

UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE LIST-DISTINGUISHING CHROMATIC NUMBER

AMITAYU BANERJEE, ZALÁN MOLNÁR, AND ALEXA GOPAULSINGH

ABSTRACT. Let G be a simple connected finite graph with n vertices and maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. We prove that the list-distinguishing chromatic number $\chi_{DL}(G)$ of G is at most $2\Delta(G) - 1$, and it is $2\Delta(G) - 1$ if G is a complete bipartite graph $K_{\Delta(G), \Delta(G)}$ or a cycle with six vertices. We apply a result of Lovász to reduce the above-mentioned upper bound of $\chi_{DL}(G)$ for certain graphs. We also show that if H is a connected unicyclic graph of girth at least seven and $\Delta(H) \geq 3$, then $\chi_{DL}(H)$ is at most $\Delta(H)$. Moreover, we give new examples to determine two sharp upper bounds for $\chi_{DL}(G)$ in terms of the coloring number of G and the list chromatic number of G . We also determine the list-distinguishing chromatic number for some special graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Albertson and Collins studied the distinguishing number of a graph, and the distinguishing chromatic number of a graph was introduced by Collins and Trenk [8]. A coloring $h : V_G \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ of the vertices of a graph $G = (V_G, E_G)$ is r -*distinguishing* provided no nontrivial automorphism of G preserves all of the vertex colors. The *distinguishing number* of G , denoted by $D(G)$, is the minimum integer r such that G has an r -distinguishing coloring. The coloring h is r -*proper distinguishing* provided h is r -distinguishing and a proper coloring. The *distinguishing chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi_D(G)$, is the minimum integer r such that G has an r -proper distinguishing coloring. Collins and Trenk [8] obtained a Brooks' Theorem type upper bound for $\chi_D(G)$.

Theorem 1.1. (Collins and Trenk [8, Theorem 4.5]) *Let G be a connected graph. Then $\chi_D(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) - 1$ unless G is either the complete bipartite graph $K_{\Delta(G), \Delta(G)}$, or the cycle graph C_6 . In these cases, $\chi_D(G) = 2\Delta(G)$.*

Recently, Ferrara et al. [11] extended the notion of distinguishing proper coloring to a list distinguishing proper coloring. Given an assignment $L = L(v)_{v \in V_G}$ of lists of available colors to the vertices of G , we say that G is (*properly*) L -*distinguishable* if there is a (proper) distinguishing coloring f of G such that $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V_G$. The *list-distinguishing number* of G , denoted by $D_L(G)$, is the minimum integer k such that G is L -distinguishable for any list assignment L with $|L(v)| = k$ for all $v \in V_G$. Similarly, the *list-distinguishing chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi_{DL}(G)$, is the minimum integer k such that G is properly L -distinguishable for any list assignment L with $|L(v)| = k$ for all $v \in V_G$. If $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of G , then the following holds:

$$\chi(G) \leq \max\{\chi(G), D(G)\} \leq \chi_D(G) \leq \chi_{DL}(G).$$

The second inequality was stated in [8]. Since all lists can be identical, the third inequality holds.

1.1. Motivation. Our next example shows that $\chi_{DL}(G) \neq \chi_D(G)$ in general. This motivates the study of list-distinguishing chromatic numbers. Consider the graph G given in Figure 1. Since G is bipartite and asymmetric, we have that $\chi_D(G) = 2$. Consider the following assignment of lists: $L(v_0) = \{1, 2\}$, $L(v_1) = \{1, 3\}$, $L(v_2) = \{2, 3\}$, $L(v_3) = \{1, 4\}$, $L(v_4) = \{2, 4\}$, $L(v_5) = \{3, 4\}$, and for $0 \leq i \leq 6$, $L(s_i)$ can be an arbitrary two element set. Then there is no proper coloring

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C15, 05C25.

Key words and phrases. list-distinguishing chromatic number, distinguishing proper coloring, list coloring.

of G from $\{L(v)\}_{v \in V_G}$, since $\{v_0, \dots, v_5\}$ do not have a proper coloring from the given lists. Thus, $\chi_{D_L}(G) \neq 2$. Moreover, $\chi_{D_L}(G) = 3$.




FIGURE 1. Graph G where $\chi_{D_L}(G) \neq \chi_D(G)$

1.2. Structure of the paper. In Section 3, inspired by Theorem 1.1, the first two authors prove that if G is connected, then $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) - 1$, and the equality holds if G is $K_{\Delta(G), \Delta(G)}$ or C_6 . In view of the graph in Figure 1, this strengthens Theorem 1.1. We remark that $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ if G is a connected unicyclic graph of girth at least 7 and $\Delta(G) \geq 3$ (see Theorem 3.1, Remark 3.2).

Borodin and Kostochka [5], Catlin [6], and Lawrence [15] independently improved the Brooks-upper bounds for $\chi(G)$ for graphs omitting small cliques by applying a result of Lovász from [16]. In particular, they proved that if $K_r \not\subseteq G$, where $4 \leq r \leq \Delta(G) + 1$, then $\chi(G) \leq \frac{r-1}{r}(\Delta(G) + 2)$. In Section 4, the first two authors work in a similar fashion to reduce the upper bound of $\chi_{D_L}(G)$ from Theorem 3.1 for certain graphs by applying the same result of Lovász. In particular, we show that if G is $(n - r + 1)$ -connected and does not contain a complete bipartite graph $K_{r-1, r-1}$ where $7 \leq r \leq \Delta(G) + 1$, then $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) - (3\lfloor \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{r} \rfloor - 2)$ (see Theorem 4.3).

In Section 5, we prove two upper bounds for $\chi_{D_L}(G)$ in terms of the coloring number $Col(G)$ and the list chromatic number $\chi_L(G)$ and give new examples to show that each result is sharp (see Theorem 5.1):

- (1) $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq Col(G)D_L(G)$.
- (2) If $Aut(G) \cong \Sigma$ where Σ is a finite abelian group so that $Aut(G) \cong \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{n_i}$ for some k , where p_1, \dots, p_k are primes not necessarily distinct, then $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \chi_L(G) + k$.

We also determine the list-distinguishing chromatic number of the book graphs.

2. CONNECTED GRAPHS WITH MAXIMUM DEGREE TWO

Let P_n be a path of n vertices, C_n be a cycle of n vertices, K_n be a complete graph of n vertices, and $K_{n,m}$ be a complete bipartite graph with bipartitions of size n and m .

Fact 2.1. *The following holds:*

- (1) If T is a tree, then $\chi_D(T) = \chi_{D_L}(T)$ (see [11, Theorem 7]).
- (2) If T is a tree, then $\chi_D(T) \leq \Delta(T) + 1$ (see [8, Theorem 3.4]).
- (3) If G is a graph, then $\chi_D(G) \leq \chi_{D_L}(G)$.
- (4) $\chi_D(P_{2t}) = 2$, $\chi_D(P_{2t+1}) = 3$, $\chi_D(C_4) = 4$, $\chi_D(C_5) = 3$, $\chi_D(C_6) = 4$, $\chi_D(C_{2n}) = 3$, $\chi_D(C_{2m+1}) = 3$ for any $t \geq 1$, $n \geq 4$, and $m \geq 3$ (see [8, Theorem 2.2]).

Proposition 2.2. $\chi_{D_L}(P_{2t}) = 2$, $\chi_{D_L}(P_{2t+1}) = 3$, and $\chi_{D_L}(C_4) = 4$ for any $t \geq 1$.

Proof. By Fact 2.1(1,4), we have $\chi_{D_L}(P_{2t}) = \chi_D(P_{2t}) = 2$ and $\chi_{D_L}(P_{2t+1}) = \chi_D(P_{2t+1}) = 3$. Since $4 = \chi_D(C_4) \leq \chi_{D_L}(C_4) \leq 4$, we have $\chi_{D_L}(C_4) = 4$. \square

Proposition 2.3. *The following holds:*

- (1) *If $L = \{L(v)\}_{v \in V_{C_5}}$ is an assignment of lists of size 3 to V_{C_5} , and $|\bigcup_{v \in V_{C_5}} L(v)| \neq 3$, then C_5 is properly L -distinguishable.*
- (2) *If $L = \{L(v)\}_{v \in V_{C_6}}$ is an assignment of lists of size 4 to V_{C_6} , and $|\bigcup_{v \in V_{C_6}} L(v)| \neq 4$, then C_6 is properly L -distinguishable.*

Proof. Fix $k \in \{5, 6\}$. Let v_0, \dots, v_{k-1} be an enumeration of V_{C_k} in a clockwise order. We define a proper distinguishing coloring f of C_k in each case so that $f(v_i) \in L(v_i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$.

(1). For each $0 \leq i \leq 2$, pick $c_i \in L(v_i)$ such that c_0, c_1 , and c_2 are pairwise distinct. Let, $f(v_i) = c_i$, $f(v_3) \in L(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_2\}$, and $f(v_4) \in L(v_4) \setminus \{c_0, f(v_3)\}$.

(2). For each $0 \leq i \leq 3$, pick $c_i \in L(v_i)$ such that c_0, c_1, c_2 , and c_3 are pairwise distinct. Let, $f(v_i) = c_i$, $f(v_4) \in L(v_4) \setminus \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, and $f(v_5) \in L(v_5) \setminus \{c_0, f(v_4), c_3\}$. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Fix $n \geq 3$. Let $L = \{L(v)\}_{v \in V_{C_{2n+1}}}$ be an assignment of lists of size 3 to $V_{C_{2n+1}}$, and $|\bigcup_{v \in V_{C_{2n+1}}} L(v)| \neq 3$, then C_{2n+1} is properly L -distinguishable.*

Proof. Since C_{2n+1} is connected, there exist two vertices x and y_1 in C_{2n+1} such that $L(x) \neq L(y_1)$ and $\{x, y_1\} \in E_{C_{2n+1}}$. We color C_{2n+1} as follows:

- (a) Pick any $c_x \in L(x) \setminus L(y_1)$. Color x with c_x . Let $N(x)$ be the set of vertices adjacent to x .
- (b) Let $w_1 \in N(x) \setminus \{y_1\}$. Color w_1 with any color c_{w_1} from $L(w_1) \setminus \{c_x\}$.
- (c) Color y_1 with any color c_{y_1} from $L(y_1) \setminus \{c_{w_1}\}$. We note that $c_x \notin L(y_1)$. Moreover, we can see that c_x, c_{y_1} , and c_{w_1} are pairwise distinct.
- (d) Let $\{y_2, \dots, y_n, w_n, \dots, w_2\}$ be the vertices in the path of length $2n-1$ joining y_1 and w_1 in a clockwise order (see Figure 2).
- (e) For any $2 \leq k \leq n$, we color y_k inductively with any color c_{y_k} from $L(y_k) \setminus \{c_x, c_{y_{k-1}}\}$ and color w_k inductively with any color c_{w_k} from $L(w_k) \setminus \{c_x, c_{w_{k-1}}\}$.




FIGURE 2. *The cycle graph C_{2n+1} .*

CASE 1: If $c_{w_n} \neq c_{y_n}$, then x is the only vertex to get color c_x . Since any nontrivial color-preserving automorphism fixes x , w_1 must map to y_1 , but $c_{y_1} \neq c_{w_1}$. Thus, C_{2n+1} is properly L -distinguishable.

CASE 2: If $c_{w_n} = c_{y_n}$, then we recolor w_n with any color d_{w_n} from $L(w_n) \setminus \{c_{y_n}, c_{w_{n-1}}\}$. If $d_{w_n} \neq c_x$, then by the arguments of CASE 1, we are done. If $d_{w_n} = c_x$, then w_n is the only vertex, other than x , to get the color c_x . Fix any nontrivial color-preserving automorphism ϕ . Then ϕ should either fix both x and w_n , or map x to w_n and w_n to x . Similar to CASE 1, ϕ cannot fix x . If ϕ maps x to w_n , then for some $1 \leq m \leq n$, we have either $\{c_{w_m}, c_{y_m}\} = \{c_{w_m}, c_{y_{m+1}}\}$ or $\{c_{w_m}, c_{y_m}\} = \{c_{w_{m-1}}, c_{y_m}\}$, which is a contradiction as $\{y_m, y_{m+1}\}$ and $\{w_m, w_{m-1}\}$ are edges of C_{2n+1} . \square

Proposition 2.5. *Fix $n \geq 4$. Let $L = \{L(v)\}_{v \in V_{C_{2n}}}$ be an assignment of lists of size 3 to $V_{C_{2n}}$, and $|\bigcup_{v \in V_{C_{2n}}} L(v)| \neq 3$, then C_{2n} is properly L -distinguishable.*

Proof. Since C_{2n} is connected, assume $x, y_1, w_1, c_x, c_{y_1}$, and c_{w_1} as in the proof of Proposition 2.4. The following facts will be useful for our proof:

- (a) $c_x \notin L(y_1)$,
(b) c_x, c_{y_1} , and c_{w_1} are pairwise distinct.

Let $\{y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, z, w_{n-1}, \dots, w_2\}$ be the vertices in the path of length $2n - 2$ joining y_1 and w_1 in a clockwise order (see Figure 3). For $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, assume c_{y_k} and c_{w_k} as in the proof of Proposition 2.4. Let $L'(z) = L(z) \setminus \{c_x, c_{y_{n-1}}, c_{w_{n-1}}\}$.




FIGURE 3. The cycle graph C_{2n} .

CASE 1: Assume $L'(z) \neq \emptyset$. Then assigning any color from $L'(z)$ to z will result in a proper distinguishing coloring since the only vertex colored by c_x is x and $c_{w_1} \neq c_{y_1}$.

CASE 2: Let $L'(z) = \emptyset$. In order to keep the coloring proper, we must assign color c_x to z . Thus, only x and z are colored with c_x . Let f be the coloring of C_{2n} obtained thus far. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there is a nontrivial automorphism ϕ preserving f . Then ϕ should either fix both x and z , or map x to z and z to x . Similar to CASE 1, ϕ cannot fix x . Thus, ϕ maps x to z and z to x .

SUBCASE 2.1: Assume $\phi(y_1) = y_{n-1}$. Then we must have $c_{y_1} = c_{y_{n-1}}$. Define f' as follows:

$$f'(v) = \begin{cases} f(v) & \text{if } v \neq y_1, \\ c & \text{where } c \in L(y_1) \setminus \{c_{y_1}, c_{y_2}\}. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Since $c_x \notin L(y_1)$ by (a), we have that $c \neq c_x$. Thus, f' is a proper coloring. The following claim states that f' is a proper distinguishing coloring.

Claim 2.6. *The only automorphism preserving f' is the identity.*

Proof. Let ψ be a nontrivial automorphism preserving f' . Similar to CASE 1, ψ cannot fix x . Assume that ψ maps x to z and z to x . Since $c \neq c_{y_1} = c_{y_{n-1}}$, we have $\psi(y_1) = w_{n-1}$. Consequently, $\psi(w_1) = y_{n-1}$. Thus, $c_{y_1} = c_{y_{n-1}} = f'(y_{n-1}) = f'(w_1) = f(w_1) = c_{w_1}$, which contradicts (b). \square

SUBCASE 2.2: If $\phi(y_1) = w_{n-1}$, then an argument analogous to SUBCASE 2.1 applies. \square

Theorem 2.7. *The entries in the following table are correct.*

Graph	$\chi_{D_L}(G)$
$P_{2t}, t \geq 1$	2
$P_{2t+1}, t \geq 1$	3
C_4	4
C_5	3
C_6	4
$C_{2m+1}, m \geq 3$	3
$C_{2n}, n \geq 4$	3

Table 1.

Proof. In each case, we may assume that the lists are not identical; otherwise, we can color the vertices in a manner identical to the proper distinguishing coloring and apply Fact 2.1(4). If the lists are non-identical, then by Propositions 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, and Facts 2.1(3,4), we are done. \square

3. BROOK'S TYPE UPPER BOUNDS

Imrich et al. [13, Theorem 3] proved that if H is a connected infinite graph with a finite maximum degree $\Delta(H)$, then $\chi_D(H) \leq 2\Delta(H) - 1$. We apply Theorem 2.7 and modify the algorithm of [13, Theorem 3] suitably to prove the following upper bound for $\chi_{D_L}(G)$ if G is a finite graph:

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a connected finite graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. Then $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) - 1$, unless G is $K_{\Delta(G), \Delta(G)}$ or C_6 . In these cases, $\chi_{D_L}(G) = 2\Delta(G)$.*

Proof. If $\Delta(G) = 2$, then by Theorem 2.7, we have $\chi_{D_L}(G) = 4$ if and only if G is C_4 or C_6 . It is easy to see that $\chi_{D_L}(K_{\Delta(G), \Delta(G)}) = 2\Delta(G)$, and $K_{2,2}$ is C_4 . Hence, we may assume that $\Delta(G) \geq 3$ and G is not $K_{\Delta(G), \Delta(G)}$. We show that in this case $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) - 1$. Let $L = \{L(v)\}_{v \in V_G}$ be an assignment of lists with $|L(z)| = 2\Delta(G) - 1$, for all $z \in V_G$. Let $v \in V_G$ be a vertex with degree $\Delta(G)$ and T be a breadth-first search (*BFS*) spanning tree of G rooted at v . We use the notation $<$ to denote the *BFS* order. Also, for $z \in V_G$, by $N(z)$ we denote the set of its neighbors in G and $S(z)$ denotes the set of its siblings in T . We will define partial functions $f_x : V_G \rightarrow \bigcup_{z \in V_G} L(z)$ for $x \in V_G$ such that the following holds:

- (i) if $x < y$, then $f_x \subseteq f_y$,
- (ii) if $f = \bigcup_{x \in V_G} f_x$, then $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V_G$,
- (iii) the domain of f_x includes all vertices till x in the *BFS* order.

For the base case, we assign pairwise different colors to v and to members of $N(v) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{\Delta(G)}\}$ from the respective lists, say $c_v \in L(v)$ and $c_{v_i} \in L(v_i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq \Delta(G)$. For the rest, we try to keep v to be the only vertex of G with the property of being colored with c_v , while all its neighbors are colored by $c_{v_1}, \dots, c_{v_{\Delta(G)}}$. We will refer to this property as $(*)$.

We proceed by coloring the vertices of G in their *BFS* order inductively, as follows: Let $x \in V_G$ be the $<$ -minimal element for which no color has been assigned and $z < x$ be the immediate *BFS* predecessor of x . We define f_x . Let us write,

$$S_{<}(x) = \{y \in S(x) : y < x\} \text{ and } N_{<}(x) = \{y \in N(x) : y < x\}.$$

Then f_z has already assigned colors to each member of $S_{<}(x) \cup N_{<}(x)$. Define,

$$A_x = L(x) \setminus \left(\{c_v\} \cup f_z[S_{<}(x)] \cup f_z[N_{<}(x)] \right).$$

If $A_x \neq \emptyset$, then let $f_x = f_z \cup \{x, c\}$, where $c \in A_x$. If $A_x = \emptyset$, then since $|N(x)| \leq \Delta(G)$ and $|S(x)| \leq \Delta(G) - 2$, we must have used $|S_{<}(x)| + |N_{<}(x)| = 2\Delta(G) - 2$ many colors from $L(x)$ to color the neighbors and siblings that come before x . Thus, the following holds:

- (a) $c_v \in L(x)$,
- (b) $S_{<}(x) = S(x)$ and $N_{<}(x) = N(x)$,
- (c) $|S(x)| = \Delta(G) - 2$ and $|N(x)| = \Delta(G)$,
- (d) $N(x) \cap S(x) = \emptyset$,
- (e) for all $y \in S(x) \cup N(x)$, we have $f_z(y) \in L(x)$.

In this case, let $f_x = f_z \cup \{x, c_v\}$. In either case, f_x has (i) and (iii). Finally, set $f = \bigcup_{x \in V_G} f_x$. It is clear that f satisfies (ii), and whenever $f(x) = c_v$ where $x \neq v$, then (a) – (e) must hold. Moreover, if no vertex other than v has property $(*)$, then it is easy to see that f is a proper distinguishing coloring. However, suppose there is a vertex other than v , say x , with property $(*)$ and is the $<$ -minimal such vertex. Then (a) – (e) are satisfied for x . We modify f in such a way that x has no longer $(*)$, while it remains a proper list coloring. By a careful introspection we will analyze the following cases: ¹

¹We note that CASE 1 and Case A of SUBCASE 3.1 arise when the lists are nonidentical.

CASE 1: If there is $w \in S(x)$ such that $|N(w)| \neq |N(x)|$, then color x with $f(w)$, which can be done by (e). Then there is no color-preserving automorphism of G , which fixes v and maps x to w . Meanwhile, by (d), this keeps the coloring proper, and x has no longer the property (*).

CASE 2: For every $z \in S(x)$, we have $|N(z)| = |N(x)|$, and there is a $w \in S(x)$ such that $N(w) \neq N(x)$. By (c), fix $y \in N(x)$ such that $y \notin N(w)$. Since the neighbors of x must have occurred before x in the *BFS* ordering, we have $f(w), f(y) \in L(x)$ by (e). We color x with $f(w)$. The rest follows the arguments of CASE 1.

CASE 3: For every $w \in S(x)$, we have $N(w) = N(x)$.

SUBCASE 3.1: There is a vertex $z \in N(x)$ with no sibling or parent colored with c_v . We need to consider two different cases.

Case A: If $c_v \notin L(z)$, then by (c) at most $(\Delta(G) - 1) + (\Delta(G) - 2) = 2\Delta(G) - 3$ number of colors can appear in the list $L(z)$ that are used for coloring $N(z) \cup S(z)$. Hence, there must be $c \in L(z)$ such that $c \neq f(z)$, which also differs from all of the colors assigned to $N(z) \cup S(z)$. We color z with c and x with $f(z)$. Then x no longer has (*) (as no member of $N(v)$ has color c), and this modification keeps the coloring proper.

Case B: If $c_v \in L(z)$, then color x with $f(z)$ and z with c_v , which can be done by (e). Clearly, x does not have (*), and z does not have (*) either by (b) – (d).

SUBCASE 3.2: Each $y \in N(x)$ has a sibling or parent colored with c_v . By (b), each $y \in N(x)$ and its siblings must have come before x in the *BFS* ordering. Hence, their parent cannot have (*), unless it is the root v . There must exist a $z \in N(x)$ such that z is not a child of v . Otherwise, G is $K_{\Delta(G), \Delta(G)}$, which contradicts the assumption that G is not $K_{\Delta(G), \Delta(G)}$. Fix such z . Similar to *Case A*, at most $2\Delta(G) - 3$ number of colors can appear in the list $L(z)$ that are colors of $N(z) \cup S(z)$: Denoting the parent of z by w , we have $N(z) = S(x) \cup \{w\}$ with $|S(z)| \leq \Delta(G) - 2$ and $|N(x)| = \Delta(G)$. But the color c_v was used once for x and once among $S(z) \cup \{w\}$. Hence, there must be some $c \in L(z)$ such that $c \neq f(z)$, which is also different from all of the colors assigned to $N(z) \cup S(z)$. Using (e), we can color x with $f(z)$ and z with c . Similarly, as before, the new coloring remains a proper list coloring, and v is the only $<$ -predecessor of x having (*), and all of them are fixed as long as v is fixed.

By iterating this procedure, we can recolor every node with property (*), hence we obtain a list distinguishing proper coloring of G . \square

Remark 3.2. Alikhani–Soltani [2, Theorem 3.2] proved that $\chi_D(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ if $\Delta(G) \geq 3$, where G is a connected unicyclic graph. Inspired by that result, we prove that if G is a connected unicyclic graph of girth at least 7, then $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ if $\Delta(G) \geq 3$. First, we observe that, similar to [8, Lemma 3.2] due to Collins and Trenk, one can prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Let (T, z) be a rooted tree, and let $L = \{L(v)\}_{v \in V_T}$ be an assignment of lists. A coloring of (T, z) in which each vertex, colored from its lists, is colored differently from its siblings and from its parent is a properly L -distinguishing coloring.*

Let C be the unique cycle in G with a set $\{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ of vertices. Then, $G \setminus E_C$ is the union of trees T_{x_i} , $1 \leq i \leq t$, where T_{x_i} has only one common vertex x_i with the cycle C . Assign a list assignment $L = \{L(v)\}_{v \in V_G}$ such that $|L(v)| = \Delta(G)$ for all $v \in V_G$.

- By Theorem 2.7, we have $\chi_{D_L}(C) = 3$ since $t \geq 7$.
- Define $L^1(v) := \text{init}_3(L(v))$ for each vertex $v \in V_G$ where $\text{init}_3(L(v))$ denotes the first 3 elements of $L(v)$, and let $L^1 = \{L^1(v)\}_{v \in V_G}$. Since C is properly L^1 -distinguishable (say by coloring f^1), we define $f \upharpoonright V_C := f^1$.

Fix $1 \leq i \leq t$. Working much in the same way as in Alikhani–Soltani [2, Theorem 3.2] and by applying Lemma 3.3, we have $\chi_{D_L}(T_{x_i} \setminus \{x_i\}) \leq \Delta(G)$. Since $|L(v)| = \Delta(G)$ for all $v \in V_G$, color the vertices of $T_{x_i} \setminus \{x_i\}$ uniquely from its list (say by f^i). Define $f \upharpoonright V_{T_{x_i} \setminus \{x_i\}} := f^i$.

If ϕ is a nontrivial automorphism preserving f , then all vertices of C are fixed by ϕ , and consequently all vertices in T_{x_i} 's are fixed. Thus, f is a proper distinguishing coloring, and we conclude that $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.

4. AN APPLICATION OF LOVÁSZ'S LEMMA

For a subset $X \subseteq V_G$ of vertices of a graph G , let $G[X]$ denote the subgraph of G induced by X .

Lemma 4.1. (Lovász; [16]) *If $\sum_{i=1}^t x_i \geq \Delta(G) + 1 - t$, then there is a partition of V_G into t -sets V_1, \dots, V_t such that $\Delta(G[V_i]) \leq x_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$.*

Definition 4.2. A graph is k -connected if it has at least $k + 1$ vertices, and the removal of $k - 1$ or fewer vertices leaves a connected graph. We denote $\text{init}_k(L)$ by the first k elements of a list L .

We apply Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.1 to prove the following:

Theorem 4.3. *Fix $7 \leq r \leq \Delta(G) + 1$. Let G be a $(n - r + 1)$ -connected graph of order n such that G does not contain a complete bipartite graph $K_{r-1, r-1}$. Then, $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) - (3\lfloor \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{r} \rfloor - 2)$.*

Proof. Let $t = \lfloor \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{r} \rfloor$, $h_i = r - 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, and $h_t = \Delta(G) - r(t - 1)$. Clearly,

$$t \geq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^t h_i = \Delta(G) + 1 - t.$$

By Lemma 4.1, there is a partition of V_G into V_1, \dots, V_t such that $\Delta(G[V_i]) \leq h_i = r - 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$ and $\Delta(G[V_t]) \leq h_t = \Delta(G) - r(t - 1)$.

Claim 4.4. $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^t \chi_{D_L}(G[V_i])$.

Proof. Let $k_i = \chi_{D_L}(G[V_i])$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Assign any list $L(v)$ to each vertex $v \in V_G$ such that $|L(v)| = \sum_{i=1}^t k_i$. We define a coloring f for the vertices of G as follows:

(1) Let $L^1(v) := \text{init}_{k_1}(L(v))$ for each vertex $v \in V_G$.

Let $L^1 = \{L^1(v)\}_{v \in V_G[V_1]}$. Since $G[V_1]$ is properly L^1 -distinguishable (say by coloring f^1), we define $f \upharpoonright V_G[V_1] := f^1$.

(2) For any $1 < i \leq t$, let $L^i(v) := \text{init}_{k_i}(L(v) \setminus \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} L^k(v))$ for each vertex $v \in V_G$.

Let $L^i = \{L^i(v)\}_{v \in V_G[V_i]}$. Since $G[V_i]$ is properly L^i -distinguishable (say by coloring f^i), we define $f \upharpoonright V_G[V_i] := f^i$.

If ϕ is an automorphism of G preserving the coloring f , then the range of $G[V_i]$ with respect to ϕ is $G[V_i]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Thus, $\phi \upharpoonright G[V_i]$ is an automorphism of $G[V_i]$ preserving f . Since the above defined colorings of $G[V_i]$'s are distinguishing, we have that $\phi \upharpoonright G[V_i]$ is a trivial automorphism for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Thus, f is a distinguishing coloring of G .

We can see that f is a proper coloring of G as well. Pick any $x, y \in V_G$ such that $\{x, y\} \in E_G$. If $x, y \in V_G[V_i]$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$, then $f(x) \neq f(y)$ as $f \upharpoonright (V_G[V_i])$ is a proper coloring. If $x \in V_G[V_i]$ and $y \in V_G[V_j]$ such that $i \neq j$, then $f(x) \neq f(y)$ as f is defined in a way such that the sets of colors used to color $G[V_i]$ and $G[V_j]$ are different. \square

Claim 4.5. $\chi_{D_L}(G[V_i]) \leq 2r - 3$ for any $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$ and $\chi_{D_L}(G[V_t]) \leq 2(\Delta(G) - r(t - 1)) - 1$.

Proof. Fix $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$. We will analyze the following cases:

CASE 1: Suppose $|V_{G[V_i]}| < r$. As coloring all the vertices with distinct colors from their respective lists of size $|V_{G[V_i]}|$ yields a proper distinguishing coloring and $r \geq 7$, we have,

$$\chi_{D_L}(G[V_i]) \leq |V_{G[V_i]}| \leq r - 1 \leq 2r - 3.$$

CASE 2: Suppose $|V_{G[V_i]}| \geq r$. Since G is $(n - r + 1)$ -connected, we have that $G[V_i]$ is connected. We note that $\Delta(G[V_i]) \leq r - 1$.

SUBCASE 2.1: Let $\Delta(G[V_i]) < r - 1$. Then, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\chi_{D_L}(G[V_i]) \leq 2(\Delta(G[V_i])) \leq 2(r - 2) = 2r - 4.$$

SUBCASE 2.2: Let $\Delta(G[V_i]) = r - 1$. Since G contains no $K_{r-1, r-1}$ as subgraphs, neither does $G[V_i]$. Thus, $G[V_i]$ cannot be $K_{\Delta(G[V_i]), \Delta(G[V_i])}$. Moreover, $G[V_i]$ cannot be C_6 as $|V_{G[V_i]}| \geq r \geq 7$. Thus, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\chi_{D_L}(G[V_i]) \leq |V_{G[V_i]}| \leq r - 1 \leq 2r - 3.$$

Similarly, we can see that $\chi_{D_L}(G[V_t]) \leq 2(\Delta(G) - r(t - 1)) - 1$ since $r - 1 \leq \Delta(G) - r(t - 1)$. \square

By applying Claims 4.4 and 4.5, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{D_L}(G) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^t \chi_{D_L}(G[V_i]) \\ &\leq (t - 1)(2r - 3) + 2(\Delta(G) - r(t - 1)) - 1 \\ &\leq 2\Delta(G) - (3t - 2). \end{aligned}$$

\square

5. TWO SHARP UPPER BOUNDS AND SPECIAL GRAPHS

Erdős and Hajnal [9] introduced the coloring number of a graph G . The least integer k , such that there exists a well-ordering of the vertices of G in which each vertex has fewer than k neighbors that are earlier in the ordering, is defined as the *coloring number* of G , denoted by $Col(G)$. Erdős–Rubin–Taylor [10] and Vizing [17] independently introduced the list-chromatic number of a graph G . Fix an integer k . We say that G is *k-choosable* if for any assignment $L = L(v)_{v \in V_G}$ of lists of available colors to the vertices of G , there is a proper vertex coloring f of G such that $f(v) \in L(v)$ and $|L(v)| = k$ for all $v \in V_G$. The *list chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi_L(G)$, is the minimum integer k such that G is *k-choosable*. The automorphism group of G , denoted by $Aut(G)$, is the group consisting of automorphisms of G with composition as the operation. The *join* of graphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n , denoted by $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} G_i$, has vertex set $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} V_{G_i}$ and edge set $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} E_{G_i} \cup \{xy : x \in V_{G_i}, y \in V_{G_j}, i \neq j\}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Fix a prime number p . The following hold:*

- (1) *For any graph $G = (V_G, E_G)$, we have $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq Col(G)D_L(G)$.*
- (2) *The bound $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq Col(G)D_L(G)$ is sharp.*
- (3) *If $Aut(G) \cong \Sigma$ where Σ is an abelian group of order p^m , then $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \chi_L(G) + 1$. Moreover, the bound $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \chi_L(G) + 1$ is sharp.*
- (4) *If $Aut(G) \cong \Sigma$ where Σ is a finite abelian group so that $Aut(G) \cong \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{n_i}$ for some k , where p_1, \dots, p_k are primes not necessarily distinct, then $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \chi_L(G) + k$. Moreover, the bound $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \chi_L(G) + k$ is sharp.*

Proof. (1). Let $c = Col(G)$, $d = D_L(G)$, and $l = \{l(v)\}_{v \in V_G}$ be an assignment of lists with $|l(v)| = cd$ for all $v \in V_G$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be an enumeration of V_G . We define an assignment $\{l'(v)\}_{v \in V_G}$ of disjoint lists inductively such that $|l'(v)| = d$ for all $v \in V_G$.

Let $l'(v_1)$ be an arbitrary d -element subset of $l(v_1)$. Fix $i \geq 2$. Suppose $l'(v_1), \dots, l'(v_{i-1})$ were defined. We define $l'(v_i)$. Let v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_r} be the r -vertices from v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} that are connected to v_i by an edge. Let,

$$X(v_i) = l(v_i) \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq r} l'(v_{k_j}).$$

Since $c > r$, we have $|X(v_i)| \geq dc - dr = d(c - r) \geq d$. Let $l'(v_i)$ be a d -element subset of $X(v_i)$.

Since $d = D_L(G)$, there is a distinguishing coloring f of G such that $f(v) \in l'(v)$ for all $v \in V_G$. By the definition of $\{l'(v)\}_{v \in V_G}$, f is a proper coloring as well.

(2). Consider the graph G in Figure 1 where $Col(G) = 3$, $D_L(G) = 1$, and $\chi_{D_L}(G) = 3$.

(3). We slightly modify the methods of Collins, Hovey, and Trenk [7, Theorem 4.2]. Let $l = \{l(v)\}_{v \in V_G}$ be an assignment of lists of size $\chi_L(G) + 1$. Define $l'(v) := \text{init}_{\chi_L(G)}(l(v))$ and $l'' = \{l''(v)\}_{v \in V_G}$. Let f be a proper coloring of G where $f(v) \in l'(v)$ for all $v \in V_G$. Now $\Sigma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^m$. If σ is a generator of $\text{Aut}(G)$, then $\tau = \sigma^{p^{m-1}}$ is a nontrivial automorphism of G . Let ω be a nontrivial automorphism of G of order p^t for $t \geq 2$. Clearly, τ is a power of ω . Since τ is a nontrivial automorphism, there is a vertex v not fixed by τ . Then v is not fixed by ω either. We recolor v by the unique new color from $l(v) \setminus l'(v)$. This new coloring is an l -proper distinguishing coloring of G .




FIGURE 4. The graph C'_{12} , where $\text{Aut}(C'_{12}) = \mathbb{Z}_3$.

For the second assertion, consider the cycle graph $C_{4n} = (V_{C_{4n}}, E_{C_{4n}})$ for any integer $n \geq 2$. Let x_1, \dots, x_{4n} be the vertices of $V_{C_{4n}}$ in a clockwise order. We construct a graph C'_{4n} by adding to each x_k a path P_k of length r , where $r \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $k \equiv r \pmod{4}$ (see Figure 4). Now, $\text{Aut}(C_{4n})$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order $8n$. The added paths in C'_{4n} break all of the reflectional symmetries of C_{4n} since any 3 consecutive nodes in C_{4n} have different added paths. However, the added paths of C'_{4n} do not break all of the symmetries of C_{4n} due to its periodicity. Since any automorphism of C'_{4n} can only send a node $x \in V_{C_{4n}}$ to a node $y \in V_{C_{4n}}$ such that P_x and P_y are of the same length, C'_{4n} keeps n out of the $4n$ rotational symmetries of C_{4n} . Thus, $\text{Aut}(C'_{4n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Claim 5.2. $\chi_L(C'_{4n}) = 2$ and $\chi_{D_L}(C'_{4n}) = 3$.

Proof. Let $H = C'_{4n}$ and $l = \{l(v)\}_{v \in V_H}$ be an assignment of lists of size 2. Let f be a proper coloring of C_{4n} such that $f(v) \in l(v)$ for all $v \in V_{C_{4n}}$.² We extend f to a proper coloring of H in a way such that the adjacent vertices of P_i 's get different colors from their respective lists. So, $\chi_L(H) = 2$. We show $\chi_{D_L}(H) = 3$. Any 2-proper coloring f of H must color alternately each of the vertices in both C_{4n} and the added paths. So there is a nontrivial f -preserving automorphism of H that maps a node $x \in V_{C_{4n}}$ to a node $y \in V_{C_{4n}}$ so that P_x and P_y are of the same length. Thus,

²as if C is an even cycle then the list chromatic number $\chi_L(C)$ of C is 2.

$\chi_{D_L}(H) \geq \chi_D(H) > 2$. We prove that $\chi_{D_L}(H) \leq 3$. Let $l = \{l(v)\}_{v \in V_H}$ be an assignment of lists of size 3. By Theorem 2.7, C_{4n} is properly l' -distinguishable if $l' = \{l(v)\}_{v \in V_{C_{4n}}}$. We extend f to a proper distinguishing coloring of H in a way such that the adjacent vertices of P_i 's get different colors from their respective lists. \square

(4). The bound $\chi_{D_L}(G) \leq \chi_L(G) + k$ follows from the arguments of [7, Theorem 4.4] and the proof of the first assertion of (3). For the second assertion, we prove that given a finite abelian group $\Gamma = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{n_i}$ where n_1, \dots, n_k are different positive integers, there exists a graph H such that $\text{Aut}(H) = \Gamma$ and $\chi_{D_L}(H) = \chi_L(H) + k$. Let, $H_i = C'_{4p_i}^{n_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ where $C'_{4p_i}^{n_i}$ is the graph constructed in (3). Let $H = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq k} H_i$. Fix any $1 \leq i \leq k$. By Claim 5.2, $\chi_L(H_i) = 2$ and $\chi_{D_L}(H_i) = 3$. We note that H_i is triangle free and is not a complete bipartite graph. Moreover, $H_i \not\cong H_j$ if $i \neq j$. We recall the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. (Collins, Hovey, and Trenk [7, Lemma 5.3]) *Suppose each of the graphs G_1, \dots, G_n , is triangle free, and is not a complete bipartite graph, and also suppose $G_i \not\cong G_j$ whenever $i \neq j$. Then, $\text{Aut}(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} G_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Aut}(G_i)$.*

By applying the techniques in [7, Corollary 5.5] due to Collins, Hovey, and Trenk and the arguments of Claim 4.4, we can prove the following lemma:

Lemma 5.4. *If $\text{Aut}(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} G_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Aut}(G_i)$, then $\chi_{D_L}(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} G_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{D_L}(G_i)$.*

By Lemma 5.3, $\text{Aut}(H) = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}^{n_i}$. We apply Lemma 5.4 to the graph H to conclude that

$$\chi_{D_L}(H) = \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_{D_L}(H_i) = 3k, \text{ and } \chi_L(H) = \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_L(H_i) = 2k.$$

\square

5.1. Book graphs and Friendship graphs.

Definition 5.5. The n -book graph B_n ($n \geq 2$) (see Figure 5) is defined as the Cartesian product of the star graph $K_{1,n}$ and the path graph P_2 . We call every C_4 in the book graph B_n a page of B_n . The n pages in B_n are denoted by $v_0 w_0 v_i w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The friendship graph F_n ($n \geq 2$) is obtained by joining n copies of the cycle graph C_3 with a common vertex w (see Figure 5).

Alikhani and Soltani [4, 3] proved that $D_L(F_n) = D(F_n) = \lceil \frac{1+\sqrt{8n+1}}{2} \rceil$ and $D_L(B_n) = D(B_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ for any $n \geq 2$. Define,

- $A = \{n : (\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil)^2 - (\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil) + 2 \leq n \leq (\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil)^2\}$,
- $B = \{n : (\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil - 1)^2 < n \leq (\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil)^2 - (\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil) + 1\}$.

We prove that the entries in the following table are correct (see Theorem 5.11 and Remark 5.12).

Graph (G)	$\chi_D(G)$	$\chi_{D_L}(G)$
Book graph (B_n) if $n \in A$	$2 + \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$	$2 + \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$
Book graph (B_n) if $n \in B$	$1 + \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$	$1 + \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$
Friendship graph (F_n)	$1 + \lceil \frac{1+\sqrt{8n+1}}{2} \rceil$	$1 + \lceil \frac{1+\sqrt{8n+1}}{2} \rceil$

Table 2.

Observation 5.6. For $n \geq 2$, let f be a coloring of the set of vertices of B_n . Then f is a proper distinguishing coloring of B_n if and only if the following holds:

- (a) $(f(v_i), f(w_i)) \neq (f(v_j), f(w_j))$ for $0 < i \neq j \leq n$,
- (b) $f(v_i) \neq f(w_i)$ for $i \leq n$,

(c) $f(v_i) \neq f(v_0)$ and $f(w_i) \neq f(w_0)$ for $0 < i \leq n$.

Condition (a) makes f distinguishing, whereas (b) – (c) makes it proper.




FIGURE 5. Book graph B_6 .

Lemma 5.7. For all $n \geq 2$, $\chi_D(B_n) \leq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2$.

Proof. Consider a $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ -distinguishing coloring f of B_n . Using f , we define a $(\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2)$ -proper distinguishing coloring of B_n . Let $C = \{1, \dots, \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2\}$ be a color set. Since f is distinguishing, for each pair (v_i, w_i) and (v_j, w_j) with $0 < i \neq j$, we must have $(f(v_i), f(w_i)) \neq (f(v_j), f(w_j))$. Define $f' : B_n \rightarrow C$ such that $f'(v_0) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 1$, $f'(w_0) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2$, and

$$f'(v_i) = \begin{cases} \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2 & \text{if } f(v_i) = f(w_i), \\ f(v_i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, f' is a $(\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2)$ -proper distinguishing coloring. \square

Lemma 5.8. For all $n \geq 2$, $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil < \chi_D(B_n)$.

Proof. Since $n \geq 2$, we may assume that $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil = m + 1$, for some $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose we have a proper distinguishing coloring f using only $m + 1$ many colors with color set $C = \{1, \dots, m + 1\}$. Then conditions (a) – (c) must hold for f from Observation 5.6. The total number of possibilities to color (v_i, w_i) is $(m + 1)^2$ for any $0 < i \leq n$. But f cannot use those pairs $(a, b) \in C \times C$ for which either $a = b$ or $a = f(v_0)$ or $b = f(w_0)$. Thus, the number of color pairs $(a, b) \in C \times C$ that are allowed to color (v_i, w_i) is $(m + 1)^2 - 3(m + 1) + 3$ (i.e., identical pairs, pairs with the first coordinate $f(v_0)$, and pairs with the second coordinate $f(w_0)$ have to be avoided). But then

$$(m + 1)^2 - 3(m + 1) + 3 = m^2 + 1 - m \leq m^2 < n.$$

Consequently, (a) in Observation 5.6 cannot be satisfied, i.e., there will be pairs (v_i, w_i) and (v_j, w_j) such that $(f(v_i), f(w_i)) = (f(v_j), f(w_j))$. \square

Lemma 5.9. Let B_n be such that $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil = m + 1$, for some $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\chi_D(B_n) < \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2$ if and only if $m^2 < n \leq m^2 + m + 1$.

Proof. Clearly, $m^2 < n \leq (m + 1)^2$. Let $C = \{1, \dots, m + 2\}$ be a color set. Fix $a, b \in C$. Color v_0 with a and w_0 with b . The total number of possibilities to color (v_i, w_i) is $(m + 2)^2$ for $0 < i \leq n$, among which $m^2 + m + 1$ possibilities are allowed (by removing identical pairs, pairs with the first coordinate a , and pairs with the second coordinate b). If $n \leq m^2 + m + 1$, then we can find a coloring f satisfying (a) – (c) such that $f(v_0) = a$ and $f(w_0) = b$. If $n > m^2 + m + 1$, then following the arguments of Lemma 5.8, we cannot find a proper distinguishing coloring. \square

Theorem 5.10. For all $n \geq 2$, we have $\chi_D(B_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 1$ or $\chi_D(B_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2$. Moreover, if $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil = m + 1$, for some $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

- (i) $\chi_D(B_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 1$, whenever $m^2 < n \leq m^2 + m + 1$,
- (ii) $\chi_D(B_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2$, whenever $m^2 + m + 2 \leq n \leq (m + 1)^2$.

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 5.7, 5.8, and 5.9. \square

Theorem 5.11. *For all $n \geq 2$, $\chi_{D_L}(B_n) = \chi_D(B_n)$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $\chi_{D_L}(B_n) \leq \chi_D(B_n)$. Let $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil = m + 1$, for some $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{L(x_i)\}_{x_i \in V_{B_n}}$ be an assignment of lists with $|L(x_i)| = \chi_D(B_n)$, for every $x_i \in B_n$. Fix $c_{v_0} \in L(v_0)$ and $c_{w_0} \in L(w_0)$ such that $c_{v_0} \neq c_{w_0}$. Fix a pair (v_i, w_i) , where $0 < i \leq n$. By $(L(v_i), L(w_i))$, we denote the number of color pairs $(a_i, b_i) \in L(v_i) \times L(w_i)$ such that $a_i \neq c_{v_0}$, $b_i \neq c_{w_0}$, and $a_i \neq b_i$.

CASE 1: Assume $\chi_D(B_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 2$. By Theorem 5.10(ii), we have $n \leq (m + 1)^2$. Thus, $|(L(v_i), L(w_i))| \geq m^2 + 3m + 6 \geq (m + 1)^2 \geq n$.

CASE 2: Assume $\chi_D(B_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 1$. Since by Theorem 5.10(i), we have $n \leq m^2 + m + 1$, thus $|(L(v_i), L(w_i))| \geq m^2 + m + 1 \geq n$.

In both cases, there is a proper distinguishing coloring f where $f(v_0) = c_{v_0}$ and $f(w_0) = c_{w_0}$. \square

Remark 5.12. We remark that $\chi_{D_L}(F_n) = \chi_D(F_n) = 1 + \lceil \frac{1 + \sqrt{8n+1}}{2} \rceil$ for every $n \geq 2$. First, we prove that $\chi_D(F_n) \geq 1 + D(F_n)$. If L is a proper distinguishing coloring for F_n , and the color of the two vertices on the base of the i -th triangle is x_i, y_i (see Figure 6), then the following holds:

- (1) For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $x_i \neq y_i$.
- (2) For every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ where $i \neq j$, $\{x_i, y_i\} \neq \{x_j, y_j\}$.
- (3) The color of the central vertex w , say z , cannot be x_i or y_i for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Thus, $\chi_{D_L}(F_n) \geq \chi_D(F_n) \geq \min\{s : \binom{s}{2} \geq n\} + 1 = \lceil \frac{1 + \sqrt{8n+1}}{2} \rceil + 1 = D(F_n) + 1$.³ We show that $\chi_{D_L}(F_n) \leq D(F_n) + 1$. Let $L = \{L(v)\}_{v \in V_{F_n}}$ be a list assignment to F_n such that $|L(v)| = D(F_n) + 1$. Pick a color for the central vertex w , say $c_w \in L(w)$. Then $L(i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{c_w\}$ has cardinality $D(F_n)$ or $D(F_n) + 1$. By the methods of [4], we can color v_i 's and w_i 's in a distinguishing way. Moreover, the coloring is proper as well. Consequently, $\chi_{D_L}(F_n) = D(F_n) + 1$.




FIGURE 6. Coloring (L) of the Friendship graph F_4 with central vertex w .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. O. Albertson and K. L. Collins, Symmetry Breaking in Graphs, *Electron. J. of Combin.* **3** (1996).
- [2] S. Alikhani and S. Soltani, The distinguishing chromatic number of bipartite graphs of girth at least six, *Algebr. struct. appl.* **3** (2016), 81-87.
- [3] S. Alikhani and S. Soltani, Distinguishing Number and Distinguishing Index of Certain Graphs, *Filomat* **31:14** (2017), 4393-4404.
- [4] S. Alikhani and S. Soltani, Characterization of graphs with distinguishing number equal list-distinguishing number, arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.08887.
- [5] O.V. Borodin and A.V. Kostochka, On an upper bound of a graph's chromatic number, depending on the graph's degree and density, *J. Combin Theory B* **23** (1977), 247-250.
- [6] P.A. Catlin, A bound on the chromatic number of a graph, *Discrete Math.* **22** (1978), 81-83.
- [7] K. L. Collins, M. Hovey, and A. N. Trenk, Bounds on the Distinguishing Chromatic Number, *Electron. J. of Combin.* **16** (2009).
- [8] K. L. Collins and A. N. Trenk, The Distinguishing Chromatic Number, *Electron. J. of Combin.* **13** (2006).

³In [3], Alikhani and Soltani proved that $D(F_n) = \min\{s : \binom{s}{2} \geq n\} = \lceil \frac{1 + \sqrt{8n+1}}{2} \rceil$.

- [9] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On chromatic number of graphs and set-systems, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **17** (1966), 61-99.
- [10] P. Erdős, A. L. Rubin and H. Taylor, Choosability in graphs, *Proc. West Coast Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing, Congressus Numerantium* **26** (1979), 125-157.
- [11] M. Ferrara, E. Gethner, S. G. Hartke, D. Stolee, and P. S. Wenger, List distinguishing parameters of trees, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **161** (2013), 864-869.
- [12] M. Ferrara, B. Flesch, E. Gethner, List-Distinguishing Colorings of Graphs, *Electron. J. of Combin.* **18** (2011).
- [13] W. Imrich, R. Kalinowski, M. Pilśniak and M.H. Shekarriz, Bounds for distinguishing invariants of infinite graphs, *Electron. J. of Combin.* **24**, (2017).
- [14] S. Klavžar, T.L. Wong, and X. Zhu, Distinguishing labellings of group action on vector spaces and graphs, *J. Algebra* **303** (2006), 626–641.
- [15] J. Lawrence, Covering the vertex set of a graph with subgraphs of smaller degree, *Discrete Math.* **21** (1978), 61–68.
- [16] L. Lovász, On decomposition of graphs, *Studia Math. Hung.* **1** (1966), 237–238.
- [17] V. G. Vizing, Vertex coloring of a graph with assigned colors, *Metody Diskret. Analiz. (Novosibirsk)* **29** (1976), 3–10 (in Russian).

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REÁLTANODA UTCA 13-15, 1053, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

Email address, Corresponding author: banerjee.amitayu@gmail.com

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF LOGIC, MÚZEUM KRT. 4, 1088, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

Email address: mozaag@gmail.com

EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF LOGIC, MÚZEUM KRT. 4, 1088, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

Email address: alexa279e@gmail.com