

Transposed Poisson structures on Witt-type algebras *

Ivan Kaygorodov[†], Abror Khudoyberdiyev[‡] & Zarina Shermatova[§]

Abstract. We compute $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations on the deformed Schrödinger-Witt [¶] algebra, on not-finitely graded Witt algebras $W_n(G)$, and on not-finitely graded Heisenberg-Witt algebra $HW_n(G)$. We classify all transposed Poisson structures on such algebras.

Keywords: Lie algebra; transposed Poisson algebra; $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation.

MSC2020: 17A30, 17B40, 17B63.

INTRODUCTION

Since their origin in the 1970s in Poisson geometry, Poisson algebras have appeared in several areas of mathematics and physics, such as algebraic geometry, operads, quantization theory, quantum groups, and classical and quantum mechanics. One of the natural tasks in the theory of Poisson algebras is the description of all such algebras with a fixed Lie or associative part. Recently, Bai, Bai, Guo, and Wu have introduced a dual notion of the Poisson algebra [2], called a transposed Poisson algebra, by exchanging the roles of the two multiplications in the Leibniz rule defining a Poisson algebra. A transposed Poisson algebra defined this way not only shares some properties of a Poisson algebra, such as the closedness under tensor products and the Koszul self-duality as an operad but also admits a rich class of identities [2, 7, 18, 22]. It is important to note that a transposed Poisson algebra naturally arises from a Novikov-Poisson algebra by taking the commutator Lie algebra of its Novikov part [2]. Any unital transposed Poisson algebra is a particular case of a “contact bracket” algebra and a quasi-Poisson algebra. Each transposed Poisson algebra is a commutative Gelfand-Dorfman algebra [22] and it is also an algebra of Jordan brackets [7]. Transposed Poisson algebras are related to weak Leibniz algebras [5]. In a recent paper by Ferreira, Kaygorodov, Lopatkin a relation between $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of Lie algebras and transposed Poisson algebras has been established [8]. These ideas were used to describe all transposed Poisson structures on Witt and Virasoro algebras in [8]; on twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro, Schrödinger-Virasoro and extended Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras in [29]; on

*The first part of work is supported by FCT UIDB/00212/2020, UIDP/00212/2020, and 2022.02474.PTDC. The second part of this work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 22-71-10001.

[†]CMA-UBI, Universidade da Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal; Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, Russia; Saint Petersburg University, Russia; kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com

[‡]V.I.Romanovskiy Institute of Mathematics Academy of Science of Uzbekistan; National University of Uzbekistan; khabror@mail.ru

[§]V.I.Romanovskiy Institute of Mathematics Academy of Science of Uzbekistan; Kimyo International University in Tashkent, Uzbekistan; z.shermatova@mathinst.uz

[¶]We refer the notion of “Witt” algebra to the simple Witt algebra and the notion of “Virasoro” algebra to the central extension of the simple Witt algebra.

Schrödinger algebra in $(n + 1)$ -dimensional space-time in [27]; on solvable Lie algebra with filiform nilradical in [1]; on oscillator Lie algebras in [17]; on Witt type Lie algebras in [15]; on generalized Witt algebras in [16]; Block Lie algebras in [14, 16] and on Lie incidence algebras (for all references, see the survey [13]).

The description of transposed Poisson structures obtained on the Witt algebra [8] opens the question of finding algebras related to the Witt algebra which admit nontrivial transposed Poisson structures. So, some algebras related to Witt algebra are studied in [14–16]. The present paper is a continuation of this research. Specifically, we describe transposed Poisson structures on the deformative Schrödinger-Witt algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b, s)$, algebras $W_n(G)$ and algebras $HW_n(G)$. The algebras $\mathcal{W}(a, b, s)$ contain a subalgebra isomorphic to the well-known W -algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$. The algebra $\mathcal{W}(0, 0, 0)$ was introduced in [10] and later it was generalized in [21]. Their structures have been widely studied in [11, 12, 21, 24]. Namely, derivations and automorphism group of $\mathcal{W}(a, b, s)$ are described in [12, 24]; all biderivations and commutative post-Lie algebra structures on $\mathcal{W}(a, b, s)$ are obtained in [11]; graded post-Lie algebra structures and homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on $\mathcal{W}(0, 0, 0)$ are given in [25] and so on. The algebra $W_{-1}(G)$ was independently introduced by Passman [20] and Xu [26]. It naturally appeared in the theory of integrable systems in [19]. All one-dimensional central extensions of $W_{-1}(G)$ are obtained in [23]. Derivations of $W_{-1}(G)$ with coefficients in tensor modules were found in [9]. The algebra $W_1(G)$ firstly was defined in [4]. Derivations, automorphisms, one-dimensional extensions, and the second cohomology group of $W_1(G)$ were defined also in [4]. Lie bialgebras structure on $W_1(G)$ was described in [3]. The algebra $W_0(G)$ is an algebra of Witt type defined in [28]. We introduce the most general concept generalizing of yearly considered algebras $W_1(G)$, $W_0(G)$ and $W_{-1}(G)$. Namely, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define an algebra $W_n(G)$ and studying its properties. The algebra $HW_{-1}(G)$ was firstly introduced in [6], and $HW_{-1}(G)$ is constructed as the direct sum of $W_{-1}(G)$ and a module over $W_{-1}(G)$. Similarly, we define and study of algebras $HW_n(G)$ for an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Summarizing, we prove that algebras $\mathcal{W}(a, b, s)$ admit nontrivial transposed Poisson structures only for $b = -1$; algebras $W_n(G)$ admit nontrivial transposed Poisson structures and algebras $HW_n(G)$ do not admit nontrivial transposed Poisson structures.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some definitions and known results for studying transposed Poisson structures. Although all algebras and vector spaces are considered over the complex field, many results can be proven over other fields without modifications of proofs. The notation $\langle S \rangle$ means the \mathbb{C} -subspace generated by S .

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{L} be a vector space equipped with two nonzero bilinear operations \cdot and $[\cdot, \cdot]$. The triple $(\mathcal{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is called a transposed Poisson algebra if (\mathcal{L}, \cdot) is a commutative associative algebra and $(\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra that satisfies the following compatibility condition

$$2z \cdot [x, y] = [z \cdot x, y] + [x, z \cdot y].$$

Definition 2. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebra. A transposed Poisson structure on $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a commutative associative multiplication \cdot in \mathfrak{L} which makes $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ a transposed Poisson algebra.

Definition 3. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebra, $\varphi : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ be a linear map. Then φ is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation if it satisfies

$$\varphi([x, y]) = \frac{1}{2}([\varphi(x), y] + [x, \varphi(y)]).$$

Observe that $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations are a particular case of δ -derivations introduced by Filippov in 1998 (for references about the study of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations, see [13]) and recently the notion of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of algebras was generalized to $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations from an algebra to a module [30]. The main example of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations is the multiplication by an element from the ground field. Let us call such $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations as trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations. It is easy to see that $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$ and $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{L})$ are invariant under any $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathfrak{L} .

Let G be an abelian group, $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathfrak{L}_g$ be a G -graded Lie algebra. We say that a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ has degree g (and denoted by $\deg(\varphi)$) if $\varphi(\mathfrak{L}_h) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_{g+h}$. Let $\Delta(\mathfrak{L})$ denote the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations and write $\Delta_g(\mathfrak{L}) = \{\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{L}) \mid \deg(\varphi) = g\}$. The following trivial lemmas are useful in our work.

Lemma 4. Let $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathfrak{L}_g$ be a G -graded Lie algebra. Then $\Delta(\mathfrak{L}) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Delta_g(\mathfrak{L})$.

Lemma 5. (see [8]) Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra and z an arbitrary element from \mathfrak{L} . Then the left multiplication L_z in the commutative associative algebra (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) gives a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$.

Lemma 6. (see [8]) Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra without non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations. Then every transposed Poisson structure defined on \mathfrak{L} is trivial.

2. TRANSPOSED POISSON STRUCTURES ON THE DEFORMATIVE SCHRÖDINGER-WITT ALGEBRAS

Let us give the definition of the algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b, s)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$. $\mathcal{W}(a, b, s)$ is spanned by $\{L_m, I_m, Y_{m+s} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the multiplication table is given by the following nontrivial relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (n - m)L_{m+n}, \\ [L_m, I_n] &= (n + bm + a)I_{m+n}, \\ [L_m, Y_{n+s}] &= \left(n + s + \frac{(b-1)m+a}{2}\right)Y_{m+n+s}, \\ [Y_{m+s}, Y_{n+s}] &= (n - m)I_{m+n+2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to [11], we have $\mathcal{W}(a + 1, b, 0) \cong \mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})$. Hence, we only have to consider the case of $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Set $M_n = \langle L_n, I_n \rangle$ and $M_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \langle Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})$ is $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2}) = \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Hence $\Delta(\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2}))$ has a natural $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, i.e.,

$$\Delta(\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})) = \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_n(\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})) \right).$$

Note that $\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})$ contains a subalgebra $\langle L_m, I_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, which is isomorphic to the well-known algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ with the multiplication table:

$$[L_m, L_n] = (n - m)L_{m+n}, \quad [L_m, I_n] = (n + bm + a)I_{m+n}.$$

The description of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 7 (see, [8]). *If $b \neq -1$, then there are no non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$. Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$, then there are two finite sets of elements from the basic field $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\beta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$, such that*

$$\varphi(L_m) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t L_{m+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_t I_{m+t}, \quad \varphi(I_m) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t I_{m+t}.$$

Now we will compute $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the algebras $\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})$. Summarizing the results from lemmas 8 and 9, we conclude that if $b \neq -1$, then $\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})$ does not have nontrivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations. On the other side, Theorem 10 gives the full description of nontrivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of $\mathcal{W}(a, -1, \frac{1}{2})$.

Lemma 8. *If $b \neq -1$, then $\Delta_0(\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})) = \langle \text{Id} \rangle$ and $\Delta_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}(\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $b \neq -1$ and $\varphi_j \in \Delta_j(\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2}))$ be a homogeneous $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation. In this case, we have

$$\varphi_j(M_n) \subseteq M_{n+j}, \quad \varphi_j(M_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \subseteq M_{n+j+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1)$$

By Theorem 7, we get

$$\varphi_j(L_n) = \delta_{j,0} \lambda L_n, \quad \varphi_j(I_n) = \delta_{j,0} \lambda I_n. \quad (2)$$

By (1), we can assume that

$$\varphi_j(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = a_{j,n} Y_{n+j+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3)$$

- (1) If $j \neq 0$, then applying φ_j to both side of $[Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (n - m)I_{m+n+1}$, and using (2) and (3), we obtain

$$(n - m - j)a_{j,m} + (n + j - m)a_{j,n} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Setting $n = 0$ in (4), we get $(-m - j)a_{j,m} + (j - m)a_{j,0} = 0$. Then taking $m = -j$ in this equation, we derive $2ja_{j,0} = 0$, which implies $a_{j,0} = 0$. Consequently, we have $a_{j,m} = 0$ for $m \neq -j$. Letting $m = j$ and $n = -j$ in (4), we obtain $a_{j,-j} = 0$, this shows $a_{j,m} = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This proves $\varphi_j = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

- (2) If $j = 0$, then applying φ_0 to both side of $[Y_{m+s}, Y_{n+s}] = (n - m)I_{m+n+2s}$ and using (2) and (3), we get

$$(n - m)(a_{0,m} + a_{0,n}) = 2\lambda(n - m).$$

Letting $m = 0$ in this equation, we obtain $n(a_{0,0} + a_{0,n}) = 2\lambda n$, and $a_{0,n} = 2\lambda - a_{0,0}$ for $n \neq 0$. It is following that $a_{0,n} = \lambda$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\varphi_0 = \lambda \text{Id}$. \square

Lemma 9. *If $b \neq -1$, then $\Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $b \neq -1$ and $\varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \in \Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2}))$ be a homogeneous $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation. Then we have

$$\varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(M_n) \subseteq M_{n+j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(M_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \subseteq M_{n+j+1}. \quad (5)$$

By (5) we can assume that

$$\varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(L_n) = \alpha_{j,n}Y_{n+j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(I_n) = \beta_{j,n}Y_{n+j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \gamma_{j,n}L_{n+j+1} + \mu_{j,n}I_{n+j+1}.$$

Let us say $\varphi = \varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$. The algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})$ admits a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. Namely,

$$\mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})_{\bar{0}} = \langle M_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle \text{ and } \mathcal{W}(a, b, \frac{1}{2})_{\bar{1}} = \langle M_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

The mapping φ changes the grading components. It is known, that the commutator of one derivation and one $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation gives a new $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation. Hence, $[\varphi, \text{ad}_{Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}]$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation which preserve the grading components. Namely, it is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation, described in Lemma 8, i.e., $[\varphi, \text{ad}_{Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}] = \alpha_n \text{Id}$.

It is easy to see, that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n I_m &= [\varphi, \text{ad}_{Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}] (I_m) = \varphi[I_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] - [\varphi(I_m), Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = \\ &= -\beta_{j,m}[Y_{m+j+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = -(n-m-j)\beta_{j,m}I_{m+n+j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed elements m and j , we can choose an element n , such that $n \neq m+j$ and $n \neq -1-j$. The last observations give $\beta_{j,m} = 0$ for each $(j, m) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $\varphi(I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$ and $\alpha_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us now consider the following observation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\varphi, \text{ad}_{Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}] (L_m) = \varphi[L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] - [\varphi(L_m), Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = \\ &= (n + \frac{(b-1)m+a+1}{2})\varphi(Y_{n+m+\frac{1}{2}}) - \alpha_{j,m}[Y_{m+j+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = \\ &= (n + \frac{(b-1)m+a+1}{2})(\gamma_{j,n+m}L_{n+m+j+\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_{j,n+m}I_{n+m+j+1}) - \alpha_{j,m}(n-m-j)I_{m+j+n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives two relations considered below.

First, $(2n + (b-1)m + a + 1)\gamma_{j,m+n} = 0$. Taking $m = 0$ and $n = 0$, we obtain

$$((b-1)n + a + 1)\gamma_{j,n} = 0 \text{ and } (2n + a + 1)\gamma_{j,n} = 0.$$

If $b \neq 3$ or $a \neq -1 - 2n$, we have $\gamma_{j,n} = 0$. In the opposite case, if $b = 3$ and $a = -1 - 2n$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2(n-k)\varphi(I_{k+n+1}) = 2\varphi[Y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = \\ &= \mu_{j,k}[I_{k+j+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] + \gamma_{j,n}[Y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, L_{n+j+1}] + \mu_{j,n}[Y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, I_{n+j+1}] = \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\gamma_{j,n} \left(k + \frac{(b-1)(n+j+1)+a+1}{2} \right) L_{n+k+j+\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Taking a suitable k , we obtain that $\gamma_{j,n} = 0$ and $\varphi(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \mu_{j,n} I_{n+j+1}$.

Second, $(n + \frac{(b-1)m+a+1}{2})\mu_{j,m+n} = (n-m-j)\alpha_{j,m}$, which gives $\frac{2n+a+1}{2}\mu_{j,n} = \alpha_{j,0}(n-j)$. Let us take an element n , such that $n \neq m+j$ and $2(m+n)+a+1 \neq 0$, hence

$$\alpha_{j,m} = \frac{2n+(b-1)m+a+1}{n-m-j} \mu_{j,n} = \frac{(2n+(b-1)m+a+1)(n+m-j)}{(n-m-j)(2(n+m)+a+1)} \alpha_{j,0}.$$

The last observation, due to almost arbitrary n , gives that $\alpha_{j,m} = 0$ for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which gives $\mu_{j,m} = 0$ for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Summarizing, $\varphi = 0$.

□

Theorem 10. Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, -1, \frac{1}{2})$, then there are three sets of elements from the basic field $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\{\beta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\gamma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(L_m) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t L_{m+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_t I_{m+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_t Y_{m+t+\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \varphi(I_m) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t I_{m+t}, \\ \varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t Y_{m+t+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_t I_{m+t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi_j \in \Delta_j(\mathcal{W}(a, -1, \frac{1}{2}))$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 7, we have

$$\varphi_j(L_n) = \alpha_j L_{n+j} + \beta_j I_{n+j}, \quad \varphi_j(I_n) = \alpha_j I_{n+j}, \quad \varphi_j(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = a_{j,n} Y_{n+j+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (6)$$

Applying φ_j to both side of $[Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (n-m)I_{m+n+1}$ and using (6), we obtain

$$(n-m-j)a_{j,m} + (n-m+j)a_{j,n} = 2(n-m)\alpha_j. \quad (7)$$

- (i) Taking $m = 0$ and $n = j$ in (7), we have $ja_{j,j} = j\alpha_j$, so $a_{j,j} = \alpha_j$ for $j \neq 0$.
- (ii) Taking $m = j$ in (7), we have $na_{j,n} = n\alpha_j$, this implies $a_{j,n} = \alpha_j$ for $n \neq 0$.
- (iii) Taking $m = 0$ and $n \notin \{0, j\}$ in (7), we have $a_{j,0} = \alpha_j$ for $j \neq 0$.
- (iv) Taking $j = 0$ and $m = 0$ in (7), we have $a_{0,n} = 2\alpha_0 - a_{0,0}$ for any $n \neq 0$ and choosing $m \neq n$, we get $a_{0,0} = \alpha_0$ and thus $a_{0,n} = \alpha_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As a conclusion, we obtain that

$$\varphi_j(L_n) = \alpha_j L_{n+j} + \beta_j I_{n+j}, \quad \varphi_j(I_n) = \alpha_j I_{n+j}, \quad \varphi_j(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \alpha_j Y_{n+j+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $\varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \in \Delta_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{W}(a, -1, \frac{1}{2}))$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, we can assume

$$\varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(L_n) = \alpha_{j,n} Y_{n+j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(I_n) = \beta_{j,n} Y_{n+j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \gamma_{j,n} L_{n+j+1} + \mu_{j,n} I_{n+j+1}.$$

Applying $\varphi_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$ to both sides of the first three relations from the multiplication table, we obtain

$$(2(n+j)+1-2m+a)\alpha_{j,n} - (2(m+j)+1-2n+a)\alpha_{j,m} = 4(n-m)\alpha_{j,m+n}, \quad (8)$$

$$(2(n+j)+1-2m+a)\beta_{j,n} = 4(n-m+a)\beta_{j,m+n}, \quad (9)$$

$$(n+j+1-m)\gamma_{j,n} = (2n+1-2m+a)\gamma_{j,m+n}, \quad (10)$$

$$(n-m-j)\alpha_{j,m} + (n+j+1-m+a)\mu_{j,n} = (2n+1-2m+a)\mu_{j,m+n}. \quad (11)$$

Case 1. Now we assume that $j \neq 0$. Taking $m = 0$ in (9), we have that $(1+2j-2n-3a)\beta_{j,n} = 0$. Hence, $\beta_{j,n} = 0$ if $1+2j-2n \neq 3a$. If $1+2j-2n = 3a$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\beta_{j,m+n} = 0$ and $(2(n+j)+1-2m+a)\beta_{j,n} = 0$. The last gives $\beta_{j,n} = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, from (10), we have $\gamma_{j,m} = 0$ for all m . Then by (8), we have $\alpha_{j,n} = \alpha_{j,0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A. Let $a \notin 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

(a) Taking $m = n = 0$ in (11), we have $j\alpha_{j,0} = j\mu_{j,0}$, so this implies $\mu_{j,0} = \alpha_{j,0}$ for $j \neq 0$.

(b) Taking $n = 0$ in (11), we have $(1-2m+a)(\alpha_{j,0} - \mu_{j,m}) = 0$. Notice that $a \neq 2m-1$, hence $\mu_{j,n} = \alpha_{j,0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

B. Let $a \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 \setminus \{-1\}$.

(a) Taking $m = 0$ in (11), we have $(n-j)\alpha_{j,0} = (n-j)\mu_{j,n}$. So this implies $\mu_{j,n} = \alpha_{j,0}$ for $n \neq j$.

(b) Taking $m = 2j, n = j$ in (11), we get $(a+1)\mu_{j,j} = (a+1)\alpha_{j,0}$. It follows $\mu_{j,n} = \alpha_{j,0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

C. Let $a = -1$.

(a) Taking $m = 0$ in (11), we have $(n-j)\alpha_{j,0} = (n-j)\mu_{j,n}$. So this implies $\mu_{j,n} = \alpha_{j,0}$ for $n \neq j$.

(b) Taking $m = 2j$ and $n = -j$ in (11), we have $\mu_{j,j} = \alpha_{j,0}$. It follows $\mu_{j,n} = \alpha_{j,0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Case 2. Consider the case of $j = 0$. It is easy to see, that (8) gives $\alpha_{0,n} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A. If $a \notin 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$. Taking $m = 0$ in (11), we have $n\alpha_{0,0} = n\mu_{0,n}$, so this implies $\mu_{0,n} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for $n \neq 0$. Taking $m = 2$ and $n = 0$ in (11), we have $(a-1)(\alpha_{0,0} - \mu_{0,0}) = 0$. It follows $\mu_{0,n} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

B. If $a \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 \setminus \{-1\}$. Taking $m = 0$ in (11), we have $n\alpha_{0,0} = n\mu_{0,n}$, so this implies $\mu_{0,n} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for $n \neq 0$. Taking $m = 1$ and $n = 0$ in (11), we have $a\mu_{0,0} = a\alpha_{0,0}$. It follows $\mu_{0,n} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

C. If $a = -1$. Taking $m = 0$ in (11), we have $n(\mu_{0,n} - \alpha_{0,0}) = 0$ and this implies $\mu_{0,n} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for $n \neq 0$. Taking $m = 1$ and $n = 0$ in (11), we have $\mu_{0,0} = \alpha_{0,0}$.

Summarizing, the Theorem is proved. \square

Now we consider the algebra \mathcal{W} with a basis $\{L_i, I_i, Y_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ given by the following commutative multiplication table:

$$L_i L_j = L_{i+j}, \quad L_i I_j = I_{i+j}, \quad L_i Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = Y_{i+j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Y_{i+\frac{1}{2}} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = I_{i+j+1}.$$

In the following, we aim to classify all transposed Poisson structures on $\mathcal{W}(a, -1, \frac{1}{2})$.

Theorem 11. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a transposed Poisson structure defined on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, -1, \frac{1}{2})$. Then $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is not Poisson algebra and (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a mutation of the algebra \mathcal{W} . On the other hand, every mutation of the algebra \mathcal{W} gives a transposed Poisson structure with the Lie part isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}(a, -1, \frac{1}{2})$.

Proof. We aim to describe the multiplication \cdot . By Lemma 5, for every element $X \in \{L_i, I_i, Y_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, there is a related $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_X of $\mathcal{W}(a, -1, \frac{1}{2})$ such that $\varphi_X(Y) = X \cdot Y$. Then by Theorem 10, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_X(L_m) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t,X} L_{m+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{t,X} I_{m+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t,X} Y_{m+t+\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \varphi_X(I_m) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t,X} I_{m+t}, \\ \varphi_X(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t,X} Y_{m+t+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t,X} I_{m+t+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider $\varphi_X(Y) = X \cdot Y = Y \cdot X = \varphi_Y(X)$ for $X, Y \in \{L_i, I_i, Y_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

I. Let $X = I_m$ and $Y = Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, from $\varphi_{I_m}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \varphi_{Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}(I_m)$, we have

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, I_m} Y_{n+t+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t, I_m} I_{n+t+1} = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} I_{m+t}.$$

The last equality gives $\alpha_{t, I_m} = 0$ for all $m, t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

II. Let $X = I_m$ and $Y = I_n$, then we have

$$I_m \cdot I_n = \varphi_{I_m}(I_n) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, I_m} I_{n+t} = 0.$$

III. Let $X = I_m$ and $Y = L_n$. Then from $\varphi_{I_m}(L_n) = \varphi_{L_n}(I_m)$, we get

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{t, I_m} I_{n+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t, I_m} Y_{n+t+\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, L_n} I_{m+t},$$

which implies $\gamma_{t, I_m} = 0$. Hence, $I_m \cdot Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

IV. Let $X = L_m$ and $Y = L_0$. Then the equality $\varphi_{L_m}(L_0) = L_m \cdot L_0 = L_0 \cdot L_m = \varphi_{L_0}(L_m)$, gives

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, L_m} L_t + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{t, L_m} I_t + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t, L_m} Y_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, L_0} L_{m+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{t, L_0} I_{m+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t, L_0} Y_{m+t+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, we obtain $\alpha_{k, L_m} = \alpha_{k-m, L_0}$, $\beta_{k, L_m} = \beta_{k-m, L_0}$ and $\gamma_{k, L_m} = \gamma_{k-m, L_0}$.

V. Let $X = L_0$ and $Y = Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$, then from $\varphi_{L_0}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \varphi_{Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}(L_0)$, we get

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, L_0} Y_{n+t+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t, L_0} I_{n+t+1} = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{t, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} I_t + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} Y_{t+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, we obtain $\gamma_{k, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} = \alpha_{k-n, L_0}$, $\beta_{k, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} = \gamma_{k-n, L_0}$.

Summarizing all the above parts, we have that the multiplication table of (\cdot, \cdot) is given by following non-trivial relations.

$$\begin{aligned} L_m \cdot L_n &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, L_0} L_{m+n+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{t, L_0} I_{m+n+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t, L_0} Y_{m+n+t+\frac{1}{2}}, \\ L_m \cdot I_n &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, L_0} I_{m+n+t}, \\ L_m \cdot Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, L_0} Y_{m+n+t+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t, L_0} I_{m+n+t+1}, \\ Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, L_0} I_{m+n+t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define $w := \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{t, L_0} L_t + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{t, L_0} I_t + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{t, L_0} Y_{t+\frac{1}{2}}$.

Then all nonzero multiplications on the basis elements are given below.

$$\begin{aligned} L_m \cdot L_n &= L_m w L_n, & L_m \cdot I_n &= L_m w I_n, \\ L_m \cdot Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= L_m w Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, & Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}} w Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

Analyzing the associative law of \cdot , we can see that the multiplication \cdot is associative. The algebra (\mathcal{L}, \cdot) is a mutation of \mathcal{W} . On the other hand, for any element w the product \cdot gives a transposed Poisson structure defined on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, -1, \frac{1}{2})$ for an arbitrary complex number a . It gives the complete statement of the theorem. \square

3. TRANSPOSED POISSON STRUCTURES ON NOT-FINITELY GRADED WITT ALGEBRA $W_n(G)$

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and G be any nontrivial additive subgroup of \mathbb{C} . The algebra $W_n(G)$ has a basis $\{L_{\alpha, i} \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the multiplication table is given below:

$$[L_{\alpha, i}, L_{\beta, j}] = (\beta - \alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta, i+j} + (j - i)L_{\alpha+\beta, i+j+n}, \quad (12)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in G, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 12. *Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the algebra $W_n(G)$, then there is a finite set $\{a^{d, m}\}_{d \in G, m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of complex elements, such that*

$$\varphi(L_{\alpha, i}) = \sum_{d \in G, m \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d, m} L_{\alpha+d, i+m}.$$

Proof. The algebra $W_0(G)$ is a generalized Witt algebra considered in [15]. Thanks to [15, Proposition 16], the Theorem is proved for $n = 0$. Below, we will consider only the case of $n \neq 0$.

The algebra $W_n(G)$ is G -graded

$$W_n(G) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} W_n(G)_\alpha, \text{ where } W_n(G)_\alpha = \langle L_{\alpha, i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle \text{ for } \alpha \in G.$$

By Lemma 4 we get $\Delta(W_n(G)) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \Delta_\alpha(W_n(G))$.

Let $\varphi_d \in \Delta_d(W_n(G))$. We can assume that $\varphi_d(L_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d,k}$. To determine these coefficients, we start with applying φ_d to both side of (12) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left((\beta - \alpha) a_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{d,k} + (j - i) a_{\alpha+\beta,i+j+n}^{d,k} \right) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,k} = \\ & = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} \left((\beta - \alpha - d) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,j+k} + (j - k) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,j+k+n} \right) + \\ & + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\beta,j}^{d,k} \left((\beta - \alpha + d) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k} + (k - i) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k+n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Letting $\beta = i = j = 0$ in (13) for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$(d - \alpha) a_{\alpha,0}^{d,k} + (k - n) a_{\alpha,0}^{d,k-n} = (d - \alpha) a_{0,0}^{d,k} + (k - n) a_{0,0}^{d,k-n}. \quad (14)$$

Putting $\beta = -\alpha, i = j = 0$ in (13) with (14) for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$2a_{0,0}^{d,k} = a_{\alpha,0}^{d,k} + a_{-\alpha,0}^{d,k}. \quad (15)$$

In the equation (14) replacing α with $-\alpha$, we obtain:

$$(d + \alpha) a_{-\alpha,0}^{d,k} + (k - n) a_{-\alpha,0}^{d,k-n} = (d + \alpha) a_{0,0}^{d,k} + (k - n) a_{0,0}^{d,k-n} \quad (16)$$

and adding the equations (14) and (16) with together (15) we deduce

$$a_{\alpha,0}^{d,k} = a_{0,0}^{d,k} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in G.$$

Putting $\beta = -\alpha, j = 0$ in (13), we get

$$(d + 2\alpha) a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} + (k - n) a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k-n} = 4\alpha a_{0,i}^{d,k} + 2i a_{0,i+n}^{d,k} + (d - 2\alpha) a_{0,0}^{d,k-i} + (k - 2i - n) a_{0,0}^{d,k-i-n}. \quad (17)$$

Letting $\beta = j = 0$ and in (13), we deduce

$$(d - \alpha) a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} + (k - n) a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k-n} = 2i a_{\alpha,i+n}^{d,k} + (d - \alpha) a_{0,0}^{d,k-i} + (k - 2i - n) a_{0,0}^{d,k-i-n}. \quad (18)$$

Subtracting (17) from (18) it gives

$$\alpha(3a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} + a_{0,0}^{d,k-i} - 4a_{0,i}^{d,k}) = 2i(a_{0,i+n}^{d,k} - a_{\alpha,i+n}^{d,k}). \quad (19)$$

Now consider the case of $\beta = i = 0$ in (13), we have

$$(d - \alpha) a_{0,j}^{d,k} + (k - n) a_{0,j}^{d,k-n} = 2j a_{\alpha,j+n}^{d,k} - 2\alpha a_{\alpha,j}^{d,k} + (d + \alpha) a_{0,0}^{d,k-j} + (k - 2j - n) a_{0,0}^{d,k-j-n}. \quad (20)$$

Setting $\alpha = 0$ in (18) and substituting j with i in (20) then combining them it derives

$$\alpha(2a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} - a_{0,0}^{d,k-i} - a_{0,i}^{d,k}) = 2i(a_{\alpha,i+n}^{d,k} - a_{0,i+n}^{d,k}). \quad (21)$$

Finally, adding up (19) and (21), we have $a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = a_{0,i}^{d,k}$, and from the equation (19) it follows that $a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = a_{0,i}^{d,k} = a_{0,0}^{d,k-i}$. Thus, it proves that φ_d has the form

$$\varphi_d(L_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{0,0}^{d,k-i} L_{\alpha+d,k}.$$

□

Now, we aim to classify all transposed Poisson structures on $W_n(G)$. Let \mathcal{W} be a commutative associative algebra with basis $\{L_{\alpha,i} \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and multiplication

$$L_{\alpha,i}L_{\beta,j} = L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}.$$

Theorem 13. *Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a transposed Poisson structure defined on the Lie algebra $W_n(G)$. Then $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is not Poisson algebra and (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a mutation of the algebra \mathcal{W} . On the other hand, every mutation of the algebra \mathcal{W} gives a transposed Poisson structure with the Lie part isomorphic to the algebra $W_n(G)$.*

Proof. We aim to describe the multiplication \cdot . By Lemma 5, for every element $X \in \{L_{\alpha,i} \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, there is a related $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_l of $W_n(G)$ such that $\varphi_X(Y) = X \cdot Y$. By Theorem 12, for each $\varphi \in \Delta(W_n(G))$, we have

$$\varphi(L_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{d \in G, k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d,i+k}.$$

Now we consider the multiplication $L_{0,0} \cdot L_{\alpha,i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Then we have

$$\varphi_{L_{0,0}}(L_{\alpha,i}) = L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{0,0} = L_{0,0} \cdot L_{\alpha,i} = \varphi_{L_{\alpha,i}}(L_{0,0}),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{L_{0,0}}^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d,i+k} = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{d,k} L_{d,k}.$$

Thus, we get $a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{d,k} = a_{L_{0,0}}^{d-\alpha,k-i}$. Now, we determine the multiplication $L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{\beta,j}$:

$$L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{\beta,j} = \sum_{d \in G} \varphi_{d,L_{\alpha,i}}(L_{\beta,j}) = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{d,k} L_{\beta+d,k+j} = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{L_{0,0}}^{d-\alpha,k-i} L_{\beta+d,k+j}.$$

Hence, we get

$$L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{\beta,j} = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{L_{0,0}}^{d,k} L_{d+\alpha+\beta,k+i+j},$$

If we denote by $w = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{L_{0,0}}^{d,k} L_{d,k}$, then we obtain $L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{\beta,j} = L_{\alpha,i} w L_{\beta,j}$. Analyzing the associative law of \cdot , we can see that the multiplication \cdot is associative. Thus, we get that the algebra (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a mutation of \mathcal{W} . On the other hand, for any element w the product \cdot gives a transposed Poisson structure defined on the Lie algebra $W_n(G)$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{L} \cdot [\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}] \neq 0$ and $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a non-Poisson algebra. It gives the complete statement of the theorem. □

Remark 14. *It should be mentioned that in [4] the algebra $W_1(G)$ was considered under the restriction \mathbb{Z}_+ instead of \mathbb{Z} . Following this idea, let G be a nontrivial additive subgroups of \mathbb{C} , and F be a nontrivial additive subsemigroup with a neutral element of \mathbb{C} and $\mathfrak{f} \in F$, it is possible to define a Lie algebra $W_n(G, F)$. The algebra $W_n(G, F)$ has a basis $\{L_{g,f} \mid g \in G, f \in F\}$ and the multiplication table is given below:*

$$[L_{g_1,f_1}, L_{g_2,f_2}] = (g_2 - g_1)L_{g_1+g_2,f_1+f_2} + (f_2 - f_1)L_{g_1+g_2,f_1+f_2+\mathfrak{f}}.$$

The description of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations and transposed Poisson structures on $W_n(G, F)$ is completely similar to Theorems 12 and 13.

4. TRANSPOSED POISSON STRUCTURES ON NOT-FINITELY GRADED HEISENBERG-WITT ALGEBRA $HW_n(G)$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and G be any nontrivial additive subgroup of \mathbb{C} . The Lie algebra $HW_n(G)$ has a basis $\{L_{\alpha,i}, H_{\alpha,i} \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the following multiplication table:

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] = (\beta - \alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + (j - i)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j+n}, \quad (22)$$

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, H_{\beta,j}] = \beta H_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + j H_{\alpha+\beta,i+j+n}, \quad (23)$$

$$[H_{\alpha,i}, H_{\beta,j}] = 0. \quad (24)$$

Theorem 15. Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the algebra $HW_n(G)$.

- If $n = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(L_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{d \in G \cap \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,-d} L_{\alpha+d,i-d}, \\ \varphi(H_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{d \in G \cap \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,-d} H_{\alpha+d,i-d}. \end{aligned}$$

- If $n \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(L_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{d \in G \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d,k+i} + a^{0,0} L_{\alpha,i}, \\ \varphi(H_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{d \in G \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,k} H_{\alpha+d,k+i} + a^{0,0} H_{\alpha,i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a^{d,kn} = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$. If $k = tn + m$, then

$$(i) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}, m = \overline{1, |n| - 1}, \text{ then } a^{d,k} = (-1)^t d^{-t} \prod_{p=1}^{t-1} (pn + m) a^{d,m},$$

$$(ii) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{Z}_- \setminus \{0\}, m = \overline{0, |n| - 1}, \text{ then } a^{d,k} = d^t \left(\prod_{p=1}^t (pn - m) \right)^{-1} a^{d,m}.$$

Proof. The algebra $HW_n(G)$ is G -graded

$$HW_n(G) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} (HW_n(G))_{\alpha}, \quad (HW_n(G))_{\alpha} = \langle L_{\alpha,i}, H_{\alpha,i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle \quad \text{for } \alpha \in G.$$

By Lemma 4, we get $\Delta(HW_n(G)) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \Delta_{\alpha}(HW_n(G))$. Suppose that $\varphi_d \in \Delta_d(HW_n(G))$.

The subalgebra $\langle L_{\alpha,i} \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the algebra $W_n(G)$ considered in the Section 3. Then by Theorem 12, we can assume that

$$\varphi_d(L_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,k-i} L_{\alpha+d,k} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} H_{\alpha+d,k},$$

$$\varphi_d(H_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d,k} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} H_{\alpha+d,k}.$$

I. To determine the coefficients $f_{\alpha,i}^{d,k}$, we apply φ_d to (24) and obtain

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} (\beta H_{\alpha+\beta+d,j+k} + j H_{\alpha+\beta+d,j+k+n}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{\beta,j}^{d,k} (\alpha H_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k} + i H_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k+n}). \quad (25)$$

Taking $\beta = i = j = 0$ in (25), it gives $f_{0,0}^{d,k} = 0$.

Taking $\beta = -\alpha, i = j = 0$ in (25), we have $f_{-\alpha,0}^{d,k} = -f_{\alpha,0}^{d,k}$.

Taking $\beta = -\alpha, j = 0$ in (25), we have

$$\alpha f_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = -\alpha f_{-\alpha,0}^{d,k-i} - i f_{-\alpha,0}^{d,k-i-n}. \quad (26)$$

Next, to determine the coefficients, applying φ_d to both side of (23) obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\beta f_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{d,k} + j f_{\alpha+\beta,i+j+n}^{d,k} \right) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,k} = \\ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{\beta,j}^{d,k} \left((\beta - \alpha + d) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k} + (k - i) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k+n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\beta c_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{d,k} + j c_{\alpha+\beta,i+j+n}^{d,k} \right) H_{\alpha+\beta+d,k} = \\ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,k-i} (\beta H_{\alpha+\beta+d,j+k} + j H_{\alpha+\beta+d,j+k+n}) + \\ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\beta,j}^{d,k} \left((\beta + d) H_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k} + k H_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k+n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Putting $\beta = -\alpha, i = j = 0$ in (27), we obtain

$$(d - 2\alpha) f_{-\alpha,0}^{d,k} + (k - n) f_{-\alpha,0}^{d,k-n} = 0. \quad (29)$$

Replacing $-\alpha$ with α in (29), it gives

$$(d + 2\alpha) f_{\alpha,0}^{d,k} + (k - n) f_{\alpha,0}^{d,k-n} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Combining (29) and (30), and using $f_{-\alpha,0}^{d,k} = -f_{\alpha,0}^{d,k}$, we can get $f_{\alpha,0}^{d,k} = 0$. Moreover, from (26), we have $f_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

II. Now we identify the coefficients $c_{\alpha,i}^{d,k}$, so taking $\alpha = j = 0$ in (28), we deduce

$$2\beta c_{\beta,i}^{d,k} = \beta a^{d,k-i} + (\beta + d) c_{\beta,0}^{d,k-i} + (k - i - n) c_{\beta,0}^{d,k-i-n}. \quad (31)$$

Next taking $\alpha = -\beta, j = 0$ in (28), we have

$$2\beta c_{0,i}^{d,k} = \beta a^{d,k-i} + (\beta + d) c_{\beta,0}^{d,k-i} + (k - i - n) c_{\beta,0}^{d,k-i-n}. \quad (32)$$

Combining (31) and (32), we obtain that

$$c_{\beta,i}^{d,k} = c_{0,i}^{d,k}.$$

Now putting $\beta = 0, i = 0$ in (31), we have

$$dc_{0,0}^{d,k} + (k-n)c_{0,0}^{d,k-n} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Letting $\beta \neq 0, i = 0$ in (31), we get

$$\beta(a^{d,k} - c_{0,0}^{d,k}) + dc_{0,0}^{d,k} + (k-n)c_{0,0}^{d,k-n} = 0. \quad (34)$$

Thus, we get $c_{0,0}^{d,k} = a^{d,k}$, which implies $c_{0,i}^{d,k} = a^{d,k-i}$, and

$$da^{d,k} + (k-n)a^{d,k-n} = 0. \quad (35)$$

A. If $n = 0$, then we get $(d+k)a^{d,k} = 0$, which implies $a^{d,k} = 0$ for $k \neq -d$.

B. If $n \neq 0$, then taking $d = 0$, we have $a^{0,k} = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. Then letting $k = n$, we get $a^{d,n} = 0$ for $d \neq 0$, which implies $a^{d,kn} = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

Now, letting $k = tn + q$, we obtain

$$(i) \ a^{d,k} = (-1)^t d^{-t} \prod_{p=1}^{t-1} (pn+q) a^{d,q} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}, q = \overline{|n| - 1},$$

$$(ii) \ a^{d,k} = d^t \left(\prod_{p=1}^t (pn-q) \right)^{-1} a^{d,q} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{Z}_- \setminus \{0\}, q = \overline{|n| - 1}.$$

III. Next to determine the coefficients $b_{\alpha,i}^{d,k}$, we apply φ_d to both side of (22) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left((\beta - \alpha) b_{\alpha+\beta, i+j}^{d,k} + (j-i) b_{\alpha+\beta, i+j+n}^{d,k} \right) H_{\alpha+\beta+d, k} = \\ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{\beta, j}^{d,k} \left((\beta + d) H_{\alpha+\beta+d, i+k} + k H_{\alpha+\beta+d, i+k+n} \right) - \\ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{\alpha, i}^{d,k} \left((\alpha + d) H_{\alpha+\beta+d, j+k} + k H_{\alpha+\beta+d, j+k+n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

We start with taking $\beta = i = j = 0$ in (36), it gives

$$(d - \alpha) b_{\alpha, 0}^{d,k} + (k - n) b_{\alpha, 0}^{d, k-n} = d b_{0, 0}^{d,k} + (k - n) b_{0, 0}^{d, k-n}. \quad (37)$$

Taking $\beta = -\alpha, i = j = 0$ in (36) with (37) we have $b_{\alpha, 0}^{d,k} + b_{-\alpha, 0}^{d,k} = 2b_{0, 0}^{d,k}$. In the equation (37) replacing α with $-\alpha$

$$(d + \alpha) b_{-\alpha, 0}^{d,k} + (k - n) b_{-\alpha, 0}^{d, k-n} = d b_{0, 0}^{d,k} + (k - n) b_{0, 0}^{d, k-n} \quad (38)$$

and adding the equations (37) and (38) we deduce $b_{\alpha, 0}^{d,k} = b_{0, 0}^{d,k}$, for all $\alpha \in G$. Putting $\beta = -\alpha, j = 0$ and $\beta = j = 0$ in (36) we have

$$(d + \alpha) b_{\alpha, i}^{d,k} - 4\alpha b_{0, i}^{d,k} - 2i b_{0, i+n}^{d,k} = (d - \alpha) b_{0, 0}^{d, k-i} - (k - n) b_{\alpha, i}^{d, k-n} + (k - i - n) b_{0, 0}^{d, k-i-n} \quad (39)$$

$$(d - \alpha) b_{\alpha, i}^{d,k} - 2i b_{\alpha, i+n}^{d,k} = d b_{0, 0}^{d, k-i} - (k - n) b_{\alpha, i}^{d, k-n} + (k - i - n) b_{0, 0}^{d, k-i-n}. \quad (40)$$

Subtracting (39) from (40), it gives this relation

$$4\alpha b_{0, i}^{d,k} - 2\alpha b_{\alpha, i}^{d,k} - \alpha b_{0, 0}^{d, k-i} = 2i b_{\alpha, i+n}^{d,k} - 2i b_{0, i+n}^{d,k}. \quad (41)$$

Next taking $\beta = i = 0$ in (36) and substituting j with i on it with $\alpha = 0$ in (39), then combining them we can get

$$2\alpha b_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} - \alpha b_{0,0}^{d,k-i} = 2ib_{\alpha,i+n}^{d,k} - 2ib_{0,i+n}^{d,k}. \quad (42)$$

Subtracting (41) from (42) we deduce this identity $b_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = b_{0,i}^{d,k}$, from this with together (42) we have

$$2b_{0,i}^{d,k} = b_{0,0}^{d,k-i}, \quad (43)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, by (39) and (43) we have $b_{0,0}^{d,k-i} = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, it proves that φ_d has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_d(L_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,k-i} L_{\alpha+d,k} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d,k+i} \\ \varphi_d(H_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,k-i} H_{\alpha+d,k} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{d,k} H_{\alpha+d,k+i}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 16. *There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson structures defined on $HW_n(G)$.*

Proof. We aim to describe the multiplication \cdot . By Lemma 5, for every element $X \in \{L_{\alpha,i}, H_{\alpha,i} \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, there is a related $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_l of $HW_n(G)$ such that $\varphi_X(Y) = X \cdot Y$. Thanks to Theorem 15, we have a description of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of $HW_n(G)$. Now we consider the multiplication $L_{\alpha,i} \cdot H_{\beta,j}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{H_{\beta,j}}(L_{\alpha,i}) &= L_{\alpha,i} \cdot H_{\beta,j} = H_{\beta,j} \cdot L_{\alpha,i} = \varphi_{L_{\alpha,i}}(H_{\beta,j}), \\ \sum_{d \in G \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{H_{\beta,j}}^{d,k-i} L_{\alpha+d,k} &= \sum_{d \in G \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{d,k-j} H_{\beta+d,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{d,k} = a_{H_{\beta,j}}^{d,k} = 0$. So, it gives $L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{\beta,j} = H_{\alpha,i} \cdot H_{\beta,j} = 0$ and $(HW_n(G), \cdot)$ is trivial. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdurasulov K., Adashev J., Eshmeteva S., Transposed Poisson structures on solvable Lie algebras with filiform nilradical, arXiv:2401.04443.
- [2] Bai C., Bai R., Guo L., Wu Y., Transposed Poisson algebras, Novikov-Poisson algebras, and 3-Lie algebras, Journal of Algebra, 632 (2023), 535–566.
- [3] Chen Q., Han J., Su Y., Lie bialgebras of not-finitely graded Lie algebras related to generalized Virasoro algebras, International Journal of Mathematics, 25 (2014), 5, 1450049.
- [4] Chen Q., Han J., Su Y., Structures of not-finitely graded Lie algebras related to generalized Virasoro algebras, Communications in Algebra, 43 (2015), 7, 3033–3049.
- [5] Dzhumadil'daev A., Weak Leibniz algebras and transposed Poisson algebras, arXiv:2308.15018.
- [6] Fan G., Zhou C., Yue X., Structures of not-finitely graded Lie algebras related to generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebras, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 31 (2015), 10, 1517–1530.
- [7] Fernández Ouaridi A., On the simple transposed Poisson algebras and Jordan superalgebras, Journal of Algebra, 641 (2024), 173–198.
- [8] Ferreira B. L. M., Kaygorodov I., Lopatkin V., $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of Lie algebras and transposed Poisson algebras, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas, 115 (2021), 3, 142.

- [9] Gao S., Pei Yu., Wang H., Derivations of the nongraded Witt algebra with coefficients in tensor modules, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 70 (2022), 3, 465–489.
- [10] Henkel M., Schrödinger invariance and strongly anisotropic critical systems, *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 75 (1994), 1023.
- [11] Jiang J., Tang X., Biderivations of the deformative Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras, *Communications in Algebra*, 48 (2020), 2, 609–624.
- [12] Jiang Q., Wang S., Derivations and automorphism group of original deformative Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, *Algebra Colloquium*, 22 (2015), 3, 517–540.
- [13] Kaygorodov I., Non-associative algebraic structures: classification and structure, *Communications in Mathematics*, 32 (2024), 3, 1–62.
- [14] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Transposed Poisson structures on Block Lie algebras and superalgebras, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 656 (2023), 167–197.
- [15] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Transposed Poisson structures on Witt-type algebras, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 665 (2023), 196–210.
- [16] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Transposed Poisson structures on generalized Witt algebras and Block Lie algebras, *Results in Mathematics*, 78 (2023), 5, 186.
- [17] Kaygorodov I., Khudoyberdiyev A., Transposed Poisson structures on solvable and perfect Lie algebras, *Journal of Physics. A. Mathematical and Theoretical*, 57 (2024), 3, 035205.
- [18] Liu G., Bai C., A bialgebra theory for transposed Poisson algebras via anti-pre-Lie bialgebras and anti-pre-Lie-Poisson bialgebras, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 2023, DOI: 10.1142/S0219199723500505.
- [19] Lou S., Symmetry algebras of the potential Nizhnik-Novikov-Veselov model, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 35 (1994), 4, 1755–1762
- [20] Passman D., Simple Lie algebras of Witt type, *Journal of Algebra*, 206 (1998), 2, 682–692.
- [21] Roger C., Unterberger J., The Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie group and algebra: representation theory and cohomological study, *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 7 (2006), 7-8, 1477–1529.
- [22] Sartayev B., Some generalizations of the variety of transposed Poisson algebras, *Communications in Mathematics*, 32 (2024), 2, 55–62.
- [23] Su Y., Zhao K., Second cohomology group of generalized Witt type Lie algebras and certain representations, *Communications in Algebra*, 30 (2002), 7, 3285–3309.
- [24] Wang W., Li J., Xu Y., Derivations and automorphisms of twisted deformative Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebras, *Communications in Algebra*, 40 (2012), 9, 3365–3388.
- [25] Xu P., Tang X., Graded post-Lie algebra structures and homogeneous Rota-Baxter operators on the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, *Electronic Research Archive*, 29 (2021), 4, 2771–2789.
- [26] Xu X., Novikov-Poisson algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 190 (1997), 2, 253–279.
- [27] Yang Ya., Tang X., Khudoyberdiyev A., Transposed Poisson structures on Schrödinger algebra in $(n + 1)$ -dimensional space-time, arXiv:2303.08180.
- [28] Yu R. W. T., Algèbre de Lie de type Witt, *Communications in Algebra*, 25 (1997), 5, 1471–1484.
- [29] Yuan L., Hua Q., $\frac{1}{2}$ -(bi)derivations and transposed Poisson algebra structures on Lie algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 70 (2022), 22, 7672–7701.
- [30] Zohrabi A., Zusmanovich P., A δ -first Whitehead lemma for Jordan algebras, *Communications in Mathematics*, 33 (2025), 1, 2.