

# Digraphs in which every $t$ vertices share exactly $\lambda$ out-neighbors and exactly $\lambda$ in-neighbors

HOJIN CHU<sup>1</sup> AND SUH-RYUNG KIM<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics Education, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Rep. of Korea  
ghwls8775@snu.ac.kr, srkim@snu.ac.kr*

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs as a way to extend the results for generalized friendship graphs. A two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph is a digraph in which every  $t$  vertices have exactly  $\lambda$  common out-neighbors and  $\lambda$  common in-neighbors. We first show that if  $\lambda \geq 2$ , then a two-way  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph of order  $n$  is  $k$ -diregular for a positive integer  $k$  satisfying the equation  $(n-1)\lambda = k(k-1)$ . This result is comparable to the result by Bose and Shrikhande in 1969 and actually extends it. Another main result is that if  $t \geq 3$ , then the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices is the only two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. This result can stand up to the result by Carstens and Kruse in 1977 and essentially extends it. In addition, we find that two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs are closely linked to symmetric block designs and extend some existing results of  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs.

*Keywords.* Two-way Liking digraph; Liking digraph; Generalized Friendship graph; Di-regular digraph; Symmetric Block Design.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C20, 05C75

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, for graph-theoretical terminology and notations not defined, we follow [1] and [2]. Neither graphs nor digraphs in this paper have loops, multiple edges, or multiple arcs. In 1966, Erdős *et al.* [9] introduced and proved the Friendship Theorem. The Friendship Theorem can be stated, in graph-theoretical terms, as follows: if any pair of vertices in a graph has exactly one common neighbor, then there exists a vertex adjacent to the others. The graph described in the Friendship Theorem is referred to as a “friendship graph”. A *friendship graph* is a graph in which every pair of vertices has exactly one common neighbor. Many variants of friendship graphs have been studied. As

one of those variants, a *generalized friendship graph* is a graph in which every  $t$  vertices have exactly  $\lambda$  common neighbors for some positive integers  $t$  and  $\lambda$ . In [3], it was shown that a generalized friendship graph is regular if  $t = 2$  and  $\lambda \geq 2$ . In [5], it was shown that a generalized friendship graph is isomorphic to the complete graph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices if  $t \geq 3$ . In [8], an infinite generalized friendship graph is studied.

There is also a variation of the Friendship Theorem in terms of digraph. A digraph is called a  $(t, \lambda)$ -*liking digraph* if every  $t$  vertices have exactly  $\lambda$  common out-neighbors where  $t$  and  $\lambda$  are positive integers. In 1974, Müller and Pelant [10] studied the case where tournaments are  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs and showed the non-existence of such tournaments for  $t \geq 3$ . In 1975, Plesník [11] characterized the  $(t, 1)$ -liking digraphs for each integer  $t \geq 3$  by proving that every  $(t, 1)$ -liking digraph with  $t \geq 3$  is the complete digraph on  $t + 1$  vertices. Recently, Choi *et al.* [6] completely characterized  $(2, 1)$ -liking digraphs. In the follow-up paper [7], the authors extended their results and studied the case where  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs are complete.

While seeking a way to extend the results for generalized friendship graphs in [3], [5], and [12] (mentioned above) into a digraph version, we were led to introduce the notion of “two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs”. A digraph is called a *two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph* if every  $t$  vertices have exactly  $\lambda$  common out-neighbors and exactly  $\lambda$  common in-neighbors where  $t$  and  $\lambda$  are positive integers. Note that the digraph obtained from a generalized friendship graph by replacing each edge with a directed cycle of length two is a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. Accordingly, the existing results for generalized friendship graphs can be derived from our main results described below.

In this paper, we characterize the two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs. By the definition of a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph, it is obvious that a two-way  $(2, 1)$ -liking digraph is a  $(2, 1)$ -liking digraph. Choi *et al.* [6] showed that a  $(2, 1)$ -liking digraph is a two-way  $(2, 1)$ -liking digraph. Theorem 2.1 which is the primary result in [6] is a complete characterization of  $(2, 1)$ -liking digraphs and therefore the result is true for two-way  $(2, 1)$ -liking digraphs. Thus it remains to characterize the two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs with  $(t, \lambda) \neq (2, 1)$ , which is achieved by the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $\lambda \geq 2$ , then a two-way  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph of order  $n$  is  $k$ -diregular where the positive integer  $k$  satisfies*

$$(n - 1)\lambda = k(k - 1).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $t \geq 3$ , then the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices is the only two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph.*

Going a little further from Theorem 1.1, we present Proposition 3.3. Choi *et al.* showed that an  $(n, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD can be constructed from a  $k$ -diregular  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph of order  $n$ . That is, the existence of a  $k$ -diregular  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph of order  $n$  guarantees the existence of an  $(n, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD. Since a two-way  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph is a  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking

digraph, we can say that an  $(n, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD exists if a two-way  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph of order  $n$  exists. We show that the converse is true for  $n \geq 2\lambda$ .

Theorem 1.2 extends one of the main theorems in Choi *et al.* [7]. By the definition of a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph, it is obvious that a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph is a  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. Under this circumstance, it is natural to ask for which  $t$  and  $\lambda$  a  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph being a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. For example, it is true when  $t = 2$  and  $\lambda = 1$  by [6]. In the follow-up paper [7], the authors showed that for  $t \geq \lambda + 2$ , the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices is the only  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. It is obvious that the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices is a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. Thus a  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph is a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph if  $t \geq \lambda + 2$ . In this paper, we extend the result by showing that it is true for the cases of  $t \geq \lambda + 1$  (Corollary 4.2). Finally, this result, together with Theorem 1.2, extends Theorem 2.6 (Theorem 4.3).

## 2 Preliminaries

A *fancy wheel digraph* is obtained from the disjoint union of directed cycles by adding one vertex with arcs to and from each vertex on the cycles. A *k-diregular digraph* is a digraph in which each vertex has outdegree  $k$  and indegree  $k$  for a positive integer  $k$ . A digraph is said to be *diregular* if it is a  $k$ -diregular digraph for some positive integer  $k$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([6]). *A  $(2, 1)$ -liking digraph is a fancy wheel digraph or is  $k$ -diregular of order  $k^2 - k + 1$  for some integer  $k \geq 2$ .*

A *balanced block design* consists of a set  $X$  of  $v \geq 2$  elements, called *varieties*, and a collection of  $b > 0$  subsets of  $X$ , called *blocks*, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- Each block consists of exactly the same number  $k$  of varieties where  $k > 0$ ;
- Each variety appears in exactly the same number  $r$  of blocks where  $r > 0$ ;
- Each pair of varieties appears simultaneously in exactly the same number  $\lambda$  of blocks where  $\lambda > 0$ .

A balanced block design with  $k < v$  is called a *balanced incomplete block design* since each block has fewer varieties than the total number of varieties. Such a design is also called a  $(b, v, r, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD. A  $(b, v, r, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD is said to be *symmetric* if  $b = v$  and  $r = k$ . A symmetric  $(b, v, r, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD is termed as a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD. It is a well-known fact that in a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD, any two blocks have exactly  $\lambda$  varieties in common.

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be sets. A *(complete) system of distinct representatives* is a sequence  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  such that  $a_i \in A_i$  for all  $i$ , and no two of the  $a_i$  are the same. Hall's Marriage Theorem plays a significant role in this paper, and it is as follows.

**Theorem 2.2** ([4]). *The family  $\mathcal{A} = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$  of sets has a system of distinct representatives if and only if*

*(Hall's marriage condition) for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and each choice of  $k$  distinct indices  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  from  $[n]$ ,*

$$|A_{i_1} \cup A_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_k}| \geq k.$$

A digraph  $D$  is *complete* if, for every pair  $x, y$  of distinct vertices of  $D$ , both  $(x, y) \in A(D)$  and  $(y, x) \in A(D)$ . The complete digraph on  $n$  vertices is denoted by  $\overleftrightarrow{K}_n$ .

The results from the previous studies to be used in this paper are as follows.

**Proposition 2.3** ([11]). *Let  $D$  be a  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. Then  $|V(D)| \geq t + \lambda$  and  $\delta^+(D) \geq t + \lambda - 1$ .*

The following results are from [7].

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $D$  be a  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. If  $t \geq \lambda + 1$  or  $d^+(v) = t + \lambda - 1$  for each vertex  $v$ , then  $d^+(v) = d^-(v)$  for every vertex  $v$  in  $D$ .*

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $D$  be a  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. For each  $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$ , every  $t - i$  vertices have at least  $\lambda + i$  common out-neighbors in  $D$ .*

**Theorem 2.6.** *If  $t \geq \lambda + 2$ , then the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices is the only  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph.*

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $D$  be a  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph for some positive integers  $t, \lambda$  with  $t \geq 2$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

(a)  *$D$  is complete on  $t + \lambda$  vertices, that is,  $D \cong \overleftrightarrow{K}_{t+\lambda}$ .*

(b)  *$D$  is a  $(t - 1, \lambda + 1)$ -liking digraph.*

(c)  *$d^+(v) = t + \lambda - 1$  for each vertex  $v$ .*

*Furthermore, (a) is equivalent to the condition (d) if  $(t, \lambda) \neq (2, 1)$ ; (e) if  $t \geq 3$ , where*

(d) *there is a vertex  $v$  satisfying  $N^+(v) = V(D) \setminus \{v\}$ ;*

(e)  *$D$  is diregular.*

### 3 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Given a digraph  $D$ , the *converse* of  $D$ , denoted by  $D^\leftarrow$ , is the digraph obtained from  $D$  by reversing the direction of each arc in  $D$ . Then, by the definition of a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph, the following proposition is immediately true.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The converse of a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph is a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph.*

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $D$  be a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph of order  $n$  with  $\lambda \geq t \geq 2$ . Then  $D$  is  $k$ -diregular where*

$$\binom{n-1}{t-1} \binom{\lambda}{t} = \binom{k}{t} \binom{\lambda-1}{t-1}.$$

*Proof.* Take a vertex  $v$ . We consider the set  $S_v^+$  of ordered pairs  $(X, Y)$  such that  $X$  is a  $t$ -subset of  $N^+(v)$  and  $Y$  is a  $(t-1)$ -subset of  $(\bigcap_{x \in X} N^-(x)) \setminus \{v\}$ , that is,

$$S_v^+ := \left\{ (X, Y) : |X| = t, |Y| = t-1, X \subseteq N^+(v), Y \subseteq \left( \bigcap_{x \in X} N^-(x) \right) \setminus \{v\} \right\}.$$

We may compute  $|S_v^+|$  in two ways. First, fix  $X \subseteq N^+(v)$  with  $|X| = t$ . There are  $\binom{d^+(v)}{t}$  ways of doing so. Since  $D$  is a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph, the vertices in  $X$  have exactly  $\lambda$  common in-neighbors including  $v$ . Thus, given  $X$ , there are  $\binom{\lambda-1}{t-1}$  ways to choose  $Y$  so that  $(X, Y) \in S_v^+$ . Therefore

$$|S_v^+| = \binom{d^+(v)}{t} \binom{\lambda-1}{t-1}.$$

To apply the second method, fix  $Y \subseteq V(D) \setminus \{v\}$  with  $|Y| = t-1$ . There are  $\binom{n-1}{t-1}$  ways of choosing such a  $Y$ . Since any  $t$  vertices in  $D$  have exactly  $\lambda$  common out-neighbors,

$$\left| N^+(v) \cap \bigcap_{y \in Y} N^+(y) \right| = \lambda.$$

Thus there are  $\binom{\lambda}{t}$  ways to choose  $X$  so that  $(X, Y) \in S_v^+$ . Therefore

$$|S_v^+| = \binom{n-1}{t-1} \binom{\lambda}{t}.$$

Hence,

$$\binom{d^+(v)}{t} \binom{\lambda-1}{t-1} = \binom{n-1}{t-1} \binom{\lambda}{t}.$$

By Proposition 3.1, we may apply the above argument to the converse of  $D$  to obtain the equation

$$\binom{d^-(v)}{t} \binom{\lambda-1}{t-1} = \binom{n-1}{t-1} \binom{\lambda}{t}.$$

Thus

$$\binom{d^+(v)}{t} = \binom{d^-(v)}{t} = \frac{\binom{n-1}{t-1} \binom{\lambda}{t}}{\binom{\lambda-1}{t-1}},$$

which implies that  $d^+(v)$  and  $d^-(v)$  are equal and expressed only in terms of  $n$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $t$ . Therefore  $d^+(v) = d^-(v) = k$  for some positive integer  $k$  satisfying

$$\binom{n-1}{t-1} \binom{\lambda}{t} = \binom{k}{t} \binom{\lambda-1}{t-1}.$$

Since  $v$  was arbitrarily chosen,  $D$  is  $k$ -diregular. □

Lemma 3.2 directly implies that for an integer  $\lambda \geq 2$ , a two-way  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph is  $k$ -diregular for some positive integer  $k$  satisfying  $\lambda(n-1) = k(k-1)$ . Thus Theorem 1.1 is valid. We further study the existence of a  $k$ -diregular two-way  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph by using an  $(n, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD.

**Proposition 3.3.** *A  $k$ -diregular two-way  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph of order  $n$  exists if there is an  $(n, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD with  $n \geq 2\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is an  $(n, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD with  $n \geq 2\lambda$ . By one of well-known facts for the parameters of a symmetric design,

$$\lambda(n-1) = k(k-1).$$

By the definition of a balanced incomplete block design,

$$k < n. \tag{1}$$

Let  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be the set of varieties of the  $(n, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$  be the set of blocks of the  $(n, k, \lambda)$ -SBIBD.

**Claim A.** The collection  $\{V - B_1, \dots, V - B_n\}$  has a system of distinct representatives.

*Proof of Claim A.* We will show that  $\{V - B_1, \dots, V - B_n\}$  satisfies Hall's marriage condition given in Theorem 2.2. To this end, take an arbitrary nonempty subset  $S \subseteq [n]$ . Since

$$\left| \bigcup_{s \in S} (V - B_s) \right| = \left| V - \bigcap_{s \in S} B_s \right|,$$

we only need to show

$$\left| \bigcap_{s \in S} B_s \right| \leq n - |S|.$$

If  $|S| = 1$ , then, by (1),

$$\left| \bigcap_{s \in S} B_s \right| = |B_i| = k \leq n - 1 = n - |S|$$

for some  $i \in [n]$ . If  $1 < |S| \leq \lambda$ , then, by the hypothesis that  $n \geq 2\lambda$ ,

$$\left| \bigcap_{s \in S} B_s \right| \leq |B_i \cap B_j| = \lambda \leq n - \lambda \leq n - |S|$$

for  $\{i, j\} \subseteq S$  where the second inequality holds by the fact that any two blocks have exactly  $\lambda$  varieties in common. If  $\lambda < |S| \leq k$ , then, by (1),

$$\left| \bigcap_{s \in S} B_s \right| \leq 1 \leq n - k \leq n - |S|,$$

where the first inequality holds by the fact that each pair of varieties simultaneously appears in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks. If  $k < |S|$ , then

$$\left| \bigcap_{s \in S} B_s \right| = 0 \leq n - |S|,$$

where the first equality is true by the fact that each variety is contained in exactly  $k$  blocks. Thus, no matter what the size of  $S$  is, the following holds:

$$\left| \bigcap_{s \in S} B_s \right| \leq n - |S|.$$

Therefore Hall's marriage condition is satisfied and so  $\{V - B_1, \dots, V - B_n\}$  has a system of distinct representatives by Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

By the above claim,  $\{V - B_1, \dots, V - B_n\}$  has a system of distinct representatives  $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}\}$ . Now, we consider the digraph  $D$  with the vertex set  $V$  and the arc set

$$\bigcup_{t=1}^n \{(v, v_{i_t}) : v \in B_t\}.$$

Since  $v_{i_t} \notin B_t$  for each  $1 \leq t \leq n$ ,  $D$  is loopless. Further, since any two varieties in  $V$  are contained in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks, any two vertices in  $D$  have precisely  $\lambda$  common out-neighbors. Since any two blocks have exactly  $\lambda$  varieties in common and  $V(D) = \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}\}$ , any two vertices in  $D$  have precisely  $\lambda$  common in-neighbors. Thus  $D$  is a two-way  $(2, \lambda)$ -liking digraph of order  $n$ . Since each block has size  $k$  and the design is symmetric,  $D$  is  $k$ -diregular.  $\square$

Now, we go further to derive more results for proving Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $D$  be a two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph for some integer  $\lambda \geq 2$ . If  $(u, v) \notin A(D)$  or  $(v, u) \notin A(D)$  for some two vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , then  $d^+(u) = d^+(v)$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that there are two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  with  $(u, v) \notin A(D)$ . That is,

$$u \notin N^-(v) \quad \text{and} \quad v \notin N^+(u).$$

Take a  $\lambda$ -subset  $S$  in  $N^+(u)$ . Since  $v \notin N^+(u)$ ,  $|S \cup \{v\}| = \lambda + 1$ . Thus there is a unique  $\lambda$ -subset  $T$  in  $N^-(v)$  in which each vertex is a common in-neighbor of the vertices in  $S \cup \{v\}$ . Since  $u \notin N^-(v)$ ,  $u \notin T$ . We let  $f(S) = T$ . Then  $f$  is a function from the family  $\mathcal{F}^+$  of  $\lambda$ -subsets in  $N^+(u)$  to the family  $\mathcal{F}^-$  of  $\lambda$ -subsets in  $N^-(v)$ . Suppose that  $f(S') = T$  for a  $\lambda$ -subset  $S'$  in  $N^+(u)$  distinct from  $S$ . Then the vertices in  $T \cup \{u\}$  are common in-neighbors of the vertices in  $S \cup S'$ . However,  $|S \cup S'| \geq \lambda + 1$  and  $|T \cup \{u\}| = \lambda + 1$ , so we reach a contradiction to the fact that  $D$  is a two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. Hence  $f$  is one-to-one. Thus we have

$$\binom{d^+(u)}{\lambda} = |\mathcal{F}^+| \leq |\mathcal{F}^-| = \binom{d^-(v)}{\lambda}$$

and so  $d^+(u) \leq d^-(v)$ . Since a two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph is a  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph,  $d^-(v) = d^+(v)$  by Proposition 2.4. Therefore

$$d_D^+(u) \leq d_D^+(v).$$

Now, we consider the converse  $D^\leftarrow$  of  $D$ . Then  $(v, u) \notin A(D^\leftarrow)$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $D^\leftarrow$  is a two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. Thus we may apply the same argument as above to obtain

$$d_{D^\leftarrow}^+(v) \leq d_{D^\leftarrow}^+(u),$$

i.e.

$$d_D^-(v) \leq d_D^-(u).$$

Therefore  $d_D^+(v) \leq d_D^+(u)$  by Proposition 2.4. Hence  $d_D^+(u) = d_D^+(v)$ .  $\square$

Given a digraph  $D$  of order  $n$ , the *complement* of  $D$ , denoted by  $\overline{D}$ , is the digraph with  $V(\overline{D}) = V(D)$  and  $A(\overline{D}) = A(\overrightarrow{K}_n) - A(D)$ .



**Proposition 3.5.** *The complete digraph on  $2\lambda + 1$  vertices is the only two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph for an integer  $\lambda \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph for some integer  $\lambda \geq 2$ . We first consider the case in which the complement  $\overline{D}$  of  $D$  is weakly connected. Take two vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . Since  $\overline{D}$  is weakly connected, there is a  $(u, v)$ -path  $P$  in  $U(\overline{D})$  where  $U(\overline{D})$  denotes the underlying graph of  $\overline{D}$ . This implies that for any two consecutive vertices  $x$  and  $y$  on  $P$ ,  $(x, y) \notin A(D)$  or  $(y, x) \notin A(D)$ . Thus we may apply Lemma 3.4 to conclude that  $d^+(u) = d^+(v)$ . By the way, by Proposition 2.4,  $d^-(u) = d^+(u) = d^+(v) = d^-(v)$ . Since  $u$  and  $v$  were arbitrarily chosen, we may conclude that  $D$  is diregular. Therefore  $D$  is the complete digraph on  $2\lambda + 1$  vertices by Theorem 2.7(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

Now we consider the case in which the complement  $\overline{D}$  of  $D$  is not weakly connected. Then the vertex set  $V(D)$  can be partitioned into two subsets  $X$  and  $Y$  such that there is no arc in  $\overline{D}$  between a vertex in  $X$  and a vertex in  $Y$ . Thus for any  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ ,

$$(x, y) \in A(D) \quad \text{and} \quad (y, x) \in A(D). \quad (2)$$

Furthermore, since  $|V(D)| \geq 2\lambda + 1$  by Proposition 2.3 and  $V(D) = X \cup Y$ , one of  $X$  and  $Y$  has at least  $\lambda + 1$  vertices. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $|X| \geq \lambda + 1$ . Then any  $\lambda + 1$  vertices in  $X$  have the vertices in  $Y$  as common out-neighbors by (2). Thus, since  $D$  is a two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph,

$$|Y| \leq \lambda. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, any  $\lambda + 1$  vertices in  $X$  have exactly  $\lambda - |Y|$  common out-neighbors in  $X$ . Then  $D[X]$  is a  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda - |Y|)$ -liking digraph.

To the contrary, suppose that neither  $D[X]$  nor  $D[Y]$  are complete. If  $1 \leq |Y| < \lambda$ , then

$$\lambda + 1 \geq (\lambda - |Y|) + 2$$

and so the  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda - |Y|)$ -liking digraph  $D[X]$  is complete by Theorem 2.6, which is a contradiction. Thus  $|Y| \geq \lambda$ . Then  $|Y| = \lambda$  by (3). Take a vertex  $x$  in  $X$ . Then, since

$$N^+(x) \cap \bigcap_{y \in Y} N^+(y) = N^+(x) \cap X$$

by (2) and  $|\{x\} \cup Y| = \lambda + 1$ ,

$$|N^+(x) \cap X| = \lambda.$$

Since  $D[Y]$  is not complete, there are vertices  $y$  and  $y'$  in  $Y$  such that

$$(y', y) \notin A(D).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
N^+(x) \cap N^+(y') &= (N^+(x) \cap N^+(y') \cap X) \cup (N^+(x) \cap N^+(y') \cap Y) \\
&= (N^+(x) \cap X) \cup (N^+(y') \cap Y) \\
&\subseteq (N^+(x) \cap X) \cup (Y \setminus \{y, y'\}).
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds by (2), which implies  $X \subseteq N^+(y')$  and  $Y \subseteq N^+(x)$ . Thus

$$|N^+(x) \cap N^+(y')| \leq |N^+(x) \cap X| + |Y \setminus \{y, y'\}| = \lambda + (\lambda - 2) = 2\lambda - 2.$$

However, by Proposition 2.5,  $x$  and  $y'$  have at least  $2\lambda - 1$  common out-neighbors. Therefore we have reached a contradiction. Thus  $D[X]$  or  $D[Y]$  is complete. Then there is a vertex in  $D$  having outdegree  $|V(D)| - 1$  by (2) and so  $D$  is the complete digraph on  $2\lambda + 1$  vertices by Theorem 2.7(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $D$  be a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph with  $t \geq 3$ . If  $\lambda \geq t$ , then  $D$  is diregular by Lemma 3.2 and so  $D$  is the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices by Theorem 2.7(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). If  $t \geq \lambda + 2$ , then  $D$  is the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices by Theorem 2.6. If  $t = \lambda + 1$ , then  $D$  is the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices by Proposition 3.5. Therefore we may conclude that  $D$  is the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices.  $\square$

## 4 An extension of results on $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs

**Proposition 4.1.** *A  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph is a two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph for a positive integer  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph for a positive integer  $\lambda$ . To prove that  $D$  is a two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph, we first show that

$$\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(D) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} \left| \bigcap_{v \in S} N^-(v) \right| = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(D) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} \lambda.$$

Noting that

$$\left| \bigcap_{v \in S} N^-(v) \right| = \sum_{w \in \bigcap_{v \in S} N^-(v)} 1,$$

for a vertex set  $S$  of  $D$ , we may change the order of the double summation as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(D) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} \left| \bigcap_{v \in S} N^-(v) \right| = \sum_{w \in V(D)} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq N^+(w) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} 1.$$

By Proposition 2.4,  $d^+(w) = d^-(w)$  for each vertex  $w$  in  $D$  and so

$$\sum_{w \in V(D)} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq N^+(w) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} 1 = \sum_{w \in V(D)} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq N^-(w) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} 1.$$

By changing the order of the double summation, we obtain

$$\sum_{w \in V(D)} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq N^-(w) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(D) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} \left| \bigcap_{v \in S} N^+(v) \right|.$$

Since  $D$  is a  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph,

$$\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(D) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} \left| \bigcap_{v \in S} N^+(v) \right| = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(D) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} \lambda.$$

Accordingly, we have shown that

$$\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(D) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} \left| \bigcap_{v \in S} N^-(v) \right| = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V(D) \\ |S| = \lambda + 1}} \lambda.$$

By the way, since  $D$  is a  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph, for any  $(\lambda + 1)$ -subset  $S$  of  $V(D)$ ,

$$\left| \bigcap_{v \in S} N^-(v) \right| \leq \lambda.$$

Therefore, for any  $(\lambda + 1)$ -subset  $S$  of  $V(D)$ ,

$$\left| \bigcap_{v \in S} N^-(v) \right| = \lambda.$$

That is, any  $\lambda + 1$  vertices in  $D$  have exactly  $\lambda$  common in-neighbors. Hence,  $D$  is a two-way  $(\lambda + 1, \lambda)$ -liking digraph.  $\square$

In [6] and [7], it was shown that when  $t$  and  $\lambda$  satisfy  $(t, \lambda) = (2, 1)$  or  $t \geq \lambda + 2$ , a digraph is a  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph if and only if it is a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph. Thus Proposition 4.1 extends the results on  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraphs as follows.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $t$  and  $\lambda$  be positive integers satisfying  $t \geq \lambda + 1$ . Then a digraph is a  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph if and only if it is a two-way  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph.*

The lower bound of the above corollary is tight. In [7], there is an example that is a  $(2, 2)$ -liking digraph of order 7 which is not diregular. It is easy to check that this digraph is not a two-way  $(2, 2)$ -liking digraph.

Now, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 4.2 extend Theorem 2.6.

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $t \geq \lambda + 1$  and  $t \geq 3$ , then the complete digraph on  $t + \lambda$  vertices is the only  $(t, \lambda)$ -liking digraph.*

## 5 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Science Research Center Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korean Government (MSIT)(NRF-2022R1A2C1009648).

## References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin. *Classes of directed graphs*, volume 11. Springer, 2018.
- [2] A. Bondy and U. Murty. *Graph Theory. LNCS*, volume 655. Springer, 2010.
- [3] R. C. Bose and S. Shrikhande. Graphs in which each pair of vertices is adjacent to the same number  $d$  of other vertices. *North Carolina State University. Dept. of Statistics*, 1969.
- [4] R. A. Brualdi. *Introductory Combinatorics*. Pearson Education India, 1977.
- [5] H. G. Carstens and A. Kruse. Graphs in which each  $m$ -tuple of vertices is adjacent to the same number  $n$  of other vertices. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 22(3):286–288, 1977.
- [6] M. Choi, H. Chu, and S.-R. Kim. A digraph version of the friendship theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04058*, 2023.
- [7] M. Choi, H. Chu, and S.-R. Kim. Digraphs in which every  $t$  vertices have exactly  $\lambda$  common out-neighbors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.02662*, 2024.
- [8] C. Delorme and G. Hahn. Infinite generalized friendship graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 49(3):261–266, 1984.
- [9] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós. On a problem of graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar*, 1:215–235, 1966.

- [10] V. Müller and J. Pelant. On strongly homogeneous tournaments. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 24(3):378–391, 1974.
- [11] J. Plesník. Graphs with a homogeneity. *Glasnik Matematicki*, 10:9–23, 1975.
- [12] M. Sudolský. A generalization of the friendship theorem. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 28(1):57–59, 1978.