

Almost Bipartite non-König-Egerváry Graphs Revisited

Vadim E. Levit
Department of Mathematics
Ariel University, Israel
levitv@ariel.ac.il

Eugen Mandrescu
Department of Computer Science
Holon Institute of Technology, Israel
eugen_m@hit.ac.il

Abstract

Let $\alpha(G)$ denote the cardinality of a maximum independent set, while $\mu(G)$ be the size of a maximum matching in $G = (V, E)$. It is known that if $\alpha(G) + \mu(G) = |V|$, then G is a *König-Egerváry graph* [4, 24].

The *critical difference* $d(G)$ is $\max\{d(I) : I \in \text{Ind}(G)\}$, where $\text{Ind}(G)$ denotes the family of all independent sets of G . If $A \in \text{Ind}(G)$ with $d(A) = d(G)$, then A is a *critical independent set* [26]. For a graph G , let $\text{diadem}(G) = \bigcup\{S : S \text{ is a critical independent set in } G\}$, and $\varrho_v(G)$ denote the number of vertices $v \in V(G)$, such that $G - v$ is a König-Egerváry graph. A graph is called almost bipartite if it has a unique odd cycle.

In this paper we show that if G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then the following assertions are true:

- every maximum matching of G contains $\lfloor \frac{V(C)}{2} \rfloor$ edges belonging to C ;
- $V(C) \cup N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = V$ and $V(C) \cap N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = \emptyset$;
- $\varrho_v(G) = |\text{corona}(G)| - |\text{diadem}(G)|$, where $\text{corona}(G)$ is the union of all maximum independent sets of G ;
- $\varrho_v(G) = |V|$ if and only if $G = C_{2k+1}$ for some integer $k \geq 1$.

Keywords: maximum independent set, critical set, matching, König-Egerváry graph.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper $G = (V, E)$ is a finite, undirected, loopless graph without multiple edges, with vertex set $V = V(G)$ of cardinality $|V(G)| = n(G)$, and edge set $E = E(G)$ of size $|E(G)| = m(G)$.

If $X \subset V$, then $G[X]$ is the subgraph of G induced by X . By $G - v$ we mean the subgraph $G[V - \{v\}]$, for $v \in V$. The *neighborhood* of a vertex $v \in V$ is the set $N(v) = \{w : w \in V \text{ and } vw \in E\}$. The *neighborhood* of $A \subseteq V$ is $N(A) = \{v \in V : N(v) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$, and $N[A] = A \cup N(A)$, or $N_G(A)$ and $N_G[A]$, if we specify the graph. If $A, B \subset V$ are disjoint, then $(A, B) = \{ab : ab \in E, a \in A, b \in B\}$.

A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is *independent* if no two vertices from S are adjacent, and by $\text{Ind}(G)$ we mean the family of all the independent sets of G . An independent set of maximum size is a *maximum independent set* of G , and $\alpha(G) = \max\{|S| : S \in \text{Ind}(G)\}$. Let $\Omega(G)$ be the family of all maximum independent sets, $\text{corona}(G) = \bigcup\{S : S \in \Omega(G)\}$, and $\text{core}(G) = \bigcap\{S : S \in \Omega(G)\}$, while $\xi(G) = |\text{core}(G)|$ [9]. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ is α -critical provided $\alpha(G - v) < \alpha(G)$. Clearly, $\text{core}(G)$ is the set of all α -critical vertices of G . An edge $e \in E(G)$ is α -critical provided $\alpha(G) < \alpha(G - e)$. Notice that there are graphs in which every edge is α -critical (e.g., all C_{2k+1} for $k \geq 1$) or no edge is α -critical (e.g., all C_{2k} for $k \geq 2$).

A *matching* in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a set of edges $M \subseteq E$ such that no two edges of M share a common vertex. A matching of maximum cardinality $\mu(G)$ is a *maximum matching*, and a *perfect matching* is one saturating all vertices of G . Given a matching M in G , a vertex $v \in V$ is called M -saturated if there exists an edge $e \in M$ incident with v .

An edge $e \in E(G)$ is μ -critical provided $\mu(G - e) < \mu(G)$. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ is μ -critical (*essential*) provided $\mu(G - v) < \mu(G)$, i.e., v is M -saturated by every maximum matching M of G .

It is known that the inequalities $\left\lfloor \frac{n(G)}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq \alpha(G) + \mu(G) \leq n(G) \leq \alpha(G) + 2\mu(G)$ hold for every graph G [2]. If $\alpha(G) + \mu(G) = n(G)$, then G is called a König-Egerváry graph [4, 24]. If S is an independent set of a graph G and $A = V(G) - S$, then we write $G = S * A$. For instance, if $E(G[A]) = \emptyset$, then $G = S * A$ is bipartite; if $G[A]$ is a complete graph, then $G = S * A$ is a split graph.

Theorem 1.1 [9] *For a graph G , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) G is a König-Egerváry graph;
- (ii) $G = S * A$, where $S \in \text{Ind}(G)$, $|S| \geq |A|$, and (S, A) contains a matching M with $|M| = |A|$.

Theorem 1.2 *If G is a König-Egerváry graph, then*

- (i) [10] $\text{corona}(G) \cup N_G(\text{core}(G)) = V(G)$;
- (ii) [11] $d(G) = \alpha(G) - \mu(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))|$;
- (iii) [15] $|\text{core}(G)| + |\text{corona}(G)| = 2\alpha(G)$.

For $X \subseteq V(G)$, the number $|X| - |N(X)|$ is the *difference* of X , denoted $d(X)$. The *critical difference* $d(G)$ is $\max\{d(I) : I \in \text{Ind}(G)\}$. If $A \in \text{Ind}(G)$ with $d(A) = d(G)$, then A is a *critical independent set* [26]. For a graph G , let $\text{MaxCritIndep}(G) = \{S : S \text{ is a maximum critical independent set}\}$ [19], $\text{ker}(G)$ be the intersection of all its critical independent sets and $\varepsilon(G) = |\text{ker}(G)|$ [11, 13]. The *critical independence number* of a graph G , denoted as $\alpha'(G)$, is the cardinality of a maximum critical independent set [8].

Theorem 1.3 (i) [3] *Each critical independent set is included in some $S \in \Omega(G)$.*

- (ii) [7] *Every critical independent set is contained in some $S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$.*
- (iii) [7] *There is a matching from $N(S)$ into S for every critical independent set S .*

Some recent developments involving critical independent sets may be found in [5, 25].

Theorem 1.4 [8] For any graph G , there is a unique set $X \subseteq V(G)$ such that

- (i) $X = N[A]$ for every $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$;
- (ii) $G[X]$ is a König-Egerváry graph.

Let $\text{nucleus}(G) = \bigcap \{S : S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)\}$, $\text{diadem}(G) = \bigcup \{S : S \text{ is a critical independent set in } G\}$ [6], while $\beta(G) = |\text{diadem}(G)|$ [22].

If $\alpha(G) + \mu(G) = n(G) - 1$, then G is a 1-König-Egerváry graph [22]. The König deficiency of graph G is $\kappa(G) = n(G) - (\alpha(G) + \mu(G))$ [1]. Thus, a graph G is 1-König-Egerváry if and only if $\kappa(G) = 1$.

A graph is: (i) *unicyclic* if it has a unique cycle [12], and (ii) *almost bipartite* if it has only one odd cycle [17].

Lemma 1.5 [17] If G is an almost bipartite graph, then $n(G) - 1 \leq \alpha(G) + \mu(G) \leq n(G)$.

Consequently, one may say that each almost bipartite graph is either a König-Egerváry graph or a 1-König-Egerváry graph. For instance, both G_1 and G_2 from Figure 1 are 1-König-Egerváry graphs, while only G_1 is almost bipartite.



Figure 1: 1-König-Egerváry graphs

Theorem 1.6 If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then

- (i) [20] $\text{corona}(G) \cup N_G(\text{core}(G)) = V(G)$;
- (ii) [17] $d(G) = \alpha(G) - \mu(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))|$;
- (iii) [20] $|\text{core}(G)| + |\text{corona}(G)| = 2\alpha(G) + 1$.

Lemma 1.5 and Theorem 1.6(ii) directly imply the following.

Corollary 1.7 If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then

$$n(G) + d(G) = 2\alpha(G) + 1, \alpha(G) = \frac{n(G) + d(G) - 1}{2}, \mu(G) = \frac{n(G) - d(G) - 1}{2},$$

and consequently, $\mu(G) < \frac{n(G)}{2}$, i.e., G has no perfect matchings.

It is worth mentioning that the order of an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph may be even (see the graph G_2 in Figure 2).

Theorem 1.8 [11] For a graph G , the following assertions are true:

- (i) $\text{ker}(G) \subseteq \text{core}(G)$;
- (ii) if A and B are critical in G , then $A \cup B$ and $A \cap B$ are critical as well;
- (iii) G has a unique minimal independent critical set, namely, $\text{ker}(G)$.

Theorem 1.9 [14, 18] If G is bipartite, or an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then $\text{ker}(G) = \text{core}(G)$.

Let $\varrho_v(G)$ denote the number of vertices $v \in V(G)$, such that $G - v$ is a König-Egerváry graph, and $\varrho_e(G)$ denote the number of edges $e \in E(G)$ satisfying $G - e$ is a König-Egerváry graph [21].

Theorem 1.10 [21] *If G is a König-Egerváry graph, then*

$$\varrho_v(G) = n(G) - \xi(G) + \varepsilon(G) \text{ and } \varrho_e(G) \leq m(G) - \xi(G) + \varepsilon(G).$$

Theorem 1.11 [22] *If G is a 1-König-Egerváry graph, then*

$$\varrho_v(G) \leq n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G) = n(G) - \xi(G) + |N(\text{diadem}(G))|.$$

In this paper, we show that if G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then $\{V(C), N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]\}$ forms a partition for $V(G)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_v(G) &= n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G) = \\ &|V(C)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| - |\text{core}(G)| = |\text{corona}(G)| - |\text{diadem}(G)|. \end{aligned}$$

2 The $\{V(C), N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]\}$ partition of $V(G)$

Lemma 2.1 *For every graph G and each pair $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ the following equality holds:*

$$\sum_{a \in A} |N_G(a) \cap B| = \sum_{b \in B} |N_G(b) \cap A|.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the double counting method. Namely, every edge outgoing from either $A - B$ or $B - A$ is counted just once, while every edge outgoing from $A \cap B$ is counted twice in the both sides of the equality. ■

Corollary 2.2 *For every graph G and each pair $A, B \subseteq V(G)$, the set $N_G(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ if and only if the set $N_G(B) \cap A = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Clearly, $N_G(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ if and only if $N_G(a) \cap B = \emptyset$ for every $a \in A$, i.e., $\sum_{a \in A} |N_G(a) \cap B| = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, if $N_G(A) \cap B = \emptyset$, then

$$\sum_{b \in B} |N_G(b) \cap A| = 0, \text{ i.e., } N_G(B) \cap A = \emptyset.$$

Now, the reasoning based on the symmetry between A and B completes the proof. ■

Proposition 2.3 *For every graph G , the following equality holds:*

$$|N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]| = \beta(G) + |\text{nucleus}(G)| - d(G).$$

Proof. If $v \in N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]$, then either there exists a maximum critical independent set A such that $v \notin A$ or $v \in \bigcap_{A \in \text{MaxCrit}(G)} A = \text{nucleus}(G)$.

If $v \notin A$, then $v \in N_G(A)$, since $N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = A \cup N_G(A)$ by Theorem 1.4(i). In other words, if $v \in N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]$, then either $v \in N_G(\text{diadem}(G))$ or $v \in \text{nucleus}(G)$, i.e.,

$$N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = N_G(\text{diadem}(G)) \cup \text{nucleus}(G).$$

Since all $A \in \text{MaxCrit}(G)$ are independent, we know that

$$N_G(\text{diadem}(G)) \cap \text{nucleus}(G) = \emptyset.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]| &= |N_G(\text{diadem}(G))| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| = \\ &= |\text{diadem}(G)| - d(G) + |\text{nucleus}(G)| = \\ &= \beta(G) - d(G) + |\text{nucleus}(G)|, \end{aligned}$$

since, according to its definition and Theorem 1.8(ii), $\text{diadem}(G)$ is critical in G . ■

Proposition 2.4 [16] $|\text{diadem}(G)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| \leq 2\alpha'(G)$ for every graph G .

Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 immediately imply the following.

Corollary 2.5 $|N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]| \leq 2\alpha'(G) - d(G)$ for every graph G .

Consider the graphs in Figure 2: $\text{core}(G_1) = \{a, b\}$ and $c \in N(\text{core}(G_1))$ is μ -critical, $\text{core}(G_2) = \emptyset$ and x is μ -critical.




Figure 2: Almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graphs.

Notice that, by Lemma 1.5, a unicyclic graph G may be either König-Egerváry or 1-König-Egerváry. Clearly, $|V(C)| \leq \varrho_v(G) \leq n(G)$, where C is the unique cycle of G . If G is a König-Egerváry graph, then $\varrho_v(G) = n(G) - \xi(G) + \varepsilon(G)$, according to Theorem 1.10(iv). This formula is true for some unicyclic non-König-Egerváry graphs (e.g., $G = C_{2k+1}$). On the other hand, the formula is not true for the graphs G_1 and G_2 from Figure 2. They are unicyclic non-König-Egerváry graphs and have $\varrho_v(G_1) = 5 = |V(G_1) - \{a, b, c\}|$ and $\varrho_v(G_2) = 6 = |V(G_2) - \{x\}|$. In general, if G is a unicyclic non-König-Egerváry graph, then $\xi(G) = \varepsilon(G)$ by Theorem 1.9, i.e., $\varrho_v(G) = n(G)$.

Lemma 2.6 [17] *Let G be an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C . Then the following assertions are true:*

- (i) if A is a critical independent set, then $A \cap V(C) = \emptyset$;
- (ii) $\text{core}(G)$ is a critical set.

Theorem 2.7 [22] *Suppose that $A \in \text{Ind}(G)$. If there is a matching from $N_G(A)$ into A , then every matching from $N_G(A)$ into A can be enlarged to a maximum matching of G , and every vertex of $N_G(A)$ is μ -critical.*

Theorem 2.8 [22] *Let G be a 1-König-Egerváry graph. Then $G - v$ is König-Egerváry if and only if the vertex v is neither α -critical nor μ -critical.*

Proposition 2.9 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then*

$$V(C) \cap N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = \emptyset \text{ or, equivalently, } N_G[V(C)] \cap \text{diadem}(G) = \emptyset.$$

Proof. Let A be a critical independent set. Theorem 2.7 claims that every vertex of $N_G(A)$ is μ -critical. On the other hand, $G - v$ is bipartite for every $v \in V(C)$, since C is the only odd cycle in G . Hence, by Lemma 1.5 and Theorem 2.8 no vertex from $V(C)$ is μ -critical. Thus, $V(C) \cap N_G(A) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6, we know that $V(C) \cap A = \emptyset$. Thus, $V(C) \cap N_G[A] = \emptyset$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} V(C) \cap N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] &= V(C) \cap \bigcup_{A \in \text{Crit}(G)} N_G[A] = \\ &= \bigcup_{A \in \text{Crit}(G)} (V(C) \cap N_G[A]) = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Hence, $N_G[V(C)] \cap \text{diadem}(G) = \emptyset$, by Corollary 2.2. ■

For an almost bipartite graph G with C as its unique odd cycle, let

$$N_1(C) = \{v : v \in V(G) - V(C), N(v) \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset\},$$

and $D_y = (V_y, E_y)$ be the connected bipartite subgraph of $G - E(C)$ containing y , for every $y \in V(C)$ (see Figure 3 for an example).




Figure 3: An almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph and its D_y .

Lemma 2.10 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then $N_G[V(D_y - y)] \cap N_G[V(D_x - x)] = \emptyset$ for every pair of different vertices $x, y \in V(C)$.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that there is some vertex $t \in N_G[V(D_y - y)] \cap N_G[V(D_x - x)]$. It means that there exists a cordless path P connecting x and y , such that $V(P) \subseteq V(D_y - y) \cup V(D_x - x)$, in addition to two canonical paths P_1, P_2 joining x and y on C . Since C is an odd cycle, then either P and P_1 or P and P_2 comprise an extra odd cycle. ■

Theorem 2.11 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then*

$$V(C) \cup N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = V(G).$$

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $V(G) - (V(C) \cup N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]) = A \neq \emptyset$. Since C is the unique odd cycle in G , the graph $G[A]$ is bipartite.

Let $y \in V(C)$ and $A_y = A \cap V(D_y - y) - N_G(y)$.

If $A_y \neq \emptyset$, then there is a non-empty maximum independent set of $G[A_y]$, say B . Clearly, the graph $G[A_y]$ is bipartite, as a subgraph of $G[A]$. Hence,

$$|B| = \alpha(G[A_y]) \geq \mu(G[A_y]) = |N_{G[A_y]}(B)|,$$

because $\alpha(H) \geq \mu(H)$ holds for every König-Egerváry graph H , by Theorem 1.1(ii). By Theorem 1.4(i), $N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = N_G[X]$ for every $X \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$. Taking into account that $B \subseteq A_y$ and Lemma 2.10, we obtain $N_G(B) \cap V(C) = \emptyset$. Since $A \cap N_G(X) = \emptyset$, by Corollary 2.2, we obtain $N_G(A) \cap X = \emptyset$, and, consequently, $N_G(B) \cap X = \emptyset$, because $B \subseteq A$. Thus,

$$N_G(B) = N_{G[A_y]}(B) \cup (N_G(B) \cap N_G(X)).$$

Therefore,

$$d(G) \geq d_G(B \cup X) = |B| - |N_{G[A_y]}(B)| + |X| - |N_G(X)| \geq d_G(X) = d(G),$$

which means that the set $B \cup X$ is critical. On the other hand, the set $B \cup X$ is independent, by the definition of the set A , and the fact that B and X are independent in G . It implies that $B = \emptyset$, because $X \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$. In other words, $\alpha(G[A_y]) = 0$ and, consequently, $A_y = \emptyset$.

Thus, $A \cap V(D_y - y) \subseteq N_G(y)$. Hence, the set $A \cap V(D_y - y)$ is independent, otherwise, G has more than one odd cycle. Moreover, by Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\{y\} \subseteq N_G(A \cap V(D_y - y)) \subseteq N_G(X) \cup \{y\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(G) &\geq d_G((A \cap V(D_y - y)) \cup X) = \\ &|A \cap V(D_y - y)| - |\{y\}| + |X| - |N_G(X)| \geq d_G(X) = d(G), \end{aligned}$$

which means that the set $(A \cap V(D_y - y)) \cup X$ is critical. On the other hand, the set $(A \cap V(D_y - y)) \cup X$ is independent, since $A \cap V(D_y - y)$ and X are independent in G , and the fact that $A \cap N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = \emptyset$. It implies that $A \cap V(D_y - y) = \emptyset$, because $X \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$.

Consequently, $A \cap V(D_y - y) = \emptyset$ for every $y \in V(C)$, which implies

$$A = \bigcup_{y \in V(C)} (A \cap V(D_y - y)) = \emptyset.$$

In conclusion, $V(C) \cup N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = V(G)$, as claimed. ■

In summary, Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.11 claim that the sets $V(C)$ and $N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]$ form a partition of $V(G)$, for every almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph G with the unique odd cycle C .

Proposition 2.12 *For every graph G , the following equality holds:*

$$N_G(\text{diadem}(G)) - \text{diadem}(G) = \bigcap_{A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)} N_G(A).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \bigcap_{A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)} N_G(A)$. It follows that $x \in N_G(A)$ for every $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, i.e., x has a neighbor in every $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$. Therefore,

$$x \notin \bigcup_{A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)} A = \text{diadem}(G),$$

since each A is independent. Hence,

$$x \in \bigcup_{A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)} N_G(A) = N_G(\text{diadem}(G)).$$

Consequently, we get

$$\bigcap_{A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)} N_G(A) \subseteq N_G(\text{diadem}(G)) - \text{diadem}(G).$$

Conversely, let $x \in N_G(\text{diadem}(G)) - \text{diadem}(G)$. Thus, $x \notin A$, for every $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, because

$$\text{diadem}(G) = \bigcup_{A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)} A.$$

Assume, to the contrary, that there exists some $A_x \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, such that $x \notin N_G(A_x)$. On the other hand, there is $A^x \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, such that $x \in N_G(A^x)$, because $x \in N_G(\text{diadem}(G))$. By Theorem 1.4(i), the set $A_x \cup N_G(A_x)$ coincides with the set $A^x \cup N_G(A^x)$, which is impossible, since we know that $x \in A^x \cup N_G(A^x)$ and $x \notin A_x \cup N_G(A_x)$. ■

Lemma 2.13 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique cycle C , then $(N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)) - V(C) = \emptyset$ for every distinct $x, y \in V(C)$, and consequently,*

$$N_G(V(C)) - V(C) \subseteq N_G(\text{diadem}(G)) - \text{diadem}(G)$$

and

$$\sum_{v \in V(C)} (\deg(v) - 2) \leq |N_G(\text{diadem}(G)) - \text{diadem}(G)|.$$

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that there exists some $z \in (N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)) - V(C)$. Then the edges xz, zy together with one of the paths on C connecting x and y , bring birth to an additional odd cycle in G , in contradiction with the hypothesis on G .

Since $(N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)) - V(C) = \emptyset$ for every distinct $x, y \in V(C)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{v \in V(C)} (\deg(v) - 2) = |N_G(V(C)) - V(C)|.$$

By Theorem 1.4(i), Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.11, $N_G(V(C)) - V(C) \subseteq N_G(A)$ for every $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$.

Hence,

$$N_G(V(C)) - V(C) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)} N_G(A).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{v \in V(C)} (\deg(v) - 2) \leq \left| \bigcap_{A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)} N_G(A) \right|.$$

Thus, by Proposition 2.12, we obtain

$$\sum_{v \in V(C)} (\deg(v) - 2) \leq |N_G(\text{diadem}(G)) - \text{diadem}(G)|$$

as claimed. ■

It is worth mentioning that the inequality in Lemma 2.13 may be strict or may turn out to be an equality. For instance, consider the two graphs from Figure 4, where:

- $\text{diadem}(G_1) = \{a, c, y, z\}$, $N_{G_1}(\text{diadem}(G_1)) = \{b, x, y, z\}$ and, consequently,

$$\sum_{v \in V(C)} (\deg(v) - 2) = 1 < 2 = |N_{G_1}(\text{diadem}(G_1)) - \text{diadem}(G_1)|;$$




Figure 4: Both G_1 and G_2 are almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry.

- $\text{diadem}(G_2) = \{u, v, w, t\}$, $N_{G_2}(\text{diadem}(G_2)) = \{s, t, w\}$ and, consequently,

$$\sum_{v \in V(C)} (\deg(v) - 2) = 1 = |N_{G_2}(\text{diadem}(G_2)) - \text{diadem}(G_2)|.$$

The following theorem validates a conjecture from [20].

Theorem 2.14 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then every maximum matching of G contains $\lfloor \frac{V(C)}{2} \rfloor$ edges belonging to its unique odd cycle C . Moreover, every maximum matching of $G[V(C)]$ may be enlarged to a maximum matching of G that saturates all the vertices of C but one.*

Proof. Let $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ and M_0 be a matching from $N_G(A)$ into A , that exists according to Theorem 1.3(iii).

Suppose that M is a maximum matching of G such that $|M \cap E(C)| = \lfloor \frac{|V(C)|}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Hence, there exist two adjacent vertices belonging to $V(C)$, say a and b , which are not saturated by M . Clearly, at least one of them has a neighbor outside of $V(C)$, otherwise $|M \cup \{ab\}| > |M|$. By Proposition 2.9, Theorem 2.11, and Lemma 2.13,

$$(N_G(a) \cup N_G(b)) - V(C) \subseteq N_G(A) \text{ and } (N_G(a) \cap N_G(b)) - V(C) = \emptyset.$$

- Case 1. $|M(a)| = 1$ and $|M(b)| = 1$. Thus, $M(a), M(b) \in N_G(A)$, and at most $|M_0| - 2 = |N_G(A)| - 2$ edges of M are located between $N_G(A)$ and A . Therefore, by Corollary 1.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |M| &\leq \frac{|V(C)| - 1}{2} - 1 + 2 + |N_G(A)| - 2 = \\ &\frac{|V(C)| - 1}{2} - 1 + \frac{n(G) - |V(C)| - d(G)}{2} = \mu(G) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that M is a maximum matching of G .

- Case 2. $|M(a)| = 1$ and $|M(b)| = 0$ or vice versa. Thus, $M(a) \in N_G(A)$, and at most $|M_0| - 1 = |N_G(A)| - 1$ edges of M are located between $N_G(A)$ and A . Therefore, by Corollary 1.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |M| &\leq \frac{|V(C)| - 1}{2} - 1 + 1 + |N_G(A)| - 1 = \\ &\frac{|V(C)| - 1}{2} - 1 + \frac{n(G) - |V(C)| - d(G)}{2} = \mu(G) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that M is a maximum matching of G .

If $|M \cap E(C)| < \lfloor \frac{|V(C)|}{2} \rfloor - 1$, then the gap between $|M|$ and $\mu(G)$ is even bigger than 1.

Therefore, if M is a maximum matching of G , then $|M \cap E(C)| = \lfloor \frac{|V(C)|}{2} \rfloor$ as stated.

In order to prove that every maximum matching of $G[V(C)]$ may be enlarged to a maximum matching of G , let us recall that

$$|A| + |N_G(A)| = n(G) - |V(C)|$$

for every $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$ by Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.11. In addition, $\mu(N_G[A]) = |N_G(A)|$, since $G[N_G[A]]$ is a König-Egerváry graph in accordance with Theorem 1.4(ii).

By Proposition 2.9, Theorem 2.11, and Corollary 1.7, it is enough to prove that

$$\frac{|V(C)| - 1}{2} + \mu(N_G[A]) = \mu(G) = \frac{n(G) - d(G) - 1}{2},$$

which is true because

$$\frac{n(G) - d(G) - 1}{2} = \frac{|A| + |N_G(A)| + |V(C)| - |A| + |N_G(A)| - 1}{2} = \frac{|V(C)| - 1}{2} + |N_G(A)|,$$

as claimed. ■

Theorem 2.15 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then each maximum matching of G consists of $\lfloor \frac{|V(C)|}{2} \rfloor$ edges from C , and either all the edges of a maximum matching in $G[N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]]$ or an edge xy and a maximum matching of $G[N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] - y]$, where $x \in V(C)$ and $y \in N_G(\text{diadem}(G))$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$. By Theorem 1.3(iii), there exists a matching, say M_0 , from $N_G(A)$ into A .

Since A is critical, we know that $|A| - |N_G(A)| = d(G)$.

Suppose that M is a maximum matching of G . By Theorem 2.14, $|M \cap E(C)| = \lfloor \frac{|V(C)|}{2} \rfloor$. Hence, there is only one vertex belonging to $V(C)$, say x , that is not saturated by $M_1 = M \cap E(C)$.

By Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.11, we get $|A| + |N_G(A)| = n(G) - |V(C)|$, because $N_G[A] = N_G[\text{diadem}(G)]$ according to Theorem 1.3(ii) and Theorem 1.4(i).

Thus

$$|M_0| = |N_G(A)| = \frac{n(G) - |V(C)| - d(G)}{2}.$$

By Proposition 2.9, $M_0 \cap M_1 = \emptyset$. Therefore, $M_0 \cup M_1$ is a matching of G and

$$|M_0 \cup M_1| = |M_0| + |M_1| = \frac{n(G) - d(G) - |V(C)|}{2} + \frac{|V(C)| - 1}{2} = \frac{n(G) - d(G) - 1}{2}$$

By Corollary 1.7, we infer that $M_2 = M_0 \cup M_1$ is a maximum matching of G , because $|M_2| = \mu(G)$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |M| - |M_1| &= \mu(G) - \left\lfloor \frac{|V(C)|}{2} \right\rfloor = \\ \frac{n(G) - d(G) - 1}{2} - \frac{|V(C)| - 1}{2} &= \frac{n(G) - |V(C)| - d(G)}{2} = |N_G(A)|. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Clearly, x is not saturated by M_2 .

Case 1. The vertex x is not saturated by M . Hence, by Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.11, $M - M_1 \subseteq (N_G(A), A)$. Since A is an independent set, the equality (*) ensures that $M - M_1$ is a maximum matching in $N_G[A]$.

Case 2. The vertex x is saturated by M . By Proposition 2.9, $y = M(x) \in N_G(A)$. Hence, by Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.11, $M - M_1 - \{xy\} \subseteq (N_G(A), A)$. Therefore, $M - M_1 - \{xy\}$ is a maximum matching in $G[N_G[A] - y]$, because $|M| - |M_1| - 1 = |N_G(A)| - 1$ in accordance with the equality (*). ■

Combining Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 1.6(i) we get the following.

Corollary 2.16 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then*

$$V(C) \cup N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = \text{corona}(G) \cup N_G(\text{core}(G)).$$

Proposition 2.17 [17] *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then $\text{core}(G) \cap N_G[V(C)] = \emptyset$ (or, equivalently, $N_G[\text{core}(G)] \cap V(C) = \emptyset$ by Corollary 2.2).*

Corollary 2.18 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then $V(C) \subseteq \text{corona}(G)$, and, consequently, $|V(C)| \leq 2\alpha(G) + 1 - \xi(G)$.*

Proof. An interaction between Corollary 2.16 and Proposition 2.17 takes us to the inclusion $V(C) \subseteq \text{corona}(G)$. Hence, by Theorem 1.6(iii), we obtain

$$|V(C)| \leq 2\alpha(G) + 1 - \xi(G),$$

as required. ■

3 Equalities and inequalities involving $\varrho_v(G)$

Proposition 3.1 *For every vertex $v \in V(G)$, if there exists a critical independent set in $G - v$ that is not critical in G , then $d(G) \geq d(G - v)$.*

Proof. Let B be an independent set in $G - v$. Hence,

$$d_G(B) = |B| - |N_G(B)| \leq |B| - |N_{G-v}(B)| = d_{G-v}(B) \leq d_G(B) + 1,$$

because

$$|N_G(B)| \geq |N_{G-v}(B)| \geq |N_G(B)| - 1.$$

Suppose that B is a critical independent set in $G - v$, i.e., $d_{G-v}(B) = d(G - v)$.

If B is not critical in G , then $d(G) > d_G(B)$. Consequently, we get

$$d(G) \geq d_G(B) + 1 \geq d_{G-v}(B) = d(G - v),$$

as claimed. ■

Theorem 3.2 [7] *Each critical independent set is contained in some maximum critical independent set.*

Proposition 3.3 *If $v \in \text{nucleus}(G)$ and there exists an independent set that is critical in both G and $G - v$, then $d(G) = d(G - v)$.*

Proof. If B is critical in G , then B is not a maximum critical independent set in G , because $v \in \text{nucleus}(G)$, while $v \notin B$. By Theorem 3.2, there exists some $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, such that $B \subset A$. Since $v \in \text{nucleus}(G) \subseteq A$, we infer that $v \notin N_G(B)$, because A is independent. Therefore,

$$d(G - v) = d_{G-v}(B) = |B| - |N_{G-v}(B)| = |B| - |N_G(B)| = d_G(B) = d(G),$$

because $N_G(B) = N_{G-v}(B)$. ■

Lemma 3.4 *If $v \notin N_G(\text{diadem}(G))$, then $d(G) \geq d(G - v)$.*

Proof. For every independent set B in $G - v$:

- $v \notin N_G(B)$ implies

$$d_{G-v}(B) = |B| - |N_{G-v}(B)| = |B| - |N_G(B)| = d_G(B) \leq d(G),$$

because $N_{G-v}(B) = N_G(B)$;

- $v \in N_G(B)$ implies

$$d_G(B) + 1 = |B| - |N_G(B)| + 1 = |B| - |N_{G-v}(B)| = d_{G-v}(B),$$

because $|N_{G-v}(B)| + 1 = |N_G(B)|$. The fact that $v \notin N_G(\text{diadem}(G))$ means that v does not belong to a neighborhood of any critical independent set, i.e., B is not critical in G . Thus $d(G) \geq d_G(B) + 1 = d_{G-v}(B)$.

Consequently, $d(G) \geq d(G - v)$ in the above two cases. ■

It worth mentioning that there are graphs with $v \in N_G(\text{diadem}(G))$ and $d(G) < d(G - v)$. For instance, consider the graph G_2 from Figure 5: $\text{diadem}(G_2) = \{y, z\}$, $d(G) = 1 > d(G - y) = 0$, while $x \in N_G(\text{diadem}(G))$ and $d(G) = 1 < d(G - x) = 2$.




Figure 5: 1-König-Egerváry graphs.

Proposition 3.5 [22] *If A is a critical independent set in G , then $\text{core}(G) \cap N(A) = \emptyset$ and, consequently, $\text{core}(G) \cap N(\text{diadem}(G)) = \emptyset$.*

Theorem 3.6 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_v(G) &= n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G) \\ &= 2\alpha(G) + 1 - \xi(G) - \beta(G). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.5, an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph is 1-König-Egerváry, i.e.,

$$\alpha(G) + \mu(G) = n(G) - 1.$$

Clearly, $G - v$ is either bipartite or almost bipartite, for every $v \in V(G)$. By Theorems 1.6(ii) and 1.2(ii), we know that

$$d(G) = \alpha(G) - \mu(G) \text{ and } d(G - v) = \alpha(G - v) - \mu(G - v).$$

By Lemma 3.4, if $v \notin N(\text{diadem}(G))$, then $d(G) \geq d(G-v)$.
Therefore, if $v \notin N(\text{diadem}(G))$, then

$$d(G) = \alpha(G) - \mu(G) \geq \alpha(G-v) - \mu(G-v) = d(G-v).$$

Hence, $\mu(G-v) \geq \mu(G)$. On the other hand, $\mu(G) \geq \mu(G-v)$ in general. Consequently, $\mu(G) = \mu(G-v)$.

In addition, if $v \notin \text{core}(G)$, then $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G-v)$. Hence, if $v \notin \text{core}(G)$ and $v \notin N(\text{diadem}(G))$, then

$$\alpha(G-v) + \mu(G-v) = \alpha(G) + \mu(G) = n(G) - 1 = n(G-v),$$

which means that $G-v$ is a König-Egerváry graph. Consequently, we get

$$\varrho_v(G) \geq n(G) - \xi(G) - |N(\text{diadem}(G))|,$$

since, by Proposition 3.5, $\text{core}(G) \cap N(\text{diadem}(G)) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, Theorem 1.11 claims that

$$\varrho_v(G) \leq n(G) - \xi(G) - |N(\text{diadem}(G))|$$

for 1-König-Egerváry graphs. All in all, $\varrho_v(G) = n(G) - \xi(G) - |N(\text{diadem}(G))|$.

By Theorem 1.8(ii), the set $\text{diadem}(G)$ is critical in G . Hence, $d(G) = |\text{diadem}(G)| - |N(\text{diadem}(G))|$. Thus,

$$\varrho_v(G) = n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G),$$

and together with Corollary 1.7 this completes the proof. ■

Let $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$. Then $A \subseteq \text{diadem}(G)$, and, therefore,

$$\beta(G) = |\text{diadem}(G)| \geq |A| = \alpha'(G).$$

Consequently, by Theorem 3.6, we arrive at the following.

Corollary 3.7 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then*

$$|V(C)| \leq \varrho_v(G) \leq 2\alpha(G) - \xi(G) - \alpha'(G) + 1$$

where C is the unique odd cycle in G .

Corollary 3.8 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then*

$$\varrho_v(G) = |V(C)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| - |\text{core}(G)|.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.11, we know that

$$n(G) = |V(C)| + |N[\text{diadem}(G)]|.$$

Together with Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 2.3 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_v(G) &= n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G) = \\ &= |V(C)| + |N[\text{diadem}(G)]| + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G) = \\ &= |V(C)| + \beta(G) + |\text{nucleus}(G)| - d(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G) = \\ &= |V(C)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| - |\text{core}(G)|, \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

Corollary 3.9 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then*

$$\begin{aligned} |V(C)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| + |\text{diadem}(G)| &= \\ 2\alpha(G) + 1 &= |\text{core}(G)| + |\text{corona}(G)|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.8, we know that

$$\varrho_v(G) = |V(C)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| - |\text{core}(G)|.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.6, we obtain

$$\varrho_v(G) = 2\alpha(G) + 1 - \xi(G) - |\text{diadem}(G)|.$$

Thus,

$$|V(C)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| - \xi(G) = 2\alpha(G) + 1 - \xi(G) - |\text{diadem}(G)|,$$

which means

$$|V(C)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| + |\text{diadem}(G)| = 2\alpha(G) + 1.$$

as claimed.

To complete the proof use Theorem 1.6(iii). ■

Theorem 3.10 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then*

$$\varrho_v(G) = |\text{corona}(G)| - |\text{diadem}(G)|.$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.9 and Corollary 3.8, we obtain

$$\varrho_v(G) = |V(C)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| - |\text{core}(G)| = |\text{corona}(G)| - |\text{diadem}(G)|,$$

as required. ■

Corollary 3.11 *If G is an almost bipartite graph, then,*

$$|\text{core}(G)| + |\text{corona}(G)| = n(G) + d(G).$$

Proof. Suppose that G is almost bipartite.

Case 1. G is non-König-Egerváry. By Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.10, we know that

$$n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G) = |\text{corona}(G)| - |\text{diadem}(G)|.$$

Hence,

$$|\text{corona}(G)| = n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G)$$

as claimed.

Case 2. G is König-Egerváry. By the definition of König-Egerváry graphs and Theorem 1.2(ii), we obtain $2\alpha(G) = n(G) + d(G)$. To complete the proof use Theorem 1.2(iii). ■

Let us mention that $\text{corona}(G) \cup N_G(\text{core}(G)) = V(G)$ is true for every almost bipartite graph in accordance with Theorem 1.2(i) and Theorem 1.6(i).

Theorem 3.12 [22] *Suppose that G is a 1-König-Egerváry graph. Then $\varrho_v(G) = n(G)$ if and only if $\mu(G) < \frac{n(G)}{2}$, $\xi(G) = 0$ and $\beta(G) = 0$.*

Proposition 3.13 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then $\varrho_v(G) = n(G)$ if and only if $G = C_{2k+1}$ for some integer $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph and $\varrho_v(G) = n(G)$. By definitions of $\text{nucleus}(G)$ and $\text{diadem}(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nucleus}(G) &= \bigcap \{S : S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)\} \subseteq \\ &\bigcup \{S : S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)\} = \text{diadem}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the fact that $\beta(G) = 0$, i.e., $|\text{diadem}(G)| = 0$, implies $|\text{nucleus}(G)| = 0$.

Now, by Corollary 3.8, claiming that

$$\varrho_v(G) = |V(C)| + |\text{nucleus}(G)| - |\text{core}(G)|,$$

and Theorem 3.12 we conclude that $n(G) = \varrho_v(G) = |V(C)|$. In other words, $G = C_{2k+1}$ for some integer $k \geq 1$.

The converse is clear. ■

Proposition 3.13 immediately implies the following.

Corollary 3.14 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph different from an odd cycle, then there exists a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $G - v$ is not König-Egerváry.*

Let us recall that $V(C) \cap N_G[\text{diadem}(G)] = \emptyset$. The graphs from Figure 6 have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_v(G_1) &= |V(C_1)| + |\text{nucleus}(G_1)| - \xi(G_1) = 4 \text{ and} \\ \varrho_v(G_2) &= |V(C_2)| + |\text{nucleus}(G_2)| - \xi(G_2) = |V(C_2)| = 3. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 6: $\text{core}(G_1) \subset \text{nucleus}(G_1) = \{x\}$, while $\text{core}(G_2) = \text{nucleus}(G_2) = \{u, v\}$

Theorem 3.15 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C , then*

$$\varrho_v(G) \geq \sum_{v \in V(C)} \deg(v) - |V(C)| - |N_G(V(C)) \cap N_G(\text{core}(G))|.$$

Proof. Clearly, if $v \in V(C)$, then $G - v$ is bipartite, and consequently, König-Egerváry. Thus $|V(C)| \leq \varrho_v(G)$.

By Lemma 2.13, the number of different neighbors of the cycle C not belonging to C is $\sum_{v \in V(C)} (\deg(v) - 2)$, since $(N_G(x) \cap N_G(y)) - V(C) = \emptyset$ for every distinct $x, y \in V(C)$.

Moreover, if $b \in N_G(V(C)) - V(C)$, then, by Lemma 2.13, Theorem 1.4(i), Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.11, there exist: a unique vertex $a \in V(C) \cap N_G(b)$, and some $A \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)$, such that $b \in N_G(A)$.

Theorem 2.14 allows us to start with a maximum matching of G , say M , not saturating a but saturating b . Let us define a new matching M_1 as follows: $M_1(a) = b$, $M_1(b) = a$, and $M_1(u) = M(u)$ for every $u \in V(G) - \{a, b\}$. Hence, $M(b)$ is not μ -critical. In addition, if $b \notin N_G(V(C)) \cap N_G(\text{core}(G))$, then $M(b)$ is not α -critical. Thus, if $b \notin N_G(V(C)) \cap N_G(\text{core}(G))$, then

$$\alpha(G - M(b)) + \mu(G - M(b)) = \alpha(G) + \mu(G) = n(G) - 1 = n(G - M(b)),$$

i.e., $G - M(b)$ is König-Egerváry. Therefore,

$$\varrho_v(G) \geq \sum_{v \in V(C)} (\deg(v) - 2) - |N_G(V(C)) \cap N_G(\text{core}(G))|.$$

It is worth pointing out that the above inequality is based on the vertices belonging to $N_G(V(C)) - V(C)$. On the other hand, $G - x$ is König-Egerváry for every $x \in V(C)$, because $G - x$ is bipartite. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_v(G) &\geq |V(C)| + \sum_{v \in V(C)} (\deg(v) - 2) - |N_G(V(C)) \cap N_G(\text{core}(G))| = \\ &\sum_{v \in V(C)} \deg(v) - |V(C)| - |N_G(V(C)) \cap N_G(\text{core}(G))|, \end{aligned}$$

as stated. ■

Corollary 3.16 *If G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C such that $N_G(V(C)) \cap N_G(\text{core}(G)) = \emptyset$, then*

$$\varrho_v(G) \geq \sum_{v \in V(C)} \deg(v) - |V(C)|.$$

The graph G in Figure 7 shows that the inequality from Corollary 3.16 may be strict.




Figure 7: $\alpha(G) = 5$, $\mu(G) = 4$, $\varrho_v(G) = 5$, and $\sum_{v \in V(C)} \deg(v) - |V(C)| = 4$

Corollary 3.17 *If G is a connected almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique cycle C such that $n(G) > |V(C)|$ and $N_G(V(C)) \cap N_G(\text{core}(G)) = \emptyset$, then there exists a vertex $v \in V(G) - V(C)$ such that $G - v$ is König-Egerváry.*

It is worth mentioning that there exist a connected almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique cycle C such that $n(G) > |V(C)|$ and $\varrho_v(G) = |V(C)|$, for instance, see the graph G_2 in Figure 6. In general, $|V(C)| < \varrho_v(G)$ if and only if $|\text{core}(G)| < |\text{nucleus}(G)|$, in accordance with Corollary 3.8.

4 Conclusions

Theorem 3.6 claims that if G is an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph, then

$$\varrho_v(G) = n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G),$$

while for general 1-König-Egerváry graphs $n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G)$ is only an upper bound for $\varrho_v(G)$ in accordance with Theorem 1.11. It motivates the following.

Problem 4.1 *Characterize 1-König-Egerváry graphs such that*

$$\varrho_v(G) = n(G) + d(G) - \xi(G) - \beta(G).$$

Theorem 1.9 states that $\ker(G) = \text{core}(G)$ for each almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph. Hence, by definitions of $\ker(G)$ and $\text{nucleus}(G)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{core}(G) &= \ker(G) = \\ &= \bigcap \{S : S \in \text{CritIndep}(G)\} \subseteq \bigcap \{S : S \in \text{MaxCritIndep}(G)\} = \\ &= \text{nucleus}(G) \end{aligned}$$

for such graphs. Together with Corollary 3.8, it allows us to conclude with the following.

Corollary 4.2 *Let G be an almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph with the unique odd cycle C . Then $\varrho_v(G) = |V(C)|$ if and only if $\text{core}(G) = \text{nucleus}(G)$.*

It is known that, in general, $\text{core}(G)$ does not have to be a subset of $\text{nucleus}(G)$ and vice versa [23]. It directs us to the following.

Problem 4.3 *Characterize graphs such that $|\text{nucleus}(G)| = |\text{core}(G)|$.*

In this paper, most of our findings deal with $\varrho_v(G)$ for almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graphs. It was shown in [22] that if G is neither König-Egerváry nor 1-König-Egerváry, then $\varrho_e(G) = 0$. Theorem 1.10 claims that if G is König-Egerváry, then $\varrho_e(G) \leq m(G) - \xi(G) + \varepsilon(G)$. It justifies the following.

Problem 4.4 *Bound $\varrho_e(G)$ using various graph invariants for almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graphs.*

Clearly, $|V(C)| \leq \varrho_e(G) \leq m(G)$ for every almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graph G with the unique odd cycle C .

5 Declarations

Conflict of interest. We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] M. Bartha, M. Kréasz, *On the König deficiency of zero-reducible graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Optimization **39** (2020) 273–292.
- [2] E. Boros, M. C. Golumbic, V. E. Levit, *On the number of vertices belonging to all maximum stable sets of a graph*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **124** (2002) 17–25.
- [3] S. Butenko, S. Trukhanov, *Using critical sets to solve the maximum independent set problem*, Operations Research Letters **35** (2007) 519–524.
- [4] R. W. Deming, *Independence numbers of graphs - an extension of the König-Egerváry theorem*, Discrete Mathematics **27** (1979) 23–33.
- [5] H. Lu, Z. Yang, *On critical difference, independence number and matching number of graphs*, Graphs and Combinatorics **39** (2023) #93.
- [6] A. Jarden, V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Monotonic properties of collections of maximum independent sets of a graph*, Order **36** (2019) 199–207.
- [7] C. E. Larson, *A note on critical independence reductions*, Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications **5** (2007) 34–46.
- [8] C. E. Larson, *The critical independence number and an independence decomposition*, European Journal of Combinatorics **32** (2011) 294–300.
- [9] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Combinatorial properties of the family of maximum stable sets of a graph*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **117** (2002) 149–161.
- [10] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On α^+ -stable König-Egerváry graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **263** (2003) 179–190.
- [11] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Critical independent sets and König-Egerváry graphs*, Graphs and Combinatorics **28** (2012) 243–250.
- [12] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On the core of a unicyclic graph*, Ars Mathematica Contemporanea **5** (2012) 321–327.
- [13] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Vertices belonging to all critical independent sets of a graph*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **26** (2012) 399–403.
- [14] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Critical sets in bipartite graphs*, Annals of Combinatorics **17** (2013) 543–548.
- [15] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *A set and collection lemma*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **21** (2014) #P1.40.
- [16] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On König-Egerváry collections of maximum critical independent sets*, The Art of Discrete and Applied Mathematics **2** (2019) #P1.02.
- [17] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On the critical difference of almost bipartite graphs*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics **56** (2022) 59–73.

- [18] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On maximum independent sets of almost bipartite graphs*, Discrete Mathematics Days (2022) 306–312. <https://doi.org/10.22429/Euc2022.016>.
- [19] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Critical sets, crowns and local maximum independent sets*, Journal of Global Optimization **83** (2022) 481–495.
- [20] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On almost bipartite non-König-Egerváry graphs*, (2023) <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4397706>.
- [21] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On the number of vertices/edges whose deletion preserves the König-Egerváry property*, (2024) <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.05523>.
- [22] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On 1-König-Egerváry graphs*, (2024) <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv:2308.03503v2>.
- [23] T. Short, *On some conjectures concerning critical independent sets of a graph*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **23** (2016), #P2.43.
- [24] F. Sterboul, *A characterization of the graphs in which the transversal number equals the matching number*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B **27** (1979) 228–229.
- [25] Z. Yang, H. Lu, Q. Yu, *Critical independent sets of König-Egerváry graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **318** (2022) 1–5.
- [26] C. Q. Zhang, *Finding critical independent sets and critical vertex subsets are polynomial problems*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **3** (1990) 431–438.