

Delta Operators on Almost Symmetric Functions

Milo Bechtloff Weising

September 24, 2024

Abstract

We construct Δ -operators $F[\Delta]$ on the space of almost symmetric functions \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ . These operators extend the usual Δ -operators on the space of symmetric functions $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$ central to Macdonald theory. The $F[\Delta]$ operators are constructed as certain limits of symmetric functions in the Cherednik operators Y_i and act diagonally on the stable-limit non-symmetric Macdonald functions $\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}(x_1, x_2, \dots; q, t)$. Using properties of Ion-Wu limits, we are able to compute commutation relations for the Δ -operators $F[\Delta]$ and many of the other operators on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ introduced by Ion-Wu. Using these relations we show that there is an action of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ on almost symmetric functions which we show is isomorphic to the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ constructed by González-Gorsky-Simental.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Acknowledgements	2
2	Definitions and Conventions	3
2.1	Non-symmetric Macdonald Polynomials	4
2.2	Stable-Limit DAHA of Ion-Wu	4
2.3	Important Limit Operators	6
3	Constructing Delta Operators on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+	7
3.1	Preliminaries	8
3.2	Proof of Convergence	13
3.3	Commutation Relations	16
3.4	$\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ Action	18

1 Introduction

The symmetric Macdonald functions $P_\lambda[X; q, t]$, introduced by Macdonald [Mac88], are central objects in modern algebraic combinatorics. One of the many characterizations of the symmetric functions $P_\lambda[X; q, t]$ comes from considering the action of the Macdonald operator Δ on the ring of symmetric functions Λ . The $P_\lambda[X; q, t]$ are a Δ -eigenbasis for the ring of symmetric functions Λ with distinct spectrum.

Through the work of Haiman [Hai99] it is known that the Macdonald operator and a variant $\tilde{H}_\lambda[X; q, t]$ of the symmetric Macdonald functions P_λ carry geometric information about the Hilbert schemes $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ of points in \mathbb{C}^2 . This work was extended by Schiffmann-Vasserot in [SV13] where the authors constructed a representation of the positive elliptic Hall algebra \mathcal{E}^+ of Burban-Schiffmann [BS12] on the ring of symmetric functions Λ generated by multiplication operators $p_r[X]^\bullet$ and the Δ -operators $p_\ell[\Delta]$. These Δ -operators act diagonally on the symmetric Macdonald function basis and satisfy many interesting commutation relations encoded by the positive elliptic Hall algebra \mathcal{E}^+ . One may construct these operators directly as stable limits of certain elements of the spherical double affine Hecke algebras in type GL. In particular, the operator $p_1[\Delta] = \Delta$ is essentially the stable limit of operators of the form $Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + Y_n^{(n)}$ acting on symmetric polynomials where $Y_i^{(n)}$ denote the Cherednik operators.

Extending the work of Schiffmann-Vasserot, Carlsson-Gorsky-Mellit constructed an algebra $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ which acts on the equivariant K theory of the parabolic flag Hilbert schemes of points in \mathbb{C}^2 . This algebra is a non-unital quiver path algebra containing many copies of affine Hecke algebras in type GL. A highly related algebra $\mathbb{A}_{q,t}$ introduced by Carlsson-Mellit, called the double Dyck path algebra, was a crucial ingredient in their proof of the Shuffle Theorem [CM18]. By looking at loops at the vertex labelled 0 of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ in the polynomial representation V_\bullet one may recover many of the operators coming from the action of \mathcal{E}^+ on $V_0 = \Lambda$. However, one does not find the Δ -operators in this way. More recently, the authors González-Gorsky-Simental [GGS23] studied a larger algebra $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ which roughly adds in these missing Δ -elements to $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. They construct many representations for this algebra but in particular they show that there is a unique action of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ on V_\bullet which extends the usual action of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ and contains the Δ -operators and their analogues on the equivariant K theory of parabolic flag Hilbert schemes with flags of non-trivial length.

Through the work of Ion-Wu [IW22] we are able to understand $\mathbb{A}_{q,t}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ through the double affine Hecke algebras in type GL. This relationship comes through the existence of the $+$ -stable limit double affine Hecke algebra \mathcal{H} and its action on the ring of almost symmetric functions \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ . This action in a sense globalizes the polynomial representations of $\mathbb{A}_{q,t}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ and is constructed from the polynomial representations of the double affine Hecke algebras in type GL. Importantly, Ion-Wu construct limit Cherednik operators \mathcal{Y}_i which correspond to the action of the z_i elements in $\mathbb{A}_{q,t}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. The process used by Ion-Wu for constructing this algebra \mathcal{H}^+ and its representation on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ is a not the classical stable limit procedure but rather a t -adically enriched version of stability. The additional flexibility in the notion of convergence for sequences of polynomials is enough to make sense of a version of Cherednik theory for the $+$ -stable limit double affine Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}^+ including the existence of a special basis $\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}(x_1, x_2, \dots; q, t)$ for \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ of weight vectors for the limit Cherednik operators \mathcal{Y} [BW23]. However, the spectrum of the limit Cherednik operators \mathcal{Y} is far from simple which each nonzero weight space being infinite dimensional. To remedy this issue the author constructed a new operator Ψ_{p_1} on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ extending the usual Macdonald operator on $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$ which commutes with the limit Cherednik operators \mathcal{Y} and refines the nonzero \mathcal{Y} -weight spaces to be 1 dimensional. This operator is constructed as an Ion-Wu operator limit of the operators $t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}$ acting on finite variable polynomials. The author conjectured that a similar operator may be constructed for any symmetric function F i.e. that the sequence $F[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}]$ converges in the sense of Ion-Wu to an operator on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ .

In this paper we confirm the author's conjecture from [BW23] and construct a family of operators $F[\Delta]$ on the ring of almost symmetric functions \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ built as Ion-Wu limits of symmetric polynomials in the Cherednik operators (Theorem 3.10). These operators act diagonally in the $\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}$ basis and extend the action of the Δ -operators on $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$. The proof that these sequences of symmetric polynomials in the Cherednik operators converge as operators is highly technical and involves understanding the action of the operators $F[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}]$ on partially symmetric polynomials in finitely many variables. Note that this is more involved than understanding the action of symmetric polynomials in the Cherednik operators on symmetric polynomials which is well understood. Importantly, the $F[\Delta]$ cannot be expressed entirely in terms of the limit Cherednik operators \mathcal{Y} . We will obtain an explicit expansion (Proposition 3.9) of the operators $e_r[\Delta]$ acting on each of the subspaces $x_1 \cdots x_k \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$, which depends on k , in terms of the operators \mathcal{Y}_i , ϵ_k , and π . Using properties of Ion-Wu operator limits we compute some commutation relations (Propositions 3.11) between the $F[\Delta]$ and the operators \mathcal{Y}_i , T_i , $\tilde{\pi}$, and ϵ_k . At the end of the paper we will use these commutation relations to show that there exists an action of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ on $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} x_1 \cdots x_k \mathcal{P}(k)^+$ which we show is isomorphic to the polynomial representation V_\bullet of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ constructed by González-Gorsky-Simental (Corollary 3.17). This result shows that we may consider the Δ -operators on V_\bullet in [GGS23] as limits of symmetric polynomials in the Cherednik operators.

1.1 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank their advisor Monica Vazirani for her continued support. The author would also like to thank Nicolle González, José Simental, and Eugene Gorsky for helpful conversations about $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$.

2 Definitions and Conventions

We present here the conventions that will be used in this paper for the double affine Hecke algebra of type GL . Take note of the quadratic relation $(T_i - 1)(T_i + t) = 0$ which has been chosen to match with the conventions in [IW22] and the author's prior work [BW23]. Some of the other notation has been slightly altered to align with other works of the author.

Definition 2.1. Define the *double affine Hecke algebra* \mathcal{H}_n to be the $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ -algebra generated by T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} , $X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}$, and $Y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, Y_n^{\pm 1}$ with the following relations:

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>(i) $(T_i - 1)(T_i + t) = 0$,
 $T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}$,
 $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$, $i - j > 1$,</p> <p>(ii) $T_i^{-1} X_i T_i^{-1} = t^{-1} X_{i+1}$,
 $T_i X_j = X_j T_i$, $j \notin \{i, i + 1\}$,
 $X_i X_j = X_j X_i$,</p> | <p>(iii) $T_i Y_i T_i = t Y_{i+1}$,
 $T_i Y_j = Y_j T_i$, $j \notin \{i, i + 1\}$,
 $Y_i Y_j = Y_j Y_i$,</p> <p>(iv) $Y_1 T_1 X_1 = X_2 Y_1 T_1$,</p> <p>(v) $Y_1 X_1 \cdots X_n = q X_1 \cdots X_n Y_1$</p> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Further, define the special elements $\pi_n, \tilde{\pi}_n$ by

- $\pi_n := Y_1 T_1 \cdots T_{n-1}$
- $\tilde{\pi}_n := X_1 T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1}$.

This conveniently allows us to write

$$Y_1 = \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_1^{-1}.$$

When required we will write $Y_i^{(n)}$ for the element Y_i in \mathcal{H}_n to differentiate between the element $Y_i^{(m)}$ in a different \mathcal{H}_m for $n \neq m$.

The following commutation relations may be verified directly:

- $Y_1 \tilde{\pi}_n = \tilde{\pi}_n q Y_n$
- $Y_{i+1} \tilde{\pi}_n = \tilde{\pi}_n Y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

Definition 2.2. For all $0 \leq k < n$ define the element $\epsilon_k^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}_n$ as

$$\epsilon_k^{(n)} := \frac{1}{[n - k]_t!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n - k)}} t^{\binom{n - k}{2} - \ell(\sigma)} T_\sigma.$$

Here $\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n - k)}$ is the Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n corresponding to the composition $(1^k, n - k)$, $T_\sigma = T_{s_{i_1}} \cdots T_{s_{i_r}}$ whenever $\sigma = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ is a reduced word representing σ , and $[m]_t! := \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1 - t^i}{1 - t}\right)$ is the t -factorial. We will simply write $\epsilon^{(n)}$ for $\epsilon_0^{(n)}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathbb{Q}(q, t)[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$. The *standard representation* of \mathcal{H}_n is given by the following action on \mathcal{P}_n :

- $T_i f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = s_i f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (1 - t) x_i \frac{1 - s_i}{x_i - x_{i+1}} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- $X_i f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- $\pi_n f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_2, \dots, x_n, q x_1)$

Here s_i denotes the operator that swaps the variables x_i and x_{i+1} . Under this action the T_i operators are known as the *Demazure-Lusztig operators*. For q, t generic \mathcal{P}_n is known to be a faithful representation of \mathcal{H}_n . The action of the elements $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ are called *Cherednik operators*.

Set \mathcal{H}_n^+ to be the positive part of \mathcal{H}_n i.e. the subalgebra generated by T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} , X_1, \dots, X_n , and Y_1, \dots, Y_n without allowing for inverses in the X_i and Y_i elements and set $\mathcal{P}_n^+ = \mathbb{Q}(q, t)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Importantly, \mathcal{P}_n^+ is a \mathcal{H}_n^+ -submodule of \mathcal{P}_n .

2.1 Non-symmetric Macdonald Polynomials

Before discussing non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials we must first review some basic combinatorial definitions.

Definition 2.4. In this paper, a *composition* will refer to a finite tuple $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ of non-negative integers. We allow for the empty composition \emptyset with no parts. We will let Comp denote the set of all compositions. The length of a composition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ is $\ell(\mu) = n$ and the size of the composition is $|\mu| = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n$. As a convention we will set $\ell(\emptyset) = 0$ and $|\emptyset| = 0$. We say that a composition μ is *reduced* if $\mu = \emptyset$ or $\mu_{\ell(\mu)} \neq 0$. We will let Comp^{red} denote the set of all reduced compositions. Given two compositions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$, define $\mu * \beta = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$. A *partition* is a composition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 1$. Note that vacuously we allow for the empty partition \emptyset . We denote the set of all partitions by Par . We denote $\text{sort}(\mu)$ to be the partition obtained by ordering the nonzero elements of μ in weakly decreasing order. The dominance ordering for partitions is defined by $\lambda \trianglelefteq \nu$ if for all $i \geq 1$, $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i \leq \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_i$ where we set $\lambda_i = 0$ whenever $i > \ell(\lambda)$ and similarly for ν . If $\lambda \trianglelefteq \nu$ and $\lambda \neq \nu$, we will write $\lambda \triangleleft \nu$. We will let Φ denote the set $\text{Comp}^{\text{red}} \times \text{Par}$ of all pairs $(\mu|\lambda)$ with $\mu \in \text{Comp}^{\text{red}}$ and $\lambda \in \text{Par}$.

We will in a few instances use the notation $\mathbb{1}(p)$ to denote the value 1 if the statement p is true and 0 otherwise. If μ is any composition with size n we let $\mathfrak{S}_n/\mathfrak{S}_\mu$ denote the corresponding set of all minimal length left coset representatives.

In line with the conventions in [HHL08] we define the Bruhat order on the type GL_n weight lattice \mathbb{Z}^n as follows.

Definition 2.5. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We define the *Bruhat ordering* on \mathbb{Z}^n , written simply by $<$, by first defining cover relations for the ordering and then taking their transitive closure. If $i < j$ such that $\alpha_i < \alpha_j$ then we say $\alpha > (ij)(\alpha)$ and additionally if $\alpha_j - \alpha_i > 1$ then $(ij)(\alpha) > \alpha + e_i - e_j$ where (ij) denotes the transposition swapping i and j .

Definition 2.6. The *non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials* (for GL_n) are a family of Laurent polynomials $E_\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ uniquely determined by the following:

- Triangularity: Each E_μ has a monomial expansion of the form $E_\mu = x^\mu + \sum_{\lambda < \mu} a_\lambda x^\lambda$
- Weight Vector: Each E_μ is a weight vector for the operators $Y_1^{(n)}, \dots, Y_n^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}_n$.

The non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials are a $Y^{(n)}$ -weight basis for the \mathcal{H}_n standard representation \mathcal{P}_n . For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, E_μ is homogeneous with degree $\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n$. Further, the set of E_μ corresponding to $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ gives a basis for \mathcal{P}_n^+ .

2.2 Stable-Limit DAHA of Ion-Wu

As the index n varies, the standard \mathcal{H}_n representations, \mathcal{P}_n , fail to form a direct/inverse system of compatible \mathcal{H}_n representations. However, as the authors Ion and Wu investigate in [IW22], this sequence of representations is compatible enough to allow for the construction of a limiting representation for a new algebra resembling a direct limit of the double affine Hecke algebras of type GL . We will start by giving the definition of this algebra.

Definition 2.7. [IW22] The *+stable-limit double affine Hecke algebra* of Ion and Wu, \mathcal{H}^+ , is the algebra generated over $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ by the elements T_i, X_i, Y_i for $i \geq 1$ satisfying the following relations:

- The generators T_i, X_i for $i \geq 1$ satisfy (i) and (ii) of Defn. 2.1.
- The generators T_i, Y_i for $i \geq 1$ satisfy (i) and (iii) of Defn. 2.1.
- $Y_1 T_1 X_1 = X_2 Y_1 T_1$.

Note that X_i, Y_i are not invertible in \mathcal{H}^+ .

Definition 2.8. Define the *ring of symmetric functions* Λ as the inverse limit of the graded rings $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ with respect to the homogeneous quotient maps $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$ in the category of graded rings. We will write $X := x_1 + x_2 + \dots$ and use plethystic notation $F(x_1, x_2, \dots) = F[X]$. Define for $\ell \geq 1$ the *power sum symmetric function* $p_\ell[X]$ by

$$p_\ell[X] := \sum_{i \geq 1} x_i^\ell.$$

For $r \geq 1$ define the *elementary symmetric function* $e_r[X]$ as

$$e_r[X] := \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}.$$

As a convention we set $e_0[X] := 1$. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is a partition define $e_\lambda[X] := e_{\lambda_1}[X] \cdots e_{\lambda_r}[X]$. For a partition λ define the *monomial symmetric function* $m_\lambda[X]$ by

$$m_\lambda[X] := \sum_{\mu} x^\mu$$

where we range over all distinct monomials x^μ such that $\sigma(\mu) = \lambda$ for some permutation σ .

Remark 1. It is a classical result that the sets $\{e_\lambda[X]\}_{\lambda \in \text{Par}}$ and $\{m_\lambda[X]\}_{\lambda \in \text{Par}}$ are $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ -bases for Λ . In fact,

$$\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Q}(q, t)[e_1, e_2, \dots].$$

Definition 2.9. [IW22] Let \mathcal{P}_∞^+ denote the inverse limit of the rings \mathcal{P}_k^+ with respect to the homomorphisms $\Xi_k : \mathcal{P}_{k+1}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_k^+$ which send x_{k+1} to 0 at each step. We can naturally extend Ξ_k to a map $\mathcal{P}_\infty^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_k$ which will be given the same name. Let $\mathcal{P}(k)^+ := \mathbb{Q}(q, t)[x_1, \dots, x_k] \otimes \Lambda[x_{k+1} + x_{k+2} + \dots] \subset \mathcal{P}_\infty^+$. Define the *ring of almost symmetric functions* by $\mathcal{P}_{as}^+ := \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(k)^+$. Note $\mathcal{P}_{as}^+ \subset \mathcal{P}_\infty^+$. Define $\rho : \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ \rightarrow x_1 \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$ to be the linear map defined by $\rho(x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} F[x_m + x_{m+1} + \dots]) = \mathbb{1}(a_1 > 0) x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} F[x_m + x_{m+1} + \dots]$ for $F \in \Lambda$. Note that ρ restricts to maps $\mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow x_1 \mathcal{P}_n$ which are compatible with the quotient maps Ξ_n .

The ring \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ is a free graded Λ -module with homogeneous basis given simply by the set of monomials x^μ with μ reduced. Therefore, \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ has a homogeneous $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ basis given by all $x^\mu m_\lambda[X]$ ranging over all reduced compositions μ and partitions λ . Further, the dimension of the homogeneous degree d part of $\mathcal{P}(k)^+$ is equal to the number of pairs $(\mu|\lambda)$ of reduced compositions μ and partitions λ with $|\mu| + |\lambda| = d$ and $\ell(\mu) \leq k$.

In order to define the operators required for Ion and Wu's main construction we must first review the new definition of convergence introduced in [IW22].

Definition 2.10. [IW22] Let $(f_m)_{m \geq 1}$ be a sequence of polynomials with $f_m \in \mathcal{P}_m^+$. Then the sequence $(f_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is *convergent* if there exist some N and auxiliary sequences $(h_m)_{m \geq 1}$, $(g_m^{(i)})_{m \geq 1}$, and $(a_m^{(i)})_{m \geq 1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ with $h_m, g_m^{(i)} \in \mathcal{P}_m^+$, $a_m^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ with the following properties:

- For all m , $f_m = h_m + \sum_{i=1}^N a_m^{(i)} g_m^{(i)}$.
- The sequences $(h_m)_{m \geq 1}$, $(g_m^{(i)})_{m \geq 1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ converge in \mathcal{P}_∞^+ with limits $h, g^{(i)}$ respectively. That is to say, $\Xi_m(h_{m+1}) = h_m$ and $\Xi_m(g_{m+1}^{(i)}) = g_m^{(i)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $m \geq 1$. Further, we require $g^{(i)} \in \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$.
- The sequences $a_m^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ converge with respect to the t -adic topology on $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ with limits $a^{(i)}$ which are required to be in $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$.

The sequence is said to have a limit given by $\lim_m f_m = h + \sum_{i=1}^N a^{(i)} g^{(i)}$.

Remark 2. In this paper we will be entirely concerned with convergent sequences $(f_m)_{m \geq 1}$ with almost symmetric limits $\lim_m f_m \in \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$. In this case it follows readily from definition that each of these convergent sequences necessarily will have the form

$$f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i^{(m)} x^{\mu^{(i)}} F_i[x_1 + \dots + x_m]$$

where $N \geq 1$ is fixed, $c_i^{(m)}$ are convergent sequences of scalars with $\lim_m c_i^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Q}(q, t)$, F_i are symmetric functions, and $\mu^{(i)}$ are compositions. Here we will consider $x^{\mu^{(i)}} = 0$ in \mathcal{P}_m whenever $\ell(\mu^{(i)}) > m$.

Definition 2.11. [IW22] For $m \geq 1$ suppose A_m is an operator on \mathcal{P}_m^+ . The sequence $(A_m)_{m \geq 1}$ of operators is said to *converge* if for every $f \in \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$ the sequence $(A_m(\Xi_m(f)))_{m \geq 1}$ converges to an element of \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ . From [IW22] the corresponding operator on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ given by $A(f) := \lim_m A_m(\Xi_m(f))$ is well defined and said to be the limit of the sequence $(A_m)_{m \geq 1}$. In this case we will simply write $A = \lim_m A_m$.

The following important technical proposition of Ion and Wu will be used repeatedly in this paper.

Proposition 2.12. [Prop. 6.21 [IW22]] If $A = \lim_m A_m$ and $f = \lim_m f_m$ are limit operators and limit functions respectively then $A(f) = \lim_m A_m(f_m)$.

This is a sort of continuity statement for convergent sequences of operators. The utility of the above proposition is that for an operator arising as the limit of finite variable operators, $A = \lim_m A_m$ say, we can use *any* sequence $(f_m)_{m \geq 1}$ converging to $f \in \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$ in order to calculate $A(f)$.

It is easy to verify the following proposition using Proposition 2.12.

Proposition 2.13. [IW22] If $A = \lim_m A_m$ and $B = \lim_m B_m$ then $AB = \lim_m A_m B_m$.

2.3 Important Limit Operators

In this section we detail the construction of some of the important operators on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ which will be required later. The fact that the operators as presented below are in fact well defined is non-trivial and follows from [IW22] and [BW23].

Definition 2.14. We define the operators $X_i, T_i, \mathcal{Y}_i, \pi, \tilde{\pi}$ on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ by the following limits:

- $X_i := \lim_n X_i$
- $T_i := \lim_n T_i$
- $\epsilon_k := \lim_n \epsilon_k^{(n)}$
- $\mathcal{Y}_i := \lim_n t^{n-i+1} T_{i-1} \cdots T_1 \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1}$
- $\pi := \lim_n \pi_n$
- $\tilde{\pi} := \lim_n \tilde{\pi}_n$.

We may explicitly describe the action of some of these operators:

- $X_i(f) = x_i f$
- $T_i(f) = s_i f + (1-t)x_i \frac{f - s_i f}{x_i - x_{i+1}}$
- $\pi(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} F[X]) = x_2^{a_1} \cdots x_{k+1}^{a_k} F[X + (q-1)x_1]$
- $\tilde{\pi}(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} F[X]) = x_1 T_1^{-1} \cdots T_k^{-1} x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} F[X]$.

The operators \mathcal{Y}_i were originally constructed by Ion-Wu and are known as the *limit Cherednik operators*. They are limits of the *deformed Cherednik operators* $\tilde{Y}_i^{(n)} := t^{n-i+1} T_{i-1} \cdots T_1 \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1}$ which have the important property that

$$\tilde{Y}_i^{(n)} X_i = t^n Y_i^{(n)} X_i.$$

It is non-trivial that not only are the \mathcal{Y}_i operators well defined, but that they in fact mutually commute i.e. $[\mathcal{Y}_i, \mathcal{Y}_j] = 0$.

It is a result of Ion-Wu that the following representation of \mathcal{H}^+ is well defined.

Definition 2.15. [IW22] The standard representation of \mathcal{H}^+ consists of \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ with the action generated by the operators X_i, \mathcal{Y}_i, T_i .

We may give an explicit description of the action of the limit Cherednik operators \mathcal{Y}_i using the stable-limit non-symmetric Macdonald functions.

Definition 2.16. [BW23] For $(\mu|\lambda) \in \Phi$ define the stable-limit non-symmetric Macdonald function $\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}$ as

$$\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}[x_1, x_2, \dots; q, t] := \lim_n \epsilon_{\ell(\mu)}^{(n)} (E_{\mu * \lambda * 0^{n - (\ell(\mu) + \ell(\lambda))}}(x_1, \dots, x_n; q, t)).$$

The following gives an explicit characterization of the action of the \mathcal{Y}_i on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ .

Theorem 2.17. [BW23] The $\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}$ are a \mathcal{Y} -weight basis for \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ . Further, we have that

$$\mathcal{Y}_i(\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}) = \tilde{\alpha}_{(\mu|\lambda)}(i) \tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_{(\mu|\lambda)}(i)$ is given explicitly by

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{(\mu|\lambda)}(i) = \begin{cases} q^{\mu_i} t^{\ell(\mu) + \ell(\lambda) + 1 - \beta_{\mu*\lambda}(i)} & i \leq \ell(\mu), \mu_i \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_\nu(i) := \#\{j : 1 \leq j \leq i, \nu_j \leq \nu_i\} + \#\{j : i < j \leq \ell(\nu), \nu_i > \nu_j\}.$$

Example. We can see directly that $\mathfrak{Y}_1(\tilde{E}_{(1|\emptyset)}) = qt\tilde{E}_{(1|\emptyset)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Y}_1(\tilde{E}_{(1|\emptyset)}) &= \mathfrak{Y}_1(x_1) \\ &= \lim_n t^n \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_1^{-1}(x_1) \\ &= \lim_n t^n \rho \pi_n (t^{-(n-1)} x_n) \\ &= \lim_n qt \rho(x_1) \\ &= \lim_n qt x_1 \\ &= qt x_1. \end{aligned}$$

3 Constructing Delta Operators on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+

We begin by recalling the definition of the operator $\Psi_{p_1} : \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{as}^+$ from the author's prior work. We opt to rename this operator $\Delta := \Psi_{p_1}$ to better align with the notation used in Macdonald theory.

Definition 3.1. For a symmetric function F define the operators $\Psi_F^{(n)} : \mathcal{P}_n^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n^+$ by

$$\Psi_F^{(n)} := F[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}].$$

For $\nu \in \text{Par}$ we denote

$$\kappa_\nu(q, t) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{\nu_i} t^i \in \mathbb{Q}(q, t).$$

Theorem 3.2. [BW23] The sequence of operators $(\Psi_{p_1}^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges to an operator Δ on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ with the following properties:

- $\Delta(\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}) = \kappa_{\text{sort}(\mu*\lambda)}(q, t) \tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}$
- $[\Delta, \mathfrak{Y}_i] = 0$
- $[\Delta, T_i] = 0$
- The joint $\Delta, \mathfrak{Y}_1, \mathfrak{Y}_2, \dots$ weight spaces in \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ are all 1-dimensional.
-

$$\Delta|_{x_1 \cdots x_k \mathcal{P}^{(k)+}} = \mathfrak{Y}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{Y}_k + \frac{t}{1-t} \epsilon_k T_k \cdots T_1 \pi.$$

Remark 3. The last property of the operator Δ listed above is not explicitly stated in [BW23] but follows from the proof of Theorem 3.2 and the fact that for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathfrak{Y}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{Y}_k + \frac{t}{1-t} \epsilon_k T_k \cdots T_1 \pi) T_i \\
&= (\mathfrak{Y}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{Y}_k) T_i + \frac{t}{1-t} \epsilon_k T_k \cdots T_1 \pi T_i \\
&= T_i (\mathfrak{Y}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{Y}_k) + \frac{t}{1-t} \epsilon_k T_k \cdots T_1 T_{i+1} \pi \\
&= T_i (\mathfrak{Y}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{Y}_k) + \frac{t}{1-t} \epsilon_k T_i T_k \cdots T_1 \pi \\
&= T_i (\mathfrak{Y}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{Y}_k) + T_i \frac{t}{1-t} \epsilon_k T_k \cdots T_1 \pi \\
&= T_i (\mathfrak{Y}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{Y}_k + \frac{t}{1-t} \epsilon_k T_k \cdots T_1 \pi).
\end{aligned}$$

This fact will be generalized in Theorem 3.10.

Remark 4. The operator Δ cannot be expressed entirely in terms of the limit Cherednik operators \mathfrak{Y}_i and in particular,

$$\Delta \neq \mathfrak{Y}_1 + \mathfrak{Y}_2 + \mathfrak{Y}_3 + \dots$$

It is easy to see this since each of the operators \mathfrak{Y}_i annihilates Λ whereas Δ acts diagonally on Λ with non-zero eigenvalues. This is very different than the finite variable situation where the action of the finite variable Macdonald operator is roughly given by $Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + Y_n^{(n)}$.

At the end of the author's prior paper [BW23] it is conjectured that for any symmetric function $F \in \Lambda$ the sequence of operators $(\Psi_F^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges to an operator on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ . An affirmation of this conjecture has direct implications related to the conjectural partially symmetric elliptic Hall algebras mentioned by Carlsson-Mellit in [CM18] and the extended double Dyck path algebra $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ of González-Gorsky-Simental in [GG23].

The main purpose of this section is to give a proof of this conjecture. The proof involves a detailed computation which will be done in stages. We will start with some of the required preliminaries.

3.1 Preliminaries

There are a few elementary technical results we will need before we are able to prove the main result of this section Theorem 3.10.

For the remainder of this section we consider n, k, r with $n > k + r \geq 1$. We begin by expressing certain partially symmetric polynomials in the Cherednik elements Y_i in terms of products of consecutive Cherednik elements.

Lemma 3.3.

$$e_r \left[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} \right] = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{-\ell(\sigma)} T_\sigma t^{rn} Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \cdots Y_{k+r}^{(n)} T_{\sigma^{-1}}$$

Proof. Notice that for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}$ the values $\sigma(k+1), \dots, \sigma(k+r)$ satisfy $k+1 \leq \sigma(k+1) < \dots < \sigma(k+r) \leq n$ and uniquely determine σ . As such there is a natural bijection $\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)} \rightarrow \{(i_1, \dots, i_r) \mid k+1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n-k\}$ given by $\sigma \rightarrow (\sigma(k+1), \dots, \sigma(k+r))$. Hence, it suffices to show that for all such σ

$$Y_{\sigma(k+1)}^{(n)} \cdots Y_{\sigma(k+r)}^{(n)} = t^{-\ell(\sigma)} T_\sigma Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \cdots Y_{k+r}^{(n)} T_{\sigma^{-1}}.$$

We proceed by induction on the Bruhat order on $\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}$. Clearly, this formula holds for $\sigma = 1$.

Suppose $k+1 = i_0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq i_{r+1} = n$ with $i_{j+1} - i_j > 1$ for some $0 \leq j \leq r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{i_1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{i_{j-1}}^{(n)} Y_{(i_j)+1}^{(n)} Y_{i_{j+1}}^{(n)} Y_{i_{j+2}}^{(n)} \dots Y_n^{(n)} \\ &= Y_{i_1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{i_{j-1}}^{(n)} (t^{-1} T_{i_j} Y_{i_j}^{(n)} T_{i_j}) Y_{i_{j+1}}^{(n)} Y_{i_{j+2}}^{(n)} \dots Y_n^{(n)} \\ &= t^{-1} T_{i_j} Y_{i_1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{i_r}^{(n)} T_{i_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}$ are the unique elements with $\sigma(k+\ell) = i_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ and $\sigma' = s_{i_j} \sigma$. Suppose that $Y_{\sigma(k+1)}^{(n)} \dots Y_{\sigma(k+r)}^{(n)} = t^{-\ell(\sigma)} T_\sigma Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{k+r}^{(n)} T_{\sigma^{-1}}$. Then from the above we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{\sigma'(k+1)}^{(n)} \dots Y_{\sigma'(k+r)}^{(n)} \\ &= Y_{i_1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{i_{j-1}}^{(n)} Y_{i_j+1}^{(n)} Y_{i_{j+1}}^{(n)} Y_{i_{j+2}}^{(n)} \dots Y_n^{(n)} \\ &= t^{-1} T_{i_j} Y_{i_1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{i_r}^{(n)} T_{i_j} \\ &= t^{-1} T_{i_j} Y_{\sigma(k+1)}^{(n)} \dots Y_{\sigma(k+r)}^{(n)} T_{i_j} \\ &= t^{-(1+\ell(\sigma))} T_{i_j} T_\sigma Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{k+r}^{(n)} T_{\sigma^{-1}} T_{i_j} \\ &= t^{-\ell(\sigma')} T_{\sigma'} Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{k+r}^{(n)} T_{(\sigma')^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we may write a product of consecutive Cherednik elements in terms of π_n .

Lemma 3.4.

$$t^{rn} Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{k+r}^{(n)} = t^{(n-k)+\dots+(n-k-r+1)} (T_k \dots T_1) (T_{k+1} \dots T_2) \dots (T_{k+r-1} \dots T_r) \pi_n^r (T_{n-r}^{-1} \dots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \dots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r+1}^{-1})$$

Proof. We will show this result by induction. For $r = 1$ we see that

$$t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} = t^{n-k} T_k \dots T_1 \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+1}^{-1}.$$

Now we find

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{(r+1)n} Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{k+r+1}^{(n)} \\ &= t^{rn} Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{k+r}^{(n)} t^n Y_{k+r+1}^{(n)} \\ &= t^{(n-k)+\dots+(n-k-r+1)} (T_k \dots T_1) \dots (T_{k+r-1} \dots T_r) \pi_n^r (T_{n-r}^{-1} \dots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \dots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r}^{-1}) t^n Y_{k+r+1}^{(n)} \\ &= t^{(n-k)+\dots+(n-k-r+1)} (T_k \dots T_1) \dots (T_{k+r-1} \dots T_r) \pi_n^r (T_{n-r}^{-1} \dots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \dots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r}^{-1}) \left(t^{n-k-r} T_{k+r} \dots T_1 \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r+1}^{-1} \right) \\ &= t^{(n-k)+\dots+(n-k-r)} (T_k \dots T_1) \dots (T_{k+r-1} \dots T_r) \pi_n^r (T_{n-r}^{-1} \dots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \dots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r}^{-1}) T_{k+r} \dots T_1 \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r+1}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Looking closer we see

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_{n-r}^{-1} \dots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \dots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r}^{-1}) T_{k+r} \dots T_1 \\ &= (T_{n-r}^{-1} \dots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \dots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r+1}^{-1}) T_{k+r-1} \dots T_1 \\ &= (T_{n-r}^{-1} \dots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \dots (T_{n-2}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r-1}^{-1}) T_{k+r-1} \dots T_1 (T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r+1}^{-1}) \\ &= \dots \\ &= (T_k \dots T_1) (T_{n-r}^{-1} \dots T_{k+2}^{-1}) \dots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r+1}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (T_k \cdots T_1) \cdots (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) \pi_n^r (T_{n-r}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \cdots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+r}^{-1}) T_{k+r} \cdots T_1 \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+r+1}^{-1} \\
&= (T_k \cdots T_1) \cdots (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) \pi_n^r (T_k \cdots T_1) (T_{n-r}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+2}^{-1}) \cdots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+r+1}^{-1}) \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+r+1}^{-1} \\
&= (T_k \cdots T_1) \cdots (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) (T_{k+r} \cdots T_{r+1}) \pi_n^r (T_{n-r}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+2}^{-1}) \cdots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+r+1}^{-1}) \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+r+1}^{-1} \\
&= (T_k \cdots T_1) \cdots (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) (T_{k+r} \cdots T_{r+1}) \pi_n^{r+1} (T_{n-r-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \cdots (T_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+r}^{-1}) (T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+r+1}^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& t^{(r+1)n} Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \cdots Y_{k+r+1}^{(n)} \\
&= t^{(n-k) + \dots + (n-k-r)} (T_k \cdots T_1) \cdots (T_{k+r} \cdots T_{r+1}) \pi_n^{r+1} (T_{n-r-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \cdots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{k+r+1}^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

We need the following standard result.

Lemma 3.5.

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2} - \binom{n-k-r}{2} - \binom{r}{2} - \ell(\sigma)} = \frac{[n-k]_t!}{[n-k-r]_t! [r]_t!}$$

Proof. We see the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [n-k]_t! \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2} - \ell(\sigma)} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2} - \ell(\sigma\gamma)} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2} - \ell(\sigma) - \ell(\gamma)} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2} - \binom{n-k-r}{2} - \binom{r}{2} - \ell(\sigma)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{\binom{n-k-r}{2} + \binom{r}{2} - \ell(\gamma)} \\
&= [n-k-r]_t! [r]_t! \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)} / \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2} - \binom{n-k-r}{2} - \binom{r}{2} - \ell(\sigma)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using the prior lemmas in this section now shows the following:

Lemma 3.6.

$$e_r \left[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} \right] \epsilon_k^{(n)} = t^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-r+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^r} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k \cdots T_1) (T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)}.$$

Proof. Putting together Lemmas 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5 we get the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_r \left[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} \right] \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= e_r \left[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} \right] (\epsilon_k^{(n)})^2 \\
&= \epsilon_k^{(n)} e_r \left[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} \right] \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}/\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{-\ell(\sigma)} \epsilon_k^{(n)} T_\sigma t^{rn} Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{k+r}^{(n)} T_{\sigma^{-1}} \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}/\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{-\ell(\sigma)} \epsilon_k^{(n)} t^{rn} Y_{k+1}^{(n)} \dots Y_{k+r}^{(n)} \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}/\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{-\ell(\sigma)} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} t^{(n-k)+\dots+(n-k-r+1)} (T_k \dots T_1)(T_{k+1} \dots T_2) \dots (T_{k+r-1} \dots T_r) \pi_n^r \\
&\quad \times (T_{n-r}^{-1} \dots T_{k+1}^{-1}) \dots (T_{n-1}^{-1} \dots T_{k+r}^{-1}) \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}/\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{-\ell(\sigma)} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} t^{(n-k)+\dots+(n-k-r+1)} (T_k \dots T_1)(T_{k+1} \dots T_2) \dots (T_{k+r-1} \dots T_r) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= t^{\binom{r}{2}+r} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}/\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, r, n-k-r)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2}-\binom{n-k-r}{2}-\binom{r}{2}-\ell(\sigma)} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k \dots T_1)(T_{k+1} \dots T_2) \dots (T_{k+r-1} \dots T_r) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= t^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \frac{[n-k]t!}{[n-k-r]t![r]t!} \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k \dots T_1)(T_{k+1} \dots T_2) \dots (T_{k+r-1} \dots T_r) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= t^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-r+1}}{1-t} \right) \dots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^r} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k \dots T_1)(T_{k+1} \dots T_2) \dots (T_{k+r-1} \dots T_r) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5. Note that Lemma 3.6 shows that in any \mathcal{H}_n^+ module V , the operators $e_r \left[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} \right]$ preserve the subspace $\epsilon_k^{(n)} V$.

The next result will be important for the proof of Theorem 3.9 where we will need to argue that the operator $e_r \left[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} \right]$ preserves the space $x_1 \dots x_k \mathbb{Q}(q, t)[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}}$ in the polynomial representation of \mathcal{H}_n^+ .

Lemma 3.7.

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_r \left[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} \right] \epsilon_k^{(n)} X_1 \dots X_k \\
&= X_1 \dots X_k t^{rk+\binom{r+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-r+1}}{1-t} \right) \dots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^r} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k^{-1} \dots T_1^{-1})(T_{k+1}^{-1} \dots T_2^{-1}) \dots (T_{k+r-1}^{-1} \dots T_r^{-1}) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_r \left[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} \right] \epsilon_k^{(n)} X_1 \cdots X_k \\
&= t^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-r+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^r} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)} X_1 \cdots X_k \\
&= t^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-r+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^r} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) \pi_n^r X_1 \cdots X_k \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= t^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-r+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^r} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) X_{r+1} \cdots X_{k+r} \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r X_{r+1} \cdots X_{r+k} \\
&= T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_{r+1} (T_r X_{r+1}) X_{r+2} \cdots X_{r+k} \\
&= T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_{r+1} (t X_r T_r^{-1}) X_{r+2} \cdots X_{r+k} \\
&= t T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_{r+1} X_r X_{r+2} \cdots X_{r+k} T_r^{-1} \\
&= t X_r T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_{r+1} X_{r+2} \cdots X_{r+k} T_r^{-1} \\
&= t X_r T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_{r+2} t X_{r+1} T_{r+1}^{-1} X_{r+3} \cdots X_{r+k} T_r^{-1} \\
&= t^2 X_r X_{r+1} T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_{r+2} X_{r+3} \cdots X_{r+k} T_{r+1}^{-1} T_r^{-1} \\
&= \dots \\
&= t^k X_r \cdots X_{r+k-1} T_{k+r-1}^{-1} \cdots T_r^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying this argument repeatedly we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (T_k \cdots T_1) \cdots (T_{k+r-2} \cdots T_{r-1}) (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) X_{r+1} \cdots X_{k+r} \\
&= t^k (T_k \cdots T_1) \cdots (T_{k+r-2} \cdots T_{r-1}) X_r \cdots X_{k+r-1} (T_{k+r-1}^{-1} \cdots T_r^{-1}) \\
&= \dots \\
&= t^{rk} X_1 \cdots X_k (T_k^{-1} \cdots T_1^{-1}) \cdots (T_{k+r-1}^{-1} \cdots T_r^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

so therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& t^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-r+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^r} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+r-1} \cdots T_r) X_{r+1} \cdots X_{k+r} \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= t^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-r+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^r} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} t^{rk} X_1 \cdots X_k (T_k^{-1} \cdots T_1^{-1}) \cdots (T_{k+r-1}^{-1} \cdots T_r^{-1}) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&= X_1 \cdots X_k t^{rk+\binom{r+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-r+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^r} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} (T_k^{-1} \cdots T_1^{-1}) \cdots (T_{k+r-1}^{-1} \cdots T_r^{-1}) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lastly, we have the standard coproduct formula for the elementary symmetric functions.

Lemma 3.8. $e_r[X + Y] = \sum_{s=0}^r e_s[X] e_{r-s}[Y]$.

Proof. This result is standard. □

3.2 Proof of Convergence

We will now use all of the lemmas proven above to show the following result:

Proposition 3.9. For $r \geq 1$ the sequence of operators $(\Psi_{e_r}^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges to an operator $e_r[\Delta]$ on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ . The operators $e_r[\Delta]$ satisfy the following properties:

- $e_r[\Delta](\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}) = e_r[\kappa_{\text{sort}(\mu*\lambda)}(q, t)]\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}$
- $[e_r[\Delta], \mathcal{Y}_i] = 0$
- $[e_r[\Delta], T_i] = 0$
- $[e_r[\Delta], e_s[\Delta]] = 0$
-

$$e_r[\Delta]|_{x_1 \dots x_k \mathcal{P}^{(k)+}} = \sum_{s=0}^r \left[\prod_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{t^i}{1-t^i} \right) \right] e_{r-s}(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_k) \epsilon_k(T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s) \pi^s.$$

Proof. The structure of the following argument is similar to the proof of Theorem 59 in [BW23].

Notice that every element of \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ is a finite $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ -linear combination of terms of the form $T_\sigma x^\lambda F[X]$ where σ is a permutation, λ is a partition, and $F \in \Lambda$. Therefore, to show that the sequence of operators $(\Psi_{e_r}^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges it suffices to show that sequences of the form

$$(\Psi_{e_r}^{(n)}(T_\sigma x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]))_{n \geq 1}$$

converge. For n sufficiently large, T_σ commutes with $\Psi_{e_r}^{(n)} = e_r[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}]$ so it suffices to consider only sequences of the form

$$(\Psi_{e_r}^{(n)}(x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]))_{n \geq 1}.$$

Let λ be a partition, $k := \ell(\lambda)$, $F \in \Lambda$, and take $n > k + r$. Set $\lambda' := (\lambda_1 - 1, \dots, \lambda_k - 1)$. Recall that $\tilde{Y}_1^{(n)} X_1 = t^n Y_1^{(n)} X_1$ from which it follows directly that $\tilde{Y}_i^{(n)} X_i = t^n Y_i^{(n)} X_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have that

$$t^n Y_i^{(n)} X_1 \cdots X_k = \tilde{Y}_i^{(n)} X_1 \cdots X_k.$$

This means that as operators on $x_1 \dots x_k \mathcal{P}_k^+$, $t^n Y_i^{(n)} = \tilde{Y}_i^{(n)}$. Note that these operators preserve the subspace $x_1 \dots x_k \mathcal{P}_k^+$. Further, we may naturally extend this argument to show that as operators on $x_1 \cdots x_k \mathcal{P}_k^+$ for any $a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 0$, and any permutation $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$,

$$(t^n Y_{\gamma(1)}^{(n)})^{a_1} \cdots (t^n Y_{\gamma(k)}^{(n)})^{a_k} |_{x_1 \dots x_k \mathcal{P}_k^+} = (\tilde{Y}_{\gamma(1)}^{(n)})^{a_1} \cdots (\tilde{Y}_{\gamma(k)}^{(n)})^{a_k} |_{x_1 \dots x_k \mathcal{P}_k^+}.$$

This is notable because, unlike the Cherednik operators $Y_i^{(n)}$, the deformed Cherednik operators $\tilde{Y}_i^{(n)}$ do not mutually commute. Therefore again as operators on \mathcal{P}_k^+ , for all $0 \leq s \leq r$

$$e_{r-s}[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_k^{(n)}] X_1 \cdots X_k = e_{r-s}(\tilde{Y}_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tilde{Y}_k^{(n)}) X_1 \cdots X_k.$$

Using Lemma 3.8 we now find the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & e_r[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}](x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]) \\ &= e_r[(t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_k^{(n)}) + (t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)})](x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^r e_{r-s}[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_k^{(n)}] e_s[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}](x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]). \end{aligned}$$

Importantly, since $x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]$ is symmetric in $k+1, \dots, n$,

$$\epsilon_k^{(n)}(x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]) = x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]$$

so that by using Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=0}^r e_{r-s}[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n \tilde{Y}_k^{(n)}] e_s[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] (x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^r e_{r-s}[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_k^{(n)}] e_s[t^n Y_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] \epsilon_k^{(n)} X_1 \cdots X_k (x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^r e_{r-s}[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_k^{(n)}] X_1 \cdots X_k t^{sk + \binom{s+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-s+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^s} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&\quad \times (T_k^{-1} \cdots T_1^{-1})(T_{k+1}^{-1} \cdots T_2^{-1}) \cdots (T_{k+s-1}^{-1} \cdots T_s^{-1}) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)} (x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^r e_{r-s}(t^n \tilde{Y}_1^{(n)}, \dots, t^n \tilde{Y}_k^{(n)}) X_1 \cdots X_k t^{sk + \binom{s+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-s+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^s} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&\quad \times (T_k^{-1} \cdots T_1^{-1})(T_{k+1}^{-1} \cdots T_2^{-1}) \cdots (T_{k+s-1}^{-1} \cdots T_s^{-1}) \pi_n^r \epsilon_k^{(n)} (x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^r e_{r-s}(t^n \tilde{Y}_1^{(n)}, \dots, t^n \tilde{Y}_k^{(n)}) t^{\binom{s+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k-s+1}}{1-t} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1-t^{n-k}}{1-t^s} \right) \epsilon_k^{(n)} \\
&\quad \times (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s) \pi_n^s (x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]).
\end{aligned}$$

From here it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_n \Psi_{e_r}^{(n)}(x^\lambda F[x_1 + \dots + x_n]) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^r \left[\prod_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{t^i}{1-t^i} \right) \right] e_{r-s}(\mathfrak{y}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{y}_k) \epsilon_k (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s) \pi^s (x^\lambda F[X])
\end{aligned}$$

which is evidently an element of $\mathcal{P}(k)^+ \subset \mathcal{P}_{a_s}^+$. Therefore, the sequence of operators $(\Psi_{e_r}^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges to an operator on $\mathcal{P}_{a_s}^+$ which we will call $e_r[\Delta]$.

We will now prove various properties of $e_r[\Delta]$. For all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $0 \leq s \leq r$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_k (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s) \pi^s T_i \\
&= \epsilon_k (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s) T_{i+s} \pi^s \\
&= \epsilon_k (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-2} \cdots T_{s-2}) T_{i+s-1} (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s) \pi^s \\
&= \dots \\
&= \epsilon_k T_i (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s) \pi^s \\
&= T_i \epsilon_k (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s) \pi^s.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $f \in x_1 \cdots x_k \mathcal{P}(k)^+$, by expanding f into a sum of terms of the form $T_\sigma x^\lambda F[X]$ where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ and λ is a partition with $\ell(\lambda) = k$, we find that

$$e_r[\Delta](f) = \sum_{s=0}^r \prod_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{t^i}{1-t^i} \right) e_{r-s}(\mathfrak{y}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{y}_k) \epsilon_k (T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s) \pi^s (f).$$

Now let $(\mu|\lambda) \in \Phi$. Using Corollary 47 in [BW23] (see Definition 2.16) and Proposition 2.12 we have

that

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_r[\Delta](\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}) \\
&= \lim_n e_r[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}](\epsilon_{\ell(\mu)}^{(n)}(E_{\mu*\lambda*0^{n-(\ell(\mu)+\ell(\lambda))}})) \\
&= \lim_n \epsilon_{\ell(\mu)}^{(n)} e_r[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}](E_{\mu*\lambda*0^{n-(\ell(\mu)+\ell(\lambda))}}) \\
&= \lim_n \epsilon_{\ell(\mu)}^{(n)} \left(e_r \left[\sum_{i=1}^n q^{\text{sort}(\mu*\lambda)_i} t^i \right] E_{\mu*\lambda*0^{n-(\ell(\mu)+\ell(\lambda))}} \right) \\
&= \lim_n e_r \left[\sum_{i=1}^n q^{\text{sort}(\mu*\lambda)_i} t^i \right] \epsilon_{\ell(\mu)}^{(n)}(E_{\mu*\lambda*0^{n-(\ell(\mu)+\ell(\lambda))}}) \\
&= e_r[\kappa_{\text{sort}(\mu*\lambda)}(q, t)]\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

To see that $[e_r[\Delta], T_i] = 0$ we may check directly:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_r[\Delta]T_i \\
&= \lim_n e_r[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}]T_i \\
&= \lim_n T_i e_r[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] \\
&= T_i e_r[\Delta].
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, since the $\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}$ are a basis of \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ (Theorem 2.17) it follows that for all $i, r, s \geq 1$,

- $[e_r[\Delta], \mathcal{Y}_i] = 0$
- $[e_r[\Delta], e_s[\Delta]] = 0$.

□

Remark 6. Note that

$$(T_k \cdots T_1)(T_{k+1} \cdots T_2) \cdots (T_{k+s-1} \cdots T_s)\pi^s = (T_k \cdots T_1\pi)^s$$

so we have that

$$e_r[\Delta]|_{x_1 \cdots x_k \mathcal{P}(k)^+} = \sum_{s=0}^r \left[\prod_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{t^i}{1-t^i} \right) \right] e_{r-s}(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_k) \epsilon_k(T_k \cdots T_1\pi)^s.$$

As an immediate consequence we have the following result confirming the conjecture posed in [BW23].

Theorem 3.10. For any symmetric function $F \in \Lambda$ the sequence of operators $(\Psi_F^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges to an operator on \mathcal{P}_{as}^+ which we may call $F[\Delta]$. These operators satisfy the following properties:

- $F[\Delta](\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}) = F[\kappa_{\text{sort}(\mu*\lambda)}(q, t)]\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}$
- $[F[\Delta], \mathcal{Y}_i] = 0$
- $[F[\Delta], T_i] = 0$
- $[F[\Delta], G[\Delta]] = 0$.

Proof. Recall that the ring of symmetric functions Λ is generated algebraically by the elementary symmetric polynomials e_1, e_2, \dots . For any $F \in \Lambda$ we may write $F = f(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r)$ so that for all $n \geq 1$

$$\Psi_F^{(n)} = f(\Psi_{e_1}^{(n)}, \dots, \Psi_{e_r}^{(n)}).$$

By applying Propositions 2.13 and 3.9 we find that $(\Psi_F^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges and that

$$F[\Delta] := \lim_n \Psi_F^{(n)} = f(\lim_n \Psi_{e_1}^{(n)}, \dots, \lim_n \Psi_{e_r}^{(n)}) = f(e_1[\Delta], \dots, e_r[\Delta]).$$

For $(\mu|\lambda) \in \Phi$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& F[\Delta](\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}) \\
&= f(e_1[\Delta], \dots, e_r[\Delta])(\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}) \\
&= f(e_1[\kappa_{\text{sort}(\mu*\lambda)}(q, t)], \dots, e_r[\kappa_{\text{sort}(\mu*\lambda)}(q, t)])(\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}) \\
&= F[\kappa_{\text{sort}(\mu*\lambda)}(q, t)]\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The other properties follow directly from Theorem 2.17 and Proposition 3.9. \square

Example. The operator $e_2[\Delta]$ acts on $x_1x_2x_3\mathcal{P}(3)^+$ as

$$(\mathfrak{y}_1\mathfrak{y}_2 + \mathfrak{y}_1\mathfrak{y}_3 + \mathfrak{y}_2\mathfrak{y}_3) + \frac{t}{1-t}(\mathfrak{y}_1 + \mathfrak{y}_2 + \mathfrak{y}_3)\epsilon_3T_3T_2T_1\pi + \frac{t^3}{(1-t)(1-t^2)}\epsilon_3T_3T_2T_1T_4T_3T_2\pi^2.$$

If we instead consider $e_4[\Delta]$ acting on $\mathcal{P}(0)^+ = \Lambda[X]$ then we get

$$\frac{t^{10}}{(1-t)(1-t^2)(1-t^3)(1-t^4)}\epsilon\pi^4.$$

As an example computation we have that

$$p_2[\Delta](\tilde{E}_{(4,1,2|5,4,2,2,1)}) = (q^{10}t^2 + q^8t^4 + q^8t^6 + q^4t^8 + q^4t^{10} + q^4t^{12} + q^2t^{14} + q^2t^{16} + t^{18} + t^{20} + \dots)\tilde{E}_{(4,1,2|5,4,2,2,1)}.$$

Remark 7. It is easy to see that for all $F \in \Lambda$, $\epsilon_k F[\Delta] = F[\Delta]\epsilon_k$ by direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_k F[\Delta] \\
&= \lim_n \epsilon_k^{(n)} \Psi_F^{(n)} \\
&= \lim_n \frac{1}{[n-k]_t!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2} - \ell(\sigma)} T_\sigma F[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] \\
&= \lim_n \frac{1}{[n-k]_t!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2} - \ell(\sigma)} F[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] T_\sigma \\
&= \lim_n F[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] \frac{1}{[n-k]_t!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(1^k, n-k)}} t^{\binom{n-k}{2} - \ell(\sigma)} T_\sigma \\
&= F[\Delta]\epsilon_k.
\end{aligned}$$

3.3 Commutation Relations

In this section we compute some of the commutation relations between the Δ -operators $F[\Delta]$ and the operator $\tilde{\pi}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{a.s.}^+$. These relations are conceptually important because in the case of the finite rank DAHA in type GL_n the analogous commutation relations allow for one to develop a theory of intertwiners and the Knop-Sahi relations for non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials.

We start with the following result which will follow easily using the properties of Ion-Wu limits and particularly Proposition 2.13.

Proposition 3.11. For $F \in \Lambda$

$$\tilde{\pi}F[\Delta] = F[\Delta + (q^{-1} - 1)\mathfrak{y}_1]\tilde{\pi}.$$

Proof. Let $F \in \Lambda$ and $G_i, H_i \in \Lambda$ such that

$$F[X + Y] = \sum_i G_i[X]H_i[Y].$$

We may compute directly:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\pi}F[\Delta] \\
&= \lim_n \tilde{\pi}_n F[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] \\
&= \lim_n F[t^n Y_2^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} + q^{-1} t^n Y_1^{(n)}] \tilde{\pi}_n \\
&= \lim_n F[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)} + (q^{-1} - 1) t^n Y_1^{(n)}] \tilde{\pi}_n \\
&= \lim_n \sum_i G_i[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] H_i[(q^{-1} - 1) t^n Y_1^{(n)}] \tilde{\pi}_n \\
&= \lim_n \sum_i G_i[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] H_i[(q^{-1} - 1) t^n Y_1^{(n)}] X_1 T_1^{-1} \dots T_{n-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n \sum_i G_i[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] H_i[(q^{-1} - 1) \tilde{Y}_1^{(n)}] X_1 T_1^{-1} \dots T_{n-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n \sum_i G_i[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] H_i[(q^{-1} - 1) \tilde{Y}_1^{(n)}] \tilde{\pi}_n \\
&= \sum_i \left(\lim_n G_i[t^n Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + t^n Y_n^{(n)}] \right) \left(\lim_n H_i[(q^{-1} - 1) \tilde{Y}_1^{(n)}] \right) \left(\lim_n \tilde{\pi}_n \right) \\
&= \sum_i G_i[\Delta] H_i[(q^{-1} - 1) \mathfrak{Y}_1] \tilde{\pi} \\
&= F[\Delta + (q^{-1} - 1) \mathfrak{Y}_1] \tilde{\pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As an immediate consequence we find the following.

Corollary 3.12. For every $r \geq 1$

$$[\tilde{\pi}, p_r[\Delta]] = (q^{-r} - 1) \mathfrak{Y}_1^r \tilde{\pi}.$$

Proof. Using Proposition 3.11 applied to $F[X] = p_r[X]$ gives

$$\tilde{\pi} p_r[\Delta] = p_r[\Delta + (q^{-1} - 1) \mathfrak{Y}_1] \tilde{\pi} = (p_r[\Delta] + (q^{-r} - 1) \mathfrak{Y}_1^r) \tilde{\pi}.$$

□

Remark 8. More generally, we may write for any $F \in \Lambda$

$$[\tilde{\pi}, F[\Delta]] = (F[\Delta + (q^{-1} - 1) \mathfrak{Y}_1] - F[\Delta]) \tilde{\pi}.$$

Lastly, we compute the full commutation relations between the limit Cherednik operators \mathfrak{Y}_i and $\tilde{\pi}$. Interestingly, most of these relations mimic the standard finite rank DAHA situation except for $\mathfrak{Y}_1 \tilde{\pi}$ which now involves Δ .

Proposition 3.13.

$$\mathfrak{Y}_i \tilde{\pi} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\pi} \mathfrak{Y}_{i-1} & i > 1 \\ \frac{\tilde{\pi} \Delta - \Delta \tilde{\pi}}{q^{-1} - 1} & i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $i = 1$ we have that from Proposition 3.11

$$\tilde{\pi} \Delta - \Delta \tilde{\pi} = (q^{-1} - 1) \mathfrak{Y}_1 \tilde{\pi}$$

and hence

$$\mathfrak{Y}_1 \tilde{\pi} = \frac{\tilde{\pi} \Delta - \Delta \tilde{\pi}}{q^{-1} - 1}.$$

Let $i > 1$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{Y}_i \tilde{\pi} \\
&= \lim_n \tilde{Y}_i^{(n)} \tilde{\pi}_n \\
&= \lim_n \left(t^{n-i+1} T_{i-1} \cdots T_1 \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1} \right) (X_1 T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1}) \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+1} T_{i-1} \cdots T_1 \rho \pi_n X_1 T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1} T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+1} T_{i-1} \cdots T_1 \rho X_2 \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1} T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+1} T_{i-1} \cdots T_1 X_2 \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1} T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+1} T_{i-1} \cdots T_2 (t X_1 T_1^{-1}) \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1} T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+2} X_1 T_{i-1} \cdots T_2 T_1^{-1} \rho \pi_n T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{i-2}^{-1} T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1} T_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+2} X_1 T_{i-1} \cdots T_2 T_1^{-1} \rho T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1} T_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+2} X_1 T_{i-1} \cdots T_2 T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_i^{-1} T_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+2} X_1 T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} T_{i-2} \cdots T_1 \rho \pi_n T_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{n-2}^{-1} T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+2} X_1 T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} T_{i-2} \cdots T_1 \rho T_i^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+2} X_1 T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} T_{i-2} \cdots T_1 T_i^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n t^{n-i+2} X_1 T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} T_i^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} T_{i-2} \cdots T_1 \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} \\
&= \lim_n (X_1 T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1}) \left(t^{n-i+2} T_{i-2} \cdots T_1 \rho \pi_n T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} \right) \\
&= \lim_n \tilde{\pi}_n \tilde{Y}_{i-1}^{(n)} \\
&= \tilde{\pi} \mathfrak{Y}_{i-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.4 $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ Action

We refer the reader to [CGM20] and [GG23] for relevant background surrounding the algebra $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. Importantly, in their conventions q, t have swapped roles. We will show in this short section how to relate the Δ -operators $F[\Delta]$ constructed in this paper to those defined by González-Gorsky-Simental.

Let us begin by recalling the definition of the extended algebra $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$.

Definition 3.14. The algebra $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ is generated by $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ along with loops at each vertex $k \geq 0$ labelled by Δ_{p_m} for all $m \geq 1$ such that

- $[\Delta_{p_m}, \Delta_{p_\ell}] = 0$
- $[\Delta_{p_m}, T_i] = [\Delta_{p_m}, z_i] = [\Delta_{p_m}, d_-] = 0$
- $[\Delta_{p_m}, d_+] = z_1^m d_+$.

We begin by noting that the commutation relation in Corollary 3.12 is very similar to the defining relations for $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ but not exactly identical. To better match conventions we may consider for $m \geq 1$ the normalized operators

$$\Delta_{p_m} := \frac{1}{q^m - 1} \left(t^{-m} p_m[\Delta] - \frac{1}{1 - t^m} \right).$$

Note now that for any $(\mu|\lambda) \in \Phi$ and $m \geq 1$

$$\Delta_{p_m}(\tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}) = \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \left(\frac{q^{m \text{sort}(\mu * \lambda)_i} - 1}{q^m - 1} \right) t^{m(i-1)} \right) \tilde{E}_{(\mu|\lambda)}.$$

In particular, $\Delta_{p_m}(1) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$. Using Theorem 3.10, Remark 7, and Corollary 3.12 the usual action of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ (see the author’s prior paper for more details [Wei24]) along with these new Δ -operators Δ_{p_m} define a representation of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ on $L_\bullet := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} x_1 \cdots x_k \mathcal{P}(k)^+$. In particular, since

$$[\tilde{\pi}, p_m[\Delta]] = (q^{-m} - 1) \mathcal{Y}_1^m \tilde{\pi}$$

we see that

$$[\Delta_{p_m}, \tilde{\pi}] = \mathcal{Y}_1^m \tilde{\pi}.$$

From the work of Ion-Wu [IW22] we know there exists a $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ module isomorphism $L_\bullet \rightarrow V_\bullet$ given by

$$x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} F[x_{k+1} + x_{k+2} + \dots] \rightarrow y_1^{a_1-1} \cdots y_k^{a_k-1} F\left[\frac{X}{t-1}\right]$$

where

$$V_\bullet := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}(q, t)[y_1, \dots, y_k] \otimes \Lambda[X]$$

is the $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ module originally considered by Carlsson-Gorsky-Mellit [CGM20]. Now we may use the following lemma:

Lemma 3.15. [GGS23] The $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ polynomial representation V_\bullet is generated by $1 \in V_0$.

From this González-Gorsky-Simental find that the $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ module structure on V_\bullet is uniquely determined by the commutation relations for the Δ_{p_m} operators in the following way:

Corollary 3.16. [GGS23] We can *uniquely* define the operators Δ_{p_m} on the polynomial representation V_\bullet by setting $\Delta_{p_m}(1) = 0$ and extending via Lemma 3.15 using the relations in Definition 3.14.

Putting together all of the work in this section thus far we get the following result.

Corollary 3.17. The Ion-Wu map $L_\bullet \rightarrow V_\bullet$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ modules.

Remark 9. In particular, the Δ_{p_m} operators on V_\bullet of González-Gorsky-Simental correspond through the Ion-Wu map to limits of sums of symmetric polynomials in the Cherednik operators as in Theorem 3.10. It would interesting to know if the formula from Proposition 3.9

$$e_r[\Delta]|_{x_1 \cdots x_k \mathcal{P}(k)^+} = \sum_{s=0}^r \left[\prod_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{t^i}{1-t^i} \right) \right] e_{r-s}(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_k) \epsilon_k(T_k \cdots T_1 \pi)^s$$

corresponds to something geometrically meaningful on the González-Gorsky-Simental $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ module V_\bullet .

References

- [BS12] Igor Burban and Olivier Schiffmann. “On the Hall algebra of an elliptic curve, I”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 161.7 (2012), pp. 1171–1231. ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-1593263. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-1593263>.
- [BW23] Milo Bechtloff Weising. “Stable-Limit Non-symmetric Macdonald Functions”. In: (2023). arXiv: 2307.05864 [math.RT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.05864>.
- [CGM20] Erik Carlsson, Eugene Gorsky, and Anton Mellit. “The $\mathbb{A}_{q,t}$ algebra and parabolic flag Hilbert schemes”. In: *Math. Ann.* 376.3-4 (2020), pp. 1303–1336. ISSN: 0025-5831. DOI: 10.1007/s00208-019-01898-1. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-019-01898-1>.
- [CM18] Erik Carlsson and Anton Mellit. “A proof of the shuffle conjecture”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 31.3 (2018), pp. 661–697. ISSN: 0894-0347. DOI: 10.1090/jams/893. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/jams/893>.
- [GGS23] Nicolle González, Eugene Gorsky, and José Simental. *Calibrated representations of the double Dyck path algebra*. 2023. arXiv: 2311.17653 [math.RT].
- [Hai99] Mark Haiman. “Macdonald polynomials and geometry”. In: *New perspectives in algebraic combinatorics* volume 38 of MSRI Publ. (1999), pp. 207–254.

- [HHL08] J. Haglund, M. Haiman, and N. Loehr. “A combinatorial formula for nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 130.2 (2008), pp. 359–383. ISSN: 0002-9327. DOI: 10.1353/ajm.2008.0015. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2008.0015>.
- [IW22] Bogdan Ion and Dongyu Wu. “THE STABLE LIMIT DAHA AND THE DOUBLE DYCK PATH ALGEBRA”. In: *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu* (2022), 1–46. DOI: 10.1017/S1474748022000445.
- [Mac88] Ian Macdonald. “A New Class of Symmetric Functions”. In: *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* B20a (1988).
- [SV13] Olivier Schiffmann and Eric Vasserot. “The elliptic Hall algebra and the K -theory of the Hilbert scheme of \mathbb{A}^2 ”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 162.2 (2013), pp. 279–366. ISSN: 0012-7094. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-1961849. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-1961849>.
- [Wei24] Milo Bechtloff Weising. “Double Dyck Path Algebra Representations From DAHA”. In: (2024). arXiv: 2402.02843 [math.RT].