 0.9$.

Figure 2(b) shows the dimensionless growth rate Ω in each grid compared with the theoretical value (Weber, 1931). At all wavenumbers, the calculated results agree well with the theoretical values.

4.2 Analysis of the deformation of liquid ligament interface in shear flow

Next, we investigate the effect of shear flow on the deformation of the liquid ligament interface. Figure 3 shows the time variation of the flow field and liquid ligament for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 11.9$ and $ka = 0.7$. The velocity vector is the distribution in the x - y cross-section at $z/\lambda = 0.01$. At $T = 0 - 3$, the parts of $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and $z/\lambda = 0$ in the ligament are stretched by the shear flow in the positive and negative directions of the x -axis, respectively. Around the interface of the liquid ligament, the airflow flows along the interface, so the liquid ligament rotates counterclockwise with time. At $T = 0.9$, we can see that the cross-sections at $z/\lambda = 0$ and 0.5 in the liquid ligament become large.




Figure 3: Time variations of velocity vectors at $z/\lambda = 0.01$ and ligament interface: (a) $T = 0$, (b) $T = 0.1$, (c) $T = 0.3$, (d) $T = 0.5$, (e) $T = 0.7$, and (f) $T = 0.9$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 11.9$, $ka = 0.7$.

Figure 4 shows the interface and velocity vectors in the x - y cross-section at $T = 0 - 0.3$. A solid black line indicates the interface. The left and right figures are the results at $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and 1.0 , respectively. At $T = 0$, the liquid ligament is curved like a cosine function in the z -direction, and therefore, the lower interface ($y/d < 0$) of $z/\lambda = 0.5$ is exposed to faster airflow than the velocity near the upper interface ($y/d > 0$). As a result, the liquid inside the liquid ligament is further accelerated, and at $T = 0.1$, the liquid velocity around $(x/d, y/d) = (0.5, -0.5)$ is faster than that around $(x/d, y/d) = (-0.5, 0.25)$. Similarly, in $z/\lambda = 1.0$ at $T = 0.1$, the liquid velocity around $(x/d, y/d) = (-0.5, 0.5)$ is faster than that around $(x/d, y/d) = (0.5, -0.2)$. At the two cross-sections at $T = 0.1$, vortices centered at $(x/d, y/d) = (0, 0)$ are formed by the airflow. As the airflow flows along the interface, the vortex centers at the cross-sections of $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and 1.0 move with time to the upper and lower sides of the interface, respectively. As a result, at $T = 0.3$, the vortex centers exist near $(x/d, y/d) = (0.25, 0.2)$ for $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and near $(x/d, y/d) = (-0.25, -0.2)$ for $z/\lambda = 1.0$.

Figure 5 shows the interface, velocity vectors, and vorticity distribution in the x - z cross-section of $y/d = 0$ and y - z cross-section of $x/d = 0$ at $T = 0.3$. The vorticity distributions in the y - and x -directions are shown in Figs. 5(a) and (b), respectively. In Fig. 4(d), the vortex centers in the cross-section of $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and 1.0 exist at $y/d > 0$ and $y/d < 0$, respectively. Thus, at $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and $z/\lambda = 1.0$ in Fig. 5(a), the airflows flow in the positive and negative directions of the x -axis at $y/d = 0$. Around $(x/d, z/\lambda) = (1.0, 0.2)$, $(-1.0, 0.3)$ and $(x/d, z/\lambda) = (1.0, 0.8)$, $(-1.0, 0.7)$, counterclockwise and clockwise vortices are formed due to the difference in the direction of movement of the airflow, respectively. Similarly, around $(y/d, z/\lambda) = (0, 0.25)$ and $(y/d, z/\lambda) = (0, 0.75)$ in Fig. 5(b), clockwise and counterclockwise vortices are formed due to the deviation of the center positions of the vortices at $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and 1.0 in Fig. 4(d). Thus, it is considered that the vortex caused by the airflow formed near the interface of the liquid ligament significantly influences the behaviors at the initial stage of the deformation of the liquid ligament.

Figure 6 shows the temporal variation of the interface of the liquid ligament after $T = 0.9$. The $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and $z/\lambda = 1.0$ portions of the liquid ligament move away from each other along the x -axis over time. Constrictions occur around $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 of the liquid ligament. Finally, the ligament splits at these constrictions and two droplets are formed at $T = 2.7$.




Figure 4: Time variations of ligament interface and velocity vectors at $z/\lambda = 0.5$ (left) and $z/\lambda = 1.0$ (right): (a) $T = 0$, (b) $T = 0.1$, (c) $T = 0.2$, and (d) $T = 0.3$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 11.9$, $ka = 0.7$.

Figures 7 and 8 show the time evolution of the liquid ligament interface for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9$ and 17.8, respectively. The result for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 11.9$ was shown in Fig. 6. For $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9$, ligament breakup is confirmed at $T = 6.3$, and two droplets are formed. For $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 11.9$, the ligament splits into two droplets at $T = 2.7$, which is earlier




Figure 5: Ligament interface, velocity vectors, and vorticity contours at $T = 0.3$: (a) $y/d = 0$ and (b) $x/d = 0$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 11.9$, $ka = 0.7$.

than the breakup time for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9$. It can be seen that an increase in $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}}$ promotes the deformation and splitting of the liquid ligament. For $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, in addition to two large droplets, small droplets are formed near $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 . Comparing the ligaments for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 11.9$ and $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, the ligaments break up at almost the same time, and the number of droplets increases with an increase of $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}}$.

We investigate the changes in the flow field and liquid movement inside the liquid ligament due to the velocity difference at the time just before the liquid ligament breaks up. Figure 9 shows the interface, velocity vectors, vorticity contours, and streamlines at each $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}}$. Figure 9(a) is the result at $T = 5.0$, and Figs. 9(b) and (c) are the results at $T = 2.3$. In the left figure, the red solid line shows the streamline with a clockwise swirling component to the flow direction, and the blue solid line shows the reverse rotation. The velocity vector and x -direction vorticity distribution in Fig. 9(a) are the results of the y - z cross-section at $x/d = 0$. The velocity vector and y -direction vorticity distribution in Figs. 9(b) and (c) are the results of the x - z cross-section at $y/d = 0$. From the streamlines in Fig. 9(a), it can be seen that the liquid around $z/\lambda = 0.5$ flows spirally toward $z/\lambda = 0$ and 1.0 . Due to such a three-dimensional flow, counter-rotating vortices are formed symmetrically to $y/d = 0$ around $z/\lambda = 0.3$ and 0.7 in the airflow. As these vortices induce the liquid movement along the central axis of the liquid ligament, the cross-sectional area of the liquid ligament decreases at $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and increases at $z/\lambda = 0$. Therefore, in Fig. 7, the droplet at $z/\lambda = 0.5$ is smaller than that at $z/\lambda = 0$.

In Fig. 9(b), the liquid existing near $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and $z/\lambda = 0.75$ flows toward $z/\lambda = 0, 0.5$ and $z/\lambda = 0.5, 1.0$, respectively. In addition, vortices near the interface develop. These vortices induce liquid movement along the central axis of the liquid ligament.

In Fig. 9(c), streamlines become dense near $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 . Near the large bulge indicated by the arrow, the liquid flows to the bulge while rotating. At that time, around $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 , a vortex centered inside the liquid ligament is formed by the airflow, and the magnitude of the vorticity increases near the interface. Compared with the results for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9$ and 11.9 , the largest magnitude of the vorticity occurs for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$.

Figure 10 shows the position y/d where the interface at each $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}}$ is the highest at the time just before the splitting of the liquid ligament. For $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9$ and 11.9 , the interface around $z/\lambda = 0, 0.5$, and 1.0 bulges toward the gas side. This result is due to a nonlinear effect, and the turbulence with twice the wavenumber of the initial disturbance occurs at the interface. For $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, in addition to $z/\lambda = 0, 0.5$, and 1.0 , the interface also expands to the gas side around $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 . Compared with the results of $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9$ and 11.9 , higher wavenumber turbulence occurs at the interface. This high wavenumber turbulence grows, and the liquid ligament in the vicinity indicated by the arrows in Fig. 9(c) are constricted and split, resulting in an increase in the number of breakup droplets for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$.

Figure 11 shows the diameters D of the droplets that broke up for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9, 11.9$, and 17.8 . The coordinate z/λ represents the location of the droplet. In this study, similar to the existing study (Yanaoka and Nakayama, 2022), the droplet diameter is defined as the equivalent diameter obtained from the droplet volume. The solid and dashed lines in the figure are the theoretical values for an inviscid liquid column (Rayleigh, 1878) and for a viscous liquid




Figure 6: Time variations of ligament interface: (a) $T = 1.0$, (b) $T = 1.5$, (c) $T = 2.0$, and (d) $T = 2.7$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 11.9$, $ka = 0.7$.

column (Weber, 1931), respectively. Weber's theoretical value is expressed as follows:

$$D = 1.89 \left[1 + \frac{3\mu_l}{\sqrt{d\rho_l\sigma}} \right] d. \quad (19)$$

The droplet size in this calculation is smaller than the two theoretical values (Rayleigh, 1878; Weber, 1931). The theoretical values are based on linear theory and do not consider the nonlinear effects of flow. In this calculation, as shown in Fig. 10, turbulence with higher wavenumber components than the initial disturbance occurs at the interface due to the nonlinear effect. It is considered that as the liquid ligament with a short wavelength breaks up due to this high wavenumber turbulence, the droplet diameter is smaller than the theoretical value (Rayleigh, 1878; Weber, 1931). As $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}}$ increases, the droplet diameters at $z/\lambda = 0$ and 0.5 decrease and increase, respectively. The ratio of the droplet diameters of $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and $z/\lambda = 0$, $D_{z/\lambda=0.5}/D_{z/\lambda=0}$, is 0.37, 0.96, and 0.98 for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9$, 11.9 and 17.8, respectively. It can be seen that the droplet diameter becomes uniform as $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}}$ increases. In addition, for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, the total surface area of the liquid increases because the number of breakup droplets increases. Therefore, it can be said that increasing $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}}$ improved the atomization quality of the liquid ligament.




Figure 7: Time variations of ligament interface: (a) $T = 2.0$, (b) $T = 3.0$, (c) $T = 4.0$, (d) $T = 5.0$, (e) $T = 6.0$, and (f) $T = 6.3$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9$, $ka = 0.7$.

4.3 Analysis of the effects of disturbance with various wavenumber components on deformation and splitting of liquid ligament

In actual flow fields, gas and liquid flows are turbulent, and therefore, turbulences with various wavenumber components occur at the gas–liquid interface. In this analysis, we investigate the effect of such disturbances on the deformation and splitting of a liquid ligament. First, for the velocity difference $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, where the influence of the shear velocity is the most intensive, we vary the dimensionless wavenumber ka as 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, and 0.9. We investigate the effect of the wavenumber of the initial disturbance at the interface on the deformation and splitting of the liquid ligament.

Figures 12 to 14 show the time variation of the liquid ligament interface at $ka = 0.3, 0.5$, and 0.9 for $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$. The result at $ka = 0.7$ was shown in Fig. 8. At $T = 0.6$, the liquid ligaments for all ka are deformed into films by the shear flow. As ka increases, the portions of $z/\lambda = 0$ and 0.5 in the liquid ligament bulge. At $T = 3.0$ and $T = 2.3$ for $ka = 0.3$ and 0.5 , respectively, turbulence with a higher wavenumber than the initial disturbance occurs at the interface of $z/\lambda = 0.1 - 0.4$ and $0.6 - 0.9$. At $T = 4.3, 3.0, 2.7$, and 2.1 for $ka = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$, and 0.9 , respectively, the liquid ligament splits, and the breakup time of the liquid ligament shortens as ka increases.

Figure 15 shows the time variation of the z -axial velocity w_{av} averaged in the cross-section of the liquid ligament at $z/\lambda = 0.6$ and 0.9 . At $z/\lambda = 0.6$ and 0.9 , the liquids flow in the negative and positive directions of the z -axis, respectively. The absolute value of w_{av} increases over time for all ka at the two cross-sections. In addition, the magnitude of w_{av} increases as ka increases. It can be seen from this that the moving liquid velocity along the central axis of the liquid ligament differs depending on ka , and the moving speed increases as ka increases.

We investigate the change in the flow field at $T = 1.0$, where the difference in the interface shape due to ka becomes large. Figure 16 shows the interface and three-dimensional velocity vectors for each ka . The velocity vector shows the distribution of the cross-section across the liquid ligament. As ka increases, the bulging parts at $z/\lambda = 0$ and 0.5 in the liquid ligament grow, and the bulge shape becomes spherical. In addition, the liquid ligament near




Figure 8: Time variations of ligament interface: (a) $T = 0.6$, (b) $T = 1.0$, (c) $T = 1.5$, (d) $T = 2.0$, (e) $T = 2.3$, and (f) $T = 2.7$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.7$.

$z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 is more constricted. At $ka = 0.3$ and 0.5 on the low wavenumber side, a three-dimensional vortex is formed at the constriction. Near the bulge at $z/\lambda = 0.5$, a flow toward $z/\lambda = 0.5$ occurs. On the other hand, at $ka = 0.7$ and 0.9 on the high wavenumber side, a three-dimensional vortex is formed near the bulge at $z/\lambda = 0, 0.5$, and 1.0 . Due to these vortices, the liquid inside the liquid ligament flows from the constricted part to the bulging part, and the bulging part develops.

Figure 17 shows the time variation of the cross-sectional area S of the liquid ligament at the cross-section plane $z/\lambda = 0.75$ where the liquid ligament constricts. The cross-sectional area of the liquid ligament is defined using the Heaviside function as follows:

$$S = \int H(\phi) dx dy. \quad (20)$$

For all ka , the cross-sectional area of the liquid ligament at $z/\lambda = 0.75$ decreases with time. As ka increases, the cross-sectional area decreases rapidly. As shown in Fig. 15, with an increase in ka , the liquid movement along the central axis of the liquid ligament is promoted. As shown in Fig. 16, on the high wavenumber side, the vortices that enhance the development of the bulge are formed near the interface. As an increase in ka shortens the wavelength, the liquid inside the ligament shortly moves from the constriction to the bulge. Therefore, as ka increases, the cross-sectional area of the liquid ligament at $z/\lambda = 0.75$ becomes small in a short time, and the breakup time of the liquid ligament becomes short.

As mentioned, a liquid ligament breaks up faster in an initial disturbance with a high wavenumber than a low wavenumber. On the other hand, for low wavenumbers, as shown in Figs. 12(f) and 13(d), new turbulence with a higher wavenumber than the initial disturbance occurs at the interface. To quantitatively investigate the difference in the interface shape due to ka , Fig. 18 shows the time variation in the interface for each ka . The distribution is the interface at the position y/d where the interface is the highest in the x - y cross-section. At all times for $ka = 0.9$, the interface shape is similar, and the initial disturbance grows. At $T = 1.0$ and 1.5 for $ka = 0.5$ and 0.7 , respectively, the parts at $z/\lambda = 0$ and 0.5 of the liquid ligament bulge toward the gas side. That is, turbulence with twice the






Figure 9: Ligament interface, streamlines, velocity vectors, and vorticity contours for $ka = 0.7$: (a) $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 5.9$, $T = 5.0$, (b) $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 11.9$, $T = 2.3$, and (c) $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $T = 2.3$.

wavenumber of the initial disturbance occurs at the interface. Moreover, the interface expands with time toward the gas side even around $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 , and at $T = 2.3$, turbulence with four times the initial disturbance occurs at the interface. At $T = 1.0$ for $ka = 0.3$, the parts at $z/\lambda = 0$ and 0.5 of the liquid ligament bulge toward the gas side, and turbulence with twice the initial disturbance occurs at the interface. At $T = 2.4$, the interface bulges slightly toward the gas side near $z/\lambda = 0.12, 0.35, 0.65$, and 0.88 . The raised interface develops over time. At $T = 2.8$, near $z/\lambda = 0.15, 0.35, 0.65$, and 0.85 , the interface rises more toward the gas side, and turbulence with a wavenumber six


 Figure 10: Position of ligament interface for $ka = 0.7$.

 Figure 11: Variations of droplet diameter with $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}}$ for $ka = 0.7$.

times that of the initial disturbance occurs at the interface. For $ka = 0.3$, the uplift of the interface toward the gas side is the largest, and the nonlinear effect appears most strongly.

It was found from the above results that the liquid ligament deforms more complicatedly for the initial disturbance with a low wavenumber than a high wavenumber due to a nonlinear effect. Therefore, we considered that the deformation of the liquid ligament would be accelerated by adding the high wavenumber disturbance to the low wavenumber disturbance. For $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$ and $ka = 0.3$, we investigate the effects of low and high wavenumber disturbances on the deformation and splitting of the ligament.

Figures 19 and 20 show the time evolution of the liquid ligament interface for $\eta_r = 0.9$ at $k_r = 2.0$ and 4.0 , respectively. At $T = 0.3$ for $k_r = 2.0$, the liquid ligament is deformed into a film by the influence of the shear flow. At $T = 1.0$, perforation occurs at $z/\lambda = 0$ in the liquid ligament, but at $T = 1.6$, the liquid is combined. At $T = 2.6$, turbulence with a higher wavenumber than the initial disturbance occurs, similar to the case of $\eta_r = 0$ and $k_r = 0$ shown in Fig. 12. When time passes until $T = 4.6$, the splitting of the liquid ligament is confirmed, and large and small liquid droplets are formed near $z/\lambda = 0, 0.5$ and $z/\lambda = 0.2, 0.8$, respectively. For $k_r = 4.0$, the liquid ligament is deformed into a film at $T = 0.3$, similar to the result for $k_r = 2.0$. The parts at $z/\lambda = 0, 0.25, 0.5$, and 0.75 of the liquid ligament bulge due to the initial disturbance and swell further with time. At $T = 1.0$, perforation occurs at $z/\lambda = 0$ in the liquid ligament. At $T = 2.0$, the part at $z/\lambda = 0$ of the liquid ligament is split by the shear flow. The breakup droplets flow in the negative direction of the x -axis due to the airflow. At $T = 2.0$, turbulence with a higher wavenumber than the initial disturbance occurs at $z/\lambda = 0.1 - 0.4$ and $0.6 - 0.9$. The bulge formed by this high wavenumber turbulence develops with time, and at $T = 3.0$, the liquid ligament breaks up. The ligament breakup time for $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 4.0$ becomes shorter than those for $\eta_r = 0$, $k_r = 0$ and $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 2.0$. In addition, the number of breakup droplets increases.




Figure 12: Time variations of ligament interface: (a) $T = 0.6$, (b) $T = 1.0$, (c) $T = 1.6$, (d) $T = 2.0$, (e) $T = 2.6$, (f) $T = 3.0$, (g) $T = 3.3$, (h) $T = 3.6$, and (i) $T = 4.3$; $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$.

Herein, we discuss the dependence of the grid on computational results. The result obtained using grid2 is compared with that obtained using grid3 under the condition $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$, $\eta_r = 0.9$, and $k_r = 4.0$. As shown at $T = 3.0$ in Fig. 20, the result with grid2 has two droplets at $z/\lambda = 0$ and one droplet each at $z/\lambda = 0.3, 0.5$, and 0.7 . The result with grid3 was similar to that in Fig. 20, but the placement of the droplets was slightly different. For grid3, there is one droplet at $z/\lambda = 0, 0.15$, and 0.85 , and one droplet at $z/\lambda = 0.35, 0.5$, and 0.65 . Here, we compare the droplet volume around $z/\lambda = 0$ and the diameters of three droplets around $z/\lambda = 0.3, 0.5$, and 0.7 by using the results of two grids. Near $z/\lambda = 0$, the relative error of the droplet volume obtained using grid2 to the result of grid3 is approximately 1.60%. The relative errors in the droplet diameter near $z/\lambda = 0.3, 0.5$, and 0.7 are approximately 2.12%, 0.18%, and 2.12%, respectively. The difference in the liquid volume and droplet diameter obtained using grid2 and grid3 is kept small. Therefore, it can be said that the results obtained using grid2 are valid.




Figure 13: Time variations of ligament interface: (a) $T = 0.6$, (b) $T = 1.0$, (c) $T = 2.0$, (d) $T = 2.3$, (e) $T = 2.6$, and (f) $T = 3.0$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.5$.

Figure 21 shows the time variation of the interface for each η_r and k_r . The result for $\eta_r = 0$ and $k_r = 0$ were shown in Fig. 18(a). The distribution is the interface at the position y/d where the interface is the highest in the x - y cross-section. At $T = 2.0$ for $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$, the position of the droplet interface near $z/\lambda = 0$ is higher than that of the liquid ligament interface near $z/\lambda = 0$. Thus, except for this droplet, we show the position y/d where the liquid ligament interface is the highest. For $k_r = 2.0$, the initial disturbance grows at $T = 1.0$, but no new turbulence with high wavenumber occurs. At $T = 2.0$, the interface near $z/\lambda = 0.18, 0.38, 0.68$, and 0.82 slightly rises toward the gas side. At $T = 2.6$, this bulge develops, and turbulence with a wavenumber six times that of the initial disturbance is generated at the interface. This trend is similar to the results for $\eta_r = 0$ and $k_r = 0$. Even for $k_r = 4.0$, the initial disturbance grows at $T = 1.0$, but no new turbulence with high wavenumber occurs. At $T = 1.6$, the interface near $z/\lambda = 0, 0.15, 0.5, 0.85$, and 1.0 rises toward the gas side. It is also found that the interface near $z/\lambda = 0.38$ and 0.68 slightly bulges toward the gas side. At $T = 2.0$, two portions of the interface at $z/\lambda = 0.1 - 0.4$ and $0.6 - 0.9$ rise toward the gas side, and new turbulence with a higher wavenumber than the initial disturbance appears. For $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$, higher wavenumber turbulence than the initial disturbance occurs on the interface at earlier times than the other conditions, and the bulge of the interface toward the gas side also increases. Therefore, we can see that the nonlinear effect appears stronger than the results for $\eta_r = 0$, $k_r = 0$ and $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 2.0$. As shown in Fig. 20, depending on the strength of the nonlinear effect, the deformation process of the liquid ligament, the number of breakup droplets, and breakup time are different from the results for $\eta_r = 0$, $k_r = 0$ and for $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 2.0$. In the following, we investigate in detail the difference in the deformation of liquid ligaments between $\eta_r = 0$, $k_r = 0$ and $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 4.0$.

Figure 22 shows the interface, velocity vectors, y -direction vorticity, and streamlines in the x - z cross-section at $y/d = \pm 0.04$ for $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$ at $T = 0.3$. At $x/d > 0$ near $z/\lambda = 0.5$, the airflow flows in the positive direction of the x -axis. At $x/d < 0$ near $z/\lambda = 0$ and 1.0 , the airflow flows in the negative direction of the x -axis. From the streamlines, we can also see that at $y/d = 0.04$, vortices due to airflow are formed near $(x/d, z/\lambda) = (1.3, 0.1)$ and $(1.3, 0.9)$. On the other hand, at $y/d = -0.04$, vortices due to airflow are formed near $(x/d, z/\lambda) = (-1.3, 0.35)$ and $(-1.3, 0.65)$. These vortices form positive and negative vorticities in the regions around $(x/d, z/\lambda) = (1.5, 0.3)$, $(-1.5, 0.2)$ and $(x/d, z/\lambda) = (1.5, 0.7)$, $(-1.5, 0.8)$, respectively. The parts around




Figure 14: Time variations of ligament interface: (a) $T = 0.6$, (b) $T = 1.0$, (c) $T = 1.6$, and (d) $T = 2.1$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.9$.

$(x/d, z/\lambda) = (1.25, 0.25)$, $(-1.25, 0.25)$, $(1.25, 0.75)$, and $(-1.25, 0.75)$ of the liquid ligament rise. It is considered that the vortices move these bulge parts along the central axis of the liquid ligament.

Figure 23 shows the time evolution of the interface and velocity vector for $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$. Part A, shown in the left figure, is the bulge existing at $x/d > 0$ around $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 in Fig. 22. Part B, shown in the right figure, is the bulge existing at $x/d < 0$ around $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 in Fig. 22. Velocity vectors in the y - z cross-section where the bulge parts A and B are present are shown. At all times, the center of the vortex around the liquid ligament exists inside the ligament. In addition, all bulges develop with time. Around the interface of the bulge part A at $T = 1.0$, the vortex flows the airflow toward $z/\lambda = 0.5$. On the other hand, near the interface of the bulge part B, the vortex flows the airflow toward $z/\lambda = 0, 1.0$. Therefore, at $T = 1.4$, the bulges A and B move to $z/\lambda = 0.5$ and $z/\lambda = 0, 1.0$, respectively. At $T = 1.6$, unlike $T = 1.0$, near the interface of the bulge A, the airflow flows toward $z/\lambda = 0, 1.0$ due to the vortex. Near the interface of the bulge B, the vortex causes the airflow to flow toward $z/\lambda = 0.5$. Therefore, at $T = 1.8$, the bulges A and B move to $z/\lambda = 0, 1.0$ and $z/\lambda = 0.5$, respectively. In this way, the bulging part of the liquid due to the initial disturbance is moved by the vortex with time along the central axis of the liquid ligament.

For $\eta_r = 0$, $k_r = 0$ and $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 4.0$, Fig. 24 shows the time variation of the cross-sectional area S . In Fig. 24(a), the cross-section areas at $z/\lambda = 0$ and 0.5 increase with time. On the other hand, the areas at $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and




Figure 15: Time variations of z -axial velocity averaged in the cross-section at (a) $z/\lambda = 0.6$ and (b) $z/\lambda = 0.9$: $\Delta U/U_{ref} = 17.8$.






Figure 16: Ligament interface and velocity vectors: (a) $ka = 0.3$, (b) $ka = 0.5$, (c) $ka = 0.7$, and (d) $ka = 0.9$: $\Delta U/U_{ref} = 17.8$, $T = 1.0$.

0.75 decrease with time. As shown in Fig. 22, at $T = 0.3$ for $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$, the parts at $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 swell due to the initial disturbance. Therefore, in Fig. 24(b), the cross sections near $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 are larger than those near $z/\lambda = 0.1, 0.4, 0.6$, and 0.9 . The vortices move the bulges at $z/\lambda = 0.1 - 0.4$ and $0.6 - 0.9$ along the central axis of the liquid ligament. Thus, at $T = 1.0$, the cross sections at $z/\lambda = 0.15, 0.35, 0.65$, and 0.85 are larger than those at $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 . With time, the bulge deforms into a spherical shape due to surface tension, and the liquid ligament around the bulge becomes constricted. At $T = 1.8$, the cross sections at $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 for


 Figure 17: Time variations of cross-sectional area at $z/\lambda = 0.75$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$.

 Figure 18: Time variations of ligament interface for various wavenumbers: (a) $ka = 0.3$, (b) $ka = 0.5$, (c) $ka = 0.7$, and (d) $ka = 0.9$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$.

$\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$ are smaller than those at $z/\lambda = 0.25$ and 0.75 for $\eta_r = 0$ and $k_r = 0$. It can be seen from this that the deformation of the liquid ligament is enhanced for $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$.

To investigate the effect of the flow field near the interface on the liquid ligament splitting, Fig. 25 shows the interface, velocity vectors, and streamline at $T = 2.0$ for $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$. The velocity vector and streamline are distributions in the x - z cross-section at $y/d = 0$. As shown in Fig. 21(b), the nonlinear effect causes the liquid




Figure 19: Time variations of ligament interface: (a) $T = 0.3$, (b) $T = 0.6$, (c) $T = 1.0$, (d) $T = 1.6$, (e) $T = 2.0$, (f) $T = 2.6$, (g) $T = 3.0$, (h) $T = 3.8$, and (i) $T = 4.6$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$, $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 2.0$.

ligament to swell at $z/\lambda = 0.15, 0.3, 0.7$, and 0.85 . A large bulge also occurs at $z/\lambda = 0.5$. A vortex due to the airflow is formed in the wake of each bulge. As these vortices induce a flow from the constricted part to the bulging part of the liquid ligament, the bulging part develops further, and the constricted part narrows further. As a result, the deformation of the ligament is accelerated by the vortex, and the ligament splits faster than the result of $\eta_r = 0$ and $k_r = 0$.

Figure 26 shows the diameter of the breakup droplet. Table 1 shows the droplet diameter, which is the arithmetic average of all droplet diameters under each condition, and the standard deviation of the droplet diameter. In the case of $\eta_r = 0$ and $k_r = 0$, as the diameters of the droplets existing near $z/\lambda = 0.4$ and 0.6 are much smaller than those of the droplets formed at $z/\lambda = 0$ and 0.5 , the average droplet diameter becomes small. On the other hand, the standard deviation is high due to the large variation in the diameters of the breakup droplets. The authors (Yanaoka and Nakayama, 2022) reported the droplet diameters of the main and satellite droplets, and the average




Figure 20: Time variations of ligament interface: (a) $T = 0.3$, (b) $T = 0.6$, (c) $T = 1.0$, (d) $T = 1.3$, (e) $T = 1.6$, (f) $T = 2.0$, (g) $T = 2.3$, (h) $T = 2.6$, and (i) $T = 3.0$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$, $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 4.0$.

diameter of the two droplets was $1.314d$. In this study, as the shear velocity is faster than that in the earlier study, the diameter of the droplet is smaller than that of the previous report. For $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 2.0$, the trend is the same as for $\eta_r = 0$ and $k_r = 0$, and there is no significant difference in the average droplet size and its standard deviation. For $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$, more droplets form than other conditions. Therefore, the total surface area of the liquid is the largest. Compared to the results of $\eta_r = 0$, $k_r = 0$ and $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 2.0$, the average droplet size is large, but the standard deviation is low. It can be seen from this that the droplet diameters were made uniform by adding the disturbance with the high wavenumber component. Therefore, for $\eta_r = 0.9$ and $k_r = 4.0$, it can be said that the atomization quality of the liquid ligament was improved.

We compare droplet diameters obtained in this study with existing studies (Rutland and Jameson, 1970; Lafrance, 1975; Lakdawala et al., 2015). A liquid jet generates the main droplet and satellite droplet. The diameter d_s of the satellite droplet is smaller than the diameter d_m of the main droplet. In this study, large and small droplets are also generated, similar to existing results. In Rutland and Jameson's experiment (Rutland and Jameson, 1970), the diameters are $d_m/d = 2.129$ and $d_s/d = 1.127$ for $ka = 0.34$. Lafrance (1975) reported $d_m/d = 2.402$




Figure 21: Time variations of ligament interface for various values of η_r and k_r : (a) $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 2.0$ and (b) $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 4.0$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$.




Figure 22: Ligament interface, velocity vectors, y -direction vorticity, and streamlines at (a) $y/d = 0.04$ and (b) $y/d = -0.04$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$, $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 4.0$, $T = 0.3$.

and $d_s/d = 1.450$ for $ka = 0.32$. In Lakdawala et al.'s calculation (Lakdawala et al., 2015), the diameters are $d_m/d = 2.350$ and $d_s/d = 1.393$ for $ka = 0.30$. In this study, we obtained smaller droplet diameters than existing results. In existing research (Rutland and Jameson, 1970; Lafrance, 1975; Lakdawala et al., 2015), the direction of shear velocity between gas and liquid is the same as the direction of the central axis direction of the liquid column. On the other hand, the two directions are orthogonal in this study. The physical properties of the liquid used also differ. It is considered that such a difference causes the difference in droplet diameters.




Figure 23: Time variations of ligament interface, and velocity vectors: (a) $T = 1.0$, (b) $T = 1.4$, (c) $T = 1.6$, and (d) $T = 1.8$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$, $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 4.0$.




Figure 24: Time variations of cross-sectional area: (a) $\eta_r = 0$, $k_r = 0$ and (b) $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 4.0$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$.




Figure 25: Ligament interface, velocity vectors and streamlines at $T = 2.0$: $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$, $\eta_r = 0.9$, $k_r = 4.0$.




Figure 26: Variations of droplet diameter with η_r and k_r : $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$.

Table 1: Arithmetic average of droplet diameters and standard deviation of droplet diameter for various values of η_r and k_r : $\Delta U/U_{\text{ref}} = 17.8$, $ka = 0.3$

η_r, k_r	D/d (arithmetic average)	standard deviation
$\eta_r = 0, k_r = 0$	1.12	0.85
$\eta_r = 0.9, k_r = 2.0$	1.19	0.86
$\eta_r = 0.9, k_r = 4.0$	1.39	0.30

5 CONCLUSION

This study performed a numerical analysis of the deformation and breakup of a liquid ligament with various disturbances on the interface in shear flow. We investigated the effects of the airflow velocity difference, the wavenumber of the initial disturbance on the interface of the liquid ligament, and the high wavenumber disturbance on the deformation and splitting of the liquid ligament. As a result, the following findings were obtained.

We analyzed the growth of a liquid ligament interface with an initial disturbance in stationary fluid. The growth rate of the interface agrees well with the theoretical value, and it was found that the present numerical method can capture the behavior of the interface.

A three-dimensional flow is generated around the liquid ligament by the shear flow. This flow forms vortices near the interface. These vortices promote the movement of the liquid inside the liquid ligament. When the velocity difference of shear flow increases, a nonlinear effect becomes stronger than in the case of the low-velocity difference, and turbulence with higher wavenumber components than the initial disturbance occurs at the interface. This turbulence accelerates the ligament splitting and increases the number of breakup droplets. Then, the droplet diameters become uniform, and the atomization quality improves.

When an initial disturbance with a low wavenumber is applied to the interface, a new turbulence with a higher wavenumber than the initial disturbance is generated at the interface, and an intensive nonlinear effect appears. As the wavenumber of the disturbance applied to the interface increases, the liquid moving velocity along the central axis of the liquid ligament increases. In addition, on the high wavenumber side, the bulge of the liquid ligament develops earlier due to the vortex near the interface. As the wavenumber increases, the cross-sectional area of the constricted part of the liquid ligament decreases more quickly, and the breakup time of the liquid ligament becomes short.

In addition to the initial reference disturbance with a low wavenumber, when turbulence with twice the wavenumber of the reference disturbance is applied to the interface, the interface deformation and the splitting of the liquid ligament are similar to those with the single reference disturbance. Therefore, there is no significant difference between the average droplet size and its standard deviation under the two conditions. When turbulence with four times the wavenumber is added to the reference disturbance with the low wavenumber at the interface, the deformation of the liquid ligament is accelerated, and the liquid ligament splits faster. In addition, the total surface area of the liquid increases because the number of breakup droplets increases. The droplet diameters become uniform, and therefore, the atomization quality of the liquid ligament is improved.

Acknowledgements. The numerical results in this research were obtained using supercomputing resources at Cyberscience Center, Tohoku University. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. We would like to express our gratitude to Associate Professor Yosuke Suenaga of Iwate University for his support of our laboratory. The authors wish to acknowledge the time and effort of everyone involved in this study.

Declaration of interests. The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author ORCID.

H. Yanaoka <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4875-8174>.

Author contributions.

Hideki Yanaoka: Conceptualization (lead); Investigation (equal); Methodology (lead); Visualization (equal); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing - review & editing (lead).

Wataru Sakamoto: Formal analysis (lead); Investigation (equal); Validation (lead); Visualization (equal); Writing - original draft (equal).

References

- Amsden, A.A., Harlow, F.H., 1970. A simplified MAC technique for incompressible fluid flow calculations. *J. Comput. Phys.* 6, 322–325. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991\(70\)90029-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(70)90029-X).
- Bizjan, B., Širok, B., Hočevan, M., Orbanić, A., 2014. Liquid ligament formation dynamics on a spinning wheel. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 119, 187–198. doi:<https://doi.org/DOI:10.1016/J.CES.2014.08.031>.
- Brackbill, J.U., Kothe, D.B., Zemach, C., 1992. A continuum method for modeling surface tension. *J. Comput. Phys.* 100, 335–354. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991\(92\)90240-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(92)90240-Y).
- Constante-Amores, C., Kahouadji, L., Batchvarov, A., Shin, S., Chergui, J., Juric, D., Matar, O., 2021. Direct numerical simulations of transient turbulent jets: Vortex-interface interactions. *J. Fluid Mech.* 922, A6. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2021.519>.
- Dumouchel, C., Blaisot, J.B., Bouche, E., Ménard, T., Vu, T.T., 2015. Multi-scale analysis of atomizing liquid ligaments. *Int. J. Multiph. Flow* 73, 251–263. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2015.03.020>.
- Fernandez, V.G., Berthoumie, P., Lavergne, G., 2009. Liquid sheet disintegration at high pressure: An experimental approach. *CR Mecanique* 337, 481–491. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crme.2009.06.026>. combustion for aerospace propulsion.
- Gong, C., Yang, M., Kang, C., Wang, Y., 2015. The acquisition and measurement of surface waves of high-speed liquid jets. *J. Vis.* 19, 211–224. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12650-015-0307-9>.
- Hashimoto, H., 1995. Hydrodynamic discussion for liquid breakup mechanism. *Atomization: J. ILASS-Jpn.* 4-2, 2–13. (in Japanese).
- Henderson, D., Segur, H., Smolka, L.B., Wadati, M., 2000. The motion of a falling liquid filament. *Phys. Fluids* 12, 550–565. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.870261>.
- Jiang, G.S., Peng, D., 2000. Weighted ENO schemes for Hamilton–Jacobi equations. *J. Sci. Comput.* 21, 2126–2143. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1137/S106482759732455X>.
- Kim, D.J., Lee, J.K., 2008. Analysis of the transient atomization characteristics of diesel spray using time-resolved PDPA data. *Int. J. Automot. Technol.* 9, 297–305. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12239-008-0036-3>.
- Kourmatzis, A., Masri, A.R., 2014. Air-assisted atomization of liquid jets in varying levels of turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* 764, 95–132. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2014.700>.
- Lafrance, P., 1975. Nonlinear breakup of a laminar liquid jet. *Phys. Fluids* 18, 428–432. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.861168>.
- Lakdawala, A.M., Thaokar, R., Sharma, A., 2015. DGLSM based study of temporal instability and formation of satellite drop in a capillary jet breakup. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 130, 239–253. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2015.03.029>.
- Lee, C.S., Park, S.W., 2002. An experimental and numerical study on fuel atomization characteristics of high-pressure diesel injection sprays. *Fuel* 81, 2417–2423. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-2361\(02\)00158-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-2361(02)00158-8).
- Li, J., Renardy, Y.Y., Renardy, M., 2000. Numerical simulation of breakup of a viscous drop in simple shear flow through a volume-of-fluid method. *Phys. Fluids* 12, 269–282. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.870305>.
- Li, T., Nishida, K., Hiroyasu, H., 2011. Droplet size distribution and evaporation characteristics of fuel spray by a swirl type atomizer. *Fuel* 90, 2367–2376. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2011.03.011>.
- Li, X., Tankin, R.S., 1991. On the temporal instability of a two-dimensional viscous liquid sheet. *J. Fluid Mech.* 226, 425–443. doi:<https://doi.org/doi:10.1017/S0022112091002458>.
- Liu, H.F., Gong, X., Li, W.F., Wang, F.C., Yu, Z.H., 2006. Prediction of droplet size distribution in sprays of prefilming air-blast atomizers. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 61, 1741–1747. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2005.10.012>.
- Marmottant, P., Villermaux, E., 2004a. Fragmentation of stretched liquid ligaments. *Phys. Fluids* 16, 2732–2741. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1756030>.
- Marmottant, P., Villermaux, E., 2004b. On spray formation. *J. Fluid Mech.* 498, 73–111. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112003006529>.
- Odier, N., Balarac, G., Corre, C., Moureau, V., 2015. Numerical study of a flapping liquid sheet sheared by a high-speed stream. *Int. J. Multiph. Flow* 77, 196–208. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2015.08.001>.
- O’Rourke, P.J., Amsden, A.A., 1987. The TAB method for numerical calculation of spray droplet breakup, in: 1987 SAE International Fall Fuels and Lubricants Meeting and Exhibition, SAE International in United States. URL: <https://saemobilus.sae.org/content/872089/>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/872089>.

- Rayleigh, L., 1878. On the instability of jets. Proc. London Math. Soc. s1-10, 4–13. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s1-10.1.4>.
- Rutland, D.F., Jameson, G.J., 1970. Theoretical prediction of the sizes of drops formed in the breakup of capillary jets. Chem. Eng. Sci. 25, 1689–1698. doi:doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509\(70\)80060-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509(70)80060-4).
- Shinjo, J., Umemura, A., 2010. Simulation of liquid jet primary breakup: Dynamics of ligament and droplet formation. Int. J. Multiph. Flow 36, 513–532. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2010.03.008>.
- Squire, H.B., 1953. Investigation of the instability of a moving liquid film. Br. J. Appl. Phys. 4, 167–169. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1088/0508-3443/4/6/302>.
- Suh, H.K., Lee, C.S., 2008. Experimental and analytical study on the spray characteristics of dimethyl ether (DME) and diesel fuels within a common-rail injection system in a diesel engine. Fuel 87, 925–932. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2007.05.051>.
- Suh, H.K., Park, S.W., Lee, C.S., 2007. Effect of piezo-driven injection system on the macroscopic and microscopic atomization characteristics of diesel fuel spray. Fuel 86, 2833–2845. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2007.03.015>.
- Sussman, M., Smereka, P., Osher, S., 1994. A level set approach for computing solutions to incompressible two-phase flow. J. Comput. Phys. 114, 146–159. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1006/jcph.1994.1155>.
- Tamaki, N., Shimizu, M., Hiroyasu, H., 2001. Enhancement of atomization of a liquid jet at low injection pressure. JSME, Ser. B 67, 3147–3152. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1299/kikaib.67.3147>. (in Japanese).
- Wahono, S., Honnery, D., Soria, J., Ghajel, J., 2007. High-speed visualisation of primary break-up of an annular liquid sheet. Exp. Fluids 44, 451–459. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-007-0361-8>.
- Weber, C., 1931. On the breakdown of a fluid jet. ZAMM 11, 136–154. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.19310110207>.
- Yanaoka, H., 2023. Influences of conservative and non-conservative Lorentz forces on energy conservation properties for incompressible magnetohydrodynamic flows. J. Comput. Phys. 491, 112372 (36 pages). doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2023.112372>.
- Yanaoka, H., Inafune, R., 2023. Frequency response of three-dimensional natural convection of nanofluids under microgravity environments with gravity modulation. Numer. Heat Tr. A-Appl. 83, 745–769. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10407782.2022.2161437>.
- Yanaoka, H., Nakayama, K., 2022. Numerical analysis of ligament instability and breakup in shear flow. Phys. Fluids 34, 082103. doi:doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0100511>.
- Zha, K., Busch, S., Miles, P.C., Wijeyakulasuriya, S., Mitra, S., Senecal, P.K., 2015. Characterization of flow asymmetry during the compression stroke using swirl-plane piv in a light-duty optical diesel engine with the re-entrant piston bowl geometry. SAE Int. J. Engines 8, 1837–1855. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.4271/2015-01-1699>.
- Zhang, Y., Yuan, S., 2022. Phase, pressure and velocity evolution and atomization characteristics of multiple Faraday waves in ultrasonic atomization: experiments and simulations. Int. J. Multiph. Flow 156, 104223. doi:doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2022.104223>.