

ON DIFFERENCES OF TWO HARMONIC NUMBERS

JECK LIM AND STEFAN STEINERBERGER

ABSTRACT. We prove that the existence of infinitely many $(m_k, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that the difference of harmonic numbers $H_{m_k} - H_{n_k}$ approximates 1 well

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{\ell=n}^{m_k} \frac{1}{\ell} - 1 \right| \cdot n_k^2 = 0.$$

This answers a question of Erdős and Graham. The construction uses asymptotics for harmonic numbers, the precise nature of the continued fraction expansion of e and a suitable rescaling of a subsequence of convergents. We also prove a quantitative rate by appealing to techniques of Heilbronn, Danicic, Harman, Hooley and others regarding $\min_{1 \leq n \leq N} \min_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|n^2 \theta - m\|$.

1. DIFFERENCES OF HARMONIC NUMBERS

1.1. **Introduction.** We are motivated by a problem of Erdős and Graham [6].

Choose $t = t(n)$ to be the least integer such that

$$\varepsilon_n = \sum_{k=n}^t \frac{1}{k} - 1 \geq 0.$$

How small can ε_n be? As far as we know this has not been looked at. It should be true that $\liminf_n n^2 \varepsilon_n = 0$ but perhaps $n^{2+\delta} \varepsilon_n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $\delta > 0$. (P. Erdős and R. Graham [6, p. 41])

The problem is listed as Problem #314 in the list of Erdős problems curated by Bloom [2]. There is a natural heuristic: one has $t = (e + o(1))n$ and by the time the expression exceeds 1, it does so by an amount that is $\leq (1/e + o(1))/n$. However, the actual amount by which we exceed the threshold should be random and so we would expect it to be usually smaller. Assuming ε_n to be a uniformly distributed random variable in the interval $[0, 1/(en)]$ leads to the prediction above.

1.2. **An elementary solution.** We address the first half of the problem.

Theorem 1 (Elementary version). *For any $c > 0$, there exists infinitely many $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with*

$$1 \leq \sum_{\ell=n}^m \frac{1}{\ell} \leq 1 + \frac{c}{n^2}.$$

The construction is fairly concrete: we describe how one can use a suitable subset of convergent fractions coming from the continued fraction expansion of e to turn it into a pairs $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with the desired properties. The construction is elementary, it does not require much beyond basics of the continued fraction expansion and is sufficient to resolve the question of Erdős-Graham.

1.3. A refined solution. There is an ingredient in the construction that is slightly subtle: it deals with the problem of whether a particular real number can be well approximated by rational numbers of the form a/b^2 . The trivial bound leads to Theorem 1. This question was studied by Danicic [5], Harman [9], Heilbronn [10], Hooley [11] and others. Leveraging these techniques, we can say slightly more.

Theorem 2 (Refined version). *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists infinitely many $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with*

$$\left| \sum_{\ell=n}^m \frac{1}{\ell} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^2 (\log n)^{(5/4)-\varepsilon}}.$$

One could further enforce that $\sum_{\ell=n}^m \frac{1}{\ell} > 1$, but we chose not to for simplicity. This proof is non-constructive and relies on the existence of certain rational approximations of suitable form. One interesting aspect of this result is the comparison with the purely random case. If X_n is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1/n]$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} \left(X_n \leq \frac{1}{n^2 (\log n)^{1+\delta}} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n (\log n)^{1+\delta}} < \infty.$$

The random heuristic would predict the existence of a finite number of solutions and starts being inaccurate at that scale of resolution.

Regarding the second part of the question, as to whether $n^{2+\delta} \varepsilon_n \rightarrow \infty$, our arguments may be helpful in suggesting why one might expect this to be the case: the first part of our argument shows that any $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ indexing a case where $H_m - H_n$ gets very close to 1 has to be connected to convergents p/q coming from the continued fraction expansion of e in a very explicit way: $(2m+1)/(2n-1) = p_k/q_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The numerator and denominator of convergents grows exponentially and one would expect the approximation quality to be polynomial in k which predicts logarithmic approximation rates of the type shown above. Such logarithmic rates would then suggest that $n^{2+\delta} \varepsilon_n \rightarrow \infty$. However, ruling out an exceptional, very sparse subsequence with atypically good approximation properties would require entirely new arguments.

1.4. Related results. We observe that summing over parts of the harmonic series has a long history (though these results all appear to be of a somewhat different flavor). A classic 1915 result of Theisinger [18] is that the sum $\sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$ can never be an integer. This result has since been extended to many other settings, we refer to Nagell [14], Erdős-Niven [7, 8] and, more recently, Chen-Tang [4], Schinzel [17] and Wang-Hong [19] among others.

2. PROOFS

2.1. Outline. The argument comes in three parts. The first two parts are fairly general and work for an arbitrary $x > 0$ (instead of only $x = 1$). The third part requires additional knowledge and that is where we are going to set $x = 1$. For now, let us fix some $x > 0$ and let us try to understand what would be required for

$$\left| \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k} - x \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n^2}$$

to have an infinite number of solutions. Since we are only interested in asymptotic results at scale $\sim n^{-2}$, the asymptotic expansion (see, for example, [12])

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} = \log n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{12n^2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-4})$$

contains all the relevant information. Abbreviating

$$f(n) = \log n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{12n^2},$$

the three steps of the proof are as follows.

- (1) *Asymptotics.* Given an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, what can we say about the real numbers $m \in \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$|f(m) - f(n-1) - x| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n^2} \quad ?$$

Since there is a precise asymptotic expansion these real numbers m can be described accurately in terms of n .

- (2) *Rational Approximation.* In order for the expression $f(m) - f(n-1)$ to actually correspond to the difference of two harmonic numbers, we need m to be an integer. The question is thus whether any of the real numbers from the first part can ever end up being close enough to an integer to only cause a small additional error. Solutions of this problems happen to be related to convergents of the continued fraction expansion of e^x .
- (3) *Continued Fractions.* We use properties of continued fractions to get explicit constructions of such solutions: a result of Legendre implies that solutions of the relevant diophantine equation have to come from the continued fraction expansion: this suggests a natural one-parameter family of candidate solutions that, we show, contains suitable solutions.

2.2. Part 1. Asymptotics. Let $x > 0$ be an arbitrary positive real. We think of x as fixed and allow for all subsequent constants to depend on x . A trivial estimate

$$\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k} \sim \int_n^m \frac{dx}{x} = \log\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$$

shows that we will need $m = (1 + o(1)) \cdot e^x \cdot n$ and this is correct up to leading order. Moreover, since, for $s = o(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(e^x n + s) - f(n-1) &= \log(e^x n + s) - \log(n-1) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \\ &= x + \frac{s}{e^x n} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant in $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$ is independent of n . In order for the error to be small, one requires $m = e^x n + s$ with $|s| \leq c = \mathcal{O}(1)$. We shall now consecutively refine this and start with the first correction term at scale n^{-1} . The asymptotic expansion implies that, expanding now beyond the logarithmic and constant term

to also include the term of order n^{-1} ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(e^x n + s) - f(n - 1) &= \log(e^x n + s) - \log(n - 1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(e^x n + s)} - \frac{1}{2n - 2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}) \\ &= x + \frac{e^{-x}(1 + e^x + 2s)}{2n} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

We note that the error $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ is uniform in the range $|s| \leq c$ which is the only relevant range since otherwise the leading order term \log is already mismatched. In order for the distance to x to be of order $\sim n^{-2}$, we require the first-order term to be at scale $\sim n^{-2}$. Moreover, in order for that distance to be $\sim \varepsilon/n^2$, we need the first-order term to be such that it matches and mostly cancels the other remaining $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ error term. Since the implicit constant in the $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ term is uniformly bounded, we deduce that in order for the error to be $\sim n^{-2}$, we require

$$s = -\frac{1 + e^x}{2} + \frac{y}{n} \quad \text{for some } y \in \mathbb{R}$$

where y is constrained to lie in a compact interval (whose size could be bounded in terms of the implicit constant in the remaining error term). We now return to the expression $f(e^x n + s) - f(n - 1)$ with s being of this form, expand it up to second order. After some computation,

$$f\left(e^x n - \frac{1 + e^x}{2} + \frac{y}{n}\right) - f(n - 1) = x + \frac{24e^{-x}y + e^{-2x} - 1}{24} \frac{1}{n^2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3}).$$

This shows that the only way the approximation can be as good as $o(n^2)$ is if y is within distance $o(1)$ of

$$y^* = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{24} = \frac{\sinh x}{12}.$$

The expression also shows that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left| f\left(e^x n - \frac{1 + e^x}{2} + \frac{y}{n}\right) - f(n - 1) - x \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n^2}$$

holds if $|y - y^*| \leq e^x \varepsilon / 2$. If there is an infinite sequence of integers $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$e^x n_k - \frac{1 + e^x}{2} + \frac{y_k}{n_k} \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = y^*,$$

then there is an infinite number of approximations for which the distance between the harmonic number and x is $o(n^{-2})$.

2.3. Part 2. Rational Approximation. The remaining question is whether there are infinitely many integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$e^x n - \frac{1 + e^x}{2} + \frac{y}{n} = m \in \mathbb{N}$$

with $y \in \mathbb{R}$ close to $y^* = \sinh x / 12$.

Lemma 1. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$e^x n - \frac{1 + e^x}{2} + \frac{y}{n} = m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then either $|y| \geq 1/8$ or $(2m + 1)/(2n - 1) \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a convergent coming from the continued fraction expansion of e^x .

This result will be used as follows: one is only interested in the cases where y is close to y^* . The relevant question is thus when

$$y^* = \frac{\sinh x}{12} < \frac{1}{8} \quad \text{which requires} \quad x < \log \left(\frac{3 + \sqrt{13}}{2} \right) \sim 1.1947 \dots$$

As long as this restriction on x is satisfied, any such solution

- (1) either has $|y| \geq 1/8$ and $|y - y^*|$ is uniformly bounded from below
- (2) or $(2m + 1)/(2n - 1)$ has to be a of a very peculiar form.

In the first case, no approximation better than $\geq c \cdot n^{-2}$ is possible.

Proof of the Lemma. The equation

$$m = e^x n - \frac{1 + e^x}{2} + \frac{y}{n}$$

can be rewritten as

$$e^x = \frac{2m + 1}{2n - 1} - \frac{2y}{n(2n - 1)}$$

and thus

$$\left| e^x - \frac{2m + 1}{2n - 1} \right| = \frac{4|y|}{2n(2n - 1)} < \frac{1}{2} \frac{8|y|}{(2n - 1)^2}.$$

We invoke a classical result of Legendre (see, for example, [3, Theorem 1.8]) stating that if $\alpha > 0$ is real and p, q are positive integers such that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{q^2},$$

then p/q is a convergent derived from the continued fraction of α . Hence, if $|y| \leq 1/8$, any such solution must come from the continued fraction expansion of e^x . \square

2.4. Convergents for e . We now focus on the case of $x = 1$ and the case of convergents that approximate e . Some of the arguments may generalize to cases of x such that one has enough information about the continued fraction expansion of e^x . Some examples are

$$e^{1/2} = [1; 1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 13, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 21, 1, 1, \dots]$$

$$e^{1/3} = [1; 2, 1, 1, 8, 1, 1, 14, 1, 1, 20, 1, 1, 26, 1, 1, 32, 1, 1, \dots]$$

$$e^{1/4} = [1; 3, 1, 1, 11, 1, 1, 19, 1, 1, 27, 1, 1, 35, 1, 1, 43, 1, 1, \dots]$$

and, more generally, (see Osler [16]) the identity

$$e^{1/k} = \overline{[1, (k - 1) + 2km, 1]},$$

where the bar refers to periodic repetition and m runs from 0 to ∞ . A similar identity for $e^{2/(2n+1)}$ is given by Olds [15]. We focus on

$$e = [2; 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, 1, 1, 8, 1, 1, \dots]$$

This gives rise to a sequence $(p_k/q_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of convergent fractions

$$\frac{2}{1}, \frac{3}{1}, \frac{8}{3}, \frac{11}{4}, \frac{19}{7}, \frac{87}{32}, \frac{106}{39}, \frac{193}{71}, \dots$$

We will use the subsequence p_{3k+2}/q_{3k+2}

$$\frac{3}{1}, \frac{19}{7}, \frac{193}{71}, \frac{2721}{1001}, \dots$$

which has a number of useful properties.

Lemma 2. *For the subsequence of convergents p_{3k+2}/q_{3k+2} , we have that*

- (1) p_{3k+2} and q_{3k+2} are odd
- (2) and, for some $r_{3k+2} > 0$

$$e - \frac{p_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+2}} = (-1)^{k+1} \cdot \frac{r_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+2}^2}$$

- (3) and, moreover, $\frac{1}{2k+4} \leq r_{3k+2} \leq \frac{1}{2k+2}$.

Proof. The subsequence p_{3k+2}/q_{3k+2} corresponds to taking three consecutive continued fraction expansion coefficients of the form $(2\ell, 1, 1)$. For the first claim, we prove more generally by induction that

- (1) p_{6k+i} is odd for $i \in \{2, 4, 5, 6\}$ and is even for $i \in \{1, 3\}$
- (2) and, q_{6k+i} is odd for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$ and is even for $i \in \{4, 6\}$.

This is easily seen from the recurrence

$$p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} \quad \text{as well as} \quad q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2},$$

and the fact that a_{3k+3} is even while a_{3k+1}, a_{3k+2} are odd. The second part of the Lemma is a more general fact for convergents. More precisely, one has

$$\frac{p_k}{q_k} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} = \frac{(-1)^k}{q_k q_{k-1}}$$

and thus, by telescoping

$$e = 2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{q_k q_{k+1}}.$$

Hence, convergents alternately over- and underestimate the number they are approximating. The subsequence p_{3k+2}/q_{3k+2} corresponds to step sizes of length 3 and since 3 is odd, this alternating property remains preserved. As for the third property, we recall the general inequality (see, for example, Bugeaud [3])

$$\frac{1}{q_k(q_{k+1} + q_k)} < \left| e - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| < \frac{1}{q_k q_{k+1}}$$

from which we derive

$$\left| e - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| q_k^2 < \frac{q_k}{q_{k+1}} = \frac{q_k}{a_{k+1} q_k + q_{k-1}} \leq \frac{1}{a_{k+1}}.$$

In our case, we have $a_{3k+3} = 2k + 2$ and the desired inequality follows. The lower bound also follows similarly. \square

2.5. Proof of the Theorem. Using that p_{3k+2}, q_{3k+2} are odd, we can now, for every $d \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$, find a $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$2m + 1 = d \cdot p_{3k+2} \quad \text{and} \quad 2n - 1 = d \cdot q_{3k+2}.$$

Then, plugging in, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \frac{p_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+2}} + (-1)^{k+1} \cdot \frac{r_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+2}^2} \\ &= \frac{2m+1}{2n-1} + (-1)^{k+1} \cdot \frac{r_{3k+2} \cdot d^2}{(2n-1)^2} \\ &= \frac{2m+1}{2n-1} + (-1)^{k+1} \cdot \frac{r_{3k+2} \cdot d^2}{n(2n-1)} \frac{n}{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The question is thus whether we can find a suitable choice k, d such that

$$(-1)^{k+1} \cdot r_{3k+2} \cdot d^2 \cdot \frac{n}{2n-1} \quad \text{can get close to} \quad -2y^* = -\frac{\sinh(1)}{6}.$$

The sign forces k to be even, the factor $n/(2n-1)$ converges to $1/2$ contributes only lower order effects.

Lemma 3. *Let $k \in 2\mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large. There exists $d \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{100\sqrt{k}} \leq r_{3k+2} \cdot d^2 \cdot \frac{n}{2n-1} - \frac{\sinh(1)}{6} \leq \frac{100}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

Proof. If we were allowed to choose real numbers, we could choose

$$d^* = \left(\frac{2n-1}{n} \frac{1}{r_{3k+2}} \frac{\sinh(1)}{6} \right)^{1/2} \approx \left(\frac{0.097}{r_{3k+2}} \right)^{1/2}$$

to force the expression to be 0. This expression grows roughly at the rate of $d^* \sim \sqrt{k}$. However, we are forced to choose d to be an odd integer: picking d to be the closest odd integer to $d^* + 2$, we have $d^* + 1 \leq d \leq d^* + 3$ so that

$$\frac{1}{2} d^* r_{3k+2} \leq r_{3k+2} \cdot d^2 \cdot \frac{n}{2n-1} - \frac{\sinh(1)}{6} \leq 7d^* r_{3k+2}.$$

Using the estimate $\frac{1}{2k+4} \leq r_{3k+2} \leq \frac{1}{2k+2}$, the result follows. \square

2.6. Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. The previous Lemma shows that we can find suitable parameters for the relevant quantity to get arbitrarily close to $-2y^*$. The argument comes with the quantitative rate $1/(200\sqrt{k}) \leq y - y^* \leq 50/\sqrt{k}$ and shows that solutions that are so constructed, satisfy $m_k \sim \sqrt{k} \cdot p_{3k+2}$ and $n_k \sim \sqrt{k} \cdot q_{3k+2}$ and satisfy

$$\frac{1}{1000n_k^2\sqrt{k}} \leq f(m_k) - f(n_k - 1) - 1 \leq \frac{1000}{n_k^2\sqrt{k}}.$$

The difference between $f(m_k) - f(n_k - 1)$ and $H_{m_k} - H_{n_k - 1}$ is $\mathcal{O}(1/n_k^4)$, negligible compared to $1/(n_k^2\sqrt{k})$, so we have

$$1 \leq \sum_{\ell=n_k}^{m_k} \frac{1}{\ell} \leq 1 + \frac{1001}{\sqrt{k}} \frac{1}{n_k^2}.$$

\square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

The previous section derived approximation bounds from each continued fraction expansion convergent p_{3k+2}/q_{3k+2} : for each such convergent, we identified a suitable $d \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$ with a uniform bound. One might be inclined to believe that some values of k should give rise to better values of d : instead of fixing k and finding d , we will interpret it as a diophantine problem jointly in (d, k) .

3.1. Sharper estimates. We first give a slightly sharper estimate for r_{3k+2} .

Lemma 4. *We have*

$$r_{3k+2}^{-1} = 2k + 3 + \mathcal{O}(1/k).$$

Proof. From the continued fraction expansion, we have

$$e = \frac{p_{3k+1} + w_k p_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+1} + w_k q_{3k+2}},$$

where $w_k = [2k + 2; 1, 1, 2k + 4, 1, 1, 2k + 6, \dots]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e - \frac{p_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+2}} \right| &= \left| \frac{p_{3k+1} + w_k p_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+1} + w_k q_{3k+2}} - \frac{p_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+2}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{q_{3k+2}(q_{3k+1} + w_k q_{3k+2})} = \frac{r_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+2}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_k = q_{3k+1}/q_{3k+2}$, then $0 < c_k < 1$ and $r_{3k+2}^{-1} = c_k + w_k$. From the recurrence relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{3k+3} &= (2k + 2)q_{3k+2} + q_{3k+1} = (2k + 2 + c_k)q_{3k+2} \\ q_{3k+4} &= (2k + 3 + c_k)q_{3k+2} \\ q_{3k+5} &= (4k + 5 + 2c_k)q_{3k+2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$c_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(4k + 5 + 2c_k)} = \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(1/k),$$

and we also have $c_k = 1/2 + \mathcal{O}(1/k)$. Moreover,

$$w_k = 2k + 2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{k}}} = 2k + \frac{5}{2} + \mathcal{O}(1/k).$$

Therefore, $r_{3k+2}^{-1} = 2k + 3 + \mathcal{O}(1/k)$. □

In particular, we have now a fairly precise control on the approximation rate

$$\left| e - \frac{p_{3k+2}}{q_{3k+2}} \right| = \frac{1}{q_{3k+2}^2} \frac{1}{2k + 3 + \mathcal{O}(1/k)}.$$

The next step of the argument consists in finding good approximations of a rational number p/q by rational numbers of the form m/n^2 .

3.2. Counting Solutions. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, write $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$ and $\|x\|$ to be the distance from x to the nearest integer. We will make use of a specific form of the Erdős-Turán theorem to turn the number of approximate solutions into an estimate involving exponential sums. The specific of Erdős-Turán that we use can be found in the book of Montgomery [13].

Lemma 5 (Erdős-Turán, [13]). *Let x_1, \dots, x_N be real, let $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{T} \cong [0, 1]$ be an interval of length $\delta = b - a < 1$. For any $L \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$|\#\{1 \leq n \leq N : x_n \in I \pmod{1}\} - N\delta| \leq \frac{N}{L+1} + E,$$

where

$$E = 2 \sum_{m=1}^L \left(\frac{1}{L+1} + \min(b-a, \frac{1}{\pi m}) \right) \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e(mx_n) \right|.$$

We will use the more compact version that does not distinguish between m small and m large and bound the error uniformly by

$$E \leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{L+1} + \delta \right) \sum_{m=1}^L \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e(mx_n) \right|.$$

We sometimes abbreviate the exponential sum as $S_m = \sum_{n=1}^N e(mx_n)$. Erdős-Turán then implies the following Lemma (see [1, Chapter 3]).

Lemma 6. *Let $q \geq 1$ and p be coprime to q . Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for $\eta > 0$, $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, $N \geq 1$, the number of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with*

$$\left\| \frac{pn^2}{q} - r \right\| < \delta, \quad n \leq N, \quad n \equiv a \pmod{b} \quad (1)$$

is

$$\frac{2N\delta}{b} (1 + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\eta})) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{N^{1+2\eta}}{\delta^\eta} \left(\frac{\log q}{N} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{q\delta \log q}{N^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Here the implied constants depend only on b, η .

Proof. We use the interval $I = [-\delta, \delta]$ and consider the set of points

$$y_n = \frac{pn^2}{q} - r$$

for $n = 1, \dots, N$. We let $\eta > 0$ be arbitrary and set $L = N^\eta/\delta$. The set of points x_i will be exactly all y_n with $n \equiv a \pmod{b}$. The cardinality of the set will be approximately $N/b + \mathcal{O}(1)$. Then, with Erdős-Turán, we have that the number of solutions to (1) satisfies

$$\left| \text{solutions} - \frac{2\delta N}{b} \right| \leq \frac{N}{L} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{L+1} + \delta \right) \sum_{m=1}^L |S_m| \leq \delta \left(N^{1-\eta} + 3 \sum_{m=1}^L |S_m| \right).$$

Recall that $S_m = \sum_{n=1}^N e(mx_n)$, then

$$|S_m|^2 = \sum_{n_1, n_2} e(m(x_{n_1} - x_{n_2})) = \sum_{n_1, n_2} e \left(\frac{mp}{q} (n_1^2 - n_2^2) \right) = \sum_{u, v} e \left(\frac{mp}{q} uv \right),$$

where $u = n_1 + n_2$, $v = n_1 - n_2$, and the sum is over an appropriate set of pairs (u, v) . Note that u, v each assume less than $2N$ possible values. Recalling the restriction

$n \equiv a \pmod{b}$ we see that $n_1 = k_1b + a$ and $n_2 = k_2b + a$ and the variables k_1, k_2 range over $0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq N/b + \mathcal{O}(1)$. We will use the triangle inequality once more

$$\left| \sum_{u,v} e\left(\frac{mp}{q}uv\right) \right| \leq \sum_v \left| \sum_u e\left(\frac{mp}{q}uv\right) \right|,$$

where the values attained by u in the inner sum depend on the value of v in the outer sum. Fixing one particular value of v ,

$$v = n_1 - n_2 = b(k_1 - k_2),$$

forces k_1 and k_2 to be a fixed difference, say $d = v/b$, apart. Then the admissible values of u are

$$u = n_1 + n_2 = (k_1 + k_2)b + 2a = 2k_1b + 2a + d$$

and thus is an arithmetic progression of length $\leq n/b + \mathcal{O}(1)$ and common difference $2b$. Exponential sums over arithmetic progressions are really exponential sums of the form $\sum_{x=U+1}^{U+V} e(\gamma x)$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. The two easy estimates are

$$\left| \sum_{x=U+1}^{U+V} e(\gamma x) \right| \leq V \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \sum_{x=U+1}^{U+V} e(\gamma x) \right| \leq \frac{2}{\|\gamma\|},$$

where the second estimate follows from explicitly computing and bounding the geometric series. Applying this, we have (treating $v = 0$ and $v \neq 0$ separately)

$$\begin{aligned} |S_m|^2 &\leq \sum_{v=-N}^N \left| \sum_u e\left(\frac{mpv}{q}u\right) \right| \leq N + 4 \sum_{v=1}^N \min\left(N, \left\| \frac{mpv}{q}2b \right\|^{-1}\right) \\ &\leq 5 \sum_{v=1}^N \min\left(N, \left\| \frac{mpv}{q}2b \right\|^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\sum_{m=1}^L |S_m| \leq \sqrt{L \sum_{m=1}^L |S_m|^2} \leq \sqrt{5L \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{v=1}^N \min\left(N, \left\| \frac{2bmpv}{q} \right\|^{-1}\right)}.$$

At this point, we note that the terms $1 \leq m \leq L$ and $1 \leq v \leq N$ appear only as a product mv . This suggests replacing the two sums by a sum $1 \leq z = mv \leq LN$. We note that each fixed integer $z = mv$ can arise in possibly more than one way (meaning through various combinations of different m and v). An easy upper bound is the number of divisors $d(z)$ of z : using a bound, valid for every $\varepsilon > 0$ with a sufficiently large constant c_ε ,

$$\max_{1 \leq z \leq LN} d(z) \leq c_\varepsilon (NL)^\varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{v=1}^N \min\left(N, \left\| \frac{2bmpv}{q} \right\|^{-1}\right) \leq c_\varepsilon (NL)^\varepsilon \sum_{z=1}^{LN} \min\left(N, \left\| \frac{2bpz}{q} \right\|^{-1}\right)$$

Now let $2bp/q = p'/q'$ be its simplest form, so that $q/(2b) \leq q' \leq q$. Then

$$\sum_{z=1}^{q'} \min\left(N, \left\| \frac{p'z}{q'} \right\|^{-1}\right) \leq N + \sum_{k=1}^{q'-1} \frac{q'}{k} \leq N + q' \log q'.$$

Thus by summing in groups of q' , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z=1}^{LN} \min \left(N, \left\| \frac{2pz}{q} \right\|^{-1} \right) &\leq (N + q \log q) \left(\frac{NL}{q'} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq 4b \left(\frac{N^2 L}{q} + NL \log q + q \log q \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, choosing $\varepsilon = \eta/100$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \sum_{m=1}^L |S_m| &\leq 20b\delta c_\varepsilon (NL)^\varepsilon \left(\frac{N^2 L^2}{q} + NL^2 \log q + qL \log q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c_{\eta,b} \frac{N^{1+2\eta}}{\delta^\eta} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\log q}{N} + \frac{q\delta \log q}{N^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 7. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ be irrational. Let a, a', b, b' be integers with $b, b' > 0$. Then there are infinitely many pairs of integers $m, n > 0$ such that $n \equiv a \pmod{b}$ and $m \equiv a' \pmod{b'}$ and*

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{m}{n^2} \right| < \frac{1}{n^{5/2-\varepsilon}}.$$

Proof. Let p/q be a convergent from the continued fraction expansion of α . Then

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^2}.$$

The remainder of the argument is concerned with approximating p/q by a rational number of the form m/n^2 and for this we use Lemma 6. We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ as well as $\eta = \varepsilon/4$ and choose N such that $q^2 = N^{5/2-\varepsilon}$ and set $\delta = N^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 6, the number of $n \leq N$ with $n \equiv a \pmod{b}$ satisfying

$$\left\| \frac{pn^2}{qb'} - \frac{a'}{b'} \right\| < \frac{\delta}{b'}, \quad (2)$$

is $2N^{1/2+\varepsilon}/bb' + O(N^{1/2+\varepsilon/2})$ which implies the existence of at least one such solution once q (and thus N) is sufficiently large. In particular, it has arbitrarily many solutions as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Let m' be the integer closest to $pn^2/(qb') - a'/b'$ and $m = a' + b'm'$. Then

$$\left| \frac{pn^2}{q} - m \right| < \delta.$$

Since $|\alpha - p/q| < 1/q^2$, we have

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{m}{n^2} \right| \leq \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| + \left| \frac{p}{q} - \frac{m}{n^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^2} + \frac{\delta}{n^2} \leq \frac{2}{n^{5/2-\varepsilon}}.$$

□

3.3. Proof of Theorem 2. The proof of the main result uses Lemma 7 applied to the number $\alpha = 3/\sinh(1)$. This requires us to argue that $\sinh(1)$, or equivalently, $2\sinh(1) = e - e^{-1}$ is irrational. If $e - 1/e = p/q$, then e would be a root of the polynomial $p(x) = qx^2 - px - q$ which contradicts e being transcendental.

Lemma 8. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists infinitely many pairs (k, d) with k even and d odd such that*

$$\left| r_{3k+2} \cdot d^2 \cdot \frac{n}{2n-1} - \frac{\sinh(1)}{6} \right| < \frac{1}{k^{5/4-\varepsilon}}.$$

Proof. Recall that $2n-1 = d \cdot q_{3k+2}$ and q_{3k+2} grows slightly faster than exponentially in k . Moreover, $r_{3k+2} \sim 1/k$ and thus $d \sim \sqrt{k}$. Thus

$$\left| r_{3k+2} \cdot d^2 \cdot \frac{n}{2n-1} - r_{3k+2} \cdot d^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{100}{n} \ll \frac{1}{k^{10}}.$$

We can thus simplify the problem by instead asking for an approximation where $n/(2n-1)$ has been replaced by $1/2$. Since $d^2 \sim k$, we wish to find (k, d) with

$$\left| r_{3k+2} \cdot d^2 - \frac{\sinh(1)}{3} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{d^{5/2-\varepsilon}}.$$

By Lemma 7, we can find infinitely many pairs (k', d) with $k' \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and d odd satisfying

$$\left| \frac{3}{\sinh(1)} - \frac{k'}{d^2} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{d^{5/2-\varepsilon}}.$$

Let k be the even number so that $2k+3 = k'$. Then the above is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{d^2}{2k+3} - \frac{\sinh(1)}{3} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{d^{5/2-\varepsilon}}.$$

Finally, let $r_{3k+2}^{-1} = 2k+3 + \varepsilon_2$ with $\varepsilon_2 = \mathcal{O}(1/k)$. Then

$$\left| r_{3k+2} d^2 - \frac{d^2}{2k+3} \right| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d^2 \varepsilon_2}{k^2}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{d^3}\right)$$

and then, via triangle inequality,

$$\left| r_{3k+2} d^2 - \frac{\sinh(1)}{3} \right| \leq \left| r_{3k+2} d^2 - \frac{d^2}{2k+3} \right| + \left| \frac{d^2}{2k+3} - \frac{\sinh(1)}{3} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{d^{5/2-\varepsilon}}.$$

□

Since $\log q_k \sim k \log k$, this leads to the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{\ell=n_k}^{m_k} \frac{1}{\ell} - 1 \right| \cdot n_k^2 \leq \frac{c}{\log^{5/4-\varepsilon} n_k}.$$

Acknowledgment. JL was partially supported by an NUS Overseas Graduate Scholarship. SS was partially supported by the NSF (DMS-212322) and is grateful for discussions with Vjeko Kovac.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. Baker, Diophantine inequalities, London Math. Soc. Monographs N.S.I, Oxford Science Publications (1986).
- [2] T. Bloom, [www.ERDOSPROBLEMS.COM](http://www.erdosproblems.com), March 2024.
- [3] Y. Bugeaud, Approximation by algebraic numbers, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [4] Y. Chen and M. Tang, On the Elementary Symmetric Functions of $1, 1/2, \dots, 1/n$. The American Mathematical Monthly, 119 (2012), p.862–867.
- [5] I. Danicic, An extension of a theorem of Heilbronn, Mathematika 5 (1958), 30-37.
- [6] P. Erdős and R. Graham, Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory. Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathematique, Université de Genève, 1980.
- [7] P. Erdős and I. Niven, On certain variations of the harmonic series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), 433–436
- [8] P. Erdős and I. Niven, Some properties of partial sums of the harmonic series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), 248–251.
- [9] G. Harman, A problem of Hooley in Diophantine approximation, Glasgow Mathematical Journal, vol. 38, no. 3 (1996), pp. 299–308.
- [10] H. A. Heilbronn, On the distribution of the sequence $\theta n^2 \pmod{1}$, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2, 19 (1948), 249-256.
- [11] C. Hooley, On the location of the roots of polynomial congruences, Glasgow Math. J. 32 (1990), 309–316.
- [12] G. Jameson, Euler-Maclaurin, harmonic sums and Stirling's formula. The Mathematical Gazette, 99 (2015), 75-89.
- [13] H. Montgomery, Ten lectures on the interface between analytic number theory and harmonic analysis (No. 84), American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [14] T. Nagell, Eine Eigenschaft gewisser Summen. Skr. Norske Vid. Akad. Kristiania 13 (1923), p. 10–15.
- [15] C. Olds, The Simple Continued Fraction Expansion of e , The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 77, No. 9 (Nov., 1970), pp. 968-974 (7 pages)
- [16] T. Osler, A Proof of the Continued Fraction Expansion of $e^{1/M}$, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 113, No. 1 (Jan., 2006), pp. 62-66
- [17] A. Schinzel, Extensions of Three Theorems of Nagell, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 61 (2013), 195-200.
- [18] L. Theisinger, Bemerkung über die harmonische Reihe, Monatsh. Math. 26 (1915), 132-134.
- [19] C. Wang and S. Hong, On the integrality of the elementary symmetric functions of $1, 1/3, \dots, 1/(2n - 1)$. Mathematica Slovaca, 65(5), p. 957-962.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALTECH, PASADENA, CA 91125, USA
Email address: jlim@caltech.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195, USA
Email address: steinerb@uw.edu