

HITTING TIMES IN THE BINOMIAL RANDOM GRAPH

BERTILLE GRANET, FELIX JOOS, AND JONATHAN SCHRODT

ABSTRACT. Fix $k \geq 2$, choose $\frac{\log n}{n^{(k-1)/k}} \leq p \leq 1 - \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n})$, and consider $G \sim G(n, p)$. For any pair of vertices $v, w \in V(G)$, we give a simple and precise formula for the expected number of steps that a random walk on G starting at w needs to first arrive at v . The formula only depends on basic structural properties of G . This improves and extends recent results of Ottolini and Steinerberger, as well as Ottolini, who considered this problem for constant as well as for mildly vanishing p .

1. INTRODUCTION

Random walks on graphs have many applications in mathematics and physics, and are also in themselves an important subject of study in graph theory. See for example [5] for a survey covering various areas of research on random walks. Of particular interest is the following question: how long does it take for a random walk to hit a certain vertex? Hitting times were calculated for specific classes of (deterministic) graphs (see e.g. [10–13]), while more general results were obtained by Lovász [5], who gave an explicit formula for the hitting time in terms of the spectral properties of the host graph.

Another prolific avenue of research in graph theory is to solve general problems for the binomial random graph $G(n, p)$, that is, the graph on n vertices where each edge is present independently with probability p . Löwe and Torres [6] used the above mentioned result of Lovász to compute the expected time a random walk starting at a random vertex needs to hit a fixed vertex v .

More precisely, for a simple random walk $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ on a graph G starting at $w \in V(G)$, denote by T_{vw} the *hitting time* of v , that is, the number of steps the random walk needs to hit v for the first time. Denote by H_{vw} the expected hitting time of v , that is, $H_{vw} = \mathbb{E}T_{vw}$. Löwe and Torres [6] proved that there exists $\mathcal{O}(1) \leq \xi := \xi(n) \leq \mathcal{O}(\log \log n)$ such that if $p = \omega(\frac{\log^\xi n}{n})$, then with high probability the random graph $G \sim G(n, p)$ satisfies $\sum_{w \in V(G)} \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} H_{vw} = (1 + o(1))n$ for any $v \in V(G)$.

However, this only gives a (weighted) average hitting time for a fixed v across all possible starting points w , while we are interested in an explicit formula for H_{vw} for any given w, v . For $p \geq \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $\ell \geq 3$, it is straightforward to compute that

$$\mathbb{P}[X_\ell = v \mid X_0 = w] = \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right)$$

(see Lemma 6.4). This implies that $H_{vw} \approx n$ for any $w \neq v \in V(G)$. For constant p this has recently been improved by Ottolini and Steinerberger [9], who gave an explicit formula for the hitting time up to a small error term which tends to 0 as n tends to infinity.

More precisely, fix $p \in (0, 1)$ and let $G \sim G(n, p)$. It is well known that, with high probability, G has diameter 2. Let $v \in V(G)$ and let $H_{N(v)} := \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} H_{uv}$ be the average expected hitting time in $N(v)$. As observed in [9], it is not difficult to show that $H_{N(v)} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} - 1$

INSTITUT FÜR INFORMATIK, INF 205, UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

E-mail addresses: granet@informatik.uni-heidelberg.de, joos@informatik.uni-heidelberg.de, schrodt@informatik.uni-heidelberg.de.

Bertille Granet was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. Felix Joos and Jonathan Schrodt were partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – 428212407.

(see Lemma 3.5). Ottolini and Steinerberger [9] then proved that, for any $w \in N(v)$, the value H_{vw} is essentially equal to the average hitting time in $N(v)$. That is, it is irrelevant at which vertex $w \in N(v)$ the random walk starts, the expected time to hit v is every time essentially the same. If $\text{dist}(v, w) = 2$, one needs to account for the average time a random walk needs to pass to $N(v)$. As the probability of moving from a vertex of distance 2 to a vertex of distance 1 is about p , the expected time to pass to $N(v)$ is roughly $\frac{1}{p}$.

Theorem 1.1 (Ottolini and Steinerberger [9]). *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and $G \sim G(n, p)$. Let $v \neq w \in V(G)$. Then, with high probability,*

$$H_{vw} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} - 1 + \frac{1}{p} \mathbb{1}_{\text{dist}(v,w)=2} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log^{3/2} n}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

In a follow-up article, Ottolini [8] derived a similar result for any p with $\frac{\log^5 n}{p^8 n} \rightarrow 0$, extending Theorem 1.1 to the case where p vanishes mildly. Observe that in this case, G has diameter 2 as in Theorem 1.1.

To prove Theorem 1.1, Ottolini and Steinerberger [9] rely on the fact that $|N(v) \cap N(w)| \approx p^2 n$ for any $v, w \in V(G)$. For small enough p , this is not necessarily true. However, as long as $p \geq n^{-1+o(1)}$, it is still possible to find an easy and precise formula for H_{vw} . This is our main result.

For any graph G , vertices $v, w \in V(G)$, and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{W}_i(w)$ be the number of walks of length i starting at w and let $\mathcal{W}_i(w, v)$ be the number of w - v walks of length i .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $k \geq 2$, let $\frac{\log n}{n^{(k-1)/k}} \leq p \leq 1 - \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n})$, and $G \sim G(n, p)$. Let $v \neq w \in V(G)$. Then, with high probability,*

$$H_{vw} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} - 1 + \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{3k+1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_i(u, v)}{\mathcal{W}_i(u)} - \frac{\mathcal{W}_i(w, v)}{\mathcal{W}_i(w)} \right) \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2} \sqrt{n}}\right).$$

The missing range of $1 - \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n}) < p \leq 1$ is an artefact of our proof which uses, as a black box, a spectral result which does not cover the cases when p is close to 1 (see Appendix A for details).

The error bound can be improved to $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}\right)$ when one considers the probability that the random walk reaches v after i steps rather than the proportion of walks of length i which end at v (see Theorem 6.2). When $k = 2$, the diameter of G is 2 (with high probability) and these probabilities can be explicitly calculated (up to some small error term). This implies the following result, which in turn implies Theorem 1.1 as well as its corresponding result in [8], and improves on the error term.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \leq p \leq 1 - \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n})$, and $G \sim G(n, p)$. Let $v \neq w \in V(G)$. Then, with high probability,*

$$H_{vw} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} - 1 + \frac{1}{p} \mathbb{1}_{\text{dist}(v,w)=2} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2} \sqrt{n}}\right).$$

2. PROOF OUTLINE

Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let $\frac{\log n}{n^{(k-1)/k}} \leq p \leq 1 - \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n})$. Let $G \sim G(n, p)$ and note that, throughout our proof, we will only make use of standard properties of the random graphs, as well as well-known spectral properties. Most of the ideas presented below were already used by Ottolini and Steinerberger [9] to prove Theorem 1.1. We discuss the differences and our contributions more precisely at the end of this section.

The basic idea to find a formula for H_{vw} is to compare H_{vw} to $H_{N(v)} := \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} H_{uv}$, the average hitting time in $N(v)$. We have

$$H_{vw} = H_{N(v)} + \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} (H_{vw} - H_{uv}).$$

Explicitly calculating $H_{N(v)}$ and the difference of expected hitting times depending on the starting vertex of the random walk yields the desired result.

We give a short outline of how these calculations work. The distribution of a random walk on G after ℓ steps converges rapidly to a stationary distribution π , where $\pi(v) = \frac{d(v)}{2|E(G)|}$ for each $v \in V(G)$. It is a well-known fact that the mean return time of a random walk to a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is given by $\frac{1}{\pi(v)} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)}$. As the mean return time is $1 + \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbb{P}[X_1 = u \mid X_0 = v]H_{uv} = 1 + \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} H_{uv} = 1 + H_{N(v)}$, this implies that $H_{N(v)} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} - 1$.

To compare H_{wv} and $H_{w'v}$ for $w, w' \in V(G)$, we look at the expected hitting times after a small number of steps. If the random walk has not yet hit v , it essentially makes no difference where the random walk started. The only case to consider is that the random walk may actually hit v in the first few steps. By explicitly calculating these differences, we obtain our main result.

As mentioned, although these three core ideas are essentially borrowed from Ottolini and Steinerberger [9], we make non-trivial adjustments to extend the results from constant p to the much wider range corresponding to constant diameter. Moreover, while the core idea of comparing H_{wv} to average hitting times was used in [9], we implement it differently. In [9], vertices at distance 1 and 2 from v were in fact treated separately: H_{wv} was compared to $H_{N(v)}$ when $w \in N(v)$ and to $\frac{1}{n-|N(v) \cup \{v\}|} \sum_{u \notin N(v) \cup \{v\}} H_{uv}$ otherwise. Here, we always compare H_{wv} to $H_{N(v)}$, which not only requires non-trivial adjustments, but in fact leads to a simpler proof and better error terms.

3. PRELIMINARIES

3.1. Notation. For $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $\alpha = (1 \pm \delta)\beta$ if $(1 - \delta)\beta \leq \alpha \leq (1 + \delta)\beta$. All asymptotic notation is understood with respect to n . For functions f and g we sometimes write $f \lesssim g$ instead of $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$ and $f \gtrsim g$ instead of $g = \mathcal{O}(f)$. We set $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Let G be a graph. For $v, w \in V(G)$, we denote by $\text{dist}(v, w)$ the length of the shortest path between v and w and by $\text{diam}(G)$ the diameter of G . If we take a sum over all vertices of a graph G we often write \sum_v instead of $\sum_{v \in V(G)}$ and, given $w \in V(G)$, $\sum_{v \neq w}$ instead of $\sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus \{w\}}$.

All random walks on a graph are to be understood as simple random walks. Let G be a graph and $v, w \in V(G)$. Let $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ be a random walk on G starting at w . We denote $\mathbb{P}_w[\cdot] := \mathbb{P}[\cdot \mid X_0 = w]$ and $\mathbb{E}_w[\cdot] := \mathbb{E}[\cdot \mid X_0 = w]$. When X has a unique stationary distribution, we denote it by π . For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we sometimes write $\mu_{\ell, w}(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}_w[X_\ell = \cdot]$ for the probability distribution of the random walk after ℓ steps. If w is clear from the context, we may omit w and write simply μ_ℓ . Let T_{wv} be the time the random walk takes to hit v for the first time and $H_{wv} := \mathbb{E}T_{wv}$. For a probability distribution δ on $V(G)$, we define $H_{\delta v} := \sum_u \delta(u)H_{uv}$.

All vectors in this paper are row vectors. Given a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, we set $\|x\| := \sum_{i \in [k]} |x_i|$. Probability distributions are sometimes viewed as vectors.

Let G be a graph on n vertices. We denote by A its adjacency matrix and by $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ the eigenvalues of A . We assume without loss of generality that the eigenvectors associated to $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ form an orthonormal basis and denote by $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ the eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 .

The following statement is useful for our calculations. We omit its straightforward proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f, f', g , and g' be functions such that $g' = \mathcal{O}(g)$. Suppose that $f'g = \mathcal{O}(fg')$ or $fg' = \mathcal{O}(f'g)$. Then,*

$$\frac{f \pm \mathcal{O}(f')}{g \pm \mathcal{O}(g')} = \frac{f}{g} \pm \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{fg'}{g^2}\right) & \text{if } f'g = \mathcal{O}(fg'), \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{f'}{g}\right) & \text{if } fg' = \mathcal{O}(f'g). \end{cases}$$

3.2. Properties of $G(n, p)$. We make use of the following standard concentration inequality.

Lemma 3.2 (Chernoff bound (see e.g. [3, Theorem 2.1]). *Let Y be a binomial random variable. Then, for any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[|Y - \mathbb{E}Y| \geq \delta \mathbb{E}Y] \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\min\{\delta, \delta^2\} \mathbb{E}Y}{3}\right).$$

We now list a number of standard properties for a typical $G \sim G(n, p)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $k \geq 2$, let $\frac{\log n}{n^{(k-1)/k}} \leq p \leq 1 - \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n})$, and $G \sim G(n, p)$. Then, with high probability, G satisfies all of the following properties.*

- (i) G is not bipartite.
- (ii) $\text{diam}(G) \leq k$.
- (iii) For all $v \in V(G)$, $d(v) = pn \pm \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{pn \log n})$.
- (iv) $2|E(G)| = pn^2 \pm \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{pn^2 \log n})$.
- (v) For all $v \neq w \in V(G)$, $|N(v) \cap N(w)| = p^2n \pm \mathcal{O}(\max\{\sqrt{p^2n \log n}, \log n\})$.
- (vi) For all $i \in [n]$, $\phi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log^{3/2} n}{\sqrt{pn \log(pn)}}.$
- (vii) $\lambda_1 = (1 + o(1))pn$.
- (viii) $\max\{|\lambda_2|, |\lambda_n|\} = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{pn})$.

Proof. First, note that [7, Theorem 1] states that (vi) holds with high probability, while [4, Theorem 1.1] states that (vii) holds with high probability when (iii) is satisfied. Moreover, [2, Theorem 1] states that (viii) holds with high probability when p is constant. The key part of their argument was shown to work in our range of p by Vu [14], see Appendix A for more details. Therefore, it suffices to show that each of (i)–(v) holds with high probability. Applying a union bound yields the desired result.

Note that (i) follows from the well-known fact that G contains a triangle, while (ii) follows from [1, Corollaries 7 and 8].

For (iii), let $v \in V(G)$. Then $\mathbb{E}d(v) = p(n-1)$ and so Lemma 3.2 gives

$$\mathbb{P}[|d(v) - pn| \geq 4\sqrt{pn \log n}] \leq 2n^{-3}.$$

We apply a union bound to see that $d(v) = pn \pm \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{pn \log n})$ holds for all $v \in V(G)$ with probability at least $1 - 2n^{-2}$.

Analogously we see that (iv) holds with probability $1 - o(1)$.

For (v), let $v \neq w \in V(G)$. Then $\mathbb{E}|N(v) \cap N(w)| = p^2(n-2)$. If $p^2n \leq 10 \log n$, then Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\mathbb{P}[||N(v) \cap N(w)| - p^2n| \geq 10 \log n] \leq 2n^{-3}.$$

If $p^2n > 10 \log n$, then Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\mathbb{P}[|N(v) \cap N(w)| - p^2n| \geq 4\sqrt{p^2n \log n}] \leq 2n^{-3}.$$

We apply a union bound to see that $|N(v) \cap N(w)| = p^2n \pm \mathcal{O}(\max\{\sqrt{p^2n \log n}, \log n\})$ holds for all $v \neq w \in V(G)$ with probability at least $1 - 2n^{-1}$. \square

Given $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$, and $\frac{\log n}{n^{(k-1)/k}} \leq p \leq 1 - \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n})$, we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ the set of all graphs that satisfy the properties of Lemma 3.3. For simplicity, when we write $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$, we always assume implicitly that k, n , and p satisfy the required conditions. In particular, any parameter which is fixed before choosing $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ is understood as being independent of n . Note that we will fact prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 for any (deterministic) graph in $\mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$.

We state the following direct corollary describing key quantities for our proofs later. We omit its straightforward proof.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v \in V(G)$. Then, the following hold.*

- (i) $\frac{1}{d(v)} = \frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right)$.
- (ii) $\frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} = n \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n \log n}}{\sqrt{p}}\right)$.

- (iii) $\frac{d(v)}{2|E(G)|} = \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn^{3/2}}}\right)$.
- (iv) Any random walk X on G is irreducible and aperiodic. In particular, there exists a unique stationary distribution π of X and $\pi(v) = \frac{d(v)}{2|E(G)|} = \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn^{3/2}}}\right)$.
- (v) For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any random walk $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ starting at $w \in V(G)$, we have $\mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = i] \leq \mathbb{P}[X_i = v] \leq \frac{2}{pn}$.

Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v \in V(G)$. The next lemma explicitly states the average hitting time of all vertices in $N(v)$. This will be useful to derive an explicit hitting time formula in Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 3.5 ([9, Lemma 1]). *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v \in V(G)$. Then,*

$$H_{N(v)} := \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} H_{uv} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} - 1.$$

3.3. Mixing times. In [9], Ottolini and Steinerberger showed that, for constant p , a random walk on $G(n, p)$ converges rapidly to the stationary distribution. Their proof made in particular use of the spectral properties of a random graph. Using the corresponding properties for our range of p , that is, Lemma 3.3(vi)–(viii), we can derive the following analogously. See Appendix B for more details.

Recall that a random walk X on $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ starting at a fixed $w \in V(G)$ has a unique stationary distribution (Corollary 3.4(iv)), which we denote by π , and that the probability distribution of X at any stage $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ is denoted by μ_ℓ .

Proposition 3.6 ([9, Proposition]). *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$. Let $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ be a random walk on G starting at $w \in V(G)$. Then,*

$$\|\mu_\ell - \pi\| \lesssim \frac{(\log n)^{(\ell-1)/2} \sqrt{n}}{(pn)^{\ell/2}}.$$

Corollary 3.7. *Let $k \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 3k + 2$ be integers. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ be a random walk on G starting at $w \in V(G)$. Then, for any $x \in V(G)$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_w[X_\ell = x] = \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn^{3/2}}}\right).$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 3.4(iv) and Proposition 3.6 yields

$$\left| \mu_\ell(x) - \frac{1}{n} \right| \leq |\mu_\ell(x) - \pi(x)| + \left| \pi(x) - \frac{1}{n} \right| \lesssim \|\mu_\ell - \pi\| + \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn^{3/2}}} \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn^{3/2}}},$$

as desired. □

4. DIFFERENCES IN HITTING TIMES

The aim of this section is to show the following result, which shows that after walking a certain number of steps, the expected hitting time is only marginally influenced by the starting vertex.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $k \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 3k + 2$ be integers. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v, w, w' \in V(G)$. Then,*

$$|H_{\mu_\ell, wv} - H_{\mu_\ell, w'v}| \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}.$$

We prove this result by finding a simple upper bound for $|H_{wv} - n|$ and using the fact that $\|\mu_\ell - \pi\|$ tends to 0 quickly. To find an upper bound for $|H_{wv} - n|$, we need the following lemma, which states that a random walk on $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ starting at w is expected to hit v in $\mathcal{O}((pn)^k)$ steps.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v, w \in V(G)$. Then, $H_{wv} \lesssim (pn)^k$.*

Proof. Let $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ denote a random walk on G starting at w . Note that for all $u \in V(G)$, there is a u - v path of length $\text{dist}(u, v)$ in G . Note further that, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and any adjacent $u', u'' \in V(G)$, we have $\mathbb{P}[X_\ell = u'' \mid X_{\ell-1} = u'] \gtrsim \frac{1}{pn}$ by Corollary 3.4(i). Thus, Lemma 3.3(ii) implies that $\mathbb{P}_u[X_{\text{dist}(u,v)} = v] \gtrsim \frac{1}{(pn)^{\text{dist}(u,v)}} \geq \frac{1}{(pn)^k}$ for all $u \in V(G)$. Let c be a constant such that $\mathbb{P}_u[X_{\text{dist}(u,v)} = v] \geq \frac{c}{(pn)^k} =: q$ for any $u \in V(G)$. This implies that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v \text{ for some } jk < i \leq (j+1)k] \geq q$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $Y_j = 1$ if $X_i = v$ for some $jk < i \leq (j+1)k$ and let $Y_j = 0$ otherwise. Then Y_0, Y_1, \dots stochastically dominates a sequence Z_0, Z_1, \dots of independent $\text{Ber}(q)$ -distributed $\{0, 1\}$ -random variables. As the expected time i where $Z_i = 1$ for the first time is $\frac{1}{q} - 1$, the statement follows. \square

The following corollary quantifies how far H_{wv} deviates from n . It follows directly from Lemma 4.2 and the fact that $(pn)^k \geq n$.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v \neq w \in V(G)$. Then, $|H_{wv} - n| \lesssim (pn)^k$.*

We are now equipped to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Using Corollary 4.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{\mu_{\ell,w}v} - H_{\mu_{\ell,w'}v}| &= \left| \sum_{u \neq v} (\mu_{\ell,w}(u) - \mu_{\ell,w'}(u)) H_{uv} \right| = \left| \sum_{u \neq v} (\mu_{\ell,w}(u) - \mu_{\ell,w'}(u)) (n + H_{uv} - n) \right| \\ &\leq n \left| \sum_{u \neq v} (\mu_{\ell,w}(u) - \mu_{\ell,w'}(u)) \right| + \sum_{u \neq v} |\mu_{\ell,w}(u) - \mu_{\ell,w'}(u)| |H_{uv} - n| \\ &\lesssim n |(1 - \mu_{\ell,w}(v)) - (1 - \mu_{\ell,w'}(v))| + (pn)^k \sum_{u \neq v} |\mu_{\ell,w}(u) - \mu_{\ell,w'}(u)| \\ &\leq n |\mu_{\ell,w}(v) - \mu_{\ell,w'}(v)| + (pn)^k \|\mu_{\ell,w} - \mu_{\ell,w'}\|. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that both of these terms are asymptotically bounded by $\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}$. First, Corollary 3.7 yields $n |\mu_{\ell,w}(v) - \mu_{\ell,w'}(v)| \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}$, as desired. Second, Proposition 3.6 yields

$$\|\mu_{\ell,w} - \mu_{\ell,w'}\| \leq \|\mu_{\ell,w} - \pi\| + \|\pi - \mu_{\ell,w'}\| \lesssim \frac{(\log n)^{(\ell-1)/2} \sqrt{n}}{(pn)^{\ell/2}},$$

and thus, as $\ell \geq 3k + 2$,

$$(pn)^k \|\mu_{\ell,w} - \mu_{\ell,w'}\| \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}},$$

as desired. \square

5. A HITTING TIME FORMULA

It is easy to see that for $\text{dist}(w, v) \geq \ell$, we have

$$H_{wv} = \ell + H_{\mu_\ell v}.$$

For $\text{dist}(w, v) < \ell$, this formula is not true in general, but the next lemma gives a precise formula for H_{wv} in terms of $H_{\mu_\ell v}$, up to some small error term.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v \neq w \in V(G)$. Let $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ be a random walk on G starting at w . Then,*

$$H_{wv} = \ell + H_{\mu_\ell v} - \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{pn}\right).$$

We need the following lemmas. First, Lemma 5.2 yields a formula for the probability of the random walk returning to v for the first time after a certain number of steps.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and G be a graph and $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ a random walk on G . Then,*

$$\mathbb{P}[X_\ell = v; X_1, \dots, X_{\ell-1} \neq v \mid X_0 = v] = \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbb{P}[T_{uv} = \ell - 1].$$

Proof. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[X_\ell = v; X_1, \dots, X_{\ell-1} \neq v \mid X_0 = v] \\ &= \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbb{P}[X_\ell = v; X_1 = u; X_2, \dots, X_{\ell-1} \neq v \mid X_0 = v] \\ &= \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbb{P}[X_1 = u \mid X_0 = v] \mathbb{P}[X_\ell = v; X_1, \dots, X_{\ell-1} \neq v \mid X_1 = u] \\ &= \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbb{P}[X_{\ell-1} = v; X_0, \dots, X_{\ell-2} \neq v \mid X_0 = u] \\ &= \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbb{P}[T_{uv} = \ell - 1], \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

In the next lemma, we give a formula for the expected “shifted” hitting time.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let G be a graph, $v \neq w \in V(G)$, and let $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ be a random walk on G . Then,*

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m + \ell] = H_{wv} - \ell - \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} (m - \ell) \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m].$$

Proof. Note that, as $v \neq w$, we have $\mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = 0] = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 0} m \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m + \ell] &= \sum_{m \geq \ell} (m - \ell) \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m] \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} (m - \ell) \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m] - \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} (m - \ell) \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m] \\ &= H_{wv} - \ell - \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} (m - \ell) \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m], \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

The next lemma shows that the probability that the random walk hits v for the first time at constant time i is basically the same as the probability that the random walk hits v at time i .

Lemma 5.4. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v \neq w \in V(G)$. Let $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ be a random walk on G . Then,*

$$\mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = i] = \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{p^2 n^2}\right).$$

Proof. Corollary 3.4(v) and Lemma 5.2 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = i] &= \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] - \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_{i-1} \neq v, X_j = v] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] - \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \mathbb{P}_w[X_j = v] \mathbb{P}[X_i = v, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_{i-1} \neq v \mid X_j = v] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] - \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \mathbb{P}_w[X_j = v] \mathbb{P}[X_{i-j} = v, X_1, \dots, X_{i-j-1} \neq v \mid X_0 = v] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] - \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \mathbb{P}_w[X_j = v] \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbb{P}[T_{uv} = i - j - 1] \\
&= \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{p^2 n^2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.1. We have

$$H_{\mu_{\ell v}} = \sum_x \mu_{\ell}(x) H_{xv} = \sum_{m \geq 0} m \sum_x \mathbb{P}_w[X_{\ell} = x] \mathbb{P}[T_{xv} = m]. \quad (5.1)$$

For $m \geq 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_x \mathbb{P}_w[X_{\ell} = x] \mathbb{P}[T_{xv} = m] &= \sum_x \mathbb{P}_w[X_{\ell} = x] \mathbb{P}[X_m = v; X_0, \dots, X_{m-1} \neq v \mid X_0 = x] \\
&= \sum_x \mathbb{P}_w[X_{\ell} = x] \mathbb{P}_w[X_{m+\ell} = v; X_{\ell}, \dots, X_{m+\ell-1} \neq v \mid X_{\ell} = x] \\
&= \sum_x \mathbb{P}_w[X_{m+\ell} = v; X_{\ell} = x; X_{\ell}, \dots, X_{m+\ell-1} \neq v] \\
&= \mathbb{P}_w[X_{m+\ell} = v; X_{\ell}, \dots, X_{m+\ell-1} \neq v].
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting in (5.1) and applying Lemma 5.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\mu_{\ell v}} &= \sum_{m \geq 0} m \mathbb{P}_w[X_{m+\ell} = v; X_{\ell}, \dots, X_{m+\ell-1} \neq v] \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} m \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m + \ell] + \sum_{m \geq 0} m \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}_w[X_{m+\ell} = v; X_i = v; X_{i+1}, \dots, X_{m+\ell-1} \neq v] \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} m \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m + \ell] \\
&\quad + \sum_{m \geq 0} m \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \mathbb{P}_w[X_{m+\ell} = v; X_{i+1}, \dots, X_{m+\ell-1} \neq v \mid X_i = v] \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} m \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m + \ell] \\
&\quad + \sum_{m \geq 0} m \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \mathbb{P}[X_{m+\ell-i} = v; X_1, \dots, X_{m+\ell-i-1} \neq v \mid X_0 = v] \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} m \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m + \ell] + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sum_{m \geq 0} m \mathbb{P}[T_{uv} = m + \ell - i - 1].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5.3 and using Corollary 3.4(v), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\mu_{\ell v}} &= H_{wv} - \ell - \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} (m - \ell) \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = m] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \left(H_{wv} - (\ell - i - 1) - \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-i-2} (m - (\ell - i - 1)) \mathbb{P}[T_{uv} = m] \right) \\
&= H_{wv} - \ell - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (i - \ell) \mathbb{P}[T_{wv} = i] + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} H_{uv} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{pn}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.4 and Corollary 3.4(v) yield

$$H_{\mu_\ell v} = H_{wv} - \ell + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \left(\frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} H_{uv} + 1 \right) \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{pn}\right).$$

Finally, rearranging and using Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$H_{wv} = \ell + H_{\mu_\ell v} - \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{pn}\right),$$

as desired. \square

6. CALCULATION OF HITTING TIMES

In the following proposition, we compare H_{wv} to H_{uv} for any $u \neq v \neq w \in V(G)$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$. Let $u \neq v \neq w \in V(G)$. Then,*

$$H_{wv} - H_{uv} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{3k+1} (\mathbb{P}_u[X_i = v] - \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v]) \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}\right).$$

Proof. Lemma 5.1 (applied with $\ell = 3k + 2$) gives

$$H_{wv} - H_{uv} = H_{\mu_{\ell,w}v} - H_{\mu_{\ell,u}v} - \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{3k+1} (\mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] - \mathbb{P}_u[X_i = v]) \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{pn}\right).$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 yields the desired result. \square

We can now prove the following explicit formula for hitting times.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v \neq w \in V(G)$. Then,*

$$H_{wv} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} - 1 + \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{3k+1} (\mathbb{P}_u[X_i = v] - \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v]) \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}\right).$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.5, we know that the average hitting time in $N(v)$ satisfies

$$H_{N(v)} = \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} H_{uv} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} - 1. \quad (6.1)$$

Proposition 6.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{wv} - H_{N(v)} &= \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} (H_{wv} - H_{uv}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{3k+1} (\mathbb{P}_u[X_i = v] - \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v]) \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and thus (6.1) gives the desired result. \square

We want to express the second term in our formula in terms of number of walks starting from w and u , respectively. Recall that $\mathcal{W}_i(w)$ denotes the number of walks of length i starting at w and $\mathcal{W}_i(w, v)$ the number of w - v walks of length i .

Proposition 6.3. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$. For all $v, w \in V(G)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] = \frac{\mathcal{W}_i(w, v)}{\mathcal{W}_i(w)} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right).$$

Proof. The claim is certainly true for $i = 1$. Assume it holds true for all $i' < i$. By Corollary 3.4(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] &= \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbb{P}[X_i = v \mid X_{i-1} = u] \mathbb{P}_w[X_{i-1} = u] \\ &= \sum_{u \in N(v)} \frac{1}{|N(u)|} \mathbb{P}_w[X_{i-1} = u] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right) \right) \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbb{P}_w[X_{i-1} = u].\end{aligned}$$

Applying the induction hypothesis yields $\mathbb{P}_w[X_{i-1} = u] = \frac{\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(w,u)}{\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(w)} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right)$ for all $u \in V(G)$. Note that Lemma 3.3(iii) implies that $\mathcal{W}_i(w) = (pn \pm \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{pn \log n}))\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(w)$ and that any walk starting at w of length $i - 1$ ending in $N(v)$ can be extended to exactly one w - v walk of length i and at least $\frac{pn}{2}$ distinct walks of length i starting at w . This implies that $\frac{\mathcal{W}_i(w,v)}{\mathcal{W}_i(w)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{pn}\right)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v] &= \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right) \right) \sum_{u \in N(v)} \frac{\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(w,u)}{\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(w)} \pm |N(v)| \cdot \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{5/2}n^{5/2}}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right) \right) \frac{\mathcal{W}_i(w,v)}{\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(w)} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right) \right) (pn \pm \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{pn \log n})) \frac{\mathcal{W}_i(w,v)}{\mathcal{W}_i(w)} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right) \\ &= \left(1 \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}\right) \right) \frac{\mathcal{W}_i(w,v)}{\mathcal{W}_i(w)} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{W}_i(w,v)}{\mathcal{W}_i(w)} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $k \geq 2$ and n be integers, let $\frac{\log n}{n^{(k-1)/k}} \leq p \leq 1 - \Omega\left(\frac{\log^4 n}{n}\right)$. By Lemma 3.3, any $G \sim G(n,p)$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ with high probability. Thus, it suffices to consider arbitrary $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$ and $v \neq w \in V(G)$, and show that the hitting time H_{wv} satisfies (deterministically) the desired formula. By Theorem 6.2 we obtain

$$H_{wv} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} - 1 + \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{3k+1} (\mathbb{P}_u[X_i = v] - \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v]) \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}\right).$$

Applying Proposition 6.3 and Corollary 3.4(ii) yields the desired result. \square

To prove Corollary 1.3, we need the following lemma, which estimates the probability that a random walk hits v after ℓ steps.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $k \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 3$. Let $p \geq \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$. Let $X = X_0, X_1, \dots$ be a random walk on G starting at $w \in V(G)$. Then,*

$$\mathbb{P}_w[X_2 = x] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right) & \text{if } x \neq w \\ \frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right) & \text{if } x = w, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_w[X_\ell = x] = \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right).$$

Proof. Using Corollary 3.4(i) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_w[X_2 = w] &= \sum_{u \in N(w)} \mathbb{P}[X_2 = w \mid X_1 = u] \mathbb{P}_w[X_1 = u] = \frac{1}{|N(w)|} \sum_{u \in N(w)} \frac{1}{|N(u)|} \\ &= \frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

while for $x \neq w$, Lemma 3.3(v), Corollary 3.4(i), and the fact that $p \geq \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$ imply

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_w[X_2 = x] &= \mathbb{P}_w[X_2 = x \mid X_1 \in N(x)] \mathbb{P}_w[X_1 \in N(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{|N(X_1)|} \frac{|N(x) \cap N(w)|}{|N(w)|} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right)\right)^2 (p^2n \pm \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p^2n \log n})) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbb{P}_w[X_3 = x]$, we use Lemma 3.3(iii), Corollary 3.4(i), and the above to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_w[X_3 = x] &= \sum_{u \in N(x)} \mathbb{P}_w[X_3 = x \mid X_2 = u] \mathbb{P}_w[X_2 = u] = \sum_{u \in N(x)} \frac{1}{|N(u)|} \mathbb{P}_w[X_2 = u] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right)\right) \left(\sum_{u \in N(x) \setminus \{w\}} \mathbb{P}_w[X_2 = u] \pm \mathbb{P}_w[X_2 = w]\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right)\right) \left(\sum_{u \in N(x) \setminus \{w\}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right)\right) \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{pn}\right)\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right)\right) (pn \pm \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{pn \log n})) \left(\frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right)\right) \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{p^2n^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $\ell \geq 4$ and $\mathbb{P}_w[X_{\ell-1} = u] = \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right)$ for all $u \in V(G)$. Then, Lemma 3.3(iii) and Corollary 3.4(i) give

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_w[X_\ell = x] &= \sum_{u \in N(x)} \mathbb{P}_w[X_\ell = x \mid X_{\ell-1} = u] \mathbb{P}_w[X_{\ell-1} = u] \\ &= (pn \pm \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{pn \log n})) \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\right)\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The statement follows by induction. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. We derive the corollary from Theorem 6.2 as follows. If $\text{dist}(w, v) = 1$, then by using Corollary 3.4(i) and (ii), and Lemma 6.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{3k+1} (\mathbb{P}_u[X_i = v] - \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v]) \\ = \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \left(\mathbb{P}_u[X_1 = v] - \mathbb{P}_w[X_1 = v] \pm \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}}\right)\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(n \pm \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n \log n}}{\sqrt{p}} \right) \right) \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2} n^{3/2}} \right) = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2} \sqrt{n}} \right),$$

as desired. Similarly, for $\text{dist}(w, v) = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \sum_{i=1}^{3k+1} (\mathbb{P}_u[X_i = v] - \mathbb{P}_w[X_i = v]) \\ &= \frac{2|E(G)|}{d(v)} \frac{1}{|N(v)|} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \left(\mathbb{P}_u[X_1 = v] \pm \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{pn^{3/2}} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(n \pm \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n \log n}}{\sqrt{p}} \right) \right) \left(\frac{1}{pn} \pm \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2} n^{3/2}} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \pm \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2} \sqrt{n}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Bollobás. The diameter of random graphs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 267(1):41–52, 1981.
- [2] Z. Füredi and J. Komlós. The eigenvalues of random symmetric matrices. *Combinatorica*, 1:233–241, 1981.
- [3] S. Janson, T. Luczak, and A. Ruciński. *Random graphs*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [4] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov. The largest eigenvalue of sparse random graphs. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 12(1):61–72, 2003.
- [5] L. Lovász. Random walks on graphs: A survey. *Combinatorics*, Paul Erdős is eighty 2:1–46, 1993.
- [6] M. Löwe and F. Torres. On hitting times for a simple random walk on dense Erdős–Rényi random graphs. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 89:81–88, 2014.
- [7] P. Mitra. Entrywise bounds for eigenvectors of random graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 16:R131, 2009.
- [8] A. Ottolini. Hitting times in the stochastic block model. *arXiv:2402.09624*, 2024.
- [9] A. Ottolini and S. Steinerberger. Concentration of hitting times in Erdős–Rényi graphs. *arXiv:2304.04289*, 2023.
- [10] J. L. Palacios. Bounds on expected hitting times for a random walk on a connected graph. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 141:241–252, 1990.
- [11] J. L. Palacios. Expected hitting and cover times of random walks on some special graphs. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 5(1):173–182, 1994.
- [12] J. L. Palacios. On hitting times of random walks on trees. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 79(2):234–236, 2009.
- [13] S. K. Rao. Finding hitting times in various graphs. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 83(9):2067–2072, 2013.
- [14] V. H. Vu. Spectral norm of random matrices. *Combinatorica*, 27(6):721–736, 2007.

APPENDIX A. SECOND EIGENVALUE

In this appendix, we show how to adapt the arguments of [2] to show that Lemma 3.3(viii) holds with high probability in our range of p . In fact, we prove the following.

Lemma A.1. *Let $p(1-p) = \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n})$ and $G \sim G(n, p)$. Then, with high probability we have $\max\{|\lambda_2|, |\lambda_n|\} = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{pn})$.*

We will need the following more general result about random matrices. This was originally proved in [2] for constant variance σ^2 and used to prove the constant p version of Lemma A.1. Vu [14] later observed that the methods hold for a larger range of σ^2 .

Lemma A.2 (Vu [14]). *Let $\sigma^2 = \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n})$. Let $\{m_{i,j} \in [-1, 1] \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ be independent random variables. For each $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, let $m_{j,i} := m_{i,j}$. Define a random symmetric matrix $M := (m_{i,j})_{i,j \in [n]}$ and denote by $\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$ its eigenvalues. Suppose that $\mathbb{E}m_{i,j} = 0$ and $\text{Var}(m_{i,j}) \leq \sigma^2$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then, with high probability, $\max_{i \in [n]} |\mu_i| = \mathcal{O}(\sigma\sqrt{n})$.*

We remark that in the original statement of Lemma A.2, that is [14, Theorem 1.4], one also requires $\mathbb{E}m_{i,i} = 0$ and $\text{Var}(m_{i,i}) \leq \sigma^2$ for all $i \in [n]$. However, this is not required in our case since we further assume $m_{i,j} \in [-1, 1]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Indeed, as mentioned in [14], changing all the diagonal entries of M to 0 can only change $\max_{i \in [n]} |\mu_i|$ by at most $1 = o(\sigma\sqrt{n})$.

Proof of Lemma A.1. Let J be the matrix with all 1 entries and define $M := A - pJ$. Note that $M = (m_{i,j})_{i,j \in [n]}$ is a symmetric random matrix with $m_{i,j} = m_{j,i} \in [-p, 1-p] \subseteq [-1, 1]$ for all $i, j \in [n]$ such that $\{m_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ are independent. Moreover, $\mathbb{E}m_{i,j} = 0$ and $\text{Var}(m_{i,j}) = p(1-p) = \Omega(\frac{\log^4 n}{n})$ for all $i \neq j \in [n]$. Let $\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$ be the eigenvalues of M . By [2, Lemma 1 and 2], we have $\lambda_2 \leq \mu_1$ and $\lambda_n \geq \mu_n$. Observe also that $0 = \text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i \in [n]} \lambda_i$, so $\lambda_n, \mu_n < 0$. Thus, the result follows by applying Lemma A.2 to M . \square

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.6

In this appendix, we prove Proposition 3.6. Note that the arguments are the same as in [9]. The only difference is that, for our range of p , we need to use more precise values for the spectrum of the adjacency matrix (see Lemma 3.3(vi)–(viii)). We include the details here nonetheless for completeness.

We will need the *vector ℓ_2 -norm* and *matrix spectral norm*. Given a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, let $\|x\|_2 := \sqrt{\sum_{i \in [k]} |x_i|^2}$ and given a symmetric real matrix M , let $\|M\|_2$ be the largest eigenvalue of M . (Also recall that the entries of a diagonal matrix are its eigenvalues.) Given two vectors $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$, we write $\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{i \in [k]} x_i y_i$. Given a graph G , we denote by D the *degree matrix* of G , that is, the diagonal matrix with an entry $d(v)$ for each $v \in V(G)$, and note that $D^{-1}A$ is the transition matrix of any random walk on G .

Lemma B.1 ([9, Lemma 3]). *Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,n,p}$. Let v be a vector whose entries average to 0. Then,*

$$\|vD^{-1}A\|_2 \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}} \|v\|_2.$$

Proof. We first make the following observation.

Claim 1. We have

$$\frac{\|vA\|_2}{pn} \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}} \|v\|_2.$$

Proof of Claim. Write $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and recall that $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ denotes the eigenvector associated to the largest eigenvalue λ_1 of A . By assumption, $\sum_{i \in [n]} v_i = 0$, so together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 3.3(vi), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, v \rangle^2 &= \left(\sum_{i \in [n]} \phi_i v_i \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{v_i}{\sqrt{n}} + \sum_{i \in [n]} \left(\phi_i - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) v_i \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [n]} \left(\phi_i - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^2 \cdot \sum_{i \in [n]} v_i^2 \lesssim \frac{\log^3 n}{pn \log^2(pn)} \|v\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the eigenvectors of A form an orthonormal basis. Hence, Lemma 3.3(vii) and (viii) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|vA\|_2}{pn} &\leq \frac{1}{pn} \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 \langle \phi, v \rangle^2 + \lambda_2^2 \|v\|_2^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{pn} \sqrt{(pn)^2 \cdot \frac{\log^3 n}{pn \log^2(pn)} \|v\|_2^2 + pn \|v\|_2^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\log^{3/2} n}{\sqrt{pn} \log(pn)} \|v\|_2 \leq \frac{\log^{3/2} n}{\sqrt{pn} \log(n^{1/k} \log n)} \|v\|_2 \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}} \|v\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \diamond

Let D_1 be the diagonal $n \times n$ matrix with entries $\frac{1}{pn}$. Let $D_2 := D^{-1} - D_1$ and observe that D_2 is the diagonal matrix with entries $\frac{1}{d(v)} - \frac{1}{pn}$. Note that, by Corollary 3.4(i), we have

$$\|D_1\|_2 = \frac{1}{pn} \quad \text{and} \quad \|D_2\|_2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2} n^{3/2}}\right).$$

Together with Lemma 3.3(vii) and Claim 1, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|vD^{-1}A\|_2 &= \|v(D_1 + D_2)A\|_2 \leq \|vD_1A\|_2 + \|vD_2A\|_2 \\ &\leq \|D_1\|_2\|vA\|_2 + \|v\|_2\|D_2\|_2\|A\|_2 \lesssim \frac{\|vA\|_2}{pn} + \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{p^{3/2}n^{3/2}}\|v\|_2\|A\|_2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{pn}}\|v\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Proof of Proposition 3.6. First, observe that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that $\|\mu_\ell - \pi\|^2 \leq n\|\mu_\ell - \pi\|_2^2$, so it suffices to show that

$$\|\mu_\ell - \pi\|_2 \lesssim \frac{(\log n)^{(\ell-1)/2}}{(pn)^{\ell/2}}.$$

We proceed by induction on ℓ . By Lemma 3.3(iii), Corollary 3.4(i) and (iv), we have

$$\|\mu_1 - \pi\|_2 \leq \|\mu_1\|_2 + \|\pi\|_2 \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{pn}{(pn)^2}} + \sqrt{\frac{n}{n^2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{pn}}.$$

For the induction step, suppose that $\|\mu_\ell - \pi\|_2 \lesssim \frac{(\log n)^{(\ell-1)/2}}{(pn)^{\ell/2}}$. Observe that μ_ℓ and π are both probability distributions, so $\mu_\ell - \pi$ has mean value 0. Thus, Lemma B.1 implies that

$$\|\mu_{\ell+1} - \pi\|_2 = \|\mu_\ell D^{-1}A - \pi\|_2 = \|(\mu_\ell - \pi)D^{-1}A\|_2 \lesssim \frac{(\log n)^{\ell/2}}{(pn)^{(\ell+1)/2}},$$

as desired. □