

# PARTIAL ACTIONS OF INVERSE CATEGORIES AND THEIR ALGEBRAS

MARCELO M. ALVES, WILLIAN G.G. VELASCO

ABSTRACT. In this work we introduce partial and global actions of inverse categories on posets in two variants, fibred actions and actions by symmetries. We study in detail actions of an inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  on specific subposets of the poset of finite subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the *Bernoulli actions*. We show that to each fibred action of an inverse category on a poset there corresponds another inverse category, the semidirect product associated to the action. The Bernoulli actions give rise to the *Szendrei expansions* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , which define an endofunctor of the category of inverse categories. We extend the concept of “enlargement” from inverse semigroup theory to, and we show that if  $\mathcal{D}$  is an enlargement of  $\mathcal{C}$  then their Cauchy completions are equivalent categories; in particular, some pairs corresponding to partial and global Bernoulli actions are enlargements. We conclude by studying convolution algebras of finite inverse categories and showing that if  $\mathcal{D}$  is an enlargement of  $\mathcal{C}$  then their convolution algebras are Morita equivalent. Furthermore, using Kan extensions we also analyze the infinite case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Partial group actions were introduced in the 1990’s by R. Exel, in the context of  $C^*$ -algebras, as a means to generalize the construction of the crossed product associated to a group action, while simultaneously extending homological results for standard crossed products [1]. These so-called partial crossed products were later investigated in a purely algebraic context, beginning by Dokuchaev and Exel’s work on associativity of crossed products in [11].

A fundamental result by Exel is that partial actions of a group  $G$  correspond to actions of an inverse semigroup  $S(G)$  [13]. Later Kellendonk and Lawson [3, 18] realized that his construction is equivalent to the Birget-Rhodes expansion  $G^{BR}$  of the group  $G$  (cf [32] for details of this notion). According to [3], an *expansion* of a semigroup is an endofunctor  $F$  of the category of semigroups of, more generally a functor  $F$  between categories of semigroups, endowed with a natural transformation  $\eta_S : F(S) \rightarrow S$  which is surjective for each semigroup  $S$  in the domain of  $F$ . In [3] it was shown that  $S(G)$  is an E-unitary inverse semigroup for every group  $G$ , and

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 18B40, 20M18, 20M30, 16W22.

*Key words and phrases*. inverse semigroups, partial actions, inverse categories.

$\eta : S(G) \rightarrow G$  is the quotient map from  $S(G)$  onto  $G$  defined by the least group congruence on  $S(G)$ .

The representation aspect of those constructions has also been investigated. *Partial representations* of a group  $G$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  correspond to left modules over the semigroup algebra  $\mathbb{K}S(G)$ , which is also known as the *partial group algebra*  $\mathbb{K}_{par}G$ . In [12] Dokuchaev, Exel and Piccione obtained a formula for a decomposition of  $\mathbb{K}S(G)$  as a direct sum of matrix algebras. This description is obtained by first showing that  $\mathbb{K}S(G)$  is isomorphic to a groupoid algebra  $\mathbb{K}\Gamma(G)$ ; this groupoid  $\Gamma(G)$  is the action groupoid, as defined by Kellendonk and Lawson in [18], associated to a specific partial action, called a “Bernoulli action” in [14].

Partial actions and expansions of groupoids have also been investigated [15, 16, 2], and also expansions of inverse semigroups [21, 6]. In this paper we carry this investigation further to *inverse categories*. One strong motivation to consider those in the context of partial actions is that some fundamental results from inverse semigroup theory have already been extended to inverse categories, such as the Wagner-Preston Theorem (cf. [22]), the Ehresmann-Schein-Nambooripad Theorem (cf. [10]), and Steinberg’s description of the algebra of a finite inverse semigroup [22]. Moreover, inverse categories provide a natural environment in which to develop partial actions, since they combine fundamental characteristics of inverse semigroups and groupoids.

In this paper we introduce global and partial actions of inverse categories on posets and we study the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras associated to expansions associated to variations of Bernoulli actions on posets.

We begin by reviewing the necessary concepts and results on partial actions, inverse categories and Cauchy completion of categories in Section 2. In Section 3 we introduce fibred actions of an inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  on a poset  $P$ , actions by symmetries of  $\mathcal{C}$  on a poset  $P$  and we show that every fibred action induces an action by symmetries (but not conversely); we also introduce the partial versions of those concepts, and we introduce Bernoulli actions of inverse categories.

In Section 4 we show that to each fibred action of  $\mathcal{C}$  on a poset  $P$  there corresponds an inverse category, the semidirect product  $P \rtimes \mathcal{C}$ . The Bernoulli actions introduced in the previous section give rise to the *Szendrei expansions* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , which define an endofunctor of the category of inverse categories. Still in this section we define “enlargement” for inverse categories; in Proposition 4.24 we show that if  $\mathcal{D}$  is an enlargement of  $\mathcal{C}$  then their Cauchy completions are equivalent categories. Of course, we turn then to examine the various Bernoulli expansions of  $\mathcal{C}$  and we conclude, in Theorem 4.25, that some pairs of global-partial Bernoulli expansions are in fact enlargements.

Section 5 is dedicated to the study of Morita equivalence of inverse categories and how to relate the (convolution) algebras of our Szendrei expansions. We study enlargements of inverse categories from the viewpoint of representations and, in

Theorem 5.3 we show that if the inverse category  $\mathcal{D}$  is an enlargement of  $\mathcal{C}$  and both are finite then their convolution algebras  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}$  are Morita equivalent. As a consequence of previous results we conclude that convolution algebras of pairs of Szendrei expansions which constitute enlargements are in fact Morita equivalent (Corollary 5.4). We conclude this part by analyzing the case where  $\mathcal{C}$  is an infinite inverse category, and we show that the representations of the Cauchy completion of the strict global Bernoulli expansion are Kan extensions of the representations of the Cauchy completion of strict partial Bernoulli expansion of  $\mathcal{C}$  (Theorem 5.6).

Finally, Section 6 relates our constructions to the known expansions of groups, inverse semigroups and groupoids in the literature [13, 6, 21, 15].

## 2. PRELIMINARY NOTIONS

**2.1. Categories.** We will use category terminology as in Mac Lane [23] and Riehl [29].

**2.2. Inverse categories.** Inverse categories are the main ingredient of our constructions, and we review here basic definitions and results on this subject. Our approach is closer to the theory of inverse semigroups as in Linckelmann [22]; other way of dealing with this theory is via restriction categories, as it is done in Cockett-Lack [9].

**Definition 2.1** ([22]). A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is called an *inverse category* if for each arrow  $(s : X \rightarrow Y) \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a unique arrow  $(s^\circ : Y \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{C}$ , called *inverse*, such that  $ss^\circ s = s$  and  $s^\circ ss^\circ = s^\circ$ . Notation:  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  will denote an inverse category.

We can establish an equivalent way to define such categories.

**Proposition 2.2** ([8]). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. The following are equivalent:

- (i) for every arrow  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  there exists a unique arrow  $t : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $sts = s$  and  $tst = t$ ;
- (ii) there exists a functor  $( )^\circ : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$  which fixes objects  $( (X)^\circ = X$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ ) and for  $s, t \in \mathcal{C}$ , satisfies the following equalities:

$$(s^\circ)^\circ = s, \quad ss^\circ s = s, \quad \text{and} \quad (ss^\circ)(tt^\circ) = (tt^\circ)(ss^\circ).$$

Moreover, the structures described in (i) and (ii) are unique.

Functors between inverse categories preserve the inverse structure.

**Proposition 2.3.** [27] Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  and  $(\mathcal{D}, ( )^*)$  be inverse categories and let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor. Then  $F(s^\circ) = F(s)^*$  for every morphism  $s \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 2.4** ([22]). Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  be an inverse category. Given arrows  $s, t : X \rightarrow Y$ , and the idempotent morphisms  $e : X \rightarrow X, f : Y \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have that:

- (i)  $(st)^\circ = t^\circ s^\circ$ , and
- (ii) the arrows  $ses^\circ : X \rightarrow X$  and  $tft^\circ : Y \rightarrow Y$  are idempotent.

For any pair of arrows  $s, t : X \rightarrow Y$  in an inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we write  $s \leq t$  if  $s = te$  for some idempotent  $e : X \rightarrow X$ . Analogously to the natural order of inverse semigroups [20], this relation is a partial order on the inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  [22] and it has the following equivalent characterizations.

**Proposition 2.5** ([22]). Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  be an inverse category and let  $s, t : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f : Y \rightarrow Y$  be arrows. The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $s \leq t$ ;
- (ii)  $s = ft$  for some idempotent morphism  $f$ ;
- (iii)  $s = ss^\circ t$ ;
- (iv)  $s = ts^\circ s$ .

Moreover, if  $p, q : Y \rightarrow Z$  is another pair of arrows, such that  $p \leq q$  and exists  $ps$  and  $qt$ , then  $ps \leq qt$ .

Idempotent morphisms play a fundamental role in our definition of actions. The following definition will facilitate the identification of idempotents related to a given arrow.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an inverse category and  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- (I) The *set of idempotent morphisms* will be denoted by  $E(\mathcal{C})$ . Furthermore, if  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ , the set of idempotent morphisms in  $X$  will be denoted by  $E(\mathcal{C}(X))$ .
- (II) The *outer domain* (or *outer source*) and the *outer range* (or *outer target*) of  $s$  are the maps  $od, or : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  with  $od(s) = X$  and  $or(s) = Y$ .
- (III) The *inner domain* (or *inner source*) and the *inner range* (or *inner target*) of  $s$  are  $id, ir : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow E(\mathcal{C})$  with  $id(s) = s^\circ s$  and  $ir(s) = ss^\circ$ .

Combining (II) and (III) we will denote the domain (or source) and the range (or target) pairs maps by:  $d = (od, id)$  and  $r = (or, ir)$ .

Borrowing the idea of the Green classes of inverse semigroups [20] and the set of arrow beginning, or terminating, in a particular object [15], we have the next definition.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  be an inverse category and let  $s$  and  $t$  be arrows in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- (I) We say that  $s, t \in \mathcal{C}$  are  $\mathcal{L}$ -related if  $id(s) = id(t)$ , or simply  $s^\circ s = t^\circ t$ . The set of arrows  $\mathcal{L}$ -related to  $s$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_s$ .
- (II) We say that  $s, t \in \mathcal{C}$  are  $\mathcal{R}$ -related if  $ir(s) = ir(t)$ , in other terms  $ss^\circ = tt^\circ$ . The set of arrows  $\mathcal{R}$ -related to  $s$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_s$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be objects in  $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ .

- (IV) The set of all arrows of  $\mathcal{C}$  starting in  $X$  is  $\text{Star}(X) := \{t \in \mathcal{C}; od(t) = X\}$ .

(III) The set of all arrows of  $\mathcal{C}$  ending in  $Y$  is  $\text{Costar}(Y) := \{s \in \mathcal{C}; \text{or}(s) = Y\}$ .

**2.3. Cauchy completion.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category; there is always an auxiliary category, constructed upon the idempotent arrows of  $\mathcal{C}$ , such that  $\mathcal{C}$  may be embedded in this category. This construction is the Cauchy completion of  $\mathcal{C}$  (also called idempotent completion, or Karoubian completion) and in the following we will present its main aspects. In particular, using the Cauchy completion of an inverse category we can define a groupoid which is analogous to the restriction groupoid of an inverse semigroup [20].

**Definition 2.8.** [5] Suppose  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  and let  $e : X \rightarrow X$  be an idempotent, we say that the *idempotent  $e$  splits* if there are an object  $Y \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  and arrows  $s : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $t : Y \rightarrow X$ , such that  $e = ts$  and  $st = 1_Y$ .

When all idempotents split, we say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *Cauchy complete* category.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category, its Cauchy completion  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  can be constructed as follows (see for instance [22]):

- objects: an object of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{(0)}$  is a pair  $(X, e)$ , where  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $e^2 = e \in \mathcal{C}(X, X)$ ;
- arrows: a morphism is a triple  $(e, s, f) : (X, e) \rightarrow (Y, f)$ , where  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  is an arrow of  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying:  $se = s = fs$ ;
- composition: the composition of  $(e, s, f) : (X, e) \rightarrow (Y, f)$  and  $(f, t, g) : (Y, f) \rightarrow (Z, g)$  is the arrow  $(f, t, g)(e, s, f) = (e, ts, g) : (X, e) \rightarrow (Z, g)$ .

In the particular case when  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  is an inverse category,  $(X, e)$  is isomorphic to  $(Y, f)$  if, and only if, there is an arrow  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $s^\circ s = e$  and  $ss^\circ = f$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** [22] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category. The category  $\mathcal{C}$  is an inverse category if, and only if,  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is an inverse category.

Our final considerations about Cauchy completions, for now, are three properties compiled in the next proposition, taken from Borceux [5].

**Proposition 2.10.** [5] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category, its Cauchy completion  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  satisfies:

- (i)  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is small;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a full subcategory of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ , where the inclusion functor is defined by  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)} \mapsto (X, 1_X) \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{(0)}$  and  $(s : X \rightarrow Y) \in \mathcal{C} \mapsto (1_X, s, 1_Y) \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ ;
- (iii) the inclusion of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ , which sends  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  to  $(X, 1_X) \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{(0)}$  is an equivalence if, and only if, every idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$  splits.

We will return to Cauchy completions further ahead, when we study algebras of Szendrei expansions. Moreover, we will use a groupoid associated to an inverse category.

**Definition 2.11.** [22] The *restriction groupoid* associated to a small category  $\mathcal{C}$ , by notation  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , is the subcategory of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  defined by

- objects:  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}^{(0)} = \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{(0)}$ ;
- arrows:  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}} = \{x \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}; x \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$ ;

When  $\mathcal{C}$  is an inverse category, to each  $e^2 = e \in \mathcal{C}(X, X)$  there is an associated group

$$\mathcal{C}_e := \{s \in \mathcal{C}(X, X); X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}, ss^\circ = e = s^\circ s\}.$$

It turns out that this group is isomorphic to the automorphism group  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}((X, e), (X, e))$  via  $s \in \mathcal{C}_e \mapsto (e, s, e) \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}((X, e), (X, e))$ .

#### 2.4. The convolution algebra of a finite inverse category.

**Definition 2.12** ([33]). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category and  $\mathbb{K}$  be a commutative ring. The *category algebra*, or *convolution algebra*,  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}$  is a free  $\mathbb{K}$ -module whose basis is the arrow set  $\mathcal{C}$ . The product is defined on the basis by  $st = s \circ t$ , if this composition exists, and  $st = 0$  if not.

The convolution algebra is always an algebra with local units; if  $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  is finite then the convolution algebra is unital, with unit given by  $1_{\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}} 1_e$ .

We state Linckelmann's isomorphism, which relates the convolution algebra of a finite inverse category to the convolution algebra of its associated groupoid.

**Theorem 2.13.** [22] *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  be a finite inverse category and  $\mathbb{K}$  be a commutative ring. The convolution algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}$ , and the algebra of the restriction groupoid,  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , are isomorphic.*

This result allows us to write  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}$  as a groupoid algebra, and groupoid algebras can be realized as direct products of matrix algebras with coefficients in group algebras. Those groups correspond to automorphism groups / isotropy groups of objects of the groupoid. We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.14.** [22] *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  be a finite inverse category and  $\mathbb{K}$  be a commutative ring. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ ; denote by  $n_e$  the number of idempotents in  $\mathcal{C}$  which are isomorphic to  $e$ . Then the convolution algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$  has the representation  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C} \simeq \bigoplus_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbb{M}_{n_e}(\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}_e)$ .*

### 3. ACTIONS OF INVERSE CATEGORIES

We begin with a definition of action of a inverse category on a poset which extends both the concepts of fibred category actions on sets [24] and of fibred groupoid actions

on posets introduced by Miller [25]. Our definition considers the outer and inner structures of an inverse category.

### 3.1. Actions of inverse categories on posets.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  be an inverse category and  $(P, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. A *fibred ordered action*, where

- $\rho : P \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(0)} \times E(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\rho(x) = (o\rho(x), i\rho(x))$ , moment map, is such that  $od(i\rho(x)) = o\rho(x)$  and  $i\rho$  is an order preserving map;
- $\theta : \mathcal{C}_d \times_\rho P \rightarrow P$  is the action map, where

$$\mathcal{C}_d \times_\rho P = \{(s, x) \in \mathcal{C} \times P; o\rho(x) = od(s), i\rho(x) \leq id(s)\};$$

the image of the pair  $(s, x)$  by  $\theta$  will be denoted, as usual, by  $\theta_s(x)$ , and for each  $s \in \mathcal{C}$  the map

$$\theta_s : \{x \in P; (s, x) \in \mathcal{C}_d \times_\rho P\} \rightarrow P, \quad x \mapsto \theta_s(x),$$

is order-preserving.

- For every  $x \in P$ ,  $s, t \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
  - (I)  $\theta_{i\rho(x)}(x) = x$ ;
  - (II)  $o\rho(\theta_s(x)) = or(s)$ ,  $i\rho(\theta_s(x)) \leq ir(s)$ , and  $i\rho(\theta_s(x)) = ir(s)$  if  $id(s) = i\rho(x)$ ;
  - (III)  $\theta_s(\theta_t(x)) = \theta_{st}(x)$  if  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}_d \times_\rho$  and the composition  $st$  is defined in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Notation:  $(\rho, \theta) : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright (P, \leq)$  will denote a fibred ordered action.

As we will deal only with ordered fibred actions, we will call then simply *fibred actions*.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  be an inverse category and let  $P_{\mathcal{C}}$  be the underlying poset of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then there is a canonical fibred action of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $P_{\mathcal{C}}$  given by the following:  $o\rho(s) = or(s)$ ,  $i\rho(s) = ir(s)$ , and  $\theta(s, x) = sx$ . In fact,  $\theta$  is well-defined because  $\mathcal{C}_d \times_\rho P_{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_d \times_r \mathcal{C}$ , and the other conditions that define a fibre action are satisfied as consequences of the definition and basic properties of an inverse category.

**Example 3.3.** (action on idempotents) Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  be an inverse category and let  $Q$  be the underlying poset of  $E(\mathcal{C})$ . The inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  acts on  $Q$  by the following:  $o\rho(e) = or(e)$ ,  $i\rho(e) = e$ , and  $\theta(s, e) = ses^0$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $(\rho, \theta)$  be a fibred action of the inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  on the poset  $P$ .

- (i) If  $e \in E(\mathcal{C})$  and  $i\rho(x) \leq id(e) = e$  then  $\theta_e(x) = x$ .
- (ii) Let  $D_s = \{x \in P; (s^\circ, x) \in \mathcal{C}_d \times_\rho P\}$ . Then  $\theta_s : D_{s^\circ} \rightarrow D_s$  is a bijective order-preserving map.

*Proof.* It follows from (I) and (III) that if  $e \in E(\mathcal{C})$  and  $i\rho(x) \leq id(e) = e$  then  $\theta_e(x) = x$ , since  $i\rho(x) \leq id(e) = e$  if and only if  $e i\rho(x) = i\rho(x)$ , and therefore  $\theta_e(x) = \theta_e(\theta_{i\rho(x)}(x)) = \theta_{e i\rho(x)}(x) = \theta_{i\rho(x)}(x) = x$ .

Given a fibred action  $\theta$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $P$ , for  $s \in \mathcal{C}$  let  $D_s$  denote the domain of  $\theta_s$ ,

$$D_s = \{x \in P; (s^\circ, x) \in \mathcal{C}_d \times_\rho P\}.$$

Then  $\theta_s$  is a bijection from  $D_{s^\circ}$  to  $D_s$ . In fact,  $\theta_s(x)$  is defined if and only if  $x \in D_{s^\circ}$  and, by Def. 3.1 (II),  $\theta_s(x) \in D_s$ . Using (III) and the previous item one concludes that  $\theta_{s^\circ}\theta_s = Id_{D_{s^\circ}}$  and  $\theta_s\theta_{s^\circ} = Id_{D_s}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* Consider a fibred action of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $P$ . Then  $P_X = o\rho^{-1}(X)$  is an ideal of  $P$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  and  $P = \sqcup_{X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}} P_X$  (disjoint union). This follows straight from the definitions: if  $p \in P_X$  and  $q \in P$  is such that  $p \geq q$  then  $i\rho(p) \geq i\rho(q)$  and, by the definition of order in  $\mathcal{C}$  and the fact that  $i\rho(p)$  and  $i\rho(q)$  are idempotent morphisms,  $i\rho(q) = i\rho(q)(i\rho(q))^0 i\rho(p) = i\rho(q)i\rho(p)$ . In particular  $i\rho(p)$  and  $i\rho(q)$  are composable idempotent morphisms and therefore  $X = o\rho(p) = o\rho(q)$ , hence  $q \in P_X$ .

In [15] Gilbert investigates groupoid actions by symmetries. We will present another definition of action of an inverse category on a poset which includes the former as a particular case. In order to do that we must define a new inverse category associated to a given poset. A similar construction, without the ordering, has already appeared in Linckelmann [22] and Nystedt-Oinert-Pined [27, Def. 12]. Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a poset, in this case, we will write " $I \triangleleft P$ ". For technical reasons, we include the empty set as an ideal.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a poset. The following data determine an inverse category  $\mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$ :

- objects: the objects of  $\mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$  are the ideals of  $P$ ;
- arrows: given order ideals  $U, V \triangleleft P$ , a morphism from  $U$  to  $V$  is a order preserving bijection  $s : U' \rightarrow V'$ , where  $U' \triangleleft U$  and  $V' \triangleleft V$ ;
- composition: let  $U, V, W \triangleleft P$ , also  $U' \triangleleft U, V' \triangleleft V, V'' \triangleleft V, W' \triangleleft W$ , and let  $\overline{s} : U' \rightarrow V'$  and  $t : V'' \rightarrow W'$  be order preserving bijections; the composition  $ts$  is defined by

$$ts = t|_{V' \cap V''} \circ s|_{s^{-1}(V' \cap V'')} : s^{-1}(V' \cap V'') \rightarrow t(V' \cap V'');$$

- inverses: the inverse structure is the inversion of maps.

The proof follows from showing that  $\mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$  is an inverse subcategory of the category of partial bijections defined in [27, Def. 12].

*Remark 3.7.* Let  $f : U' \rightarrow V' \in Hom_{\mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)}(U, V)$  be a partial bijection. Then  $U' = \{x \in P; \exists f^{-1}f(x) \text{ and } f^{-1}f(x) = x\}$ . In fact, if  $x \in U'$  then  $f(x)$  is defined and  $f(x) = f f^{-1}f(x)$ ; since  $f$  is bijective we have that  $x = f^{-1}f(x)$ . The converse



is clear. Since  $f^{-1}f$  is an idempotent morphism, we conclude that the domain of  $f$  coincides with the domain of  $f^{-1}f$ .

**Definition 3.8.** Given an inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a poset  $(P, \leq)$ , an *inverse category action via symmetries* (or *automorphisms*) of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $(P, \leq)$  is a functor  $\Theta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$  such that  $P = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}} \Theta(X)$ .

Now, we can relate fibred actions to actions by symmetries. The lemma below shows how to define maps among fibers from fibred actions.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Each fibred action of an inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  on a poset  $P$  determines an action of  $\mathcal{C}$  via symmetries on  $P$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\rho, \theta) : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright (P, \leq)$  be a fibred action of the inverse category  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  on the poset  $(P, \leq)$ . It follows from the definition of fibred action and from Proposition 3.4 that to each  $s \in \mathcal{C}$  there corresponds a bijective order-preserving map

$$\theta_s : D_{s^\circ} \rightarrow D_s, \quad \theta_s(x) = \theta(s, x),$$

whose domain and range are (respectively) the sets  $D_{s^\circ} := \{x \in P; o\rho(x) = od(s), i\rho(x) \leq id(s)\}$ , and  $D_s := \{y \in P; o\rho(y) = or(s), i\rho(y) \leq ir(s)\}$ .

Let  $x \in P$  and  $y \in D_{s^\circ}$  such that  $x \leq y$  and  $o\rho(x) = o\rho(y)$ ; since the map  $i\rho$  is order preserving, by Definition 3.1, we have  $i\rho(x) \leq i\rho(y)$  and by the definition of  $D_{s^\circ}$  it follows that  $i\rho(x) \leq i\rho(y) \leq id(s)$ , hence  $D_{s^\circ}$  is an order ideal.

If  $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $1_X : X \rightarrow X$  is the identity of  $X$  then  $id(s) = s^\circ s \leq 1_X$ , and therefore  $D_s \triangleleft D_{1_X}$ ; hence  $\theta_s$  is a morphism  $\theta_s : D_{1_X} \rightarrow D_{1_Y}$  in  $\mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$ . Moreover, it follows that  $P = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}} D_{1_X}$ .

Combining the previous computations we define the functor  $\Theta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$  by  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)} \mapsto \Theta(X) := D_{1_X}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{C} \mapsto \Theta(s) := \theta_s$ . As  $(\theta, \rho)$  is a fibred action, by Definition 3.1, items (I) and (III), this association is indeed a functor.  $\square$

We want to define partial actions for inverse categories in Exel's [14] fashion, but the structure on an inverse category may have several idempotents; so the model for our definition comes from the partial category actions introduced by Nystedt [26].

**Definition 3.10.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an inverse category and  $(P, \leq)$  be a poset. Then a map  $\theta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$  is an *inverse category partial action* if it defines a pair  $(\{\theta_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{C}}, \{D_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{C}})$  satisfying

- (i) for each  $s \in \mathcal{C}$  the map  $\theta_s : D_{s^\circ} \subseteq P \rightarrow D_s \subseteq P$  is an order preserving bijection;
- (ii)  $P = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}} D_{1_X}$ ;
- (iii) if  $e \in E(\mathcal{C})$  the map  $\theta_e$  is the identity in his domain  $D_e$ ;

- (iv) given  $s, t \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $s \leq t$ , then  $D_{s^\circ} \subseteq D_{t^\circ}$  and  $\theta_{t|D_{s^\circ}} = \theta_s$ ;
- (v) if  $s \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $D_s \subseteq D_{ir(s)}$ ;
- (vi) if there exists the composition  $st$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\theta_s(D_{s^\circ} \cap D_t) = D_s \cap D_{st}$ ; and  $\theta_s(\theta_t(x)) = \theta_{st}(x)$ , for  $x \in \theta_{t^\circ}(D_t \cap D_{s^\circ})$ .

In addition:  $\theta$  is a *global action* if  $D_s = D_{ir(s)}$  for all  $s \in \mathcal{C}$ .

The next proposition exhibits a relation between the Definition 3.10 and the Definition 3.8.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let  $\theta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$  be an action by symmetries, then  $\theta$  induces a family of maps  $(\{\theta_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{C}}, \{D_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{C}})$  such as in Definition 3.10 with  $D_s = D_{ir(s)}$  for each  $s \in \mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Given  $(s : X \rightarrow Y) \in \mathcal{C}$ , from the definition of functor and  $\mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$  we get  $\theta_s : \text{dom}(\theta_s) \subseteq \theta(X) \rightarrow \text{ran}(\theta_s) \subseteq \theta(Y)$ , where  $\theta_s = \theta(s)$ .

Given that  $\theta_{s^\circ} = (\theta_s)^{-1}$  (Proposition 2.3) it follows that  $\text{dom}(\theta_s) = \text{ran}(\theta_{s^\circ})$ . In particular, if  $e^2 = e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\theta_e = \theta_e^{-1}$  and it is the identity map of  $\text{dom}(\theta_e) = \text{ran}(\theta_e)$ .

As  $\mathcal{C}$  is an inverse category,  $s = ss^\circ s$  for all  $s \in \mathcal{C}$  and as  $\theta$  is a functor, we obtain  $\theta_s = \theta_{ss^\circ} \theta_s = \theta_s \theta_{s^\circ s}$ . Hence  $\theta_s = \theta_s \theta_{s^\circ s} = \theta_s |_{\text{ran}(\theta_{s^\circ s}) \cap \text{dom}(\theta_s)}$ , and it follows that  $\text{ran}(\theta_{s^\circ s}) \cap \text{dom}(\theta_s) = \text{dom}(\theta_s)$ , i.e. that  $\text{dom}(\theta_s) \subseteq \text{dom}(\theta_{s^\circ s}) = \text{ran}(\theta_{s^\circ s})$ .

Using that  $\text{dom}(\theta_{s^\circ}) = \text{ran}(\theta_s)$ , we have that  $\theta_{s^\circ s} = \theta_{s^\circ} \theta_s = \theta_{s^\circ} |_{\text{dom}(\theta_{s^\circ})} \circ \theta_s |_{\text{dom}(\theta_s)}$  and since the domain of the last composition is the domain of  $\theta_s$ , it follows that  $\text{dom}(\theta_s) = \text{dom}(\theta_{s^\circ s})$ .

Therefore we have the equalities  $\text{dom}(\theta_s) = \text{d}(\theta_{s^\circ s}) = \text{ran}(\theta_{s^\circ s})$ , and  $\text{ran}(\theta_s) = \text{ran}(\theta_{ss^\circ}) = \text{dom}(\theta_{ss^\circ})$ .

Now if we define  $D_s = \text{ran}(\theta_s)$ , which implies that  $D_{s^\circ} = \text{dom}(\theta_s)$ , we have the following:

- by construction, item (i) is satisfied;
- as  $D_{1_X} = \text{ran}(\theta_{1_X}) = \text{dom}(\theta_{1_X})$ , each  $D_e$  is an ideal and  $P = \bigcup_X \text{dom}(\theta_{1_X})$  (because  $\theta$  is an action by symmetries), (ii) holds;
- if  $e^2 = e$  the map  $\theta_e$  is the identity map in its domain  $D_e$ , so (iii) holds;
- since  $D_s = \text{ran}(\theta_s) = \text{ran}(\theta_{ss^\circ})$ , we have  $D_s = D_{ir(s)}$  for all  $s$ , (iv) holds;
- if  $s, t$  are arrows such that  $s \leq t$ , then  $s = ts^\circ s$ ; combining this equality with the fact that  $D_{s^\circ} = \text{dom}(\theta_s) = D_{s^\circ s}$  we have (vi);
- suppose  $x \in D_s \cap D_t$ , then there exists  $y \in D_{t^\circ}$  such that  $x = \theta_t(y)$ ; hence  $\theta_s(x) = \theta_{st}(y) \in D_s \cap D_{st}$  and therefore  $\theta_s(D_{s^\circ} \cap D_t) \subseteq D_s \cap D_{st}$ . It follows then that  $\theta_{s^\circ}(D_s \cap D_{st}) \subseteq D_{s^\circ} \cap D_{s^\circ st} = D_{s^\circ} \cap D_t$  and applying  $\theta_s$ , we obtain  $D_s \cap D_{st} \subseteq \theta_s(D_{s^\circ} \cap D_t)$ .

Therefore the proof is complete. □

Fixing the notations: given an inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a poset  $P$ ,

- the symbol  $\bar{\theta} : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$ , denotes a global action by symmetries, and
- the symbol  $\theta : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright_p \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$  denotes a partial action by symmetries.

About the terminology: we deal only with actions on posets, so we will say action by symmetries and suppress the “ordered”.

*Remark 3.12.* As Nystedt [26], Gilbert [15] and Bagio et al [2] remark, a global action of a groupoid can be restricted to a partial action, and essentially the same may be done for inverse categories: we can restrict a global action of an inverse category to a partial action in the sense of Definition 3.10. Indeed, let  $\bar{\theta} : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P)$  a global action by symmetries on  $P$ , where for each  $s$  we have a order preserving bijection  $\bar{\theta}_s : \bar{D}_{s^\circ} \rightarrow \bar{D}_s$ . If  $Q \subseteq P$  is an ideal then there is a partial action  $\theta : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright_p \mathcal{I}_{ic}(Q)$  induced by the previous one in the following fashion: for each  $s \in \mathcal{C}$  define  $D_s = (Q \cap \bar{D}_s) \cap \bar{\theta}_s(Q \cap \bar{D}_{s^\circ})$ , which is an ideal of  $Q$ , and take the order isomorphism  $\theta_s = \bar{\theta}_s |_{D_{s^\circ}} : D_{s^\circ} \rightarrow D_s$ . The assignment  $s \mapsto \theta_s$  defines a partial action  $\theta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{ic}(Q)$ .

**3.2. Bernoulli actions.** We will introduce Bernoulli actions of inverse categories. These actions will escort us for the rest of this article, and are our major application of the theory that we developed of inverse category (partial) actions.

Let  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  be an inverse category. Given  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  and  $e \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  (see Definition 2.6), we define the set

$$P_{e,X}(\mathcal{C}) := \{A \subset \mathcal{C}; |A| < \infty, or(a) = X, ir(a) = e, \forall a \in A\}.$$

Then we define the following sets

$$P(\mathcal{C}) := \bigcup_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)} \\ e \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))}} P_{e,X} \text{ and } P_\circ(\mathcal{C}) := \{A \in P(\mathcal{C}); A \cap E(\mathcal{C}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

An equivalent characterization is possible if we use costars and right relations. For instance, if  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  and  $e \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))$ , then  $A \in P(\mathcal{C})$  if and only if  $|A| < \infty$ ,  $A \subset Costar(X)$ ,  $A \subset \mathcal{R}_e$ , and  $A \in P_\circ(\mathcal{C})$  if and only if  $A \in P(\mathcal{C})$  and  $A \ni e$ .

$P(\mathcal{C})$  and  $P_\circ(\mathcal{C})$  carry a natural partial order, which is inspired by the partial order defined in [21]).

**Definition 3.13.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an inverse category and consider  $A, B \in P(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $A \in P_{e,X}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $B \in P_{f,Y}(\mathcal{C})$ , for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ ,  $e \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  and  $f \in E(\mathcal{C}(Y))$ ; we define an order on  $P(\mathcal{C})$  by

$$A \leq B \iff X = Y, e \leq f, eB \subseteq A,$$

where the order on  $E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  is the natural order of inverse categories which was stated in Proposition 2.5. Notation:  $(P(\mathcal{C}), \leq)$ .

Clearly, this order restricts to an order in  $P_o(\mathcal{C})$  and, moreover,  $P(\mathcal{C})$  is an order ideal of  $P_o(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Definition 3.14.** The (*global*) *Bernoulli fibred action* of an inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $P(\mathcal{C})$  is the pair  $(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{B})$ , where

- $\varepsilon = (o\varepsilon, i\varepsilon)$  is the moment map  $\varepsilon : P(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(0)} \times E(\mathcal{C})$ , which is defined as follows : if  $A \in P_{e,X}(\mathcal{C})$  then  $\varepsilon(A) = (o\varepsilon(A), i\varepsilon(A)) = (X, e)$ ;
- the action map  $\mathfrak{B} : \mathcal{C}_d \times_\varepsilon P(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C})$  is given by  $(s, A) \mapsto \mathfrak{B}(s, A) = \mathfrak{B}_s(A) := sA$ .

Let us check that this is indeed a fibred action.

Let  $A \in \text{Costar}(X)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{R}_e$ ,  $e \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))$ . The action map is well defined: if there exists  $\mathfrak{B}_s(A) = sA$ , then  $sA$  is a finite set such that  $sA \subset \text{Costar}(or(s))$  and  $sA \subset \mathcal{R}_{ses^\circ}$ , that is,  $sA \in P_{or(s),ses^\circ}(\mathcal{C}) \subset P(\mathcal{C})$ .

By the definition of the order in  $P(\mathcal{C})$  and of the moment map, the inner moment map preserves order.

The action map also preserves order. Let  $A \in P_{e,X}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $B \in P_{f,Y}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $A \leq B$ ; let  $s, t \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $s : X \rightarrow U$  and  $t : Y \rightarrow V$ , such that  $s \leq t$  and that  $\mathfrak{B}_s(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_t(B)$  are defined; we will show that  $\mathfrak{B}_s(A) \leq \mathfrak{B}_t(B)$ . In fact,

- since  $o\varepsilon(sA) = or(s) = U$ ,  $o\varepsilon(tB) = or(t) = V$ , and from  $s \leq t$  we have that the composition  $s^\circ t$  exists, so  $or(t) = od(s^\circ)$  which implies that  $U = V$ ;
- as  $i\varepsilon(sA) = ses^\circ$  and  $i\varepsilon(tB) = tft^\circ$ , and from  $s \leq t$  and  $e \leq f$  we have  $ses^\circ \leq sfs^\circ \leq tft^\circ$ ;
- as  $eB \subseteq A$ ,  $e \leq id(s)$  and  $f \leq id(t)$ ,  $e \leq f$  and  $s \leq t$  we can show that  $sef = ses^\circ sf = ses^\circ st^\circ tf = sefs^\circ st^\circ t = ses^\circ t$ ; hence  $ses^\circ(tB) = seB \subseteq sA$ .

The conclusion is that  $sA \leq tB$ , *i.e.*  $\mathfrak{B}_s(A) \leq \mathfrak{B}_t(B)$ .

Axioms (I)-(III) from Definition 3.1 can be verified in an analogous manner.

Now that we constructed the global Bernoulli action, we may restrict it to the poset  $P_o(\mathcal{C})$  and thus acquire a partial action. To achieve this goal, we turn to the global action by symmetries associated to  $(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{B})$ .

The *Bernoulli action by symmetries* is the functor  $\mathfrak{B} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P(\mathcal{C}))$  that takes  $s \in \mathcal{C}$  to the map

$$\mathfrak{B}_s : \overline{D}_{s^\circ} \rightarrow \overline{D}_s \text{ with } A \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_s(A) = sA,$$

where

$$\overline{D}_s := \{B \in P(\mathcal{C}); o\varepsilon(B) = or(s), i\varepsilon(B) \leq ir(s)\}.$$

Using the definitions of inverse category and of the moment map  $\varepsilon$ , the domain  $\overline{D}_{s^\circ}$  may be described also in terms of  $s$  as

$$\overline{D}_{s^\circ} := \{A \in P(\mathcal{C}); o\varepsilon(A) = od(s), i\varepsilon(A) \leq id(s)\}.$$

Applying the method of Remark 3.12, *i.e.* the restriction of a global action to a partial action, we can define the *Bernoulli partial action by symmetries*  $\mathfrak{b}_s := (\mathfrak{B}_s)|_{D_s} \mathfrak{b}_s : D_{s^\circ} \rightarrow D_s$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} D_s &= \{B \in P(\mathcal{C}); o\varepsilon(B) = or(s), i\varepsilon(B) \leq ir(s), B \ni i\varepsilon(B)s, i\varepsilon(B)\}, \\ D_{s^\circ} &= \{A \in P(\mathcal{C}); o\varepsilon(A) = od(s), i\varepsilon(A) \leq id(s), A \ni i\varepsilon(A)s^\circ, i\varepsilon(A)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We called  $\mathfrak{B}$  a global action and  $\mathfrak{b}$  a partial action, and in the next proposition we that these actions do define a global action and a partial action, respectively.

**Lemma 3.15.** *Given an inverse category  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  and the posets  $P(\mathcal{C})$  and  $P_\circ(\mathcal{C})$ , the pairs  $(\{\mathfrak{B}_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{C}}, \{\overline{D}_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{C}})$  and  $(\{\mathfrak{b}_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{C}}, \{D_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{C}})$  are, respectively, a global and a partial inverse category actions. In addition,  $\mathcal{C} \cdot P_\circ(\mathcal{C}) = P(\mathcal{C})$ .*

*Proof.* We will check the axioms of Definition 3.10 for  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The other case is analogous.

- (i) From Lemma 3.9, each map  $\mathfrak{B}_s : \overline{D}_{s^\circ} \rightarrow \overline{D}_s$  is an order preserving bijection.
- (ii) By construction  $P(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}} \overline{D}_{1_X}$ ;
- (iii) If  $A \in \overline{D}_e$ , by definition  $i\varepsilon(A) \leq e$ , so  $eA = A$ .
- (iv) Suppose  $s \leq t$ , by inverse category properties we have  $s = ts^\circ s$  and  $id(s) \leq ir(t)$ . The existence of  $ts^\circ$  implies that  $od(t) = or(s^\circ) = od(s)$  and it follows that  $\overline{D}_{s^\circ} \subset \overline{D}_{t^\circ}$ . Moreover,  $i\varepsilon(A) \leq id(s)$  and  $s = ts^\circ s$ , so  $i\varepsilon(A) \leq s^\circ st^\circ$ , and for  $A \in \overline{D}_{s^\circ}$ , thus  $tA = ti\varepsilon(A)A = t(s^\circ st^\circ ti\varepsilon(A))A = ts^\circ sA = sA$ .
- (v) Since  $or(ss^\circ) = or(s)$  and  $ir(ss^\circ) = ir(s)$ , we can see that  $\overline{D}_s = \overline{D}_{ss^\circ}$ .
- (vi) Assume that  $st$  exists in  $\mathcal{C}$  and take  $A \in \overline{D}_{s^\circ} \cap \overline{D}_t$ . Last assumption asserts that  $o\varepsilon(A) = od(s)$  and  $o\varepsilon(A) = or(t)$ , and  $i\varepsilon(A) \leq is(A)$  and  $i\varepsilon(A) \leq ir(t)$ . If we calculate  $sA$ , observe that  $o\varepsilon(sA) = or(s) = or(st)$ ,  $i\varepsilon(sA) = si\varepsilon(A)s^\circ \leq s(id(s))s^\circ = ir(s)$  and  $i\varepsilon(sA) \leq s(ir(t))s^\circ = ir(st)$ . Therefore  $sA \in \overline{D}_s \cap \overline{D}_{st}$ . The last claim follows from the fact that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the action map of a fibred action.

By proving the items above we have just shown that  $\mathfrak{B}$  defines a global inverse category action by symmetries.  $\square$

Notation:  $\mathfrak{B} : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P(\mathcal{C}))$  is a global action, and  $\mathfrak{b} : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright_p \mathcal{I}_{ic}(P_\circ(\mathcal{C}))$  is a partial action.

There are two more actions we would like to define. These new actions will arise if we change the inequality to an equality in both global and partial Bernoulli actions domains. We were inspired by O'Carroll's strict inverse semigroups as in [28], and its relation to partial actions proposed by Khrypchenko [19].

To avoid cluttering the text with too much information we introduce a new notation. Indeed, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an inverse category and let  $P$  be a poset, we define the

set

$$\mathcal{C}_d \overline{\times}_\rho P := \{(s, x) \in \mathcal{C} \times P; o\rho(x) = od(s), i\rho(x) = id(s)\}.$$

Next we define the *strict Bernoulli actions* in their fibred versions. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an inverse category and consider the sets  $P(\mathcal{C})$  and  $P_\circ(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Definition 3.16.** The *strict global fibred Bernoulli action* of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $P(\mathcal{C})$  is defined by the maps

- $\varepsilon : P(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{(0)} \times$ , with  $P(\mathcal{C}) \mapsto \varepsilon(A) = (o\varepsilon(A), i\varepsilon(A)) = (X, e)$ ;
- $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{B} : \mathcal{C}_d \overline{\times}_\varepsilon P(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C})$  with  $(s, A) \mapsto \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{B}(s, A) = \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{B}_s(A) := sA$ .

As we did with the global fibred action  $\mathfrak{B}$ , we can define the strict global action by symmetries and then restrict it (following the lines of Remark 3.12) to a partial strict action.

Given  $s \in \mathcal{C}$ , the *strict global Bernoulli action by symmetries* is the map  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{B}_s : \overline{D}_{s^\circ}^m \rightarrow \overline{D}_s^m$  where

$$\overline{D}_s^m := \{A \in P(\mathcal{C}); o\varepsilon(A) = or(s), i\varepsilon(A) = ir(s)\}.$$

Restricting the moment map  $\varepsilon$  and the action map  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{B}$  to the set  $P_\circ(\mathcal{C})$ , we can define for each  $s \in \mathcal{C}$  the *strict partial fibred Bernoulli action*  $(\epsilon, \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{b})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $P_\circ(\mathcal{C})$ . Moreover, we have the *strict partial Bernoulli action by symmetries*  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{b}_s : \overline{D}_{s^\circ}^m \rightarrow \overline{D}_s^m$ , where

$$\overline{D}_s^m =: \{A \in P(\mathcal{C}); o\varepsilon(A) = or(s), i\varepsilon(A) = ir(s), A \ni ss^\circ, s\}.$$

#### 4. SEMIDIRECT PRODUCT

We will associate an inverse category to each inverse category fibred action via an adaptation of the categorical semidirect product from Tilson-Steinberg [31]. Next, we specialize it to our study of Bernoulli's actions.

**4.1. The semidirect product of a fibred action.** Let  $(\rho, \theta) : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright (P, \leq)$  be a fibred action of an inverse category on a poset. We will associate an inverse category to this datum, the semidirect product of  $P$  by  $\mathcal{C}$ , which we will define in terms of the set of its arrows.

**Definition 4.1.** The *semidirect product* determined by  $(\rho, \theta)$  is the category  $P \rtimes_{(\rho, \theta)} \mathcal{C}$  with the following structure:

- arrows:  $P \rtimes_{(\rho, \theta)} \mathcal{C} := \{(x, s) \in P \times \mathcal{C}; o\rho(x) = or(s), i\rho(x) \leq ir(s)\}$ ;
- objects:  $(P \rtimes_{(\rho, \theta)} \mathcal{C})^{(0)} := \{(x, 1) \in P \times E(\mathcal{C}); o\rho(x) = or(1_{o\rho(x)}), i\rho(x) \leq 1_{o\rho(x)}\}$ ;
- composition:  $(x, s)(y, t) = (x, st)$  if, and only if,  $x = \theta_s(y)$  and  $st$  is defined in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Note that

$$(x, 1_{o\rho(x)})(x, s) = (x, s) = (x, 1_{o\rho(x)})(\theta_{s^\circ}(x), 1_{o\rho(\theta_{s^\circ}(x))}),$$

that is,  $(x, s)$  is an arrow from  $(\theta_{s^\circ}(x), 1_{o\rho(\theta_{s^\circ}(x))})$  to  $(x, 1_{o\rho(x)})$ . A routine verification shows that  $P \rtimes_{(\rho, \theta)} \mathcal{C}$  is indeed a category and, by its definition, its objects are in a bijective correspondence with the set  $P$  via  $(x, 1_{o\rho(x)}) \in P \rtimes_{(\rho, \theta)} \mathcal{C} \mapsto x \in P$ .

It is important to describe the set of idempotents of this category:

$$E(P \rtimes_{(\rho, \theta)} \mathcal{C}) := \{(x, e) \in P \times E(\mathcal{C}); o\rho(x) = or(e), i\rho(x) \leq e\}.$$

When there is no possibility of misunderstanding, we will write just  $P \rtimes \mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Given a fibred action  $(\rho, \theta) : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright (P, \leq)$ , the category  $P \rtimes \mathcal{C}$  is an inverse category with  $(x, s)^\circ := (\theta_{s^\circ}(x), s^\circ)$ .*

*Proof.* We will verify the axioms of (ii) in Proposition 2.2. The verification that  $(x, s) \mapsto (\theta_{s^\circ}(x), s^\circ)$  defines a contravariant functor, that it fixes objects and that first two equalities are direct computations, so we will dedicate attention only to prove that idempotent arrows commute. Indeed: let  $(x, s)$  and  $(y, t)$  be elements of  $P \rtimes \mathcal{C}$ . By construction, the composition  $[(x, s)(x, s)^\circ][(y, t)(y, t)^\circ] = (x, ss^\circ)(y, tt^\circ)$  is defined if and only if  $x = \theta_{ss^\circ}(y)$ , and it follows that  $[(y, t)(y, t)^\circ][(x, s)(x, s)^\circ]$  is defined if and only if  $y = \theta_{tt^\circ}(x)$ . Now  $\mathcal{C}$  is an inverse category, hence  $ss^\circ tt^\circ = tt^\circ ss^\circ$ ; from  $x = \theta_{ss^\circ}(y)$  and  $y = \theta_{tt^\circ}(x)$  we deduce  $\theta_{tt^\circ}(x) = \theta_{tt^\circ}(\theta_{ss^\circ}(y)) = \theta_{ss^\circ}(\theta_{tt^\circ}(x)) = \theta_{ss^\circ}(y)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (x, ss^\circ)(y, t^\circ) &= (x, ss^\circ tt^\circ) = (\theta_{ss^\circ}(y), tt^\circ ss^\circ) = \\ &= (\theta_{tt^\circ}(x), tt^\circ ss^\circ) = (y, tt^\circ ss^\circ) = (y, tt^\circ)(x, ss^\circ). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $P \rtimes \mathcal{C}$  is also an inverse category.  $\square$

We remark that, following Definition 2.6, the inner source of  $(x, s) \in P \rtimes \mathcal{C}$  is the idempotent morphism  $id(x, s) = (\theta_{s^\circ}(x), s^\circ s)$ , and the inner target of  $(x, s)$  is the idempotent morphism  $ir(x, s) = (x, ss^\circ)$ .

**4.2. Szendrei expansions.** The machinery from the last paragraphs is put in motion in this subsection, where we will define the semidirect product determined by the Bernoulli actions, which we call the Szendrei expansions of an inverse category.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{B}) : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright (P(\mathcal{C}), \leq)$  be the Bernoulli fibred action. The *Szendrei expansion* of the inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  is the inverse category  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}) := P(\mathcal{C}) \rtimes_{(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{B})} \mathcal{C}$ .

In [3], Birget and Rhodes introduce an *expansion* of a semigroup as a functor  $F$  from a category of semigroups to itself (or to a particular subcategory) equipped with a natural transformation  $\eta$  from  $F$  to the identity functor such that  $\eta_S : F(S) \rightarrow S$

is surjective for every semigroup  $S$ . Let us introduce the obvious general concept of an expansion.

**Definition 4.4.** An expansion of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that there exists a natural transformation  $\eta : F \rightarrow Id_{\mathcal{C}}$  such that  $\eta_x : F(x) \rightarrow x$  is surjective for every object  $x \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ .

Szendrei's expansion  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  is an expansion in this sense. Let  $\text{InvCat}$  denote the category whose objects are inverse categories and whose morphisms are functors.

**Proposition 4.5.** Szendrei's expansion of inverse categories defines an expansion  $\overline{Sz} : \text{InvCat} \rightarrow \text{InvCat}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be two inverse categories and let  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor. Given a subset  $A \subset \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ , let  $f(A)$  be the set  $f(A) = \{f(s); s \in A\} \subset \mathcal{D}^{(0)}$ . Since functors preserve the inverse structure, given a morphism  $(A, s) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ , if  $(A, s) \in P_{e,X}(\mathcal{C})$  then  $(f(A), f(s)) \in P_{f(e),f(X)}(\mathcal{D})$ ; a straightforward computation shows that

$$\overline{Sz}(f) : \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{D}), \quad \overline{Sz}(f)(A, s) = (f(A), f(s))$$

is a functor. Moreover, if  $g : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is another functor between inverse categories then  $\overline{Sz}(g \circ f) = \overline{Sz}(g) \circ \overline{Sz}(f)$ . Finally, the natural transformation from  $\overline{Sz}$  to  $Id_{\text{InvCat}}$  is given by

$$\eta_{\mathcal{C}} : \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad \eta_{\mathcal{C}}(A, s) = s.$$

□

*Remark 4.6.*  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  comes equipped with the natural order of an inverse category: if  $A \in P_{e,X}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $B \in P_{f,Y}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(A, s), (B, t) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $(A, s) \preceq (B, t)$  if and only if  $(A, s) = (A, s)(s^\circ A, s^\circ)(B, t)$ . Simple computations show then that

$$\begin{aligned} (A, s) \preceq (B, t) &\iff X = Y, e \leq f, eB = A, s \leq t \\ &\implies A \leq B \text{ and } s \leq t, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that if  $(A, s) \preceq (B, t)$  then we also have that  $(A, s) \leq (B, t)$  with respect to the ordering induced by the *product order* on  $P(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{C}$ , which is precisely

$$(A, s) \leq (B, t) \iff A \leq_{P(\mathcal{C})} B \text{ and } s \leq_{\mathcal{C}} t,$$

so that the product order refines the natural order on  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ .

In what follows we will show that the order induced in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  by the product order is compatible with the category structure in a precise manner. The next definition is inspired by the definition of ordered groupoids by Lawson [20] and Hollings' [17] definition of ordered categories.



**Definition 4.7.** We say that an inverse category  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$  endowed with a partial ordering  $\leq$  is an *ordered inverse category* when for  $s, t, s', t' \in \mathcal{C}$  and idempotent arrows  $e, f \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

- (I) if  $s \leq s'$  and  $t \leq t'$ , then  $st \leq s't'$ ;
- (II) if  $s \leq t$ , then  $id(s) \leq id(t)$  and  $ir(s) \leq ir(t)$ ;
- (III) if  $e \leq id(s)$ , then there exists a unique morphism  $e|_s \in \mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $e|_s \leq s$  and  $id(e|_s) = e$ ;
- (IV) if  $f \leq ir(t)$ , then there exists a unique morphism  $t|_f \in \mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $t|_f \leq t$  and  $ir(t|_f) = f$ .

The arrow of item (III) is called the *restriction* to  $e$  and the arrow of (IV) is the *corestriction* to  $e$ .

*Remark 4.8.* Every inverse category is an ordered inverse category by its natural ordering where the restriction and the corestriction are defined as follows: for the last one if  $e \leq id(s)$  and  $f \leq ir(t)$  define  $e|_s := se$  and  $t|_f := ft$ .

**Definition 4.9.** The partial order  $\leq$  on  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  is the one induced by the product order on  $P(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{C}$ , given by  $(A, s) \leq (B, t)$  if and only if  $A \leq_{P(\mathcal{C})} B$  and  $s \leq_{\mathcal{C}} t$ .

Let us open up this definition: if  $(A, s), (B, t) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  are pairs with  $A \subset Costar(X)$ ,  $A \subset \mathcal{R}_e$  and  $B \subset Costar(Y)$ ,  $B \subset \mathcal{R}_f$ , where  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  and  $e, f \in E(\mathcal{C})$  then, by Definition 3.13 and Proposition 2.5,

$$(A, s) \leq (B, t) \iff X = Y, e \leq f, eB \subseteq A, \text{ and } s = ss^\circ t.$$

**Lemma 4.10.** *The Szendrei expansion  $(\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}), ( )^\circ)$  with  $\leq$  is an ordered inverse category.*

*Proof.* We must verify each condition of Definition 4.9. As the first two conditions are direct computations, we will devote our attention to the third item and indicate the main idea of the last one.

We will deal with restrictions and corestrictions, in this order.

Suppose  $(E, f)$  an idempotent in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(A, s) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ ; then there exist objects  $X, Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and such that  $E \subset Costar(Y)$ ,  $E \subset \mathcal{R}_i$ ,  $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ ,  $i \leq f$  and  $A \subset Costar(X)$ ,  $A \subset \mathcal{R}_e$ ,  $s : U \rightarrow X$ ,  $e \leq ss^\circ$ .

Suppose that  $(E, f) \leq id(A, s)$ , which means that  $(E, f) \leq (s^\circ A, s^\circ s)$ , that is,  $E \leq s^\circ A$  and  $f \leq s^\circ s$ . We will prove that  $(E, f)|_{(A, s)} := (sE, sf)$  is the restriction of  $(A, s)$  to  $(E, f)$ .

We begin by proving that  $(sE, sf)$  is a well-defined element of the Szendrei expansion. Since  $s^\circ A \subset Costar(U)$  and  $E \leq s^\circ A$ , we conclude that  $Y = U$  and therefore the composition  $sf$  exists in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The set  $sfE$  is well defined since  $od(sf) = od(f) = o\varepsilon(E) = Y$ . The pair  $(sE, sf)$  is an element of  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ , due to the fact that  $or(sf) = or(s) = X = o\varepsilon(sE)$ , and  $i \leq f$  implies  $i\varepsilon(sE) = sis^\circ \leq sfs^\circ = ir(sf)$ .

In what follows we will need the inner source of  $(sE, sf)$ , which is given by  $id(sE, sf) = ((sf)^\circ sE, (sf)^\circ (sf)) = (E, f)$ . In fact, from  $f \leq s^\circ s$ ,  $E \subset \mathcal{R}_i$  and  $i \leq f$  we get  $(sf)^\circ sE = fs^\circ sE = fE = fiE = iE = E$ ; furthermore,  $(sf)^\circ (sf) = fs^\circ sf = f$ .

In order to prove that  $(sE, sf) \leq (A, s)$ , we need to show that  $sE \leq A$  and  $sf \leq s$ , that is, to show that  $o\varepsilon(sE) = o\varepsilon(A)$ ,  $i\varepsilon(sE) \leq i\varepsilon(A)$ ,  $i\varepsilon(sE)A \subset sE$  and that  $sf \leq s$ . Indeed, respectively: the outer moment map condition follows from  $o\varepsilon(sE) = or(s) = o\varepsilon(A)$ ; the initial hypothesis  $E \leq s^\circ A$  implies  $i \leq s^\circ es$ , so  $i = s^\circ esi$ , and  $ss^\circ es = es$  implies  $sis^\circ = esis^\circ$ ; therefore  $i\varepsilon(sE) = sis^\circ \leq e$ ; since  $E \leq s^\circ A$ , we have that  $is^\circ A \subset E$ , which yields  $sis^\circ A \subset sE$ ; finally, the inequality  $sf \leq s$  is a consequence of  $(sf)(sf)^\circ s = fs^\circ s = sf$ , since  $f \leq s^\circ s$ .

It remains to be shown that the restriction is unique. Let  $(B, t)$  be an arrow in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $(B, t) \leq (A, s)$  and  $(E, f) = id(B, t)$ , that is,  $B \leq A$ ,  $t \leq s$ ,  $t^\circ B = E$  and  $t^\circ t = f$ . Using the last item of Proposition 2.5, from  $t \leq s$  we obtain  $t = st^\circ t = sf$ . Furthermore, as  $(B, t) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $tt^\circ B = B$ , so  $t^\circ B = E$  implies that  $B = tt^\circ B = tE$ . Finally,  $tE = sfE = sE$ , because  $(E, f) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ . In conclusion,  $(B, t) = (sE, sf)$ .

We conclude from the previous computations that  $(E, f)|_{(A, s)} := (sE, sf)$  is the restriction of the arrow  $(A, s)$  to  $(E, f)$ .

Following similar arguments, we can verify that given an arrow  $(A, s)$  and a restriction idempotent  $(E, f)$  such that  $(E, f) \leq (A, s)$ , then  $(A, s)|_{(E, f)} = (E, fs)$  defines the corestriction.  $\square$

Our next move is towards defining another operation among arrows. After Dewolf-Pronk [10] Proposition 3.2, we state the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.11** ([10]). *Let  $X$  be an object of the inverse category  $(\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ)$ . The set  $E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  of idempotent morphisms in  $X$  is a meet semilattice with respect to the natural partial order from  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $e \wedge f := ef$ .*

It is worth mentioning that each  $E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  has  $1_X$  as top element.

Continuing, we extend the pseudo product from ordered groupoids [20] to ordered inverse categories.

**Definition 4.12.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an ordered inverse category such that for each object  $X$  the set  $E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  is a meet semilattice. Let  $s, t \in \mathcal{C}$  be arrows such that there exists  $id(s) \wedge ir(t)$ . The *pseudo product* of  $s$  and  $t$  is

$$s \star t := (id(s) \wedge ir(t)|s)(t|id(s) \wedge ir(t)).$$

*Remark 4.13.* Consider an inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  with the natural partial order and let  $s, t$  be arrows in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The composition  $st$  is defined if and only if the composition  $s^\circ stt^\circ = id(s) \wedge ir(t)$  is defined and, in this case, it follows from Lemma 4.11 and 4.8

that  $s \star t = s(id(s) \wedge ir(t))t = s(s^\circ stt^\circ)(s^\circ stt^\circ)t = st$ . Therefore  $st$  is defined if and only if  $s \star t$  is, and these elements are equal.

We turn now to study the pseudo product in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  where, in constrast to the previous remark, it is a proper extension of the composition of this category. We begin by computing the wedges of idempotents; the next lemma is inspired by results of Gilbert [15].

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let  $(E, i), (F, j)$  be idempotent morphisms in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ , such that there exists the composition  $ij$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the wedge product*

$$(E, i) \wedge (F, j) := (i\varepsilon(F)E \cup i\varepsilon(E)F, ij)$$

*is an idempotent arrow in Szendrei's expansion, and is the greatest lower bound of  $(E, i)$  and  $(F, j)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $(E, i), (F, j) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ , suppose that  $E \subset Costar(X)$ ,  $E \subset \mathcal{R}_e$ ,  $i : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $e \leq i$ , and  $F \subset Costar(Y)$ ,  $F \subset \mathcal{R}_f$ ,  $j : Y \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f \leq j$ . By hypothesis  $\exists ij \implies X = Y$ . In addition, as  $i\varepsilon(E) = e$  and  $i\varepsilon(F) = f$ , the wedge product is  $(E, i) \wedge (F, j) := (fE \cup eF, ij)$ .

It can be shown that the wedge product is an element of  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ , and that it is indeed the greatest lower bound of  $(E, i)$  and  $(F, j)$ .  $\square$

Thanks to the formula for the wedge of idempotents in a Szendrei's expansion we can now describe the pseudo product.

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let  $(A, s), (B, t)$  be arrows in Szendrei's expansion  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ . The pseudo product  $(A, s) \star (B, t)$  is defined in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  if and only if  $st$  is defined in  $\mathcal{C}$  and, in this case,*

$$(A, s) \star (B, t) = (s(i\varepsilon(B))s^\circ A \cup (i\varepsilon(A))sB, st).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(A, s), (B, t) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  with  $A \subset Costar(X)$ ,  $A \subset \mathcal{R}_e$ ,  $s : U \rightarrow X$ ,  $e \leq ss^\circ$ , and  $B \subset Costar(Y)$ ,  $B \subset \mathcal{R}_f$ ,  $t : V \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f \leq tt^\circ$ .

Let  $(L, l)$  be the idempotent  $(L, l) := id(A, s) \wedge ir(B, t)$ . Note that  $(L, l) = (s^\circ A, s^\circ s) \wedge (B, tt^\circ)$  and from Lemma 4.14 it follows that this wedge is defined if and only if  $s^\circ stt^\circ$  is defined, which is equivalent to  $st$  being defined. Moreover, we have  $s^\circ A \subset \mathcal{R}_{s^\circ i\varepsilon(A)s}$ , and therefore the formula of Lemma 4.14 yields

$$(L, l) = (s i\varepsilon(B)(s^\circ A) \cup s^\circ i\varepsilon(A)sB, s^\circ stt^\circ) = (sfs^\circ A \cup s^\circ esB, s^\circ stt^\circ).$$

Computing the restriction and corestriction: as  $s(s^\circ es) = es$  and  $s(s^\circ stt^\circ) = stt^\circ$  we have that  $(L, l)|_{(A, s)} = (sL, sl) = (sfs^\circ A \cup esB, stt^\circ)$ ; and since  $lt = (s^\circ stt^\circ)t = s^\circ st$ , clearly  $(B, t)|_{(L, l)} = (L, lt) = (fs^\circ A \cup s^\circ esB, s^\circ st)$ .

Finally, as there exists the composition of previous arrows, and  $slt = s(s^\circ stt^\circ)t = st$ , we conclude that

$$(A, s) \star (B, t) = (sL, sl)(L, lt) = (sL, slt) = (sfs^\circ A \cup esB, st).$$

Last but not least, we need to ensure that  $(A, s) \star (B, t)$  is an element of  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ . Actually,  $o\varepsilon(sfs^\circ A) = or(s) = X$ ,  $o\varepsilon(es) = or(e) = X$  and  $or(st) = or(s) = X$  implies  $o\varepsilon(sfs^\circ A \cup esB) = or(st)$ . In addition, since  $sfs^\circ A, esB \subset \mathcal{R}_{esfs^\circ}$ ,  $(st)(st)^\circ = stt^\circ s^\circ$  and  $f \leq tt^\circ$ , we have that  $(esfs^\circ)(st)(st)^\circ = (esfs^\circ)(stt^\circ s^\circ) = esfs^\circ$  which implies that  $i\varepsilon(sfs^\circ A \cup esB) \leq ir(st)$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

A natural question is whether the pseudo product extends the composition in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Lemma 4.16.** *If there exists the composition of  $(A, s)$  and  $(B, t)$  in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $(A, s) \star (B, t) = (A, s)(B, t)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \subset \mathcal{R}_e$  and  $B \subset \mathcal{R}_f$ . By assumption  $\exists(A, s)(B, t) = (A, st) \iff A = sB$  and  $\exists st$ . From the equality  $A = sB$ , and since  $sB \subset \mathcal{R}_{sfs^\circ}$ , we conclude that  $e = sfs^\circ$ . Using this information in the formula of the pseudo product we arrive at  $(A, s) \star (B, t) = (sfs^\circ A \cup esB, st) = (eA, st) = (A, st)$ , where the last passage is due to the fact that  $A \subset \mathcal{R}_e$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.17.**  $(\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}), \star)$  is an inverse category.

*Proof.* It can be shown that the product  $((A, s) \star (B, t)) \star (C, u)$  is defined if and only if  $(A, s) \star ((B, t) \star (C, u))$  is defined and, using the formula obtained in Proposition 4.15 and the same notations from its proof, both products are equal to the element

$$(s(f(tgt^\circ))s^\circ A \cup es((tgt^\circ)B \cup ftC), stu).$$

The idempotents for the pseudo product are the idempotents for the composition in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ . It follows by Lemma 4.16 that the arrows  $(A, 1_X)$  are the identities of this category.

For  $(A, s) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  we have that  $(A, s)^\circ = (s^\circ A, s^\circ)$ . It can be shown that the association  $(A, s) \mapsto (s^\circ A, s^\circ)$  satisfies the equality  $((A, s) \star (B, t))^\circ = (B, t)^\circ \star (A, s)^\circ$  whenever the pseudo product  $(A, s) \star (B, t)$  is defined; moreover, idempotents commute with respect to the pseudo product: if  $(E, i)$  and  $(F, j)$  are idempotents then

$$(E, i) \star (F, j) = (F, j) \star (E, i) = (ij(E \cup F), ij)$$

By Proposition 2.2 we conclude that  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  is an inverse category with respect to the pseudo product.  $\square$

A motivation for the introduction of the pseudo product for a groupoid is the ESN Theorem for inductive groupoids, which shows that every inductive groupoid is an inverse semigroup with respect to the pseudo product. We can obtain a similar result by introducing the inner Szendrei expansion, where we fix an object  $X$  of the inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$  and then to consider the arrows  $s : X \rightarrow X$  in the Szendrei expansion  $(\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}), \star)$ .

**Definition 4.18.** Fix  $X$  an object of the inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the *inner Szendrei expansion* at  $X$  is the set

$$\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X)) := P(\mathcal{C}) \rtimes_{(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{B})} \mathcal{C}(X) := \{(A, s) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}); s : X \rightarrow X\}.$$

It is clear that  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X))$  is a full inverse subcategory of  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  for every object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .

The composition of two arrows  $(A, s)$  and  $(B, t)$  may not exist in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X))$ , but  $st$  always exists and therefore, by Proposition 4.15,  $(A, s) \star (B, t)$  is defined for each pair of elements of  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X))$ . The next result then follows from Proposition 4.17.

**Corollary 4.19.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an inverse category and  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ , the inner Szendrei expansion  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X))$  is an inverse semigroup with respect to the pseudo product.*

*Remark 4.20.* We want to shed light on the internal structure of  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ . Consider an idempotent  $(E, e) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  with  $E \subset Costar(X)$  and, by definition,  $e^2 = e : X \rightarrow X$ . Take an arrow  $(A, s)$  such that  $id(A, s) = (E, e) = ir(A, s)$ , that is,  $(s^\circ A, s^\circ s) = (E, e) = (A, ss^\circ)$ , and of course  $s : X \rightarrow X$ . With this computation, we have discovered that  $(A, s) = (E, s)$  satisfies  $s^\circ s = e = ss^\circ$ , and it is an element of  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X))$ . The conclusion is that the set  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})((E, e))$  of such arrows is a group inside the inner expansion  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X))$ .

We can carry over all the work we have been doing in this section to the other Bernoulli actions defined in the last section. We must introduce a new notation first.

Let  $(\rho, \theta) : (\mathcal{C}, ( )^\circ) \curvearrowright (P, \leq)$  be a fibred action of an inverse category on a poset, then we define  $P \overline{\rtimes}_{(\rho, \theta)} \mathcal{C} := \{(x, s) \in P \times \mathcal{C}; o\rho(x) = or(s), i\rho(x) = ir(s)\}$ .

In what follows, we list all the Bernoulli structures we can construct: the global Szendrei expansions  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(-))$ ; the partial Szendrei expansions  $Sz(\mathcal{C})$  and  $Sz(\mathcal{C}(-))$ ; the strict global Szendrei expansions  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m$  and  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(-))_m$ ; the strict partial Szendrei expansions  $Sz(\mathcal{C})_m$  and  $Sz(\mathcal{C}(-))_m$ . In this context, the notation  $\mathcal{C}(-)$  denotes the action of selecting a specific object within the category  $\mathcal{C}$  and subsequently constructing its Szendrei expansion. We state the properties of these sets in the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.21.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an inverse category. Then*

- (i)  $Sz(\mathcal{C})$  is an ordered inverse subcategory of the ordered inverse category  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ ;
- (ii)  $Sz(\mathcal{C})_m$  is an ordered inverse subcategory of the ordered inverse category  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m$ .

Furthermore, for each  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$

- (iii)  $Sz(\mathcal{C}(X))$  is a inverse monoid and a subset of the inverse semigroup  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X))$ ;
- (iv)  $Sz(\mathcal{C}(X))_m$  is a inverse monoid and a subset of the inverse semigroup  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X))_m$ .

*Proof.* We start by pointing that, as  $P_\circ(\mathcal{C})$  is a subset of  $P(\mathcal{C})$ , it becomes a poset with the induced order. Also, Definition's 4.1 data, grants the inverse categorical structure of the expansions on items (i) and (ii). Combining both facts and the arguments used to prove Lemma 4.10, we have the claims of the first two items.

For item (iii), the claim that  $Sz(\mathcal{C}(X))$  is an inverse semigroup has the same proof as Corollary 4.19. It only remains to be proved that it is also an inverse monoid.

Let  $(A, s) \in Sz(\mathcal{C}(X))$ , by definition  $A \subset Costar(X)$ ,  $A \subset \mathcal{R}_{ses^\circ}$ ,  $s : X \rightarrow X$  and  $A \ni ses^\circ, se$ . Since  $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, X)$ , it is possible to compute  $s1_X = s = 1_X s$ . Moreover, clearly  $(\{1_X\}, 1_X) \in Sz(\mathcal{C}(X))$ . So there exists  $(A, s) \star (\{1_X\}, 1_X)$  and  $(\{1_X\}, 1_X) \star (A, s)$ , and both are equal to  $(A, s)$ . Since the strict partial case is analogous, we have finished the proof.  $\square$

**4.3. Enlargements.** Our purpose in this section is to develop a notion of enlargement for inverse categories so that it includes the previous definitions of enlargement for ordered groupoids and for inverse semigroups [20].

**Definition 4.22.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an ordered inverse subcategory of the ordered inverse category  $\mathcal{D}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{D}$  is an *enlargement* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , if

- (I) for each  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  the set  $E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  is an order ideal of  $E(\mathcal{D}(X))$ ;
- (II) let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ ,  $e \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  and  $f \in E(\mathcal{C}(Y))$ : if  $(s : X \rightarrow Y) \in \mathcal{D}$ , and  $se = s = fs$ , then we have that  $s \in \mathcal{C}$ ;
- (III) suppose  $Y \in \mathcal{D}^{(0)}$  and  $f \in E(\mathcal{D}(Y))$ : there exists  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ ,  $e \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  and  $s \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying  $s^\circ s = e$  and  $ss^\circ = f$ .

Notation:  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq_E \mathcal{D}$ .

*Remark 4.23.* If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are ordered by the natural order then (I) is a consequence of (II) in Definition 4.22. In fact, let  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ , let  $e \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  and let  $f \in E(\mathcal{D}(X))$  be an idempotent such that  $e \leq f$ , that is,  $ef = f = fe$ . Then it follows immediately by (II) that  $f \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))$ .

The next result will shed light on some categorical aspects of enlargements.

**Proposition 4.24.** Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are inverse categories satisfying  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq_E \mathcal{D}$ . Then the inclusion functor of their Cauchy completions,  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ , is an equivalence.

*Proof.* By construction, since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}$  it follows that  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is a subcategory of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . We shall prove that the inclusion functor  $\widehat{inc} : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  is fully faithful and essentially surjective on objects.

It obviously is faithful. Let  $(X, e), (Y, f) \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{(0)}$  and let  $(e, s, f) : (X, e) \rightarrow (Y, f)$  be a morphism in  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ . By definition,  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $se = s = fs$ . It then follows from Definition 4.22 that  $s \in \mathcal{C}$ , and therefore  $(e, s, f) \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ , which proves that  $\widehat{inc} : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  is a full functor.

Finally, let  $(Y, f)$  be an object of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}$ , that is,  $Y \in \mathcal{D}^{(0)}$  and  $f \in E(\mathcal{D}(Y))$ . By Definition 4.22 there exist  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $e \in E(\mathcal{C}(X))$  and  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying  $e = s^\circ s$  and  $f = ss^\circ$ . With this data, we define  $(s^\circ s, s, ss^\circ) : (X, s^\circ s) \rightarrow (Y, ss^\circ) = (Y, f)$ . This arrow is an isomorphism in  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  with inverse  $(ss^\circ, s^\circ, s^\circ s) : (Y, ss^\circ) \rightarrow (X, s^\circ s)$ , since  $(ss^\circ, s^\circ, s^\circ s)(s^\circ s, s, ss^\circ) = 1_{(X, s^\circ s)}$  and  $(s^\circ s, s, ss^\circ)(ss^\circ, s^\circ, s^\circ s) = 1_{(Y, ss^\circ)}$ , and this proves that  $\widehat{inc}$  is essentially surjective.

Hence, the Cauchy completions of the pair  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  are equivalent categories.  $\square$

Naturally, one may ask if the Szendrei expansions may be organized in pairs such that one is an enlargement of the other, that is: Is  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  an enlargement of  $Sz(\mathcal{C})$ ? Is  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m$  an enlargement of  $Sz(\mathcal{C})_m$ ? We will investigate these questions following the main ideas of Lawson's book ([20], Chapter 8, Theorem 4).

We begin with the pair  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $Sz(\mathcal{C})$ . By definition,  $Sz(\mathcal{C})$  is an inverse subcategory of  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ .

(I) Let  $(E, e) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(F, f) \in Sz(\mathcal{C})$  be idempotent arrows satisfying  $(E, e) \leq (F, f)$ . We will show that  $(E, e) \in Sz(\mathcal{C})$ .

Note that, since  $(E, e)$  is an idempotent arrow, in order to conclude that  $(E, e) \in Sz(\mathcal{C})$  it is enough to verify that  $i\varepsilon(E) \in E$ . Since  $(E, e) \leq (F, f)$ , we have that  $i\varepsilon(E)F \subseteq E$ , and since  $i\varepsilon(F) \in F$  we obtain that  $E \ni i\varepsilon(E)i\varepsilon(F) = i\varepsilon(E)$ . Hence (I) holds.

(II) Let  $(E, i), (F, j)$  be idempotents in  $Sz(\mathcal{C})$  and let  $(A, s) : (E, 1_X) \rightarrow (F, 1_Y)$  be an arrow in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $(A, s)(E, i) = (A, s) = (F, j)(A, s)$ . Let us fix some notation:  $E \subset Costar(X)$ ,  $E \subset \mathcal{R}_e$ ,  $e, i : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $e \leq i$ ,  $e \in E$ , and  $F \subset Costar(Y)$ ,  $F \subset \mathcal{R}_f$ ,  $f, j : Y \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f \leq j$ ,  $f \in F$ . We can infer a few facts:

- as  $(E, i) = id(A, s) = (s^\circ A, s^\circ s)$ , then  $E = s^\circ A$ ,  $X = od(s)$  and  $i = s^\circ s = id(s)$ ;
- similarly, since  $(F, j) = ir(A, s) = (A, ss^\circ)$ , we can see  $F = A$ ,  $Y = or(s)$  and  $j = ss^\circ = ir(s)$ ;

These facts above imply that

$$s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y), si = s = js, A \subset \mathcal{R}_f, A \ni f = fj, s^\circ A \subset \mathcal{R}_e, s^\circ A \ni e = ei.$$

Now  $(A, s)$  is an arrow in  $Sz(\mathcal{C})$  if and only if  $i\varepsilon(A), i\varepsilon(A)s \in A$ , that is,  $f, fs \in A$ .

We have already checked that  $f \in A$ . In order to verify that  $fs \in A$ , we note that if  $a \in A$  then  $a^\circ a = f$ , hence

$$s^\circ fs = s^\circ a^\circ as = i\varepsilon(s^\circ A) = i\varepsilon(E) = e \in E = s^\circ A;$$

since  $A = fA = jfA = ss^\circ fA = s(s^\circ A)$  it follows that

$$A \ni s(s^\circ fs) = fss^\circ s = fs$$

and therefore  $(A, s) \in Sz(\mathcal{C})$ . This shows that the second axiom of an enlargement of inverse categories holds for the extension  $Sz(\mathcal{C}) \subset \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ .

(III) Consider the idempotent  $(F, j) \in \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ , with  $F \subset Costar(Y)$ ,  $F \subset \mathcal{R}_f$  and  $f \leq j$ . From Lemma 3.15,  $\mathcal{C} \cdot P_\circ(\mathcal{C}) = P(\mathcal{C})$ , so there exist an arrow  $(s : X \rightarrow U) \in \mathcal{C}$  and an element  $A \in P_\circ(\mathcal{C})$ , such that  $sA$  is defined and  $sA = F$ . Notice that  $Y = o\varepsilon(F) = o\varepsilon(sA) = or(s) = U$ , hence  $s \in \mathcal{D}(X, Y)$ . Moreover  $sA = F \subset \mathcal{R}_f \implies sA \subset \mathcal{R}_f$ . If  $A \subset \mathcal{R}_p$ , then  $sA \subset \mathcal{R}_{sps^\circ}$  and  $A \ni p$ . We conclude that  $f = sps^\circ$ . Note also that  $sA$  is defined if and only if  $A \in dom(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ , that is,  $X = od(s) = o\varepsilon(A)$  and  $p = i\varepsilon(A) \leq id(s) = s^\circ s$ .

Another conclusion is that  $p : X \rightarrow X$ , because  $or(p) = or(s^\circ sp) = or(s^\circ) = X$  and  $p^2 = p$ .

Consider the pair  $(F, sp)$ . It has following the properties:

- $(F, sp)$  is an arrow of  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$ , due to the fact that  $or(sp) = or(s) = Y = o\varepsilon(F)$  and  $i\varepsilon(F) = f = sps^\circ \leq ir(sp)$ ;
- its inner source is  $(A, p)$ , because  $(sp)^\circ F = ps^\circ F = ps^\circ(sA) = pA = A$ , and  $od(sp) = od(p) = X$ , and  $id(sp) = (sp)^\circ(sp) = ps^\circ sp = p$ ;
- also, its inner target is  $(F, sps^\circ)$ , since  $or(sp) = or(s) = Y$ , and  $ir(sp) = (sp)(sp)^\circ = sps^\circ$ .

Summarizing,  $(F, sp) : A \rightarrow F$  is an arrow in  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $(F, sp)^\circ(F, sp) = (A, p)$  and  $(F, sp)(F, sp)^\circ = (F, f)$ .

However, to conclude that the third axiom holds we need the equality  $(F, sp)(F, sp)^\circ = (F, j)$ , that is,  $f = ir(sp) = j$ , and we have only  $f \leq j$ .

Conclusion: the pair  $(Sz(\mathcal{C}), \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}))$  satisfies the axioms (I) and (II), but the previous computations do not ensure that (III) holds.

However, observe that they do show that (III) holds whenever the idempotent  $(F, j)$  satisfies  $j = i\varepsilon(F)$ . So we have the next theorem.



**Theorem 4.25.** *The strict global expansion  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m$  is an enlargement of the strict partial expansion  $Sz(\mathcal{C})_m$ . Moreover, the same relation holds for  $\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(X))_m$  and  $Sz(\mathcal{C}(X))_m$ .*

## 5. CONVOLUTION ALGEBRAS AND REPRESENTATION

**5.1. Morita equivalence of convolution algebras.** The last theoretical tool that we need is to define Morita equivalences for categories and to understand how it carries over to its convolution algebras. We will follow the definition of Borceux [4] of Morita equivalence.

**Definition 5.1.** [5] Two small categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are called *Morita equivalent* if their Cauchy completions  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  are equivalent. Notation:  $\mathcal{C} \simeq_M \mathcal{D}$ .

Next we state a proposition of Xu [33] which connects Morita equivalent categories and Morita equivalent convolution algebras.

**Proposition 5.2.** [33] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be two small categories, and  $\mathbb{K}$  be a commutative unital ring. If  $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$  are finite and  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are Morita equivalent as categories then the convolution category algebras  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{D}$  are Morita equivalent as algebras.

We can provide a sufficient condition for the Morita equivalence of two convolution algebras, using the concept of enlargements for inverse categories. Let us explain it better: we showed earlier, in Proposition 4.24, that if an inverse category is an enlargement of another inverse category then their Cauchy completions are equivalent. In particular, the associated restriction groupoids must be Morita equivalent.

We can state the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be two finite inverse categories and let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a commutative unital ring. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is an enlargement of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then the convolution algebras  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{D}$  are Morita equivalent.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.9, if  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are categories then they are inverse categories if and only if their Cauchy completions  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  are inverse categories.

Due to Linckelmann's isomorphism (Theorem 2.13) and the fact that the Cauchy completion is equivalent to its own Cauchy completion (cf. Proposition 2.10), we have that  $\mathbb{K}\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \simeq \mathbb{K}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{K}\widehat{\mathcal{D}} \simeq \mathbb{K}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}$ , so  $\mathcal{C} \simeq_M \mathcal{D}$  implies that  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \simeq_M \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  therefore  $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{C} \simeq_M \mathbb{K}\mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

Finally, we can apply it to our case. Nevertheless, first, we will denominate the algebras.

Given a commutative and unital ring  $\mathbb{K}$ , each Szendrei expansion will give origin to an algebra, which we now define: the strict global outer and inner algebras

$\mathbb{K}\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m = \mathbb{K}_{sglob}\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbb{K}\overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C}(-))_m = \mathbb{K}_{sglob}\mathcal{C}(-)$ ; the strict partial outer and inner algebras  $\mathbb{K}Sz(\mathcal{C})_m = \mathbb{K}_{spar}\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbb{K}Sz(\mathcal{C}(-))_m = \mathbb{K}_{spar}\mathcal{C}(-)$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** *Given a commutative and unital ring  $\mathbb{K}$  and a finite inverse category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the algebras  $\mathbb{K}_{sglob}\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathbb{K}_{spar}\mathcal{C}$  are Morita equivalent. Furthermore, the algebras  $\mathbb{K}_{sglob}\mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $\mathbb{K}_{spar}\mathcal{C}(X)$  are Morita equivalent for each object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* The conclusion follows from Theorem 4.25 and Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

**5.2. Kan extension of representations.** To deal with representation of inverse categories from a categorical point of view to use Kan extensions (cf. Mac Lane [23] and Riehl [29]). This tool allows us to deal with infinite inverse categories, as we show in the next theorem.

**Definition 5.5.** [33] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and  $\mathbb{K}$  a commutative ring, a *representation* of  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  is a covariant functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{K})$ .

The culminating theorem in this section presents the utilization of Kan extensions in precisely characterizing representations of Szendrei expansions of non finite inverse categories.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an inverse category and  $\mathbb{K}$  be a unital, commutative ring. The representations of  $\widehat{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  are Kan extensions of the representations of  $\widehat{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ .*

*Proof.* From Theorem 4.25  $Sz(\mathcal{C})_m \subseteq_E \overline{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m$  implies that  $inc : \widehat{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m \hookrightarrow \widehat{\overline{Sz}}(\mathcal{C})_m$  is an equivalence. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is small by definition,  $Mod(\mathbb{K})$  is a bicomplete category and the Szendrei expansion is an inverse category, given any functor  $F : Sz(\mathcal{C})_m \rightarrow Mod(\mathbb{K})$ , there exist its right and left Kan extensions, which are naturally isomorphic (see [5, Chapter 3] and [23]). Hence, we have that the representations of  $\widehat{\overline{Sz}}(\mathcal{C})_m$  are Kan extensions of the representations of  $\widehat{Sz}(\mathcal{C})_m$ .  $\square$

## 6. COMPARISON TO PREVIOUS EXPANSIONS

As mentioned in the introduction, the literature of partial actions presents expansions of the following structures: ordered groupoids, from Gilbert's work [15]; inverse semigroups, from Lawson-Margolis-Steinberg [21] that are isomorphic to the Prefix expansion from Buss-Exel [6]; groups, from Exel [13] that are isomorphic to the Birget-Rhodes expansion exposed in Kellendonk-Lawson [18].

Groupoids, inverse semigroups and groups are all particular cases of inverse categories. In this manner, it is possible to obtain a reinterpretation of each one of those expansions using the approach that we developed, that is, via Bernoulli actions and its associated semidirect products.

**6.1. Expansion of ordered groupoids.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an ordered groupoid, which is an ordered inverse category, as in Definition 4.7, where each idempotent arrow is an identity of an object, and inner and outer domain and range maps coincide.

First, note that applying the constructions of Section 3 to  $\mathcal{G}$ , we have the set  $P_e(\mathcal{G}) := \{A \subset \mathcal{C}; |A| < \infty, r(a) = e, \forall a \in A\}$ . Then we can define the Bernoulli fibred actions  $(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{B}) : \mathcal{G} \curvearrowright P(\mathcal{G})$  and  $(\epsilon, \mathfrak{b}) : \mathcal{G} \curvearrowright_p P_o(\mathcal{G})$ . Since every idempotent is an identity, the strict actions coincide with the non strict actions. In this manner the Birget-Rhodes expansion  $\mathcal{G}^{BR}$ , defined by Gilbert [15], can be rewritten as the semidirect product  $\mathcal{G}^{BR} = P_o(\mathcal{G}) \rtimes_{(\epsilon, \mathfrak{b})} \mathcal{G}$ . Moreover, we can combine our theory with the work of Miller [25] and exhibit an enlargement of the groupoid  $\mathcal{G}^{BR}$  given as the semidirect product induced by  $(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{B})$ ; using strategies from Clark-Sims [7] we can prove that their groupoid algebras are Morita equivalent.

**6.2. Expansion of inverse semigroups.** An inverse semigroup  $S$  can be seen as an inverse category with only one object (and possibly without the identity morphism).

In this manner, following Section 3, we can define the set  $P_e(S) = \{A \subset S; |A| < \infty, a^*a = e, \forall a \in A\}$ , for each  $e \in S$ , and the Bernoulli actions by symmetry  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{B} : S \curvearrowright I(P(S))$  and  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{b} \curvearrowright_p I(P_o(S))$ . We can combine our theory with the  $L$ -triple of O'Carroll [28] and its identification of partial actions from Khrypchenko [19]; then we can conclude that the Prefix expansions from Lawson-Margolis-Steinberg [21] and Buss-Exel [6] can be rewritten as the semidirect product  $L(S, P(S), P_o(S)) = P(S) \rtimes_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{b}} S$ . Working in a similar fashion with the global action, we will obtain an inverse semigroup which is the enlargement of  $P(S) \rtimes_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{b}} S$ ; its groupoid algebras are Morita equivalent, via the algebra isomorphism from Steinberg [30] and the strategies from Clark-Sims [7].

**6.3. Expansion of groups.** A group  $G$  is a particular case of groupoid, and hence of an inverse category. Using the same tools from previous expansions we will obtain the sets  $P(G) = \{A \subset G; |A| < \infty\}$  and  $P_e(G) = \{A \subset G; |A| < \infty, A \ni e\}$ , where  $e$  is the neutral element of  $G$ . They will lead us to two group actions  $\mathfrak{B} : G \curvearrowright P(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{b} : G \curvearrowright_p P_e(G)$ . Then there is the isomorphism of inverse semigroups  $S(G) \simeq P_e(G) \rtimes_{\mathfrak{b}} G$  and we can obtain the global version using the same method with the global action. It is possible to employ the same steps from the inverse semigroup expansion, then we will obtain a Morita context for the the partial algebra of  $G$ , which is  $\mathbb{K}_{par}(G) = \mathbb{K}(P_e(G) \rtimes_{\mathfrak{b}} G)$ .

## 7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior– Brasil (CAPES)– Finance Code 001. The first author was partially supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development -

CNPq (project 309469/2019-8). This work was partially developed during the second author's post-doctorate at the Graduate Program in Mathematics at the Federal University of Paraná, Brazil (PPGM-UFPR).

## REFERENCES

1. Circle actions on  $C^*$ -algebras, partial automorphisms, and a generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence, *Journal of functional analysis* **122** (1994), no. 2, 361–401.
2. Dirceu Bagio, Daiana Flores, and Antonio Paques, Partial actions of ordered groupoids on rings, *Journal of Algebra and its Applications* **9** (2010), no. 03, 501–517.
3. Jean-Camille Birget and John Rhodes, Almost finite expansions of arbitrary semigroups, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **32** (1984), no. 3, 239–287.
4. F. Borceux, Handbook of categorical algebra 2 – categories and structures, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
5. ———, Handbook of categorical algebra: volume 1, Basic category theory, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
6. A. Buss and R. Exel, Inverse semigroup expansions and their actions on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Illinois J. Math.* **56** (2012), no. 4, 1185–1212.
7. L. O. Clark and A. Sims, Equivalent groupoids have Morita equivalent Steinberg algebras, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **219** (2015), no. 6, 2062 – 2075.
8. J. R. B Cockett and S. Lack, Restriction categories I: categories of partial maps, *Theoretical computer science* **270** (2002), no. 1-2, 223–259.
9. W. Cortes, M. Ferrero, and E. N. Marcos, Partial actions on categories, *Comm. Algebra* **44** (2016), no. 7, 2719–2731. MR 3507148
10. D. DeWolf and D. Pronk, The Ehresmann-Schein-Nambooripad theorem for inverse categories, arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.08615 (2015).
11. M. Dokuchaev and R. Exel, Associativity of crossed products by partial actions, enveloping actions and partial representations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), no. 5, 1931–1952. MR 2115083
12. M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel, and P. Piccione, Partial representations and partial group algebras, *J. Algebra* **226** (2000), no. 1, 505–532. MR 1749902
13. R. Exel, Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), no. 12, 3481–3494. MR 1469405
14. ———, Partial dynamical systems, Fell bundles and applications, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 224, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017. MR 3699795
15. N. D. Gilbert, Actions and expansions of ordered groupoids, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **198** (2005), no. 1-3, 175–195. MR 2132881
16. ———, A  $P$ -theorem for ordered groupoids, *Semigroups and formal languages*, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007, pp. 84–100. MR 2364779
17. C. D. Hollings, Partial actions of semigroups and monoids, Ph.D. thesis, University of York, 2007.
18. J. Kellendonk and M. Lawson, Partial actions of groups, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **14** (2004), no. 1, 87–114. MR 2041539
19. M. Khrypchenko, Partial actions and an embedding theorem for inverse semigroups, *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica* **78** (2019), no. 1, 47–57.

20. M. Lawson, Inverse semigroups, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1998, The theory of partial symmetries. MR 1694900
21. M. Lawson, S. W. Margolis, and B. Steinberg, Expansions of inverse semigroups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **80** (2006), no. 2, 205–228. MR 2221438
22. M. Linckelmann, On inverse categories and transfer in cohomology, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society **56** (2013), no. 1, 187–210.
23. S. Mac Lane, Categories for the working mathematician, vol. 5, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
24. S. MacLane and I. Moerdijk, Sheaves in geometry and logic: A first introduction to topos theory, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
25. E. C. Miller, Structure theorems for ordered groupoids, Ph.D. thesis, Heriot-Watt University, 2009.
26. P. Nystedt, Partial category actions on sets and topological spaces, Communications in Algebra **46** (2018), no. 2, 671–683.
27. P. Nystedt, J. Öinert, and H. Pinedo, Epsilon-strongly groupoid-graded rings, the Picard inverse category and cohomology, Glasgow Mathematical Journal **62** (2020), no. 1, 233–259.
28. L. O’Carroll, Strongly E-reflexive inverse semigroups, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society **20** (1977), no. 4, 339–354.
29. E. Riehl, Category Theory in Context, Aurora: Dover Modern Math Originals, Dover Publications, 2016.
30. B. Steinberg, Mobius functions and semigroup representation theory, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **113** (2006), no. 5, 866–881. MR 2231092
31. B. Steinberg and B. Tilson, Categories as algebras II, Internat. J. Algebra and Comput., to appear.
32. M. B. Szendrei, A note on Birget-Rhodes expansion of groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **58** (1989), no. 1, 93–99. MR 996176
33. F. Xu, Representations of categories and their applications, Journal of Algebra **317** (2007), no. 1, 153–183.

*Current address:* Mathematics Department, Federal University of Paraná, Brazil

*Email address:* marcelomsa@ufpr.br

*Current address:* Mathematics Department, Federal University of Paraná, Brazil

*Email address:* willianvelasco@protonmail.com