

An answer to Goswami's question and new sources of IP^* -sets containing combined zigzag structure

Pintu Debnath *

Abstract

A set is called IP -set in a semigroup (S, \cdot) if it contains finite products of a sequence. A set that intersects with all IP -sets is called IP^* -set. It is a well known and established result by Bergelson and Hindman that if A is an IP^* -set, then for any sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$, there exists a sum subsystem $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \cup (\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subset A$. In [8, Question 3], S. Goswami posed the question: if we replace the single sequence by l -sequences, then is it possible to obtain a sum subsystem such that all of its zigzag finite sums and products will be in A . Goswami has given affirmative answers only for dynamical IP^* -sets which are not equivalent to those of IP^* -sets, but are rather significantly stronger. In this article, we will give the answer to Goswami's question that was unknown until now.

Keywords: IP^* -set, IP_r^* -set, Measure preserving system, Algebra of the Stone-Ćech compactifications of discrete semigroup.

MSC 2020: 05D10, 22A15, 54D35

1 introduction

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_r$. Then at least one cell is an IP -set, which is called the Hindman finite sum theorem. The Hindman finite sum theorem was a conjecture of Graham and Rothschild and proved by Hindman in [9]. Given a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} , we say that $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sum subsystem of

*Department of Mathematics, Basirhat College, Basirhat-743412, North 24th parganas, West Bengal, India.
pintumath1989@gmail.com

$\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ provided there exists a sequence $\langle H_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ of non-empty finite subset such that $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$ and $y_n = \sum_{t \in H_n} x_t$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [2] Bergelson and Hindman characterized IP^* -sets by introducing the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in \mathbb{N} and A be an IP^* -set in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then there exists a subsystem $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$FS(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \cup FP(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A.$$

Now it is essential to give a brief review of algebraic structure of the Stone-Ćech compactification of a semigroup $(S, +)$, not necessarily commutative with the discrete topology to present some results in this article.

The set $\{\bar{A} : A \subset S\}$ is a basis for the closed sets of βS . The operation ‘+’ on S can be extended to the Stone-Ćech compactification βS of S so that $(\beta S, +)$ is a compact right topological semigroup (meaning that for each $p \in \beta S$ the function $\rho_p(q) : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ defined by $\rho_p(q) = q + p$ is continuous) with S contained in its topological center (meaning that for any $x \in S$, the function $\lambda_x : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ defined by $\lambda_x(q) = x + q$ is continuous). This is a famous Theorem due to Ellis that if S is a compact right topological semigroup then the set of idempotents $E(S) \neq \emptyset$. A nonempty subset I of a semigroup T is called a *left ideal* of S if $T + I \subset I$, a *right ideal* if $I + T \subset I$, and a *two sided ideal* (or simply an *ideal*) if it is both a left and right ideal. A *minimal left ideal* is the left ideal that does not contain any proper left ideal. Similarly, we can define *minimal right ideal* and *smallest ideal*.

Any compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup T has the smallest two sided ideal

$$\begin{aligned} K(T) &= \bigcup \{L : L \text{ is a minimal left ideal of } T\} \\ &= \bigcup \{R : R \text{ is a minimal right ideal of } T\}. \end{aligned}$$

Given a minimal left ideal L and a minimal right ideal R , $L \cap R$ is a group, and in particular contains an idempotent. If p and q are idempotents in T we write $p \leq q$ if and only if $p + q = q + p = p$. An idempotent is minimal with respect to this relation if and only if it is a member of the smallest ideal $K(T)$ of T . Given $p, q \in \beta S$ and $A \subseteq S$, $A \in p + q$ if and only if the set $\{x \in S : -x + A \in q\} \in p$, where $-x + A = \{y \in S : x + y \in A\}$. See [10] for an elementary introduction to the algebra of βS and for any unfamiliar details.

Let A be a subset of S . Then A is called central if and only if $A \in p$, for some minimal idempotent of βS and A is called central* if and only if A intersects with all central sets.

In [4] and [3], D. De, established a similar type of the Theorem 1.1 for central^{*}-set and C^* . Where sequences have been consider from the class of minimal sequences and almost minimal sequences.

Definition 1.2. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and l -sequences $\langle x_n^{(1)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \langle x_n^{(2)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \langle x_n^{(l)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N}

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & ZFS \left(\langle x_n^{(i)} \rangle_{i,n=1,1}^{l,\infty} \right) \\ & = \left\{ \sum_{t \in H} y_t : H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and } y_i \in \left\{ x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)}, \dots, x_i^{(l)} \right\} \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \\ \text{b} \quad & ZFP \left(\langle x_n^{(i)} \rangle_{i,n=1,1}^{l,\infty} \right) \\ & = \left\{ \prod_{t \in H} y_t : H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and } y_i \in \left\{ x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)}, \dots, x_i^{(l)} \right\} \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In [8, Question 3], S. Goswami asked the following question associated with l -many sequences instead of single sequence:

Question 1.3. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is an IP^* set in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then for any l sequences $\langle x_n^{(1)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \langle x_n^{(2)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \langle x_n^{(l)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} whether there exists a l sum subsystems $\langle y_n^{(i)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\langle x_n^{(i)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that

$$ZFS \left(\langle y_n^{(i)} \rangle_{i,n=1,1}^{l,\infty} \right) \cup ZFP \left(\langle y_n^{(i)} \rangle_{i,n=1,1}^{l,\infty} \right) \subset A.$$

Goswami did not give the answer to the above question, but he established that the conclusion of the above question is true for dynamical IP^* -sets. There is an IP^* -set, which is not dynamical IP^* -set is given in 2.8. Now it is right time to define dynamical IP^* -set.

Definition 1.4. [10, Definition 19.29, page 503]

- (a) A measure space is a triple (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) where X is a set, \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra of subsets of X , and μ is a countably additive measure on \mathcal{B} with $\mu(X)$ finite.
- (b) Given a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) a function $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a measure preserving transformation if and only if for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $T^{-1}[B] \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu(T^{-1}[B]) = \mu(B)$
- (c) Given a semigroup S and a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) a measure preserving action of S on X is an indexed family $\langle T_s \rangle_{s \in S}$ such that each T_s is a measure preserving transformation of X and $T_s \circ T_t = T_{st}$. It is also required that if S has an identity then T_e is the identity function on X .

- (d) A measure preserving system is a quadruple $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \langle T_s \rangle_{s \in S})$ such that (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is a measure space and $\langle T_s \rangle_{s \in S}$ is a measure preserving action of S on X .

In this article we consider, $\mu(X) = 1$, i.e., probability measure, $(S, \cdot) = (\mathbb{N}, +)$ with $T_n = T^n$ and $T_n^{-1} = T^{-n}$.

Definition 1.5. A subset C of \mathbb{N} is dynamical essential IP^* - set iff there exist a measure preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0\} \subseteq C$.

In **section 2**, we will provide a negative answer to Goswami's question. Naturally, the question arises: for what type of IP^* - sets satisfy the conclusion of Goswami's question. The obvious class is the family of dynamical IP^* - sets.

In the recent article [11], Liang and Liao have find out a new class of IP^* -sets (topological dynamical IP^* -sets) satisfying the conclusion of Goswami's question and In [12], T.Zhang proved that for any IP^* -set, A and for certain l -sequences (compatible sequences), there exists a diagonal sum subsystem such that all of its zigzag finite sums and products are contained in A . So, it can be said that the negative answer to Goswami's question amplify the significance of their article [11], [12].

In **section 3**, we will show that certain types of IP^* - sets associated with multiple recurrence and mild mixing systems satisfy the conclusion of Goswami's question [8, Question 3].

2 An answer of Goswami's question

Before answering Goswami's question 1.3, we will mention some observations on dynamical IP^* -set and recall the definition of dynamical IP^* -set.

Definition 2.1. A subset C of \mathbb{N} is dynamical essential IP^* - set iff there exist a measure preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0\} \subseteq C$.

Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative semigroup and $A \subseteq S$.

- Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The set A is IP_r -set if and only if there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^r$ in S such that $FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^r) \subseteq A$. Where

$$FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^r) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in F} x_n : F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \right\}.$$

- Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The set A is called IP_r^* -set, when it intersects with all IP_r -sets.
- The set A is called IP_∞ -set if for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, A is an IP_r -set.

How much stronger a dynamical IP^* -set is than others IP^* -sets can be understood from the following two consecutive theorems:

Theorem 2.2. *Let C be a dynamical IP^* -set. Then C is an IP_r^* -set for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. As C is an IP^* -set in \mathbb{N} , there exist a measure preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0\} \subseteq C$. It is sufficient to prove that $E = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0\}$ is an IP_r^* -set for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Now as $\mu(A) > 0$, by Archimedean property of real numbers, we can find a positive integer r such that $r\mu(A) > 1$. Now take finite sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^r$ in \mathbb{N} . Now, atleast two set of

$$\{T^{-x_1}A, T^{-(x_1+x_2)}A, \dots, T^{-(x_1+x_2+\dots+x_r)}A\}$$

is disjoint. Otherwise,

$$\mu(T^{-x_1}A \cup T^{-(x_1+x_2)}A \cup \dots \cup T^{-(x_1+x_2+\dots+x_r)}A) > 1.$$

Then there exist $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ with $i < j$ such that

$$\mu(T^{-(x_1+x_2+\dots+x_i)}A \cap T^{-(x_1+x_2+\dots+x_j)}A) > 0,$$

which implies $\mu(A \cap T^{-(x_{i+1}+x_{i+2}+\dots+x_j)}A) > 0$. hence $FS\{x_n\}_{n=1}^r \cap E \neq \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let C be a dynamical IP^* -set in \mathbb{N} . If $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in E(\beta\mathbb{N})$, then $C \in p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k$.*

Proof. As C is a dynamical IP^* -set in \mathbb{N} , there exist a measure preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0\} \subseteq C.$$

It is sufficient to prove that $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0\} \in p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k$. Let, $B \in p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k$. Now $B \in p_{k+1}$, as B is IP^* -set. We will prove $B \subset \{m : -m + B \in p_{n+1}\}$. If $m \in B$, then $\mu(A \cap T^{-m}A) > 0$. Let $D = A \cap T^{-m}A$ and $\mu(D) > 0$. So, $\{n : \mu(D \cap T^{-n}D) > 0\}$ is IP^* -set, which implies, $\{n : \mu(A \cap T^{-(n+m)}A) > 0\}$ is IP^* -set. Now

$$m + n \in B \implies n \in -m + B \in p_{n+1}.$$

Which implies,

$$\{m : -m + B \in p_{k+1}\} \in p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_k$$

and $B \in p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_k$. □

It is clear from the above mentioned theorems that dynamical IP^* -sets enjoy some significant properties. In Lemma 2.8, we will show that the set $A = \left\{ \sum_{t \in H_1} 2^{2^n} + \sum_{t \in H_2} 2^{2^{n+1}} : H_1 < H_2 \right\}$ is IP_∞ -set but not IP -set. And as a consequence the set $B = \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ is IP^* set but not dynamical IP^* -set. We know that, for $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in E(\beta\mathbb{N})$, members of $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k$ was characterized by Bergelson and Hindman in [1].

Definition 2.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Then A is an IP^n set if and only if there exist for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ a sequence $\langle x_{i,t} \rangle_{t=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in H_i} x_{i,t} : H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and } H_1 < H_2 < \dots < H_n \right\} \subseteq A$$

Also, A is an IP^{n*} -set if and only if A has nonempty intersection with every IP^n -set in \mathbb{N} .

We get combinatorial descriptions of IP^{n*} -sets from [1, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 2.5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (a) A is an IP^{n*} -set.
- (b) Whenever $\langle x_{1,t} \rangle_{t=1}^\infty, \langle x_{2,t} \rangle_{t=1}^\infty, \dots, \langle x_{n,t} \rangle_{t=1}^\infty$ are sequences in S , there exist for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ a product subsystem $\langle y_{i,k} \rangle_{k=1}^\infty$ of $\langle x_{i,t} \rangle_{t=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in H_i} y_{i,k} : H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and } H_1 < H_2 < \dots < H_n \right\} \subseteq A.$$

we get the following result from [1, Corollary 4.4]

Theorem 2.6. Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then A is an IP^{n*} -set if and only if for all idempotent $p_1, p_1, \dots, p_n \in \beta\mathbb{N}$ one has $A \in p_1 + p_1 + \dots + p_n$.

Now, let two sequences $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. Then $ZFS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$ is an IP^2 -set generated by $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$. **By the Theorem 2.5, we will be able to give a negative answer to Goswami's question [8, Question 3] if we can find an IP^* -set, which is not an IP^{2*} -set.** The existence of the required set is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7. *There exists an IP^* -set which is not IP^{2*} -set.*

Proof. By [10, exercise 6.1.4], $E(\beta\mathbb{N})$ is not a semigroup of $\beta\mathbb{N}$. Then, we can find two idempotents $p, q \in E(\beta\mathbb{N})$, such that $p + q \notin E(\beta\mathbb{N})$. Then there exists a set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $A \in p + q$, which is not an IP -set. As, $A \in p + q$, then A is an IP^2 -set. By the characterization of IP^2 -set, we have, two sequences $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{t \in H_1} x_t + \sum_{t \in H_2} y_t : H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and } H_1 < H_2 \right\} \subseteq A.$$

Then it is clear that $B = \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ is an IP^* -set but not IP^{2*} -set. □

From the following theorem, we can find an IP^* -set, which is neither an IP^{2*} -set nor an IP_r^* -set for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ in a constructive way.

Theorem 2.8. *Let two sequences $\langle 2^{2t} \rangle_{t=1}^\infty$ and $\langle 2^{2t+1} \rangle_{t=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} . then*

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{t \in H_1} 2^{2t} + \sum_{t \in H_2} 2^{2t+1} : H_1 < H_2 \right\}$$

is IP_∞ -set but not IP -set. As a consequence A is IP^ -set which is not IP^{2*} -set and at the same time, not an IP_r^* -set for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $A = \{ \sum_{t \in H} 2^{2t} + \sum_{t \in K} 2^{2t+1} : H < K \}$ be an IP -set. Then there exists an sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ and $FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subset A$. Let

$$x_1 = \sum_{n \in H_1} 2^{2n} + \sum_{n \in K_1} 2^{2n+1} \text{ with } H_1 < K_1.$$

Case-I: As $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is infinite, we can find for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$x_k = \sum_{n \in H_k} 2^{2n} + \sum_{n \in K_k} 2^{2n+1} \text{ with } H_k < K_k.$$

Now

$$x_1 + x_k = \sum_{n \in H_1 \cup H_k} 2^{2n} + \sum_{n \in K_1 \cup K_k} 2^{2n+1} \text{ but } H_1 \cup H_k \not\subseteq K_1 \cup K_k.$$

Hence $x_1 + x_k \notin A$.

Case-II: As $\{1, 2, \dots, \max H_2\}$ is finite set, we can find

$$x_s = \sum_{n \in H_s} 2^{2n} + \sum_{n \in K_s} 2^{2n+1} \text{ with } H_s < K_s.$$

and

$$x_t = \sum_{n \in H_t} 2^{2n} + \sum_{n \in K_t} 2^{2n+1} \text{ with } H_t < K_t.$$

Where $H = H_s = H_t$ and $K_t < K_s$. In this case $x_s + x_t = \sum_{n \in H \cup K_t \cup K_s} 2^{2n+1}$ is not in A .

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_i = 2^{2i} + 2^{2(r+1)+i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then $FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^r) \subset A$ and A is an IP_∞ -set. \square

3 Zigzag finite sum and product family

We start this section with the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and \mathcal{F} is called *ZFSP*-family, if \mathcal{F} satisfies the following properties

- (a) For each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, A is an IP^* -set in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$
- (b) For each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{F}$ such that, $C \subseteq A$ and for $n \in C, -n + C \in \mathcal{F}$
- (c) For $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$
- (d) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $n^{-1}A \in \mathcal{F}$

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{ \text{collection of all dynamical } IP^*\text{-sets} \}$ forms a *ZFSP* family by [8]. By [11], $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{ \text{collection of all topological dynamical } IP^*\text{-sets} \}$ forms a *ZFSP* family. The following theorem shows that any member of a *ZFSP* family contains zigzag finite sums and products of a sum subsystem of any finite number of sequences.

Theorem 3.2. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is in a ZFSP family set in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then for any l sequences $\langle x_n^{(1)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \langle x_n^{(2)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \langle x_n^{(l)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{N} there exists a lsum subsystems $\langle y_n^{(i)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\langle x_n^{(i)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that

$$ZFS \left(\langle y_n^{(i)} \rangle_{i,n=1,1}^{l,\infty} \right) \cup ZAP \left(\langle y_n^{(i)} \rangle_{i,n=1,1}^{l,\infty} \right) \subset A.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be the given ZFSP family. Form the definition of ZFSP family, We know from that if $A \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{F}$ such that, $C \subseteq A$ and for $n \in C$, $-n + C \in \mathcal{F}$.

Choose $\langle H_1^{(i)} \rangle_{i=1}^l \subset \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\sum_{t \in H_1^{(i)}} x_t^{(i)} = y_1^{(i)} \in C$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. Let us assume for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a sequence $\langle y_n^{(i)} \rangle_{n,i=1,1}^{m,l}$ in \mathbb{N} and $\langle H_n^{(i)} \rangle_{n,i=1,1}^{m,l}$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- 1 For each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ $\max H_j^{(i)} < \min H_{j+1}^{(i)}$.
- 2 If for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $y_j^{(i)} = \sum_{t \in H_j^{(i)}} x_t^{(i)}$

then,

$$B = ZFS \left(\langle y_j^{(i)} \rangle_{j,i=1,1}^{m,l} \right) \cup ZFP \left(\langle y_j^{(i)} \rangle_{j,i=1,1}^{m,l} \right) \subset C$$

Now we have,

$$D = C \cap \bigcap_{n \in E_1} (-n + C) \cap \bigcap_{n \in E_2} (n^{-1}C)$$

is in \mathcal{F} . Now choose a sequence $\langle H_{m+1}^{(i)} \rangle_{i=1}^l$ such that $\max H_m^{(i)} < \min H_{m+1}^{(i)}$ and $y_{m+1}^{(i)} = \sum_{t \in H_{m+1}^{(i)}} x_t^{(i)} \in A$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. Then one can now check this choice of $\langle y_{m+1}^{(i)} \rangle_{i=1}^l$ completes the induction. So we have the result \square

Let us recall the dynamically IP^* -sets. A subset C of \mathbb{N} is dynamical IP^* -set iff there exist a measure preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and an $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0\} \subseteq C$. Now, if we consider $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)\mu(B) > 0$ then the $E = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) > 0\}$ may not be an IP^* -set, even E may be an empty. For example, if we consider a measure preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, I) where I is identity transformation on X . Then for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ we have $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap I^{-n}B)\} = \emptyset$.

Definition 3.3. A measure preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) is called mild mixing, if for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)\mu(B) > 0$

$$\{n : |\mu(A \cap T_n^{-1}B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| < \epsilon\}$$

is an IP^* -set.

From the above definition, it is clear that for a mild mixing (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)\mu(B) > 0$, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) > 0\}$ is an IP^* -set

Definition 3.4. Let C be a subset of \mathbb{N} , is called MIP^* -sets iff there exist a mild mixing system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)\mu(B) > 0$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) > 0\} \subseteq C$.

From [6, Proposition 9.23], we get the following:

Lemma 3.5. *Product of two mild mixing systems is a mild mixing.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be a mild mixing system. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T^m)$ is also a mild mixing system.*

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)\mu(B) > 0$, we have to show that $\{n : |\mu(A \cap T^{-mn}B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| < \epsilon\}$ is an IP^* -set. Now $E = \{n : |\mu(A \cap T_n^{-1}B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| < \epsilon\}$ is an IP^* -set, which implies $m^{-1}E = \{n : |\mu(A \cap T^{-mn}B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| < \epsilon\}$ and we get the required result as, $m^{-1}E$ is an IP^* -set. \square

Theorem 3.7. *The measure preserving dynamical system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) is mild mixing iff for any $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A_0)\mu(A_1)\cdots\mu(A_k) > 0$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 = e_0 < e_1 < \dots < e_k$ any $p \in E(\beta\mathbb{N})$,*

$$p\text{-}\lim_n \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-ne_i} A_i \right) = \prod_{i=0}^k \mu(A_i).$$

Proof. Follows from [6, Theorem 9.27, Page-194] \square

From the above mentioned theorem, it is an immediate consequence that for a mild mixing system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and for any $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A_0)\mu(A_1)\cdots\mu(A_k) > 0$, the set $\{n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A_i \right) > 0\}$ is an IP^* -set. From this we define k th order mild mixing IP^* -set, abbreviated by k - MIP^* -set.

Definition 3.8. Let $C \subset \mathbb{N}$, is called k - MIP^* -set iff there exist a mild mixing system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A_0)\mu(A_1)\cdots\mu(A_k) > 0$ such that $\{n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A_i \right) > 0\} \subseteq C$.

Now we observe some properties of k - MIP^* -sets.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a k - MIP^* -set. Then $m^{-1}A$ is also a k - MIP^* -set.*

Proof. As A is k -MIP*-set, there exist a mild mixing system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A_0) \mu(A_1) \cdots \mu(A_k) > 0$ such that

$$\left\{ n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A_i \right) > 0 \right\} \subseteq A.$$

Now if $E = \left\{ n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A_i \right) > 0 \right\}$, then,

$$m^{-1}E = \left\{ n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-imn} A_i \right) > 0 \right\} \subseteq m^{-1}A.$$

Now $m^{-1}A$ is a k -MIP*-set for $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T^m)$ being a mild mixing system by Lemma 3.6. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A and B be k -MIP*-sets. Then their intersection $A \cap B$ is also a k -MIP*-set.*

Proof. There exist two mild mixing system (X, \mathcal{A}, μ, T) and (X, \mathcal{B}, ν, S) and $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A_0) \mu(A_1) \cdots \mu(A_k) > 0$ and $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_k \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\nu(B_0) \nu(B_1) \cdots \nu(B_k) > 0$ such that

$$\left\{ n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A_i \right) > 0 \right\} \subseteq A \text{ and } \left\{ n : \nu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k S^{-in} B_i \right) > 0 \right\} \subseteq B.$$

Now by the lemma 3.5, $(X \times Y, \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \mu \times \nu, T \times S)$ is mild mixing system. Here $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ is a σ -algebra generated by $\{A \times B : A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ with $\mu \times \nu(A \times B) = \mu(A) \nu(B)$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu \times \nu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k (T \times S)^{-in} (A_i \times B_i) \right) > 0$ if and only if $\mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A_i \right) > 0$ and $\nu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k S^{-in} B_i \right) > 0$. Then

$$\left\{ n : \mu \times \nu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k (T \times S)^{-in} (A_i \times B_i) \right) > 0 \right\} \subseteq A \cap B$$

and $(X \times Y, \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \mu \times \nu, T \times S)$ being a mild mixing system, we have the intersection $A \cap B$ is a k -MIP*-set. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a k -MIP*-set. Then there exists a k -MIP*-set B such that $B \subset A$ with for all $n \in B$, $-n + B$ is a k -MIP*-set.*

Proof. As A is k -MIP * -set, there exist a mild mixing system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A_0) \mu(A_1) \cdots \mu(A_k) > 0$ such that

$$B = \left\{ n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A_i \right) > 0 \right\} \subseteq A.$$

To see that B is required, let $n \in B$ and $C = \bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A_i$. We claim that $\left\{ n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} C \right) > 0 \right\} \subseteq -n + B$. Let for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-im} C \right) > 0$. Now $\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-im} C = \bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-im} \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^k T^{-jn} A_j \right)$, which is a subset of $\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-i(n+m)} A_i$. Then $m \in -n + B$ as $\mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-i(n+m)} A_i \right) > 0$.

□

Theorem 3.12. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The collection of all k -MIP * -sets forms a ZFSP family.*

Proof. Follows from the above lemmas. □

In [7], Furstenberg and Katznelson proved the following:

Theorem 3.13. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be a measure preserving system and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$. Then there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$R_k = \left\{ n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A \right) > 0 \right\}.$$

is an IP_r^ -set.*

Definition 3.14. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let C be a subset of \mathbb{N} , is called k -RIP * -set iff there exist a measure preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that $\left\{ n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A \right) > 0 \right\} \subseteq C$.

Like the family of k -MIP * -sets, we can prove that the collection of k -RIP * -sets forms a ZFSP family. So it is a simple consequence that any k -RIP * -set is an IP^{n^*} -set and states the following:

Corollary 3.15. *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be a measure preserving system and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$. Then*

$$R_k = \left\{ n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A \right) > 0 \right\}$$

is an IP^{n^} -set.*

For a mild mixing system, we get the following corollary applying Theorem 3.12 and Theorem 3.2:

Corollary 3.16. *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be a mild mixing. Then $\left\{n : \mu \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^k T^{-in} A_i \right) > 0\right\}$ is an IP^{n*} -set, for any $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A_0) \mu(A_1) \cdots \mu(A_k) > 0$.*

References

- [1] V. Bergelson and N. Hindman, Quotient sets and density recurrent sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012), 4495-4531.
- [2] V. Bergelson and N. Hindman, On IP^* -sets and central sets, *Combinatorica* 14 (1994), 269-277.
- [3] D. De, Additive and Multiplicative structure of C^* -set Integers, 14, 2(2014), A26
- [4] D. De, Combined algebraic properties of central $*$ - sets, *Integers* 7 (2007), A37.
- [5] D. De, N. Hindman, and D. Strauss, A new and stronger Central Sets Theorem, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 199 (2008), 155-175.
- [6] H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1981.
- [7] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson, An ergodic Szemerédi theorem for IP-systems and combinatorial theory, *d'Analyse Mathématique*, Volume 45, pages 117–168, (1985).
- [8] S. Goswami, Combined Zigzag structure in Dynamically IP^* -sets, *Topology and its Applications*, volume 300, 15th August 2021, 107752.
- [9] N. Hindman: Finite sums from sequences within cells of partitions of \mathbb{N} , *J. Comb. Theory (Series A)* 17 (1974), 1-11.
- [10] N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification: theory and applications*, second edition, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012.
- [11] X. Liang and Q. Liao, Characterizations of topological dynamical IP^* sets, *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, (2024) 1–24.

- [12] T. Zhang, Zigzag structures in IP^* sets and dynamical IP^* sets, *Topology and its Applications*, Volume 327, 15 March 2023, 108437.
- [13] T. Zhang, Zigzag structures in IP^* sets, *Semigroup Forum* , 25 May 2023, Volume 106, pages 747–750, (2023).