# ON DIMENSION STABLE SPACES OF MEASURES

DANIEL SPECTOR AND DMITRIY STOLYAROV

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define spaces of measures  $DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with dimensional stability  $\beta \in (0, d)$ . These spaces bridge between  $M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the space of finite Radon measures, and  $DS_d(\mathbb{R}^d) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the real Hardy space. We show the spaces  $DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$  support Sobolev inequalities for  $\beta \in (0, d]$ , while for any  $\beta \in [0, d]$  we show that the lower Hausdorff dimension of an element of  $DS_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is at least  $\beta$ .

#### **CONTENTS**



## 1. Introduction

<span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>1.1. Introduction and Main Results. The space  $M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of finite Radon measures is a somewhat natural choice in the modeling of physical phenomena: it has a simple mathematical structure; the norm on this space can be interpreted as the mass of the physical quantity that a given measure represents; bounded sequences admit weakly-star compact through the identification of this space with the dual of  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Two main caveats to its use, however, are its failure to support a strong-type Sobolev inequality and its lack of stability with respect to dimensional estimates.

The failure of an analog of Sobolev's  $L^p$  inequality [\[37\]](#page-28-0) is the assertion that for  $\alpha \in (0, d)$  there is no universal constant  $C_1 = C_1(\alpha, d) > 0$  for which

$$
||I_{\alpha}\mu||_{L^{d/(d-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C_1 ||\mu||_{M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary .

for all  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Here we use  $I_{\alpha}\mu$  to denote the Riesz potential of a finite Radon measure  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which is defined pointwise by the formula

$$
I_{\alpha}\mu(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha/2)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha/2 - 1} e^{t\Delta} \mu \, dt \equiv \frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{d\mu(y)}{|x - y|^{d - \alpha}},
$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the Euler Gamma function,  $e^{t\Delta}$  is the heat semi-group, whose action on a measure can be computed by convolution of the measure with the function  $\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp(-|x|^2/4t)$ , and  $\gamma(\alpha)$  is a suitable normalization constant. The prevailing wisdom is that one must be content with an estimate in weak- $L^{d/(d-\alpha)}$ , the Marcinkiewicz space, see e.g. [\[57\]](#page-28-1) or [\[42,](#page-28-2) Eq (14) on p. 120].

The lack of dimensional stability is the assertion that a sequence  $\{\mu_n\} \subset M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which

$$
\|\mu_n\|_{M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_2,
$$
  

$$
\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \mu_n \geq \beta, \quad \beta \in (0, d],
$$

need not guarantee<sup>[1](#page-1-0)</sup>

dim<sub>H</sub>  $\mu \geqslant \beta$ ,

for any weak-star limit  $\mu$  of any subsequence of  $\{\mu_n\}$ . Here we use  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \mu$  to denote the lower Hausdorff dimension of  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which can be computed by the formula

$$
\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \mu = \sup \{ \beta \in (0, d] : \mathcal{H}^{\beta}(E) = 0 \implies |\mu|(E) = 0 \}
$$

$$
= \inf \{ \dim_{\mathcal{H}} A : |\mu|(A) > 0 \}
$$

where  $|\mu|$  denotes the total variation measure of  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This is a quantification of the singularity of measures, see e.g. [\[11,](#page-27-0) Chapter 10].

Yet in practice in the modeling of physical phenomena with measures, one finds these drawbacks are often somewhat surprisingly absent in problems under study. Consider, for example, the equations of magnetostatics: If one lets  $J: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the electric current density and  $B \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  the magnetic field, Maxwell's equations for the magnetic field in the static regime are given by the relations

$$
\operatorname{curl} B = J,
$$
  
div  $B = 0$ .

A result pioneered by J. Bourgain and H. Brezis [\[7,](#page-26-2) [8\]](#page-26-3) and subsequently refined on the Lorentz scale by Felipe Hernandez and the first author [\[20\]](#page-27-1) and independently by the second author [\[46\]](#page-28-3) asserts the existence of a universal constant  $C_3 > 0$  such that

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
(1.1) \t\t\t ||B||_{L^{3/2,1}(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)} \leqslant C_3 ||J||_{M_b(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

Thus despite its failure for general measures, a strong-type Sobolev inequality persists for this model.

As for dimensional stability, if one has a bounded sequence of compatible electric current densities  $\{J_n\} \subset M_b(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , i.e.

$$
||J_n||_{M_b(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_4,
$$
  
div  $J_n = 0$ ,

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>1</sup>Consider, for example, an approximation of the identity.

then if J is any weak-star limit of the sequence  $\{J_n\}$ , necessarily

<span id="page-2-0"></span>(1.2) dim<sup>H</sup> J > 1,

as [\(1.2\)](#page-2-0) holds for all  $J \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$  for which div  $J = 0$  in the sense of distributions; for such charges [\(1.2\)](#page-2-0) follows either from Smirnov's decomposition theorem [\[36\]](#page-28-4) or from the Fourier analytic approach of [\[32\]](#page-27-2) (see also [\[19\]](#page-27-3)). The Sobolev inequality  $(1.1)$  and dimensional stability  $(1.2)$  rely in a crucial way on the fact that J are not arbitrary vector measures but also satisfy div  $J = 0$ .

The discovery of Sobolev inequalities and dimensional estimates for measures with additional structure has a long history, which in several dimensions can be dated to at least the late 1950s. The first result which should be mentioned is naturally the extension of Sobolev's inequality due to E. Gagliardo [\[14\]](#page-27-4), L. Nirenberg [\[26\]](#page-27-5), Federer and Fleming [\[12\]](#page-27-6), and Maz'ya [\[23\]](#page-27-7), who independently pioneered Sobolev inequalities in this scaling. The proofs of Federer and Fleming [\[12\]](#page-27-6) and Maz'ya [\[23\]](#page-27-7) rely on the coarea formula, which also implies that for any  $Du \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$  one has

$$
\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Du \geqslant d-1.
$$

As one has examples for which there is equality, e.g. the weak derivative of the characteristic function of a ball, this shows the dimension of this space is  $d-1$ . At essentially the same time one has the work of E. Stein and G. Weiss [\[44\]](#page-28-5) which simultaneously achieved different Sobolev inequalities and dimensional estimates. In particular, in their paper [\[44\]](#page-28-5) generalizing the notion of conjugate harmonic functions to more than one dimension, Stein and Weiss introduced

$$
\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{ f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \colon \nabla I_1 f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d) \},
$$

the real Hardy space in several variables. For this space they proved a number of results. Concerning an analog of Sobolev's inequality, they demonstrated<sup>[2](#page-2-1)</sup> that for  $\alpha \in (0, d)$  one has the existence of a universal constant  $C_5 = C_5(\alpha, d) > 0$  such that

$$
||I_{\alpha}f||_{L^{d/(d-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C_5||f||_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

for all  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Concerning dimensional estimates, Stein and Weiss' result can be shown to imply that

$$
\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f = d,
$$

for all  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . From this one deduces a dimensional stability result of order d, as for any sequence which satisfies

$$
||f_n||_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C_6,
$$

one has

 $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f = d$ 

for any weak-star limit  $\mu = f dx$  of any subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$ , see also Uchiyama's result [\[50\]](#page-28-6) which establishes such dimension estimates for more general Hardy spaces.

<span id="page-2-1"></span><sup>2</sup>A Lorentz refinement of this inequality is due to Dorronsorro [\[10\]](#page-26-4) (see also [\[38\]](#page-28-7) for a Lorentz inequality for potentials of gradients, [\[1\]](#page-26-5) for the stronger trace inequality and [\[27,](#page-27-8) [31,](#page-27-9) [39,](#page-28-8) [40,](#page-28-9) [47\]](#page-28-10) for the details of this argument). This inequality and standard harmonic analysis can be shown to imply the inequality [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1) for  $J_i \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

The question of Sobolev inequalities for  $p = 1$  and dimensional estimates follows a sort of parallel development from this point, though the two are of course closely related. Toward Sobolev inequalities, one has the Korn–Sobolev embedding of M.J. Strauss [\[49\]](#page-28-11) and the work of J. Bourgain and H. Brezis [\[7,](#page-26-2) [8\]](#page-26-3), the latter of which led to the systematic treatment of inequalities for closed subspaces of vector-valued measures by J. Van Schaftingen [\[55\]](#page-28-12), who among other results proved that for  $\alpha \in (0, d)$  one has

$$
||I_{\alpha}F||_{L^{d/(d-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^l)} \leqslant C_7||F||_{M_b(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^l)}
$$

for all  $F \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^l)$  such that  $LF = 0$  for some homogeneous constant coefficient linear differential operator  $L$  if and only if  $L$  is cocancelling. We recall that an operator  $L(D)$  as above is said to be *cocancelling* when

$$
\bigcap_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \ker L(\xi) = \{0\}.
$$

Here we identify the differential operator  $L$  with its symbol.

Van Schaftingen's result was subsequently refined on the Lorentz and Besov scales [\[18,](#page-27-10) [20,](#page-27-1) [46\]](#page-28-3) and in certain cases a trace inequality has been obtained [\[31\]](#page-27-9), see also  $[35, 41, 52–56]$  $[35, 41, 52–56]$  $[35, 41, 52–56]$  $[35, 41, 52–56]$  for related estimates in this spirit and  $[9, 15, 16, 21, 51]$  $[9, 15, 16, 21, 51]$  $[9, 15, 16, 21, 51]$  $[9, 15, 16, 21, 51]$  $[9, 15, 16, 21, 51]$  $[9, 15, 16, 21, 51]$  for dual results. The question of the validity of trace inequalities in the spirit of [\[1,](#page-26-5) [31\]](#page-27-9) presents a significant open area in the theory of Sobolev inequalities in this scaling.

The study of the problem of dimensional estimates can be said to be in a similar state to the question of trace inequalities, as despite numerous result in this direction [\[2](#page-26-7)[–5,](#page-26-8)[30,](#page-27-14)[45,](#page-28-18)[48\]](#page-28-19), the problem of the computation of the dimension of the singular set of a given closed subspace  $X \subset M_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^l)$  has not been fully resolved. More precisely, let L be a homogeneous linear differential operator with constant coefficients (or more generally a pseudo-differential operator with constant coefficients) and  $X$  be defined by the distributional constraint  $L$ :

(1.3) 
$$
X = \{ \mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^l) : L\mu = 0 \}.
$$

Then one defines the dimension of  $X$  as

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\kappa := \inf_{\nu \in X} \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \nu,
$$

and the question is to compute  $\kappa(X)$ .

This question was studied for the first time in [\[32\]](#page-27-2) (in the context of more general Fourier restrictions); the main result says that  $\kappa \geq 1$  provided X does not contain vectorial delta-measures. In the setting  $(1.3)$ , this is equivalent to L being cocancelling. The paper [\[3\]](#page-26-9) gives a bound from below on  $\kappa$  formulated in terms of the k-wave cone of  $L$ . The latter cone is a purely algebraic object computed from the symbol of L, and the lower bound for  $\kappa$  obtained in this way is always an integer. In the cases where the estimates of  $[3]$  are sharp, the measures in X having the smallest possible dimension are related to flat measures, i.e. Hausdorff measures on affine subspaces. The bounds of [\[3\]](#page-26-9) are not sharp, see [\[4\]](#page-26-10) for an example along with a sharper result for this example. In a discrete version of the dimension problem resolved in [\[6\]](#page-26-11), it was shown that the extremal measures might be fractal. Thus one wonders whether this is the case in the continuous analog. Therefore finally, the state of art in the dimension problem is that it is still unknown whether  $\kappa$  is always an integer, which should be settled, along with a canonical way to compute it in terms of the operator L.

The starting point of this paper is the observation that in contrast to the dimension zero case – the space of finite Radon measures – and the dimension  $d$  case – the real Hardy space – the literature concerning Sobolev inequalities around the space of measures and dimensional estimates all focus on the vector-valued setting, in the spirit of the inequality  $(1.1)$ . It therefore seems desirable to introduce scalar spaces of measures with dimensional stability  $\beta \in (0, d]$ . To this end we first define the notion of a  $\beta$ -atom:

**Definition 1.1** ( $\beta$ -atom). For  $\beta \in (0, d]$ , we say that  $a \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a  $\beta$ -atom if there exists a cube  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes such that

- (1) supp  $a \subset Q$ ;
- $(2) \ \ a(Q) = 0;$
- (3)  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0} |t^{(d-\beta)/2} e^{t\Delta} a(x)| \leq \frac{1}{l(Q)^{\beta}};$
- (4)  $|a|(\mathbb{R}^d) \leq 1$ .

We next define atomic spaces with dimensional stability  $\beta$ :

**Definition 1.2** (β-atomic space). Let  $\beta \in [0, d]$ . Define the atomic space of dimension  $\beta$  by

$$
DS_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) := \left\{ \mu \in M_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) : \mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,n} a_{i,n}, \ a_{i,n} \ \beta\text{-atoms}, \ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_{i,n}| < +\infty \right\}
$$

Here the convergence is intended weakly-star as measures,

$$
\int \varphi \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,n} \int \varphi \, da_{i,n},
$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and the space is equipped with the norm

$$
\|\mu\|_{DS_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \inf \left\{ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_{i,n}| \colon \mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,n} a_{i,n} \right\}.
$$

We are now prepared to state the main results of this paper. We begin with the Sobolev inequalities. Our first result asserts that the space  $DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$  supports a Besov–Lorentz scale Sobolev inequality for any  $\beta \in (0, d]$ .

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Theorem A.** Let  $\beta \in (0,d]$ . For each  $\alpha \in (0,d)$  there exists a constant  $C =$  $C(\alpha, \beta, d) > 0$  such that

$$
||I_{\alpha}\mu||_{\dot{B}^{0,1}_{d/(d-\alpha),1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C ||\mu||_{DS_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

for all  $\mu \in DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Our second result asserts that the space  $DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$  supports a trace Sobolev inequality for  $\beta \in (0, d]$  at any dimension strictly greater than  $d - \beta$  (see [\[31\]](#page-27-9) for a detailed discussion of trace inequalities).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Theorem B.** Let  $\beta \in (0,d]$ . For each  $\alpha \in (d - \beta, d)$  there exists a constant  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, d) > 0$  such that

$$
||I_{\alpha}\mu||_{L^1(\nu)} \leqslant C||\mu||_{DS_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

for all Radon measures  $\nu$  such that  $\nu(B(x,r)) \leqslant C'r^{d-\alpha}$  and all  $\mu \in DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

The preceding theorem need not hold at the endpoint  $d - \beta$ , see [\[31\]](#page-27-9). Our third result asserts that with a fractional maximal function in place of the Riesz potential such a result holds in the endpoint case.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Theorem C.** Let  $\beta \in (0,d]$ . For each  $\alpha \in [d-\beta,d)$  there exists a constant  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, d) > 0$  such that

$$
\left\|\sup_{t>0}t^{\alpha/2}|e^{t\Delta}\mu|\right\|_{L^1(\nu)}\leqslant C\|\mu\|_{DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

for all Radon measures  $\nu$  such that  $\nu(B(x,r)) \leqslant C'r^{d-\alpha}$  and all  $\mu \in DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Let us note that in combination with the atomic decomposition proved in [\[20\]](#page-27-1), Theorem [C](#page-5-0) yields an  $L^1$  endpoint of Sawyer's one weight inequality [\[33\]](#page-27-15) (see also [\[34\]](#page-27-16) for the two weight case, which includes the one weight case and is more easily accessible):

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Corollary D.** For each  $\alpha \in [d-1, d)$  there exists a constant  $C = C(\alpha, d) > 0$  such that

$$
\left\|\sup_{t>0}t^{\alpha/2}|e^{t\Delta}F|\right\|_{L^1(\nu)}\leqslant C\|F\|_{M_b(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

for all Radon measures  $\nu$  such that  $\nu(B(x,r)) \leq C r^{d-\alpha}$  and all  $F \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ which satisfy div  $F = 0$  in the sense of distributions.

<span id="page-5-3"></span>Remark 1.3. When  $F = \nabla u$ , an analogue of the preceding corollary is the assertion that for any  $\alpha \in [1, d)$  there is a constant  $C = C(\alpha, d) > 0$  such that

$$
\left\|\sup_{t>0}t^{\alpha/2}|e^{t\Delta}\nabla u|\right\|_{L^1(\nu)}\leqslant C\|\nabla u\|_{M_b(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

for all Radon measures  $\nu$  such that  $\nu(B(x, r)) \leqslant Cr^{d-\alpha}$ . This follows from the bound

<span id="page-5-1"></span>(1.4) 
$$
|t^{1/2}e^{t\Delta}\nabla u|=|t^{1/2}\nabla e^{t\Delta}u|\lesssim \mathcal{M}(u),
$$

and David R. Adams' extension [\[1,](#page-26-5) Theorem B] of the work of Maz'ya [\[24,](#page-27-17) Teopema 4 on p. 165] and Meyers and Ziemer [\[25\]](#page-27-18). Here M denotes the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, see  $[42, p. 4]$  for the definition and  $[42,$  Theorem 2 on p. 62 for a proof of the inequality [\(1.4\)](#page-5-1).

The preceding Sobolev inequalities follow easily from a well-prepared definition of the atomic spaces, while we next turn our attention to dimension estimates, which require a little more work. The idea is that the left-hand-side of Theorem [C](#page-5-0) contains the essential information to obtain a dimensional estimate for the space  $DS_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . To see this, let us first consider a simplified setting. For  $\gamma \in [0, d]$  define the dyadic fractional maximal function

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}^{Q_0}(\mu)(x) := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)} \chi_Q(x) \frac{|\mu(Q)|}{l(Q)^{d-\gamma}},
$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(Q_0)$  denotes the set of all dyadic cubes generated by some fixed cube  $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e.  $Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$  if and only if  $Q = 2^{-k}(\mathbf{n} + Q_0)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Note that for  $Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ , it is not necessarily the case that  $Q \subset Q_0$ , as we consider the entire lattice generated by  $Q_0$ .

Then the essential idea of dimension estimates for the spaces  $DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is contained in

<span id="page-6-3"></span>**Theorem E.** Suppose  $\mu$  is a locally finite Radon measure in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

<span id="page-6-0"></span>(1.5) 
$$
\int_{Q} \mathcal{M}_{d-\beta}^{Q_0}(\mu) d\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta} < +\infty
$$

for any cube  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \mu \geqslant \beta$ .

Here the integral is intended in the sense of Choquet, i.e. for an everywhere defined non-negative function  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty],$ 

$$
\int_{Q} f \, d\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta} := \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta}(\{x \in Q \colon f > t\}) \, dt,
$$

see also [\[1,](#page-26-5) [28,](#page-27-19) [29\]](#page-27-20) for further results and discussion, while  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta}$  denotes the spherical Hausdorff content, defined on a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  via

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta}(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_{\beta} r_i^{\beta} : E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(x_i, r_i) \right\},\,
$$

and  $\omega_{\beta} = \pi^{\beta/2} / \Gamma(\beta/2 + 1)$  (for  $\beta = k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\omega_k$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ).

Note that for  $\mu \in DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the conclusion of Theorem [C](#page-5-0) is locally slightly weaker than the condition [\(1.5\)](#page-6-0), and as with the bounds for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, one does not expect [\(1.5\)](#page-6-0) to hold. Nonetheless, it is possible to show that a slightly stronger analogue of Theorem [C](#page-5-0) implies the desired dimensional estimate. To state this stronger analogue, let us introduce a fractional grand maximal function: For a distribution  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\gamma \in [0, d]$  we define

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{F},\gamma}f(x):=\sup_{\Phi\in\mathcal{F},\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}}\leqslant 1}t^\gamma|f\ast\Phi_t(x)|,
$$

where  $\mathcal F$  is a finite collection of Schwartz seminorms, see [\[43,](#page-28-20) p. 90]. The asserted strengthening of Theorem [C](#page-5-0) is then

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Theorem F.** There exists a constant  $C = C(\beta, d) > 0$  such that

$$
\|\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{F},d-\beta}\mu\|_{L^1(\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta})}\leqslant C\|\mu\|_{DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

for all  $\mu \in DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Here we recall that  $L^1(\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta})$  denotes the Banach space of  $\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta}$ -quasicontinuous functions for which

<span id="page-6-1"></span>(1.6) 
$$
\|f\|_{L^1(\mathcal{H}_\infty^\beta)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f| \, d\mathcal{H}_\infty^\beta < +\infty,
$$

which is equivalent to the completion of  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the quasi-norm [\(1.6\)](#page-6-1). By D.R. Adams' duality result [\[1,](#page-26-5) Proposition 1 on p. 118], this inequality implies (and is equivalent to)

$$
\|\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{F},d-\beta}\mu\|_{L^1(\nu)} \leqslant C \|\mu\|_{DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

for every Radon measure  $\nu$  such that  $\nu(B(x,r)) \leq C'r^{\beta}$  for every  $B(x,r) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . As a consequence one has equivalent statements of Theorems [B](#page-4-0) and [C,](#page-5-0) Corollary [D,](#page-5-2) and Remark [1.3](#page-5-3) as capacitary inequalities in terms of the Hausdorff content.

Theorem [F](#page-6-2) motivates the following more technical analogue of Theorem [E.](#page-6-3)

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Theorem G.** Suppose  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is such that for some collection  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have  $M_{\mathcal{F},d-\beta}\mu \in L^1(\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta})$ . Then,  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \mu \geqslant \beta$ .

Finally, the dimension estimate for the spaces  $DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$  then follows from the definition of the spaces as limits of sums of atoms, Theorem [F](#page-6-2) and Theorem [G.](#page-7-1) In particular we obtain

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Theorem H.** Let  $\beta \in (0, d]$ . For  $\mu \in DS_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  one has

dim<sub>H</sub>  $\mu \geqslant \beta$ .

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Subsection [1.2,](#page-7-0) we introduce a number of examples that show the definition of  $\beta$ -atoms and  $\beta$ -atomic spaces are not vacuous. In Section [2,](#page-9-0) we introduce some requisite preliminaries. In Section [3,](#page-11-0) we prove Theorems [A,](#page-4-1) [B,](#page-4-0) [C](#page-5-0) and Corollary [D.](#page-5-2) In Section [4,](#page-14-0) we prove the simplified dimension estimate asserted in Theorem [E.](#page-6-3) In Section [5](#page-17-0) we introduce a notion of distributional  $(\beta, N)$ -atoms which is slightly more general than the atoms defined in the introduction. We then show that these atoms admit various estimates which prove useful in our work, and seem likely to be useful in future research on this subject. These estimates include a bound on their fractional grand maximal function in Lemma [5.11](#page-23-0) which then implies Theorem [F.](#page-6-2) This is the most technically difficult section of the paper and relies on some subtle Fourier analysis. Finally, in Section [6](#page-24-0) we prove Theorem [G](#page-7-1) and then from this and the preceding analysis deduce Theorem [H.](#page-7-2)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>1.2. Examples. In this subsection, we provide a number of examples that illustrate the richness of these function spaces.

<span id="page-7-3"></span>**Example 1.4.** For any  $\beta \in (0, d)$ , let  $A \subset [0, 1/2]^d$  be a set such that  $0 < \mathcal{H}^{\beta}(A)$  $+\infty$ , let  $\mu$  be the Frostman measure supported on A (see, e.g. [\[22,](#page-27-21) p. 112]), rescaled so that  $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq c_1 r^{\beta}$  and  $\mu(A) = c_2$  for  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  to be chosen, and denote by  $\tilde{\mu}$  the translate of  $\mu$  by the vector  $\langle 1/2, 1/2, \ldots, 1/2 \rangle$ . Then the measure

$$
a:=\mu-\tilde\mu
$$

is a  $\beta$ -atom supported in  $Q = [0, 1]^d$ . If  $c_2 \leq 1/2$ , the conditions (1), (2), and (4) are immediate from the construction, while to verify (3), one computes for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the quantity

$$
|t^{(d-\beta)/2}e^{t\Delta}a(x)| = t^{(d-\beta)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) \, da(y)
$$
  
\n
$$
= t^{(d-\beta)/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{B(x, 2^{k+1}\sqrt{t}) \backslash B(x, 2^k\sqrt{t})} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) \, da(y)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq t^{(d-\beta)/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp(-2^{2k-2}) 2c_1 (2^{k+1}\sqrt{t})^{\beta}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leqslant \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \exp(-2^{2k-2}) 2c_1 (2^{k+1})^{\beta}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leqslant 1,
$$

<span id="page-7-4"></span>for a sufficiently small choice of  $c_1$ .

**Example 1.5.** For  $\beta = d$  the notion of  $\beta$  atom agrees with  $L^{\infty}$  atoms for the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  up to rescaling. In particular, if a is a d-atom, then a satisfies (1),

(2), the classical support and cancellation conditions, while Fatou's lemma and (3) imply

$$
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |a(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \liminf_{t \to 0} |e^{t\Delta} a(x)| \leq \frac{1}{|Q|}.
$$

Conversely, if a is an  $L^{\infty}$  atom, then a satisfies (1), (2), (4), and with a suitable rescaling (3) follows as in Example [1.4.](#page-7-3)

**Example 1.6.** Let  $\Gamma \subset [0,1]^d$  be a closed piecewise  $C^1$  curve with length 1 and  $C^1$ parametrization  $\gamma: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ . Define the associated Radon measure  $\mu_{\Gamma}$  by

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi \cdot d\mu_\Gamma := \int_0^1 \Phi(\gamma(t)) \cdot \dot{\gamma}(t) dt
$$

for  $\Phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . If

$$
|\mu_{\Gamma}|(B(x,r)) \leq c_1 r
$$

for  $c_1 > 0$  sufficiently small, then for each  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ , the component

$$
a:=(\mu_\Gamma)_i
$$

is a 1-atom. As before, the conditions (1) and (4) are immediate from the construction, while the verification of (3) is identical to the computation in Example [1.4.](#page-7-3) Finally, the closedness of  $\Gamma$  implies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_i \ d(\mu_\Gamma)_i = 0
$$

for  $\Phi_i \equiv 1$  on  $[0, 1]^d$ , which is the cancellation condition (2).

**Lemma 1.7.** For  $0 < \alpha < \beta \le d$ , if a is a  $\beta$ -atom, then a is an  $\alpha$ -atom.

*Proof.* For  $t \leq l(Q)^2$  by the property (3) one has

$$
|t^{(d-\alpha)/2}e^{t\Delta}a(x)| = t^{(\beta-\alpha)/2}|t^{(d-\beta)/2}e^{t\Delta}a(x)|
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{l(Q)^{\beta-\alpha}}{l(Q)^\beta},
$$

while if  $t > l(Q)^2$  the property (4) yields

$$
|t^{(d-\alpha)/2}e^{t\Delta}a(x)| \leqslant t^{(d-\alpha)/2}\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}}\leqslant \frac{1}{l(Q)^{\alpha}}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Corollary 1.8. If  $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq d$ ,

$$
DS_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset DS_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d).
$$

Proof. This follows easily from the definition of the spaces and the preceding Lemma.  $\Box$ 

**Example 1.9.** Let  $\beta = d$ . Then  $DS_d(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . From Example [1.5](#page-7-4) we know that the atoms in the two spaces are the same. This easily gives the inclusion of the Hardy space in  $DS_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$  because the Hardy space consists of distributions which are limits of a fixed series of atoms:

(1.7) 
$$
f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i a_i.
$$

To show the reverse inclusion, note that for any element of  $DS_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$  one has the uniform bound

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,n} a_{i,n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_{i,n}| < \infty.
$$

That is, the sequence is not only bounded in the space of measures but also in the Hardy space. The claim now follows from compactness:  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = (VMO(\mathbb{R}^d))^{\prime}$ gives that up to a subsequence, one can extract a limit in the weak-star topology of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This limit coincides with the weak-star limit in the sense of measures by density of continuous compactly supported functions in  $VMO(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and therefore every element of  $DS_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is an element of the Hardy space.

The preceding example shows that the space  $DS_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is equivalent to the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by establishing that our weaker notion of sequential approximation coincides with the notion in the Hardy space. We conclude this Section with the example that motivated the definition of these atoms and atomic spaces.

<span id="page-9-3"></span>**Example 1.10.** Let  $F \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfy div  $F = 0$  in the sense of distributions. Then  $F_i \in DS_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $i = 1, ..., d$ . This follows from a rescaling of the conclusion of [\[20,](#page-27-1) Theorem 1.5].

### <span id="page-9-2"></span>2. Preliminaries

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\beta < d$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{\gamma} B(x_{\gamma}, r_{\gamma})$  be an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . There exists a covering of  $U$  by dyadic cubes  $Q_j$  such that

(2.1) 
$$
\sum_{j} \ell(Q_j)^{\beta} \lesssim \mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta}(U)
$$

and for any  $\gamma$  there exists a cube  $Q_j$  in our covering such that it intersects  $B(x_\gamma, r_\gamma)$ and  $\ell(Q_i) \geqslant c r_{\gamma}$ ; here  $c > 0$  is a constant that depends only on the dimension and β.

Proof. By the equivalence of the dyadic Hausdorff content and Hausdorff content, we may find a countable collection of dyadic cubes such that

$$
\sum_j \ell(Q_j)^{\beta} \lesssim \mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta}(U).
$$

If for every  $B(x_\gamma, r_\gamma)$ , the condition  $\ell(Q_i) \geqslant c r_\gamma$  is satisfied we are done. Otherwise let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\gamma\}$  such that this condition is violated. For each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}$  one has  $\ell(Q_i) < cr_\gamma$ for every  $Q_j$  which intersects  $B(x_\gamma, r_\gamma)$ . Because U is bounded,  $R := \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}} r_\gamma$ +∞, and in the first iteration we choose a  $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $r_{\gamma} > R/2$ . The ball  $B(x_{\gamma}, 2r_{\gamma})$  intersects L dyadic cubes  $Q'_{i}$  with  $L \leq 2^{d}$  such that  $l(Q'_{i})/2 < 2r_{\gamma} \leq$  $l(Q'_i)$ . Throw away all of the cubes from the original collection which intersect

 $B(x_{\gamma}, r_{\gamma})$  and add these L cubes which intersect  $B(x_{\gamma}, 2r_{\gamma})$ . The collection remains a cover,  $l(Q'_i) \geq 2r_\gamma$ , and we claim that for these cubes

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{L} \ell(Q_i')^{\beta} \leq \sum_{Q_j \cap B(x_\gamma, r_\gamma)} \ell(Q_j)^{\beta} - c' r_\gamma^{\beta}
$$

for some  $c' > 0$ . This is true because  $L \leq 2^d$ , and with the bounds on the lengths one has

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{L} l(Q'_i)^{\beta} \leqslant 2^d 4^{\beta} r_{\gamma}^{\beta}
$$

Meanwhile, observe that because the cubes form a cover of the ball, one has

$$
\omega_d r_\gamma^d \leqslant \sum_{Q_j \cap B(x_\gamma, r_\gamma)} l(Q_j)^d
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{Q_j \cap B(x_\gamma, r_\gamma)} l(Q_j)^{d-\beta} l(Q_j)^{\beta}
$$
  
< 
$$
< \sum_{Q_j \cap B(x_\gamma, r_\gamma)} (cr_\gamma)^{d-\beta} l(Q_j)^{\beta},
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{\omega_d}{c^{d-\beta}} r_\gamma^\beta \leqslant \sum_{Q_j \cap B(x_\gamma, r_\gamma)} l(Q_j)^\beta.
$$

Choose c such that

$$
2^d4^{\beta}=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\omega_d}{c^{d-\beta}}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{L} l(Q'_i)^{\beta} \leq 2^d 4^{\beta} r_{\gamma}^{\beta}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\omega_d}{c^{d-\beta}} r_{\gamma}^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_d}{c^{d-\beta}} r_{\gamma}^{\beta}
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant \sum_{Q_j \cap B(x_{\gamma}, r_{\gamma})} l(Q_j)^{\beta} - c' r_{\gamma}^{\beta}
$$

for  $c' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_d}{c^{d-\beta}}$ .

One then iterates this argument, with the observation that because one subtracts  $c'r^{\beta}_{\gamma}$ , the  $r_{\gamma}$  selected must tend to zero, as the Hausdorff content of the set is strictly positive. This means that one does not change large cubes, and so the cover stabilizes.

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $\beta < d$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{\gamma} B(x_{\gamma}, r_{\gamma})$  be an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We may find a covering of U by balls  $B(\tilde{x}_j, \tilde{r}_j)$  such that  $\sum \tilde{r}_j^{\beta} \lesssim \mathcal{H}^{\beta}_{\infty}(U)$  and each ball  $B(x_{\gamma}, r_{\gamma})$  is covered entirely by a ball of the covering.

Proof. An application of Lemma [2.1](#page-9-1) yields dyadic cubes which satisfy [\(2.1\)](#page-9-2) and whose lengths satisfy  $\ell(Q_i) \geqslant cr_\gamma$ . The desired cover is then obtained by dilates of balls containing these dyadic cubes.  $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>12 D. SPECTOR AND D. STOLYAROV

### 3. Sobolev Inequalities

In this Section we establish the several Sobolev inequalities of the paper. We begin with the proof of Theorem [A.](#page-4-1)

Proof of Theorem [A.](#page-4-1) The argument follows that in the paper [\[18\]](#page-27-10), which we recall for the convenience of the reader. By the definition of the atomic space, it suffices to show that there is a universal constant  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, d) > 0$  such that

<span id="page-11-1"></span>(3.1) 
$$
\int_0^\infty t^{\alpha/2 - 1} \|e^{t\Delta}a\|_{L^{d/(d-\alpha),1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt \leq C
$$

for every  $\beta$ -atom. If one interpolates the usual convolution estimates

<span id="page-11-2"></span>(3.2) 
$$
\|e^{t\Delta}a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

<span id="page-11-5"></span>(3.3) 
$$
||e^{t\Delta}a||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}}||a||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)},
$$

one finds a logarithmic divergence of the integral in [\(3.1\)](#page-11-1). However, the definition of atoms gives a slightly better estimate than the second for small values of t:

<span id="page-11-3"></span>(3.4) 
$$
\|e^{t\Delta}a\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant \frac{1}{t^{(d-\beta)/2}l(Q)^{\beta}},
$$

which by interpolation with [\(3.2\)](#page-11-2) (and the fact that  $||a||_{L^1(Q)} \leq 1$ ) implies that

$$
\int_0^{l(Q)^2} t^{\alpha/2 - 1} \|e^{t\Delta}a\|_{L^{d/(d-\alpha),1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt \leq C_1.
$$

We will next show that

<span id="page-11-4"></span>(3.5) 
$$
\|e^{t\Delta}a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \max\left\{\frac{l(Q)^{d-\beta}}{t^{(d-\beta)/2}}, \frac{l(Q)}{t^{1/2}}\right\}
$$

for  $t \geqslant l(Q)^2$ .

Without loss of generality we may assume that the cube is centered at zero. We then observe that for  $x \in (2Q)^c, y \in Q$  we have

$$
|\exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) - \exp(-|x|^2/4t)| \lesssim \frac{|y|}{t^{1/2}} |\exp(-c|x|^2/t),
$$

for some  $c > 0$ , and therefore

$$
\int_{(2Q)^c} |e^{t\Delta} a(x)| dx
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \int_{(2Q)^c} \left| \int_Q \left[ \exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) - \exp(-|x|^2/4t) \right] a(y) dy \right| dx
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim \frac{l(Q)}{t^{1/2}} ||a||_{L^1(Q)}.
$$

A combination of this and [\(3.4\)](#page-11-3) yields

$$
||e^{t\Delta}a||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} = \int_{2Q} |e^{t\Delta}a| dx + \int_{(2Q)^{c}} |e^{t\Delta}a| dx
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{t^{(\beta-d)/2}}{l(Q)^{\beta}} (2l(Q))^{d} + \frac{l(Q)}{t^{1/2}},
$$
  

$$
\leq C' \left( \frac{l(Q)^{d-\beta}}{t^{(d-\beta)/2}} + \frac{l(Q)}{t^{1/2}} \right)
$$

The conclusion of the theorem then follows by the interpolation of [\(3.5\)](#page-11-4) and [\(3.3\)](#page-11-5), which is sufficient to obtain the bound

$$
\int_{l(Q)^2}^{\infty} t^{\alpha/2 - 1} \|e^{t\Delta}a\|_{L^{d/(d-\alpha),1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt \leq C_2.
$$

We next give the proof of Theorem [B.](#page-4-0)

Proof of Theorem [B.](#page-4-0) As in the preceding theorem, it suffices to prove the estimate for a single atom and without loss of generality we may assume this atom is supported in a cube centered at zero. For  $\alpha > d - \beta$ , we have

$$
|I_{\alpha}a(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha/2)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha/2-1} |e^{t\Delta}a(x)| dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha/2)} \int_0^r t^{(\alpha+\beta-d)/2-1} t^{(d-\beta)/2} |e^{t\Delta}a(x)| dt
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha/2)} \int_r^{\infty} t^{\alpha/2-1} |e^{t\Delta}a(x)| dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \left( \frac{r^{(\alpha+\beta-d)/2}}{l(Q)^{\beta}} + r^{(\alpha-d)/2} ||a||_{L^1(Q)} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= C' l(Q)^{\alpha-d}
$$

(with the choice  $r = l(Q)^2$ ) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We also claim the decay estimate

$$
|I_{\alpha}a(x)| \leqslant l(Q)\frac{C}{|x|^{d-\alpha+1}}
$$

if  $x \notin 2Q$ . Here we use

$$
I_{\alpha}a(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha/2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x|^{d-\alpha}} \right] a(y) \, dy
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x|^{d-\alpha}}\right| \lesssim \frac{|y|}{|x|^{d-\alpha+1}}.
$$

Therefore as  $\nu(Q) \leq l(Q)^{d-\alpha}$  we find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |I_{\alpha}a(x)| d\nu = \int_{2Q} |I_{\alpha}a(x)| d\nu + \int_{(2Q)^c} |I_{\alpha}a(x)| d\nu
$$
  

$$
\leq C' l(Q)^{\alpha - d} (2l(Q))^{d-\alpha} + l(Q) \int_{(2Q)^c} \frac{1}{|x|^{d-\alpha+1}} d\nu
$$

 $\Box$ 

The result follows from the fact that

$$
l(Q)\int_{(2Q)^c} \frac{1}{|x|^{d-\alpha+1}}\,d\nu\leqslant C,
$$

which can be argued by a dyadic expansion as in [\[31\]](#page-27-9).  $\Box$ 

We next give the proof of Theorem [C.](#page-5-0)

Proof of Theorem [C.](#page-5-0) As in the two preceding theorems, it suffices to show that

$$
\|\sup_{t>0}t^{(d-\beta)/2}|e^{t\Delta}a|\|_{L^1(\nu)}\leqslant C
$$

for any  $\beta$ -atom a supported in a cube centered at zero. As in Theorem [A,](#page-4-1) we split the estimate into the local and global pieces

$$
\left\|\sup_{t>0} t^{(d-\beta)/2} |e^{t\Delta}a|\right\|_{L^1(\nu)} = \int_{2Q} \sup_{t>0} t^{(d-\beta)/2} |e^{t\Delta}a| \ d\nu + \int_{(2Q)^c} \sup_{t>0} t^{(d-\beta)/2} |e^{t\Delta}a| \ d\nu.
$$

The assumption  $\alpha$  is a  $\beta$ -atom yields for the first term the bound

$$
\int_{2Q} \sup_{t>0} t^{(d-\beta)/2} |e^{t\Delta}a| \, d\nu \leq \int_{2Q} \frac{1}{l(Q)^{\beta}} \, d\nu
$$
  
\$\leqslant C\$.

For the second term, for  $x \in (2Q)^c, y \in Q$  the inequality

$$
|\exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) - \exp(-|x|^2/4t)| \lesssim \frac{|y|}{t^{1/2}} \exp(-c|x|^2/t),
$$

for some  $c < 1/4$  implies

$$
\sup_{t>0} t^{(d-\beta)/2} |e^{t\Delta}a| \lesssim \sup_{t>0} \frac{l(Q)}{t^{(\beta+1)/2}} \exp(-c|x|^2/t)
$$

$$
\lesssim \frac{l(Q)}{|x|^{\beta+1}},
$$

as optimization yields  $t^2 \approx |x|$  in the computation of the supremum. Therefore

$$
\int_{(2Q)^c} \sup_{t>0} t^{(d-\beta)/2} |e^{t\Delta}a| \, d\nu \le \int_{(2Q)^c} \frac{l(Q)}{|x|^{\beta+1}} d\nu
$$
  

$$
\le C
$$

by another dyadic splitting argument. This completes the proof of the desired bound.  $\square$ 

We conclude this section with the proof of Corollary [D.](#page-5-2)

Proof of Corollary [D.](#page-5-2) As in Example [1.10,](#page-9-3) the atomic decomposition from [\[20\]](#page-27-1) shows that

$$
\left\{F \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d) : \text{div}\, F = 0\right\} \hookrightarrow [DS_1]^d.
$$

Therefore in combination with Theorem [C](#page-5-0) and Corollary [1.8](#page-8-0) one finds, for any of the components  $F_i$  of F and any  $\beta \geq 1$  $(1 - 8)/8$ 

$$
\|\sup_{t>0} t^{(d-\beta)/2} |e^{t\Delta} F_i| \|_{L^1(\nu)} \leq C \|F_i\|_{DS_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$
  

$$
\leq C \|F_i\|_{DS_1(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$
  

$$
\leq C \|F\|_{L^1}.
$$

<span id="page-14-0"></span>This completes the proof.

## 4. A Simplified Dimension Estimate

For  $\beta \in [0, d)$  we introduce a truncated analogue of the dyadic fractional maximal function which only computes the supremum over cubes with length larger than some parameter l:

<span id="page-14-1"></span>(4.1) 
$$
\mathcal{M}_{d-\beta,l}^{Q_0}(\mu)(x) := \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0), \\ l(Q) \geq l}} \chi_Q(x) \frac{|\mu(Q)|}{l(Q)^{\beta}},
$$

Proof of Theorem [E.](#page-6-3) As dimension is a local property, it suffices to prove that for  $A \subset \tau + Q_0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}(A) = 0$  implies  $|\mu|(A) = 0$ . Without loss of generality restrict our consideration to  $A \subset Q_0$ . Here we will actually prove a stronger quantitative version of the dimensional estimate: For all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any Borel set  $A \subset Q_0$  with  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta,Q_0}(A) < \delta$  we also have  $|\mu|(A) < \epsilon$ . Here we utilize the dyadic Hausdorff content  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta, Q_0}_{\infty}$ , whose definition is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\beta, Q_0}_{\infty}(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} l(Q_i)^{\beta} : A \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i, Q_i \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0) \right\}.
$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. First, we claim that we can use absolute continuity of the integral to choose  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small such that if  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta, Q_0}_{\infty}(A) < \delta$  then

<span id="page-14-2"></span>(4.2) 
$$
\int_A \mathcal{M}_{d-\beta}^{Q_0}(\mu) d\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta, Q_0} < \frac{\epsilon}{32}.
$$

Indeed, from the assumption [\(1.5\)](#page-6-0), we can find  $M > 0$  such that

$$
\int_M^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta, Q_0}(\{x \in Q_0 : \mathcal{M}_{d-\beta}^{Q_0}(\mu)(x) > t\}) dt < \epsilon/64.
$$

For this choice of M, for any  $\delta \leq \epsilon/64M$  one has

$$
\int_A \mathcal{M}_{d-\beta}^{Q_0}(\mu) d\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta, Q_0} \leq \int_0^M \mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta, Q_0}(\{x \in A : \mathcal{M}_{d-\beta}^{Q_0}(\mu)(x) > t\}) dt \n+ \int_M^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta, Q_0}(\{\mathcal{M}_{d-\beta}^{Q_0}(\mu)(x) > t\}) dt \n\leq \epsilon/64 + \epsilon/64 = \epsilon/32.
$$

Second, we claim we can restrict our attention to

$$
A' := \{ x \in A : l_x \geqslant \frac{1}{N_{\epsilon}} \}
$$

for some sufficiently large  $N_{\epsilon}$ , where  $l_x$  is such that

$$
|\mu|(Q) \leq 2|\mu(Q)|
$$

for every  $x \in l(Q) \leq l_x$ . Indeed, by the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, we have that

$$
\lim_{Q \to x} \frac{|\mu(Q)|}{|\mu|(Q)} = 1
$$

for  $\mu$  almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . As a consequence, for every  $x \in A$ , there exists  $l_x > 0$ such that

$$
|\mu|(Q) \leqslant 2|\mu(Q)|
$$

for  $l(Q) \le l_x$ . Using  $|\mu|(Q_0) < +\infty$ , this implies

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} |\mu|(\{x \in Q_0 : 0 < l_x < \frac{1}{n}\}) = |\mu|(\{x \in Q_0 : l_x = 0\}) = 0
$$

and therefore we can find  $N_\epsilon\in\mathbb{N}$  such that

<span id="page-15-0"></span>(4.3) 
$$
|\mu|(\{x \in Q_0 : 0 < l_x < \frac{1}{n}\}) < \frac{\epsilon}{32}
$$

for every  $n \geq N_{\epsilon}$ . Thus, we can estimate

<span id="page-15-1"></span>(4.4) 
$$
|\mu|(A) \leqslant |\mu|(A') + \frac{\epsilon}{32}.
$$

Third, we replace  $A'$  with a dyadic covering subordinate to  $Q_0$  by using the definition of the dyadic Hausdoroff content: For any  $\delta > 0$ , by the definition of  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta, Q_0}_{\infty}(A)$  there exists a family of dyadic cubes subordinate to  $Q_0$  such that

$$
A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i
$$
  

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} l(Q_i)^{\beta} < \delta
$$
  

$$
Q_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset \text{ if } i \neq j.
$$

In combination with our first restriction on  $\delta$ , for any  $\delta \leq \min\{\epsilon/64M, \frac{1}{2N_c^{\beta}}\}$  we can ensure the cubes are small enough that can utilize the consequences of the Lebesgue differentiation theorem for the remaining sets to estimate, and in particular we only need to consider cubes for which

$$
A' \cap Q_i \neq \emptyset.
$$

Define

$$
A_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n Q_i
$$
  

$$
A_{\infty} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i.
$$

Then

$$
|\mu|(A') = |\mu|(A' \setminus A_n) + |\mu|(A' \cap A_n)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |\mu|(A_{\infty} \setminus A_n) + |\mu|(A' \cap A_n)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{32} + |\mu|(A_n)
$$

for  $n \geqslant M_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ . As

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\beta, Q_0}_{\infty}(A_n) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n l(Q_i)^{\beta} < \delta,
$$

the absolute continuity of the integral noted above implies

$$
\int_{A_n} \mathcal{M}_{d-\beta}^{Q_0}(\mu) \, d\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta, Q_0} \leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{32}.
$$

If we define

$$
l_n := \min_{i=1,\dots,n} l(Q_i)
$$

this implies

$$
\int_{A_n}\mathcal{M}_{d-\beta,l_n}^{Q_0}(\mu)\;d\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta,Q_0}\leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{32},
$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{d-\beta,l_n}^{Q_0}(\mu)$  is the truncated maximal function introduced in [\(4.1\)](#page-14-1).

Finally, if we can show that

$$
|\mu|(A_n)\leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

then

$$
|\mu|(A) \leq |\mu|(A') + \frac{\epsilon}{32}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |\mu|(A_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{16}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{16}
$$
  
\n
$$
< \epsilon.
$$

which is the desired conclusion. To this end, for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$
\Omega_{n,k} := \{ x \in A_n : 2^{k+1} \geq \mathcal{M}_{d-\beta,l_n}^{Q_0}(\mu)(x) > 2^k \}
$$

By the definition of the Hausdorff content, we can now find a family of cubes  $\{Q_{n,k,j}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$
\Omega_{n,k}\subset \bigcup_j Q_{n,k,j}
$$

and

$$
\sum_j l(Q_{n,k,j})^{\beta} \leq 2\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta,Q_0}(\Omega_{n,k}).
$$

As

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\beta, Q_0}_{\infty}(\Omega_{n,k}) \leqslant \mathcal{H}^{\beta, Q_0}_{\infty}(A_n) < \delta,
$$

we note that from the choice of  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2N}$  $\frac{1}{2N_{\epsilon}^{\beta}}$  we have that  $l(Q_{n,k,j}) \leq \frac{1}{N_{\epsilon}}$ .

Then the truncation on the maximal function implies it is nowhere infinite (and in fact has a uniform upper bound which depends on n through  $l_n$ ) allows us to write

$$
A_n=\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\Omega_{n,k}
$$

and therefore

$$
A_n = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{n,k} \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} Q_{n,k,j}
$$

Putting these several facts together, and discarding any cubes which do not intersect  $A_n$ , we obtain

$$
|\mu|(A_n) \leqslant \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} |\mu|(Q_{n,k,j})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} l(Q_{n,k,j})^{\beta} l(Q_{n,k,j})^{-\beta} |\mu|(Q_{n,k,j})
$$
  
\n
$$
\leqslant 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} l(Q_{n,k,j})^{\beta} l(Q_{n,k,j})^{-\beta} |\mu(Q_{n,k,j})|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leqslant 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} l(Q_{n,k,j})^{\beta} 2^{k+1}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leqslant 8 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta, Q_0} (\Omega_{n,k})
$$
  
\n
$$
\leqslant 16 \int_{A_n} \mathcal{M}_{d-\beta,l_n}^{Q_0} (\mu) d\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta, Q_0}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leqslant \frac{16\epsilon}{32}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\epsilon}{2},
$$

<span id="page-17-0"></span>which completes the demonstration of the claim and therefore the proof.

 $\Box$ 

# 5. Maximal Function Estimates via Littlewood-Paley theory

In the sequel we will utilize the following lemma about the existence of a compactly supported function whose Fourier transform does not vanish on a ball.

**Lemma 5.1.** There exists a function  $\Psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\widehat{\Psi}(\xi) \geq 1$  on  $B(0, 1)$ . Proof. The standard mollifier defined by

$$
\rho(x) = \begin{cases} c \exp\left(\frac{-1}{1-|x|^2}\right) & |x| \le 1\\ 0 & |x| > 1 \end{cases}
$$

is a non-negative, radial,  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  function which satisfies

$$
\widehat{\rho}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x) \, dx = 1
$$

$$
|\nabla \widehat{\rho}(\xi)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2\pi i x e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \rho(x) \, dx \right| \leq 2\pi.
$$

In particular,  $\hat{\rho}$  is Lipschitz with constant at most  $2\pi$ , so that

$$
\widehat{\rho}(\xi) \geqslant 1/2
$$

for  $\xi \in B(0, 1/4\pi)$ . The desired function can then be obtained by suitable rescaling:

$$
\Psi(\xi) = 2\widehat{\rho}(\xi/4\pi),
$$

i.e.

$$
\Psi(x) = 2(4\pi)^d \rho(4\pi x).
$$

 $\Box$ 

**Definition 5.2.** A distribution  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called a distributional  $(\beta, N)$ -atom provided it is supported in a cube  $Q$  with sidelength  $2\ell(Q)$ ,

$$
\langle x^{\alpha}a, \varphi \rangle = 0
$$

for any homogeneous polynomial  $x^{\alpha}$  with  $|\alpha| \leq N$  and  $\varphi \equiv 1$  in a neighborhood of Q, and satisfies the uniform bound

(5.1) 
$$
\|e^{t\Delta}a\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant (\ell(Q))^{-\beta}t^{\frac{\beta-d}{2}}, \qquad t > 0.
$$

As in the introduction,  $e^{t\Delta}$  denotes the heat extension, whose action on a summable function  $f$  is given by the convolution

(5.2) 
$$
e^{t\Delta}f(x) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-y)e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4t}} dy, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
$$

and extended by continuity to distributions. The role of the number  $N$  is minor: if we say a is a  $\beta$ -atom, we mean it is a  $(\beta, N)$  atom for some N; usually, this number is assumed to be sufficiently large (though sometimes  $N = 0$  is also interesting). We say that the atom  $a$  is *adapted* to  $Q$  if  $Q$  and  $a$  suit the definition above.

<span id="page-18-3"></span>**Remark 5.3.** Let a be adapted to a cube Q with sidelength  $2\ell(Q)$  and center  $c_Q$ . Then, the dilation  $\tilde{a}$  of the distribution  $a$  given by

(5.3) 
$$
\tilde{a}(x) = \ell(Q)^d a(\ell(Q)(x - c_Q)), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
$$

is a distributional  $\beta$ -atom adapted to the cube  $[-1, 1]^d$ , i.e. it satisfies the bound

<span id="page-18-0"></span>(5.4) 
$$
\|e^{t\Delta}\tilde{a}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant t^{\frac{\beta-d}{2}}, \qquad t > 0.
$$

We have been a little bit informal when writing the argument of a distribution. The formal definition of  $\tilde{a}$  is given by duality:

(5.5) 
$$
\langle \tilde{a}, \varphi \rangle = \langle a, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle, \qquad \tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi \Big( \frac{x}{\ell(Q)} + c_Q \Big), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

In other words, we make a dilation of  $a$  that preserves its total mass if it is finite.

Let  $\Xi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function such that  $\hat{\Xi}$  is compactly supported, nonnegative, and is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of the origin. We set

(5.6) 
$$
\Xi_k(x) = 2^{kd} \Xi(2^k x), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
$$

and define the 'smoothed Littlewood–Paley projectors' via

<span id="page-18-2"></span>(5.7) 
$$
P_{\leq k}[f] = f * \Xi_k; \qquad P_k[f] = P_{\leq k}[f] - P_{\leq k-1}[f].
$$

Here f is an arbitrary tempered distribution and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let a be a distributional  $\beta$ -atom adapted to  $[-1, 1]^d$ . We split it into the sum

(5.8) 
$$
a = P_{\leq 0}[a] + \sum_{k>0} P_k[a]
$$

and formulate the individual estimates for the summands. Before that, we note an immediate consequence of [\(5.4\)](#page-18-0):

<span id="page-18-1"></span>(5.9) 
$$
||P_{\leq k}[a]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{(d-\beta)k}.
$$

We will also use modified 'projectors'  $\tilde{P}_k$ . Let  $\tilde{\Xi}$  be a smooth function whose Fourier transform is compactly supported in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  and equals 1 on the set  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$  $\hat{\Xi}(\xi) \notin \{0,1\}\}.$  Define

(5.10) 
$$
\tilde{P}_k[f] = f * \tilde{\Xi}_k; \qquad \tilde{\Xi}_k(\xi) = 2^{dk} \tilde{\Xi}(2^k \xi), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

These operators have similar properties to that of  $P_k$  and they also satisfy

<span id="page-19-6"></span><span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
(5.11) \t\t \tilde{P}_k \circ P_k = P_k.
$$

**Lemma 5.4.** Let a be a distributional  $\beta$ -atom adapted to  $Q = [-1, 1]$ . For any  $M \in$ N, the inequalities

<span id="page-19-3"></span>(5.12) 
$$
|P_{\leq 0}[a](x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-M};
$$

<span id="page-19-4"></span>(5.13) 
$$
|P_k[a](x)| \lesssim 2^{(d-\beta)k} (1+|x|)^{-M}
$$

hold true with the multiplicative constants being independent of  $k \geqslant 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and the choice of a.

*Proof.* Let now  $\Psi$  be a compactly supported function such that  $\hat{\Psi}$  attains positive values on the support of  $\hat{\Xi}$ . Then, in particular,

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
\|\tilde{P}_k[\Psi]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \| (1+|x|^2)^d \tilde{P}_k[\Psi](x) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim \left\| (1-\Delta)^d \left[ \hat{\Xi}(2^{-k}\xi) \hat{\Psi}(\xi) \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-Lk}, \qquad k \ge 0,
$$

for any natural number L. Consequently,

<span id="page-19-2"></span>
$$
(5.15) \quad ||a * \Psi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq ||P_{\leq 0}[a * \Psi]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \sum_{k>0} ||P_k[a * \Psi]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$
  

$$
\stackrel{(5.11)}{=} ||P_{\leq 0}[a * \Psi]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \sum_{k>0} ||P_k[a] * \tilde{P}_k[\Psi]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-Lk} 2^{(d-\beta)k}
$$

for any  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ . The choice of  $L = d + 1$  makes this series converge. We also note that  $a * \Psi$  has bounded support. Since

(5.16) 
$$
P_{\leq 0}[a] = \chi \ast (a \ast \Psi),
$$

where  $\hat{\chi}$  is a smooth compactly supported function, the bound [\(5.15\)](#page-19-2) together with the information about the support of  $a * \Psi$  leads to [\(5.12\)](#page-19-3).

The proof of [\(5.13\)](#page-19-4) follows the same lines: instead of  $a * \Psi$ , consider  $a * \Psi_k$ (here  $\Psi_k(x) = 2^{d_k} \Psi(2^k x)$ ) and perform the same steps: (5.17)

<span id="page-19-5"></span>
$$
||a*\Psi_k||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq ||P_{\leq k}[a*\Psi_k]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \sum_{l>k} ||P_l[a*\Psi_k]||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sum_{l\geqslant k} 2^{-L(l-k)} 2^{(d-\beta)l},
$$

in the last inequality we have used

(5.18) 
$$
\|\tilde{P}_l[\Psi_k]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim 2^{-L(l-k)}, \qquad l \geqslant k;
$$

this follows from [\(5.14\)](#page-19-1) by rescaling. Then we use

(5.19) 
$$
P_{\leq k}[a] = \chi_k * (a * \Psi_k),
$$

where  $\chi_k(x) = 2^{dk}\chi(2^kx)$ , to derive

(5.20) 
$$
|P_{\leq k}[a](x)| \lesssim 2^{(d-\beta)k}(1+|x|)^{-M}
$$

from [\(5.17\)](#page-19-5). The latter inequality clearly implies [\(5.13\)](#page-19-4) via [\(5.7\)](#page-18-2).  $\Box$ 

Let  $\Phi$  be a Schwartz function and let  $\Phi_t(x) = t^{-d}\Phi(x/t)$  denote the  $L^1$  dilations.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Proposition 5.5.** Let  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a distributional  $\beta$ -atom adapted to a cube  $Q$ . Then, for any  $M > d$  there exists a finite collection  $\mathcal F$  of Schwartz seminorms such that if  $\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 1$ , then

<span id="page-20-1"></span>(5.21) 
$$
t^{d-\beta}|a*\Phi_t(x)| \lesssim (\ell(Q))^{-\beta}\Big(1+\frac{\text{dist}(x,Q)}{\ell(Q)}\Big)^{-M}, \quad t \leq \ell(Q);
$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ; the bound is independent of the particular choice of a.

The requirement on Schwartz seminorms of  $\Phi$  means there exists a large natural number  $\nu$  such that

(5.22) 
$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{\gamma} \Phi}{\partial x^{\gamma}}(x)\right| \leq (1+|x|)^{-\nu}
$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and all multi-indices  $\gamma$  with  $|\gamma| \leq \nu$ .

**Remark 5.6.** As we will see from the proof, the moment conditions on  $a$  are unnecessary in Proposition [5.5.](#page-20-0)

The proof of Proposition [5.5](#page-20-0) rests on Lemma [5.4.](#page-19-6) We will also need another lemma that expresses an almost-orthogonality principle.

<span id="page-20-6"></span>**Lemma 5.7.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  be such that  $2^k t \geq 1$ . Then, for any natural numbers  $M'$  and  $M''$ ,

<span id="page-20-7"></span>(5.23) 
$$
|\tilde{P}_k[\Phi_t](x)| \lesssim (2^k t)^{-M''} t^{-d} (1+|x|/t)^{-M'}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Proof. By scaling invariance, it suffices to prove the inequality

(5.24) 
$$
\left|\tilde{\Xi}_s * \Phi(y)\right| \lesssim s^{M''} (1+|y|^2)^{-M'}, \qquad s<1,
$$

and  $\tilde{\Xi}_s(y) = s^{-d} \tilde{\Xi}(s^{-1}y)$ . By the  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of the Fourier transform, it suffices to prove

(5.25) 
$$
\left\| (1 - \Delta)^{M'} \left[ \hat{\tilde{\Xi}}(s\xi) \hat{\Phi}(\xi) \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim s^{M''}, \qquad s < 1,
$$

which follows from the requirement that  $\Phi$  is a Schwartz function and the conditions on the support of  $\tilde{\Xi}$  (we have used a similar inequality in [\(5.14\)](#page-19-1)).

Proof of Proposition [5.5.](#page-20-0) First of all, we may dilate and shift a if needed and assume  $Q = [-1, 1]^d$ , see Remark [5.3.](#page-18-3) Then [\(5.21\)](#page-20-1) reduces to

(5.26) 
$$
t^{d-\beta}|a * \Phi_t(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-M}, \quad t \leq 1.
$$

We next use the assumption  $M > d$ .

<span id="page-20-5"></span><span id="page-20-4"></span>For the smoothed Littlewood–Paley projectors introduced above one has

(5.27) 
$$
t^{d-\beta}|a * \Phi_t(x)| \leq t^{d-\beta}|P_{\leq 0}[a] * \Phi_t(x)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{d-\beta}|P_k[a] * \Phi_t(x)|.
$$

Let us consider the summands with  $2^k t \leq 1$  first (low frequency summands). By [\(5.13\)](#page-19-4),

<span id="page-20-2"></span>(5.28) 
$$
|P_k[a](x)| \lesssim 2^{(d-\beta)k} (1+|x|)^{-M}.
$$

Since  $t \leq 1$ ,

<span id="page-20-3"></span>(5.29) 
$$
|\Phi_t| * (1+|x|)^{-M} \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-M},
$$

and this bound depends on a finite number of Schwartz seminorms of  $\Phi$  in the sense that it follows from the inequality

(5.30) 
$$
|\Phi(x)| \le (1+|x|)^{-M}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d
$$

since we have assumed  $M > d$ . Using [\(5.28\)](#page-20-2) and [\(5.29\)](#page-20-3), we get (5.31)

$$
\sum_{k \colon 2^k t \leqslant 1} t^{d-\beta} |P_k[a] * \Phi_t(x)| \mathop{}_{\textstyle \sim}^{\textstyle \binom{5.13}{(5.29)}} t^{d-\beta} \sum_{k \colon 2^k t \leqslant 1} 2^{(d-\beta)k} (1+|x|)^{-M} \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-M}.
$$

The bound for the term  $t^{d-\beta}$   $|P_{\leq 0}[a] * \Phi_t(x)|$  is completely similar (we use [\(5.12\)](#page-19-3) instead of [\(5.13\)](#page-19-4)). Thus, [\(5.26\)](#page-20-4) holds true for the low frequency part of the sum [\(5.27\)](#page-20-5). Note that since

<span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
(5.32) \t t^{-d} (1+|x|/t)^{-M} * (1+|x|)^{-M} \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-M}, \t M > d, t \leq 1,
$$

Lemma [5.7](#page-20-6) with  $M' = M'' := M$  yields the desired bound of the high frequency part:

$$
(5.33) \sum_{k \,:\, 2^{k}t > 1} t^{d-\beta} |P_k[a] * \Phi_t(x)| \stackrel{(5.11)}{=} \sum_{k \,:\, 2^{k}t > 1} t^{d-\beta} |P_k[a] * \tilde{P}_k[\Phi_t](x)|
$$
\n
$$
\stackrel{(5.13)}{\lesssim} \sum_{\substack{(5.33) \\ k \,:\, 2^{k}t > 1}} 2^{(d-\beta)k} (2^{k}t)^{-M} (1+|x|)^{-M} \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-M},
$$

since  $M > d > d - \beta$ . Thus, [\(5.26\)](#page-20-4) holds true for the high frequency part of the sum as well.

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

**Proposition 5.8.** Let  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a distributional  $(\beta, N)$ -atom adapted to a cube Q. Then, for any  $s > 0$  there exists a finite collection  $\mathcal F$  of Schwartz seminorms such that if  $\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 1$ , then

(5.34) 
$$
t^{d-s}|a * \Phi_t(x)| \lesssim (\ell(Q))^{-s} \left(1 + \frac{\text{dist}(x, Q)}{\ell(Q)}\right)^{-N-1-s}, \quad t \ge \ell(Q),
$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ; the bound is independent of the particular choice of a.

*Proof.* By Remark [5.3,](#page-18-3) we may assume  $Q = [-1, 1]^d$ . We wish to prove

<span id="page-21-1"></span>(5.35) 
$$
t^{d-s}|a * \Phi_t(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-N-1-s}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 1.
$$

Let  $M$  be a large number. Before passing to the proof, we modify  $(5.23)$  in the case  $t > 1$  a little bit:

$$
(5.36) \quad (2^kt)^{-M''}t^{-d}(1+|x|/t)^{-M'} \stackrel{2^k \geq 1, t>1}{\leq} (2^kt)^{-M''+M'}t^{-M'}(1+|x|/t)^{-M'} = (2^kt)^{-M''+M'}(t+|x|)^{-M'} \leq (2^kt)^{-M''+M'}(1+|x|)^{-M'}.
$$

Thus, if we plug  $M'' = 2M$  and  $M' = M$  into the latter estimate, we get from [\(5.23\)](#page-20-7) that

$$
(5.37) \qquad |\tilde{P}_k[\Phi_t](x)| \lesssim (2^k t)^{-M} (1+|x|)^{-M}, \qquad t > 1, \ k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.
$$

<span id="page-21-3"></span><span id="page-21-2"></span>Let  $f = P_{\leq 0}[a]$ . We may replace a with f in [\(5.35\)](#page-21-1) and prove

 $(5.38)$  $\vert d^{-s} \vert f * \Phi_t(x) \vert \lesssim (1 + \vert x \vert)^{-N-1-s}, \qquad \vert x \vert \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t > 1,$ 

since the difference between a and f, i.e.,  $P_{>0}[a]$ , admits an estimate similar to the estimate for the case  $t \leq 1, 2^k t > 1$  in Proposition [5.5:](#page-20-0)

$$
(5.39) \quad t^{d-s} |(a-f) * \Phi_t(x)| \leq t^{d-s} \sum_{k>0} |P_k[a] * \Phi_t(x)|
$$
  

$$
\lesssim \sum_{k>0} \sum_{k>0} t^{d-s} 2^{(d-\beta)k} (2^k t)^{-M} (1+|x|)^{-M} \lesssim t^{d-s-M} (1+|x|)^{-M}
$$

for any  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now we concentrate on [\(5.38\)](#page-21-3). Note that  $f * \Phi_t$  is a convolution of two summable functions and may be treated as an integral. We split this integral into two integrals:

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
(5.40) \quad f * \Phi_t(x) = t^{-d} \int_{2|y| \geq |x|} f(y) \Phi\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) dy + t^{-d} \int_{2|y| \leq |x|} f(y) \Phi\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) dy,
$$

and estimate them individually.

The estimate for the first part is straightforward (we use Lemma [5.4\)](#page-19-6): (5.41)

$$
t^{-d} \bigg| \int_{2|y| \geq |x|} f(y) \Phi\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) dy \bigg| \lesssim t^{-d} \int_{2|y| \geq |x|} (1+|y|)^{-M} dy \lesssim t^{-d} (1+|x|)^{-M+d},
$$

which, after multiplication by  $t^{d-s}$ , does not exceed the right hand side of [\(5.38\)](#page-21-3), provided M is sufficiently large (recall  $s \geq 0$ ).

The bound for the second integral in [\(5.40\)](#page-22-0) is a little bit more involved. We will use the Taylor formula

<span id="page-22-1"></span>(5.42) 
$$
\Phi\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \frac{\partial^{\gamma} \Phi}{\partial z^{\gamma}} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \left(\frac{-y}{t}\right)^{\gamma} + O\left(\left(\frac{|y|}{t}\right)^{N+1} \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{-\eta}\right),
$$

which follows from Lagrange's representation of the remainder, the inequality  $|y| \leq$  $|x|/2$ , and the boundedness of a Schwartz seminorm of  $\Phi$ . Here  $\eta$  is an arbitrary non-negative number (we will specify it later).

First, we deal with the integrals that arise from plugging the monomial terms from  $(5.42)$  into the second integral in  $(5.40)$ . Note that f satisfies the cancellation condition

(5.43) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) y^{\gamma} dy = 0, \qquad |\gamma| \leq N,
$$

since a does,  $f = P_{\leq 0}[a]$ , and the symbol of the Fourier multiplier  $P_{\leq 0}$ , that is,  $\hat{\Xi}$ , equals one in a neighborhood of the origin. Therefore, by Lemma [5.4,](#page-19-6) (5.44)

$$
\left| \int_{2|y| \leqslant |x|} f(y)(y/t)^{\gamma} dy \right| = t^{-d} \left| \int_{2|y| \geqslant |x|} f(y)(y/t)^{\gamma} dy \right| \lesssim t^{-d-\gamma} (1+|x|)^{-M+d+\gamma}.
$$

Thus, it suffices to take  $M$  sufficiently large.

It remains to estimate the contribution of the remainder term in [\(5.42\)](#page-22-1) to the second integral in [\(5.40\)](#page-22-0). Here we cannot use cancellations and perform the estimates in a more straightforward way (relying on Lemma [5.4](#page-19-6) again):

$$
(5.45) \t t^{-d} \int_{2|y| \leq |x|} |f(y)| \left(\frac{|y|}{t}\right)^{N+1} \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{-\eta} dy \lesssim
$$
  

$$
t^{-(d+N+1)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{-\eta} \int_{2|y| \leq |x|} (1 + |y|)^{-M} |y|^{N+1} dy
$$
  

$$
\lesssim t^{-d-N-1} \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{-\eta}; \quad M > N+1+d.
$$

Thus, it suffices to verify the inequality

(5.46) 
$$
t^{-N-1}(1+|x|/t)^{-\eta} \lesssim t^{s}(1+|x|)^{-N-1-s}.
$$

We use that  $t > 1$  and estimate the left hand side:

(5.47) 
$$
t^{-N-1}(1+|x|/t)^{-\eta} \leq t^{-N-1}(1/t+|x|/t)^{-\eta} = t^{-N-1+\eta}(1+|x|)^{-\eta}.
$$
  
We may set  $\eta = N+1+s$  and finish the proof of (5.38).

**Corollary 5.9.** Let  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a  $(\beta, N)$ -atom adapted to a cube Q. Then, for any  $\alpha \in (d - \beta, d)$ , we have

(5.48) 
$$
|\mathrm{I}_{\alpha}[a](x)| \lesssim \ell(Q)^{\alpha-d} \Big(1+\frac{|x-c_Q|}{\ell(Q)}\Big)^{-(d-\alpha+M)},
$$

provided N is sufficiently large (depending on  $M$ ); here  $c_Q$  stands for the center of  $Q$  and  $\ell(Q)$  denotes the sidelength of this cube.

Proof. We may represent

(5.49) 
$$
\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}[a] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\alpha k} \Phi_{2^{-k}} * a
$$

<span id="page-23-1"></span>for a specific Schwartz function  $\Phi$  and apply Proposition [5.5.](#page-20-0)

**Corollary 5.10.** Let  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a  $(\beta, N)$ -atom adapted to the cube Q. Then, for some collection  $\mathcal{F},$ 

(5.50) 
$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{F},d-\beta}[a](x) \lesssim (\ell(Q))^{-\beta} \Big(1+\frac{\mathrm{dist}(x,Q)}{\ell(Q)}\Big)^{-N-1-\beta}.
$$

<span id="page-23-0"></span>**Lemma 5.11.** If a is a  $\beta$ -atom, then

$$
\|\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{F},d-\beta}a\|_{L^1(\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta})}\lesssim 1.
$$

Proof of Lemma [5.11.](#page-23-0) We wish to bound the sum

(5.51) 
$$
\sum_{k\geqslant 0} 2^{-k} \mathcal{H}^{\beta}_{\infty}\Big(\Big\{x\in \mathbb{R}^d \Big\vert \ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F},d-\beta}[a](x) \geqslant 2^{-k}\Big\}\Big).
$$

By Corollary [5.10,](#page-23-1) the latter sum is bounded by

(5.52) 
$$
\sum_{k\geqslant 0} 2^{-k} 2^{\frac{k\beta}{\beta+1}} \lesssim 1.
$$

#### 6. The Dimension Estimate Revisited

<span id="page-24-0"></span>We begin this Section with the

Proof of Theorem [G.](#page-7-1) We follow the proof of Theorem [E,](#page-6-3) mutatis mutandis.

As there, it suffices to prove that for  $A \subset Q_0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}(A) = 0$  implies  $|\mu|(A) = 0$  and we show that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any Borel set  $A \subset Q_0$ with  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}_{\infty}(A) < \delta$  we also have  $|\mu|(A) < \epsilon$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. First, an argument analogous to that of claim [\(4.2\)](#page-14-2) shows that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}_{\infty}(A) < \delta$ , then

<span id="page-24-1"></span>(6.1) 
$$
\int_A \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{F},d-\beta}[\mu] d\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta} < c\epsilon
$$

for any fixed choice of  $c > 0$  to be chosen later (and which is only for aesthetic purposes).

Second, as in [\(4.3\)](#page-15-0) we may choose  $N_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$
|\mu|(\{x \in Q_0 : 0 < l_x < \frac{1}{n}\}) < c\epsilon
$$

for every  $n \geq N_{\epsilon}$ . Then the set

$$
A' := \{ x \in A : l_x \geqslant \frac{1}{N_{\epsilon}} \}
$$

satisfies

$$
|\mu|(Q) \leqslant 2|\mu(Q)|
$$

for  $x \in A'$  for all cubes Q which contain x and satisfy  $l(Q) < \frac{1}{N_{\epsilon}}$ . Therefore we find the analogue of [\(4.4\)](#page-15-1):

(6.2) 
$$
|\mu|(A) \leqslant |\mu|(A') + c\epsilon.
$$

Let A be a Borel set such that with  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}_{\infty}(A) < \delta$ . Then one can find a balls  ${B_{r_j}(x_j)}$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup_j B_{r_j}(x_j)$  and

(6.3) 
$$
\sum_{j} r_j^{\beta} < \delta.
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume  $A \cap B_{r_j}(x_j) \neq \emptyset$  for any j. We may also assume that the sequence  $\{r_j\}_j$  is non-increasing.

Let now  $A_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_{r_j}(x_j)$ , here  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ . The set  $A_\infty$  is bounded, and, therefore, has finite measure  $|\mu|$ . Thus, there exists n such that  $|\mu|(A_{\infty} \setminus A_n) < c\epsilon$ , and so

(6.4) 
$$
|\mu|(A') \leqslant |\mu|(A' \setminus A_n) + |\mu|(A' \cap A_n)
$$

(6.5) 
$$
\leqslant |\mu|(A_{\infty} \setminus A_n) + |\mu|(A' \cap A_n)
$$

6 cǫ + |µ|(A ′ (6.6) ∩ An).

Note that since  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}_{\infty}(A_n) < \delta$  by [\(6.1\)](#page-24-1)

(6.7) 
$$
\int_{A_n} M_{\alpha,\mathcal{F},r_n}[\mu] d\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta} \leq \int_{A_n} M_{\alpha,\mathcal{F}}[\mu] d\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta} < c\epsilon,
$$

where  $M_{\alpha,\mathcal{F},r_n}$  is the anti-local maximal function defined by

(6.8) 
$$
M_{\alpha,\mathcal{F},\rho}[\mu] = \sup_{\Phi \in S_{\mathcal{F}}} \sup_{t > \rho} t^{\alpha} |\mu * \Phi_t(x)|.
$$

By now we have only used the obvious inequality  $M_{\alpha,\mathcal{F},r_n}[\mu] \leq M_{\alpha,\mathcal{F}}[\mu]$ ; below we will use that the truncated function is somehow regular; this property is expressed by the following claim.

**Claim.** Let  $M_{\alpha,\mathcal{F},\rho}[\mu](x) > \lambda$  for some point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

(6.9) 
$$
M_{\alpha,\mathcal{F},\rho}[\mu](y) \gtrsim \lambda, \qquad y \in B_{\rho}(x).
$$

Let us prove the claim. Assume

(6.10) 
$$
t^{\alpha}|\mu * \Phi_t(x)| \geqslant \lambda, \quad \text{for some } t > \rho, \ \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leqslant 1.
$$

Then, we have

(6.11) 
$$
t^{\alpha}|\mu * \Phi_t(y)| = t^{\alpha}|\mu * \Psi_t(x)|,
$$

where the function  $\Psi$  is defined by the formula  $\Psi(z) = \Phi(z + (y - x)/t)$ . Since  $y \in \Psi$  $B_{\rho}(x)$  and  $t > \rho$ , we have  $|(y-x)/t| \leq 1$ , and  $||\Psi||_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim 1$ . This proves the claim.

Now consider the set  $A_n$ , which is the union of a finite number of balls of radii at least  $r_n$ . Denote by  $\cup_j U_j$  a cover of  $A_n$  by balls of radius  $r_n$  with finite overlap. Then

$$
A_n \subset \cup_j U_j
$$
  

$$
\cup_j U_j \subset \cup_{j=1}^n B_{2r_j}(x_j) =: \tilde{A}_n.
$$

Then the fact that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\beta}_{\infty}(\tilde{A}_n) \leqslant 2^{\beta} \delta
$$

and by choosing  $\delta$  slightly smaller implies therefore

$$
\int\limits_{\tilde{A}_n} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\mathcal{F},r_n}[\mu] \, d\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta} < c\epsilon.
$$

For  $c_j$  the center of the ball  $U_j$  of radius  $r_n$ , consider the sets

(6.12) 
$$
\Omega_{n,k} = \bigcup_j U_j, \quad \text{where } \mathrm{M}_{\alpha,\mathcal{F},r_n}[\mu](c_j) \in [2^k, 2^{k+1}).
$$

By the claim,  $M_{\alpha,\mathcal{F},r_n}[\mu] \sim 2^k$  on  $\Omega_{n,k}$ , and each  $\Omega_{n,k}$  is contained in  $\tilde{A}_n$ . Thus,

(6.13) 
$$
\sum_{k} 2^{k} \mathcal{H}^{\beta}_{\infty}(\Omega_{n,k}) < c\epsilon.
$$

By Corollary [2.2](#page-10-0) (packing condition lemma), there is a covering  ${B_{n,k,j}}_j$  of  $\Omega_{n,k}$ by the balls of radii at least  $r_n$  such that the sum of their radii raised to the power  $\beta$ is controlled by  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}_{\infty}(\Omega_{n,k})$ :

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
\sum_j r_{n,k,j}^{\beta} \leqslant C \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta}(\Omega_{n,k}).
$$

As we wish to estimate  $|\mu|(A' \cap A_n)$ , we may also assume each set  $B_{n,k,j}$  covers at least one point  $x_{n,k,j}$  of  $A'$ , and by doubling the radii that this point is the center of  $B_{n,k,j}$ . Then,

$$
(6.14) \quad |\mu|(A' \cap A_n) \leq \sum_{k,j} |\mu|(B_{n,k,j}) \leq \sum_{k,j} r_{n,k,j}^d |\mu| * \Phi_{r_{n,k,j}}(x_{n,k,j})
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{k,j} r_{n,k,j}^{d} \leq 2 \sum_{k,j} |r_{n,k,j}^d \mu * \Phi_{r_{n,k,j}}(x_{n,k,j})| \leq \sum_{k,j} 2^k r_{n,k,j}^\beta \leq 2Cc\epsilon.
$$

Here  $\Phi$  is a radially symmetric radially decreasing Schwartz function with compact support,  $r_{n,k,j}$  is the radius of  $Q_{n,k,j}$ , and  $x_{n,k,j}$  is its center.

This shows that

$$
|\mu|(A) \leq |\mu|(A') + c\epsilon
$$
  
\$\leq \mu|(A' \cap A\_n) + 2c\epsilon\$  
\$\leq (2C + 2)c\epsilon\$,  
\$\leq \epsilon\$

with the choice  $c = (2C + 2)^{-1}$ , where  $C > 0$  is the constant arising in the packing condition Corollary [2.2.](#page-10-0) In particular, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  one has

$$
|\mu|(A) \leqslant \epsilon
$$

whenever  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta}(A) < \delta$  for  $\delta > 0$  small enough that  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\beta}(A) < 2^{\beta} \delta$  implies

$$
\int_A \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{F},d-\beta}[\mu] \, d\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\beta} < c\epsilon
$$

for the fixed choice of  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $c = (2C + 2)^{-1}$ .

 $\Box$ 

#### <span id="page-26-0"></span>**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

D. Spector is supported by the National Science and Technology Council of Taiwan under research grant number 110-2115-M-003-020-MY3 and the Taiwan Ministry of Education under the Yushan Fellow Program. D. Stolyarov is supported by the Basis Foundation grant no. 21-7-2-12-1.

### <span id="page-26-1"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-26-5"></span>[1] D. R. Adams, A note on Choquet integrals with respect to Hausdorff capacity, Function spaces and applications (Lund, 1986), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1302, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 115–124. ↑3, 4, 6, 7
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>[2] A. Arroyo-Rabasa, An elementary approach to the dimension of measures satisfying a firstorder linear PDE constraint, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2020), no. 1, 273–282. ↑4
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>[3] A. Arroyo-Rabasa, G. De Philippis, J. Hirsch, and F. Rindler, Dimensional estimates and rectifiability for measures satisfying linear PDE constraints, Geom. Funct. Anal. 29 (2019), no. 3, 639–658, DOI 10.1007/s00039-019-00497-1. MR3962875 ↑4
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>[4] R. Ayoush, On finite configurations in the spectra of singular measures, Math. Z. 304 (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 6, 17, DOI 10.1007/s00209-023-03257-y. MR4569405 ↑4
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>[5] R. Ayoush and M. Wojciechowski, On dimension and regularity of vector-valued measures under Fourier analytic constraints, Illinois J. Math. 66 (2022), no. 3, 289–313, DOI 10.1215/00192082-10018154. MR4484222 ↑4
- <span id="page-26-11"></span>[6] R. Ayoush and D. Stolyarov and M. Wojciechowski, Sobolev martingales, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 37 (2021), no. 4, 1225–1246, DOI 10.4171/rmi/1224. ↑4
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>[7] J. Bourgain and H. Brezis, New estimates for the Laplacian, the div-curl, and related Hodge systems, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 338 (2004), no. 7, 539–543, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2003.12.031 (English, with English and French summaries). MR2057026 ↑2, 4
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>[8]  $\Box$ , New estimates for elliptic equations and Hodge type systems, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 9 (2007), no. 2, 277–315, DOI 10.4171/JEMS/80. MR2293957 ↑2, 4
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>[9] Y.-W. Chen and D. Spector, On functions of bounded β-dimensional mean oscillation, Adv. Calc. Var., DOI doi:10.1515/acv-2022-0084. ↑4
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>[10] J. R. Dorronsoro, Differentiability properties of functions with bounded variation, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 38 (1989), no. 4, 1027–1045, DOI 10.1512/iumj.1989.38.38047. MR1029687 ↑3
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>[11] K. Falconer, Techniques in fractal geometry, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1997. MR1449135 ↑2
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>[12] H. Federer and W. H. Fleming, Normal and integral currents, Ann. of Math. (2) 72 (1960), 458–520, DOI 10.2307/1970227. MR0123260 ↑3
- [13] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein,  $H^p$  spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129 (1972), no. 3-4, 137–193, DOI 10.1007/BF02392215. MR447953 ↑
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>[14] E. Gagliardo, Proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili, Ricerche Mat. 7 (1958), 102–137 (Italian). MR102740 ↑3
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>[15] R. Garg and D. Spector, On the regularity of solutions to Poisson's equation, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 353 (2015), no. 9, 819–823, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2015.07.001. MR3377679 ↑4
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>[16]  $\Box$ , On the role of Riesz potentials in Poisson's equation and Sobolev embeddings, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 64 (2015), no. 6, 1697–1719, DOI 10.1512/iumj.2015.64.5706. MR3436232 ↑4
- [17] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier analysis, 3rd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 249, Springer, New York, 2014. ↑
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>[18] F. Hernandez, D. Spector, and B. Raită, *Endpoint*  $L^1$  estimates for Hodge systems, Math. Ann. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02383-y. ↑4, 12
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>[19] F. Hernandez, D. Spector, and J. Goodman, Two approximation results for divergence free measures, Port. Math. (to appear). https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.14079. ↑3
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>[20] F. Hernandez and D. Spector, Fractional Integration and Optimal Estimates for Elliptic Systems, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations (to appear). https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.05639. ↑2, 4, 6, 10, 14
- <span id="page-27-13"></span> $[21]$  A. D. Martinez and D. Spector, An improvement to the John-Nirenberg inequality for functions in critical Sobolev spaces, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 10 (2021), no. 1, 877–894, DOI 10.1515/anona-2020-0157. MR4191703 ↑4
- <span id="page-27-21"></span>[22] P. Mattila, Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Fractals and rectifiability. MR1333890 ↑8
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>[23] V. G. Maz'ja, Classes of domains and imbedding theorems for function spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl. 1 (1960); English transl., Soviet Math. Dokl. 1 (1960), 882–885. MR0126152 ↑3
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>[24]  $\_\_\_\_\$  Strong capacity-estimates for "fractional" norms, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 70 (1977), 161–168, 292 (Russian). Numerical methods and questions on organization of computations. MR0500118 ↑6
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>[25] N. G. Meyers and W. P. Ziemer, Integral inequalities of Poincaré and Wirtinger type for BV functions, Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), 1345–1360. ↑6
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>[26] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) 13 (1959), 115–162. MR109940 ↑3
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>[27] A. C. Ponce and D. Spector, A boxing inequality for the fractional perimeter, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 20 (2020), no. 1, 107–141. MR4088737 ↑3
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>[28]  $\ldots$ , A decomposition by non-negative functions in the Sobolev space  $W^{k,1}$ , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 69 (2020), no. 1, 151–169, DOI 10.1512/iumj.2020.69.8237. MR4077159 ↑7
- <span id="page-27-20"></span>[29]  $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\.\$  Some remarks on capacitary integrals and measure theory, Potentials and partial differential equations—the legacy of David R. Adams, Adv. Anal. Geom., vol. 8, De Gruyter, Berlin, [2023] ©2023, pp. 235–263. MR4654520 ↑7
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>[30] B. Raiță, L1-estimates and A-weakly differentiable functions (2018). Technical Report OxPDE-18/01, University of Oxford. ↑4
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>[31] B. Raitä, D. Spector, and D. Stolyarov, A trace inequality for solenoidal charges, Potential Anal. 59 (2023), no. 4, 2093–2104, DOI 10.1007/s11118-022-10008-x. MR4684387 ↑3, 4, 5, 6, 14
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>[32] M. Roginskaya and M. Wojciechowski, Singularity of vector valued measures in terms of Fourier transform, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 12 (2006), no. 2, 213–223, DOI 10.1007/s00041- 005-5030-9. ↑3, 4
- <span id="page-27-15"></span>[33] E. T. Sawyer, Two weight norm inequalities for certain maximal and integral operators, Harmonic analysis (Minneapolis, Minn., 1981), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 908, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982, pp. 102–127. MR654182 ↑6
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>[34]  $\_\_\_\_\_\$ , Weighted norm inequalities for fractional maximal operators, 1980 Seminar on Harmonic Analysis (Montreal, Que., 1980), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1981, pp. 283–309. MR670111 ↑6

- <span id="page-28-13"></span>[35] A. Schikorra, D. Spector, and J. Van Schaftingen,  $An L<sup>1</sup>$ -type estimate for Riesz potentials, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 33 (2017), no. 1, 291–303, DOI 10.4171/RMI/937. MR3615452 ↑4
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>[36] S. K. Smirnov, Decomposition of solenoidal vector charges into elementary solenoids, and the structure of normal one-dimensional flows, Algebra i Analiz  $5$  (1993), no. 4, 206–238. †3
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>[37] S. L. Sobolev, On a theorem of functional analysis, Mat. Sb. 4 (1938), no. 46, 471-497 (Russian); English transl., Transl. Amer. Math. Soc. 34, 39-68. ↑1
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>[38] D. Spector, An optimal Sobolev embedding for  $L^1$ , J. Funct. Anal. **279** (2020), no. 3, 108559, 26, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108559. MR4093790 ↑3
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>[39]  $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\.\_\_\_\_\_\.\_\_\_\_\_\.\_111685,$   $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\.\_111685,$ 20, DOI 10.1016/j.na.2019.111685. MR4034690 ↑3
- <span id="page-28-9"></span> $[40]$  , A noninequality for the fractional gradient, Port. Math. **76** (2019), no. 2, 153–168, DOI 10.4171/pm/2031. MR4065096 ↑3
- <span id="page-28-14"></span>[41] D. Spector and J. Van Schaftingen, Optimal embeddings into Lorentz spaces for some vector differential operators via Gagliardo's lemma, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 30 (2019), no. 3, 413–436, DOI 10.4171/RLM/854. MR4002205 ↑4
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>[42] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. MR0290095 ↑2, 6
- <span id="page-28-20"></span>[43]  $\_\_\_\_\$ , Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy; Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. MR1232192 ↑7
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>[44] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, On the theory of harmonic functions of several variables. I. The  $theory of H<sup>p</sup> -spaces, Acta Math. 103 (1960), 25–62, DOI 10.1007/BF02546524. MR121579$ ↑3
- <span id="page-28-18"></span>[45] D. M. Stolyarov and M. Wojciechowski, Dimension of gradient measures, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 352 (2014), no. 10, 791–795, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2014.08.011 (English, with English and French summaries). MR3262909 ↑4
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>[46] D. M. Stolyarov, *Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality for*  $p = 1$ , Mat. Sb. **213** (2022), no. 6, 125–174, DOI 10.4213/sm9645 (Russian, with Russian summary). MR4461456 ↑2, 4
- <span id="page-28-10"></span>[47]  $\qquad \qquad$ , A theorem of Dorronsoro and its slight generalization, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 434 (2015), 126–135, DOI 10.1007/s10958-016- 2869-z (Russian, with English summary); English transl., J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 215 (2016), no. 5, 624–630. MR3493705 ↑3
- <span id="page-28-19"></span>[48] D. Stolyarov, Dimension estimates for vectorial measures with restricted spectrum, J. Funct. Anal. 284 (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 109735, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2022.109735. MR4500728 ↑4
- <span id="page-28-11"></span>[49] M. J. Strauss, Variations of Korn's and Sobolev's equalities, Partial differential equations (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIII, Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1971), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973, pp. 207–214. MR0341064 ↑4
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>[50] A. Uchiyama, A constructive proof of the Fefferman-Stein decomposition of BMO  $(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , Acta Math. 148 (1982), 215–241, DOI 10.1007/BF02392729. MR0666111 ↑3
- <span id="page-28-17"></span>[51] J. Van Schaftingen, Function spaces between BMO and critical Sobolev spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 236 (2006), no. 2, 490–516, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2006.03.011. MR2240172 ↑4
- <span id="page-28-15"></span>[52]  $\_\_\_\_\_\$ , Estimates for  $L^1$ –vector fields, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 339 (2004), no. 3, 181–186, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2004.05.013. ↑4
- [53]  $\_\_\_\_\$ , Estimates for  $L^1$  vector fields with a second order condition, Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci. (6) 15 (2004), no. 1-6, 103–112. ↑4
- [54] , Limiting fractional and Lorentz space estimates of differential forms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), no. 1, 235–240, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-09-10005-9. ↑4
- <span id="page-28-12"></span>[55]  $\_\_\_\_\$ cliniting Sobolev inequalities for vector fields and canceling linear differential operators, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 (2013), no. 3, 877–921, DOI 10.4171/JEMS/380. ↑4
- <span id="page-28-16"></span>[56]  $\_\_\_\_\$ n, Limiting Bourgain-Brezis estimates for systems of linear differential equations: theme and variations, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 15 (2014), no. 2, 273–297, DOI 10.1007/s11784-014-0177-0. ↑4
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>[57] A. Zygmund, On a theorem of Marcinkiewicz concerning interpolation of operations, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 35 (1956), 223–248. MR80887 ↑2

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan Normal University, No. 88, Section 4, Tingzhou Road, Wenshan District, Taipei City, Taiwan 116, R.O.C.

Email address: spectda@protonmail.com

St. Petersburg State University, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,14th Line 29b, Vasilyevsky Island, St. Petersburg, Russia, 199178; St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg, Russia, 191023

Email address: d.m.stolyarov@spbu.ru