

# Conditions on the continuity of the Hausdorff measure

Rafał Tryniecki

## Abstract

Let  $b_k$  be strictly decreasing sequence of real numbers such that  $b_0 = 1$  and  $f_k$  be decreasing functions such that  $f_k(b_k) = 1$  and  $f_k(b_{k-1}) = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . We define iterated function system (IFS)  $S_n$  by limiting the collection of functions  $f_k$  to first  $n$ , meaning  $S_n = \{f_k\}_{k=1}^n$ . Let  $J_n$  denote the limit set of  $S_n$ . We show that if  $S_n$  fulfills the following two conditions: (1)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - h_n) \ln n = 0$  where  $h_n$  is the Hausdorff dimension of  $J_n$ , and (2)  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{h_n}(J_n) = 1 = H_1(J)$ , where  $h_n$  is the Hausdorff dimension of  $J_n$  and  $H_{h_n}$  is the corresponding Hausdorff measure. We also show examples of families of IFSSes fulfilling those properties.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $g_k : [0, 1] \rightarrow [\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}]$  be a collection of maps given by  $g_k(x) = \frac{1}{k+x}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). The collection of inverse maps  $f_k : [\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $f_k(x) = \{\frac{1}{x}\}$  defines the well-known Gauss map. For each  $n$ , we define an iterated function system  $S_n$  (IFS) consisting of the maps  $g_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $J_n$  be the Julia set (limit set) generated by  $S_n$ . In 1929 V. Jarnik [3] estimated, using elementary methods, the rate of convergence of the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set  $J_n$  defined as the limit set of the IFS  $S_n$ .  $J_n$  is a set of those irrational numbers from the set  $[0, 1]$ , whose continued fraction expansion has entries bounded by  $n$ . In 1992 Doug Hensley [2] proved that  $h_n$  has the following asymptotics:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(1 - h_n) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}$$

In 2016 Mariusz Urbański and Anna Zdunik in [5] proved, using Hensley's result that for previously mentioned sets, we have continuity of the Hausdorff measure in Hausdorff dimension, meaning

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{h_n}(J_n) = 1$$

where  $H_h$  - denotes the numerical value of Hausdorff measure in dimension  $h$ .

In this paper we prove continuity of the Hausdorff measure in adequate dimension for sets generated by IFS consisting of the following linear decreasing functions

$$f_k : [b_k, b_{k-1}] \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

such that

$$f_k(b_{k-1}) = 0 \text{ and } f_k(b_k) = 1$$

where the sequence  $b_k, k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  monotonically decreases to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $b_0 = 1$ . In addition, we assume that IFSes fulfill following two conditions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - h_n) \ln n = 0 \tag{1}$$

where  $h_n$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set of the IFS generated by initial  $n$  maps  $f_k, k = 1, \dots, n$  and

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} < \infty \tag{2}$$

By defining our sets in this way, we can examine the asymptotic of the Hausdorff dimension of Julia set  $J_n$  generated by the first  $n$  functions  $f_k$  and based on this we can deduce the continuity of the Hausdorff measure.

Our main result is following Theorem 4.8, stating

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $S_n$  be IFS defined in 2.1, which fulfills conditions (1) and (2). Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{h_n}(J_n) = 1$$

where  $J_n$  is the limit set of the IFS  $S_n$ ,  $H_h$  denotes Hausdorff measure in Hausdorff dimension  $h$ , and  $h_n$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set  $J_n$ .

## 2 Notation

We will start by introducing key definitions, theorems and notation.

Let  $f_k(x)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be a linear, decreasing function, such that

$$f_k(b_k) = 1 \text{ and } f_k(b_{k-1}) = 0$$

**Definition 2.1.** *Iterated function system (IFS)  $S_n$  is defined by limiting the collection of functions  $f_k$  to first  $n$ , meaning  $S_n = \{f_k\}_{k=1}^n$ .*

Because the sequence  $b_k$  defines functions  $f_k$  and thus IFS  $S_n$ , we will say that  $S_n$  is generated by  $b_k$ . By  $g_k$  we will denote the inverse map  $f_k^{-1}$ .

**Notation 2.2.** *By  $J_n$  we will denote limit set created by IFS  $S_n$ .*

$$J_n = \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^l} g_{q_1} \circ g_{q_2} \circ \dots \circ g_{q_l}([0, 1])$$

**Notation 2.3.** We will denote Hausdorff dimension of the set  $J_n$  by  $h_n$  and Hausdorff measure of the set  $A$  in dimension  $h$  by  $H_h(A)$ .

We denote by  $\text{diam}(F)$  the diameter of the set  $F$ . We will also use the notation  $|F|$  to denote the diameter of the set  $F$ .

**Definition 2.4.** We say that IFS composed of contractions  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  fulfills Open Set Condition (OSC), if there exists open set  $V$  such that following two conditions hold

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi_i(V) \subseteq V \quad (3)$$

and the sets  $\phi_i(V)$  are pairwise disjoint.

Based on the fact that our IFS fulfills the Open Set Condition, we know that  $h_n$  is a unique solution to the following equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (b_k - b_{k+1})^{h_n} = 1$$

Proof of this fact can be found in [1]. It follows from this equation, that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 1$  and  $0 < h_n < h_{n+1} < 1$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $S_n$  be IFS generated by  $b_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_l^n$  the  $l$ -th generation of intervals generated by  $S_n$

$$\mathcal{F}_l^n = \{g_{i_1} \circ g_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ g_{i_l}([0, 1]) : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $H^{h_n}$  denote the Hausdorff measure in the Hausdorff dimension  $h_n$ . If  $0 < H^{h_n}(X) < \infty$  then by  $m_n$  we will denote the normalized  $H^{h_n}$  Hausdorff measure

$$m_n(A) := \frac{H^{h_n}(A \cap X)}{H^{h_n}(X)}$$

Let  $m_n$  be normalized Hausdorff measure. Then the following holds

$$m_n(g_k(A)) = |g'_k|^{h_n} \cdot m_n(A)$$

As an immediate consequence of this fact, we get the following Lemma

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $A$  be a Borel set such that  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\frac{m_n(A)}{(\text{diam} A)^{h_n}} = \frac{m_n(g_k(A))}{(\text{diam}(g_k(A)))^{h_n}}$$

for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $J$  be a subset of the interval  $[0, 1]$ . The density of the interval  $J$  denoted by  $d_n(J)$  is given by the quotient  $d_n(J) := \frac{m_n(J)}{(\text{diam}(J))^{h_n}}$  where  $h_n$  is Hausdorff dimension of the set  $J_n$ , and  $m_n$  is normalized Hausdorff measure.

The main theorems used to prove our results are density theorems for the Hausdorff measure, see [4] Theorem 6.2.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space, with Hausdorff dimension equal to  $h$ , such that the Hausdorff measure of  $X$  in Hausdorff dimension  $h$  is finite. Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \sup \left\{ \frac{H_h(F)}{\text{diam}^h(F)} : x \in F, \bar{F} = F, \text{diam}(F) \leq r \right\} \right) = 1$$

for  $H_h$  - almost all  $x \in X$ .

From this Theorem we get

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space and by  $H_h$  denote the Hausdorff measure in dimension  $h$ . Moreover,  $0 < H_h(X) < +\infty$  and let  $H_h^1$  denote the normalized Hausdorff measure in dimension  $h$ . Then*

$$H_h(X) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}^h(F)}{H_h^1(F)} : x \in F, \bar{F} = F, \text{diam}(F) \leq r \right\} \right)$$

for  $H_h^1$ -almost all  $x \in X$ .

As a consequence of this Theorem and the fact, that in all Euclidean metric spaces the diameter of the closed convex hull of every set  $A$  is the same as the diameter of  $A$ , we get the following Theorem for the subset of real line.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $X$  be a subset of an interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  with finite and positive Hausdorff measure  $H_h(X)$ . Let  $H_h^1$  be normalized Hausdorff measure. Then for  $H_h^1$ -almost all  $x \in X$  we get*

$$H_h(X) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}^h(F)}{H_h^1(F \cap X)} : x \in F, F \subset \Delta \text{ is a closed interval, and } \text{diam}(F) \leq r \right\}$$

We can take this theorem one step further while talking about iterated function systems consisting of linear functions. The following theorem holds true.

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. Let  $X \subset \Delta$  be the limit set of the iterated function system, which is consisting of similarities only and  $0 < H_h(X) < +\infty$ . Then*

$$H_h(X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}^h(F)}{H_h^1(F \cap X)} : F \text{ is closed and convex} \right\}$$

Being even more specific, the following theorem is an immediate consequence of the Theorem 2.12.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. Let  $X \subset \Delta$  be the limit set of the iterated function system, which is consisting of similarities only and  $0 < H_h(X) < +\infty$ , then*

$$H_h(X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}^h(F)}{H_h^1(F \cap X)} : F \text{ is closed interval} \right\}$$

Now, we state Jensen's inequality for concave functions.

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $\psi(x) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a concave function. Then*

$$\psi\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}\right) \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot \psi(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}$$

for numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in its domain, and positive  $a_i$ .

As an immediate consequence of this theorem, we get following lemma.

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$  be positive real numbers such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k w_i = 1 \tag{4}$$

Then for all  $0 < a < 1$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (w_i)^a \leq k^{1-a}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi(x) = x^a$ . Then for  $0 < a < 1$  function  $f$  is concave. Invoking Theorem 2.14 with  $a_i = \frac{1}{k}$  and  $x_i = w_i$  we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k} w_i\right)^a \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (w_i)^a$$

Now, using the equation (4) we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^a \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^n (w_i)^a$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (w_i)^a \leq k^{1-a}$$

which concludes the proof. □

### 3 Estimate from above

In this section we will prove that the upper limit of the Hausdorff measure of the Julia set generated by the first  $n$  functions is equal to 1. This is the easier part of the proof.

**Theorem 3.1.**

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{h_n}(J_n) \leq 1$$

*Proof.* Fix  $n$ . We will show that

$$H_{h_n}(J_n) \leq 1$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show a sequence of covers of the set  $J_n$  by sets from the collection  $\mathcal{F}_k^n$  (defined in 2.5) which are  $k$ -th generation cylinders obtained by iterating first  $n$  functions defined in Definition 2.5 and we will justify that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the following holds:

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k^n} |F|^{h_n} = 1$$

Since

$$\max(|F|) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

thus, by the definition of the Hausdorff measure we shall conclude that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{h_n}(J_n) \leq 1$$

Indeed,

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k^n} |F|^{h_n} = \sum_{j_1 \dots j_k} (a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_k})^{h_n}$$

where  $a_j = b_j - b_{j+1}$  and we sum over all sequences  $(j_1 \dots j_k) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^k$ . Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_k} (a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_k})^{h_n} &= (a_0^{h_n} + a_1^{h_n} + \dots + a_{n-1}^{h_n})^k \\ &= ((a_0^{h_n} + a_1^{h_n} + \dots + a_{n-1}^{h_n}))^k = 1 \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Estimate from below

In this section we will focus on showing that the Hausdorff measure of the limit set  $J_n$  obtained from IFS  $S_n$  is continuous, which means

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{h_n}(J_n) = 1$$

as long as condition (1)

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} < \infty$$

and (2)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - h_n) \ln n = 0$$

are met.

Our strategy for proving the estimate of the Hausdorff measure from above is to prove that the upper limit of the densities  $d_n$  of all intervals contained in  $[0, 1]$  is at most 1. We do this by first showing, that the family of intervals of the form  $[0, r]$  is fulfills this property.

## 4.1 Strategy of the proof

The proof is split into three main parts. The first one is proving that the lower limit of the densities on the intervals of the form  $[0, r]$  is greater or equal to 1. The second one is proving the same on the intervals of the form  $[b_{k+l}, b_k]$ . The final part is putting those theorems together and proving step by step that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{h_n}(J_n) \geq 1$$

## 4.2 Estimate on the intervals $[0, r]$

Now, we will focus on preliminary lemmas required to estimate the density on the intervals of the form  $[0, r]$ . The special case where instead of Condition (1), we assume a much stronger condition:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq n} \left\{ \frac{b_k}{b_{k+1}} \right\} = 1 \quad (16)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq n} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} = 0$$

can be simplified and conducted using similar ideas to the proof found in [5]. For this case, we sketch a proof of similar statement in the Section 5.1. However, in the general case, when only assuming (1) and (2) (for example  $b_k = q^k$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$ ), a completely different, more delicate approach is required.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_l^n$  be the set of all intervals of  $l$ -th generation of the IFS as defined in 2.5, generated by  $S_n = \{f_1 \dots f_n\}$ . Furthermore, let  $b_k$  satisfy the following*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} < \infty$$

*Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $l_0$  such that for every  $l > l_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every interval  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{F}_l^n$  the following holds:*

$$\frac{b}{a} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

.

*Proof.* Let  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{F}_l^n$ . Denote by  $a_k = b_k - b_{k+1}$ . Then the following holds:

$$b - a = a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_l}$$

because  $[a, b] = g_{i_1} \circ g_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ g_{i_l}(x)$  for some sequence of maps  $g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_l}$ ,  $i_1, \dots, i_l \leq n$ . Now, choose some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that  $0 < a_j \leq \alpha$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider the quotient, where  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{F}_l^n$

$$\frac{b}{a} = \frac{a + a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_l}}{a} = 1 + \frac{a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_l}}{a} \leq$$




Figure 1: Moving  $r$  to left without changing density

$$\leq 1 + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} \cdot a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_l} \leq 1 + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} \cdot \alpha^{l-1} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

where the first inequality follows from the fact that  $[a, b] \subset [b_{i_1+1}, b_{i_1}]$  and thus  $a \geq b_{i_1+1}$ . The final one holds for sufficiently large  $l$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *If IFS fulfills the conditions (1) and (2), then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf \left\{ \frac{r^{h_n}}{m_n([0, r])} : r \in (0, 1) \right\} \right) \geq 1$$

*Proof.* We will prove the equivalent statement

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup \left\{ \frac{m_n([0, r])}{r^{h_n}} : r \in (0, 1) \right\} \right) \leq 1$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take an arbitrary  $r \in (0, 1)$ . If  $r \leq b_n$ , then  $m_n([0, r]) = 0$ . So, let  $r > b_n$ . From Lemma 4.1, we can find odd  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough such that  $\frac{b}{a} \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$  for all  $[a, b] \in \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_l^n$ .  $l$  can be chosen to be odd in order to guarantee the form of the last component in the quotient (5), because the functions in our IFS are changing the orientation.

If  $r \notin \cup \mathcal{F}_l^n$  (i.e. if the point  $r$  is in some "gap" of level  $l$  of the Cantor set  $J_n$ ) then we can replace  $r$  with closest  $b$ , such that  $b < r$  and  $[a, b]$  is in  $l$ -th generation cylinder without changing measure of the interval  $(0, r)$  (see Figure 1), meaning  $m_n((0, r)) = m_n((0, b))$ . We also get that  $\text{diam}((0, r)) > \text{diam}((0, b))$ , hence  $\frac{m_n((0, r))}{\text{diam}((0, r))} \leq \frac{m_n((0, b))}{\text{diam}((0, b))}$ .

From now on we can assume, that  $r \in F$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{F}_l^n$ . By  $b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l}$  we denote the right endpoint of the interval of the  $l$ -th generation  $\mathcal{F}_l^n$  such that

$$b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l} = g_{q_1} \circ g_{q_2} \circ \dots \circ g_{q_{l-1}} \circ g_{q_l}(0)$$






Figure 2: Interval  $[a, b]$  as endpoints of  $l$ -th generation

Then there exists unique sequence of numbers  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , such that

$$b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l+1}} < r \leq b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l}$$

This inequality holds this way due to fact that  $l$  is odd - see Figure 2.

Let us focus on the estimate of the numerator  $m_n([0, r])$ , for which we estimate its measure from above using the fact that  $m_n([0, r]) \leq m_n([0, b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l}])$ . The measure of the interval  $[0, b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l}]$  can be expressed as the measure of the union of the following intervals  $[0, b_{q_1}]$ ,  $[b_{q_1}, b_{q_1, q_2}]$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $[b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}}, b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l}]$ . The measure of the first interval is equal to

$$m_n([0, b_{q_1}]) = \sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n}$$

The measure of the interval  $[b_{q_1}, b_{q_1, q_2}]$  is equal to

$$m_n([b_{q_1}, b_{q_1, q_2}]) = (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1})^{h_n} \sum_{j=0}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n}$$

Using induction, we have that the measure of the interval  $[0, b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l}]$  is as follows

$$m_n([0, r]) \leq m_n([0, b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l}]) =$$




Figure 3:  $r$  between endpoints of intervals of  $l$ -th generation

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n} + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1})^{h_n} \sum_{j=0}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n} + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k})^{h_n} \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n} = \\
&\frac{\sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n} + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1})^{h_n} \sum_{j=0}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n} + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k})^{h_n} \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n}}{\left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=0}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n}} \\
&\cdot \left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=0}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n} \leq \\
&\leq A \cdot \left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=0}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n} \\
&\leq A \cdot \left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=0}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n} = \\
&= A \cdot C
\end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is equal to the first quotient. In the last inequality, we can replace all of the sums from  $q_i$  to  $n-1$  with the sums from  $q_i$  to infinity. Now we can estimate  $r^{h_n}$  from below using the fact that  $[0, r] \supseteq [0, b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l+1}}]$  and thus  $r \geq b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l+1}}$ .

We have the following inequalities (see Figure 3)

$$\begin{aligned}
m_n([0, r]) &\leq m_n([0, b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l}]) \\
|[0, r]| &\geq |[0, b_{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_{l+1}}]|
\end{aligned}$$

The second observation gives the following

$$r^{h_n} \geq \left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=0}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l+1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n}$$

Notice that this estimate for  $r^{h_n}$  has the same form as the last component (C) in the estimate for  $m_n([0, r])$ , with the only difference being the last sum summing from  $j = q_l + 1$  instead of  $q_l$ . Hence

$$\frac{m_n([0, r])}{r^{h_n}} \leq \quad (5)$$

$$A \cdot \frac{\left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n}}{\left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l+1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n}} =$$

$$= A \cdot B$$

Here we denote by A the first quotient, and by B the second one. Focusing on A, we get

$$A = \frac{\sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n} + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1})^{h_n} \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n} + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k})^{h_n} \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n}}{\left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n}} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^t w_i^{h_n}$$

where  $w_i$  is defined below in Definition 4.3. We observe that in the numerator we have the sum of  $t$  components of the form

$$\prod_{k=1}^p (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \cdot (b_j - b_{j+1})$$

each raised to the power of  $h_n$ , and where  $t = (n - q_1 + 1) + q_2 + (n - q_3 + 1) + \dots + (n - q_l + 1) \leq n \cdot l$ . In the denominator, we have sum of the same components, raised to the same power  $h_n$ .

**Notation 4.3.** We denote  $w_i$  as the  $i$ -th component from the sum in the numerator divided by the sum of all of those components.

We immediately observe that sum of  $w_i$  is equal to 1. For example

$$w_1 = \frac{b_{q_1} - b_{q_1+1}}{\sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})}$$

$$w_{n-q_1+2} = \frac{(b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \cdot (b_0 - b_1)}{\sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})}$$

$$w_t = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \cdot (b_{n-1} - b_n)}{\sum_{j=q_1}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})}$$

Because  $l$  is fixed and  $h_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then for sufficiently large  $n$  we get

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^t w_i^{h_n} \leq t \cdot \frac{1}{t^{h_n}} = t^{1-h_n} \leq (n \cdot l)^{1-h_n} = l^{1-h_n} \cdot n^{1-h_n} \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

The first inequality comes from the Lemma 2.15. The last inequality follows from the assumption that  $n^{1-h_n} \rightarrow 1$  and  $h_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $l$  is fixed. For sufficiently large  $n$  then, both of those factors are less than or equal to  $1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$  which proves that inequality holds.

Focusing now on the second part of the product

$$B = \frac{\left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n}}{\left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l+1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n}} =$$

$$= \frac{\left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n}}{\left[ \sum_{j=q_1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + (b_{q_1-1} - b_{q_1}) \sum_{j=1}^{q_2-1} (b_j - b_{j+1}) + \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_{q_k-1} - b_{q_k}) \sum_{j=q_l+1}^{\infty} (b_j - b_{j+1}) \right]^{h_n}} =$$

$$= \left[ \frac{b}{a} \right]^{h_n}$$

where  $[a, b]$  is the unique interval in  $\mathcal{F}_l^n$  containing point  $r$ , see beginning of the proof. Now, from Lemma 4.1, we can select integer  $l_0$  such that for all integer  $l > l_0$  and  $n$  large enough, the following holds  $\frac{b}{a} \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ . Thus we get

$$B = \left[ \frac{b}{a} \right]^{h_n} \leq \left[ 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right]^{h_n} \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

Putting those results together, we get that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  and all  $r \in (0, 1)$

$$\frac{m_n([0, r])}{r^{h_n}} \leq A \cdot B \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

### 4.3 Estimate on the intervals $[b_{l+q}, b_l]$

We proved the first of two essential propositions of this paper - the fact that upper limit of the densities of intervals of the form  $(0, r)$  is at most equal to 1. The next step is to prove that the upper limit of the densities (defined in Definition 2.8) of the intervals  $(b_{l+q}, b_l)$  is at most equal to one. In this Proposition we only use the Condition (2).

**Proposition 4.4.** *If*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - h_n) \ln n = 1$$

*then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup \left\{ \frac{m_n([b_{l+q}, b_l])}{(b_l - b_{l+q})^{h_n}} : 0 \leq l < l + q \right\} \right) \leq 1$$

*Proof.* Fix some  $l \geq 0$ ,  $q \geq 1$ . If  $l + q > n$  then we can replace interval  $[b_{l+q}, b_l]$  with interval  $[b_n, b_l]$  and the measure of both intervals is the same and the diameter of the second one is smaller, thus having larger density. Hence, we can focus on the case when  $l + q \leq n$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_n([b_{l+q}, b_l])}{(b_l - b_{l+q})^{h_n}} &= \frac{\sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} (b_k - b_{k+1})^{h_n}}{(b_l - b_{l+q})^{h_n}} = \frac{\sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} (b_k - b_{k+1})^{h_n}}{\left( \sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} (b_k - b_{k+1}) \right)^{h_n}} \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} \left( \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{\sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} (b_k - b_{k+1})} \right)^{h_n} = \sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} w_k^{h_n} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_k = \frac{(b_k - b_{k+1})}{\sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} (b_k - b_{k+1})}$$

and  $\sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} w_k = 1$ . Now, following from the Lemma 2.15, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} w_k^{h_n} &\leq \sum_{k=l}^{l+q-1} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{h_n} = (q) \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{h_n} = \\ &= (q)^{1-h_n} \leq n^{1-h_n} \leq 1 + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large  $n$  applying Condition (1) yield the last inequality.  $\square$

#### 4.4 Putting the estimates together

The final part of the proof focuses on extending the family of sets with upper limit of the density at most 1, up to a point from which we can conclude that the density of intervals in the family of all closed intervals contained in  $[0, 1]$  is at most 1. Based on this fact, we can conclude that lower limit of the Hausdorff measure of the Julia set in its dimension is equal to 1.

In this part of the proof we use both conditions (1) and (2). For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$U_L(g_k(0), r) = [g_k(0) - r, g_k(0)]$$

where  $r \in (0, |g_k(0) - g_k(1)|)$ . Observe that  $g_k(1) = g_{k+1}(0)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.**

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}(U_L(g_k(0), r))^{h_n}}{m_n(U_L(g_k(0), r))} : k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } r \in (0, [g_k(0), g_k(0) - g_{k+1}(0)]) \right\} \right) \geq 1$$

*Proof.* If  $k > n$  then  $m_n(U_L(g_k(0), r)) = 0$ . Hence we can assume, that  $k \leq n$ . Since

$$g_k^{-1}(U_L(g_k(0), r)) = [0, \hat{r}]$$

for  $\hat{r} = g_k^{-1}(r)$ , from Lemma 2.7 we have that

$$\frac{m_n(U_L(g_k(0), r))}{\text{diam}(U_L(g_k(0), r))^{h_n}} = \frac{m_n([0, \hat{r}])}{\hat{r}^{h_n}}$$

and thus using Proposition 4.2 ends the proof.  $\square$

Now let us introduce the set

$$U_R(g_k(1), r) = [g_k(1), g_k(1) + r]$$

where  $r \in (0, |g_k(0) - g_k(1)|)$ . Then the following holds

**Lemma 4.6.**

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}(U_R(g_k(1), r))^{h_n}}{m_n(U_R(g_k(1), r))} : k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } r \in (0, [g_k(0), g_k(0) - g_{k+1}(0)]) \right\} \right) \geq 1$$

*Proof.* If  $k > n$  then  $m_n(U_L(g_k(0), r)) = 0$ . Hence we can assume that  $k \leq n$ . Set  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since

$$g_k^{-1}(U_R(g_k(0), r)) = [\hat{r}, 1]$$

for  $\hat{r} = g_k^{-1}(r)$ , we have that

$$\frac{m_n(U_R(g_k(1), r))}{\text{diam}(U_R(g_k(1), r))^{h_n}} = \frac{m_n([\hat{r}, 1])}{(1 - \hat{r})^{h_n}}$$

There exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\hat{r} \in (g_p(1), g_p(0))$ . If  $p = 1$  then  $[\hat{r}, 1] = U_L(g_1(0), 1 - \hat{r})$ , because  $g_1(0) = 1$  and by Lemma 4.5 we end the proof.

Let us assume from now on that  $p \geq 2$ . Then the interval  $[\hat{r}, 1]$  splits into the following two parts

$$[\hat{r}, 1] = [\hat{r}, g_q(0)] \cup [g_q(0), 1]$$

We know that  $[\hat{r}, g_q(0)] = U_L(g_q(0), g_q(0) - \hat{r})$  and thus by Lemma 4.5 we know that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}([\hat{r}, g_q(0)])^{h_n}}{m_n([\hat{r}, g_q(0)])} : p \in \mathbb{N}, \hat{r} \in [g_p(1), g_p(0)] \right\} \right) \geq 1$$

whereas for the interval  $[g_q(0), 1]$ , using Proposition 4.4 with  $l = 0$  and  $l + q = q$ , we get that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{\text{diam}([g_q(0), 1])^{h_n}}{m_n([g_q(0), 1])} \right\} \right) \geq 1$$

Now from those equalities we know that there exists  $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_\varepsilon$ , and all  $q \leq n$  and all  $\hat{r} \in [0, |g_k(0) - g_k(1)|]$

$$\frac{\text{diam}([\hat{r}, g_q(0)])^{h_n}}{m_n([\hat{r}, g_q(0)])} \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad (6)$$

and

$$\frac{\text{diam}([g_q(0), 1])^{h_n}}{m_n([g_q(0), 1])} \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad (7)$$

for  $n \geq N_\varepsilon$ . Set  $\Delta = [\hat{r}, 1]$ ,  $w_1 = \frac{|[\hat{r}, g_q(0)]|}{|\Delta|}$ ,  $w_2 = \frac{|[g_q(0), 1]|}{|\Delta|}$ . Then  $w_1 + w_2 = 1$  and using Lemma 2.15 we obtain

$$w_1^{h_n} + w_2^{h_n} \leq 2^{1-h_n},$$

$$|[\hat{r}, g_q(0)]|^{h_n} + |[g_q(0), 1]|^{h_n} \leq 2^{1-h_n} |\Delta|^{h_n}$$

Thus for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0$ , such that for every  $n > n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\Delta|^{h_n}}{m_n(\Delta)} &\geq 2^{h_n-1} \frac{|[\hat{r}, g_q(0)]|^{h_n} + |[g_q(0), 1]|^{h_n}}{m_n([\hat{r}, g_q(0)]) + m_n([g_q(0), 1])} \geq \\ &\geq 2^{h_n-1} \min \left\{ \frac{|[\hat{r}, g_q(0)]|^{h_n}}{m_n([\hat{r}, g_q(0)])}, \frac{|[g_q(0), 1]|^{h_n}}{m_n([g_q(0), 1])} \right\} \geq 2^{h_n-1} (1 - \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

and  $h_n \rightarrow 1$ , when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so the whole expression is arbitrarily close to 1, which ends the proof.  $\square$

Finally, we are ready to proof the main Theorem of our paper.

**Theorem 4.7.**

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf \left\{ \frac{([s, t])^{h_n}}{m_n([s, t])} : 0 \leq s < t \leq 1, (s, t) \cap J_n \neq \emptyset \right\} \right) \geq 1$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Assume that there exists no  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $s < g_k(0) < t$ . This implies that there exists  $k$  such that  $[s, t] \subseteq [g_k(1), g_k(0)]$ . Then, using Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\frac{([s, t])^{h_n}}{m_n([s, t])} = \frac{([g_k^{-1}(s), g_k^{-1}(t)])^{h_n}}{m_n([g_k^{-1}(s), g_k^{-1}(t)])}$$

Then either there exists  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $g_k^{-1}(s) < g_l(0) < g_k^{-1}(t)$  or we can repeat this operation until such  $l$  exists. So from now on we will assume that there exists  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $s < g_k(0) < t$ . Now either one of those two cases is true

- (1) at least one of the points  $g_{k+1}(0)$  or  $g_{k-1}(0)$  is contained in interval  $[s, t]$
- (2)  $g_{k+1}(0) \notin [s, t]$  and  $g_{k-1}(0) \notin [s, t]$

Let us focus on the first case scenario. There exists minimal  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and maximal  $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $s \leq b_{l+q} < b_l \leq t$ . We can divide interval  $[s, t]$  into 3 pieces

$$[s, t] = [s, b_{l+q}] \cup [b_{l+q}, b_l] \cup [b_l, t]$$

Now recalling Lemma 4.5, 4.6 and Proposition 4.4 we know that there exists  $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $l, q$ , all  $s \in [b_{l+q+1}, b_{l+q}]$  and all  $t \in [b_q, b_{q-1}]$

$$\frac{\text{diam}([s, b_{l+q}])^{h_n}}{m_n([s, b_{l+q}])} \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\text{diam}([b_{l+q}, b_l])^{h_n}}{m_n([b_{l+q}, b_l])} \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad (9)$$

and

$$\frac{\text{diam}([b_l, t])^{h_n}}{m_n([b_l, t])} \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad (10)$$

for  $n \geq N_\varepsilon$ . Now using similar reasoning to the proof of Lemma 4.6, with the only difference being three components instead of two, we have that

$$\frac{([s, t])^{h_n}}{m_n([s, t])} \geq 3^{h_n-1}(1 - \varepsilon)$$

which ends the proof for the first case.

For the case when  $g_{k+1}(0) \notin [s, t]$  and  $g_{k-1}(0) \notin [s, t]$ , we set  $[b_{l+q}, b_l] = \emptyset$  and



then we split our interval into two parts  $[s, b_k)$  and  $[b_k, t]$ . Now, invoking Lemma 4.5 and 4.6, we see that

$$\frac{\text{diam}([s, b_k])^{h_n}}{m_n([s, b_k])} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

$$\frac{\text{diam}([b_k, t])^{h_n}}{m_n([b_k, t])} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

Now, using the same reasoning as in Lemma 4.6, we get

$$\frac{([s, t])^{h_n}}{m_n([s, t])} \geq 2^{h_n-1}(1 - \varepsilon)$$

ending the proof.  $\square$

This theorem together with Theorem 3.1 now gives our final Theorem.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $S_n$  be iterated function system defined in (2.1) fulfilling following two conditions*

(1)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - h_n) \ln n = 0$$

where  $h_n$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set of the IFS generated by initial  $n$  contractions  $g_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and

(2)

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} < \infty$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{h_n}(J_n) = 1$$

where  $J_n$  is the limit set of the IFS  $S_n$  and  $H_h$  denotes Hausdorff measure in Hausdorff dimension  $h$ .

## 5 Examples

In this section we will show the families of iterated function systems for which the assumptions (1) and (2) of the Theorem 4.8 hold. By the theorems proved in previous section we will know that for those iterated function systems the continuity of the Hausdorff measure holds. We will start by showing that above mentioned conditions are satisfied for  $b_k = q^k$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $b_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Lets focus on the first case, where  $b_k = q^k$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $q \in (0, 1)$ . Put  $b_k = q^k$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Let  $S_n$  be the iterated function system generated by limiting the collection of functions  $f_k$  to first  $n$ , according to Definition 2.1. Let  $h_n$  be the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set  $J_n$  obtained from  $S_n$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln n \cdot (1 - h_n) = 0$$

*Proof.*  $S_n$  is an IFS consisting of linear functions and fulfills the Open Set Condition (Definition 2.4). Hence, the value of  $h_n$  is the unique solution to the following implicit equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (b_k - b_{k+1})^{h_n} = 1 \quad (11)$$

with  $b_k = q^k$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (b_k - b_{k+1})^{h_n} &= (1 - q)^{h_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{kh_n} = 1 \\ (1 - q)^{h_n} \frac{1 - q^{nh_n}}{1 - q^{h_n}} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Which yields

$$\frac{1 - q^{h_n}}{(1 - q)^{h_n}} = 1 - q^{nh_n} \quad (12)$$

Now let  $h_n = 1 - \varepsilon_n$  and substitute it to the above equation

$$\underbrace{\frac{1 - q^{1-\varepsilon_n}}{(1 - q)^{1-\varepsilon_n}}}_A = \underbrace{1 - q^{n(1-\varepsilon_n)}}_B \quad (13)$$

Focusing on the nominator of A:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - q^{1-\varepsilon_n} &= 1 - e^{(1-\varepsilon_n) \ln q} = 1 - e^{\ln q} e^{-\varepsilon_n \ln q} = 1 - e^{\ln q} (1 - \varepsilon_n \ln q + O(\varepsilon_n^2)) = \\ &= 1 - q + \varepsilon_n q \ln q + O(\varepsilon_n^2) \end{aligned}$$

As for the denominator of A

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q)^{1-\varepsilon_n} &= (1 - q) (1 - q)^{-\varepsilon_n} = (1 - q) e^{-\varepsilon_n \ln(1-q)} = \\ &= (1 - q) (1 - \varepsilon_n \ln(1 - q) + O(\varepsilon_n^2)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking the quotient

$$A = \frac{1 - q + \varepsilon_n q \ln q + O(\varepsilon_n^2)}{(1 - q) (1 - \varepsilon_n \ln(1 - q) + O(\varepsilon_n^2))} = \frac{(1 - q) \left[ 1 + \frac{q}{1-q} \varepsilon_n \ln q + O(\varepsilon_n^2) \right]}{(1 - q) (1 - \varepsilon_n \ln(1 - q) + O(\varepsilon_n^2))} =$$

$$= 1 + \frac{q}{1-q} \varepsilon_n \ln q + \varepsilon_n \ln(1-q) + O(\varepsilon_n^2) = 1 + \varepsilon_n \underbrace{\left( \frac{q}{1-q} \ln q + \ln(1-q) \right)}_{<0} + O(\varepsilon_n^2)$$

Thus the equation (13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} O(\varepsilon_n^2) + \varepsilon_n \left( \frac{q}{1-q} \ln q + \ln(1-q) \right) &= -q^{(1-\varepsilon_n)n} = -e^{n(1-\varepsilon_n) \ln q} \\ \varepsilon_n \underbrace{\left[ -\frac{q}{1-q} \ln q - \ln(1-q) \right]}_C + O(\varepsilon_n^2) &= e^{n(1-\varepsilon_n) \ln q} \end{aligned}$$

Meaning

$$C \cdot \varepsilon_n + O(\varepsilon_n^2) = e^{-n \ln(\frac{1}{q})(1-\varepsilon_n)}$$

Now set  $\frac{1}{n} = x$  and we want to find relationship between  $\varepsilon_n$  and  $x$ .

$$C \cdot \varepsilon_n + O(\varepsilon_n^2) = e^{-\frac{1}{x} \ln(\frac{1}{q})(1-\varepsilon_n)} = e^{-\frac{1}{x} \ln(\frac{1}{q})} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{x} \varepsilon_n} \quad (14)$$

With  $x \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_n$  has to converge to 0. This is implied by the initial definition of  $\varepsilon_n$ . Thus, we can estimate the expression (14) as follows.

$$C \cdot \varepsilon_n + O(\varepsilon_n^2) = e^{-\frac{1}{x} \ln(\frac{1}{q})(1-\varepsilon_n)} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{x} \ln(\frac{1}{q})}$$

Meaning

$$\varepsilon_n(C + O(\varepsilon_n)) \leq e^{-\frac{n}{2} \ln(\frac{1}{q})}$$

From the definition of big  $O$  notation, we have

$$\varepsilon_n(C + O(\varepsilon_n)) \geq \varepsilon_n(C - E\varepsilon_n)$$

Now with  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \leq \frac{C}{2E}$ , thus

$$\varepsilon_n \frac{C}{2} \leq \varepsilon_n(C - E \cdot \varepsilon_n) \leq e^{-\frac{n}{2} \ln(\frac{1}{q})}$$

which finally gives us

$$\varepsilon_n \leq \frac{2}{C} e^{-\frac{n}{2} \ln(\frac{1}{q})}$$

This lets us conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln n(1 - h_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln n \varepsilon_n = 0$$

□

Using proposition 5.1 we can easily show that

**Lemma 5.2.** For  $b_k = q^k$ ,  $0 < q < 1$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1-h_n} = 1$$

and

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} < \infty$$

*Proof.* The first part follows directly from Proposition 5.1

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1-h_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{(1-h_n) \ln n} = e^0 = 1$$

Now to finish the proof we now show that the second condition holds via simple calculation:

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{q^k - q^{k+1}}{q^{k+1}} \right\} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{(1-q)}{q} \right\} < \infty$$

which concludes the proof. □

In slightly different fashion we can show that the same holds for  $b_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . We will do it by defining  $h_n^*$  - the integral analogue of  $h_n$ . By computing asymptotics of  $h_n^*$  we can conclude, that  $\ln n(1 - h_n) \leq 1$ .

**Definition 5.3.** Let us define  $h_n^*$  for  $\alpha < 1$  by the following implicit equation

$$1 = \alpha \int_1^n \frac{1}{x^{(\alpha+1)h_n^*}} dx$$

**Lemma 5.4.**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\alpha(1 - h_n^*) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}$

*Proof.*

$$\int_1^n \alpha \frac{1}{x^{(\alpha+1)h_n^*}} dx = \alpha \frac{1}{1 - (\alpha+1)h_n^*} (n^{1-(\alpha+1)h_n^*} - 1)$$

Therefore

$$\alpha \frac{1}{1 - (\alpha+1)h_n^*} (n^{1-(\alpha+1)h_n^*} - 1) = 1$$

Hence

$$h_n^* = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} + \alpha \frac{1 - n^{1-(\alpha+1)h_n^*}}{\alpha+1} = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \cdot n^{1-(\alpha+1)h_n^*}$$

Inserting this expression to the formula  $n^\alpha(1 - h_n^*)$  we obtain

$$n^\alpha(1 - h_n^*) = n^\alpha \alpha \frac{n^{1-(\alpha+1)h_n^*}}{\alpha+1} = \alpha \frac{n^{\alpha+1-(\alpha+1)h_n^*}}{\alpha+1}$$

Let us consider logarithm of  $n^{\alpha+1-(\alpha+1)h_n^*}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(n^{\alpha+1-(\alpha+1)h_n^*}) &= (\alpha + 1 - (\alpha + 1)h_n^*) \ln n = \\ &= (\alpha + 1) \ln n - (\alpha + 1)h_n^* \ln n = \\ &= (\alpha + 1) \ln n - (\alpha + 1)\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}n^{1-(\alpha+1)h_n^*}\right) \ln n = \\ &= \alpha n^{1-(1+\alpha)h_n^*} \ln n \rightarrow 0, \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, this follows from the fact that for sufficiently large  $n$  we have  $h_n^* > \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$ . Finally, this gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\alpha (1 - h_n^*) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} e^0 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

Now in similar fashion, we define the value  $h_n^*$  in the case of iterated function systems obtained by putting  $b_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

**Definition 5.5.**

$$\alpha^{h_n^*} \int_1^n \frac{1}{x^{(\alpha+1)h_n^*}} = 1$$

For this case we get the same result through similar computations, the only change is the slight difference in the implicit equation for  $h_n^*$ .

**Lemma 5.6.**

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\alpha (1 - h_n^*) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}$$

Now, we can show that lemma for  $b_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $b_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then*

$$1 - h_n \leq 1 - h_n^*$$

where  $h_n^*$  is given by the implicit equation from the Definition 5.5 and  $h_n$  is equal to Hausdorff dimension of the set  $J_n$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $h_n$  is the unique solution to the following equation:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (b_k - b_{k+1})^{h_n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(k+2)^\alpha} \right)^{h_n} = 1$$

Then, using Jensen's inequality for integrals we have the following estimate from below:

$$\left( \frac{1}{k^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha} \right)^{h_n} = \left( \int_k^{k+1} \frac{\alpha}{x^{\alpha+1}} dx \right)^{h_n} \geq \int_k^{k+1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{x^{\alpha+1}} \right)^{h_n} dx$$

Therefore, after summing over  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and using the equation defining  $h_n^*$  when  $\alpha \geq 1$ , we get:

$$\int_1^n \left(\frac{\alpha}{x^{\alpha+1}}\right)^{h_n^*} = 1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(k+2)^\alpha}\right)^{h_n} \geq \int_1^n \left(\frac{\alpha}{x^{\alpha+1}}\right)^{h_n} dx$$

This directly implies, that:

$$h_n^* \leq h_n$$

when  $\alpha \geq 1$ . When  $\alpha < 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_1^n \frac{1}{x^{(\alpha+1)h_n^*}} dx &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(k+2)^\alpha}\right)^{h_n} \geq \int_1^n \left(\frac{\alpha}{x^{\alpha+1}}\right)^{h_n} dx \geq \\ &\geq \alpha \int_1^n \left(\frac{1}{x^{\alpha+1}}\right)^{h_n} dx \end{aligned}$$

because  $\alpha^{h_n} \geq \alpha$  for  $\alpha < 1$ . Therefore, combining those two results together yields  $1 - h_n \leq 1 - h_n^*$ .  $\square$

Now we will show, that

**Lemma 5.8.** For  $b_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1-h_n} = 1$$

and

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} < \infty$$

*Proof.* The first part follows from Lemma 5.4, 5.6 and 5.7. The second one is a simple computation

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{b_k - b_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \right\} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{k^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha}}{\frac{1}{(k+1)^\alpha}} \right\} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^\alpha - 1 \right\} < \infty$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 5.1 Proof of the estimate on intervals $[0, r]$ in simplified case

In this section we will sketch the proof of a weaker proposition, similar to Proposition 4.2 for a special case when a much stronger condition is fulfilled. First, we show auxiliary lemma.

**Lemma 5.9.** For every  $\delta > 0$  family  $R_\delta$  of all closed intervals  $\Delta \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $|\Delta| \geq \delta$  fulfills following

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf \left\{ \frac{|\Delta|^{h_n}}{m_n(\Delta)} : \Delta \in R_\delta \right\} \right) \geq 1 \quad (15)$$

*Proof.* Assume the contrary that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the family  $R_\delta$  does not fulfill (15). This means that there exists  $\eta \in [0, 1)$ , an increasing sequence  $(n_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ ,  $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and a sequence  $(\Delta_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  of closed intervals in  $R_\delta$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\Delta_j|^{h_{n_j}}}{m_{n_j}(\Delta_j)} = \eta$$

Now to finish this proof we will show a standard, auxiliary lemma letting us estimate the upper limit of the density of long intervals.

**Lemma 5.10.** The sequence of measures  $m_n$  converges weakly - \* to the Lebesgue measure  $m$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this fact is based on standard reasoning. We will sketch it here. Set

$$a_i := |b_{i-1} - b_i|;$$

$a_i$  is therefore the absolute value of the derivative of the map  $g_i$ .

Fix some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let

$$I_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_k}([0, 1])$$

Let us see that for all  $n > n_0 = \max(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  we have

$$m_n(I_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}) = a_{i_1}^{h_n} \cdot a_{i_2}^{h_n} \dots a_{i_k}^{h_n}$$

Including the fact that  $h_n \rightarrow 1$  with  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get for every interval  $I_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(I_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}) = a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_k} = m(I_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k})$$

This proves that (omitting the technical details) every limit measure of the sequence of measures  $m_n$  is equal to Lebesgue measure on every interval  $I_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$ . We can conclude from this that every limit measure of the sequence  $m_n$  is equal to Lebesgue measure on every interval in  $[0, 1]$ , and from this (using  $\pi - \lambda$  theorem), that the only limit measure of the sequence of measures  $m_n$  is the Lebesgue measure.  $\square$

Taking a subsequence, we can assume that left and right endpoints of the intervals  $\Delta_j$  converge to  $a \in [0, 1]$  and  $b \in [0, 1]$  accordingly and  $b - a \geq \delta$ . Let  $\Delta := [a, b] \in R_\delta$ . Since the sequence  $(m_{n_j})_1^\infty$  converges weakly to  $m$ , the Lebesgue measure, we get

$$1 = \frac{|\Delta|}{m(\Delta)} \leq \frac{\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_j|^{h_{n_j}}}{\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} m_{n_j}(\Delta_j)} = \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\Delta_j|^{h_{n_j}}}{m_{n_j}(\Delta_j)} = \eta < 1$$

which gives contradiction, proving Lemma 5.9.  $\square$

Now, we proceed to the second part of the proof.

**Proposition 5.11.** *Let IFS be such that following 2 conditions hold:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq n} \left\{ \frac{b_k}{b_{k+1}} \right\} = 1 \quad (16)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - h_n) \ln n = 0 \quad (17)$$

Then

$$\liminf_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ r \rightarrow 0}} \left( \inf \left\{ \frac{r^{h_n}}{m_n([0, r])} \right\} \right) \geq 1$$

*Proof.* We will prove the equivalent statement

$$\limsup_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ r \rightarrow 0}} \left( \sup \left\{ \frac{m_n([0, r])}{r^{h_n}} \right\} \right) \leq 1$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $r \leq b_{n+1}$ , then  $m_n([0, r]) = 0$ . So, let  $r > b_{n+1}$ . Then there exists unique  $k < n - 1$  such that  $b_{k+1} < r \leq b_k$ .

$$m_n([0, r]) \leq m_n([0, b_k]) = \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n} \quad (18)$$

On the other hand we get

$$|[0, r]|^{h_n} = r^{h_n} \geq \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} b_j - b_{j+1} \right)^{h_n} = b_{k+1}^{h_n} \quad (19)$$

Now, putting those two estimates together we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_n([0, r])}{|[0, r]|^{h_n}} &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=k}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n}}{b_{k+1}^{h_n}} = \frac{\sum_{j=k}^{n-1} (b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n}}{\left( \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} b_j - b_{j+1} \right)^{h_n}} \cdot \frac{\left( \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} b_j - b_{j+1} \right)^{h_n}}{b_{k+1}^{h_n}} = \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{(b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n}}{\left( \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} b_j - b_{j+1} \right)^{h_n}} \cdot \frac{\left( \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} b_j - b_{j+1} \right)^{h_n}}{b_{k+1}^{h_n}} \leq \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{(b_j - b_{j+1})^{h_n}}{\left( \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} b_j - b_{j+1} \right)^{h_n}} \cdot \frac{\left( \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} b_j - b_{j+1} \right)^{h_n}}{b_{k+1}^{h_n}} = \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} w_j^{h_n} \cdot \left( \frac{b_k}{b_{k+1}} \right)^{h_n} \end{aligned}$$



Now, from Lemma 2.15, the sum  $\sum_{j=k}^{n-1} w_j^{h_n}$  is at most  $\frac{1}{(n-1-k+1)^{h_n}}$ , thus

$$\sum_{j=k}^{n-1} w_j^{h_n} \leq (n-1-k+1) \cdot \frac{1}{(n-1-k+1)^{h_n}} \leq n^{1-h_n}$$

As for the second part of the product  $\left(\frac{b_k}{b_{k+1}}\right)^{h_n}$ , we know from Condition (16) that for  $n \geq n_0$ , it is less than or equal to  $1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ . Putting those together with Condition (17), we get

$$\frac{m_n([0, r])}{|[0, r]|^{h_n}} \leq n^{1-h_n} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . □

Now, omitting technical details, we can put together results of Lemma 5.9 and Proposition 5.11 to conduct the rest of the proof using similar ideas as in proof found in [5].

## References

- [1] Kenneth Falconer. *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications, 2nd Edition*. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [2] Doug Hensley. Continued fraction cantor sets, hausdorff dimension, and functional analysis. *Journal of Number Theory*, 40:336–358, 1992.
- [3] Vojtěch Jarník. Zur metrischen theorie der diophantischen approximationen. *Prace Matematyczno-Fizyczne*, 36:91–106, 1928-1929.
- [4] Pertti Mattila. *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces: Fractals and Rectifiability*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [5] Mariusz Urbański and Anna Zdunik. Continuity of the Hausdorff Measure of Continued Fractions and Countable Alphabet Iterated Function Systems. *Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux*, 28:261–286, 2016.