

# GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND BLOW-UP OF SOLUTIONS TO POROUS MEDIUM EQUATION FOR BAOUENDI-GRUSHIN OPERATOR

AISHABIBI DUKENBAYEVA

ABSTRACT. In this note, we show a global existence and blow-up of the positive solutions to the initial-boundary value problem of the nonlinear porous medium equation related to Baouendi-Grushin operator. Our approach is based on the concavity argument and the Poincaré inequality for Baouendi-Grushin vector fields from [35], inspired by the recent works [28] and [29].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $z := (x, y) := (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $m, k \geq 1$  and  $m + k = n$ . Consider the vector fields

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad Y_j = |x|^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \quad \gamma \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Then the corresponding sub-elliptic gradient and Baouendi-Grushin operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+k}$  are defined by

$$\nabla_\gamma := (X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = (\nabla_x, |x|^\gamma \nabla_y). \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\Delta_\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^k Y_j^2 = \Delta_x + |x|^{2\gamma} \Delta_y = \nabla_\gamma \cdot \nabla_\gamma, \quad (1.2)$$

respectively, where  $\Delta_x$  and  $\Delta_y$  stand for the standard Laplacians in the variables  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ .

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+k}$  be a bounded domain (open and connected) supporting the divergence formula and  $D \setminus \{(x, y) \in \overline{D} : x = 0\}$  consists of only one connected component. In this note, we study the global existence and blow-up of the positive solutions to the initial-boundary problem of the nonlinear porous medium equation related to the Baouendi-Grushin operator

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta_\gamma(u^\ell) = f(u), & (x, y) \in D, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, y, t) = 0, & (x, y) \in \partial D, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y) \geq 0, & (x, y) \in \overline{D}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K65, 35K91, 35B44, 35A01.

*Key words and phrases.* Blow-up, porous medium equation, global solution, Baouendi-Grushin operator.

This research is funded by the Committee of Science of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP14972714).

where  $\ell \geq 1$ ,  $f$  is locally Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(0) = 0$ , and such that  $f(u) > 0$  for  $u > 0$ . Furthermore, we suppose that  $u_0$  is a non-negative and non-trivial function in  $C^1(\overline{D})$  with  $u_0(x, y) = 0$  on the boundary  $\partial D$ .

Recall that for an even positive integer  $\gamma$  the Baouendi-Grushin operator can be represented by a sum of squares of smooth vector fields satisfying the Hörmander rank condition

$$\text{rank Lie}[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_k] = n.$$

The anisotropic dilation attached to  $\Delta_\gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+k}$  and the homogeneous dimension are defined by

$$\delta_\lambda(z) = (\lambda x, \lambda^{1+\gamma} y), \quad \lambda > 0$$

and

$$Q = m + (1 + \gamma)k, \tag{1.4}$$

respectively. Recall also that a change of variables formula for the Lebesgue measure gives that

$$d \circ \delta_\lambda(x, y) = \lambda^Q dx dy.$$

We will also use the Sobolev space  $H_0^{1,\gamma}(D)$  obtained as completion of  $C_0^\infty(D)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_0^{1,\gamma}(D)} = \left( \int_D |\nabla_\gamma f|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

One notable example of nonlinear parabolic equations is the porous medium equation. It describes a variety of phenomena, encompassing fluid flow, heat transfer, and diffusion processes. Furthermore, its applications span across various fields, including mathematical biology, lubrication, boundary layer theory, and etc. In the case  $\gamma = 0$ , hence  $\Delta_\gamma$  reduces to the usual Laplacian  $\Delta$ , for existence and nonexistence results for the problem (1.3) we refer to e.g. [4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 29, 31, 32] and to [14, 15] for the fractional porous medium equation as well as to [16] on Cartan-Hadamard manifolds. Applying the concavity method, for blow-up and global existence of the solution to the problem (1.3) Schaefer [33] established a condition on the initial data when  $\gamma = 0$  and  $f(u) = Cu^p$  for some positive constant  $C$  and  $p > \ell \geq 1$  on a smoothly bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Recently, in [29] the authors studied similar questions for the double nonlinear porous medium equation. For a more comprehensive mathematical study of the porous medium equation we refer to Vazquez's book [34].

In this note, we are interested in extensions of such results from the Laplacian to the Baouendi-Grushin operator. In the setting of hypoelliptic operators, the authors in [36] investigated blow-up of the solutions to the following semi-linear diffusion equation on the Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}^n$

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}u(x, t) = |u(x, t)|^p, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n \times (0, +\infty),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the sub-Laplacian on  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . In this setting, we also refer to [1, 2, 11, 20, 21] for blow-up type results for the semi-linear diffusion and pseudo-parabolic equations. Recently, in [28] the authors obtained global existence and blow-up type results for the problem (1.3) but with a sub-Laplacian on stratified Lie groups. We also refer to [27] where the authors found the Fujita exponent on general unimodular Lie groups.

One of the main difference of the Baouendi-Grushin operator than above sub-Laplacians on stratified or unimodular Lie groups is the Baouendi-Grushin operator cannot be represented by a sum of squares of smooth vector fields satisfying the Hörmander condition when  $\gamma$  is not even integer. Moreover, unlike the setting of the Heisenberg group, the Baouendi-Grushin operator can be reduced to the usual Euclidean Laplacian when  $\gamma = 0$  thus implying the corresponding results for the classical Laplacian.

Before stating our main results, let us recall the following Poincaré inequality for Baouendi-Grushin vector fields from [35]:

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+k}$  be a bounded domain (open and connected) supporting the divergence formula and  $D \setminus \{(x, y) \in \overline{D} : x = 0\}$  consists of only one connected component. For every function  $u \in H_0^{1,\gamma}(D)$  we have*

$$\int_D |\nabla_\gamma u|^2 dx dy \geq \lambda_1 \int_D |u|^2 dx dy, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Baouendi-Grushin operator on  $D$ .

**Remark 1.2.** For more details on spectral properties of the Dirichlet Baouendi-Grushin operator we refer to e.g. [3], [25] and [24].

Further, we always assume that  $D$  is as in Lemma 1.1.

Thus, our first result on the blow-up property is as follows:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume that*

$$\alpha F(u) \leq u^\ell f(u) + \beta u^{2\ell} + \alpha \theta, \quad u > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$F(u) = \frac{2\ell}{\ell+1} \int_0^u s^{\ell-1} f(s) ds, \quad \ell \geq 1,$$

for some

$$\theta > 0, \quad 0 < \beta \leq \lambda_1 \frac{(\alpha - \ell - 1)}{\ell + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha > \ell + 1.$$

Let the initial data  $u_0 \in L^\infty(D) \cap H_0^{1,\gamma}(D)$  satisfy the inequality

$$J_0 := -\frac{1}{\ell+1} \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u_0^\ell(x, y)|^2 dx dy + \int_D (F(u_0(x, y)) - \theta) dx dy > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Then any positive solution  $u$  of the problem (1.3) blows up in finite time  $T^*$ , that is, there exists

$$0 < T^* \leq \frac{M}{\sigma \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy}, \quad (1.8)$$

such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell+1}(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau = +\infty, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $M > 0$  and  $\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{2\ell\alpha}}{\ell+1} - 1 > 0$ . In fact, we can take

$$M = \frac{(1 + \sigma)(1 + 1/\sigma) (\int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy)^2}{\alpha(\ell + 1) J_0}.$$

**Remark 1.4.** Recall that the condition on  $f(u)$  was introduced by Chung and Choi [7] for a parabolic equation with the classical Laplacian. We also refer to [26] and [5] for special cases of this condition. For recent papers on such conditions, we can refer to [28], [29] and [30].

**Remark 1.5.** In particular, Theorem 1.3 for  $\ell = 1$  was obtained in [35].

The next result shows that under some assumptions, if a positive solution to (1.3) exists, its norm is globally controlled.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Assume that*

$$\alpha F(u) \geq u^\ell f(u) + \beta u^{2\ell} + \alpha\theta, \quad u > 0, \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$F(u) = \frac{2\ell}{\ell+1} \int_0^u s^{\ell-1} f(s) ds, \quad \ell \geq 1,$$

for some

$$\theta \geq 0, \quad \alpha \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \geq \lambda_1 \frac{(\alpha - \ell - 1)}{\ell + 1}.$$

Assume also that the initial data  $u_0 \in L^\infty(D) \cap H_0^{1,\gamma}(D)$  satisfies the inequality

$$J_0 := \int_D (F(u_0(x, y)) - \theta) dx dy - \frac{1}{\ell+1} \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u_0^\ell(x, y)|^2 dx dy > 0. \quad (1.11)$$

If  $u$  is a positive local solution of the problem (1.3), then it is global with the property

$$\int_D u^{\ell+1}(x, y, t) dx dy \leq \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy.$$

## 2. PROOFS

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Assume that  $u(x, y, t)$  is a positive solution to (1.3). Let us define

$$E(t) := \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell+1}(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau + M, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with  $M > 0$  to be chosen later. Then, for  $E(t)$  one can observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= \int_D u^{\ell+1}(x, y, t) dx dy \\ &= (\ell+1) \int_D \int_0^t u^\ell(x, y, \tau) u_\tau(x, y, \tau) d\tau dx dy + \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hölder and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} (E'(t))^2 &\leq (1+\delta) \left( \int_D \int_0^t (u^{\ell+1}(x, y, \tau))_\tau d\tau dx dy \right)^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \left( \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy \right)^2 \\ &= (\ell+1)^2 (1+\delta) \left( \int_D \int_0^t u^\ell(x, y, \tau) u_\tau(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \left( \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\ell + 1)^2(1 + \delta) \left( \int_D \int_0^t u^{(\ell+1)/2+(\ell-1)/2}(x, y, \tau) u_\tau(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau \right)^2 \\
&+ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left( \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy \right)^2 \\
&\leq (\ell + 1)^2(1 + \delta) \left( \int_D \left( \int_0^t u^{\ell+1} d\tau \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t u^{\ell-1} u_\tau^2(x, y, \tau) d\tau \right)^{1/2} dx dy \right)^2 \\
&+ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left( \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy \right)^2 \\
&\leq (\ell + 1)^2(1 + \delta) \left( \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell+1} dx dy d\tau \right) \left( \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell-1} u_\tau^2(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau \right) \\
&+ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left( \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy \right)^2 \tag{2.1}
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $\delta > 0$ .

For the second derivative of  $E(t)$ , by (1.6), Lemma 1.1 and  $0 < \beta \leq \lambda_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E''(t) &= (\ell + 1) \int_D u^\ell(x, y, t) u_t(x, y, t) dx dy \\
&= -(\ell + 1) \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy + (\ell + 1) \int_D u^\ell(x, y, t) f(u(x, t)) dx dy \\
&\geq (\ell + 1) \int_D (\alpha F(u(x, y, t)) - \beta u^{2\ell}(x, y, t) - \alpha \theta) dx dy \\
&\quad - (\ell + 1) \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy \\
&= \alpha(\ell + 1) \left( -\frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy + \int_D (F(u(x, y, t)) - \theta) dx dy \right) \\
&\quad + (\alpha - \ell - 1) \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy - \beta(\ell + 1) \int_D u^{2\ell}(x, y, t) dx dy \\
&\geq \alpha(\ell + 1) \left( -\frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy + \int_D (F(u(x, y, t)) - \theta) dx dy \right) \\
&\quad + (\lambda_1(\alpha - \ell - 1) - \beta(\ell + 1)) \int_D u^{2\ell}(x, y, t) dx dy \\
&\geq \alpha(\ell + 1) \left( -\frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy + \int_D (F(u(x, y, t)) - \theta) dx dy \right) \\
&=: \alpha(\ell + 1) J(t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \alpha(\ell + 1) J(0) + 2\alpha\ell \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell-1}(x, y, \tau) u_\tau^2(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau,$$

where we have used the following relation in the last line

$$\begin{aligned}
J(t) &= J(0) + \int_0^t \frac{dJ(\tau)}{d\tau} d\tau = J(0) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\ell+1} \int_0^t \int_D \frac{d}{d\tau} |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, \tau)|^2 dx dy d\tau + \int_0^t \int_D \frac{d}{d\tau} (F(u(x, y, \tau)) - \theta) dx dy d\tau \\
&\quad = J(0) - \frac{2}{\ell+1} \int_0^t \int_D \nabla_\gamma u^\ell \cdot \nabla_\gamma (u^\ell(x, y, \tau))_\tau dx dy d\tau \\
&\quad \quad + \int_0^t \int_D F_u(u(x, y, \tau)) u_\tau(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau \\
&\quad = J(0) + \frac{2}{\ell+1} \int_0^t \int_D (\Delta_\gamma(u^\ell) + f(u))(u^\ell(x, y, \tau))_\tau dx dy d\tau \\
&\quad = J(0) + \frac{2\ell}{\ell+1} \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell-1}(x, y, \tau) u_\tau^2(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau. \quad (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $J_0$  from (1.7) we have  $J(0) = J_0$ . Since  $\alpha > \ell + 1$  implies  $\sigma = \delta = \frac{\sqrt{2\ell\alpha}}{\ell+1} - 1 > 0$  and combining the above estimates, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&E''(t)E(t) - (1 + \sigma)(E'(t))^2 \\
&\geq \alpha M(\ell + 1) \left( -\frac{1}{\ell+1} \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u_0^\ell|^2 dx dy + \int_D (F(u_0) - \theta) dx dy \right) \\
&\quad + 2\ell\alpha \left( \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell+1}(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau \right) \left( \int_0^t \int_D u_\tau^2(x, \tau) u^{\ell-1}(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau \right) \\
&\quad - (\ell + 1)^2 (1 + \sigma)(1 + \delta) \left( \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell+1} dx dy d\tau \right) \left( \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell-1} u_\tau^2(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau \right) \\
&\quad - (1 + \sigma) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left( \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy \right)^2 \\
&\geq \alpha M(\ell + 1) J(0) - (1 + \sigma) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left( \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that by (1.7) we have  $J(0) > 0$ , thus we can choose

$$M = \frac{(1 + \sigma) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left( \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy \right)^2}{\alpha(\ell + 1)J(0)}$$

so that

$$E''(t)E(t) - (1 + \sigma)(E'(t))^2 \geq 0 \quad (2.3)$$

holds. On the other hand, it means for  $t \geq 0$  that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{E'(t)}{E^{\sigma+1}(t)} \right) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} E'(t) \geq \left( \frac{E'(0)}{E^{\sigma+1}(0)} \right) E^{1+\sigma}(t), \\ E(0) = M. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account  $\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{2\ell\alpha}}{\ell+1} - 1 > 0$ , we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{\sigma} (E^{-\sigma}(t) - E^{-\sigma}(0)) \geq \frac{E'(0)}{E^{\sigma+1}(0)} t,$$

which implies together with  $E(0) = M$  that

$$E(t) \geq \left( \frac{1}{M^\sigma} - \frac{\sigma \int_D u_0^{\ell+1}(x, y) dx dy}{M^{\sigma+1}} t \right)^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}.$$

Thus, we have observed that the blow-up time  $T^*$  satisfies

$$0 < T^* \leq \frac{M}{\sigma \int_D u_0^{\ell+1} dx dy},$$

as desired.  $\square$

Let us now prove Theorem 1.6.

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Here, we work with the functional

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_D u^{\ell+1}(x, y, t) dx dy.$$

By using (1.10), Lemma 1.1 and  $\beta \geq \lambda_1 \frac{(\alpha - \ell - 1)}{\ell + 1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'(t) &= (\ell + 1) \int_D u^\ell(x, y, t) u_t(x, t) dx dy \\ &= (\ell + 1) \left( \int_D u^\ell(x, y, t) \nabla_\gamma \cdot (\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)) + \int_D u^\ell(x, y, t) f(u(x, y, t)) dx dy \right) \\ &= (\ell + 1) \left( - \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy + \int_D u^\ell(x, y, t) f(u(x, y, t)) dx dy \right) \\ &\leq (\ell + 1) \left( - \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy + \int_D (\alpha F(u(x, y, t)) - \beta u^{2\ell}(x, y, t) - \alpha \theta) dx dy \right) \\ &= \alpha(\ell + 1) \left( - \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy + \int_D (F(u(x, y, t)) - \theta) dx dy \right) \\ &\quad - (\ell + 1 - \alpha) \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy - \beta(\ell + 1) \int_D u^{2\ell}(x, y, t) dx dy \\ &\leq \alpha(\ell + 1) \left( - \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy + \int_D (F(u(x, y, t)) - \theta) dx dy \right) \\ &\quad - (\lambda_1(\ell + 1 - \alpha) + \beta(\ell + 1)) \int_D u^{2\ell}(x, y, t) dx dy \\ &\leq \alpha(\ell + 1) \left( - \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_D |\nabla_\gamma u^\ell(x, y, t)|^2 dx dy + \int_D (F(u(x, y, t)) - \theta) dx dy \right) \\ &= \alpha(\ell + 1) J(t), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the same functional  $J(t)$  as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. (2.2) Note that taking into account (2.2) and  $\alpha \leq 0$  in the estimate for  $\mathcal{E}'(t)$  above one

can get

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) \leq \alpha(\ell + 1)J(0) + 2\alpha\ell \int_0^t \int_D u^{\ell-1}(x, y, \tau) u_\tau^2(x, y, \tau) dx dy d\tau \leq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

which yields

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathcal{E}(0),$$

as desired.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, M. Kirane, M. Al-Yami. *Nonexistence results for higher order pseudo-parabolic equations in the Heisenberg group*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 40:1280–1287, 2017.
- [2] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, M. Kirane. *Blow-up of solutions to parabolic inequalities in the Heisenberg group*. Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2015: Art. No. 167, 2015.
- [3] C.T. Anh, P.Q. Hung, T.D. Ke, T.T. Phong. *Global attractor for a semilinear parabolic equation involving Grushin operator*. Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2008: Art. No. 32, 2008.
- [4] J.M. Ball. *Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations*. Quart. J. Math., 28:473–486, 1977.
- [5] C. Bandle, H. Brunner. *Blow-up in diffusion equations, a survey*. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 97:3–22, 1998.
- [6] G.I. Barenblatt, J. Garcia-Azorero, A. De Pablo, J.L. Vazquez. *Mathematical model of the non-equilibrium water-oil displacement in porous strata*. Appl. Anal., 65:19–45, 1997.
- [7] S.-Y. Chung, M.-J. Choi. *A new condition for the concavity method of blow-up solutions to  $p$ -Laplacian parabolic equations*. J. Differential Equations, 265:6384–6399, 2018.
- [8] X. Chen, M. Fila, J.S. Guo. *Boundedness of global solutions of a supercritical parabolic equation*. Nonlinear Anal., 68:621–628, 2008.
- [9] J. Ding, H. Hu. *Blow-up and global solutions for a class of nonlinear reaction diffusion equations under Dirichlet boundary conditions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 433:1718–1735, 2016.
- [10] K. Deng, H.A. Levine. *The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems: The sequel*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 243:85–126, 2000.
- [11] L. D’Ambrosio. *Critical degenerate inequalities on the Heisenberg group*. Manuscripta Math., 106:519–536, 2001.
- [12] V.A. Galaktionov, J.L. Vázquez. *Continuation of blowup solutions of nonlinear heat equations in several dimensions*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 50:1–67, 1997.
- [13] G. Grillo, M. Muratori, M. Porzio. *Porous media equations with two weights: Existence, uniqueness, smoothing and decay properties of energy solutions via Poincaré inequalities*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 33:3599–3640, 2013.
- [14] G. Grillo, M. Muratori, F. Punzo. *Fractional porous media equations: Existence and uniqueness of weak solutions with measure data*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 54:3303–3335, 2015.
- [15] G. Grillo, M. Muratori, F. Punzo. *On the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the fractional porous medium equation with variable density*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 35:5927–5962, 2015.
- [16] G. Grillo, M. Muratori, F. Punzo. *Blow-up and global existence for the porous medium equation with reaction on a class of Cartan–Hadamard manifolds*. J. Differential Equations, 266:4305–4336, 2019.
- [17] K. Hayakawa. *On nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic differential equations*. Proc. Japan Acad., 49:503–505, 1973.
- [18] R.G. Iagar, A. Sanchez. *Large time behavior for a porous medium equation in a nonhomogeneous medium with critical density*. Nonlinear Anal., 102:226–241, 2014.



- [19] R.G. Iagar, A. Sanchez. *Blow up profiles for a quasilinear reaction–diffusion equation with weighted reaction with linear growth*. J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 31:2061–2094, 2019.
- [20] M. Jleli, M. Kirane, B. Samet. *Nonexistence results for a class of evolution equations in the Heisenberg group*. Fract. Calc. Appl., 18:717–734, 2015.
- [21] M. Jleli, M. Kirane, B. Samet. *Nonexistence results for pseudo-parabolic equations in the Heisenberg group*. Monatsh. Math., 180:255–270, 2016.
- [22] H.A. Levine. *The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems*. SIAM Rev., 32:262–288, 1990.
- [23] H.A. Levine, L.E. Payne. *Nonexistence theorems for the heat equation with nonlinear boundary conditions and for the porous medium equation backward in time*. J. Differential Equations, 16:319–334, 1974.
- [24] D.D. Monticelli, K.R. Payne. *Maximum principles for weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations with a uniformly elliptic direction*. J. Differential Equations, 247:1993–2026, 2009.
- [25] M. Mihailescu, D. Stancu-Dumitru, C. Varga. *On the spectrum of a Baouendi–Grushin type operator: an Orlicz–Sobolev space setting approach*. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl., 22:1067–1087, 2015.
- [26] G.A. Philippin, V. Proytcheva. *Some remarks on the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of a class of parabolic problems*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 29:297–307, 2006.
- [27] M. Ruzhansky, N. Yessirkegenov. *Existence and non-existence of global solutions for semilinear heat equations and inequalities on sub-Riemannian manifolds, and Fujita exponent on unimodular Lie groups*. J. Differential Equations, 308:455–473, 2022.
- [28] M. Ruzhansky, B. Sabitbek, B. Torebek. *Global existence and blow-up of solutions to porous medium equation and pseudo-parabolic equation, I. Stratified group*. Manuscripta Math., 171:377–395, 2023.
- [29] B. Sabitbek, B. Torebek. *Global existence and blow-up of solutions to the double nonlinear porous medium equation*. Discrete Continuous Dyn. Syst. Ser. B., 44:743–767, 2024.
- [30] B. Sabitbek. *Global existence and nonexistence of solutions for semilinear wave equation with a new condition*. Discrete Continuous Dyn. Syst. Ser. B., 43:2637–2657, 2023.
- [31] A.A. Samarskii, V.A. Galaktionov, S.P. Kurdyumov, A.P. Mikhailov. *Blow-Up in Quasilinear Parabolic Equations*. in: De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 19, Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin, 1995.
- [32] P. Souplet. *Morrey spaces and classification of global solutions for a supercritical semilinear heat equation in  $R^n$* . J. Funct. Anal., 272:2005–2037, 2017.
- [33] P.W. Schaefer. *Blow-up phenomena in some porous medium problems*. Dyn. Sys. and Appl., 18:103–110, 2009.
- [34] J.L. Vazquez. *The Porous Medium Equation: Mathematical Theory*. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [35] D. Suragan, N. Yessirkegenov. *Sharp remainder of the Poincaré inequality for Baouendi–Grushin vector fields*. Asian-Eur. J. Math., 16: Art. No. 2350041, 2023.
- [36] L. Véron, S.I. Pohozaev. *Nonexistence results of solutions of semilinear differential inequalities on the Heisenberg group*. Manuscripta Math., 102:85–99, 2000.

AISHABIBI DUKENBAYEVA:  
 SULEYMAN DEMIREL UNIVERSITY  
 KASKELEN, KAZAKHSTAN  
 AND  
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING  
 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN  
*E-mail address* aishabibi.dukenbayeva@gmail.com