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#### Abstract

$A$ set is called $I P$-set in a semigroup ( $S, \cdot$ ) if it contains finite products of a sequence. A set that intersects with all $I P$-sets is called $I P^{\star}$ set. It is a well known and established result by Bergelson and Hindman that if $A$ is an $I P^{\star}$-set, then for any sequence $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$, there exists a sum subsystem $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $F S\left(\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}\right) \cup F P\left(\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}\right) \subset A$. In [9, Question 3], S. Goswami posed the question: if we replace the single sequence by $l$-sequences, then is it possible to obtain a sum subsystem such that all of its zigzag finite sums and products will be in $A$. Goswami has given affirmative answers only for dynamical $I P^{\star}$-sets which are not equivalent to thouse of $I P^{\star}$-sets, but are rather significantly stronger. In this article, we will give the answer to Goswami's question that was unknown until now.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}=C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup \ldots \cup C_{r}$. Then atleast one cell is an $I P$-set, which is called the Hindman finite sum theorem. The Hindman finite sum theorem was a conjecture of Graham and Rothschild and proved by Hindman in 11. Given a sequence $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, we say that $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sum subsystem of

[^0]$\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ provided there exists a sequence $\left\langle H_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-empty finite subset such that $\max H_{n}<\min H_{n+1}$ and $y_{n}=\sum_{t \in H_{n}} x_{t}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [2] Bergelson and Hindman characterized $I P^{\star}$-sets by introducing the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ and $A$ be an I $P^{\star}$-set in $(\mathbb{N},+)$. Then there exists a subsystem $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
F S\left(\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}\right) \cup F P\left(\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}\right) \subseteq A
$$

Now it is essential to give a brief review of algebraic structure of the StoneČech compactification of a semigroup $(S,+)$, not necessarily commutative with the discrete topology to present some results in this article.

The set $\{\bar{A}: A \subset S\}$ is a basis for the closed sets of $\beta S$. The operation ' + ' on $S$ can be extended to the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta S$ of $S$ so that $(\beta S,+)$ is a compact right topological semigroup (meaning that for each $p \in \beta \mathrm{~S}$ the function $\rho_{p}(q): \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ defined by $\rho_{p}(q)=q+p$ is continuous) with $S$ contained in its topological center (meaning that for any $x \in S$, the function $\lambda_{x}: \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ defined by $\lambda_{x}(q)=x+q$ is continuous). This is a famous Theorem due to Ellis that if $S$ is a compact right topological semigroup then the set of idempotents $E(S) \neq \emptyset$. A nonempty subset $I$ of a semigroup $T$ is called a left ideal of $S$ if $T+I \subset I$, a right ideal if $I+T \subset I$, and a two sided ideal (or simply an ideal) if it is both a left and right ideal. A minimal left ideal is the left ideal that does not contain any proper left ideal. Similarly, we can define minimal right ideal and smallest ideal.

Any compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup $T$ has the smallest two sided ideal

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(T) & =\bigcup\{L: L \text { is a minimal left ideal of } T\} \\
& =\bigcup\{R: R \text { is a minimal right ideal of } T\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given a minimal left ideal $L$ and a minimal right ideal $R, L \cap R$ is a group, and in particular contains an idempotent. If $p$ and $q$ are idempotents in $T$ we write $p \leq q$ if and only if $p+q=q+p=p$. An idempotent is minimal with respect to this relation if and only if it is a member of the smallest ideal $K(T)$ of $T$. Given $p, q \in \beta S$ and $A \subseteq S, A \in p+q$ if and only if the set $\{x \in S:-x+A \in q\} \in p$, where $-x+A=\{y \in S: x+y \in A\}$. See [12] for an elementary introduction to the algebra of $\beta S$ and for any unfamiliar details.

Let $A$ be a subset of $S$. Then $A$ is called central if and only if $A \in p$, for some minimal idempotent of $\beta S$ and $A$ is called central ${ }^{\star}$ if and only if $A$ intersects with all central sets.

In (4) and [3, D. De, established a similar type of the Theorem 1.1 for central*-set and $C^{\star}$. Where sequences have been consider from the class of minimal sequences and almost minimal sequences.

Definition 1.2. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l$-sequences $\left\langle x_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\langle x_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}, \ldots,\left\langle x_{n}^{(l)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{N}$
(a) $\operatorname{ZFS}\left(\left\langle x_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{i, n=1,1}^{l, \infty}\right)$

$$
=\left\{\sum_{t \in H} y_{t}: H \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{N}) \text { and } y_{i} \in\left\{x_{i}^{(1)}, x_{i}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{i}^{(l)}\right\} \text { for any } i \in \mathbb{N}\right\} .
$$

b $Z F P\left(\left\langle x_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{i, n=1,1}^{l, \infty}\right)$
$=\left\{\prod_{t \in H} y_{t}: H \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{N})\right.$ and $y_{i} \in\left\{x_{i}^{(1)}, x_{i}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{i}^{(l)}\right\}$ for any $\left.i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
In [9, Question 3], S. Goswami asked the following question associated with $l$-many sequences instead of single sequence:

Question 1.3. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is an $I P^{\star}$ set in $(\mathbb{N},+)$. Then for any $l$ sequences $\left\langle x_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\langle x_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}, \ldots,\left\langle x_{n}^{(l)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ whether there exists a $l$ sum subsystems $\left\langle y_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left\langle x_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$ such that

$$
Z F S\left(\left\langle y_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{i, n=1,1}^{l, \infty}\right) \bigcup Z F P\left(\left\langle y_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{i, n=1,1}^{l, \infty}\right) \subset A
$$

Goswami did not give the answer to the above question, but he established that the conclusion of the above question is true for dynamical $I P^{\star}$-sets. There is an $I P^{\star}$-set, which is not dynamical $I P^{\star}$-set is given in 2.8. Now it is right time to define dynamical $I P^{\star}$-set.

Definition 1.4. [12, Definition 19.29, page 503]
(a) A measure space is a triple $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ where $X$ is a set, $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $X$, and is a countably additive measure on $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(X)$ finite.
(b) Given a measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ a function $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a measure preserving transformation if and only if for all $B \in \mathcal{B}, T^{-1}[B] \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu\left(T^{-1}[B]\right)=\mu(B)$
(c) Given a semigroup $S$ and a measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ a measure preserving action of $S$ on $X$ is an indexed family $\left\langle T_{s}\right\rangle_{s \in S}$ such that each $T_{s}$ is a measure preserving transformation of $X$ and $T_{s} \circ T_{t}=T_{s t}$. It is also required that if $S$ has an identitye then $T_{e}$ is the identity function on $X$.
(d) A measure preserving system is a quadruple ( $X, \mathcal{B}, \mu,\left\langle T_{s}\right\rangle_{s \in S}$ ) such that $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a measure space and $\left\langle T_{s}\right\rangle_{s \in S}$ is a measure preserving action of $S$ on $X$.

In this article we consider, $\mu(X)=1$, i.e., probability measure, $(S, \cdot)=$ $(\mathbb{N},+)$ with $T_{n}=T^{n}$ and $T_{n}^{-1}=T^{-n}$.

Definition 1.5. A subset $C$ of $\mathbb{N}$ is dynamical essential $I P^{\star}$ - set iff there exist a measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)>0$ such that $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} A\right)>0\right\} \subseteq C$.

In section 2, we will provide a negative answer to Goswami's question. Naturally, the question arises: for what type of $I P^{\star}$ - sets satisfy the conclusion of Goswami's question. The obvious class is the family of dynamical $I P^{\star}$ - sets.

In the recent article [13], Liang and Liao have find out a new class of $I P^{\star}$ sets (topological dynamical $I P^{\star}$-sets) satisfying the conclusion of Goswami's question and In [14, T.Zhang proved that for any $I P^{\star}$-set, $A$ and for certain $l$-sequences (compatible sequences), there exists a diagonal sum subsystem such that all of its zigzag finite sums and products are contained in $A$. So, it can be said that the negative answer to Goswami's question amplify the significance of their article [13], [14].

In section 3, we will show that certain types of $I P^{\star}$ - sets associated with multiple recurrence and mild mixing systems satisfy the conclusion of Goswami's question [9, Question 3].

## 2 An answer to Goswami's question

Before answering Goswami's question 1.3, we will mention some observations on dynamical $I P^{\star}$-set and recall the definition of dynamical $I P^{\star}$-set.

Definition 2.1. A subset $C$ of $\mathbb{N}$ is dynamical essential $I P^{\star}$ - set iff there exist a measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)>0$ such that $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} A\right)>0\right\} \subseteq C$.

Let $(S,+)$ be a commutative semigroup and $A \subseteq S$.

- Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $A$ is $I P_{r}$-set if and only if there exists a sequence $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{r}$ in $S$ such that $F S\left(\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{r}\right) \subseteq A$. Where

$$
F S\left(\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{r}\right)=\left\{\sum_{n \in F} x_{n}: F \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, r\}\right\} .
$$

- Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $A$ is called $I P_{r}^{\star}$-set, when it intersects with all $I P_{r}$-sets.
- The set $A$ is called $I P_{\infty}$-set if for any $r \in \mathbb{N}, A$ is an $I P_{r}$-set.

How much stronger a dynamical $I P^{\star}$-set is than others $I P^{\star}$-sets can be understood from the following two consecutive theorems:

Theorem 2.2. Let $C$ be a dynamical $I P^{\star}$-set. Then $C$ is an $I P_{r}^{\star}$-set for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. As $C$ is an $I P^{\star}$-set in $\mathbb{N}$, there exist a measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)>0$ such that $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} A\right)>0\right\} \subseteq$ $C$. It is sufficient to prove that $E=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} A\right)>0\right\}$ is an $I P_{r}^{\star}$ set for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Now as $\mu(A)>0$, by Archimedean property of real numbers, we can find a positive integer $r$ such that $r \mu(A)>1$. Now take finite sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{r}$ in $\mathbb{N}$. Now, atleast two set of

$$
\left\{T^{-x_{1}} A, T^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)} A, \ldots, T^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots,+x_{r}\right)} A\right\}
$$

is disjoint. Otherwise,

$$
\mu\left(T^{-x_{1}} A \cup T^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)} A \cup \ldots \cup T^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots,+x_{r}\right)} A\right)>1 .
$$

Then there exist $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$ with $i<j$ such that

$$
\mu\left(T^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots,+x_{i}\right)} A \cap T^{-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots,+x_{j}\right)} A\right)>0,
$$

which implies $\mu\left(A \cap T^{-\left(x_{i+1}+x_{i+2}+\ldots,+x_{j}\right)} A\right)>0$. hence $F S\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{r} \cap E \neq$ $\emptyset$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $C$ be a dynamical I $P^{\star}$-set in $\mathbb{N}$. If $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k} \in$ $E(\beta \mathbb{N})$, then $C \in p_{1}+p_{2}+\ldots+p_{k}$.

Proof. As $C$ is a dynamical $I P^{\star}$-set in $\mathbb{N}$, there exist a measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)>0$ such that

$$
\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} A\right)>0\right\} \subseteq C
$$

It is sufficient to prove that $B=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} A\right)>0\right\} \in p_{1}+p_{2}+$ $\cdots+p_{k}$. Let, $B \in p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{k}$. Now $B \in p_{k+1}$, as $B$ is $I P^{\star}$-set. We will prove $B \subset\left\{m:-m+B \in p_{n+1}\right\}$. If $m \in B$, then $\mu\left(A \cap T^{-m} A\right)>0$. Let $D=A \cap T^{-m} A$ and $\mu(D)>0$. So, $\left\{n: \mu\left(D \cap T^{-n} D\right)>0\right\}$ is $I P^{\star}$-set, which implies, $\left\{n: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-(n+m)} A\right)>0\right\}$ is $I P^{\star}$-set. Now

$$
m+n \in B \Longrightarrow n \in-m+B \in p_{n+1} .
$$

Which implies,

$$
\left\{m:-m+B \in p_{k+1}\right\} \in p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{k}
$$

and $B \in p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{k}$.

It is clear from the above mentioned theorems that dynamical $I P^{\star}$-sets enjoy some significant properties. In Lemma 2.8, we will show that the set $A=\left\{\sum_{t \in H_{1}} 2^{2 n}+\sum_{t \in H_{2}} 2^{2 n+1}: H_{1}<H_{2}\right\}$ is $I P_{\infty}$-set but not $I P$-set. And as a consequence the set $B=\mathbb{N} \backslash A$ is $I P^{\star}$ set but not dynamical $I P^{\star}$-set. We know that, for $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k} \in E(\beta \mathbb{N})$, members of $p_{1}+p_{2}+\ldots+p_{k}$ was characterized by Bergelson and Hindman in [1].

Definition 2.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Then $A$ is an $I P^{n}$ set if and only if there exist for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ a sequence $\left\langle x_{i, t}\right\rangle_{t=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t \in H_{i}} x_{i, t}: H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{N}) \text { and } H_{1}<H_{2}<\ldots<H_{n}\right\} \subseteq A
$$

Also, $A$ is an $I P^{n \star}$-set if and only if $A$ has nonempty intersection with every $I P^{n}$-set in $\mathbb{N}$.

We get combinatorial descriptions of $I P^{n \star}$-sets from [1, Theorem 4.1].
Theorem 2.5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. The following statements are equivalent.
(a) $A$ is an $I P^{n *}$-set.
(b) Whenever $\left\langle x_{1, t}\right\rangle_{t=1}^{\infty},\left\langle x_{2, t}\right\rangle_{t=1}^{\infty}, \ldots,\left\langle x_{n, t}\right\rangle_{t=1}^{\infty}$ are sequences in $S$, there exist for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ a product subsystem $\left\langle y_{i, k}\right\rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left\langle x_{i, t}\right\rangle_{t=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t \in H_{i}} y_{i, k}: H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{N}) \text { and } H_{1}<H_{2}<\ldots<H_{n}\right\} \subseteq A .
$$

we get the following result from [1, Corollary 4.4]
Theorem 2.6. Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then $A$ is an $I P^{n \star}$-set if and only if for all idempotent $p_{1}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \in \beta \mathbb{N}$ one has $A \in p_{1}+p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n}$.

Now, let two sequences $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then $Z F S\left(\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}\right)$ is an $I P^{2}$-set generated by $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$. By the Theorem 2.5, we will be able to give a negative answer to Goswami's question [9, Question 3] if we can find an $I P^{\star}$-set, which is not an $I P^{2 \star}$-set. The existence of the required set is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7. There exists an $I P^{\star}$-set which is not $I P^{2 \star}$-set.
Proof. By [12, exercise 6.1.4], $E(\beta \mathbb{N})$ is not a semigroup of $\beta \mathbb{N}$. Then, we can find two idempotents, $p, q \in E(\beta \mathbb{N})$, such that $p+q \notin E(\beta \mathbb{N})$. Then there exists a set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $A \in p+q$, which is not an $I P$-set. As, $A \in p+q$, then $A$ is an $I P^{2}$-set. By the characterization of $I P^{2}$-set, we have, two sequences $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\left\{\sum_{t \in H_{1}} x_{t}+\sum_{t \in H_{2}} y_{t}: H_{1}, H_{2} \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{N}) \text { and } H_{1}<H_{2}\right\} \subseteq A .
$$

Then it is clear that $B=\mathbb{N} \backslash A$ is an $I P^{\star}$-set but not $I P^{2 \star}$-set.

From the following theorem, we can find an $I P^{\star}$-set, which is neither an $I P^{2 \star}$-set nor an $I P_{r}^{\star}$-set for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ in a constructive way.

Theorem 2.8. Let two sequences $\left\langle 2^{2 t}\right\rangle_{t=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\langle 2^{2 t+1}\right\rangle_{t=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{N}$. then

$$
A=\left\{\sum_{t \in H_{1}} 2^{2 n}+\sum_{t \in H_{2}} 2^{2 n+1}: H_{1}<H_{2}\right\}
$$

is $I P_{\infty}$-set but not $I P$-set. As a consequences $A$ is $I P^{\star}$-set which is not $I P^{2 \star}$-set and at the same time, not an I $P_{r}^{\star}$-set for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $A=\left\{\sum_{t \in H} 2^{2 n}+\sum_{t \in K} 2^{2 n+1}: H<K\right\}$ be an $I P$-set. Then there exists an sequence $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $F S\left(\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}\right) \subset A$. Let

$$
x_{1}=\sum_{n \in H_{1}} 2^{2 n}+\sum_{n \in K_{1}} 2^{2 n+1} \text { with } H_{1}<K_{1} .
$$

Case-I: As $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is infinite, we can find for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
x_{k}=\sum_{n \in H_{k}} 2^{2 n}+\sum_{n \in K_{k}} 2^{2 n+1} \text { with } H_{k}<K_{k} .
$$

Now

$$
x_{1}+x_{k}=\sum_{n \in H_{1} \cup H_{k}} 2^{2 n}+\sum_{n \in K_{1} \cup K_{k}} 2^{2 n+1} \text { but } H_{1} \cup H_{k} \nless K_{1} \cup K_{k} .
$$

Hence $x_{1}+x_{k} \notin A$.
Case-II: As $\left\{1,2, \ldots, \max H_{2}\right\}$ is finite set, we can find

$$
x_{s}=\sum_{n \in H_{s}} 2^{2 n}+\sum_{n \in K_{s}} 2^{2 n+1} \text { with } H_{s}<K_{s} .
$$

and

$$
x_{t}=\sum_{n \in H_{t}} 2^{2 n}+\sum_{n \in K_{t}} 2^{2 n+1} \text { with } H_{t}<K_{t} .
$$

Where $H=H_{s}=H_{t}$ and $K_{t}<K_{s}$. In this case $x_{s}+x_{t}=\sum_{n \in H \cup K_{t} \cup K_{s}} 2^{2 n+1}$ is not in $A$.
Let $r \in \mathbb{N}, x_{i}=2^{2 i}+2^{2(r+1)+i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$. Then $F S\left(\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{r}\right) \subset A$ and $A$ is an $I P_{\infty}$-set.

## 3 Zigzag finite sums and products family

We start this section with the following definition:
Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is called $Z F S P$-family, if $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the following properties
(a) For each $A \in \mathcal{F}, A$ is an $I P^{\star}$-set in $(\mathbb{N},+)$
(b) For each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{F}$ such that, $C \subseteq A$ and for $n \in C,-n+C \in \mathcal{F}$
(c) For $A, B \in \mathcal{F}, A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$
(d) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}, n^{-1} A \in \mathcal{F}$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{\right.$ collection of all dynamical $I P^{\star}$-sets $\}$ forms a $Z F S P$ family by [9]. By [13], $\mathcal{F}_{2}=\left\{\right.$ collection of all topological dynamical $I P^{\star}$-sets $\}$ forms a ZFSP family. The following theorem shows that any member of a ZFSP family contains zigzag finite sums and products of a sum subsystem of any finite number of sequences.

Theorem 3.2. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is in a ZFSP family set in $(\mathbb{N},+)$. Then for any $l$ sequences $\left\langle x_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\langle x_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}, \ldots,\left\langle x_{n}^{(l)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ there exists a lsum subsystems $\left\langle y_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left\langle x_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$ such that

$$
Z F S\left(\left\langle y_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{i, n=1,1}^{l, \infty}\right) \bigcup Z A P\left(\left\langle y_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{i, n=1,1}^{l, \infty}\right) \subset A
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the given ZFSP family. Form the definition of ZFSP family, We know from that if $A \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{F}$ such that, $C \subseteq A$ and for $n \in C,-n+C \in \mathcal{F}$.

Choose $\left\langle H_{1}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{l} \subset \mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\sum_{t \in H_{1}^{(i)}} x_{t}^{(i)}=y_{1}^{(i)} \in C$ for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$. Let us assume for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a sequence $\left\langle y_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{n, i=1,1}^{m, l}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ and $\left\langle H_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{n, i=1,1}^{m, l}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{N})$ such that

1 For each $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, l\} \max H_{j}^{(i)}<\min H_{j+1}^{(i)}$.
2 If for each $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}, y_{j}^{(i)}=\sum_{t \in H_{j}^{(i)}} x_{t}^{(i)}$
then,

$$
B=Z F S\left(\left\langle y_{j}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{j, i=1,1}^{m, l}\right) \bigcup Z F P\left(\left\langle y_{j}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{j, i=1,1}^{m, l}\right) \subset C
$$

Now we have,

$$
D=C \cap \bigcap_{n \in E_{1}}(-n+C) \cap \bigcap_{n \in E_{2}}\left(n^{-1} C\right)
$$

is in $\mathcal{F}$. Now choose a sequence $\left\langle H_{m+1}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{l}$ such that $\max H_{m}^{(i)}<\min H_{m+1}^{(i)}$ and $y_{m+1}^{(i)}=\sum_{t \in H_{m+1}^{(i)}} x_{t}^{(i)} \in A, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$. Then one can now check this choice of $\left\langle y_{m+1}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{l}$ completes the induction. So we have the result

Let us recall the dynamically $I P^{\star}$-sets. A subset $C$ of $\mathbb{N}$ is dynamical $I P^{\star}$ set iff there exist a measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and an $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)>0$ such that $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} A\right)>0\right\} \subseteq C$. Now, if we cosider $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) \mu(B)>0$ then the $E=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} B\right)>0\right\}$ may not be an $I P^{\star}$-set, even $E$ may be an empty. For example, if we cosider a measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, I)$ where $I$ is identity transformation on $X$. Then for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, with $A \cap B=\emptyset$ we have $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap I^{-n} B\right)\right\}=$ $\emptyset$.

Definition 3.3. A measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ is called mild mixing, if for any $\epsilon>0$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) \mu(B)>0$

$$
\left\{n:\left|\mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} B\right)-\mu(A) \mu(B)\right|<\epsilon\right\}
$$

is an $I P^{\star}$-set.

From the above definition, it is clear that for a mild mixing $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) \mu(B)>0,\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} B\right)>0\right\}$ is an $I P^{\star}$-set

Definition 3.4. Let $C$ be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$, is called $M I P^{\star}$-sets iff there exist a mild mixing system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) \mu(B)>0$ such that $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \mu\left(A \cap T^{-n} B\right)>0\right\} \subseteq C$.

From [6, Proposition 9.23], we get the following:
Lemma 3.5. Product of two mild mixing systems is a mild mixing.
Lemma 3.6. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be a mild mixing system. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T^{m}\right)$ is also a mild mixing system.

Proof. For any $\epsilon>0$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) \mu(B)>0$, we have to show that $\left\{n:\left|\mu\left(A \cap T^{-m n} B\right)-\mu(A) \mu(B)\right|<\epsilon\right\}$ is an $I P^{\star}$-set. Now $E=$ $\left\{n:\left|\mu\left(A \cap T_{n}^{-1} B\right)-\mu(A) \mu(B)\right|<\epsilon\right\}$ is an $I P^{\star}$-set, which implies $m^{-1} E=$ $\left\{n:\left|\mu\left(A \cap T^{-m n} B\right)-\mu(A) \mu(B)\right|<\epsilon\right\}$ and we get the required result as, $m^{-1} E$ is an $I P^{\star}$-set.

Theorem 3.7. The measure preserving dynamical system $(X, B, \mu, T)$ is mild mixing iff for any $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots A_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu\left(A_{0}\right) \mu\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \mu\left(A_{k}\right)>0$ and $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0=e_{0}<e_{1}<\ldots<e_{k}$ any $p \in E(\beta \mathbb{N})$,

$$
p-\lim _{n} \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-n e_{i}} A_{i}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{k} \mu\left(A_{i}\right) .
$$

Proof. Follows from [6, Theorem 9.27, Page-194]
From the above mentioned theorem, it is an immediate consequence that for a mild mixing system $(X, B, \mu, T)$ and for any $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots A_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu\left(A_{0}\right) \mu\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \mu\left(A_{k}\right)>0$, the set $\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A_{i}\right)>0\right\}$ is an $I P^{\star}$ set. From this we define $k$ th order mild mixing $I P^{\star}$-set, abbreviated by $k-M I P^{\star}$-set.

Definition 3.8. Let $C \subset \mathbb{N}$, is called $k$ - $M I P^{\star}$-set iff there exist a mild mixing $\operatorname{system}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots A_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu\left(A_{0}\right) \mu\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \mu\left(A_{k}\right)>0$ such that $\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A_{i}\right)>0\right\} \subseteq C$.

Now we observe some properties of $k$ - $M I P^{\star}$-sets.
Lemma 3.9. Let $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A$ be a $k-M I P^{\star}$-set. Then $m^{-1} A$ is also a $k$-MIP**set.

Proof. As $A$ is $k$ - $M I P^{\star}$-set, there exist a mild mixing system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots A_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu\left(A_{0}\right) \mu\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \mu\left(A_{k}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A_{i}\right)>0\right\} \subseteq A
$$

Now if $E=\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A_{i}\right)>0\right\}$, then,

$$
m^{-1} E=\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i m n} A_{i}\right)>0\right\} \subseteq m^{-1} A
$$

Now $m^{-1} A$ is a $k-M I P^{\star}$-set for $\left(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T^{m}\right)$ being a mild mixing system by Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.10. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A$ and $B$ be $k$-MI $P^{\star}$-sets. Then their intersection $A \cap B$ is also a $k-M I P^{\star}$-set.

Proof. There exist two mild mixing system $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$ and $(X, \mathcal{B}, \nu, S)$ and $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots A_{k} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu\left(A_{0}\right) \mu\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \mu\left(A_{k}\right)>0$ and $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots B_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\nu\left(B_{0}\right) \nu\left(B_{1}\right) \cdots \nu\left(B_{k}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A_{i}\right)>0\right\} \subseteq A \text { and }\left\{n: \nu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} S^{-i n} B_{i}\right)>0\right\} \subseteq B
$$

Now by the lemma 3.5, $(X \times Y, \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \mu \times \nu, T \times S)$ is mild mixing system. Here $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\{A \times B: A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ with $\mu \times \nu(A \times B)=\mu(A) \nu(B), \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu \times \nu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k}(T \times S)^{-i n}\left(A_{i} \times B_{i}\right)\right)>0$ if and only if $\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A_{i}\right)>0$ and $\nu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} S^{-i n} B_{i}\right)>0$. Then

$$
\left\{n: \mu \times \nu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k}(T \times S)^{-i n}\left(A_{i} \times B_{i}\right)\right)>0\right\} \subseteq A \cap B
$$

and $(X \times Y, \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \mu \times \nu, T \times S)$ being a mild mixing system, we have the intersection $A \cap B$ is a $k$ - $M I P^{\star}$-set.

Lemma 3.11. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A$ be a $k$-MIP*-set. Then there exists a $k$-MIP*-set $B$ such that $B \subset A$ with for all $n \in B,-n+B$ is a $k-M I P^{\star}$ set.

Proof. As $A$ is $k$ - $M I P^{\star}$-set, there exist a mild mixing system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots A_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu\left(A_{0}\right) \mu\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \mu\left(A_{k}\right)>0$ such that

$$
B=\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A_{i}\right)>0\right\} \subseteq A .
$$

To see that $B$ is required, let $n \in B$ and $C=\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A_{i}$. We claim that $\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} C\right)>0\right\} \subseteq-n+B$. Let for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i m} C\right)>0$. Now $\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i m} C=\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i m}\left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{k} T^{-j n} A_{j}\right)$, which is a subset of $\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i(n+m)} A_{i}$. Then $m \in-n+B$ as $\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i(n+m)} A_{i}\right)>$ 0 .

Theorem 3.12. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The collection of all $k-M I P^{\star}$-sets forms a ZFSP family.

Proof. Follows from the above lemmas.
In [7], Furstenberg and Katznelson proved the following:
Theorem 3.13. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be a measure preserving system and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)>0$. Then there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
R_{k}=\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A\right)>0\right\} .
$$

is an I $P_{r}^{\star}$-set.
Definition 3.14. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $C$ be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$, is called $k$-RI $P^{\star}$ set iff there exist a measure preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)>0$ such that $\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A\right)>0\right\} \subseteq C$.

Like the family of $k-M I P^{\star}$-sets, we can prove that the collection of $k$-RI $P^{\star}$-sets forms a $Z F S P$ family. So it is a simple consequence that any $k$ - $R I P^{\star}$-set is an $I P^{n \star}$-set and states the following:

Corollary 3.15. Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be a measure preserving system and $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A)>0$. Then

$$
R_{k}=\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A\right)>0\right\}
$$

is an $I P^{n \star}$-set.

For a mild mixing system, we get the following corollary applying Theorem3.12 and Theorem3.2,

Corollary 3.16. Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be a mild mixing. Then $\left\{n: \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k} T^{-i n} A_{i}\right)>0\right\}$ is an IP $P^{n \star}$-set, for any $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots A_{k} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu\left(A_{0}\right) \mu\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \mu\left(A_{k}\right)>0$.
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