

# LOWER CLASSES AND CHUNG'S LILS OF THE FRACTIONAL INTEGRATED GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTION

MENGJIE LYU, MIN WANG, AND RAN WANG

**Abstract:** Let  $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be the generalized fractional Brownian motion introduced by Pang and Taqqu (2019):

$$\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((t-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha) |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du) \right\}_{t \geq 0},$$

where  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2})$  are constants. For any  $\theta > 0$ , let

$$Y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\theta-1} X(u) du, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Building upon the arguments of Talagrand (1996), we give integral criteria for the lower classes of  $Y$  at  $t = 0$  and at infinity, respectively. As a consequence, we derive its Chung-type laws of the iterated logarithm. In the proofs, the small ball probability estimates play important roles.

**Keywords:** Fractional Brownian motion; Small ball probability; Lower classes; Chung's LIL.

**MSC:** 60G15, 60G17, 60G18, 60G22.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The generalized fractional Brownian motion (GFBM, for short)  $X := \{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a centered Gaussian self-similar process, which was introduced by Pang and Taqqu [21] as the scaling limit of power-law shot noise processes. It has a stochastic integral representation:

$$\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((t-u)_+^\alpha - (-u)_+^\alpha) |u|^{-\gamma/2} B(du) \right\}_{t \geq 0}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the parameters  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  satisfy

$$\gamma \in [0, 1), \quad \alpha \in \left( -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right), \quad (1.2)$$

and  $B(du)$  is a Gaussian random measure in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the Lebesgue control measure  $du$ . It follows that the Gaussian process  $X$  is self-similar with index  $H$  given by

$$H = \alpha - \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \in (0, 1). \quad (1.3)$$

If  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $X$  becomes an ordinary fractional Brownian motion (FBM, for short)  $B^H$ , which can be represented as:

$$\{B^H(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( (t-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - (-u)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B(du) \right\}_{t \geq 0}. \quad (1.4)$$

If  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , as shown by Pang and Taqqu [21], GFBM preserves the self-similarity property while the factor  $|u|^{-\gamma}$  introduces non-stationarity of the increments, which is useful for reflecting the non-stationarity of random noises in certain physical systems. For example, Ichiba, Pang and Taqqu [11] studied the semimartingale properties of GFBM, and applied them to model the volatility processes in finance.

For the GFBM, Ichiba, Pang and Taqqu [12] studied its Hölder continuity, the functional and local laws of the iterated logarithm and other sample path properties; Wang and Xiao [26] studied its precise local sample path properties, including the exact uniform modulus of continuity, small ball probabilities, Chung's law of the iterated logarithm (Chung's LIL, for short) at any fixed point  $t > 0$ . Chung's LIL at the origin for GFBM is quite subtle. Wang and Xiao [27] studied this problem by modifying the arguments of Talagrand [24].

The results in [12, 26, 27] show that GFBM is an interesting example of self-similar Gaussian processes. It has richer sample path properties than the ordinary FBM and its close relatives such as the Riemann-Liouville FBM (cf. [9]), bifractional Brownian motion (cf. [25]), and the sub-fractional Brownian motion (cf. [10]). In this sense, GFBM is a good object that can be studied for the purpose of developing a general theoretical framework for studying the fine properties of self-similar Gaussian processes which, to the best of our knowledge, is still not complete yet. In particular, the asymptotic properties of a general self-similar Gaussian process at  $t = 0$  could be quite subtle and difficult to characterize precisely (see, e.g., [27, Remark 1.1]).

In this paper, we continue this line of research and consider the fractional integrated generalized fractional Brownian motion (FIGFBM, for short):

$$Y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\theta-1} X(u) du, \quad t \geq 0, \theta > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\Gamma(\theta) = \int_0^\infty x^{\theta-1} e^{-x} dx$  is the Gamma function. If  $X$  is a FBM,  $Y(t)$  is called a fractional integrated fractional Brownian motion; See, e.g., [7, 17]. If further  $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X$  is a Brownian motion, then  $Y = \{Y(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is called the  $(\theta-1)$ -fold integrated Brownian motion; See, e.g., [5, 29].

In this paper, we characterize the lower classes of  $Y$  by some integral tests. As a consequence, we derive the Chung's LILs of  $Y$  at  $t = 0$  and at infinity, respectively. This approach was initiated in the pioneering work by Chung [6] for Brownian motion, further developed by Talagrand [24] for FBM, and later by El-Nouty [7]-[10] for some close relatives of FBM. Building upon this argument, Wang and Xiao [27] derived the Chung's LILs of GFBM  $X$  at  $t = 0$  and at infinity, respectively.

In order to give precise statement of our results, we first recall the definitions of the lower classes for the processes, which were introduced in [22]. We refer to [22] for a systematic and extensive account on the studies of lower and upper classes for Brownian motion and random walks.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\{M(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a stochastic process and  $\xi(t)$  be a function on  $(0, \infty)$ .

- (a) The function  $\xi(t)$  belongs to the lower-lower class of the process  $M$  at  $\infty$  (resp. at 0), denoted by  $\xi \in LLC_\infty(M)$  (resp.  $\xi \in LLC_0(M)$ ), if for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exists  $t_0 = t_0(\omega)$  such that  $M(t) \geq \xi(t)$  for every  $t > t_0$  (resp.  $t < t_0$ ).

- (b) The function  $\xi(t)$  belongs to the lower-upper class of the process  $M$  at  $\infty$  (resp. at 0), denoted by  $\xi \in LUC_\infty(M)$  (resp.  $\xi \in LUC_0(M)$ ), if for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exists a sequence  $0 < t_1(\omega) < t_2(\omega) < \dots$  with  $t_n(\omega) \uparrow \infty$  (resp.  $t_1(\omega) > t_2(\omega) > \dots$  with  $t_n(\omega) \downarrow 0$ ), as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $M(t_n(\omega)) \leq \xi(t_n(\omega))$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the following, we always assume that

$$M(t) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y(s)|, \quad (1.6)$$

and consider its small ball function

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{P}(M(1) \leq \varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}\left(M(t) \leq \varepsilon t^{H+\theta}\right). \quad (1.7)$$

The following two theorems characterize the lower classes of FIGFBM  $Y$  at  $t = 0$  and  $\infty$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-1/2 + \gamma/2, 1/2)$ ,  $\theta > 0$  and  $\xi : (0, e^e] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a nondecreasing continuous function.*

- (a) (Sufficiency). *If*

$$\frac{\xi(t)}{t^{H+\theta}} \text{ is bounded and } I_0(\xi) := \int_0^{e^e} \left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t^{H+\theta}}\right)^{-1/\beta} \varphi\left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t^{H+\theta}}\right) \frac{dt}{t} < +\infty, \quad (1.8)$$

*then  $\xi \in LLC_0(M)$ .*

- (b) (Necessity). *If  $\xi \in LLC_0(M)$  and there exists a constant  $c_{1,1} \geq 1$  such that  $\xi(2t) \leq c_{1,1}\xi(t)$  for all  $t \in (0, e^e/2]$ , then (1.8) holds.*

Here and below, we always assume that  $\beta = \alpha + \theta + 1/2$  and  $H = \alpha - \gamma/2 + 1/2$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-1/2 + \gamma/2, 1/2)$ ,  $\theta > 0$  and  $\xi : [e^e, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a nondecreasing continuous function. Then  $\xi \in LLC_\infty(M)$  if and only if*

$$\frac{\xi(t)}{t^{H+\theta}} \text{ is bounded and } I_\infty(\xi) := \int_{e^e}^\infty \left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t^{H+\theta}}\right)^{-1/\beta} \varphi\left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t^{H+\theta}}\right) \frac{dt}{t} < +\infty. \quad (1.9)$$

The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are based on a modification of the Talagrand's arguments in [7, 24, 27], which will be given in Section 3 below.

Combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 with Lemma 4.1 below, we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-1/2 + \gamma/2, 1/2)$  and  $\theta > 0$ .*

- (a) *There exists a positive constant  $\kappa_1 \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \frac{|Y(s)|}{t^{H+\theta}/(\ln \ln t^{-1})^\beta} = \kappa_1 \quad a.s. \quad (1.10)$$

- (b) *There exists a positive constant  $\kappa_2 \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \frac{|Y(s)|}{t^{H+\theta}/(\ln \ln t)^\beta} = \kappa_2 \quad a.s. \quad (1.11)$$

Limit theorems of the forms (1.10) and (1.11) are known as Chung's LIL, which was initial studied by Chung [6]. We refer to Bingham [2], Monrad and Rootzén [20], Li and Shao [18], Buchmann and Maller [4] and the references therein for more information.

It is known that small ball probability estimates are essential for studying the lower classes of a stochastic process and Chung's LILs (cf. [22, 24]). In the proofs of our main theorems, the following small ball probability estimates play important roles.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-1/2 + \gamma/2, 1/2)$  and  $\theta > 0$ . Then there exist some constants  $\kappa_3, \kappa_4 \in (0, \infty)$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\kappa_3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq -\log \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |Y(t)| \leq \varepsilon \right) \leq \kappa_4 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}. \quad (1.12)$$

The small ball probabilities are not only useful for proving lower classes in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, and Chung's LIL in Theorem 1.3, but also have many other applications. We refer to Lifshits [16] and Li and Shao [18] for more information. Particularly, Kuelbs and Li [14] discovered a remarkable link between the small ball estimate and the metric entropy number of the unit balls of reproducing kernel Hilbert space generated by a general Gaussian measure. Li and Linde [17] successfully removed the regularity assumption imposed on the unknown function in the link, which was assumed in [14]. By using the approach in [17] and the small ball estimates of GFBM in [26], we obtain the lower bound of the small ball probability in Theorem 1.4.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the small ball probability estimates of  $Y$  in Theorem 1.4. In Section 3, we prove two important maximal inequalities, based on which Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be proved by following the same lines in [24, 27]. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Chung's LILs in Theorem 1.3 by using some zero-one laws for the lower class of  $Y$ .

## 2. SMALL BALL PROBABILITY ESTIMATES

In this section, we prove the small ball probability estimates of  $Y$  presented in Theorem 1.4. As mentioned in the introduction, we derive the upper bound of the small ball probability of  $Y$  by using the strong local non-determinism property (see, e.g., Monard and Rootzén [20]), and obtain the lower bound by means of the link between the small ball probability and the metric entropy established by Kuelbs and Li [14] and Li and Linde [17]. Let us prove the upper and lower bounds of the small ball probability of  $Y$ , respectively.

**2.1. Upper bound.** Let us first prove the the upper estimates of small ball probability of  $Y$  by using the strong local non-determinism property (see, e.g., Monard and Rootzén [20], Xiao [28])

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-1/2 + \gamma/2, 1/2)$  and  $\theta > 0$ . Then there exists some constant  $\kappa_3 \in (0, \infty)$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |Y(t)| \leq \varepsilon \right) \leq \exp \left( -\kappa_3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\theta-1} du \int_{-\infty}^0 B(dw) ((u-w)^\alpha - (-w)^\alpha) (-w)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\theta-1} du \int_0^u B(dw) (u-w)^\alpha w^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ &=: Y_1(t) + Y_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

By using the Anderson inequality [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Y(t)| \leq \varepsilon \right) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Y_1(t) + Y_2(t)| \leq \varepsilon \mid Y_1(t), t \in [0,1] \right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Y_2(t)| \leq \varepsilon \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

For any  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1-h$ , by stochastic Fubini theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2(t+h) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^{t+h} (t+h-u)^{\theta-1} du \int_0^u B(dw) (u-w)^\alpha w^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^{t+h} w^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(dw) \int_w^{t+h} du (t+h-u)^{\theta-1} (u-w)^\alpha \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\theta+1)} \int_0^{t+h} (t+h-w)^{\alpha+\theta} w^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(dw), \end{aligned}$$

where a change of variable with  $u = t+h - (t+h-w)x$  is used in the last step.

We further divide  $Y_2$  into two terms:

$$Y_2(t+h) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\theta+1)} \left[ \int_0^t + \int_t^{t+h} \right] (t+h-w)^{\alpha+\theta} w^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(dw). \tag{2.3}$$

Here, the first integral in (2.3) is measurable with respect to  $\sigma(B(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t)$  and the second integral is independent of  $\sigma(B(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t)$ . Since  $\sigma(Y_2(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t) \subseteq \sigma(B(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t)$ , we have that for any  $0 \leq t+h \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y_2(t+h) \mid Y_2(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t) &\geq \text{Var}(Y_2(t+h) \mid B(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^2(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma^2(\alpha+\theta+1)} \int_t^{t+h} (t+h-w)^{2(\alpha+\theta)} w^{-\gamma} dw \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma^2(\alpha+1)}{2\beta\Gamma^2(\alpha+\theta+1)} (t+h)^{-\gamma} h^{2\beta} \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma^2(\alpha+1)}{2\beta\Gamma^2(\alpha+\theta+1)} h^{2\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since conditional distributions in Gaussian processes are Gaussian, it follows from (2.4) that

$$\mathbb{P}(|Y_2(k/n)| \leq \varepsilon \mid Y_2(j/n) = x_j, 1 \leq j \leq k-1) \leq \Phi(c\varepsilon n^\beta) - \Phi(-c\varepsilon n^\beta),$$

where  $\Phi$  is the distribution function of a standard normal random variable, and  $c = \frac{\sqrt{2\beta}\Gamma(\alpha+\theta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$ . Thus, by repeated conditioning,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Y_2(t)| \leq \varepsilon\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |Y_2(k/n)| \leq \varepsilon\right) \\ &\leq \left(\Phi(c\varepsilon n^\beta) - \Phi(-c\varepsilon n^\beta)\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $n = \lceil (c\varepsilon)^{-1/\beta} \rceil \geq 2$ , we get that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Y_2(t)| \leq \varepsilon\right) \leq \exp\left(-\kappa_3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}\right).$$

This, together with (2.2), implies the inequality (2.1). The proof is complete.  $\square$

**2.2. Lower bound.** Let  $\mu$  denote a centered Gaussian measure on a real separable Banach space  $E$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and dual  $E^*$ . Recall that if  $(E, d)$  is a metric space and  $A$  is a compact subset of  $(E, d)$ , then the metric entropy of  $A$  is  $\log N(A, \varepsilon)$ , where  $N(A, \varepsilon)$  is the minimum covering number,

$$N(A, \varepsilon) := \min \left\{ n \geq 1 : \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in A \text{ s.t. } \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_\varepsilon(x_j) \supseteq A \right\},$$

and  $B_\varepsilon(x_0) = \{x : d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon\}$  is the open ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centered at  $x_0$ . The Hilbert space  $H_\mu$  generated by  $\mu$  can be described as the completion of the range of the mapping  $S : E^* \rightarrow E$  defined via the Bochner integral,

$$Sa = \int_E xa(x)d\mu(x), \quad a \in E^*,$$

and the completion is in the inner product norm

$$\langle Sa, Sb \rangle_\mu = \int_E a(x)b(x)d\mu(x), \quad a, b \in E^*.$$

Lemma 2.1 in [13] presents the details of this construction; See also Ledoux and Talagrand [15]. Since the unit ball  $B_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  is always compact,  $B_\mu$  has a finite metric entropy. For any compact operator  $v$  from a Banach space  $E$  into another one, we borrow the definition of the  $n$ -th dyadic entropy number of  $v$  from [17, Page 1560],

$$e_n(v) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : N(v(B_E), \varepsilon) \leq 2^{n-1} \}.$$

Let  $X = (X(t))_{t \in T}$  be a centered Gaussian process with a.s. continuous sample paths and let  $K : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable kernel such that

$$(I_K f)(t) = \int_0^1 K(t, s)f(s)ds$$

is an operator from  $C[0, 1]$  into itself. We now present a very useful result for the lower bound of small ball probability of  $Y$ , which is a continuous centered Gaussian stochastic process constructed by

$$Y(t) = \int_0^1 K(t, s)X(s)ds.$$

We write  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  if  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)/g(x) < \infty$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [17, Theorem 6.1] *If there exist some constants  $a > 0$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $b, p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$-\log \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |X(t)| \leq \varepsilon \right) \leq \varepsilon^{-a} \left( \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^b$$

and

$$e_n(I_K) \leq n^{-1/r} (1 + \log n)^p,$$

then

$$-\log \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Y(t)| \leq \varepsilon \right) \leq \varepsilon^{-ar/(a+r)} \left( \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{(ap+b)r/(a+r)}.$$

Next, we use Proposition 2.1 to prove the lower bound of small ball probability of FIGFBM  $Y$ , which is reformulated as the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-1/2 + \gamma/2, 1/2)$  and  $\theta > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $\kappa_4 \in (0, \infty)$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Y(t)| \leq \varepsilon \right) \geq \exp \left( -\kappa_4 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.* Theorem 1.2 in [26] says that there exists a positive constant  $\kappa_5$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |X(t)| \leq \varepsilon \right) \geq \exp \left( -\kappa_5 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha+1/2}} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

For any  $\theta > 0$ , the Riemann-Liouville integral operator  $R_\theta : C([0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is defined by

$$(R_\theta f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\theta-1} f(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Here,  $C([0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  denotes the space of continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  with the sup-norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Obviously,  $Y(t) = (R_\theta X)(t)$  with the GFBM  $X$  being defined as in (1.1).

Set

$$e_n(R_\theta : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : N(R_\theta(C([0, 1])), \varepsilon) \leq 2^{n-1} \}.$$

Here,  $N(R_\theta(C([0, 1])), \varepsilon)$  is called the minimum covering number which equals that:

$$\min \left\{ n \geq 1 : \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in R_\theta(C([0, 1])) \text{ s.t. } \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{x : \|x - x_j\|_\infty < \varepsilon\} \supseteq R_\theta(C([0, 1])) \right\}.$$

By Proposition 6.1 in [17], there exists a positive constant  $c_{2,1}$  such that

$$c_{2,1}^{-1} n^{-\theta} \leq e_n(R_\theta : C([0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)) \leq c_{2,1} n^{-\theta}. \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.6) with (2.7), and using Proposition 2.1, we obtain the inequality (2.5).

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**2.3. Small ball functions.** Set

$$\psi(\varepsilon) := -\log\varphi(\varepsilon). \quad (2.8)$$

Then  $\psi$  is positive and non-increasing. According to Borell [3],  $\psi$  is convex which implies the existence of the right derivative  $\psi'$  of  $\psi$ . Thus,  $\psi' \leq 0$  and  $|\psi'|$  is non-increasing.

From the small ball probability estimates in (1.12), we can see that there exists a constant  $K_1 \geq 1$  such that for all  $\varepsilon < 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{K_1\varepsilon^{1/\beta}} \leq \psi(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon^{1/\beta}}. \quad (2.9)$$

The following lemmas give more properties of the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , which are similar to those in Talagrand [24, Section 2]. Since the following three lemmas follow along the same lines in the proof of the analogous lemmas for FBM in [24, Section 2], we omit the details here.

**Lemma 2.3.** *There exists a positive constant  $K_2$  such that for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/K_2)$ ,*

$$-\frac{K_2}{\varepsilon^{1+1/\beta}} \leq \psi'(\varepsilon) \leq -\frac{1}{K_2\varepsilon^{1+1/\beta}}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *There exists a constant  $K_3$  such that for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2/K_2)$  and  $\mu > \varepsilon/2$ ,*

$$\exp\left(-K_3\frac{|\mu - \varepsilon|}{\varepsilon^{1+1/\beta}}\right) \leq \frac{\varphi(\mu)}{\varphi(\varepsilon)} \leq \exp\left(K_3\frac{|\mu - \varepsilon|}{\varepsilon^{1+1/\beta}}\right). \quad (2.10)$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *For all  $\varepsilon < (\beta/K_2)^\beta$ , the function  $\varepsilon^{-1/\beta}\varphi(\varepsilon)$  is increasing.*

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

By using the properties of the small ball functions in Lemmas 2.3-2.5 and by using the following two maximal inequalities in Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 below, the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow the same lines in [27, Sections 3 and 4] except with  $H$  symbol replaced by  $H + \theta$ . To avoid the repetition with [27], we only give the full proofs for Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

#### 3.1. One maximal inequality.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-1/2 + \gamma/2, 1/2)$  and  $\theta > 0$ , then for all  $0 < t < u$  and  $\nu, \eta > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $c_{3,1}$  such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{M(t) \leq \nu t^{H+\theta}\right\} \cap \left\{M(u) \leq \eta\right\}\right) \leq 2\varphi(\nu) \exp\left(-\frac{u-t}{c_{3,1}u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}}\eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}\right). \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* When  $(u-t)/\left(u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}}\eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right) \leq 2$ , it is obvious that (3.1) holds with  $c_{3,1} = 2/\ln 2$ . Thus, it is sufficient to prove (3.1) in the case of  $(u-t)/\left(u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}}\eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right) > 2$ . The proof is divided into two steps.

**Step 1.** We define an increasing sequence  $\{t_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  as follows. Set  $t_0 = t$ . For any  $k \geq 0$ , if  $t_k$  has been defined, then we choose  $t_{k+1}$  such that

$$t_{k+1} - t_{k+1}^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}}\eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = t_k. \quad (3.2)$$



Consider the event

$$G_k := \left\{ M(t) \leq \nu t^{H+\theta} \right\} \cap \left\{ M(t_k) \leq \eta \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

To prove (3.1), it suffices to prove that for any  $k \geq 1$ , it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}(G_k) \leq \varphi(\nu)\rho^k, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  is a constant that depends on  $\alpha, \gamma$  and  $\theta$  only. Indeed, if  $k_0$  is the largest integer such that  $t_{k_0} \leq u$ , then  $t_{k_0+1} > u$ . Consequently, by (3.2), we have

$$t_{k_0} = t_{k_0+1} - t_{k_0+1}^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}} \eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} > u - u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}} \eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

This, together with fact that  $t_{k+1} - t_k = t_{k+1}^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}} \eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}} \eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$  for any  $k < k_0$ , implies that

$$k_0 + 1 \geq \frac{t_{k_0} - t}{u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}} \eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} + 1 = \frac{t_{k_0} + u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}} \eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} - t}{u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}} \eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} > \frac{u - t}{u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}} \eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}.$$

Hence, we know that  $k_0 > (u - t) / \left( 2u^{\frac{\gamma}{2\beta}} \eta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right)$  and

$$G_{k_0} \supseteq \left\{ M(t) \leq \nu t^{H+\theta} \right\} \cap \left\{ M(u) \leq \eta \right\}.$$

Consequently, (3.4) implies (3.1).

**Step 2.** We prove (3.4) by induction over  $k$ . The result holds for  $k = 0$  by (1.7). For the induction step, we observe that

$$G_{k+1} \subseteq G_k \cap \{|I| \leq 2\eta\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= Y(t_{k+1}) - Y(t_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - w)^{\theta-1} X(w) dw - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^{t_k} (t_k - w)^{\theta-1} X(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - w)^{\theta-1} X(w) dw \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^{t_k} \left( (t_{k+1} - w)^{\theta-1} - (t_k - w)^{\theta-1} \right) X(w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

Recall  $X(w)$  defined in (1.1). The integral  $I$  can be rewritten as  $I = I_1 + I_2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - w)^{\theta-1} dw \int_{t_k}^w B(dx) (w - x)^\alpha x^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ I_2 &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - w)^{\theta-1} dw \int_{-\infty}^{t_k} B(dx) \left( (w - x)^\alpha - (-x)_+^\alpha \right) |x|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^{t_k} \left( (t_{k+1} - w)^{\theta-1} - (t_k - w)^{\theta-1} \right) X(w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

By stochastic Fubini theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} x^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(dx) \int_x^{t_{k+1}} dw (t_{k+1} - w)^{\theta-1} (w - x)^\alpha \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} x^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} (t_{k+1} - x)^{\alpha+\theta} B(dx) \int_0^1 dy (1-y)^{\theta-1} y^\alpha \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\theta+1)} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - x)^{\alpha+\theta} x^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(dx),
\end{aligned}$$

where the change of variable  $y = (w - x)/(t_{k+1} - x)$  has been used in the second step. The integral representation of  $I_1$  implies that  $\mathbb{E}(I_1) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(I_1) &= \frac{\Gamma^2(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma^2(\alpha+\theta+1)} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - x)^{2(\alpha+\theta)} x^{-\gamma} dx \\
&\geq \frac{\Gamma^2(\alpha+1)}{2\beta\Gamma^2(\alpha+\theta+1)} t_{k+1}^{-\gamma} (t_{k+1} - t_k)^{2\beta} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma^2(\alpha+1)}{2\beta\Gamma^2(\alpha+\theta+1)} \eta^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(|I_1| \leq 2\eta) \leq \Phi\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\beta}\Gamma(\alpha+\theta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{2\beta}\Gamma(\alpha+\theta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right) =: \rho,$$

where  $\Phi$  denotes the distribution function of a standard Gaussian random variable. Consequently, by the independence of  $I_1$  and  $\sigma\{B(s); s \leq t_k\}$ , the fact of  $I_2 \in \sigma\{B(s); s \leq t_k\}$  and by Anderson's inequality [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(G_{k+1}) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{P}(G_k \cap \{|I_1 + I_2| \leq 2\eta\} \mid \sigma\{B(s); s \leq t_k\})\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{I}_{G_k} \cdot \mathbb{P}(|I_1 + I_2| \leq 2\eta \mid \sigma\{B(s); s \leq t_k\})\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(G_k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(|I_1| \leq 2\eta) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(G_k) \rho.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain (3.4) with  $\rho = \Phi\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\beta}\Gamma(\alpha+\theta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{2\beta}\Gamma(\alpha+\theta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3.2. Another maximal inequality.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2})$  and  $\theta > 0$ . Let  $\tau \in (0, \frac{1-H}{3}] \cap (0, \frac{1-\gamma}{12}] \cap (0, \frac{H}{6}]$ . Then, for any  $u > t > 0$  and  $\nu, \eta \in (0, 2/K_2)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(M(t) \leq \nu t^{H+\theta}, M(u) \leq \eta u^{H+\theta}\right) \\
&\leq \varphi(\nu)\varphi(\eta) \exp\left(c_{3,2} \left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^\tau \left(\frac{1}{\nu^{1+1/\beta}} + \frac{1}{\eta^{1+1/\beta}}\right)\right) + c_{3,3} \exp\left(-c_{3,4} \left(\frac{u}{t}\right)^\tau\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Here, the constants  $c_{3,2}, c_{3,3}, c_{3,4}$  do not depend on  $u, t, \nu$  and  $\eta$ .

Recall the following two lemmas about the moment estimates and the maximal inequality for the fractional integrated Gaussian processes.

**Lemma 3.1.** [7, Lemma 5.4] *Let  $\{X(t)\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  be a separable, centered, real-valued Gaussian process with  $X(0) = 0$ . Assume that there exists some positive constants  $\eta$  and  $c_X$  such that*

$$(\mathbb{E}(X(t+h) - X(t))^2)^{1/2} \leq c_X h^\eta, \quad h \in (0, 1).$$

Let

$$Y(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^s (s-w)^{\theta-1} X(w) dw, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1, \theta > 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Then, we have

$$(\mathbb{E}(Y(s+h) - Y(s))^2)^{1/2} \leq c_{3,5} c_X \max(h^{\theta/2}, h^{1/2}),$$

where the constant  $c_{3,5}$  depends only on  $\eta$  and  $\theta$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** [7, Lemma 5.5] *Let  $\{Y(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$  be the fractional integral defined in (3.6). If there exist some positive constants  $\eta$  and  $c_Y$  such that*

$$(\mathbb{E}(Y(s+h) - Y(s))^2)^{1/2} \leq c_Y h^\eta, \quad h \in (0, 1), \quad (3.7)$$

then for any  $\delta > c_Y$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |Y(s)| \geq \delta\right) \leq \frac{1}{c_{3,6}} \exp\left(-c_{3,6} (c_Y^{-1} \delta)^2\right),$$

where  $c_{3,6}$  is a positive constant independent of  $c_Y$  and  $\delta$ .

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* It is sufficient to prove (3.5) when  $u/t$  is large enough, since (3.5) always true for some constants when  $u/t$  is bounded. We set  $v = \sqrt{ut}$ . The idea is that if  $t \ll u$ , then  $t \ll v \ll u$ . We recall the GFBM  $X$  in (1.1) and define

$$G(s, x) := ((s-x)_+^\alpha - (-x)_+^\alpha) |x|^{-\gamma/2}, \quad \text{for } s, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} X(s) &= \int_{|x| \leq v} G(s, x) B(dx) + \int_{|x| > v} G(s, x) B(dx) \\ &=: X_1(s) + X_2(s). \end{aligned}$$

The processes  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent. Let

$$Y_1(s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^s (s-w)^{\theta-1} X_1(w) dw,$$

$$Y_2(s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^s (s-w)^{\theta-1} X_2(w) dw.$$

Then  $Y = Y_1 + Y_2$ , and the processes  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are also independent.

For any  $\delta > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P} \left( M(t) \leq \nu t^{H+\theta}, M(u) \leq \eta u^{H+\theta} \right) \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y(s)| \leq \nu t^{H+\theta}, \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |Y(s)| \leq \eta u^{H+\theta} \right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_1(s)| \leq (\nu + \delta) t^{H+\theta}, \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |Y_2(s)| \leq (\eta + \delta) u^{H+\theta} \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_2(s)| \geq \delta t^{H+\theta} \right) + \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |Y_1(s)| \geq \delta u^{H+\theta} \right) \\
&=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By the independence of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ , we have

$$J_1 = \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_1(s)| \leq (\nu + \delta) t^{H+\theta} \right) \cdot \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |Y_2(s)| \leq (\eta + \delta) u^{H+\theta} \right). \tag{3.9}$$

Notice that

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_1(s)| \leq (\nu + \delta) t^{H+\theta} \right) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y(s)| \leq (\nu + 2\delta) t^{H+\theta} \right) + J_2, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |Y_2(s)| \leq (\eta + \delta) u^{H+\theta} \right) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |Y(s)| \leq (\eta + 2\delta) u^{H+\theta} \right) + J_3. \tag{3.11}$$

Plugging (3.9) to (3.11) into (3.8), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P} \left( M(t) \leq \nu t^{H+\theta}, M(u) \leq \eta u^{H+\theta} \right) \leq \varphi(\nu + 2\delta)\varphi(\eta + 2\delta) + 3J_2 + 3J_3. \tag{3.12}$$

For any  $\nu, \eta \in (0, 2/K_2)$ , by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\varphi(\nu + 2\delta)\varphi(\eta + 2\delta) \leq \varphi(\nu)\varphi(\eta) \exp \left( 2\delta K_3 \left( \frac{1}{\nu^{1+1/\beta}} + \frac{1}{\eta^{1+1/\beta}} \right) \right). \tag{3.13}$$

Next, it remains to obtain the upper bounds of  $J_2$  and  $J_3$ .

By a change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_2(s)| \geq \delta t^{H+\theta} \right) \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^s (s-y)^{\theta-1} dy \int_{|x|>v} B(dx) \left( (y-x)_+^\alpha - (-x)_+^\alpha \right) |x|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \right| \geq \delta t^{H+\theta} \right) \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^s (s-y)^{\theta-1} dy \int_{|x|>\frac{v}{t}} B(dx) \left( (y-x)_+^\alpha - (-x)_+^\alpha \right) |x|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \right| \geq \delta \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{X}_2(y) &:= \int_{|x|>\frac{v}{t}} \left( (y-x)_+^\alpha - (-x)_+^\alpha \right) |x|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(dx), \\
\bar{Y}_2(s) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^s (s-y)^{\theta-1} \bar{X}_2(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the following elementary inequality,

$$|(x+y)^\alpha - x^\alpha| \leq |\alpha|x^{\alpha-1}y, \quad \text{for any } x, y > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \leq 1, \quad (3.14)$$

we have that for any  $0 \leq s < s+w \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ (\overline{X}_2(s+w) - \overline{X}_2(s))^2 \right] &= \int_{-\infty}^{-v/t} ((s+w-x)^\alpha - (s-x)^\alpha)^2 (-x)^{-\gamma} dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{-v/t} \alpha^2 w^2 (-x)^{2\alpha-2-\gamma} dx \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2-2H} \left( \frac{v}{t} \right)^{2H-2} w^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a positive constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ (\overline{Y}_2(s+w) - \overline{Y}_2(s))^2 \right] \leq C_1^2 \left( \frac{v}{t} \right)^{2H-2} \max \{ w^\theta, w \}. \quad (3.15)$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 with  $\eta = \min \{ \frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \} > 0$ ,  $c_Y = C_1 \left( \frac{v}{t} \right)^{H-1}$ , we have that for any  $\delta > c_Y$ ,

$$J_2 = \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\overline{Y}_2(s)| \geq \delta \right) \leq \frac{1}{c_{3,6}} \exp \left( -\frac{c_{3,6}}{C_1^2 (v^2/t^2)^{H-1}} \delta^2 \right). \quad (3.16)$$

Let  $\delta = (t/u)^\tau$ . Taking  $u/t \geq C_1^{6/(1-H)}$  and  $\tau \leq (1-H)/3$  such that  $\delta > c_Y$ , we have that by (3.16),

$$J_2 \leq \frac{1}{c_{3,6}} \exp \left( -\frac{c_{3,6}}{C_1^2} \left( \frac{u}{t} \right)^{1-H-2\tau} \right) \leq \frac{1}{c_{3,6}} \exp \left( -\frac{c_{3,6}}{C_1^2} \left( \frac{u}{t} \right)^\tau \right). \quad (3.17)$$

For the third term, by a change of variable, we have

$$J_3 = \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |Y_1(s)| \geq \delta u^{H+\theta} \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\overline{Y}_1(s)| \geq \delta \right),$$

where

$$\overline{Y}_1(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^s (s-y)^{\theta-1} \overline{X}_1(y) dy,$$

with

$$\overline{X}_1(y) = \int_{|x| \leq \frac{v}{u}} ((y-x)_+^\alpha - (-x)_+^\alpha) |x|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(dx).$$

For the upper bound of  $J_3$ , we have that for any  $0 \leq s < s+w \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{X}_1(s+w) - \overline{X}_1(s) \\ &= \int_{-v/u}^{(s+w) \wedge (v/u)} (s+w-x)^\alpha |x|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(dx) - \int_{-v/u}^{s \wedge (v/u)} (s-x)^\alpha |x|^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} B(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ (\overline{X}_1(s+w) - \overline{X}_1(s))^2 \right] &= \int_{s \wedge (v/u)}^{(s+w) \wedge (v/u)} (s+w-x)^{2\alpha} |x|^{-\gamma} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{-v/u}^{s \wedge (v/u)} ((s+w-x)^\alpha - (s-x)^\alpha)^2 |x|^{-\gamma} dx \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

When  $s \geq \frac{v}{u}$ ,  $I_1 = 0$ . When  $s < \frac{v}{u}$ , taking

$$r := \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2H} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \in \left( \frac{\alpha}{H}, 1 \right), \quad (3.19)$$

we have that  $rH > 0$  and  $\alpha - rH < 0$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_s^{(s+w) \wedge (v/u)} (s+w-x)^{2rH+2\alpha-2rH} x^{-\gamma} dx \\ &\leq w^{2rH} \int_s^{(s+w) \wedge (v/u)} (s+w-x)^{2\alpha-2rH} x^{-\gamma} dx \\ &\leq w^{2rH} \int_0^{(s+w) \wedge (v/u)} ((s+w) \wedge (v/u) - x)^{2\alpha-2rH} x^{-\gamma} dx \\ &\leq \mathcal{B}(1-\gamma, 2\alpha-2rH+1) w^{2rH} \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{2H-2rH}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(p, q) = \int_0^1 x^{p-1} (1-x)^{q-1} dx$ , ( $p > 0, q > 0$ ), denotes the Beta function.

By using the following elementary inequality

$$|(x+y)^\alpha - x^\alpha| \leq y^\alpha, \quad \text{for any } x, y \geq 0, \text{ and } \alpha \leq 1, \quad (3.21)$$

we have that for any  $s, w \geq 0$  and  $x \leq s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |(s+w-x)^\alpha - (s-x)^\alpha| &= \left| \alpha \int_s^{s+w} (y-x)^{\alpha-1} dy \right| \\ &= \left| \alpha \int_s^{s+w} (y-x)^{rH-1} (y-x)^{\alpha-rH} dy \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\alpha}{rH} (s-x)^{\alpha-rH} ((s+w-x)^{rH} - (s-x)^{rH}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|\alpha|}{rH} (s-x)^{\alpha-rH} w^{rH}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{-v/u}^0 ((s+w-x)^\alpha - (s-x)^\alpha)^2 |x|^{-\gamma} dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^{s \wedge (v/u)} ((s+w-x)^\alpha - (s-x)^\alpha)^2 |x|^{-\gamma} dx \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{rH} \right)^2 w^{2rH} \left[ \int_0^{s \wedge (v/u)} (s-x)^{2\alpha-2rH} x^{-\gamma} dx + \int_0^{s \wedge (v/u)} (s+x)^{2\alpha-2rH} x^{-\gamma} dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha - rH < 0$  and  $H - rH > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{rH} \right)^2 w^{2rH} \left[ \int_0^{s \wedge (v/u)} (s \wedge (v/u) - x)^{2\alpha-2rH} x^{-\gamma} dx + \int_0^{v/u} x^{2\alpha-2rH-\gamma} dx \right] \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{rH} \right)^2 w^{2rH} \left[ \mathcal{B}(1-\gamma, 2\alpha-2rH+1) \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{2H-2rH} + \frac{1}{2H-2rH} \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{2H-2rH} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Putting (3.18), (3.20) and (3.22) together, there exists a positive constant  $c_{3,7}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \overline{X}_1(s+w) - \overline{X}_1(s) \right)^2 \leq c_{3,7} \left( \frac{v}{u} \right)^{2H-2rH} w^{2rH}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a positive constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} (\overline{Y}_1(s+w) - \overline{Y}_1(s))^2 \leq C_2^2 \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{2H-2rH} \max\{w^\theta, w\}. \quad (3.23)$$

Consequently, Applying Lemma 3.2 with  $\eta = \min\{\theta/2, 1/2\} > 0$ ,  $c_Y = C_2 (v/u)^{H-rH}$ , we have that for any  $\delta > c_Y$ ,

$$J_3 = \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\overline{Y}_1(s)| \geq \delta \right) \leq \frac{1}{c_{3,6}} \exp \left( -\frac{c_{3,6}}{C_2^2 (v^2/u^2)^{H-rH}} \delta^2 \right). \quad (3.24)$$

Taking  $u/t \geq C_2^{6/(H-rH)}$  and  $\tau \leq \frac{H-rH}{3}$  such that  $\delta = (t/u)^\tau > c_Y$ . Recalling the definition of  $r$  by (3.19), it is sufficient to take  $u/t \geq \max\{C_2^{12/H}, C_2^{24/(1-\gamma)}\}$  and  $\tau \leq \min\{\frac{H}{6}, \frac{1-\gamma}{12}\}$ . Consequently, we have that by (3.24),

$$J_3 \leq \frac{1}{c_{3,6}} \exp \left( -\frac{c_{3,6}}{C_2^2} \left(\frac{u}{t}\right)^{H-rH-2\tau} \right) \leq \frac{1}{c_{3,6}} \exp \left( -\frac{c_{3,6}}{C_2^2} \left(\frac{u}{t}\right)^\tau \right). \quad (3.25)$$

Putting (3.12), (3.13), (3.17) and (3.25) together, we get (3.5).

In conclusion, we have proved that (3.5) holds when  $u/t \geq \max\{C_1^{6/(1-H)}, C_2^{12/H}, C_2^{24/(1-\gamma)}\}$  with constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  defined in (3.15) and (3.23) respectively. Since (3.5) is always true for some constants  $c_{3,2}, c_{3,3}, c_{3,4}$  uniformly over  $1 \leq u/t \leq \max\{C_1^{6/(1-H)}, C_2^{12/H}, C_2^{24/(1-\gamma)}\}$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

To prove Theorem 1.3, we apply some zero-one laws to show that the lower limits in (1.10) and (1.11) are constants almost surely (possibly 0 or  $\infty$ ). Then we use Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to prove that the constants are positive and finite. Following Takashima [23], we say that an  $(H + \theta)$ -self-similar process  $Y = \{Y(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is ergodic (resp. strong mixing) if for every  $a > 0$  and  $a \neq 1$ , the scaling transformation  $S_a(Y) = \{a^{-(H+\theta)}Y(at)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is ergodic (resp. strong mixing). This is equivalent to saying that all the shift transformations for the corresponding stationary process obtained via Lamperti's transformation  $L(Y) = \{e^{-(H+\theta)t}Y(e^t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  are ergodic (resp. strong mixing).

We recall a zero-one law for ergodic self-similar processes, which complements the results of Takashima [23].

**Proposition 4.1.** [25, Proposition 3.3] *Let  $X = \{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be a separable, self-similar process with index  $\kappa$ . We assume that  $X(0) = 0$  and that  $X$  is ergodic. Then, for any increasing function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have  $\mathbb{P}(E_{\kappa, \psi}) = 0$  or 1, where*

$$E_{\kappa, \psi} := \left\{ \omega : \text{there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X(s)| \geq t^\kappa \psi(t) \text{ for all } 0 < t \leq \delta \right\}.$$

We then use Proposition 4.1 to prove a weaker form of Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \in (-1/2 + \gamma/2, 1/2)$  and  $\theta > 0$ .*

(a) *There exists a constant  $\kappa'_1 \in [0, \infty]$  such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \frac{|Y(s)|}{t^{H+\theta} / (\ln \ln t^{-1})^\beta} = \kappa'_1 \quad a.s. \quad (4.1)$$

(b) *There exists a constant  $\kappa'_2 \in [0, \infty]$  such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \frac{|Y(s)|}{t^{H+\theta}/(\ln \ln t)^\beta} = \kappa'_2 \quad a.s. \quad (4.2)$$

*Proof.* The proof is inspired by Tudor and Xiao [25]. First, we show that the auto-covariance function of  $L(Y)$  satisfies

$$e^{-(H+\theta)t} \mathbb{E}[Y(e^t)Y(1)] = O(e^{-\kappa t}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\kappa > 0$  is a constant.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-(H+\theta)t} \mathbb{E}[Y(e^t)Y(1)] \\ &= e^{-(H+\theta)t} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\theta)} \int_0^{e^t} (e^t - v)^{\theta-1} X(v) dv \cdot \int_0^1 (1-u)^{\theta-1} X(u) du \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\theta)} e^{-(H+\theta)t} \int_0^{e^t} (e^t - v)^{\theta-1} dv \int_0^1 du (1-u)^{\theta-1} \mathbb{E}[X(u)X(v)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

For  $0 \leq u \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq v \leq e^t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X(u)X(v)] &= \int_0^{u \wedge v} (u-x)^\alpha (v-x)^\alpha x^{-\gamma} dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^{+\infty} ((u+x)^\alpha - x^\alpha) ((v+x)^\alpha - x^\alpha) x^{-\gamma} dx \\ &=: J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

For the integral  $J_1$ , when  $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ , for  $0 \leq u \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq v \leq e^t$ ,

$$J_1 \leq u^\alpha v^\alpha \int_0^{u \wedge v} x^{-\gamma} dx = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} u^\alpha v^\alpha (u \wedge v)^{1-\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} u^\alpha v^\alpha.$$

When  $\alpha \in (-1/2 + \gamma/2, 0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq \int_0^{u \wedge v} ((u \wedge v) - x)^{2\alpha} x^{-\gamma} dx \\ &= (u \wedge v)^{2H} \mathcal{B}(1-\gamma, 2\alpha+1) \leq \mathcal{B}(1-\gamma, 2\alpha+1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$J_1 \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\gamma} u^\alpha v^\alpha, \mathcal{B}(1-\gamma, 2\alpha+1) \right\}.$$

To deal with the integral  $J_2$ , we divide the integral interval as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \left[ \int_0^1 + \int_1^{+\infty} \right] ((u+x)^\alpha - x^\alpha) ((v+x)^\alpha - x^\alpha) x^{-\gamma} dx \\ &=: J_{2,1} + J_{2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.21), we have

$$J_{2,1} \leq \int_0^1 u^\alpha v^\alpha x^{-\gamma} dx = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} u^\alpha v^\alpha.$$

For any  $\delta \in ((\alpha - \gamma)_+ / (1 - \alpha), (1/2 - \gamma/2) / (1 - \alpha))$ , by (3.14), we have

$$J_{2,2} \leq |\alpha| u \int_1^{+\infty} ((v+x)^\alpha - x^\alpha) x^{\alpha-1-\gamma} dx.$$



Then by using inequalities (3.14) and (3.21) for  $((v+x)^\alpha - x^\alpha)^\delta$  and  $((v+x)^\alpha - x^\alpha)^{(1-\delta)}$  respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2,2} &\leq |\alpha|u \int_1^{+\infty} (|\alpha|vx^{\alpha-1})^\delta (v^\alpha)^{1-\delta} x^{\alpha-1-\gamma} dx \\ &= \frac{|\alpha|^{1+\delta}}{(1-\alpha)\delta + \gamma - \alpha} uv^{(1-\alpha)\delta + \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$J_2 \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} u^\alpha v^\alpha + \frac{|\alpha|^{1+\delta}}{(1-\alpha)\delta + \gamma - \alpha} uv^{(1-\alpha)\delta + \alpha}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X(u)X(v)] &\leq \frac{2}{1-\gamma} u^\alpha v^\alpha + \frac{|\alpha|^{1+\delta}}{(1-\alpha)\delta + \gamma - \alpha} uv^{(1-\alpha)\delta + \alpha} + \mathcal{B}(1-\gamma, 2\alpha+1) \\ &\leq c_{4,1} \left( u^\alpha v^\alpha + uv^{(1-\alpha)\delta + \alpha} + 1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $c_{4,1}$  is a positive constant.

Plugging (4.5) into (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-(H+\theta)t} \mathbb{E}[Y(e^t)Y(1)] &\leq \frac{c_{4,1}e^{-(H+\theta)t}}{\Gamma^2(\theta)} \int_0^{e^t} (e^t - v)^{\theta-1} v^\alpha dv \int_0^1 (1-u)^{\theta-1} u^\alpha du \\ &\quad + \frac{c_{4,1}e^{-(H+\theta)t}}{\Gamma^2(\theta)} \int_0^{e^t} (e^t - v)^{\theta-1} v^{(1-\alpha)\delta + \alpha} dv \int_0^1 (1-u)^{\theta-1} u du \\ &\quad + \frac{c_{4,1}e^{-(H+\theta)t}}{\Gamma^2(\theta)} \int_0^{e^t} (e^t - v)^{\theta-1} dv \int_0^1 (1-u)^{\theta-1} du \\ &\leq c_{4,2} \left( e^{-(H-\alpha)t} + e^{-[H-\alpha-(1-\alpha)\delta]t} + e^{-Ht} \right) \\ &= O(e^{-\kappa t}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa = \min\{\alpha + 1/2 - \gamma/2, 1/2 - \gamma/2 - \delta(1-\alpha)\} > 0$ . By the Fourier inversion formula,  $L(Y)$  has a continuous spectral density function. It follows from the Theorem 8 in [19] that  $L(Y)$  is strong mixing and thus is ergodic.

Hence, applying Proposition 4.1 with  $\psi_c(t) := c(\ln \ln 1/t)^{-\beta}$  and

$$\kappa'_1 := \sup\{c \geq 0 : \mathbb{P}(E_{\kappa, \psi_c}) = 1\},$$

we obtain (4.1).

The proof of (4.2) is similar to that of [25, Proposition 3.3] with minor modifications. The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* For any  $\lambda > 0$ , let

$$\xi_\lambda(t) := \frac{\lambda t^{H+\theta}}{(\ln |\ln t|)^\beta}, \quad t > 0.$$

By Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.1 (resp. Theorem 1.2), we derive that if  $\lambda < \kappa_3^\beta$ ,  $\xi_\lambda \in LLC_0(M)$  (resp.  $\xi_\lambda \in LLC_\infty(M)$ ), else if  $\lambda > \kappa_4^\beta$ ,  $\xi_\lambda \in LUC_0(M)$  (resp.  $\xi_\lambda \in LUC_\infty(M)$ ). Then applying Lemma 4.1, we obtain the desirable results.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson T W. The integral of a symmetric unimodal function over a symmetric convex set and some probability inequalities. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 1955, **6**: 170–176
- [2] Bingham N H. Variants on the law of the iterated logarithm. *Bull London Math Soc*, 1986, **18**(5): 433–467
- [3] Borell C. Convex measures on locally convex spaces. *Ark Mat*, 1974, **12**: 239–252
- [4] Buchmann B, Maller R. The small-time Chung-Wichura law for Lévy processes with non-vanishing Brownian component. *Probab Theory Related Fields*, 2011, **149**(1-2): 303–330
- [5] Chen X, Li W V. Quadratic functionals and small ball probabilities for the  $m$ -fold integrated Brownian motion. *Ann Probab*, 2003, **31**(2): 1052–1077
- [6] Chung K L. On the maximum partial sums of sequences of independent random variables. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 1948, **64**: 205–233
- [7] El-Nouty C. A note on the fractional integrated fractional Brownian motion. *Acta Appl Math*, 2003, **78**(1-3): 103–114
- [8] El-Nouty C. Lower classes of integrated fractional brownian motion. *Studia Sci Math Hungar*, 2004, **41**(1): 17–38
- [9] El-Nouty C. Lower classes of the Riemann-Liouville process. *Bull Sci Math*, 2011, **135**(1): 113–123
- [10] El-Nouty C. The lower classes of the sub-fractional Brownian motion. In: Zili, M., Filatova, D. (eds) *Stochastic Differential Equations and Processes*. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics, vol 7. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012
- [11] Ichiba T, Pang G D, Taqqu M S. Semimartingale properties of a generalized fractional Brownian motion and its mixtures with applications in finance. arXiv: 2012.00975
- [12] Ichiba T, Pang G D, Taqqu M S. Path properties of a generalized fractional Brownian motion. *J Theoret Probab*, 2022, **35**(1): 550–574
- [13] Kuelbs J. A strong convergence theorem for Banach space valued random variables. *Ann Probab*, 1976, **4**(5): 744–771
- [14] Kuelbs J, Li W V. Metric entropy and the small ball problem for Gaussian measures. *J Funct Anal*, 1993, **116**(1): 133–157
- [15] Ledoux M, Talagrand M. *Probability in a Banach Space*. Berlin: Springer Press, 1991
- [16] Lifshits M A. *Asymptotic behavior of small ball probabilities*. Berlin, Boston: Prob Theory and Math Stat Press, 1999
- [17] Li W V, Linde W. Approximation, metric entropy and small ball estimates for Gaussian measures. *Ann Probab*, 1999, **27**(3): 1556–1578
- [18] Li W V, Shao Q M. *Gaussian processes: inequalities, small ball probabilities and applications*. Handbook of Statist, 2001, **19**: 533–597
- [19] Maruyama G. The harmonic analysis of stationary stochastic processes. *Mem Fac Sci*, 1949, **4**: 45–106
- [20] Monrad D, Rootzén H. Small values of Gaussian processes and functional laws of the iterated logarithm. *Probab Theory Related Fields*, 1995, **101**(2): 173–192
- [21] Pang G D, Taqqu M S. Nonstationary self-similar Gaussian processes as scaling limits of power-law shot noise processes and generalizations of fractional Brownian motion. *High Freq*, 2019, **2**(2): 95–112
- [22] Révész P. *Random walk in random and non-random environments*. Teaneck, NJ: World Scientific Press, 1990
- [23] Takashima K. Sample path properties of ergodic self-similar processes. *Osaka J Math*, 1989, **26**(1): 159–189
- [24] Talagrand M. Lower classes for fractional Brownian motion. *J Theoret Probab*, 1996, **9**(1): 191–213
- [25] Tudor C A, Xiao Y M. Sample path properties of bifractional Brownian motion. *Bernoulli*, 2007, **13**(4): 1023–1052
- [26] Wang R, Xiao Y M. Exact uniform modulus of continuity and Chung’s LIL for the generalized fractional Brownian motion. *J Theoret Probab*, 2022, **35**(4): 2442–2479
- [27] Wang R, Xiao Y M. Lower functions and Chung’s LILs of the generalized fractional Brownian motion. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2022, **514**(2): 126320

- [28] Xiao Y M. Strong local nondeterminism and sample path properties of Gaussian random fields. *Adv Lect Math*, 2008, **2**: 136–176
- [29] Zhang R, Lin Z. A Functional LIL for  $m$ -Fold Integrated Brownian Motion. *Chin Ann Math Ser B*, 2006, **27**, 459–472

MENGJIE LYU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, CHINA.

*Email address:* `mengjie.lyu@whu.edu.cn`

MIN WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, CHINA.

*Email address:* `minwang@whu.edu.cn`

RAN WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, CHINA.

*Email address:* `rwang@whu.edu.cn`