

FRAMIZATION AND DEFRAMIZATION

FRANCESCA AICARDI, JESÚS JUYUMAYA, AND PAOLO PAPI

ABSTRACT. Starting from the geometric construction of the framed braid group, we define and study the framization of several Brauer-type monoids and also the set partition monoid, all of which appear in knot theory. We introduce the concept of deframization, which is a procedure to obtain a tied monoid from a given framed monoid. Furthermore, we show in detail how this procedure works on the monoids mentioned above. We also discuss the framization and deframization of some algebras, which are deformations, respectively, of the framized and deframized monoids discussed here.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Background	4
3. Framization	7
4. Tied (ramified) monoids by deframization	22
5. Framization and Deframization of Algebras	31
Declarations	38
References	38

1. INTRODUCTION

The framed braid group \mathcal{F}_n appears in the study of so-called templates, see [31]. The definition of \mathcal{F}_n can be given in geometric terms by attaching an integer, called *framing*, to each strand of a geometric braid. Equivalently, a framed braid can be represented as a ribbon braid, that is obtained by thickening a strand into a ribbon, and where the framing is the number of half-twists of the ribbon. For the role of \mathcal{F}_n in knot theory, see [25, 24] for instance. From an algebraic point of view it turns out that $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes B_n$, where B_n denotes the group of braids on n strands, see [25]. The d -modular framed braid group $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ is formed by braids having as framing an integer modulo d , and we have that $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ is the group $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes B_n$. Now, just as B_n has the symmetric group S_n as a natural quotient, \mathcal{F}_n maps onto the d -modular framed symmetric group $S_{d,n} = (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$. All of these groups are closely related through knot algebras, namely, the Hecke and the Yokonuma-Hecke algebras, [36, 21, 30]. Indeed, the Hecke algebra can be realized as a

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M99,20C08,20F36.

Key words and phrases. Monoid, Framization, bt-algebra, Knot invariant.

quotient of the group algebra of B_n or as a deformation of the group algebra of S_n , and the Yokonuma-Hecke (Y-H for short) algebra can be realized as a quotient of the group algebra of \mathcal{F}_n or as a deformation of the group algebra of $S_{d,n}$. Namely, a presentation of the Y-H algebra is obtained by adding framing generators to the defining generators of the Hecke algebra with commutation relations coming from $S_{d,n}$ and a certain deformation of the involutive generators of S_n , see [21] for details. This deformation involves necessarily idempotent elements \bar{e}_i (formula (4.18)), expressed as averages of products of the framing generators, and maps to the corresponding relation of the Hecke algebra when the framing are sent to 1. Observe also that the dimension of the Y-H algebra equals the cardinality of $S_{d,n}$. We thus say that the Y-H algebra is a framization of the Hecke algebra as well as $S_{d,n}$ is a framization of S_n .

In this paper we introduce the notion of *deframization*, the prototypical example being the so-called bt-algebra, which is considered as deframization of the Y-H algebra.

The bt-algebra was defined in [1] and it is in fact obtained from the Y-H algebra, by eliminating the framing generators and adding new independent generators e_i , that replace the idempotent \bar{e}_i in the defining relations of the Y-H algebra, and that satisfy the same relations as the \bar{e}_i with the other generators in the Y-H algebra. The quite unexpected fact is that the e_i 's, called *ties* for their diagrammatical interpretation, define a partition of the set of strands of the braid associated to an element of the bt-algebra. In fact, it was proven in [33], [15] that the dimension of the bt-algebra is the cardinality of the ramified symmetric monoid $P_n \rtimes S_n$, P_n being the monoid of set partitions of $[n]$. Note that this ramified monoid has a presentation involving the transpositions and the same ties e_i , so that it is also called tied symmetric monoid and the bt-algebra can be considered as a deformation of it.

The bt-algebra is the algebraic counterpart of tied links (as the Hecke algebra for classical links), and a trace on it allows us to recover a Homflypt type invariant for tied links $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ that is more powerful than the Homflypt polynomial on links. A natural question then arises: is there a Kauffman type invariant for tied links analogous to $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$? The answer is affirmative: this invariant, denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, was built in [6]; in the same paper the tBMW algebra is defined, which is related to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ as the bt-algebra is related to $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$.

However, the definition of the tBMW algebra does not use any intermediate algebra. In fact it arises from diagrammatic considerations on the elementary tangles and braids that define the BMW algebra [9], together with the ties generators and the so-called tied tangles, which are elementary tangles with a tie connecting their cups.

Recall also that the ties, together with the *tied generators*, have been successfully introduced to give a presentation for the so called ramified monoid (Jones, Brauer, Inverse symmetric)[4], in which the ties define a partition in the set of strands, generalizing the case of the tied symmetric monoid.

This paper is devoted to answer the following natural question: can the monoids quoted above, and possibly their related algebras, be recovered from the corresponding framed monoid or algebras? We underline the fact that our approach is finalized to applications

to knot theory, therefore the geometric/topological meaning related to the diagrammatic interpretation is our guideline.

We start by defining the framization of some monoids. Then, we introduce the notion of deframization, a procedure allowing to build, starting from a framed monoid algebra, a new monoid, which can be understood as a ramified monoid in the sense of [4].

The main tool of the deframization procedure is the definition, in the framed monoid algebra, of idempotent elements that generalize the \bar{e}_i in the Y-H algebra and in the framed symmetric monoid. Such an element, expressed as a suitable average of products of framings with a generator of the monoid, has the elementary property of allowing a single framing to commute with that generator, and, in term of diagrams, to move from a strand to another one. For this reason such elements are called *bridges*. The remarkable result is that, defining new independent generators that keep the same commuting relations as the bridges among them and with the other generators of the framed monoid, they behave as tied generators and, by removing the framings, they generate the corresponding ramified monoid.

At level of monoid algebra, the definition of the bridges elements is always possible. The same is not true in general for algebras in which relations proper of the deformation, i.e., not shared with the algebra monoid, make the bridges not independent from one another. This leads us to define the framization and deframization of an algebra, which is a deformation of a monoid algebra, only in the case when the framized and deframized algebras are deformations of the corresponding framed and tied monoid algebras, and keep their dimension.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is dedicated to giving definitions and notational conventions to be used in a paper on *knot structures*; in particular, we introduce the monoid of set partitions, Jones and Brauer monoids, the Hecke and BMW algebras among others. Section 3 discusses the framization of some knot monoids. The definition of these framed monoids is inspired by the classic framed braid group, but the framing is now an integer modulo d . Several framed knot monoids are introduced by generators and relations. Now, a significant interpretation of the framings modulo d is as beads on the thread that are counted modulo d . With this interpretation, a framed monoid with framings modulo d is called an *abacus monoid*. We introduce and study in Subsection 3.3 the framed Jones and framed Brauer monoids (see Subsection 3.4) and two version of framed rook monoid, see Subsection 3.5. As a result, we calculate the cardinality of these monoids through their abacus versions: see Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4.

Section 4 begins by introducing the notion of deframization, which is given in Definition 4.1. In short, the deframization of a framized monoid $M_{d,n}$ is a procedure that builds from a monoid algebra A of $M_{d,n}$ a certain quotient algebra $D(A)$, that turns out to be the monoid algebra of the ramified (tied) monoid of M . Then it is shown how the procedure works for the framizations of the rook monoids, the Jones and Brauer monoids: see Theorem 4.1, 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, respectively.

In Section 5 we try to extend the notion of framization and deframization to algebras which are deformations of the monoid algebras considered in this paper. Thus, we prove

that, besides the bt-algebra, the Temperley-Lieb algebra admits a tied version with two parameters that can be recovered by deframization of a framed algebra with d parameters. The BMW algebra, which is a deformation of the Brauer monoid algebra, does not admit a framization but a tied version, which is in fact a deformation of the tied Brauer monoid algebra obtained by deframization.

2. BACKGROUND

In this section we introduce some notations used along the paper and we recall the main objects playing a role in the paper: braid and symmetric groups, rook monoid, set partition monoid, Brauer and Jones monoids, with their presentation by generators and relations. We refer to these monoids as knot monoids since they play a role in the construction of knot invariants. They are related, respectively, to the following knot algebras: Hecke algebra, rook algebra, Temperley-Lieb algebra, BMW algebra and bt-algebra.

2.1. Notational convention. Whenever the sub indices of the generators are not specified, we stipulate that they take any value for which the respective generator is defined.

For two integers $r \leq s$, we adopt the Bourbaki notation: $[r, s]$, $[r, s[$, $]r, s]$ and $]r, s[$.

The terminology *K-algebra* means unital associative algebra over the field K .

For any monoid M we denote by $K[M]$ the monoid algebra of M . Recall that, if $\langle X, R \rangle$ is a presentation of M , then the algebra $K[M]$ can be presented by generators X and relations R .

2.2. Braid and symmetric groups. Let B_n denote the n strand braid group, that is, the group with generators $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ satisfying the *braids relations*

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ if } |i - j| > 1 \text{ and } \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \text{ if } |i - j| = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

We denote by S_n the symmetric group on n letters and by s_i the elementary transposition $(i, i + 1)$. Recall that s_i define the Coxeter presentation of S_n , whose relations are:

$$s_i^2 = 1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$s_i s_j = s_j s_i, \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \quad s_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i s_j, \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

2.3. The rook monoid R_n . The rook monoid R_n is a generalization of the symmetric group and is also denoted IS_n , for inverse symmetric monoid. For more details see [29] for instance. R_n is presented by generators $s_i, \dots, s_{n-1}, p_1, \dots, p_n$ subject to the Coxeter relations among the s_i 's together with the following relations:

$$p_i^2 = p_i, \quad p_i p_j = p_j p_i, \quad (2.4)$$

$$p_i s_j = s_j p_i, \quad (2.5)$$

$$p_i s_j = p_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq n, \quad (2.6)$$

$$p_i s_i p_i = p_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i \in [1, n - 1]. \quad (2.7)$$

Remark 2.1. We will use as well another presentation having the r_i instead of the p_i as generators, see [3]. The p_i 's and r_i 's are related by the equations:

$$r_i = s_{i-1}s_{i-2} \cdots s_1 p_1 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{i-1}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$p_i = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_i. \quad (2.9)$$

In this presentation, relations (2.4)–(2.7) are replaced by

$$r_i^2 = r_i, \quad r_i r_j = r_j r_i, \quad (2.10)$$

$$r_j s_i = s_i r_j \quad j \neq i, i+1, \quad (2.11)$$

$$r_i s_i = s_i r_{i+1}, \quad r_{i+1} s_i = s_i r_i \quad \text{for } i \in [1, n-1]. \quad (2.12)$$

$$r_i s_i r_i = r_i r_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i \in [1, n-1]. \quad (2.13)$$

2.4. The monoid P_n of set partitions. The monoid P_n is formed by the set partitions of $[1, n]$ with product given by refinement, see [4] for details. We recall that ([17, Theorem 2]) the monoid P_n can be presented by generators $e_{i,j}$, with $i, j \in [1, n]$ and $i < j$, satisfying the following relations:

$$e_{i,j}^2 = e_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } i < j, \quad (2.14)$$

$$e_{i,j} e_{r,s} = e_{r,s} e_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } i < j \text{ and } r < s, \quad (2.15)$$

$$e_{i,j} e_{i,k} = e_{i,j} e_{j,k} = e_{i,k} e_{j,k} \quad \text{for all } i < j < k. \quad (2.16)$$

2.5. Jones and Brauer monoids. We denote by J_n the Jones monoid, that is the monoid generated by t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} subject to the relations:

$$t_i^2 = t_i, \quad (2.17)$$

$$t_i t_j = t_j t_i \quad \text{if } |i-j| > 1, \text{ and } \quad t_i t_j t_i = t_i \quad \text{if } |i-j| = 1. \quad (2.18)$$

Recall that the cardinality of J_n is the n -Catalan number $Cat_n := \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$.

The Brauer monoid, denoted Br_n , is defined by the generators $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}$ satisfying the relations (2.2)-(2.3) and (2.17)-(2.18), together with the following *mixed* relations.

$$t_i s_i = s_i t_i = t_i, \quad (2.19)$$

$$t_i s_j = s_j t_i \quad \text{if } |i-j| > 1, \quad (2.20)$$

$$s_i t_j t_i = s_j t_i, \quad t_i t_j s_i = t_i s_j \quad \text{if } |i-j| = 1. \quad (2.21)$$

These relations imply

$$s_i t_j s_i = s_j t_i s_j, \quad t_i s_j t_i = t_i \quad \text{and} \quad t_i t_j = t_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i t_j, \quad \text{for } |i-j| = 1. \quad (2.22)$$

Recall that the cardinality of Br_n is $(2n-1)!!$.

2.6. The Hecke algebra. For an indeterminate v over \mathbb{C} , we set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(v)$. Denote by $H_n(v)$ the Hecke algebra, that is the \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by h_1, \dots, h_{n-1} subject to the braid relations among the h_i 's and the quadratic relations $h_i^2 = 1 + (v - v^{-1})h_i$, $i \in [1, n]$. Recall that $H_n(v)$ can be regarded as a deformation of the group algebra of the symmetric group S_n , i.e. $\mathbb{K}[S_n] = H_n(1)$, and also as the quotient of $\mathbb{K}[B_n]$ over the two-sided ideal generated by the quadratic expressions $\sigma_i^2 - 1 - (v - v^{-1})\sigma_i$, $i \in [1, n - 1]$.

2.7. The rook algebra. The rook algebra, denoted by $\mathcal{R}_n(v)$, is defined by generators $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}, P_1, \dots, P_n$ satisfying the Hecke relations among the T_i 's together with the following relations:

$$P_i^2 = P_i, \quad (2.23)$$

$$P_i T_j = T_j P_i, \quad (2.24)$$

$$P_i T_j = v P_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq n, \quad (2.25)$$

$$P_{i+1} = v P_i T_i^{-1} P_i \quad \text{for } i \in [1, n - 1]. \quad (2.26)$$

The rook algebra was introduced by L. Solomon in [34]. The presentation of $\mathcal{R}_n(v)$ described above is due to T. Halverson, see [19, Section 2].

2.8. The Temperley-Lieb algebra. Let n be a positive integer, u an indeterminate and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(u)$. We denote by $\text{TL}_n = \text{TL}_n(u)$ the Temperley-Lieb algebra, that is the \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1} , satisfying the following relations

$$t_i^2 = u t_i \quad \text{for all } i, \quad t_i t_j = t_j t_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \quad t_i t_j t_i = t_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1. \quad (2.27)$$

Note that $\text{TL}_n(u)$ is a deformation of the monoid algebra $\mathbb{C}[J_n]$, i.e., $\text{TL}_n(1) = \mathbb{C}[J_n]$.

2.9. The BMW algebra. We define the BMW algebra ([9, 32]) as in [13, Definition 1].

Let a, q be two indeterminates over \mathbb{C} . The algebra BMW_n is the $\mathbb{C}(a, q)$ -algebra defined by braid generators g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} , invertible, subject to braid relations, and tangle generators t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} , subject to relations (2.18), and to the mixed relations

$$g_i t_i = a^{-1} t_i, \quad (2.28)$$

$$t_i g_j^{\pm 1} t_i = a^{\pm 1} t_i, \quad \text{for } |i - j| = 1, \quad (2.29)$$

$$g_i - g_i^{-1} = (q - q^{-1})(1 - t_i). \quad (2.30)$$

Remark 2.2. It is well-known that BMW_n is a deformation of the Brauer algebra, see [35]. Observe that the deformation of (2.17) is a consequence of (2.30) and (2.28). More precisely we have

$$t_i^2 = x t_i, \quad \text{with } x = \frac{a - a^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} + 1, \quad (2.31)$$

so that, in the above presentation, $\text{BMW}_n = \mathbb{C}[Br_n]$ when $a = q = 1$.

3. FRAMIZATION

Recall that diagrammatically the d -framed, or framed, braid group is constructed by attaching an integer mod d to each strand of B_n . Following this construction we introduce the framed monoid for the knot monoids mentioned above. To do this we need the following preparation. For every positive integer d we denote $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ the group of integers modulo d , and by C_d the group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ written in multiplicative notation, so that C_d has the presentation $\langle z; z^d = 1 \rangle$. We define also

$$C_d^n = \langle z_1, \dots, z_n; z_i^d = 1, \quad z_i z_j = z_j z_i \rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

The elements of C_d^n can be diagrammatically represented by n vertical parallel lines, to each one of which is attached an integer. The product is obtained by concatenation and the integers are added modulo d . An equivalent way to represent the integer k attached to a line is to put k beads on the line. These lines will be interpreted as strands in the contexts of braid type. For this reason we refer to C_d^n as to *abacus monoid*.




FIGURE 1. Two elements of C_7^5 and their product.

The abacus monoid is manifestly generated by the special elements \mathfrak{o}_i , $i \in [1, n]$, consisting of diagrams differing from the identity by a sole bead on the line i , see Figure 2. Observe that \mathfrak{o}_i is invertible with inverse \mathfrak{o}_i^{d-1} .




FIGURE 2. Diagrams of the generators \mathfrak{o}_i , \mathfrak{o}_i^3 and $\mathfrak{o}_i^{-1} = \mathfrak{o}_i^5$ in C_6^n .

3.1. Framization of symmetric and braid group. We denote by \mathcal{S}_n the group $\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n = \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes S_n$ derived from the permutation action of S_n on \mathbb{Z}^n . This action together with the natural epimorphism of $B_n \rightarrow S_n$ produces the so called *framed braid group* $\mathcal{F}_n := \mathbb{Z} \wr B_n$, which appear firstly in [25, 31]. In these papers the elements of \mathcal{F}_n are the usual geometrical braids but with an integer attached to each strand.

Define

$$S_{d,n} = C_d \wr S_n, \quad \mathcal{F}_{d,n} = C_d \wr B_n. \quad (3.2)$$

We say that $S_{d,n}$ is the result of framization of the symmetric group, and $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ is the result of the framization of the braid group.

Remark 3.1. Observe that $S_{d,n}$ has a presentation with generators z_i 's and s_i 's satisfying the relations in (3.1), the Coxeter relations (2.2), (2.3) among the s_i 's, together with the relation

$$z_j s_i = s_i z_{s_i(j)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $s_i(j)$ denote the action of the transposition $(i, i + 1)$ on j .

Note that $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ is presented by z_i 's and σ_i 's satisfying the relations in (3.1), the braid relations (2.1) among the σ_i 's, together with the relation $z_j \sigma_i = \sigma_i z_{s_i(j)}$. Also, it is evident that relations between z_i and s_j or σ_j are compatible with the diagrammatic interpretation of the framing as a bead sliding along the strand, so that $\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ can be viewed as an abacus monid. The next figure show an example of the product in $\mathcal{F}_{3,5}$ as abacus monoid.




FIGURE 3. Two elements of $\mathcal{F}_{3,5}$ and their product.

3.2. The framed set partition monoid. The first framed monoid we introduce here is obtained by the framization of the set partition monoid P_n .

The elements of P_n can be represented diagrammatically by n parallel vertical lines, corresponding to the elements, and horizontal wave lines, called *ties*, each one connecting a pair of lines. If two lines are connected by a tie, the corresponding elements belong to the same block of the partition. In diagrammatic terms, the element $e_{i,j}$ corresponds to a single tie connecting the lines i and j . The diagrammatic representation is standard if the ties of a block $\{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m\}$ are exactly the $m - 1$ ties connecting a_i to a_{i+1} . The standard representation is unique. For instance, Figure 4 represents the set partition $\{\{1, 2, 4\}, \{3, 5\}\}$ as product of partitions $\{\{1, 4\}, \{3, 5\}\}$ and $\{\{2, 4\}\}$.




FIGURE 4. Two elements of P_5 and their product.

Definition 3.1. *The d -framed set partition monoid $P_{d,n}$ coincides with P_n for $d = 1$, and for $d > 1$ is the monoid obtained by putting together the presentation of P_n by the $e_{i,j}$ subject to (2.14)–(2.16) with that of $C_{d,n}$ given in (3.1), and adding the following relations:*

$$z_k e_{i,j} = e_{i,j} z_k, \quad z_i e_{i,j} = z_j e_{i,j}. \tag{3.4}$$

Here again we can consider the abacus version of this monoid. Thus equation (3.4) says that the tie $e_{i,j}$ allows the beads to move freely from strand i to strands j and vice-versa, see Figure 5.





FIGURE 5. Two elements of $P_{7,5}$ and their product.

3.3. Framed Jones monoid. Before introducing the framed Jones monoid, we need to remember some facts about the Jones monoid and his diagrammatical interpretation. J_n can be considered as the monoid formed by the diagrams made by n noncrossing arcs, with endpoints on the integer points $1, \dots, n$ of two parallel lines. The product is defined by concatenation, in which the loops are neglected. See Figure 6.

FIGURE 6. Two elements of J_5 and their product.

Notation. The two horizontal parallel segments where the endpoints of the arcs of a diagram lie, are said respectively the *top* and the *bottom segment*. An arc with both endpoints on the top (bottom) segment is called *up-bracket* (*down-bracket*). Otherwise it is called *line*. Moreover, we call *ne-line* (*nw-line*) a line whose lower endpoint is smaller (greater) than the upper one. For instance, the diagrams (a) and (c) in Figure 6 have a sole ne-line, and the diagram (b) has a sole nw-line.

The diagram corresponding to the generator t_i is called *tangle*, and its diagram is shown in Figure 7a, i.e. it is the diagram with only the pair of brackets with endpoints i and $i + 1$.

Recall that every element in J_n can be written in a normal form, see [20, Aside 4.1.4]. More precisely, for $i \geq j$, we set $U_{ij} = t_i t_{i-1} \cdots t_j$. Thus every element $w \in J_n$ can be written in a normal form $T^+(w)$, where

$$T^+(w) = U_{i_1 j_1} \cdots U_{i_k j_k}, \quad i_1 < i_2 \cdots < i_k, \quad j_1 < j_2 \cdots < j_k. \quad (3.5)$$

The dual $T^-(w)$ of $T^+(w)$ is another normal form of w given by

$$T^-(w) = V_{i_1 j_1} \cdots V_{i_h j_h}, \quad i_1 > i_2 \cdots > i_h, \quad j_1 > j_2 \cdots > j_h, \quad (3.6)$$

where, for $i \leq j$, $V_{ij} := t_i t_{i+1} \cdots t_j$.

Definition 3.2. We say that an integer $g \in [1, n]$ is a *gap* of $w \in J_n$, if the indices g and $g - 1$ do not appear in the normal form of w . Note that indices 0 and n never appear; moreover, the notion of gap is independent from the normal form we use. We denote by $\underline{j}_1, \dots, \underline{j}_k$ the second indices in the U_{ij} 's of $T^+(w)$ and $\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_h$ the first indices in the V_{ij} 's of $T^-(w)$.

Example 3.1. The diagram in Figure 6a is represented by the normal forms

$$T^+(w) = U_{2,1} U_{3,2} U_{4,4} = t_2 t_1 t_3 t_2 t_4 = t_2 t_3 t_4 t_1 t_2 = V_{2,4} V_{1,2} = T^-(w). \quad (3.7)$$

See Figure 7b.




FIGURE 7. a) The diagram of the tangle generator t_i . b) The diagrams of the normal forms $T^+(w)$ and $T^-(w)$ for the element in Figure 6a.

Remark 3.2. The number of generators t_i in the normal forms is minimal in the set of the representatives of the same element in J_n . Moreover, each vertical segment in the diagram of a normal form, lying on the i -th vertical segment of the identity, terminates either on the top or bottom line, or on a tangle t_i or t_{i-1} . If this segment terminates on two tangles, these tangles are necessarily different, i.e., t_i on one end and t_{i-1} on the other end.

Proposition 3.1. *If g is a gap of $w \in J_n$, then the diagram of w has a line with endpoint g in both top and bottom segment, and the diagram of the normal form has a vertical line at g . Moreover, the integers $\underline{j}_1, \dots, \underline{j}_k$ are either the left points of down-brackets or the lower points of ne-lines; the integers $\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_h$ are either the left points of up-brackets or the upper points of nw-lines.*

Proof. The diagram of the normal form coincides with that of the identity on the gap points. The first statement follows from the fact that the arc endpoints are invariants of the set of representatives of the same element of the monoid. Consider now a monomial U_{ij} and observe that the generator t_j in it is the last t_j in $T^+(w)$. Since the generators at right of t_j in $T^+(w)$ have indices higher than j , and so the corresponding tangles are at right of the tangle t_j in the diagram, the left lower point of this tangle is straightly connected to the point j of the bottom segment. So it is either the left point of a down-bracket or the lower point of a ne-line. Similarly, the generator t_i in V_{ij} appears in $T^-(w)$ for the first time. Since the generators at left of t_i in $T^-(w)$ have indices higher than i , and so the corresponding tangles are at right of the tangle t_i , the left upper point of this tangle is straightly connected to the point i of the top segment. So it is either the left point of an up-bracket or the upper point of a we-line. \square

Example 3.2. See Figure 7b. By (3.7), we have $\underline{j}_1, \dots, \underline{j}_3 = 1, 2, 4$ and $\bar{i}_1, \bar{i}_2 = 2, 1$. In the bottom line of the diagrams in (b), 1 is the lower points of a ne-lines and 2,4 are left points of down brackets, while in the top line 1,2 are left points of up brackets.

We are now ready to introduce and study the framed Jones monoid.

Definition 3.3. We define the framed Jones monoid, denoted by $J_{d,n}$, as the monoid generated by $t_1, \dots, t_n, z_1, \dots, z_n$ satisfying the relations (2.17)-(2.18) and (3.1) together the following mixed relations.

$$t_i z_i = t_i z_{i+1}, \quad z_i t_i = z_{i+1} t_i, \quad (3.8)$$

$$z_i t_j = t_j z_i \quad \text{for } i \neq j, j+1, \quad (3.9)$$

$$t_i z_i^k t_i = t_i. \quad (3.10)$$

Theorem 3.1. The cardinality of $J_{d,n}$ is $d^n \cdot \text{Cat}_n$.

To prove this theorem, we introduce the *abacus Jones monoid*, denoted by $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$. This monoid is formed by the diagrams with n noncrossing arcs, with at most $d-1$ beads sliding on each arc.

The product in $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$ is defined by the usual concatenation, by counting beads modulo d , and by neglecting the loops containing or not beads.

Example 3.3. See Figure 8




FIGURE 8. Two elements of $\mathring{J}_{4,5}$ and their product.

Remark 3.3. Observe that the abacus monoid C_d^n is a submonoid of $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$. Also, the set formed by the tangle diagrams without beads, $\mathring{J}_{1,n}$, is a submonoid of $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$ for every d . This submonoid coincides with the Jones monoid J_n . The cardinality of $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$ is evidently $d^n \cdot \text{Cat}_n$.

Theorem 3.1 will follow from the fact that the monoids $J_{d,n}$ and $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$ are isomorphic. This will be proven in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. The monoid $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$ is presented by the tangle generators $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{n-1}$ and the bead generators $\mathbf{o}_1, \dots, \mathbf{o}_n$, satisfying, together with the relations (2.17)-(2.18), the

following relations:

$$\mathfrak{o}_i^d = 1, \quad \mathfrak{o}_i \mathfrak{o}_j = \mathfrak{o}_j \mathfrak{o}_i, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\mathfrak{t}_i \mathfrak{o}_i = \mathfrak{t}_i \mathfrak{o}_{i+1}, \quad \mathfrak{o}_i \mathfrak{t}_i = \mathfrak{o}_{i+1} \mathfrak{t}_i, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\mathfrak{o}_i \mathfrak{t}_j = \mathfrak{t}_j \mathfrak{o}_i \quad \text{for } i \neq j, j + 1, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\mathfrak{t}_i \mathfrak{o}_i^k \mathfrak{t}_i = \mathfrak{t}_i. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. The proof is organized as follows: in Lemma 3.1 we prove that $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$ is generated by the \mathfrak{t}_i 's and \mathfrak{o}_i 's by providing a normal form for the elements of $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$. In Lemma 3.2 we prove that every word in the generators \mathfrak{t}_i 's and \mathfrak{o}_i 's can be put, by means of relations (3.11)–(3.14), in the normal form defined in Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\hat{w} \in \mathring{J}_{d,n}$, and let w be obtained from \hat{w} by removing the beads. Let g_1, \dots, g_p be the gaps of w and $\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_h, \underline{j}_1, \dots, \underline{j}_k$ associated to w according to Definition 3.2. Then \hat{w} can be written uniquely in the following normal form*

$$\mathfrak{o}_{g_1}^{q_1} \dots \mathfrak{o}_{g_p}^{q_p} \mathfrak{o}_{\bar{i}_1}^{r_1} \dots \mathfrak{o}_{\bar{i}_h}^{r_h} T^+(w) \mathfrak{o}_{\underline{j}_1}^{s_1} \dots \mathfrak{o}_{\underline{j}_k}^{s_k}, \tag{3.15}$$

where $q_i, r_i, s_i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Given $\hat{w} \in \mathring{J}_{d,n}$, let's move the beads on each arc to its endpoint at left. For a ne-line this endpoint is on the bottom line and for a nw-line it is on the top line. In a vertical line move the beads to the top. So, \hat{w} results in this way the product $\hat{w} = o w o'$ where o and o' are products of \mathfrak{o}_i , and $w \in J_n$. Now, w has a unique normal form $T^+(w)$, the indices i of the \mathfrak{o}_i are uniquely defined by Proposition 3.1, and the exponents q_i, r_i, s_i count modulo d the beads on each arc. \square

Example 3.4. The normal form for the element in Figure 8a is $\mathfrak{o}_2 \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{t}_3 \mathfrak{t}_2 \mathfrak{t}_4 \mathfrak{o}_1^2 \mathfrak{o}_4$, see its diagram in Figure 9.




FIGURE 9. The diagram of the normal form for the element in Figure 8a.

Remark 3.4. The sum $p + h + k = n$, since the numbers of up-brackets, down-bracket, ne-lines, nw-lines and vertical lines is evidently n . Therefore, for every w , there are d^n possible choices of the exponents q_i, r_i, s_i . Thus, the cardinality of $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$ is recovered.

Lemma 3.2. *Every word in the generators \mathbf{t}_i 's and \mathbf{o}_i 's can be put, in $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$, in the normal form (3.15).*

Proof. Let w be a word in the generators \mathbf{t}_i and \mathbf{o}_i . Observe that by definition of concatenation, the diagram of w is an element of $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$ and hence it has a normal form. We call t-length of w the number of generators \mathbf{t}_i in it. Now, if w does not contain generators \mathbf{o}_i , its t-length can be decreased till the minimal length of the normal form, by using the relations (2.17)-(2.18), by Remarks 3.2 and 3.3. We prove that this can be done also in presence of generators \mathbf{o}_j , by using the relations of $\mathring{J}_{d,n}$. To reduce the t-length, we have to use the second relation in (2.18) and relation (3.12); i.e, we have to collect together generators forming the subwords

$$\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{t}_{i\pm 1} \mathbf{t}_i, \quad \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_i^k \mathbf{t}_i, \quad \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_{i+1}^k \mathbf{t}_i, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.16)$$

which will be replaced by \mathbf{t}_i . To do that, suppose we have to move \mathbf{t}_i at right of a subword v , and that this is allowed in absence of the \mathbf{o}_j 's in v . This means v contains only generators \mathbf{t}_k with $|k - i| > 1$. If each \mathbf{o}_j in v satisfies $j \neq i, i + 1$, then we get $\mathbf{t}_i v = v \mathbf{t}_i$ by (3.13). So, \mathbf{t}_i cannot move at right in v if it meets either \mathbf{o}_i or \mathbf{o}_{i+1} . In both these cases, by (3.12), we consider $\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_i$ as a binomial that has to be moved at right and so on. Because of relations (3.11), we get eventually $\mathbf{t}_i v = v' \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_i^k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_d$. If v was followed by \mathbf{t}_i , we are done. If v was followed by \mathbf{t}_{i+1} , and $k > 0$, then we write $\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_i^k \mathbf{t}_{i+1} = \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_{i+1}^k \mathbf{t}_{i+1} = \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_{i+2}^k \mathbf{t}_{i+1} = \mathbf{o}_{i+2}^k \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{t}_{i+1}$. Similarly, if v was followed by \mathbf{t}_{i-1} , we write $\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_i^k \mathbf{t}_{i-1} = \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_{i+1}^k \mathbf{t}_{i-1} = \mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{t}_{i-1} \mathbf{o}_{i+1}^k$. We will follow an analogous procedure to move \mathbf{t}_i at left of a word v . Therefore, we can collect together the generators to form the subwords in (3.16). Proceeding in this way, we get a word w' which contains exactly the same \mathbf{t}_i generators as the normal form of w .

Now we have to collect the \mathbf{o}_j at the beginning and at the end of the word. Observe that, if there is some \mathbf{o}_j such that j is a gap, such \mathbf{o}_j commutes with all other generators in w' and then it can be moved at the beginning of the word. If j is not a gap, the moving of \mathbf{o}_j at right or at left in the word, is stopped when it meets \mathbf{t}_j or \mathbf{t}_{j-1} . Observe that, diagrammatically, the move of \mathbf{o}_j corresponds to a sliding of the bead up and down along a vertical segment. Therefore, we start from the first \mathbf{o}_j in w' which is not a gap and proceed as follows. Our aim is to decrease the index of \mathbf{o}_j by relation (3.12). We consider one case, the others are obtained by exchanging left with right. If moving \mathbf{o}_j at right we meet \mathbf{t}_j , we move it at left. Then either it reaches the beginning of the word, or, by Remark 3.2, it meets \mathbf{t}_{j-1} . Then $\mathbf{t}_{j-1} \mathbf{o}_j$ is replaced by $\mathbf{t}_{j-1} \mathbf{o}_{j-1}$. Again we move \mathbf{o}_{j-1} at right. It can now overpass \mathbf{t}_j . Then, either it reaches the end of the word, or it meets \mathbf{t}_{j-2} , by the same reason. So, $\mathbf{o}_{j-1} \mathbf{t}_{j-2}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{o}_{j-2} \mathbf{t}_{j-2}$ and we move \mathbf{o}_{j-2} at left and so on. Eventually we get \mathbf{o}_m , with $m < j$, at the beginning or at the end of the word. This is done for each \mathbf{o}_j in w' , till w' is transformed in a product $ow''o'$, such that w'' does not contain \mathbf{o}_j 's and can be put in the normal form $T^+(w'')$. Moreover, since our procedure has only diminished the indices of the \mathbf{o}_j 's, o contains \mathbf{o}_i only if i is a gap, or is the left endpoint of an up-bracket or the top endpoint of a nw-line, and o' contains \mathbf{o}_i only if i is the left endpoint of a down-bracket or the lower endpoint of a ne-line. The

rearrangements of the \mathfrak{o}_i in o and o' is made by using relations (3.11). The normal form (3.15) is attained. \square

3.4. The Framed Brauer Monoid.

Definition 3.4. *The framed Brauer monoid $Br_{d,n}$ is the monoid generated by $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, z_1, \dots, z_n$ satisfying (2.19)-(2.21), the defining relations of $S_{d,n}$ (Remark 3.1) and $J_{d,n}$. In other words $Br_{d,n}$ is the monoid generated by $S_{d,n} \cup J_{d,n}$.*

Theorem 3.2. *The cardinality of $Br_{d,n}$ is $d^n \cdot (2n - 1)!!$.*

The proof will follow the same procedure used for Theorem 3.1. So, we first introduce the abacus version $\mathring{B}r_{d,n}$ of $Br_{d,n}$.

As for the Jones monoid, an element of the monoid Br_n can be represented by a diagram with n arcs with endpoints on two horizontal lines, the only difference being that here the lines can cross each other.

We recall that in [4, Lemma 28], the monoid Br_n has been provided with a normal form, namely

$$st_1t_3 \dots t_{2k-1}s', \tag{3.17}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n/2$ is the number of up-brackets, and $s, s' \in S_n$.

The normal form is defined in the following way. Let $1, \dots, n$ be the points of the top segment. We define the set $A \subset [1, n]$ by putting $j \in A$ if j is the left point of an up-bracket. The cardinality of A is $k \leq n/2$. The set A is ordered by the order in $[1, n]$. Then we define the ordered set $B \in [1, n]$ containing the respective right endpoints of the up-brackets. In the third set $C \in [1, n]$ we put the $n - 2k$ upper points of the lines, ordered by their order in $[1, n]$. For the points $1, \dots, n$ in the bottom segment, we define similarly: the ordered set $A' \subset [1, n]$ by putting $j \in A'$ if j is the left point of a down-bracket, keeping the order of $j \in [1, n]$; the ordered set B' containing the k right endpoints respecting the order in A' ; and the ordered set C' containing the lower points of the lines, respecting the order of the corresponding upper points in C . Then we write the normal form as (3.17), where s is the permutation sending the $a_i \in A$ to $2i - 1$ and $b_i \in B$ to $2i$, for $i \in [1, k]$, and $c_i \in C$ to $2k + i$ for $i \in [1, n - 2k]$. The permutation s' sends $2i - 1$ to $a'_i \in A'$ and $2i$ to $b'_i \in B'$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $2k + i, \dots, n$ to $c'_i \in C'$, see Example 3.6 and the following figure.




FIGURE 10. An element of Br_6 and its normal form.

We denote by $\mathring{B}r_{d,n}$ the *abacus Brauer monoid*, which is formed by the diagrams with n arcs, with at most $d - 1$ beads sliding on each arc. Also here the product is defined as usually by concatenation, and again by counting beads modulo d and neglecting the loops containing or not beads, and containing or not crossings. Note that $\mathring{B}r_{1,n} = Br_n$ is a submonoid of $\mathring{B}r_{d,n}$ for all d, n .

Example 3.5. See Figure 11




FIGURE 11. Two elements of $\mathring{B}_{4,5}$ and their product.

Since the cardinality of $\mathring{B}r_{d,n}$ is manifestly $(2n - 1)!!d^n$, Theorem 3.2 follows from the next proposition, showing that $\mathring{B}r_{d,n}$ and $Br_{d,n}$ are isomorphic.

Proposition 3.3. *The monoid $\mathring{B}r_{d,n}$ is generated by $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{n-1}$, $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{n-1}$, $\mathbf{o}_1, \dots, \mathbf{o}_n$, subject to the relations (2.2), (2.3), (2.17)-(2.18), (2.19)-(2.21), (3.11)-(3.14), and*

$$\mathbf{o}_j \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \mathbf{o}_{s_i(j)}. \quad (3.18)$$

Observe that, diagrammatically, an element of S_n is represented by a diagram with n arcs, all having the upper points on the top segment and the lower point on the bottom segment. Except that for the identity element, all elements have diagrams with crossing arcs. Relations (3.18) between framing generators and elements \mathbf{s}_i , (see Remark 3.1) mean, in term of diagrams, that the beads generators \mathbf{o}_i are sliding along the arcs also in presence of crossings. This does not contradict a topological interpretation of the diagrams as plane projection of physical strings as in the case of the braids. Indeed, we may interpret the element \mathbf{s}_i as a positive crossing, but relation (2.2) means that positive and negative crossings are indistinguishable.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. We prove that $\mathring{B}r_{d,n}$ admits a normal form in terms of the generators, and then that every element can be put in that normal form.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathring{w} \in \mathring{B}r_{d,n}$, and let w be obtained from \mathring{w} by removing the beads. Then \mathring{w} can be written uniquely in the following normal form*

$$\mathbf{o}N(w)\mathbf{o}', \quad (3.19)$$

where $N(w)$ is the normal form of w given by (3.17), o is the product of $\mathfrak{o}_j^{\epsilon_j}$ over all j on the upper segment that are either left points of up-brackets or upper points of lines, ϵ_j are the numbers of beads on the corresponding arcs. Similarly, o' is the product of $\mathfrak{o}_j^{\epsilon'_j}$ over all j that are the left points of down-brackets, with ϵ'_j beads respectively.

Proof. Given an element $\mathring{w} \in \mathring{Br}_{d,n}$, it is evident that, in each bracket, all beads can be moved near the left endpoint, and in each line, all beads can be moved near the upper point. Then, the diagram results the concatenation of three diagrams: the first one at top and the last one at bottom containing only beads, and a central diagram containing arcs without beads. This last central diagram is put in the normal form $N(w)$. \square

Remark 3.5. Observe that every $x \in J_n$ has no crossings. However, it lives also in Br_n , and its normal form in Br_n may have crossings. The same remains true for $x \in \mathring{J}_{d,n}$, see Figure 12.

Example 3.6. To write the normal form for the element in Figure 12, we define $A = \{1, 2\}$, $B = \{3, 4\}$, $C = \{5\}$, $A' = \{2, 4\}$, $B' := \{3, 5\}$, $C' = \{1\}$. Moreover, $\epsilon_1 = 0, \epsilon_2 = 1, \epsilon_5 = 2, \epsilon'_4 = 1$. The normal form is

$$\mathfrak{o}_2 \mathfrak{o}_5^2 s \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{t}_3 s' \mathfrak{o}_4,$$

where $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1,2,3,4,5 \\ 1,4,2,3,5 \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{s}_3 \mathfrak{s}_2$ and $s' = \begin{pmatrix} 1,2,3,4,5 \\ 2,3,4,5,1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{s}_4 \mathfrak{s}_3 \mathfrak{s}_2 \mathfrak{s}_1$, see Figure 12.




FIGURE 12. The normal form in $\mathring{Br}_{4,5}$ of the element in Figure 9.

Notational convention. In what follows we omit for short the exponents ϵ_j of the \mathfrak{o}_j 's since they do not affect the proofs.

Lemma 3.4. Every word in the generators \mathfrak{t}_i , \mathfrak{s}_i and \mathfrak{o}_i can be put in the normal form (3.19) by using the relations given in Proposition 3.3.

Proof. Now, suppose that \mathring{z} is a word in the generators $\mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{t}_i$ and \mathfrak{o}_i , and let z be the word obtained from \mathring{z} by canceling all \mathfrak{o}_i . We know ([26, Theorem 3.1]) that every word in the generators \mathfrak{t}_i and \mathfrak{s}_i can be put in the normal form (3.17) by using the relations defining Br_n , so z can be put in the normal form, that we denote $N(z)$. Observe that the transformation of z into $N(z)$ requires a chain of, say, m of local transformations, each one using a relation among (2.17)-(2.18) and (2.19)–(2.21), here denoted R_k . Observe

that any relation R_k is of the form $v = v'$, where v and v' are two words defining the same element; we will write indifferently $v = \rho_k(v')$ or $v' = \rho_k(v)$. Let $z_0 = z$, z_i the words at intermediate steps and $z_m = N(z)$. At each step, z_i can be written as product of subwords $x_i v_i y_i$, where x_i and v_i may be 1, and $z_{i+1} = x_i \rho_k(v_i) y_i$. Now we come back to \dot{z} , we write $\dot{z}_0 = \dot{z}$ and we subdivide it into subwords $\dot{x}_0 \dot{v}_0 \dot{y}_0$, in such a way that \dot{v}_0 has no generators \mathfrak{o}_j at the beginning and at the end, i.e., these generators are put in \dot{x}_0 and \dot{y}_0 respectively. So, the generator \mathfrak{o}_j may be inside the word \dot{v} . In the case they do not occur, i.e., $\dot{v}_0 = v_0$, we can proceed with $\dot{z}_1 = \dot{x}_0 \rho_k(\dot{v}_0) \dot{y}_0$ and so on. The problem arises when \dot{v}_i has generators \mathfrak{o}_j inside. We need to prove the following result.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $w = w'$ be a relation R_k of Br_n . Let \dot{w} be obtained by inserting products of generators \mathfrak{o}_j , all non commuting with w , between every pair of adjacent generators in the word w . Then, in \dot{Br}_n , we have $\dot{w} = o_1 w o_2$, with o_1 and o_2 products of \mathfrak{o}_j , so that relation R_k can be applied to \dot{w} , giving $\dot{w} = o_1 w' o_2$.*

Proof. The proof consists in verifying all relations. We give here two examples:

1) For relation (2.21), with $i = 1, j = 2$, we write

$$\mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{o}_1 \mathfrak{o}_2 \mathfrak{s}_2 \mathfrak{o}_1 \mathfrak{o}_2 \mathfrak{t}_1 \stackrel{(3.12)}{=} \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{o}_2^2 \mathfrak{s}_2 \mathfrak{o}_2^2 \mathfrak{t}_1 \stackrel{(3.18)}{=} \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{o}_3^2 \mathfrak{s}_2 \mathfrak{o}_3^2 \mathfrak{t}_1 \stackrel{(3.13)}{=} \mathfrak{o}_3^2 \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{s}_2 \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{o}_3^2 \stackrel{(2.22)}{=} \mathfrak{o}_3^2 \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{o}_3^2.$$

2) For relation (2.22), with $i = 1, j = 2$, we write

$$\mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{o}_1 \mathfrak{o}_2 \mathfrak{o}_3 \mathfrak{t}_2 \stackrel{(3.12)}{=} \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{o}_1^2 \mathfrak{o}_3 \mathfrak{t}_2 \stackrel{(3.13)}{=} \mathfrak{o}_3 \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{t}_2 \mathfrak{o}_1^2 \stackrel{(2.21)}{=} \mathfrak{o}_3 \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{s}_2 \mathfrak{s}_1 \mathfrak{o}_1^2 \stackrel{(2.22)}{=} \mathfrak{o}_3 \mathfrak{s}_2 \mathfrak{s}_1 \mathfrak{t}_2 \mathfrak{o}_1^2.$$

□

By using the lemma above for the subword \dot{v}_i if it contains generators \mathfrak{o}_j , we finally get a word $\dot{N}(z)$ which coincides with $N(z)$ if all generators \mathfrak{o}_j are removed. If $N(z)$ has no generators \mathfrak{t}_i , then the \mathfrak{o}_j can be moved at left of the word by using (3.18) and the normal form (3.19) is attained. Observe that we use the word *move* having in mind the bead that slide along the arc, but in fact by overpassing a crossing \mathfrak{s}_i , \mathfrak{o}_j is transformed into $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{s}_i(j)}$ by (3.18). If $N(z)$ contains \mathfrak{t}_i 's, then write $N(z) = sT s'$ where $T = \mathfrak{t}_1 \mathfrak{t}_3 \dots \mathfrak{t}_{2k-1}$ (see (3.17)). Now, we want to move the \mathfrak{o}_j 's in such a way that $\dot{N}(z)$ is transformed into a word of type $sOTO's'$. More precisely, we do the following: in $\dot{N}(z)$ we denote \dot{T} the subword that coincides with T by removing the generators \mathfrak{o}_j . Now, let \mathfrak{o}_j appear on the left of \dot{T} , but also on the left of generators \mathfrak{s}_i of s . We can move it at right till it becomes \mathfrak{o}_r close to \dot{T} . If $r = 2i \leq 2k$, then we replace \mathfrak{o}_r by \mathfrak{o}_{r-1} using (3.12). We repeat this procedure for every \mathfrak{o}_j at left of \dot{T} . Similarly, let \mathfrak{o}_j on the right of \dot{T} , but also on the right of generators \mathfrak{s}_i of s' . We can move it at left till it becomes \mathfrak{o}_q close to \dot{T} . In this case, if $q = 2i \leq 2k$, then we replace \mathfrak{o}_q by \mathfrak{o}_{q-1} using (3.12), while if $q > 2k$, we put \mathfrak{o}_q at left of \dot{T} by (3.13). We repeat this procedure for every \mathfrak{o}_j at right of \dot{T} . It remains to consider the case when \mathfrak{o}_j is inside \dot{T} . If $j > 2k$, \mathfrak{o}_j is moved at left of \dot{T} by using (3.13). If $j = 2i - 1$, or $j = 2i$, and \mathfrak{o}_j is at right (resp. at left) of \mathfrak{t}_{2i-1} , then we put \mathfrak{o}_{2i-1} at right (resp. at left) of \dot{T} , by (3.12) and (3.13). In this way we obtain a word of type $sOTO's'$.

Observe that if O contains \mathfrak{o}_j , then either $j > 2k$ or j is odd, while if O' contains \mathfrak{o}_j then $j < 2k$ and odd. Therefore, by applying s^{-1} to all indices j in O and s' to all indices j in O' , we get respectively o and o' , so the normal form (3.19) is obtained. \square

The proof of Proposition 3.3 is completed. \square

3.5. Two Framed Rook Monoids. Recall from Subsection 2.3 the rook monoid R_n and its presentations. An element of the monoid R_n can be represented by a diagram with $k \leq n$ lines all having the upper points on the top segment and the lower point on the bottom segment. The $2n - k$ points of the top and of the bottom segments that are not endpoints of lines are endpoints of arcs having the other endpoint moving freely between the top and bottom segments. These arcs, called *broken arcs*, may of course shrink to their non-free endpoint on the top or bottom segment.

Before introducing a presentation for the two framed Rook monoids, let's recall the diagrams of the generator r_i and of the generator p_i , see Figure 13. Observe that relation (2.9) is evident.



FIGURE 13. The generators r_3 and p_3 of R_5 .

In [3, Remark 3], the monoid R_n has been provided with a normal form, namely

$$r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \cdots r_{i_{n-k}} s, \tag{3.20}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n$ is the number of lines, i_1, \dots, i_{n-k} are the points on the top segments that are not endpoints of lines and $s \in S_n$ sends the upper points of the lines to their lower points and the remaining $n - k$ ordered points on the top segment to the $n - k$ ordered points of the bottom segment, e.g. see Figure 14.




FIGURE 14. An element of R_5 and its normal form.

Remark 3.6. Observe that for the rook monoid the elements are either point or lines. Now, it is natural to attach a framing to a line as in the symmetric group, while it is less natural to attach a framing to a point. In literature indeed (e.g. [11]) the framed rook monoid $R_{d,n}$ has no framings attached to points. However, we consider as well this other possibility, defining the framed rook monoid $R'_{d,n}$, that will therefore satisfy $R_{d,n} \subset R'_{d,n}$.

3.5.1. *The framed rook monoid $R_{d,n}$.*

Definition 3.5. *The framed rook monoid $R_{d,n}$ is defined by generators $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, p_1, \dots, p_1, z_1, \dots, z_n$ satisfying the defining relations of R_n , relations (3.11)-(3.14), (3.18), and the following relations:*

$$p_i z_j = z_j p_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \quad (3.21)$$

$$p_i z_j = z_j p_i = p_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n. \quad (3.22)$$

Remark 3.7. The above definition coincides with the one given in [11, Theorem 3.22], given in terms of generators r_i 's with relations (2.10)-(2.13), so that relations (3.21) and (3.22) are replaced by

$$r_i z_j = z_j r_i, \quad \text{for all } i \neq j, \quad (3.23)$$

$$r_i z_i = z_i r_i = r_i. \quad (3.24)$$

Theorem 3.3. *The cardinality of $R_{d,n}$ is given by $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 k! d^k$.*

For $n = 1, \dots, 7, d = 2$ the the formula gives 3, 17, 139, 1473, 19091, 291793, 5129307. To prove this theorem, we proceed as for the previous framed monoids.

We denote by $\mathring{R}_{d,n}$ the *first abacus Rook monoid*, which is formed by the diagrams with k lines with at most $d - 1$ beads sliding on each line, and $2n - k$ broken arcs without beads. Indeed, in this first abacus Rook monoid the free endpoint of a broken arc allows the beads to escape. Also here the product is defined as usually by concatenation, and again by counting beads modulo d and neglecting arcs with two free endpoints containing or not beads. Note that $\mathring{R}_{1,n} = R_n$ and R_n is a submonoid of $\mathring{R}_{d,n}$ for all d, n .

Example 3.7. See Figure 15.




FIGURE 15. Two elements of $\mathring{R}_{4,5}$ and their product.

The cardinality of $\mathring{R}_{d,n}$ is evidently $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 k! d^k$. Then, we prove the following

Proposition 3.4. *The monoid $\mathring{R}_{d,n}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{s}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_{n-1}, r_1, \dots, r_n, \mathfrak{o}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{o}_n$, subject to the relations (2.2), (2.3), (3.11)-(3.14), (3.18), (2.4)-(2.7) and*

$$r_i \mathfrak{o}_j = \mathfrak{o}_j r_i \quad \text{for all } i \neq j, \quad (3.25)$$

$$r_i \mathfrak{o}_i = \mathfrak{o}_i r_i = r_i. \quad (3.26)$$

Outline of the proof of the Proposition. We prove that every element of $\mathring{R}_{d,n}$ can be written in terms of the generators s_i 's, r_i 's and \mathfrak{o}_i 's in the following normal form.

$$\mathfrak{o}_{j_1}^{m_1} \mathfrak{o}_{j_2}^{m_2} \cdots \mathfrak{o}_{j_k}^{m_k} r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \cdots r_{i_{n-k}} s, \quad (3.27)$$

where j_1, \dots, j_k are the upper points of the k lines, i_1, \dots, i_{n-k} and s are defined as in (3.20).

Observe that m_1, \dots, m_k lie in $[0, d-1]$, and s is a permutation of S_k , and hence $\mathring{R}_{d,n}$ has cardinality $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 k! d^k$. To conclude, we verify that every word in such generators, using the relations above, can be put in the normal form (3.27). We get that $\mathring{R}_{d,n}$ coincides with $R_{d,n}$, so Theorem 3.3 follows.

3.5.2. The framed Rook monoid $R'_{d,n}$.

Definition 3.6. *The monoid $R'_{d,n}$ is defined by generators $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, p_1, \dots, p_n, z_1, \dots, z_n$ satisfying the same defining relations of R_n but relation (3.22) which is replaced by*

$$p_i z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \cdots z_i^{m_i} p_j = p_j z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \cdots z_i^{m_i} p_i = p_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \quad (3.28)$$

Remark 3.8. In terms of generators r_i 's, eq. (3.28) becomes

$$r_i z_i^k r_i = r_i. \quad (3.29)$$


The proof of the following theorem follows step by step that of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.4. *The cardinality of $R'_{d,n}$ is given by $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 k! d^{2n-k}$.*

For $n = 1, \dots, 7, d = 2$ the cardinalities are 6, 56, 68, 10368, 184064, 3748864, 85960704.

We introduce the abacus monoid $\mathring{R}'_{d,n}$, consisting of diagrams with k lines with at most $d-1$ beads sliding on each line, and $2n-k$ broken arcs with at most $d-1$ beads sliding on each arc. The free endpoint of a broken arc here blocks the beads, and in the diagram it is marked by a bar. The product is defined as usually by concatenation, and again by counting beads modulo d and neglecting arcs with two free endpoints containing or not beads. Note that also in this case $\mathring{R}'_{1,n} = R_n$ and R_n is a submonoid of $\mathring{R}'_{d,n}$ for all d, n .

Example 3.8. See Figure 16.

FIGURE 16. Two elements of $\mathring{R}'_{4,5}$ and their product.

Since for every $k \in [0, n]$, there are at most $d - 1$ beads on each of the k lines, as well as on the $2(n - k)$ broken arcs, the cardinality of $\mathring{R}'_{d,n}$ is $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 k! d^{2n-k}$.

Proposition 3.5. *The monoid $\mathring{R}'_{d,n}$ is generated by s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} , r_1, \dots, r_n , \circ_1, \dots, \circ_n , subject to the same relations as $\mathring{R}_{d,n}$ excluded (3.26) that is replaced by*

$$r_i \circ_i^k r_i = r_i \quad \text{for all } i, k. \quad (3.30)$$

We prove that every element of $\mathring{R}'_{d,n}$ can be written in terms of the generators s_i 's, r_i 's and \circ_i 's in the following normal form.

$$\circ_1^{m_1} \circ_2^{m_2} \cdots \circ_n^{m_n} r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \cdots r_{i_{n-k}} s \circ_{j_1}^{q_1} \circ_{j_2}^{q_2} \cdots \circ_{j_{n-k}}^{q_{n-k}}, \quad (3.31)$$

where j_1, \dots, j_{n-k} are the endpoints of the $n - k$ broken arcs on the bottom segment, i_1, \dots, i_{n-k} and s are defined as in (3.20).

Observe that the exponents m_1, \dots, m_n and q_1, \dots, q_{n-k} lie in $[0, d - 1]$, and s is a permutation of S_k , and hence the set of normal forms has the needed cardinality. Proposition 3.5 thus results by proving that every word in the chosen generators, using the relations above, can be put in the normal form (3.31), and hence $\mathring{R}'_{d,n}$ coincides with $\mathring{R}_{d,n}$, so that Theorem 3.4 is proved.

4. TIED (RAMIFIED) MONOIDS BY DEFRAMIZATION

Tied monoids were introduced in [2] and generalized in [8, 4]. In particular, according to this last reference, the monoid considered here can be regarded as submonoid of the partition monoid, see [26]. Thus the objects named ‘‘tied monoid’’ in this paper are the so called ramified monoids, see [3, Definition 10]. We denote by tM the tied monoid associated to M . More precisely, for $M = S_n$, tM equals tS_n as presented in [4, Proposition 17], for $M = IS_n$, tM is as presented in [3, Theorem2], for $M = Br_n$, tM denote the so called ramified of M as defined in [4, Definition 20].

We recall that the identity diagram of the partition monoid has n vertical parallel lines. The diagram of a generator of M_n differs from the identity in the sense that some of the parallel lines are replaced by arcs (broken or not) in different positions. We say that such arcs form the *core* of the generator. The core of the identity is the whole set of n lines.

Diagrammatically, an element of tM is obtained by adding *ties* connecting pairs of arcs of M . The ties, represented in the diagram as waved lines, by definition define a partition of the set of generalized arcs: two generalized arcs connected by a tie belong to the same block of the partition.

Now, the tied monoids studied in [2, 4], have been provided with a presentation: the tied monoid tM of $M = \langle X, R \rangle$ has the set X of generators and relations R plus a set H of generators that behaves as ties due to some new relations. The diagram of a generator in H has either a tie connecting two parallel lines of the identity or connecting the arcs of the core of a generator of X .

Let $M_{d,n}$ denote the d -framization of the monoid M , where M is any monoid considered here. The aim of this section is to recover tM from $\mathbb{C}[M_{d,n}]$. More precisely, we realize the defining generators of tM as certain sums in $\mathbb{C}[M_{d,n}]$, in a way similar as how the bt -algebra was constructed from the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra.

4.1. A recipe for deframization. Before explaining our recipe for deframization, we make some observations that explain the choice of some elements of the monoid algebras involved in the procedure.

As we already remarked, in all framed monoids the commutation rules of the framing generators with the other generators mean, in term of diagrams, that the beads slide freely along each arc. But beads cannot jump from an arc to another. In Figure 17 we show the forbidden jumps between the parallel vertical lines and between arcs of the cores of the monoids generators here chosen: a) $z_i \neq z_j$; b) $z_i t_i \neq t_i z_i$; c) $z_i p_i \neq p_i z_i$.




FIGURE 17. The forbidden jumps of beads.

Observe that the forbidden jump $z_i s_i \neq s_i z_i$ can be written as $z_i s_i \neq z_{i+1} s_i$ by relation (3.3), therefore this case is included in case (a) with $j = i + 1$.

However, in the monoid algebra, we can introduce *bridge* elements, i.e. elements that allows the framings to move from an arc to another one.

First, observe that in $\mathbb{C}[C_d^n]$, the element

$$\bar{e}_{i,j} := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k z_j^{-k} \tag{4.1}$$

(cf. [23, 3.1]) satisfies:

$$z_i \bar{e}_{i,j} = z_j \bar{e}_{i,j} = \bar{e}_{i,j} z_i = \bar{e}_{i,j} z_j, \tag{4.2}$$

i.e., it allows z_i to pass from the i -th to j -th line and viceversa. Since $\mathbb{C}[C_d^n]$ is a submonoid of all framed monoids considered here, $\bar{e}_{i,j}$ lies in all the corresponding monoid algebras.

Similarly, for each generator and a pair of arcs of its core, we can consider an element that can be represented as the generator with a bridge allowing the beads to pass from one to the other of these two arcs, see Figure 18. For instance, in $\mathbb{C}[J_{d,n}]$ and in $\mathbb{C}[Br_{d,n}]$, the element

$$\bar{f}_i := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k t_i z_i^{-k} \quad (4.3)$$

(where each z_i can be replaced by z_{i+1} in virtue of (3.8)) satisfies

$$z_i \bar{f}_i = z_{i+1} \bar{f}_i = \bar{f}_i z_i = \bar{f}_i z_{i+1}, \quad (4.4)$$

allowing z_i and z_{i+1} to pass from a bracket to the other of the core of t_i .

In $\mathbb{C}[R_n]$, the element

$$\bar{q}_i = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k r_i z_i^{-k} \quad (4.5)$$

satisfies

$$z_i \bar{q}_i = \bar{q}_i z_i, \quad (4.6)$$

allowing z_i to pass from a broken arc to the other of the generator r_i . Finally

$$\bar{w}_i^{(j,h)} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_j^k p_i z_h^{-k}, \quad j, h \leq i, \quad (4.7)$$

satisfies

$$z_j \bar{w}_i^{(j,h)} = \bar{w}_i^{(j,h)} z_h,$$

so it allows z_j to pass from the top broken arc at place j to the bottom one at place h of the core of p_i , see Figure 18c, where $i = 3$, $j = 1$ and $h = 3$.




FIGURE 18. Examples of bridge elements.

Still, we have sometimes to extend the monoid algebra. Let $M_{d,n} = \langle Y, R \rangle$ be one of the framed monoids considered above, and $A := \mathbb{K}[M_{d,n}]$ where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-1})$ and the α_i are indeterminates over \mathbb{C} .

The extension of scalars in the monoid algebra is needed when in $M_{d,n}$ there are relations meaning that loops or segments with framings have to be neglected. In particular:

- in $\mathbb{K}[J_{d,n}]$ and in $\mathbb{K}[Br_{d,n}]$, relations (3.10) are replaced by

$$t_i z_i^k t_i = \alpha_k t_i; \quad (4.8)$$

- in $\mathbb{K}[R_{d,n}]$ relations (3.28) and (3.29) are replaced by

$$p_i z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_i^{m_i} p_j = p_j z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_i^{m_i} p_i = \alpha_{m_1} \cdots \alpha_{m_i} p_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, \quad (4.9)$$

$$r_i z_i^k r_i = \alpha_k r_i, \quad (4.10)$$

respectively ($\alpha_0 = 1$). See Remark 4.5 for an example explaining the necessity of this extension.

Definition 4.1. *A deframization of $M_{d,n}$ is the \mathbb{C} -algebra $D(A)$ obtained from A by the following procedure.*

- (i) *Define the set $Y^* = Y \cup B^*$, where B^* is a set in bijection with a set B of bridge elements in A . Let $b \leftrightarrow b^*$ denote this bijection.*
- (ii) *For each relation r among the elements of $Y \cup B$, let r^* be the element of the free associative algebra $F(Y^*)$ generated by Y^* obtained by replacing $b \in B$ with b^* .*
- (iii) *Define \tilde{A} as the \mathbb{C} -algebra, obtained by specializing to 1 the parameters α_k in the quotient $F(Y^*)/R^*$, where R^* is the set of all the elements r^* in $F(Y^*)$.*
- (iv) *Define $D(A)$ as the quotient of \tilde{A} modulo the ideal generated by $z_1 - 1, \dots, z_n - 1$.*

Moreover, we say that a (tied) monoid tM is a deframization of $M_{d,n}$ if $D(A) = \mathbb{C}[tM]$.

Remark 4.1. Observe that the deframization depends on the choice of the set B , so it is not unique. We will specify the set B when necessary.

Remark 4.2. Recall that a tied monoid tM is a ramified monoid, in the sense that the ties of its generators define a ramified partition of $[2n]$, see [3, Definition 10] for details. Define the framization $tM_{d,n}$ of a ramified (tied) monoid tM as for a non ramified one, with new relations expressing the property that the tied generators allow the framing to move along each block of the partition. The algebra \tilde{A} turns out therefore to coincide with $\mathbb{C}[tM_{d,n}]$, for instance see the example in the next Section 4.2.

It turns out that in R^* some elements involving the set B^* contain the elements

$$Z_i := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k z_i^{-k}. \quad (4.11)$$

Note that $Z_i = 1$ in $D(A)$.

The rest of the section provides examples of deframization. We start by showing how to get P_n as deframization of C_d^n .

4.2. Set partition monoid as deframization of C_d^n . Recall that the abacus monoid C_d^n can also be regarded as a framization of the trivial group of the partition monoid. Observe that in $\mathbb{C}[C_d^n]$ relations (4.2) hold. Using these relations one can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *The elements $\bar{e}_{i,j}$'s satisfy:*

$$\bar{e}_{i,j}^2 = \bar{e}_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } i < j, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\bar{e}_{i,j}\bar{e}_{r,s} = \bar{e}_{r,s}\bar{e}_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } i < j \text{ and } r < s, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\bar{e}_{i,j}\bar{e}_{i,k} = \bar{e}_{i,j}\bar{e}_{j,k} = \bar{e}_{i,k}\bar{e}_{j,k} \quad \text{for all } i < j < k. \quad (4.14)$$

The algebra \tilde{A} , with new generators $e_{i,j}$ satisfying (2.14)-(2.16), that are the analogous of (4.12)-(4.14), coincides with $\mathbb{C}[P_{d,n}]$, i.e. the algebra of the framed partition monoid, see Definition 3.1.

Its quotient modulo the ideal generated by $\prod_{i=1}^n (z_i - 1)$ coincides evidently with $\mathbb{C}[P_n]$, that is, P_n is a deframization of C_d^n .

Corollary 4.1. *The algebras $D(C_d^n)$ and $\mathbb{C}[P_n]$ coincide, i.e., P_n is a deframization of $C_{d,n}$.*

4.3. Tied Symmetric monoid as deframization of $S_{d,n}$. We will show that the tied symmetric monoid tS_n , denoted elsewhere by TS_n , can be viewed as a deframization of $S_{d,n}$.

The tied symmetric monoid tS_n was introduced in [2]. It is presented by generators s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} , e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} , and relations (2.2)-(2.3) and:

$$e_i^2 = e_i, \quad e_i e_j = e_j e_i, \quad (4.15)$$

$$s_i e_j = e_j s_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| \neq 1, \quad e_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i e_j \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \quad (4.16)$$

$$e_i e_j s_i = e_j s_i e_j = s_i e_i e_j \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1. \quad (4.17)$$

For all $0 < i < n$, we consider in $\mathbb{C}[S_{d,n}]$ the bridge elements

$$\bar{e}_i := \bar{e}_{i,i+1} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k z_{i+1}^{-k}, \quad (4.18)$$

satisfying

$$z_i \bar{e}_i = z_{i+1} \bar{e}_i = \bar{e}_i z_i = \bar{e}_i z_{i+1} \quad (\text{cf. (4.2)}). \quad (4.19)$$

Proposition 4.2. *tS_n is the deframization of $S_{d,n}$.*

Proof. Case of $S_{d,n}$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. The core of the proof consists in to verify that the defining relations of tS_n are satisfied by the \bar{e}_i and the s_i in $S_{d,n}$ when e_i is replaced by \bar{e}_i . These are routine calculations, which can be found e.g. in [21]. \square

4.4. Tied Rook monoids by deframization.

4.4.1. Deframization of $R'_{d,n}$. The tied Rook monoid tR'_n is presented by generators s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} , e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} , r_1, \dots, r_n , q_1, \dots, q_n satisfying (4.15)-(4.17) and the following

relations (see [3, Theorem 2]):

$$r_i^2 = r_i, \quad r_i r_j = r_j r_i, \quad (4.20)$$

$$s_i r_j = r_{s_i(j)} s_i, \quad (4.21)$$

$$r_i s_i r_i = r_i r_{i+1}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$q_i^2 = q_i, \quad q_i q_j = q_j q_i, \quad (4.23)$$

$$q_i e_j = e_j q_i, \quad (4.24)$$

$$s_i q_j = q_{s_i(j)} s_i, \quad (4.25)$$

$$e_i r_j e_i = e_i q_j, \quad \text{if } j = i, i+1, \quad e_i r_j = r_j e_i, \quad \text{if } j \neq i, i+1, \quad (4.26)$$

$$r_i q_j = q_j r_i \quad \text{for all } i, j, \quad q_i r_i = r_i, \quad (4.27)$$

$$r_j e_i r_j = r_j, \quad \text{if } j = i, i+1, \quad (4.28)$$

$$r_i e_i r_{i+1} = s_i q_i r_{i+1}. \quad (4.29)$$

Remark 4.3. The cardinality of tR'_n is given by $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 k! \text{Bell}(2n-k)$. These numbers are 3, 39, 971, 38140, 2126890, 157874467, 14928602309 for $n = 1, \dots, 7$, respectively.

We recall that the diagram of the generator q_i is the tied version of that of r_i , see Figure 19 b. Therefore, in $R_{d,n}$ it is natural to take the bridge elements \bar{e}_i as in (4.18) and \bar{q}_i as in (4.5).

Remark 4.4. Note that, if we use the presentation of $R_{d,n}$ with the p_i as generators, it is natural to take the bridges elements \bar{w}_i defined from (4.7) as:

$$\bar{w}_i := \bar{w}_i^{i,i}. \quad (4.30)$$

The diagram of \bar{w}_i is shown in Fig. 19a. In this case we get by deframization the same tied rook monoid tR'_n , presented by generators s_i 's, p_i 's, e_i 's and w_i 's. The original presentation is recovered by using eq. (2.9) together with

$$\bar{w}_i = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_{i-1} \bar{q}_i. \quad 1 < i \leq n. \quad (4.31)$$




FIGURE 19. a) the bridge \bar{w}_3 in $R_{d,5}$; b) the diagram of q_3 in TR_5 .

Theorem 4.1. *The algebra $\mathbb{C}[tR'_n]$ and $D(\mathbb{K}[R_{d,n}])$ coincide.*

Proof. First, recall that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-1})$ and that in $A = \mathbb{K}[R_{d,n}]$ relation (4.10) holds. We have to prove that whatever relation among the s_i 's, r_i 's, \bar{e}_i 's \bar{q}_i 's in A is sent by the map $b \mapsto b^*$ to a relation of tR'_n , provided we specialize the parameters α_k to 1 and take the quotient by the ideal generated by $z_1 - 1, \dots, z_n - 1$. This is a routine verification. See also Remark 4.5.

Moreover, we have to prove that all defining relations of tR'_n are obtained from A in this way.

Let us verify the defining relations one by one. Equations (4.20)-(4.22) are satisfied in R_n and hence in tR'_n and $R_{d,n}$, since both tR'_n and $R_{d,n}$ contain R_n as submonoid. The first equation in (4.23) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{q}_i^2 &= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{k,h=0}^{d-1} z_i^k r_i z_i^{-k} z_i^h r_i z_i^{-h} \stackrel{(4.10)}{=} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{k,h=0}^{d-1} z_i^k \alpha_{h-k} r_i z_i^{-k} z_i^{k-h} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_k z_i^k r_i z_i^{-k} \right) \frac{1}{d} \sum_j \alpha_j z_i^{-j} = \bar{q}_i Z_i. \end{aligned}$$

The second one follows trivially from (3.23), as well as eq. (4.24) if $i \neq j, j+1$.

Eq. (4.25) gives:

$$s_i \bar{q}_j = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} s_i z_j^k r_j z_j^{-k} \stackrel{(2.11),(2.12)}{=} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_{s_i(j)}^k r_{s_i(j)} z_{s_i(j)}^{-k} s_i = \bar{q}_{s_i(j)} s_i.$$

The first equation in (4.26) and (4.24) with $j = i$ reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}_i r_i \bar{e}_i &= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{k,h=0}^{d-1} z_i^k z_{i+1}^{-k} r_i z_i^h z_{i+1}^{-h} = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{k,h} z_{i+1}^{-k} z_{i+1}^{-h} z_i^k r_i z_i^{-k} z_i^{h+k} = \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_h z_{i+1}^{-k-h} \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k r_i z_i^{-k} \right) z_i^{h+k} = \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k r_i z_i^{-k} \right) \frac{1}{d} \sum_{h+k=0}^{d-1} z_{i+1}^{-k-h} z_i^{h+k} = \bar{q}_i \bar{e}_i \\ &\stackrel{(4.6)}{=} \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{k+h=0}^{d-1} z_{i+1}^{-k-h} z_i^{h+k} \right) \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k r_i z_i^{-k} = \bar{e}_i \bar{q}_i. \end{aligned}$$

The case $j = i+1$ is analogous. The first equation in (4.27), for $i \neq j$, follows from (3.23) and (4.20), while the second one follows from (3.24). Let's check (4.28):

$$\begin{aligned} r_i \bar{e}_i r_i &= r_i \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k z_{i+1}^{-k} r_i = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} r_i z_i^k r_i z_{i+1}^{-k} \stackrel{(4.10)}{=} \frac{1}{d} r_i \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k z_{i+1}^{-k} = r_i Z_{i+1}. \\ r_{i+1} \bar{e}_i r_{i+1} &= r_{i+1} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k z_{i+1}^{-k} r_{i+1} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k r_{i+1} z_{i+1}^{-k} r_{i+1} \stackrel{(4.10)}{=} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k \alpha_k r_{i+1} = Z_i r_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let's check (4.29):

$$\begin{aligned}
r_i \bar{e}_i r_{i+1} &= r_i \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k z_{i+1}^{-k} r_{i+1} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_{i+1}^{-k} r_i z_i^k r_{i+1} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_{i+1}^{-k} s_i s_i r_i z_i^k r_{i+1} = \\
&= s_i \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^{-k} s_i r_i z_i^k r_{i+1} = s_i \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^{-k} s_i (r_i r_{i+1}) z_i^k \stackrel{(3.29)}{=} s_i \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^{-k} s_i (r_i s_i r_i) z_i^k = \\
&= s_i \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^{-k} r_{i+1} s_i s_i r_i z_i^k = s_i \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^{-k} r_{i+1} r_i z_i^k = s_i \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (z_i^{-k} r_i z_i^k) r_{i+1} = s_i \bar{q}_i r_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.5. Consider the first relation we have verified in the above proof. If we do not take the extension \mathbb{K} , i.e. relation (3.29) is not replaced by (4.10), we get $\bar{q}_i^2 = \bar{q}_i Z_i = Z_i \bar{q}_i = Z_i r_i Z_i$, where $Z_i = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k$. By applying the procedure we get $q_i^2 = q_i = r_i$ which are not relations of tR_n .

4.4.2. *Deframization of $R_{d,n}$.* In this section we apply the recipe of deframization to $R_{d,n}$ and we get another version of tied rook monoid, that we denote tR_n .

Firstly, we observe that in $\mathbb{K}[R_{d,n}]$ the bridge elements \bar{q}_i and \bar{w}_i do not exist; namely, by (3.24) they satisfy

$$\bar{q}_i = \bar{w}_i = p_i.$$

So, let the set B contain only the bridges \bar{e}_i (4.18), so that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the independent relations involving B and the generators of $R_{d,n}$ are (4.15)-(4.17), (4.19) and

$$\bar{e}_i p_j = p_j \bar{e}_i = p_j, \quad i < j, \quad (4.32)$$

$$\bar{e}_i p_i = Z_{i+1} p_i, \quad p_i \bar{e}_i = p_i Z_{i+1}, \quad (4.33)$$

$$\bar{e}_i p_j = p_j \bar{e}_i \quad i > j. \quad (4.34)$$

They can be verified using (3.23) and (3.24). Then we define tR_n by $\mathbb{C}(tR_n) := D(\mathbb{C}[R_{d,n}])$, so that we get:

Definition 4.2. *The tied monoid tR_n is defined by generators s_i, p_i, e_i subject to the defining relations of R_n and to the relations:*

$$e_i p_j = p_j e_i = p_j, \quad i \leq j, \quad (4.35)$$

$$e_i p_j = p_j e_i \quad i > j. \quad (4.36)$$

Using the same method used in proving [3, Theorem 2] for tR'_n , i.e. the ramified inverse symmetric monoid, we can prove the following

Proposition 4.3. *The monoid tR_n coincides with the submonoid of the ramified monoid of R_n [3, Definition 10] consisting of double partitions $(I \preceq J)$ where I is an element of R_n , and J contains the same singletons as I and blocks that are unions of lines of I .*

The cardinality of tR_n is given by $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 k! \text{Bell}(k)$. These numbers, for $n = 1, \dots, 7$ are 2, 9, 76, 1001, 1866, 464737, 14813324.

4.5. Tied Jones monoid as deframization of $J_{d,n}$. The tied Jones monoid tJ_n , is defined by generators $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$ satisfying the defining relations of J_n , (4.15) and the relations:

$$f_i^2 = f_i, \quad \text{and} \quad f_i f_j = f_j f_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1, \quad (4.37)$$

$$e_i t_i = t_i e_i = t_i, \quad f_i e_i = f_i, \quad (4.38)$$

$$e_i f_j = f_j e_i, \quad t_i f_i = f_i t_i = t_i, \quad (4.39)$$

$$t_i e_j = e_j t_i, \quad t_i f_j = f_j t_i, \quad \text{for all } |i - j| > 1, \quad (4.40)$$

$$t_i e_j t_i = t_i, \quad \text{and} \quad f_i e_j = e_j t_i e_j, \quad \text{for all } |i - j| = 1. \quad (4.41)$$

This monoid was studied in [3, Subsection 5.3], where it is called planar ramified Jones monoid. It was also proven that its cardinality equals the Fuss-Catalan number $C_{4,1}(n) = \frac{1}{4n+1} \binom{4n+1}{n}$. The monoid tJ_n can be also obtained as a specialization of the two-parameter algebra $t\text{TL}_n(x, y)$ introduced below in Definition 5.2.

The next goal is to prove the following theorem. Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-1})$.

Theorem 4.2. *The algebras $\mathbb{K}[tJ_n]$ and $D(\mathbb{C}[J_{d,n}])$ coincide.*

Proof. In this case we take as bridges the set formed by the \bar{e}_i 's and the \bar{f}_i 's. Thus, keeping Proposition 4.2 in mind, it is clear that the claim of the theorem follows if we prove that that these bridges verify the defining relations (4.37)–(4.41) of tJ_n .

Only the first relation of (4.37) and the second relation of (4.41) will be verified, since the others follow easily. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{f}_i)^2 &= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{r,s} z_i^r t_i z_i^{-r} z_i^s t_i z_i^{-s} = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{r,s} z_i^r t_i z_i^{s-r} t_i z_i^{-s} \stackrel{a=s-r}{=} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{r,a} z_i^r t_i z_i^a t_i z_i^{-r-a} \\ &\stackrel{(4.8)}{=} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{r,a} z_i^r \alpha_a t_i z_i^{-r-a} = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{r,a} z_i^r t_i z_i^{-r} \alpha_a z_i^{-a} = \bar{f}_i Z_i. \end{aligned}$$

Set $j = i + 1$. The second equation in (4.41) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_i \bar{e}_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{a,b} z_i^a t_i z_i^{-a} z_j^b z_{j+1}^{-b} \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{a,b} z_i^a t_i z_i^{b-a} z_{j+1}^{-b} \stackrel{c=b-a}{=} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{a,c} z_i^a t_i z_i^c z_{j+1}^{-a-c} \\ &= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{a,c} z_{j+1}^{-a} z_i^a t_i z_i^c z_{j+1}^{-c} \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{a,c} z_{j+1}^{-a} z_{i+1}^a t_i z_{i+1}^c z_{j+1}^{-c} = \bar{e}_j t_i \bar{e}_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.6. Tied Brauer monoid as deframization of $Br_{d,n}$. The tied Brauer monoid tBr_n was introduced in [4] as the ramified Brauer monoid, and it was proven that it has a presentation with generators $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$, and f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} satisfying the defining relations of Br_n , tS_n and tJ_n , together with the following relations.

$$f_i s_j = s_j f_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1, \quad (4.42)$$

$$f_i s_i = s_i f_i = f_i, \quad (4.43)$$

$$s_i f_j s_i = s_j f_i s_j \quad \text{for } |i - j| = 1. \quad (4.44)$$

Remark 4.6. The relation $f_i f_j f_i = e_j f_i e_j$ with $|i - j| = 1$, appears in the original definition of tBr_n , but it is a consequence of the other relations, cf. [6, (i)Lemma 2], hence it is omitted.

Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-1})$.

Theorem 4.3. *The algebras $\mathbb{C}[tBr_n]$ and $D(\mathbb{K}[Br_{d,n}])$ coincide.*

Proof. Also in this case we proceed along the lines of the proof of Theorem 4.1. By Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.2 it remains only to consider equations (4.42)-(4.44). Relation (4.42) follows directly from (3.3) and (2.20). For relation (4.43), we have:

$$\bar{f}_i s_i = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k t_i z_{i+1}^{-k} s_i = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k t_i s_i z_i^{-k} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k t_i z_i^{-k} = \bar{f}_i.$$

Analogously, $s_i \bar{f}_i = \bar{f}_i$.

Finally, we deal with relation (4.44). We have:

$$s_i \bar{f}_j s_i = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^n s_i z_j^k t_j z_j^{-k} s_i, \quad (4.45)$$

and each summand on the right hand of (4.45) side equals

$$z_i^k s_i t_j s_i z_i^{-k} \stackrel{(2.22)}{=} z_i^k s_j t_i s_j z_i^{-k} = s_j z_j^k t_i z_j^{-k} s_j = s_j z_i^k t_i z_i^{-k} s_j,$$

so that by taking the sum we get $s_j \bar{f}_i s_j$. □

Remark 4.7. The cardinality of tBr_n is $(2n - 1)!! \text{Bell}(n)$.

5. FRAMIZATION AND DEFRAMIZATION OF ALGEBRAS

In this section we try to extend the definition of framization and deframization to certain algebras, which are strictly related to the monoids we have considered before.

Let $M_{d,n}$ be the d -framization of M , where M denotes any monoid or group considered here. Let \mathbf{a} be a set of parameters, and let $\mathbf{a} = 1$ indicate that all parameters are specialized to 1. Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra with a presentation $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a})$ satisfying $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathbb{C}[M]$.

Recall that every element $b^* \in B^*$ is in bijection with a bridge element of $\mathbb{K}(M_{d,n})$. Since the image of a bridge element in $D(A)$ is either 1 or a generator x of M , the map

$\gamma : b^* \mapsto x$ is well defined. Observe that γ maps b^* to 1 when b^* is a tie and to x when b^* is the tied version of the generator x . Therefore γ can be defined independently from the framization and indeed it maps tM to M .

In the diagrams below the horizontal arrows correspond to the specializations to 1 of the algebra parameters. Moreover, we have $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}'$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}''$. By $\mathfrak{a}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ we mean that $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{a}')$ is sent to $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{a})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 1} & \mathbb{C}[M] \\
\begin{array}{c} z_i \rightarrow 1 \uparrow \\ \mathfrak{a}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \uparrow \end{array} & & \downarrow \text{fram} \\
f\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}') & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}' \rightarrow 1} & \mathbb{C}[M_{d,n}] \\
\text{defram} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{defram} \\
t\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}'') & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}'' \rightarrow 1} & \mathbb{C}[tM]
\end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
t\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}'') & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}'' \rightarrow 1} & \mathbb{C}[tM] \\
\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \gamma \\ \mathfrak{a}'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \end{array} & & \downarrow \gamma \\
\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 1} & \mathbb{C}[M]
\end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

Definition 5.1. *We say that*

- (1) *the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a})$ admits a d -framization if there exists an algebra $f\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}')$ that makes the upper diagram in (5.1) commutative. The algebra $f\mathcal{A}$ is said to be framed.*
- (2) *the deframization of the framed algebra $f\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra $t\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}'')$ that makes the lower diagram in (5.1) commutative. The algebra $t\mathcal{A}$ is said to be tied.*
- (3) *The algebra \mathcal{A} admits a tied version if there exists an algebra $t\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{a}'')$ that makes the diagram (5.2) commutative.*

Remark 5.1. Note that, if a framed algebra $f\mathcal{A}$ exists, then the procedure of deframization explained in definition 4.1 is well defined also for $f\mathcal{A}$, starting from it instead of $\mathbb{C}[M_{d,n}]$. Therefore, an algebra admitting framization admits a tied version, but not viceversa, as we will see.

In the next table we show the monoids we have considered with the framed and tied version and the related choice of parameters (see Definition 5.1). The corresponding algebras do not always admit a framization (e.g., BMW_n and \mathcal{R}_n if the corresponding framed monoid is $R'_{d,n}$). However, in the case of Br_n , the algebra BMW_n admits a tied

version.

M_n	\mathcal{A}	\mathbf{a}	$M_{d,n}$	$f\mathcal{A}$	\mathbf{a}'	tM_n	$t\mathcal{A}$	\mathbf{a}''
S_n	H_n	v	$S_{d,n}$	$Y_{d,n}$	v	tS_n	v	\mathcal{E}_n
J_n	TL_n	x	$J_{d,n}$	$TL_{d,n}$	x, y_i	tJ_n	tTL_n	x, y
R_n	\mathcal{R}_n	v	$R_{d,n}$	$\mathcal{R}_{d,n}$	v	tR_n	$t\mathcal{R}_n$	v
R_n	\mathcal{R}_n	v	$R'_{d,n}$	—	—	tR'_n	—	—
Br_n	BMW_n	a, v	$Br_{d,n}$	—	—	tBr_n	$tBMW$	a, v, x

In the first example (Section 5.1) we see how well known algebras, the Y-H-algebra and the bt-algebra, can be considered as framization and deframization of the Hecke algebra.

In the second example (Section 5.2) we define the framization and deframization of the Temperley-Lieb algebra, getting a framed and tied version of TL_n .

In the remaining sections, we give the presentation of the framed/tied version of the rook algebra and of the tied version of the BMW algebra.

Remark 5.2. The fact that the dimension of a framed (or tied) algebra coincides with the cardinality of the corresponding framed (tied) monoid is proved only for the first two examples.

5.1. The Y-H algebra and the bt-algebra.

5.1.1. *The Y-H algebra.* The Yokonuma-Hecke algebra $Y_{d,n}(v)$ has its origin as the centralizer of the permutation representation of the general lineal group over a finite field with respect to a maximal unipotent subgroup, see [36]. The algebra $Y_{d,n}(v)$ has a presentation with invertible generators $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, z_1, \dots, z_n$ satisfying the following relations:

$$z_i z_j = z_j z_i, \quad z_i^d = 1, \tag{5.3}$$

$$z_j g_i = g_i z_{s_i(j)}, \tag{5.4}$$

$$g_i g_j = g_j g_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1, \quad \text{and} \quad g_i g_j g_i = g_j g_i g_j \quad \text{for } |i - j| = 1, \tag{5.5}$$

$$g_i^2 = 1 + (v - v^{-1})\bar{e}_i g_i, \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$\bar{e}_i := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} z_i^k z_{i+1}^{-k}. \tag{5.7}$$

Remark 5.3. The presentation of Y-H algebra used here is not the original presentation relating it to knot theory, see [21]. For more details on the different presentations of the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra we refer the reader to, e.g., [30, 14].

Remark 5.4. Similarly to the Hecke algebra, the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra can be thought as a deformation of the group algebra $\mathcal{S}_{d,n}$. Set $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(v)$. Remark 3.1 says that $Y_{d,n}(v)$ can be also defined as the quotient of $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{F}_{d,n}]$ modulo the two-sided ideal generated by the following *framed quadratic* expressions:

$$\sigma_i^2 - 1 - (v - v^{-1})\bar{e}_i \sigma_i, \quad i \in [1, n].$$

Since the Hecke algebra is a flat deformation of $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ and the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra is a flat deformation of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{d,n}]$ (see Remark 3.1), according to definition 5.1 we say that the Yokonuma-Hecke is a framization of the Hecke algebra.

5.1.2. *The bt-algebra.* The bt-algebra $\mathcal{E}_n(u)$ was defined in [1]. It has been originally defined through a presentation with *braid* generators g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} and *ties* generators e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} satisfying the following relations:

$$g_i g_j = g_j g_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1, \quad \text{and} \quad g_i g_j g_i = g_j g_i g_j \quad \text{for } |i - j| = 1, \quad (5.8)$$

$$e_i e_j = e_j e_i, \quad e_i^2 = e_i, \quad (5.9)$$

$$e_i g_j = g_j e_i, \quad \text{if } |i - j| \neq 1, \quad (5.10)$$

$$e_i g_j g_i = g_j g_i e_j \quad \text{and} \quad e_i e_j g_i = e_j g_i e_j = g_i e_i e_j \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \quad (5.11)$$

$$g_i^2 = 1 + (v - v^{-1}) e_i g_i. \quad (5.12)$$

We say that the bt-algebra is a deframization of the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra since can be obtained by the procedure in definition 4.1, as outlined in Remark 5.1. Its construction was done in fact by removing the framing generators of the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra and by considering a new abstract generator e_i satisfying the same relations as the \bar{e}_i in the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra. Note that $\mathcal{E}_n(1) = \mathbb{C}(S_{d,n})$ and the bt-algebra has indeed dimension $n!Bell(n)$.

5.2. Framed and tied Temperley-Lieb algebra.

5.2.1. *The algebra $TL_{d,n}$.* Let n and d be two positive integers. Let x, y_1, \dots, y_{d-1} be parameters and put $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(x, y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})$. We denote by $TL_{d,n}$ the \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}, z_1, \dots, z_n$ satisfying the following relations, where $y_0 = y_d = 1$:

$$t_i t_j = t_j t_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \quad t_i t_j t_i = t_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \quad (5.13)$$

$$z_i t_j = t_j z_i \quad \text{for } i \neq j, j + 1, \quad (5.14)$$

$$t_i z_i^k t_i = x y_k t_i \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.15)$$

Remark 5.5. Observe that $TL_{1,n}$ corresponds to the classical Temperley-Lieb algebra $TL_n(u)$, with $u = x$. Also note that taking $u = x$ and $y_1 = \dots = y_{d-1} = 1$ we have an algebra epimorphism $TL_{d,n} \rightarrow TL_n(u)$, defined by $t_i \mapsto t_i$ and $z_i \mapsto 1$.

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 5.1. *The algebra $TL_{d,n}$ is a d -framization of TL_n . Its dimension is therefore $Cat_n d^n$.*

Its proof follows from Proposition 5.2 below and its Corollary.

5.2.2. *The diagram algebra $\widetilde{\text{TL}}_{d,n}$.* We denote by $\widetilde{\text{TL}}_{d,n}$ the vector space over \mathbb{K} with basis $\widetilde{J}_{d,n}$. Define a product on this basis as follows. Given $a, b \in \widetilde{J}_{d,n}$ with $ab = c$, we define $\widetilde{\text{TL}}_{d,n}$

$$ab = x^{n_1+\dots+n_d} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} \dots y_d^{n_d} c, \quad (5.16)$$

where n_p is the number of loops with p beads that are neglected by the product. We extend this product to $\widetilde{\text{TL}}_{d,n}$ by bilinearity.

Proposition 5.1. *Formula (5.16) endows $\widetilde{\text{TL}}_{d,n}$ with a \mathbb{K} -algebra structure.*

Proof. We have only to verify that the product (5.16) is associative. This follows from the fact that the concatenation product is associative, also if loops are not neglected. Indeed, given a, b, c in $\widetilde{J}_{d,n}$ consider the concatenation $a \circ b \circ c$. It contains loops of different type: ab-loops, that are formed in the concatenation $a \circ b$, bc-loops, that are formed in the concatenation $b \circ c$, and abc-loops that are formed only in the double concatenation. Now, if we take $(a \circ b) \circ c$, the coefficient firstly changes by the contribution of ab-loops, and afterwards changes for the contribution of the bc-loops and abc-loops. If we take $a \circ (b \circ c)$ the coefficient firstly change by the contribution of the bc-loops, and afterwards by the contribution of the ab-loops and abc-loops. The final result is the same. \square

Proposition 5.2. *$\widetilde{\text{TL}}_{d,n}$ is the algebra presented by the generators \mathbf{t}_i and \mathbf{o}_i of the Abacus monoid, subject to the same relations (12), (16), (17), (18) whereas the first relation in (12) and relations (19) are substituted by*

$$\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_i^k \mathbf{t}_i = x y_k \mathbf{t}_i, \quad (5.17)$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ and $y_0 = y_d = 1$.

Proof. Every element \tilde{w} of $\widetilde{\text{TL}}_{d,n}$ is a linear combination with coefficients in \mathbb{K} of elements w_j of $\widetilde{J}_{d,n}$. We prove that every w_j can be written, using the relations of the algebra, in the normal form (20) and that, in so doing, its coefficient changes in agreement with (5.16). We will follow step by step the reduction procedure shown in Lemma 3.2. The coefficient of this element during this procedure changes by $x y_k$ every time we replace by \mathbf{t}_i the product $\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_i^k \mathbf{t}_i$ or $\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_{i+1}^k \mathbf{t}_i$, according to relation (5.17). Observe that, in terms of diagrams, a product of generators w_j may contain any number of loops, each one involving any number of generators \mathbf{t}_i and z_i . During the reduction, each loop diminishes its length in terms of generators by substituting the expression $\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{t}_{i\pm 1} \mathbf{t}_i$ with \mathbf{t}_i . The beads are collected together till the loop is reduced to the minimal loop in the expression $\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_i^k \mathbf{t}_i$ or $\mathbf{t}_i \mathbf{o}_{i+1}^k \mathbf{t}_i$. So, each loop disappears only by (5.17) when all beads are collected together, and therefore it gives the contribution to the coefficient according to (5.16). In figure 20 we illustrate this procedure in a case with $n = 6, d = 11$.




FIGURE 20. Procedure.

Note that the multiplicative contributions are non-zero, and, also, that the coefficient remains unchanged in all other relations. This concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.1. *The map $z_i \mapsto \circ_i$, $t_i \mapsto \mathfrak{t}_i$ extends to a \mathbb{K} -algebra isomorphism $\mathrm{TL}_{d,n} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{TL}}_{d,n}$.*

5.2.3. Tied Temperley-Lieb algebra.

Definition 5.2. *Let x and y be two indeterminates over \mathbb{C} . The tied Temperley-Lieb algebra $t\mathrm{TL}_n$ is the $\mathbb{C}(x, y)$ -algebra generated by t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} , e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} , f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} , all commuting each other when the difference of the indices is different from 1, and satisfying*

- for $|i - j| = 1$

$$e_i e_j = e_j e_i, \quad (5.18)$$

$$t_i t_j t_i = t_i, \quad (5.19)$$

$$t_i e_j t_i = t_i, \quad (5.20)$$

$$f_i e_j = e_j f_i = e_j t_i e_j, \quad (5.21)$$

- for every i

$$t_i^2 = x t_i, \quad (5.22)$$

$$e_i^2 = e_i \quad (5.23)$$

$$f_i^2 = y f_i, \quad (5.24)$$

$$t_i e_i = t_i, \quad (5.25)$$

$$f_i e_i = f_i, \quad (5.26)$$

$$f_i t_i = y t_i. \quad (5.27)$$

Proposition 5.3. *The algebra $t\mathrm{TL}_n$ is obtained as deframization of $\mathrm{TL}_{d,n}$.*

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 4.2, according to Remark 5.1. Observe that, by the lower diagram in (5.1), $t\mathrm{TL}_n(1, 1) = \mathbb{C}(J_n)$, hence $\dim t\mathrm{TL}_n = |tJ_n|$. Looking at diagram (5.2), we see that $\gamma(t\mathrm{TL}_n(x, x)) = \mathrm{TL}_n(x)$. \square

5.3. Framed and tied rook algebras.

5.3.1. *The framed rook algebra $\mathcal{R}_{d,n}$.* Firstly, observe that the rook algebra $\mathcal{R}_n(v)$ (Section 2.7) is a deformation of the monoid algebra $\mathbb{C}[R_n]$ (Section 2.3), in the sense that the relation $s_i^2 = 1$ is deformed in $T_i^2 = 1 + (v - v^{-1})T_i$, and relations (2.6) and (2.7) are deformed respectively in (2.25) and (2.26), and $\dim \mathcal{R}_n(v) = |R_n|$. ([19]) The framed rook algebra $\mathcal{R}_{d,n}$ is the $\mathbb{C}(v)$ -algebra (see [11]) generated by $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}, P_1, \dots, P_n, z_1, \dots, z_n$, can be obtained as deformation of the framed monoid algebra $\mathbb{C}[R_{d,n}]$, see Section 3.5.1. More precisely, the braid generators T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} satisfy the same relations as $\mathcal{R}_n(v)$, but the quadratic relation which is replaced by the quadratic equation of the YH-algebra: $T_i^2 = 1 + (v - v^{-1})\bar{e}_i T_i$. The other relations of $\mathcal{R}_n(v)$ remain unaltered as well as the relations of R_n involving framing generators z_i , written in terms of P_i and T_i instead of p_i and s_i respectively. Finally, observe that the YH-algebra is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_{d,n}(v)$.

5.3.2. *The tied rook algebra $t\mathcal{R}_n$.* By applying the procedure of deframization to $\mathcal{R}_{d,n}$, we get the tied algebra $t\mathcal{R}_n$, which can be considered as a deformation of the tied rook monoid algebra $\mathbb{C}[tR_n]$. It is generated by braid generators $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}, P_1, \dots, P_n$, and ties generators e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} . Its relations are those of the bt-algebra, relations (2.23–(2.26) and relations (4.35),(4.36), with p_i replaced by P_i . Note that the bt-algebra is a subalgebra of $t\mathcal{R}_n(v)$.

5.4. **Tied BMW algebra.** In [6] the first two authors have introduced the tied BMW algebra, as the knot algebra generalizing the BMW algebra in order to recover, by a trace, a Kauffman type invariant of tied links, that was also defined in [6].

We recall here the definition of the tied BMW algebra, by using the presentation of the BMW algebra chosen in section 2.9.

Let a, q, x be three indeterminates over \mathbb{C} .

Definition 5.3. *The tBMW algebra is the algebra over $\mathbb{C}[a, q, x]$ generated by $g_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, g_{n-1}^{\pm 1}$ (braids), t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} (tangles), e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} (ties), f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} (tied tangles), all commuting each other when the difference of the indices is different from 1, satisfying*

- relations (5.8)–(5.11),
- for $|i - j| = 1$, relations (5.19)–(5.21) and

$$t_i g_j t_i = a t_i, \quad (5.28)$$

$$g_i g_j t_i = t_j g_i g_j = t_j t_i \quad (5.29)$$

$$g_i t_j g_i = g_j^{-1} t_i g_j^{-1}, \quad g_i f_j g_i = g_j^{-1} f_i g_j^{-1}, \quad (5.30)$$

$$g_i t_j t_i = g_j^{-1} t_i, \quad t_i t_j g_i = t_i g_j^{-1}, \quad (5.31)$$

- for every i , relations (5.22), (5.25), (5.26) and

$$g_i t_i = a^{-1} t_i, \quad f_i g_i = a^{-1} f_i, \quad (5.32)$$

$$g_i - g_i^{-1} = (q - q^{-1})(e_i - f_i). \quad (5.33)$$

Remark 5.6. Observe that $f_i^2 = y f_i$, where $y = \frac{a - a^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} + 1$, and that $f_i t_i = t_i f_i = y t_i$. Moreover, just as the BMW algebra is a deformation of the Brauer monoid, the tied

BMW algebra is a deformation of tied Brauer monoid algebra (Section 4.6), in particular $t\text{BMW}(1, 1, 1)_n = \mathbb{C}[tBr_n]$. Also, by diagram (5.2), the algebra $\text{BMW}(a, q)_n$ is recovered from $t\text{BMW}(a, q, x)_n$ by the map γ sending e_i to 1, f_i to t_i , and x to $y(a, q)$, cf. (2.31).

Remark 5.7. Several framizations of algebras have been defined by using a purely algebraic approach, see [22, 16, 12, 18, 27]. It is worth noting that the framed TL and BMW algebra defined in the above references are not deformations of the corresponding framed monoid algebras (rather, they are constructed as quotients). However, they do not have an immediate interpretation in terms of diagrams. Recently, in [27], the tied version of the BMW algebra, called *BMW algebras of braids and ties* there, was introduced. It turns out to be a deformation of a monoid algebra. This monoid, introduced in [4, Section 6] and called bBr_n , is in fact a submonoid of the tied Brauer monoid, in particular it is obtained by tBr_n by sending to 1 the tangle generators.

Acknowledgments. The second author was partially supported by Fondecyr No. 1210011. He also acknowledges the support of the CRM-Pisa through the research project in pairs, where this work began, as well as the support of the Project *Teoria delle rappresentazioni e applicazioni* that allowed him a nice stay at *Sapienza Università di Roma* in 2022.

DECLARATIONS

Competing Interests. The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Data Availability Statement. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Aicardi, J. Juyumaya *An algebra involving braids and ties* Preprint ICTP 2000, arXiv e-print, 2017, 1709.03740.
- [2] F. Aicardi, J. Juyumaya, *Tied Links*, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, **25** (2016), no. 9.
- [3] F. Aicardi, D. Arcis, J. Juyumaya, *Brauer and Jones tied monoids*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **227** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 107161, 25 pp.
- [4] F. Aicardi, D. Arcis, J. Juyumaya, *Ramified Inverse and Planar Monoids*, *Moscow Mathematical Journal* (2024), to appear.
- [5] F. Aicardi, J. Juyumaya, *Two parameters bt-algebra and invariants for links and tied links* *Arnold Math. J.* **6** (2020), no. 1, 131-148.
- [6] F. Aicardi, J. Juyumaya, *Kauffman type invariants for tied links*. *Math. Z.* **289** (2018), no. 1-2, 567-591.
- [7] F. Aicardi, J. Juyumaya, *Tied links and invariants for singular links* *Adv. Math.* **381** (2021), Paper No. 107629, 39 pp.
- [8] D. Arcis, J. Juyumaya, *Tied monoids* *Semigroup Forum* **103** (2021), no. 2, 356-394.
- [9] J. Birman, H. Wenzl, *Braids, link polynomials and a new algebra*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **313** (1989), no. 1, 249-273.
- [10] R. Brauer, *On algebras which are connected with the semisimple continuous groups*. *Ann. of Math.* **38** (1937), 857-872.

- [11] C. Clark, J. East *Presentations for wreath products involving symmetric inverse monoids and categories*. J. Algebra **620** (2023), 630-668.
- [12] M. Chlouveraki, G. Pouchin. *Representation theory and an isomorphism theorem for the framisation of the Temperley-Lieb algebra*. Math. Z., **285**(3-4), 1357-1380, 2017.
- [13] A. Cohen, Arjeh, D. Gijsbers, D. Wales, . *BMW algebras of simply laced type*. J. Algebra **286** (2005), no. 1, 107-153.
- [14] O. Davé, M. Douglass, *Modules for Yokonuma-type Hecke algebras* Algebr. Represent. Theory **20** (2017), no. 2, 355-377.
- [15] J. Espinoza, S. Ryom-Hansen, *Cell structures for the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra and the algebra of braids and ties*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), no. 11, 3675-3720.
- [16] M. Flores, J. Juyumaya, S. Lambropoulou, *A framization of the Hecke algebra of type B*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), no. 4, 778-806.
- [17] D. FitzGerald. *A presentation for the monoid of uniform block permutations*. B Aust Math Soc, **68** (2):317-324, 10 2003.
- [18] D. Goundaroulis, J. Juyumaya, A. Kontogeorgis, S. Lambropoulou *Framization of the Temperley-Lieb algebra*. Math. Res. Lett., **24** (2):299-345, 2017.
- [19] T. Halverson, *Representations of the q -rook monoid*. Journal of Algebra **273** (2004) 227-251.
- [20] V. Jones, *Index for subfactors*. Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 1, 1-25.
- [21] J. Juyumaya, *Markov trace on the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra*. J. Knot Theory Ramifications **13** (2004), no. 1, 25-39.
- [22] J. Juyumaya, S. Lambropoulou, *On the framization of knot algebras* Ser. Knots Everything, 56.
- [23] J. Juyumaya, S. Lambropoulou, *Topology and its Applications* **154** (2007) 1804-1826.
- [24] J. Juyumaya, S. Lambropoulou, *p -adic framed braids II* Adv. Math. **234** (2013), 149-191.
- [25] K. Ko, L. Smolinsky, *The framed braid group and 3-manifolds*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), no. 2, 541-551.
- [26] G. Kudryavtseva, V. Mazorchuk, *On presentations of Brauer-type monoids*. Cent. Eur. J. Math. **4** (2006), no. 3, 413-434.
- [27] A. Lacabanne, L. Poulain d'Andecy *Framization of Schur-Weyl duality and Yokonuma-Hecke type algebras*, arXiv:2312.14796, 2023.
- [28] K. Lau, D. G. FitzGerald, *Ideal structure of the Kauffman and related monoids* Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), no.7, 2617-2629.
- [29] S. Lipscomb. *Symmetric Inverse Semigroups*, vol. 46 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1996.
- [30] I. Marin, *Artin groups and Yokonuma-Hecke algebras*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN(2018), no. 13, 4022-4062.
- [31] P. Melvin, N.B. Tuffillaro, *Templates and framed braids*. Phys. Rev. A (3) **44** (1991), no. 6, R3419-R3422.
- [32] J. Murakami, *The Kauffman polynomial of links and representation theory*. Osaka J. Math. **24** (1987), no. 4, 745-758.
- [33] S. Ryom-Hansen, *On the representation theory of an algebra of braids and ties*, J. Alg. Combin. **33** (2011), no. 1, 57-79.
- [34] L. Solomon, *The Iwahori algebra $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. a presentation and a representation on tensor space*, J. Algebra **273** (2004) 206-226.
- [35] H. Wenzl, *On the structure of Brauer's centralizer algebras* Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no.1, 173-193.
- [36] T. Yokonuma, *Sur la structure des anneaux de Hecke d'un groupe de Chevalley fini* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **264** (1967), A344-A347.

SISTIANA 56, 34011 TRIESTE (ITALY)

Email address: francescaicardi22@gmail.com

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE VALPARAÍSO, GRAN BRETAÑA 1111, VALPARAÍSO
2340000, CHILE.

Email address: juyumaya@gmail.com

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA G. CASTELNUOVO, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA, P.LE ALDO
MORO 5, 00185 ROMA, ITALY

Email address: papi@mat.uniroma1.it