The one-dimensional Coulomb Hamiltonian: Properties of its Birman-Schwinger operator

S. Fassari¹, M. Gadella^{2,3}, J.T. Lunardi⁴, L.M. Nieto^{2,5}, and F. Rinaldi¹

¹ Dipartimento di Scienze Ingegneristiche,

Univ. degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Via Plinio 44, I-00193, Rome, Italy

² Departamento de Física Teórica, Atómica y Optica,

Universidad de Valladolid, 47011 Valladolid, Spain

³ IMUVA, Universidad de Valladolid, 47011 Valladolid, Spain

⁴ Departamento de Matemática e Estatística,

Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa

Av. Carlos Cavalcanti, 4748, 84030-900, Ponta Grossa, Brazil

⁵ Laboratory for Disruptive Interdisciplinary Science (LaDIS),

Universidad de Valladolid, 47011 Valladolid, Spain

May 15, 2024

Abstract

We study the Birman-Schwinger operator for a self-adjoint realisation of the one-dimensional Hamiltonian with the Coulomb potential. We study both the case in which this Hamiltonian is defined on the whole real line and when it is only defined on the positive semiaxis. In both cases, the Birman-Schwinger operator is Hilbert-Schmidt, even though it is not trace class. Then, we have considered some approximations to the Hamiltonian depending on a positive parameter, under given conditions, and proved the convergence of the Birman-Schwinger operators of these approximations to the original Hamiltonian as the parameter goes to zero. Further comments and results have been included.

Keywords: Coulomb potential; Hilbert-Schmidt operators; Birman-Schwinger principle; resolvent convergence

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS: The authors state that the research was carried out in conjunction with one another and with equal accountability. The final text was reviewed and approved by all of the authors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT: The writers state unequivocally that they do not have any conflicts of interest.

^{*}sifassari@gmail.com, ORCID: 0000-0003-3475-7696

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ manuelgadella1@gmail.com, ORCID: 0000-0001-8860-990X

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ jttlunardi@uepg.br, ORCID: 0000-0001-7058-9592

[§]luismiguel.nieto.calzada@uva.es, ORCID: 0000-0002-2849-2647

[¶]f.rinaldi@unimarconi.it, ORCID: 0000-0002-0087-3042

1 Introduction

The present paper is intended to be a new contribution of Operator Theory to mathematical problems and models that take their origin in Quantum Mechanics. In our context, we use self-adjoint operators to represent quantum observables. In particular, we consider that the Hamiltonian, which defines the model under study, is always a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space of the type $L^2(\Delta)$. One dimensional models are those in which Δ is either the real line \mathbb{R} or a closed subspace thereof. One-dimensional models are used in Quantum Physics to study properties of the systems due to their greater simplicity than others in higher dimensions and because they are often solvable.

In the study of Quantum Mechanics, Hamiltonians are usually chosen self-adjoint¹ for various reasons that include: i.) the possibility of defining unitary time evolution operators, so that probabilities are conserved with time; ii.) conservation of probability current, an important physical property, or; iii.) reality of the spectrum (continuous spectra of symmetric operators which are not self-adjoint may be complex). We consider Hamiltonians which can be written as a sum of two terms, the former (resp. the latter) being the kinetic (resp. potential) energy plus a potential, i.e., $H = -\nabla^2 - V, V \ge 0$, where we have restricted ourselves to the case of nonpositive potentials due to the attractive nature of the Coulomb potential in the hydrogen atom.

The current literature on Mathematical Physics as well as on Quantum Mechanics discusses a great variety of Hamiltonian models for which the potential, or a component of the potential, is supported on a manifold having a smaller dimension than that of the ambient space. These potentials mimic forces with great strength placed on a very thin region of the space. Other models include potentials with other kinds of singularities. In general, such potentials are called point potentials, localised potentials or even singular potentials. Usually, their Hamiltonians are the sum of the *n*-th $(n \ge 1)$ dimensional Laplacian $-\nabla^2$ plus a point or singular interaction, typically a Dirac delta or a sum of Dirac deltas, and possibly other terms.

The question on how to determine self-adjoint versions of such Hamiltonians is often not simple. One usual procedure is the following: One starts with a *non-perturbed* Hamiltonian, H_0 , which is a symmetric operator with equal deficiency indices on a given domain, and then find its self-adjoint extensions and their domains. These new self-adjoint determinations of the original symmetric operator may have formal differences with respect to the former, which may be physically relevant (for instance having a different spectrum), and which may manifest themselves by the addition of one or more of these "singular" potential terms.

An example extensively studied in the literature is the one-dimensional Laplacian $-d^2/dx^2$ defined, say, on the Sobolev space $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}/\{0\})$ as a subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. This Laplacian is symmetric with deficiency indices (2, 2), so that it admits four one parametric families of self-adjoint operators. In this case, all self-adjoint extensions and, hence, the families of all perturbing potentials, are fixed through matching conditions at the origin. For instance, one of these families can be characterised by the upsurge of a potential of the type $V(x) = \alpha \, \delta(x)$, where $\alpha \neq 0$ is a real number and $\delta(x)$ is the renowned Dirac distribution. This procedure may be extended to a countably infinite set of points along the real line, without limit points [1].

Although this procedure of finding self-adjoint extensions through matching conditions may seem rather simple in principle, it is not so in the general case. Some extensions cannot be obtained in this way and require some more sophisticated and complicated constructions via

¹Here we do not wish to discuss the case of Hamiltonians which are not self-adjoint but PT-invariant.

regularisation processes. This is, for instance, the typical situation when one departs from a Hamiltonian $H_0 = -\nabla^2$ with dimension d > 1 (on an appropriate domain). However, it may also be the case where H_0 is a one-dimensional pseudo-differential operator [2,3]. These ideas have often been the source of new mathematics [4].

As mentioned before, another type of non-regular potentials are those exhibiting singularities. This is the case of the example under our study: the so-called one-dimensional Coulomb potential. Although the self-adjoint extensions of this Hamiltonian have been studied elsewhere [5–7], it is certainly worth studying them as they might represent a laboratory of new mathematical techniques meant to find properties of self-adjoint determinations of symmetric operators, the spectral ones being the most relevant ones. In the present paper, we use techniques based on the use of the Birman-Schwinger operator [8], in order to obtain spectral properties of the one-dimensional Coulomb Hamiltonian and, at the same time, we adopt a rigorous approach to some of the standard regularisations used for the Coulomb potential.

Then, our point of departure is given by the following formal Hamiltonian:

$$H := H_0 - V = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{\lambda}{|x|}, \qquad x \neq 0, \quad \lambda > 0.$$
 (1.1)

Contrary to the three-dimensional case, where the singularity $-\lambda/r$ is balanced by the Jacobian resulting from the change from Cartesian to spherical coordinates, in one dimension there is no mechanism to compensate the singularity due to the Coulomb potential². Therefore, the potential term in (1.1) is highly singular.

The issue regarding the self-adjoint realisation of the Hamiltonian (1.1) took centre stage in a remarkable number of articles such as [5,9-11]. In particular, [5] includes both the functional analytic and Feynman's path integral approach in relation to the quest for appropriate realisations of (1.1). In [6], we find a detailed discussion on the self-adjointness of these realisations. In the present context we should also mention the relevant contributions by Gesztesy [12] and Klaus [13]. Nevertheless, the mathematical analysis of the determinations of (1.1) is not yet over, as attested by two new recent publications [15,16].

Some regularisation attempts of (1.1) have been undertaken. Typically, one replaces the term $-\lambda/|x|$ by means of a regular potential symmetric with respect to the origin with a minimum at x = 0 and then takes the limit as the minimum goes to minus infinity [17, 18]. Another alternative consists in replacing this term $-\lambda/|x|$ by either $-\lambda/(|x| + \varepsilon)$ or $\lambda/\sqrt{x^2 + \varepsilon^2}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, or a similar one. Then, take the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. In some other cases, it is customary to add a cut-off to the Coulomb term [18, 19].

In this paper, we shall focus our attention on a particular self-adjoint determination of (1.1) of particular interest in Physics and analyse relevant properties concerning its related Birman-Schwinger operator.

The Birman-Schwinger principle has been discussed in [8, 20]. These works make use of the Birman-Schwinger operator which has its own interest from the mathematical point of view and, in addition, gives rise to an approximate determination of the ground state and the lowest lying excited states for non exactly solvable one-dimensional Hamiltonians which cannot be easily treated by other means. The main objective of the present contribution is the study of

²Since the potential in (1.1) is not a solution for the one-dimensional Poisson equation with a point source, it is not the proper Coulomb potential in one dimension. However, we follow the usage in the literature and refer to it as the one-dimensional Coulomb potential. The term "1-D hydrogen atom is also used in the literature in order to refer to the system with the Hamiltonian (1.1).

the Birman-Schwinger operator for the present model. It comes directly from the Schrödinger equation for an operator $H = H_0 - V$ like the one in (1.1), where H_0 is usually (although not necessarily) the kinetic term and V is the potential. This Schrödinger equation can be written in the form

$$(H_0 - E)\psi = V\psi, \qquad E < 0.$$
 (1.2)

Given that $V \ge 0$, so that its square root is well defined, then, after (1.2)

$$(H_0 - E)V^{-1/2}V^{1/2}\psi = V^{1/2}V^{1/2}\psi.$$
(1.3)

By setting $\chi := V^{1/2}\psi$, then (1.3) becomes

$$\chi = V^{1/2} (H_0 - E)^{-1} V^{1/2} \chi = B_{BS}(E) \chi \,. \tag{1.4}$$

The operator

$$B_{BS}(E) := V^{1/2} (H_0 - E)^{-1} V^{1/2}$$
(1.5)

is the renowned Birman-Schwinger operator. Before moving forward, we wish to remark that, as attested by a recent contribution to the topic [21], the B-S operator has also become increasingly relevant in the spectral analysis of a Hamiltonian not related to the Schrödinger equation, namely the one arising in relation to the linear Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) equation, the crucial equation in the theory of superconductivity.

Going back to our context as described so far, we note that, whenever V is a multiplication operator V(x), $B_{BS}(E)$ admits, in general, an integral kernel, so that

$$[B_{BS}(E)\psi](y) = \int K_{BS}(x,y;E)\,\psi(x)dx\,.$$
(1.6)

Discrete solutions of the Schrödinger equation (1.2) on E give the point spectrum of the Hamiltonian $H = H_0 - V$. From (1.4), one immediately sees that these energy levels are given by those values of E for which the operator $B_{BS}(E)$ has an eigenvalue equal to one. In general, this does not provide an accurate method in order to solve (1.2), even though the lowest eigenvalues can be determined within a reasonable approximation. When $B_{BS}(E)$ is a positive integral operator of the form (1.6), it is well known (see [22]) that it is trace class if and only if

$$\int K_{BS}(x,x;E) \, dx < \infty \,. \tag{1.7}$$

In this case, as shown in [20], the energy levels are the solutions of an equation in terms of a Fredholm determinant involving the B-S operator, namely $\det[I - B_{BS}(E)] = 0$. In general, this determinant is written as a series, so that only approximate solutions can be found, in general in terms of a given parameter [20, 23–26]. If instead B_{BS} were Hilbert-Schmidt, then a similar result could be obtained by replacing the Fredholm determinant by means of the so-called modified Fredholm determinant [25–28].

This is exactly what happens in relation to the determinant associated to the operator B_{BS} pertaining to H in (1.1), as we shall show in the present paper. With the aid of this fact we shall revisit the situation that emerges when we replace the Coulomb term in (1.1) by $-\lambda/(|x| + \varepsilon)$ or $-\lambda/\sqrt{x^2 + \varepsilon^2}$ or even other similar potentials with given properties and, at a later stage, take the limit $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. By imposing the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the origin on the one-dimensional Laplacian $-d^2/dx^2$, we see that all the Birman-Schwinger operators

corresponding to all these Hamiltonians converge, in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, to the Birman-Schwinger operator for (1.1). It is interesting that this convergence implies, in the present case, the convergence of the Hamiltonians in the norm resolvent sense.

The paper is organised as follows: in Section 2, we have obtained the Birman-Schwinger operator for the given self-adjoint determination of (1.1). We have studied two cases, one in which (1.1) is defined on the entire real line and the other only on the half-line $\mathbb{R}^+ \equiv [0, \infty)$. In both cases, we have imposed the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the origin. We have proved that the corresponding Birmann-Schwinger operators in both cases are Hilbert-Schmidt but not trace class. In Section 3, we have considered a class of approximations to the Hamiltonian (1.1) and shown the convergence in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the Birman-Schwinger operators for these approximations to the B-S operator of the chosen self-adjoint determination of (1.1). Finally, we have added some concluding remarks and an Appendix with some comments referring to some Birman-Schwinger operators similar to the ones for (1.1).

2 The Birman-Schwinger operator

Let us consider the Laplacian operator $-d^2/dx^2$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ \equiv [0, \infty)$. A domain of self-adjointness of this operator is the Sobolev space W_2^2 with f(0) = 0, the latter condition being the Dirichlet boundary condition at the origin. Henceforth, we denote this self-adjoint determination as $\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_{D^+}$. Its Green function (the kernel of the resolvent operator) is well known (see, for example, [29, 30]) and is given for E < 0 by

$$\left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_{D^+} + |E|\right]^{-1}(x,y) = \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|x-y|} - e^{-|E|^{1/2}(x+y)}}{2|E|^{1/2}}.$$
(2.1)

As pointed out in [13], see also [8, 29, 31], its counterpart for the self-adjoint realisation of $\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D$ is given by:

$$\left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D + |E|\right]^{-1}(x,y) = \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|x-y|} - e^{-|E|^{1/2}(|x|+|y|)}}{2|E|^{1/2}}.$$
(2.2)

A result that we do not prove here, since it comes easily from some well-known results established ³ in [26], the self-adjoint realisation of $\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D$, with the Dirichlet condition f(0) = 0, admits the following split:

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_{D^-} \bigoplus \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_{D^+},\tag{2.3}$$

where we define $\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_{D^-}$ exactly as $\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_{D^+}$ just by replacing $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ by $L^2(\mathbb{R}^-)$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ \equiv [0,\infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}^- \equiv (-\infty,0]$. Thus, we may say that he Dirichlet condition at the origin decouples $(-\infty,0)$ and $(0,+\infty)$.

Next, we consider the restriction to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ of (1.1) with the same boundary conditions for the Laplacian. This gives the following operator:

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_{D^+} - \frac{\lambda}{x}, \qquad x > 0, \qquad (2.4)$$

³In particular Theorem 3.1.2 in Section I.3 and Theorem 3.1.1.

for which the kernel of its Birman-Schwinger operator, $B_{BS}^+(E)$, is given by

$$K_{BS}^{+}(x,y,|E|) = \lambda \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} \left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)_{D^+} + |E| \right]^{-1} (x,y) \frac{1}{y^{1/2}} \\ = \lambda \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|x-y|} - e^{-|E|^{1/2}(x+y)}}{2|E|^{1/2}} \frac{1}{y^{1/2}}.$$
(2.5)

We wish to underline the difference between the Hamiltonian in (2.4) and the one in (1.1). The former, (2.4), is defined on the positive semiaxis, x > 0, in x-space, while (1.1) is valid for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

As proved in [6, 7], the operator (1.1) with the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the origin is well defined. The kernel of its Birman-Schwinger operator takes the following form:

$$K_{BS}(x,y;|E|) = \lambda \frac{1}{|x|^{1/2}} \left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)_D + |E| \right]^{-1} (x,y) \frac{1}{|y|^{1/2}} \\ = \lambda \frac{1}{|x|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|x-y|} - e^{-|E|^{1/2}(|x|+|y|)}}{2|E|^{1/2}} \frac{1}{|y|^{1/2}}, \qquad (2.6)$$

where we have omitted the superindex +, whenever it appears in (2.6), in order to properly distinguish between both kernels.

Neither Birman-Schwinger operator represented by the kernels (2.5) and (2.6) is trace class. For instance, as a direct consequence of (2.5), we have that

$$\int_0^\infty K_{BS}^+(x,x;|E|)\,dx = \frac{\lambda}{2|E|^{1/2}}\int_0^\infty \frac{1-e^{-2|E|^{1/2}x}}{x}\,dx = \infty\,,\tag{2.7}$$

the integral being clearly divergent since the integrand behaves at infinity like 1/x. Note that the function under the integral sign has a finite limit at the origin. The same obviously holds for (2.6). Observe that, in both cases, the divergence of the integral is logarithmic, so that one may well conjecture that both Birman-Schwinger operators are Hilbert-Schmidt. In order to prove this statement, let us write the kernel (2.5) as follows:

$$K_{BS}^{+}(x,y;|E|) = \lambda \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}x}}{x^{1/2}} \frac{e^{|E|^{1/2}[x+y-|x-y|]} - 1}{2|E|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}y}}{y^{1/2}}$$
$$= \lambda \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}x}}{x^{1/2}} \frac{e^{2|E|^{1/2}\min(x,y)} - 1}{2|E|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}y}}{y^{1/2}}.$$
(2.8)

Analogously, we may write the kernel (2.6) as follows:

$$K_{BS}(x,y;|E|) = \lambda \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|x|}}{|x|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{|E|^{1/2}(|x|+|y|-|x-y|)} - 1}{2|E|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|y|}}{|y|^{1/2}}$$
$$= \lambda \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|x|}}{|x|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{2|E|^{1/2}\min(|x|,|y|)} - 1}{2|E|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|y|}}{|y|^{1/2}}.$$
(2.9)

Next, we wish to prove that (2.5) is the kernel of a positive Hilbert-Schmidt operator, so that we need simply show that the following integral converges:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} [K_{BS}^{+}(x,y,|E|)]^{2} dx dy = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4|E|} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2|E|^{1/2}x} \left[\frac{e^{2|E|^{1/2}\min(x,y)} - 1}{x^{1/2}y^{1/2}}\right]^{2} e^{-2|E|^{1/2}y} dx dy$$
$$= \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4|E|} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \left[\frac{e^{\min(x,y)} - 1}{x^{1/2}y^{1/2}}\right]^{2} e^{-y} dx dy = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4|E|} A.$$
(2.10)

We can rewrite the last identity in (2.10) as follows:

$$A = 2 \int_0^\infty I(x) \frac{e^{-x}}{x} \, dx \,, \tag{2.11}$$

with

$$I(x) = \int_0^x \frac{e^{-y}}{y} (e^y - 1)^2 \, dy = 4 \int_0^x \frac{\sinh^2(y/2)}{y} \, dy = 4 \int_0^{x/2} \frac{\sinh^2 y}{y} \, dy \,. \tag{2.12}$$

By using the expansion

$$\sinh^2 y = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2\ell-1}}{(2\ell)!} y^{2\ell}, \qquad (2.13)$$

in the last expression in (2.12) we obtain:

$$I(x) = 4 \int_0^{x/2} \frac{1}{y} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{2^{2\ell-1}}{(2\ell)!} y^{2\ell} \right) dy = 4 \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{2^{2\ell-1}}{(2\ell)!} \int_0^{x/2} y^{2\ell-1} dy$$
$$= 4 \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{2^{2\ell-1}}{(2\ell)!} \left[\frac{y^{2\ell}}{2\ell} \right]_0^{\frac{x}{2}} = 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{2^{2\ell}}{2\ell(2\ell)!} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^{2\ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{x^{2\ell}}{l(2\ell)!} .$$
(2.14)

Hence, we can replace I(x) in (2.11) by means of the last series in (2.14), so that we get

$$A = 2 \int_0^\infty I(x) \frac{e^{-x}}{x} dx = 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{x^{2\ell}}{\ell(2\ell)!} \right) \frac{e^{-x}}{x} dx = 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\ell(2\ell)!} \int_0^\infty x^{2\ell-1} e^{-x} dx$$
$$= 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\ell(2\ell)!} \Gamma(2\ell) = \frac{1}{4|E|} \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\ell^2(2\ell)!} 2\ell \Gamma(2\ell) = \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\ell^2} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \qquad (2.15)$$

where $\zeta(z)$ is the Riemann zeta function [32]. Therefore,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [K_{BS}^+(x,y,|E|)]^2 dx dy = \frac{\lambda^2 \pi^2}{24|E|}$$
(2.16)

After inspecting (2.9), we note that the integral kernel $K_{BS}(x, y; |E|)$ is symmetric with respect to the origin in both variables x and y, so that:

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [K_{BS}(x,y;|E|)]^2 \, dx \, dy = 4 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [K_{BS}^+(x,y;|E|)]^2 \, dx \, dy = \frac{\lambda^2 \, \zeta(2)}{|E|} = \frac{\lambda^2 \, \pi^2}{6|E|} \,. \tag{2.17}$$

This confirms that both Birman-Schwinger operators, $B_{BS}(E)$ and $B_{BS}^+(E)$ are Hilbert-Schmidt. In addition, we have calculated their Hilbert-Schmidt norms, $|| - ||_{T^2}$, given by, respectively,

$$||B_{BS}||_{T^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6|E|}} \lambda \pi, \qquad ||B_{BS}^+||_{T^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6|E|}} \lambda \frac{\pi}{2}.$$
(2.18)

Now, let us go back to (1.2) and write it in the following manner [33]:

$$(H_0 - E)^{1/2} \psi = (H_0 - E)^{-1/2} V (H_0 - E)^{-1/2} (H_0 - E)^{1/2} \psi.$$
(2.19)

Then if $\chi := (H_0 - E)^{1/2} \psi$, equation (2.19) becomes

$$\chi = (H_0 - E)^{-1/2} V (H_0 - E)^{-1/2} \chi.$$
(2.20)

After (2.20) and in full analogy with what happens in the case of the Birman-Schwinger operator, the energy values for the bound states of (1.2) are those values of E for which the operator

$$R(E) := (H_0 - E)^{-1/2} V(H_0 - E)^{-1/2}$$
(2.21)

has an eigenvalue equal to one. As is well known [8, 34, 35], the operators $B_{BS}(E)$ defined in (1.5) and R(E) are isospectral. The latter is also a positive integral operator which in our case has the following kernel:

$$R(x,y;|E|) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)_D + |E| \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x,z) \frac{1}{|z|} \left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)_D + |E| \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (z,y) dz , \quad (2.22)$$

for which its restriction to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ has its integral kernel given by

$$R^{+}(x,y;|E|) = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} \right)_{D^{+}} + |E| \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x,z) \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} \right)_{D^{+}} + |E| \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (z,y) dz , \quad (2.23)$$

as becomes obvious after (2.22). If we call $R^+(E)$ the operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with the latter integral kernel, we conclude that the Hilbert-Schmidt norms of both, R(E) and $R^+(E)$ coincide with those of $B_{BS}(E)$ and $B^+_{BS}(E)$ as in (2.18), respectively, due to the isospectrality of $B_{BS}(E)$ and R(E) on one side and of $B^+_{BS}(E)$ and $R^+(E)$ on the other. It is worth pointing out that, due to the aforementioned isospectrality, operators like R(E) and $R^+(E)$ can be used in place of their respective B-S operators inside the Fredholm determinant whenever the trace class condition is met, that is to say the eigenenergies are also given by the solutions of det[I - R(E)] = 0[33, 36–38]. As a consequence, we have the following result:

Theorem 1 For any $\lambda > 0$, E < 0, the isospectral positive operators $B^+_{BS}(E)$ and $R^+(E)$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with integral kernels given respectively by (2.8) and (2.23), belong to the Hilbert-Schmidt class and their Hilbert-Schmidt norms are given by:

$$||B_{BS}^{+}(E)||_{T^{2}} = ||R^{+}(E)||_{T^{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6|E|}} \lambda \frac{\pi}{2}.$$
 (2.24)

Similarly, for any $\lambda > 0$, E < 0, the isospectral positive operators $B_{BS}(E)$ and R(E) acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, with integral kernels given respectively by (2.9) and (2.22), belong to the Hilbert-Schmidt class and their Hilbert-Schmidt norms are given by:

$$||B_{BS}(E)||_{T^2} = ||R(E)||_{T^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6|E|}} \lambda \pi.$$
(2.25)

In the Appendix, we shall introduce two new operators with similar mathematical properties to those studied in the present Section.

2.1 On the number of bound states

At this stage we wish to determine an upper limit for the number of bound states, $N_E(H)$, for the Hamiltonian $H_{D^+} := \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_{D^+} - \frac{\lambda}{x}$ within the interval $(-\infty, E]$ with E < 0. This estimation is based on the proof of Theorem XIII.10 in [20], which gives an upper bound (the Birman-Schwinger bound) for the number of bound states for a Hamiltonian of the form H = $H_0 - V = -\nabla^2 - V(\mathbf{x})$, where $V(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ belongs to the Rollnik class [20]. The proof provided in [20] is based on the fact that, being $V(\mathbf{x})$ a Rollnik potential, its Birman-Schwinger operator is Hilbert-Schmidt and this proves the Birman-Schwinger bound (and in fact, the Rollnik property is just a condition needed to get the Hilbert-Schmidt property of the relevant B-S operator, which is crucial for the existence of the bound in that context, and is irrelevant for our case). The result in [20] shows that $N_E(H) \le ||B||_{T^2}^2$.

The proof of this result can be mimicked for the case under our study, obtaining the same result. Thus, after (2.23), we have the following bound

$$N_E(H_{D^+}) \le \frac{\lambda^2 \pi^2}{24|E|}.$$
(2.26)

In particular for $E = -1/n^2$, we have

$$N_{-1/n^2}(H_{D^+}) \le \frac{\lambda^2 \, \pi^2 n^2}{24} \,. \tag{2.27}$$

If instead of H_{D^+} , we have $H_D := \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D - \frac{\lambda}{|x|}$, so that, by using (2.24), we obtain the following bounds:

$$N_E(H_D) \le \frac{\lambda^2 \pi^2}{6|E|}, \qquad N_{-1/n^2}(H_D) \le \frac{\lambda^2 \pi^2 n^2}{6}.$$
 (2.28)

3 On the approximation of the one-dimensional Coulomb potential by a smeared potential

Throughout the present Section, we intend to revisit an issue that has been rather controversial, that is to say the connection between the one-dimensional Hamiltonian

$$H_D(\lambda) := \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D - \frac{\lambda}{|x|},\tag{3.1}$$

and a family of Hamiltonians of the type

$$H(\varepsilon,\lambda) := \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D - \lambda V_{\varepsilon}(|x|), \qquad 0 \le V_{\varepsilon}(|x|) \le \frac{\lambda}{|x|}.$$
(3.2)

Here, $V_{\varepsilon}(|x|)$ denotes a family of potentials in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, dependent on the positive parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, such that in a given sense (usually pointwise),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} V_{\varepsilon}(|x|) = \frac{\lambda}{|x|}.$$
(3.3)

There are several choices in the literature for the family of potentials $V_{\varepsilon}(|x|)$. Among the most usual ones, we may cite $\frac{\lambda}{|x|+\varepsilon}$ and $\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{x^2+\varepsilon^2}}$.

In the sequel, we consider E < 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $B_{\varepsilon}(E)$ and $R_{\varepsilon}(E)$ two families of integral operators depending on the parameter ε . We define these operators by means of their kernels, which are, respectively,

$$B_{\varepsilon}(x,y;|E|) = \lambda \sqrt{V_{\varepsilon}(|x|)} \left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)_D + |E| \right]^{-1} (x,y) \sqrt{V_{\varepsilon}(|y|)}, \qquad (3.4)$$

for B_{ε} , and for R_{ε}

$$R_{\varepsilon}(x,y;|E|) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)_D + |E| \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x,z) V_{\epsilon}(|z|) \left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)_D + |E| \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (z,y) \, dz \,. \tag{3.5}$$

Theorem 2 We have the following operator limits on the Hilbert-Schmidt norm:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} B_{\varepsilon} = B_{BS} , \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} R_{\varepsilon} = R , \qquad (3.6)$$

where B_{BS} and R have been defined in (1.5) and in (2.20), respectively.

Proof.- We first show that B_{ε} is Hilbert-Schmidt for any $\varepsilon > 0$. To accomplish this goal, we need only prove that its kernel is square integrable. In fact,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} [B_{\varepsilon}(x,y;|E|)]^{2} dx dy = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4|E|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V_{\epsilon}(|x|) \left[e^{-|E|^{1/2}|x-y|} - e^{-|E|^{1/2}(|x|+|y|)} \right]^{2} V_{\epsilon}(|y|) dx dy$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4|E|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V_{\epsilon}(|x|) \left[e^{-2|E|^{1/2}|x-y|} + e^{-2|E|^{1/2}(|x|+|y|)} \right] V_{\epsilon}(|y|) dx dy$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4|E|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V_{\epsilon}(|x|) e^{-2|E|^{1/2}|x-y|} V_{\epsilon}(|y|) dx dy + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4|E|} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2|E|^{1/2}|x|} V_{\epsilon}(|x|) dx \right]^{2} . \quad (3.7)$$

Now, let us use the notation $|| - ||_p$ for the norm in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, with p = 1, 2 and the star * to denote convolution. Then the sum in the last row in (3.7) is nothing else but

$$\frac{1}{4|E|} \left\| V_{\epsilon} \cdot \left(e^{-2|E|^{1/2}|\cdot|} * V_{\epsilon} \right) \right\|_{1} + \frac{1}{4|E|} \left\| e^{-2|E|^{1/2}|\cdot|} V_{\epsilon} \right\|_{1}^{2}
\leq \frac{1}{4|E|} \left\| e^{-2|E|^{1/2}|\cdot|} \right\|_{1} \left\| V_{\epsilon} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{4|E|} \left\| e^{-2|E|^{1/2}|\cdot|} \right\|_{2}^{2} \left\| V_{\epsilon} \right\|_{2}^{2}
= \frac{\left\| V_{\epsilon} \right\|_{2}^{2}}{4|E|} \left(\frac{1}{|E|^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{2|E|^{1/2}} \right) = \frac{3}{8|E|^{3/2}},$$
(3.8)

where the inequality in (3.8) is a consequence of Young's inequality [39] for the convolution in addition to the well-known one due to Schwartz. This shows that B_{ε} is Hilbert-Schmidt for all $\varepsilon > 0$. A similar proof can be produced in order to establish the analogous property for the family R_{ε} .

Next, we prove that the limit (3.6) holds in the weak operator sense. Let $\psi(x), \varphi(x) \in \mathcal{S}_0$, where S_0 is the space of all Schwartz functions that vanish at the origin. The space S_0 is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let us consider the following expression, where we omit the factor $\lambda/(2|E|^{1/2})$ and denote by [-] the central factor in (2.6) or (3.7) and V(|x|) := 1/|x|:

$$\langle \psi | (B_{\varepsilon} - B_{BS}) \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|x|) \psi^{*}(x) [-] V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|y|) \varphi(y) \, dx \, dy$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V^{1/2}(|x|) \psi^{*}(x) [-] V^{1/2}(|y|) \varphi(y) \, dx \, dy$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|x|) \psi^{*}(x) [-] V^{1/2}(|y|) \varphi(y) \, dx \, dy$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|x|) \psi^{*}(x) [-] [V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|y|) - V^{1/2}(|y|)] \varphi(y) \, dx \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|x|) \psi^{*}(x) [-] [V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|y|) - V^{1/2}(|y|)] \varphi(y) \, dx \, dy$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} [V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|x|) - V^{1/2}(|x|)] \psi^{*}(x) [-] V^{1/2}(|y|)] \varphi(y) \, dx \, dy .$$

$$(3.9)$$

Since $[-] \leq 2$, the modulus of the fifth row in (3.9) is bounded by (omitting the 2)

$$\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|x|)|\psi(x)|\,dx\right] \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|y|) - V^{1/2}(|y|)|\,|\varphi(y)|\,dy\right]\,.$$
(3.10)

As $V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2}(|x|) \leq V^{1/2}(|x|)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we may apply the dominated convergence theorem to both factors in (3.10) so that this product vanishes as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The same argument goes for the last term in (3.9). Now, both operators B_{ε} and $B_{BS}(E)$ are bounded and \mathcal{S}_0 is dense, which proves that $B_{\varepsilon} \to B_{BS}(E)$ in the weak operator sense.

Given that $B_{\epsilon}(x,y;|E|) \leq K_{BS}(x,y;|E|)$ and $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} B_{\epsilon}(x,y;|E|) = K_{BS}(x,y;|E|)$ pointwise, we may also apply the dominated convergence theorem in order to obtain:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} ||B_{\varepsilon}||_{T_2}^2 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{\infty} [B_{\epsilon}(x, y; |E|)]^2 \, dx \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [K_{BS}(x, y; |E|)]^2 \, dx \, dy = ||B_{BS}||_{T_2}^2 \,, \tag{3.11}$$

so that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} ||B_{\varepsilon}||_{T_2} = ||B_{BS}||_{T_2}$. Then, by invoking Theorem 2.21 in [40], we conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} ||B_{\varepsilon} - B_{BS}||_{T_2} = 0, \qquad (3.12)$$

which proves the first assertion in (3.6). The second one is a consequence of the isospectrality of B_{ε} and R_{ε} on one side and B_{BS} and R on the other.

Corollary 1 The resolvent of the operator

$$H_D(\varepsilon,\lambda) := \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D - \lambda V_{\varepsilon}(|x|), \qquad (3.13)$$

converges in the norm topology of bounded operators to the resolvent of the operator

$$H_D(\lambda) := \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D - \frac{\lambda}{|x|}.$$
(3.14)

Proof.- The fact that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} R_{\varepsilon} = R$ in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm shows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} (H_0 - E)^{-1/2} (H_D(\varepsilon, \lambda) - H_D(\lambda)) (H_0 - E)^{1/2} =$$
$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} (H_0 - E)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|} - \lambda V_{\varepsilon}(|x|)\right) (H_0 - E)^{1/2}, \qquad (3.15)$$

in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Since for any H-S operator A, $||A|| \leq ||A||_{T^2}$, where ||-|| is the norm for bounded operators, then, the above limit holds for this norm as well. Then, we use the proof of part (c) of Theorem VIII.25 in [14] to conclude that $H_D(\varepsilon, \lambda) \to H_D(\lambda)$ in the norm resolvent sense⁴.

This result may be regarded as a small modification of the remarkable functional analytic result due to Klaus in [13] (see [12] as well). Here a proof is given of the convergence of the operator $H(\epsilon, \lambda) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \lambda V_{\epsilon}(|x|)$ to $\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D - \frac{\lambda}{|x|}$ in the norm resolvent sense. Note that in the former Hamiltonian we do not use the Dirichlet boundary conditions. However, due to the condition on the potential, this Hamiltonian is self-adjoint on its maximal domain. The proof is based on the fact that for E < 0, we have that

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + |E|\right)^{-1} - \left[\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_D + |E|\right]^{-1} = \frac{1}{2|E|^{1/2}} \left|e^{-|E|^{1/2}|\cdot|}\right\rangle \left\langle e^{-|E|^{1/2}|\cdot|}\right| = P_{|E|}, \quad (3.16)$$

which means that the difference between these two resolvents is a rank one operator. Then, the author in [13] uses the expansion of $(H(\epsilon, \lambda) + |E|)^{-1}$ together with the explicit formula for the resolvent of the positive rank one operator $P_{|E|}$, which is given by

$$\left(P_{|E|} + |E|\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{|E|} - \frac{1}{|E| \left[\left\|e^{-|E|^{1/2} \cdot |\cdot|}\right\|_{2}^{2} + |E|\right]} P_{|E|} = \frac{1}{|E|} - \frac{1}{|E|^{1/2} \left[1 + |E|^{3/2}\right]} P_{|E|}, (3.17)$$

to achieve the above-mentioned result, stated as a formal theorem in the following form which is consistent with the notation adopted throughout this article:

Theorem 3 Let $0 \leq V_{\epsilon}(|x|) \leq \frac{1}{|x|}$ be a square summable potential such that $V_{\epsilon}(|x|) \rightarrow \frac{1}{|x|}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Then, for any $\lambda > 0$, the self-adjoint Hamiltonian $H(\epsilon, \lambda) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \lambda V_{\epsilon}(|x|)$ converges in the norm resolvent sense to the self-adjoint Hamiltonian $H_D(\lambda) = \left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right]_D - \frac{\lambda}{|x|}$.

4 Concluding Remarks

The one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with Coulomb potential has been the object of various studies. Differently from its three-dimensional version, no unique self-adjoint realisation of the Hamiltonian pertaining to this equation exists. In the present study, we have chosen one of such realisations which fixes the Hamiltonian, $H_D(\lambda)$, characterised by the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the origin. The parameter λ is just a multiplicative constant that appears in the potential. Once we have made this choice, there are still some open problems concerning this potential. We have discussed two of them.

⁴This result provides a sufficient condition for a sequence of positive self-adjoint operators with a common form domain, converging in norm to a given operator A, to converge in the norm resolvent sense to A.

In the first place, we have obtained the Birman-Schwinger operator for the given Hamiltonian. The Birman-Schwinger operator is intrinsically related with the Hamiltonian and, under some conditions, provides a tool to obtain bound states and scattering resonances. A sufficient condition thereof is that the Birman-Schwinger operator be Hilbert-Schmidt. We have rigorously shown that, in fact, this operator is Hilbert-Schmidt.

It is interesting to remark that in the process of our proof, we have obtained an integral expression for $\zeta(2)$, where $\zeta(s)$ represents the Riemann zeta function, which, at least to the best of our knowledge, seems to be new. This is

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \left[\frac{e^{\min(x,y)} - 1}{x^{1/2} y^{1/2}} \right] e^{-y} \, dx \, dy = \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\ell^2} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \,. \tag{4.1}$$

The second issue analysed here deals with possible regularisations of the Hamiltonian based on approximations of the one-dimensional Coulomb potential by another potential which depends on a certain parameter. As this parameter goes to zero, the approximating potential approaches the Coulomb one. We have shown that such approximations make sense since the Birman-Schwinger operator of the approximating Hamiltonian converges to the Birman-Schwinger operator of the exact Hamiltonian in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Furthermore, this implies the approximation of these Hamiltonians in the norm resolvent sense.

A thorough analysis of the discrete spectrum of $H_D(\lambda)$ will be carried out in a forthcoming paper.

A Appendix

Intimately linked and with a similar shape to the Birman-Schwinger operators $B_{BS}(E)$ and $B_{BS}^+(E)$ are the integral operators b(E) and $b^+(E)$ also defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, respectively. In principle, these operators have a purely mathematical interest: the traces of the operators given by the kernels $B_{BS}(E)$ and b(E) coincide on given subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and the same happens with $B_{BS}^+(E)$ and $b^+(E)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. These operators are defined through their respective kernels as follows:

$$b(x,y;|E|) := \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|x|}}{|x|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{2|E|^{1/2}|x|^{1/2}|y|^{1/2}} - 1}{2|E|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}|y|}}{|y|^{1/2}},$$
(A.1)

and

$$b^{+}(x,y;|E|) := \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}x}}{x^{1/2}} \frac{e^{2|E|^{1/2}x^{1/2}y^{1/2}} - 1}{2|E|^{1/2}} \frac{e^{-|E|^{1/2}y}}{y^{1/2}},$$
(A.2)

where $x \ge 0$ and $y \ge 0$ in (A.2). It is noteworthy to compare (A.1) with (2.7) and (A.2) with (2.6).

The comparison between these operators is simple. In fact, taking account of $\min(x, y) \leq x^{1/2} y^{1/2}$ for any $x, y \geq 0$, one has that for any $\psi(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$:

$$0 \le \left(\psi, B_{BS}^+ \psi\right) \le \left(\psi, b^+ \psi\right),\tag{A.3}$$

where (-, -) denotes the scalar product between two vectors in the given Hilbert space. This means that $0 \le B_{BS}^+ \le b^+$. Similarly, $0 \le B_{BS} \le b$.

The reason behind our interest in these new operators is that their trace coincides with that of their counterparts, when we consider the restriction of all these operators on given subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for b^+ and B_{BS}^+ , or $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for b and B_{BS} . We have already commented on the reason to consider these two new operators. In fact, take $L^2[0, N]$ considered as a subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. On the interval [0, N], we have

$$\int_0^N B^+(x,x;|E|) \, dx = \int_0^N b^+(x,x;|E|) \, dx = \frac{1}{2|E|^{1/2}} \int_0^N \frac{1 - e^{-2|E|^{1/2}x}}{x} \, dx \,. \tag{A.4}$$

The last integral is obviously convergent, as the integrand has a removable singularity at the origin. Thus, the traces of both restrictions coincide and diverge in the limit $N \to \infty$. This is a manifestation of the well-known infrared divergence, i.e., divergence for large distances or, equivalently, short momenta, of the one-dimensional Coulomb potential. The same can be said about the restrictions of b and B_{BS} to the spaces $L^2[-N, N]$.

It is interesting to show that, although they are not trace class, both b^+ and b are Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Both are, rather obviously, positive operators. We just give the proof for b^+ , the proof for b being similar. We need only compute the following integral:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} [b^{+}(x,y;|E|)]^{2} dx dy = \frac{1}{4|E|} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2|E|^{1/2}x} \left[\frac{e^{2|E|^{1/2}x^{1/2}y^{1/2}} - 1}{x^{1/2}y^{1/2}} \right]^{2} e^{-2|E|^{1/2}y} dx dy$$
$$= \frac{1}{4|E|} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \left[\frac{e^{x^{1/2}y^{1/2}} - 1}{x^{1/2}y^{1/2}} \right]^{2} e^{-y} dx dy.$$
(A.5)

Now, let us use the well-known expansion of $\frac{e^x-1}{x}$ in the last integral in (A.5). Then, this integral is equal to:

$$\frac{1}{4|E|} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \frac{x^{\ell/2} y^{\ell/2}}{(\ell+1)!} \right]^2 e^{-y} dx dy = \frac{1}{4|E|} \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!(m+1)!} \left[\int_0^\infty x^{\frac{\ell+m}{2}} e^{-x} dx \right]^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{4|E|} \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma^2 \left(\frac{\ell+m}{2} + 1\right)}{(\ell+1)!(m+1)!} = \frac{13\pi^2}{72|E|}.$$
(A.6)

Expressions (A.5) and (A.6) give the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of b^+ , which is

$$||b^+||_{T^2} = \sqrt{\frac{13}{2|E|}} \frac{\pi}{6} \,. \tag{A.7}$$

Similar manipulations give the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of b, which is given by

$$||b||_{T^2} = \sqrt{\frac{13}{2|E|}} \frac{\pi}{3}.$$
 (A.8)

All operators considered here are positive and Hilbert-Schmidt, so that the squares of their Hilbert-Schmidt trace norms is the sum of the squares of their respective eigenvalues. Let us denote the eigenvalues of b^+ and B_{BS}^+ by $\{\lambda_n^+(|E|)\}\$ and by $\{\Lambda_n^+(|E|)\}\$, respectively. We obtain the following results:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n^+(|E|) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^+(|E|) = \infty,$$
 (A.9)

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda_n^+(|E|)]^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{24|E|} = \frac{3}{13} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\lambda_n^+(|E|)]^2.$$
(A.10)

Then, let us denote the eigenvalues of b and B_{BS} by $\{\lambda_n(|E|)\}\$ and by $\{\Lambda_n(|E|)\}\$, respectively. Now, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n(|E|) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(|E|) = \infty, \qquad (A.11)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda_n(|E|)]^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{6|E|} = \frac{3}{13} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\lambda_n(|E|)]^2.$$
(A.12)

Observe that the relations are identical in both cases. On the basis of the considerations in this Appendix, we wonder whether it might be worth investigating further such pairs of positive compact operators along the lines of previous research on diagonals of positive trace class operators (see [41]).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Spanish MCIN with funding from the European Union Next Generation EU, PRTRC17.11, and the Consejería de Educación from the JCyL through the QCAYLE project, as well as MCIN projects PID2020-113406GB-I00 and RED2022-134301-T. S. Fassari wishes to express his heartfelt thanks to Prof. L.M. Nieto and to Prof. M. Gadella for making his stay at their institution, Department of Theoretical, Atomic and Optical Physics of the University of Valladolid, during the second half of April 2023 possible through the aforementioned funding sources, as well as to all the other members of the department for their warm hospitality. Also, M. Gadella wishes to express his gratitude to Prof. J. T. Lunardi and his group for their warm hospitality at the University of Ponta Grossa.

References

- [1] P. Kurasov, Distribution theory for discontinuous test functions and differential operators with generalized coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **201** (1996), 297-323.
- [2] S. Albeverio and P. Kurasov, Pseudo-Differential Operators with Point Interactions, Lett. Math. Phys. 41 (1997), 79-92.
- [3] F. Erman, M. Gadella, and H. Uncu, One-Dimensional Semi-Relativistic Hamiltonian with Multiple Dirac Delta Potentials, *Phys. Rev. D* 95 (2017), 045004.
- [4] E. Lieb and M. Loss, Analysis: Second Edition, AMS, NY, 2001.

- [5] W. Fischer, H. Leschke, and P. Müller, The functional analytic versus functional integral approach to quantum Hamiltonians: The one-dimensional hydrogen atom, J. Math. Phys. 36 (1995), 2313-2323.
- [6] C. R. de Oliveira and A. A. Verri, Self-adjoint extensions of Coulomb systems in 1, 2 and 3 dimensions, Ann. Phys. 324 (2009), 251–66.
- [7] M. Calçada, J.T. Lunardi, L.A. Manzoni, W. Monteiro, and M. Pereira, A distributional approach for the one-dimensional hydrogen atom, *Front. Phys.* 7 (2019), 101.
- [8] M. Klaus, Some applications of the Birman-Schwinger principle, *Helv. Phys. Acta* 55 (1982), 49-68.
- [9] P. Kurasov, On the Coulomb potential in one dimension, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 (1996), 1767–1771.
- [10] W. Fischer, H. Leschke, and P. Müller, Comment on 'On the Coulomb potential in one dimension' by P Kurasov, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997), 5579–5581.
- [11] P. Kurasov, Response to "Comment on 'On the Coulomb potential in one dimension'" by Fischer, Leschke and Müller, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997), 5583–5589.
- [12] F. Gesztesy, On the one-dimensional Coulomb Hamiltonian, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 13 (1980), 867.
- [13] M. Klaus, Removing cut-offs from one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 13 (1980), L295-L298.
- [14] M. Reed and B. Simon, Functional Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1972
- [15] A. Shutovskyi et al, Solving a singular integral equation for the one-dimensional Coulomb problem, *Phys. Scr.* 98 (2023), 085219.
- [16] Y. Zhang, Q. Zeng, D. Sun, and O. Olendski, Comment on 'Solving a singular integral equation for the one-dimensional Coulomb problem' (2023 Physica Scripta 98 085219), *Phys. Scr.* 99 (2024), 027001.
- [17] R. Loudon, One-dimensional hydrogen atom, Am. J. Phys. 27 (1959), 649-655.
- [18] R. Loudon, One-dimensional hydrogen atom, Proc. R. Soc. A 472 (2016), 20150534.
- [19] H. Rueder, G. Wunner, H. Herold, and F. Geyer, Atoms in Strong Magnetic Fields, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [20] M. Reed and B. Simon, Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [21] C. Hainzl, B. Roos, and R. Seiringer, Boundary superconductivity in the BCS Model, J. Spectr. Theory 12 (2022), 1507–1540.
- [22] M. Reed and B. Simon, Scattering Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [23] S. Fassari, Spectral properties of the Kronig-Penney Hamiltonian with a localized impurity, J. Math. Phys. 30 (1989), 1385.

- [24] S. Fassari, On the bound states of non-relativistic Krönig-Penney Hamiltonians with short range impurities, *Helv. Phys. Acta* 63 (1990), 849–883.
- [25] B. Simon, The bound state of weakly coupled Schrödinger operators in one and two dimensions, Ann. Phys. 97 (1976), 279-288.
- [26] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, and H. Holden Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics, Providence, RI: AMS Chelsea Series, 2004.
- [27] S. Fassari, M. Gadella, L.M. Nieto, and F. Rinaldi, The energy of the ground state of the two-dimensional Hamiltonian of a parabolic quantum well in the presence of an attractive Gaussian impurity, *Symmetry* 2021, 13, 1561.
- [28] S. Fassari, M. Gadella, L.M. Nieto, and F. Rinaldi, On Hermite functions, integral kernels, and quantum wires, *Mathematics* 2022, 10, 3012.
- [29] J. Derezinski, *Operators on* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *Lecture Notes*, Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics, Warsaw University, 2007.
- [30] S. Fassari, M. Gadella, L.M. Nieto, and F. Rinaldi, The Schrödinger particle on the halfline with an attractive δ -interaction: bound states and resonances, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **136** (2021), 673.
- [31] R. Blankenbecler, M. L. Goldberger, and B. Simon, The bound states of weakly coupled long-range one-dimensional quantum Hamiltonians, Ann. Phys. 108 (1977), 69.
- [32] G.B. Arfken: Mathematical Methods for Physicists, 3rd Ed., Academic Press, New York, 1985.
- [33] S. Fassari, L.M. Nieto, and F. Rinaldi, The two lowest eigenvalues of the harmonic oscillator in the presence of a Gaussian perturbation, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135** (2020), 728.
- [34] P.A. Deift, Applications of a commutation formula, Duke Math. J. 45 (1978), 267.
- [35] M. Klaus, A remark about weakly coupled one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, *Helv. Phys. Acta* 52 (1979), 223–229.
- [36] S. Fassari, A note on the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator perturbed by the potential $\lambda x^2/(1 + gx^2)$, Rep. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 283-293.
- [37] S. Fassari and G. Inglese, On the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator with the interaction $\lambda x^2/(1 + gx^2)$ (II), *Rep. Math. Phys.* **39** (1997), 77-86.
- [38] S. Fassari, M. Gadella, L.M. Nieto, and F. Rinaldi, On the spectrum of the one-dimensional Schrödinger Hamiltonian perturbed by an attractive Gaussian potential, *Acta Polytechnica* 57 (2017), 385–390.
- [39] M. Reed and B. Simon, Fourier Analysis. Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [40] B. Simon, Trace Ideals and Their Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1979.
- [41] W. Arveson and R. V. Kadison, Diagonals of self-adjoint operators, in Operator theory, operator algebras, and applications. Contemporary Mathematics Series 414, pp. 247-263, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.