

# AN EXPLICIT ECONOMICAL ADDITIVE BASIS

VISHESH JAIN, HUY TUAN PHAM, MEHTAAB SAWHNEY, AND DMITRII ZAKHAROV

ABSTRACT. We present an explicit subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$  such that  $A + A = \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(n_1, n_2) : n_1 + n_2 = N, (n_1, n_2) \in A^2\}|}{N^\varepsilon} = 0.$$

This answers a question of Erdős.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Sidon asked (see [4, 16]) whether there exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A + A = \mathbb{N}$  (i.e.  $A$  is an additive basis of order 2) and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(n_1, n_2) : n_1 + n_2 = N, (n_1, n_2) \in A^2\}|}{N^\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Erdős [4] answered Sidon’s question by showing that there exists an additive basis of order 2 which, in fact, satisfies the stronger bound

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(n_1, n_2) : n_1 + n_2 = N, (n_1, n_2) \in A^2\}|}{\log N} < \infty.$$

It is a major open problem whether there exists an additive basis of order 2 for which the factor of  $\log N$  in the denominator can be replaced by an absolute constant; Erdős and Turán [9] famously conjectured that this is impossible.

Erdős’s proof of the existence of  $A$  is randomized; in modern notation, one simply includes the number  $n$  in the set  $A$  with probability proportional to  $C(\log n)^{1/2}n^{-1/2}$ . Kolountzakis [10] derandomized (a variation of) Erdős’s proof in the sense that one can deterministically generate the elements of  $A \cap \{0, \dots, N\}$  in time  $N^{O(1)}$ . We remark that a number of variants of the original result of Erdős have been developed including results of Erdős and Tetali [8] which prove the analogous result for higher order additive bases and results of Vu [17] regarding economical versions of Waring’s theorem.

The focus of this work is on “explicit” constructions. Erdős several times [5–7] asked for an explicit set  $A$  which affirmatively answers Sidon’s question and, in fact, offered \$100 for a solution [7]. We note that if one takes  $A$  to be the set of squares, then  $A + A$  contains all primes which are 1 mod 4 and by the divisor bound  $A + A$  has multiplicities bounded by  $N^{o(1)}$ . Therefore, if one is willing to assume strong number theoretic conjectures, one can take  $A$  to be the set of numbers  $n$  which are within  $O((\log n)^{O(1)})$  a square. The purpose of this note is to present an explicit construction unconditionally.

Given a set  $A$  which is either a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $q$ , we denote by  $\sigma_A(n)$  the number of representations  $n = a + a'$  or  $n \equiv a + a' \pmod q$ , where  $a, a' \in A$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *There is an explicit set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  and absolute constants  $C, c > 0$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $1 \leq \sigma_A(n) \leq Cn^{c/\log \log n}$ .*

We briefly discuss the meaning of the word “explicit”. By analogy with the long line of work on explicit Ramsey graphs, we adopt the convention that a construction is explicit if one may test membership  $n \in A$  in  $(\log n)^{O(1)}$ -time i.e. polynomial in the number of digits. In addition to

satisfying this guarantee, our construction has the appealing feature that, given a suitable sequence of prime numbers, we can describe  $A$  with a short explicit expression (see (2.1) and the proof of Lemma 2.2).

We end this introduction with a brief overview of the (short) proof of Theorem 1.1. A crucial ingredient in our work is a construction of Ruzsa [14] which (for a prime  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ ) produces a set  $A_p \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $A_p + A_p = \mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\sigma_{A_p}(r) = O(1)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$ . Given this, the key point is to consider a sequence of such primes  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$  and define the set  $A$  in terms of its expansion with respect to the generalized base  $(p_1^2, p_2^2, \dots)$  essentially by forcing the  $i^{\text{th}}$  digit in the expansion to belong to  $A_{p_i}$ . By working upward from the smallest digit, one can use the property that  $A_p + A_p$  covers all residues in  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$  to see that all natural numbers are represented. The fact that no number is represented too many times is similarly derived using that  $A_p + A_p$  is “flat”, in particular that the multiplicities are bounded by  $p^{o(1)}$ . We remark that generalized bases have been utilized in a variety of questions related to Sidon sets, including works of Ruzsa [15], Cilleruelo, Kiss, Ruzsa and Vinuesa [3], and Pillate [13].

We note that for the purpose of simply obtaining an upper bound of  $N^{o(1)}$  in Theorem 1.1, one can actually choose the primes  $p$  sufficiently small (e.g. of size  $\log \log \log N$  say) and find a suitable set  $A_p$  by brute force enumeration. However Ruzsa’s [14] construction is “strongly explicit” (i.e. membership can be tested in time  $O((\log p)^{O(1)})$ ), which allows us to take larger primes and thereby obtain a better upper bound.

The computational bottleneck (given Ruzsa’s construction) is finding the smallest prime in an interval  $[N, 2N]$ . Under strong number-theoretic conjectures (e.g. Cramer’s conjecture) finding such a prime would take time  $O((\log N)^{O(1)})$  due to the AKS primality testing algorithm [1]. Assuming this to be the case, we can choose the primes more carefully to obtain an improved upper bound of  $\exp(O((\log N)^{1/2}))$  (see Section 2.2). The limiting feature of our construction now is that in the top block, one is forced to allow “all possibilities”. We believe obtaining an explicit construction achieving  $\sigma_A(N) \leq \exp((\log N)^\varepsilon)$  or better would be interesting.

**Notation.** Throughout this paper we let  $[N] = \{0, \dots, N - 1\}$  and  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . We let  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denote the largest integer less than or equal to  $x$ . We use standard asymptotic notation, e.g.  $f \lesssim g$  if  $|f(n)| \leq C|g(n)|$  for some constant  $C$  and all large enough  $n$ . We usually denote by  $c, C$  absolute constants which may change from line to line.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We will require the notion of a generalized base.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$  be an infinite set of integers such that  $b_i \geq 2$ . Given any integer  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a representation  $x = \overline{a_n \dots a_1}^{\mathbf{b}}$  with  $0 \leq a_i \leq b_i - 1$  such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \prod_{j < i} b_j.$$

Here an empty product (when  $i = 1$ ) is treated as 1.

*Remark.* If one requires  $a_n \neq 0$  (e.g. does not have leading zeros) the representation is unique. When  $b_j = g$  for all  $j$ , we recover precisely the base- $g$  expansion.

A crucial piece in our construction is an “economical” modular additive basis of order 2 over  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$  due to Ruzsa [14, Theorem 1]; the precise constant  $M$  in the result below has been studied in [2].

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exists an absolute constant  $M \geq 1$  such that the following holds. Consider a prime  $p$  such that  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ . There exists a set  $A_p \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$  such that for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$ ,*

we have  $1 \leq \sigma_{A_p}(r) \leq M$ . Furthermore, given  $p$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$ , one can check whether  $x \in A_p$  in time  $O((\log p)^{O(1)})$ .

For completeness, and especially in order to discuss the second part of the statement, we present the proof of [Lemma 2.2](#) in [Section 2.1](#).

We next need the following basic fact about deterministically finding primes, which is immediate via (say) the Sieve of Eratosthenes. Using a more sophisticated algorithm of Lagarias and Odlyzko [\[12\]](#), one may obtain a run time of  $O(N^{1/2+o(1)})$  in the statement below; runtimes of the form  $O(N^{o(1)})$  remain a major open problem.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $N \geq C_{2.3}$ . Then one may produce the smallest prime  $p \in [N, 2N]$  such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  in time  $O(N^{1+o(1)})$ .*

We now are in position to give the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#).

*Proof of [Theorem 1.1](#).* Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be an arbitrary monotone increasing function such that  $f(k) \geq C_0$  for some large constant  $C_0$  and all  $k$ . Let  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$  be a sequence of primes such that  $p_k \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  and  $p_k \in [f(k), 2f(k)]$  is the least such prime.<sup>1</sup> Define  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$  by setting  $b_k = p_k^2$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . We are going to define our set  $A$  in terms of its expansion in the generalized base  $\mathbf{b}$ . Namely, for each  $k \geq 1$  let  $A_k \subset \{0, 1, \dots, p_k^2 - 1\}$  be the set from [Lemma 2.2](#) (where we lift elements mod  $p_k^2$  to their integer representatives) and consider the set

$$A = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \{\overline{a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_1}^{\mathbf{b}}, a_j \in A_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k-1, \text{ and } a_k \in \{0, \dots, b_k - 1\}\}. \quad (2.1)$$

We begin by showing that  $A + A = \mathbb{N}$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we (uniquely) write  $n = \overline{n_k \dots n_1}^{\mathbf{b}}$  for some  $k \geq 1$ ; we will construct the representation  $n = a + a'$  for  $a, a' \in A$  digit by digit. First, since  $A_1$  is an order 2 additive basis mod  $b_1$ , there exist  $a_1, a'_1 \in A_1$  such that  $n_1 \equiv a_1 + a'_1 \pmod{b_1}$ . Let  $c_1 = \lfloor \frac{a_1 + a'_1}{b_1} \rfloor \in \{0, 1\}$  be the carry bit. Next, there exist  $a_2, a'_2 \in A_2$  such that  $n_2 - c_1 \equiv a_2 + a'_2 \pmod{b_2}$ . As before, define the carry bit  $c_2$  and continue in the same fashion to produce sequences of digits  $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}$  and  $a'_1, \dots, a'_{k-1}$  and a carry bit  $c_{k-1} \in \{0, 1\}$ . Finally, let  $a_k = n_k - c_k \in \{0, \dots, b_k - 1\}$  and consider the elements

$$a = \overline{a_k \dots a_1}^{\mathbf{b}}, \quad a' = \overline{a'_{k-1} \dots a'_1}^{\mathbf{b}}.$$

By construction, we have  $n = a + a'$  and  $a, a' \in A$ .

Next, we bound the number of possible representations  $n = a + a'$  with  $a, a' \in A$ . Write  $n = \overline{n_k \dots n_1}^{\mathbf{b}}$ ,  $a = \overline{a_\ell \dots a_1}^{\mathbf{b}}$ , and  $a' = \overline{a'_{\ell'} \dots a'_1}^{\mathbf{b}}$ , where  $\ell, \ell' \leq k$  are the digit lengths of  $a$  and  $a'$ . We may assume that  $\ell \leq \ell'$  (this costs us a factor of 2 in the number of representations). Since  $a, a' \in A$ , we have  $a_i \in A_i$  for  $i \leq \ell - 1$  and  $a'_i \in A_i$  for  $i \leq \ell' - 1$  but the top digits  $a_\ell$  (respectively,  $a'_{\ell'}$ ) can be arbitrary elements of  $\{0, \dots, b_\ell - 1\}$  (respectively,  $\{0, \dots, b_{\ell'} - 1\}$ ). By [Lemma 2.2](#), we can choose  $a_1, a'_1$  such that  $n_1 \equiv a_1 + a'_1 \pmod{b_1}$  in at most  $M$  ways. Given a choice of  $a_1, a'_1$ , there are at most  $M$  pairs  $a_2, a'_2$  with  $n_2 - c_1 \equiv a_2 + a'_2 \pmod{b_2}$ , where  $c_1 = \lfloor \frac{a_1 + a'_1}{b_1} \rfloor \in \{0, 1\}$  is the carry. Continuing in this fashion for  $j = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ , we get that there are at most  $M^{\ell-1}$  ways to fix the first  $\ell - 1$  digits  $a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1}$  and  $a'_1, \dots, a'_{\ell-1}$ . We can fix  $a_\ell$  and  $a'_\ell$  in at most  $b_\ell$  ways. Given this choice, the digits  $a'_{\ell+1}, \dots, a'_{\ell'}$  are uniquely determined. Putting this together, we obtain the following upper bound on the number of representations  $n = a + a'$ :

$$\sigma_A(n) \leq 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^k b_\ell M^{\ell-1} \leq 2b_k M^k \leq 8f(k)^2 M^k, \quad (2.2)$$

<sup>1</sup>That such a prime exists for  $f(k)$  larger than an absolute constant follows via the Siegel-Walfisz theorem (see e.g. [\[11, Theorem 12.1\]](#)).

where we used  $b_k = p_k^2 \leq (2f(k))^2$  and  $M \geq 2$ . On the other hand,  $b_j = p_j^2 \geq f(j)^2$  and so

$$n \geq b_1 \dots b_{k-1} \geq b_{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \dots b_{k-1} \geq f(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor)^{k/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Hence,  $k \leq \frac{2 \log n}{\log f(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor)}$  and substituting this in (2.2), we obtain the bound

$$\sigma_A(n) \leq 4f(k)^2 n^{c/\log f(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor)}.$$

Note that the right hand side is  $n^{o(1)}$  for any sufficiently slowly growing function  $f$ . Owing to the computational considerations in the next paragraph, we take  $f(k) = k$  which leads to  $k \lesssim \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$  and  $\sigma_A(n) \lesssim n^{c/\log \log n}$ .

Finally, we quickly verify that testing membership  $a \in A$  can be done in time  $O((\log a)^{O(1)})$ . Indeed, given  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can compute all primes  $p_k$  for  $k \leq c \log a$  in time  $(\log a)^{O(1)}$  (Lemma 2.3), compute the base  $\mathbf{b}$  expansion  $a = \overline{a_k \dots a_1}$  in time  $(\log a)^{O(1)}$ , and check that  $a_j \in A_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$  in time  $O(k(\log f(k))^{O(1)})$  using Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**2.1. Modular construction and computational details.** We record the proof of Lemma 2.2, following Ruzsa [14]. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathbb{N}$  we write  $(n \bmod p)$  for the unique  $n' \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  congruent to  $n$  modulo  $p$ . The following is exactly [14, Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ . Define  $B_p \subseteq \{0, \dots, 2p^2\}$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} B_p &= \{x + 2p(3x^2 \bmod p) : x \in \{0, \dots, (p-1)\}\} \\ &\cup \{x + 2p(4x^2 \bmod p) : x \in \{0, \dots, (p-1)\}\} \\ &\cup \{x + 2p(6x^2 \bmod p) : x \in \{0, \dots, (p-1)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

*We have  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_{B_p}(n) \leq 18$  and furthermore, for all  $0 \leq n < p^2$ , at least one of the six numbers*

$$n - p, n, n + p, n + p^2 - p, n + p^2, n + p^2 + p$$

*appears in the set  $B_p + B_p$ .*

Given Lemma 2.4, we prove Lemma 2.2.

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* Let  $B'_p = B_p + \{-p, 0, p\}$  (viewed as a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) and set

$$A_p = \{x \bmod p^2 : x \in B'_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.4, we immediately have:

- $B'_p + B'_p \subseteq [-2p, 5p^2]$
- For all  $0 \leq n < p^2$ , one of  $n$  or  $n + p^2$  appears in  $B'_p + B'_p$
- We have that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_{B'_p}(n) \leq 9 \cdot 18 = 162$ .

Noting that  $n \equiv n + p^2 \pmod{p^2}$ , it follows that  $A_p + A_p = \mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$ . Furthermore we have that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_{A_p}(n) \leq 6 \cdot 9 \cdot 18 = 594$ ; this is immediate as  $B'_p + B'_p \subseteq [-2p, 5p^2]$  and there are at most 6 representatives in this interval for a given residue modulo  $p^2$ .

We now discuss the time complexity of testing membership in  $A_p$ . Given  $p$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}/(p^2\mathbb{Z})$ , we consider the unique representative  $x' \in \{0, \dots, p^2 - 1\}$ . Noting that  $B'_p \subseteq [-p, 2p^2 + p]$ , it suffices by construction to test whether at least one of  $x' - p^2, x', x' + p^2, x' + 2p^2$  is in  $B'_p$ . This is equivalent to checking whether at least one of  $x' + \{-p^2, 0, p^2, 2p^2\} + \{-p, 0, p\}$  is in  $B_p$ ; in particular, one of at most 12 distinct given elements is in  $B_p$ .

To test whether  $y \in \{0, \dots, 2p^2\}$  is in  $B_p$  amounts to testing whether  $y = z + 2p(3z^2 \bmod p)$ ,  $y = z + 2p(4z^2 \bmod p)$ , or  $y = z + 2p(6z^2 \bmod p)$  for an integer  $z \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ . Given  $y$ , the ‘‘candidate’’  $z$  is forced to be the unique number in  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$  equivalent to  $y \bmod p$  and we can then simply compute  $(3z^2 \bmod p)$ ,  $(4z^2 \bmod p)$ , and  $(6z^2 \bmod p)$ . This procedure clearly takes time  $O((\log p)^{O(1)})$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Assuming deterministic polynomial time algorithms for locating primes.** For the remainder of this section we will operate under the following assumption.

*Assumption 2.5.* There exists a deterministic algorithm which outputs the least prime which is  $3 \pmod 8$  in the interval  $[N, 2N]$  in time  $O((\log N)^{O(1)})$ .

To obtain a better upper bound on  $\sigma_A(n)$ , we take the function  $f$  in the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) to be  $f(k) = \exp(ck)$ . It follows from [\(2.2\)](#) and [\(2.3\)](#) that  $\sigma_A(n) \lesssim \exp(Ck)$  and  $n \gtrsim \exp(ck^2)$ , thus giving the bound  $\sigma_A(n) \lesssim \exp(C\sqrt{n})$ . To test membership, we need to construct primes  $p$  of order at most  $\exp(ck) \approx \exp(c\sqrt{\log n})$  which can be done in  $(\log n)^{O(1)}$ -time under [Assumption 2.5](#).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

V.J. is supported by NSF CAREER award DMS-2237646. H.P. is supported by a Clay Research Fellowship and a Stanford Science Fellowship. M.S. is supported by NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program DGE-2141064. D.Z. is supported by the Jane Street Graduate Fellowship. We thank Zach Hunter and Sándor Kiss for carefully reading the manuscript and suggesting improvements and references.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Manindra Agrawal, Neeraj Kayal, and Nitin Saxena, *PRIMES is in P*, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), 781–793. [2](#)
- [2] Yong-Gao Chen, *The analogue of Erdős-Turán conjecture in  $\mathbf{Z}_m$* , J. Number Theory **128** (2008), 2573–2581. [2](#)
- [3] Javier Cilleruelo, Sándor Z. Kiss, Imre Z. Ruzsa, and Carlos Vinuesa, *Generalization of a theorem of Erdős and Rényi on Sidon sequences*, Random Structures Algorithms **37** (2010), 455–464. [2](#)
- [4] P. Erdős, *On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **15** (1954), 255–259. [1](#)
- [5] P. Erdős and R. L. Graham, *Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory*, Monographies de L’Enseignement Mathématique [Monographs of L’Enseignement Mathématique], vol. 28, Université de Genève, L’Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 1980. [1](#)
- [6] Paul Erdős, *Some of my favourite problems in number theory, combinatorics, and geometry*, vol. 2, 1995, Combinatorics Week (Portuguese) (São Paulo, 1994), pp. 165–186. [1](#)
- [7] Paul Erdős, *Some of my favorite problems and results*, The mathematics of Paul Erdős, I, Algorithms Combin., vol. 13, Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 47–67. [1](#)
- [8] Paul Erdős and Prasad Tetali, *Representations of integers as the sum of  $k$  terms*, Random Structures Algorithms **1** (1990), 245–261. [1](#)
- [9] Paul Erdos and Pál Turán, *On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory, and on some related problems*, J. London Math. Soc **16** (1941), 212–215. [1](#)
- [10] Mihail N. Kolountzakis, *An effective additive basis for the integers*, Discrete Math. **145** (1995), 307–313. [1](#)
- [11] Dimitris Koukoulopoulos, *The distribution of prime numbers*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 203, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2019] ©2019. [3](#)
- [12] J. C. Lagarias and A. M. Odlyzko, *Computing  $\pi(x)$ : an analytic method*, J. Algorithms **8** (1987), 173–191. [3](#)
- [13] Cédric Pilatte, *A solution to the Erdős-Sárközy-Sós problem on asymptotic sidon bases of order 3*. [2](#)
- [14] Imre Z. Ruzsa, *A just basis*, Monatsh. Math. **109** (1990), 145–151. [2](#), [4](#)
- [15] Imre Z. Ruzsa, *An infinite Sidon sequence*, J. Number Theory **68** (1998), 63–71. [2](#)
- [16] Simon Sidon, *Ein satz über trigonometrische polynome und seine anwendung in der theorie der Fourier-Reihen*, Mathematische Annalen **106** (1932), 536–539. [1](#)
- [17] Van H. Vu, *On a refinement of Waring’s problem*, Duke Math. J. **105** (2000), 107–134. [1](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL, 60607 USA  
*Email address:* visheshj@uic.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CA 94305, USA  
*Email address:* huypham@stanford.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA  
*Email address:* {msawhney,zakhdm}@mit.edu