

On the transcendental condition for Gaussian Gabor frames

Franz Luef, Johannes Testorf, Xu Wang

ABSTRACT. We give a criterion for higher-dimensional Gaussian Gabor frames, which is a reformulation of one of the main results in [4, Thm 1.1] in more explicit terms. We also show that this density criterion for Gaussian Gabor frames is generic in a certain sense.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us recall the setting of [4]. We take a Gaussian $g_\Omega(t) := \overline{e^{\pi i t^T \Omega t}}$, where $\Omega \in \mathfrak{h}$ is an element of the Siegel upper half space

$$\mathfrak{h} := \{\Omega \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) : \Omega = \Omega^T, \text{Im } \Omega \text{ is positive definite}\},$$

a lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, and associate to these the Gaussian Gabor system $\{\pi_\lambda g_\Omega\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ as

$$\pi_\lambda g_\Omega(t) := e^{2\pi i \xi^T t} g_\Omega(t - x), \quad \lambda = (\xi, x) \in \Lambda, \quad \xi^T t := \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j t_j.$$

Then $\{\pi_\lambda g_\Omega\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is called a Gaussian Gabor frame if there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |(f, \pi_\lambda g_\Omega)|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2 \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the L^2 inner product.

Multivariate Gaussian Gabor frames have recently been investigated by the first and the last author of this note [4, Thm. 1.1], where a density condition for a certain class of Gaussian Gabor frames is formulated for transcendental lattices Λ in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Recall that a lattice Γ in \mathbb{C}^n is said to be transcendental if the complex torus $X := \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$ is Campana simple, i.e. the only positive dimensional analytic subvariety of X is X itself.

In [5] density conditions for bivariate Gaussian Gabor frames for product lattices are established by relating Gabor systems as elements of a quasi-shift invariant space of functions. An almost complete description of lattices that have Gaussian Gabor frames is given in [5], except for lattices given by an irrational rotation.

By our reformulation of [4, Thm. 1.1] in purely combinatorial terms for the lattice we are able to cover lattices outside the scope of [5], and we will also demonstrate that this density criterion is a generic property.

2. A DENSITY CRITERION FOR GAUSSIAN GABOR FRAMES

We want to give an equivalent condition on lattices $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ that give Gaussian Gabor frames (g_Ω, Λ) . By Proposition 1.4 in [4], it suffices to look at the $\Omega = iI$ case, i.e.

$$g_\Omega(t) = e^{-\pi|t|^2}.$$

In this case, by the Bargmann transform, we know that $(e^{-\pi|t|^2}, \Lambda)$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} := \{\xi + ix \in \mathbb{C}^n : (\xi, x) \in \Lambda\}$$

defines a frame for the classical Bargmann-Fock space. Hence, by [3, Prop. 2.1] we have the following fact:

Proposition 2.1. *$(e^{-\pi|t|^2}, \Lambda)$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a set of uniqueness for \mathcal{F}^∞ , i.e. if for every holomorphic function F on \mathbb{C}^n we have*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} |F(z)|^2 e^{-\pi|z|^2} \leq 1 \text{ and } F|_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}} = 0,$$

then $F \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{C}^n .

On the other hand, one may use Kähler geometry methods to prove the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *If $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not a set of uniqueness for \mathcal{F}^∞ and $\mathbb{C}^n/\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ has no analytic subvariety of dimension $1 \leq d < n$ then $|\Lambda| \geq 1/n!$.*

Proof. If $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not a set of uniqueness for \mathcal{F}^∞ , then the following ω -pluri-subharmonic function (PSH)

$$G(z) := \sup^* \left\{ \log(|F(z)|^2 e^{-\pi|z|^2}) : F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n), \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} |F(z)|^2 e^{-\pi|z|^2} = 1, F|_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}} = 0 \right\}$$

is not identically equal to $-\infty$, where $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denotes the space of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n , \sup^* denotes the upper semicontinuous regularization of the supremum and by ω -PSH we mean that G is an upper semicontinuous function with $dd^c G + \omega \geq 0$, and ω is the standard Kähler form on \mathbb{C}^n .

Note that G is $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant.

To see this, consider a point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, a lattice point $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$, and a holomorphic function F which is a suitable candidate for G . Then we have

$$|F(z + \lambda)|^2 e^{-\pi|z + \lambda|^2} = |F(z + \lambda) e^{-\pi z \bar{\lambda} - \frac{\pi|\lambda|^2}{2}}|^2 e^{-\pi|z|^2}.$$

Let us define

$$\tilde{F}(z) := F(z + \lambda) e^{-\pi z \bar{\lambda} - \frac{\pi|\lambda|^2}{2}},$$

we have that \tilde{F} is also a candidate for G . This means that $G(z)$ is no less than $G(z + \lambda)$, implying $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariance.

As such, we now consider G as a function on the torus $\mathbb{C}^n/\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$. We clearly have that $dd^c G(z)$ is bounded from below by $-\omega$, meaning we may use the approximation result of Demailly for

quasi-psh functions ([2, Proposition 3.7]) to find a sequence of quasi-PSH functions $(G_m)_m$ which converge to G , such that $G_m > G$, have only analytic singularities, and fulfill the estimate:

$$\nu_x(G) - \frac{n}{m} \leq \nu_x(G_m) \leq \nu_x(G), \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$$

for the Lelong numbers of G and G_m . We have the estimate for G_m

$$dd^c G_m \geq -(1 + \varepsilon_m)\omega,$$

for some sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_m)_m$ which decreases to 0.

Assume now that $\mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ has no analytic subvariety of dimension $1 \leq d < n$. This then implies that the singularities of the G_m must be isolated. Thus we can bound the Seshadri constant of $\mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ from below by the Lelong numbers of $\frac{G_m}{1+\varepsilon_m}$ at the point corresponding to the origin. Since these are bounded from below by $\frac{1-(n/m)}{1+\varepsilon_m}$, taking the limit as m approaches infinity, we have that the Seshadri constant of $\mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ is no less than one. Demailly's mass concentration trick also implies that (see [7, Theorem 2.8]) this bound on the Seshadri constant is equivalent to $|\Lambda| \geq 1/n!$. \square

To check that $\mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ has no analytic subvariety of dimension $1 \leq d < n$ we need the following result (the proof follows directly from the argument in page 164-165 in [6, pp.164-165]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let Γ be a lattice in \mathbb{C}^n . Assume that the following linear mapping from singular homology to functionals on Dolbeault cohomology*

$$(2.1) \quad \text{int}_{\Gamma} : \bigoplus_{1 \leq k < n} H_{2k}(\mathbb{C}^n / \Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=2k, 1 \leq k < n, p > q \geq 0} (H^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n / \Gamma, \mathbb{C}))^*$$

defined by

$$\text{int}_{\Gamma}(S)(\alpha) := \int_S \alpha$$

is injective. Then \mathbb{C}^n / Γ has no analytic subvariety of dimension $1 \leq d < n$.

Let us give a more elementary description of this condition. The lattice Γ is given by

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}e_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}e_{2n},$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ are vectors which are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} . In this case, the homology groups $H_{2k}(\mathbb{C}^n / \Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ have bases over \mathbb{Z} given by

$$S_i := \pi(\mathbb{R}e_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbb{R}e_{i_{2k}}),$$

for the multi-index $i = (i_1, \dots, i_{2k}) \in \mathbb{N}^{2k}$ with $i_j < i_l$ for $j < l$. (For the sake of convenience we will denote the set of such indices by I_k). Observe that the map π is a projection from \mathbb{C}^n onto the complex torus X . As such, we may now write any cycle $S \in H_{2k}(\mathbb{C}^n / \Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ as

$$S = \sum_{i \in I_k} a_i S_i,$$

for some integers a_i . This fact should also help explain the singular homology groups on the Torus for those who are unfamiliar: $H_{2k}(\mathbb{C}^n / \Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ can be thought of as the free Abelian group over the closed "curves" of real dimension $2k$ (modulo some equivalence relation which will not

be relevant in what follows). Now, for a (p, q) -form α , where $p + q = k$ and $p > q \geq 0$, we have that

$$\text{int}_\Gamma(S)(\alpha) = \sum_{i \in I_k} a_i \int_{S_i} \alpha.$$

Hence the injectivity of $\text{int}_\Gamma(S)$ is equivalent to the following statement:

If for every closed (p, q) form α , where $p + q = k$, $p > q \geq 0$ $\text{int}_\Gamma(S)(\alpha) = 0$, the numbers a_i must all be 0. The reader should of course note that this condition must also be checked for every k less than n . Furthermore, by linearity it suffices to check this condition on a basis of $H^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$.

We now turn to the case where $n = 2$. Hence we need only to check the case $k = 1$, which also forces $p = 2$ and $q = 0$. Additionally, the $(2, 0)$ forms are spanned by the single form induced by $dz_1 \wedge dz_2$. As such, the condition that $\text{int}_\Gamma(S)(\alpha)$ is injective can be expressed as the condition that the numbers

$$\int_{S_i} \pi_*(dz_1 \wedge dz_2)$$

are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} . Explicitly computing these integrals gives the following result:

Remark. In case $n = 2$ and Γ generated by $e_j = (\alpha_j, \beta_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$, over \mathbb{Z} , then [6, p.165] tells us that $\ker \text{int}_\Gamma = 0$ if and only if

$$\alpha_j \beta_k - \alpha_k \beta_j, 1 \leq j < k \leq 4,$$

are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} .

For $n > 2$, we have way more conditions. When we examine the case $k > 1$, we must not only look at the group $H^{2k,0}(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$, but also the groups $H^{2k-1,1}(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ and so on. The group $H^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ has a basis given by the forms

$$\pi_*(dz_P \wedge d\bar{z}_Q), P \in \mathbb{N}^p, Q \in \mathbb{N}^q,$$

when we take P and Q to be ascending. We can compute the integrals

$$\int_{S_i} \pi_*(dz_P \wedge d\bar{z}_Q)$$

in a manner similar to the one which gives us the statement in the previous remark, and see that these are the numbers

$$C_{P,Q}^i := \det \begin{pmatrix} e_{i_1, P_1} & \dots & e_{i_1, P_p} & \bar{e}_{i_1, Q_1} & \dots & \bar{e}_{i_1, Q_q} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ e_{i_{2k}, P_1} & \dots & e_{i_{2k}, P_p} & \bar{e}_{i_{2k}, Q_1} & \dots & \bar{e}_{i_{2k}, Q_q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We may now reformulate our criterion for the nonexistence of subvarieties of the complex torus in the following way.

Lemma 2.4. *We have $\ker \text{int}_\Gamma = 0$ if and only if for every $0 < k < n$ there exists no set of integers $\{a_i\}_{i \in I_k} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ not all equal to 0 such that for all ascending multi-indices P, Q where $(P, Q) \in \mathbb{N}^{2k}$ and P has more entries than Q ,*

$$\sum_{i \in I_k} a_i C_{P,Q}^i = 0.$$

This immediately give the following sufficient criterion which may be easier to check than the original condition.

Corollary 2.5. *$\ker \text{int}_\Gamma = 0$ if for every $0 < k < n$ there exist multi-indices P, Q where $(P, Q) \in \mathbb{N}^{2k}$ and P has more entries than Q are such that the numbers $\{C_{P,Q}^i\}_{i \in I_k}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} .*

In general, Lemma 2.3 gives the following explicit version of [4, Thm 1.1].

Theorem 2.6. *$(e^{-\pi|t|^2}, \Lambda)$ is a Gabor frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if one of the following assumptions holds:*

(1) $|\Lambda| < \frac{1}{n!}$ and $\ker \text{int}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}} = 0$, where

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} := \{\xi + ix \in \mathbb{C}^n : (\xi, x) \in \Lambda\}.$$

(2) $|\Lambda| < \frac{n!}{n^n}$ and $\ker \text{int}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^\circ} = 0$, where

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^\circ := \{\eta + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n : \xi^T y - x^T \eta \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall (\xi, x) \in \Lambda\}.$$

Remark. *Almost all lattices in \mathbb{R}^{2n} satisfy $\ker \text{int}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}} = 0$ and $\ker \text{int}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^\circ} = 0$ (as we will show later on), hence the above theorem gives an effective Gabor frame criterion in terms of the covolume for almost all lattices.*

Corollary 2.7. *In case $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2 \times A\mathbb{Z}^2$, where A is a real linear mapping defined by*

$$A(x, y) := (ax + by, cx + dy),$$

then we have that

$$\ker \text{int}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \ker \text{int}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^\circ} = 0 \Leftrightarrow ad - bc \notin \mathbb{Q}, \text{ } a, b, c, d \text{ are } \mathbb{Z}\text{-linearly independent.}$$

Such a lattice then induces a Gabor frame if additionally, $|ad - bc| < \frac{1}{2}$.

We remark that the examples in Theorem 1.5 in [5] do not satisfy our assumptions: all a, b, c, d are dependent over \mathbb{Z} , and $|ad - bc|$ need not be less than $1/2$. However, as shown in [5], these lattices still form Gabor frames.

Proof. In this case the complexified lattice $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ is generated by the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} ia \\ ic \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} ib \\ id \end{pmatrix}.$$

We may now apply the two dimensional version of our criterion for a transcendental lattice which was given in an earlier remark to see that Λ is transcendental precisely when the determinants $1, bc - ad, ia, ib, ic$, and id are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} . This happens precisely when the condition given in the statement of the Lemma is fulfilled. The case of the symplectic dual can be verified in the same way. \square

We will now prove the following genericity result:

Theorem 2.8. *Transcendental tori are generic with respect to the choice of the lattice.*

We will first clarify what we mean by generic. Consider the fact that the space of lattices is equal to the space $\mathrm{Gl}(2n, \mathbb{R})/\mathrm{Gl}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$. We say that a property is generic for lattices if there exists an $S \subsetneq \mathrm{Gl}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, which is a set of Lebesgue measure zero in \mathbb{R}^{4n^2} such that the property is fulfilled by all lattices in $(\mathrm{Gl}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \setminus S)/\mathrm{Gl}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Consider any set of integers $\{a_i\}_{i \in I_k}$. Then the equations

$$\sum_{i \in I_k} a_i C_{P,Q}^i = 0$$

induce a closed variety in \mathbb{R}^{4n^2} . It is sufficient to prove that a variety of this form has codimension of at least 1 for some given (P, Q) , as this will imply that the variety in this case is of Lebesgue measure zero, and the set in \mathbb{R}^{4n^2} which does not induce a transcendental torus must be contained within this union. This is a simple observation to make since all we must show is that there is a point which does not lie in this subvariety.

To see this, observe in the case $2k \leq n$, and choose P to be of length $2k$ and Q an empty multiindex. Then the polynomials $C_{P,Q}^i$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}[e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{2n,n}]$.

We see this by looking at the "first term" of the $C_{P,Q}^i$. By this, we mean the summand in the determinant which is given by multiplying the elements on the main diagonal. So this term will be of the form

$$e_{i_1, P_1} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{i_{2k}, P_{2k}}.$$

If we now choose a different index $j \in I_k$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the number i_1 does not occur in j . This means that the variable e_{i_1, P_1} also cannot occur in $C_{P,Q}^j$, making $C_{P,Q}^j$ linearly independent from $C_{P,Q}^i$. Thus, nontrivial linear combinations of the $C_{P,Q}^i$ cannot vanish identically.

Now observe the case where $2k > n$. We now take $P = (1, 2, \dots, n)$, and Q to be of length $2k - n$. We need to check that the corresponding polynomials $C_{P,Q}^i$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}[e_{1,1}, \bar{e}_{1,1}, \dots, e_{2n,n}, \bar{e}_{2n,n}]$.

Once again, this follows from that the term given by multiplying the elements of the diagonal, $e_{i_1,1} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{i_n,n} \cdot \bar{e}_{i_{n+1},Q_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \bar{e}_{i_{2k},Q_{2k-n}}$ is unique with respect to i .

□

REFERENCES

- [1] J. P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*. Book available from the author's homepage.
- [2] J. P. Demailly *Regularization of closed positive currents and Intersection Theory*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), 361-409
- [3] K. Gröchenig and Y. Lyubarskii, *Sampling of Entire Functions of Several Complex Variables on a Lattice and Multivariate Gabor Frames*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **65** (2020), 1717 – 1735.
- [4] F. Luef, X. Wang, *Gaussian Gabor frames, Seshadri constants and generalized Buser-Sarnak invariants*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **33** (2023) 778-823.

- [5] J.L. Romero, A Ulanovskii and I. Zlotnikov, *Sampling in the shift-invariant space generated by the bivariate Gaussian function*, arXiv:2306.13619.
- [6] I. Shafarevich, *Basic algebraic geometry. 2. Schemes and complex manifolds*, Third edition. Translated from the 2007 third Russian edition by Miles Reid. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. xiv+262 pp.
- [7] V. Tosatti, *The Calabi-Yau theorem and Kähler currents*, ADV. THEOR. MATH. PHYS., **20** (2016), 381–404.

FRANZ LUEF, JOHANNES TESTORF, XU WANG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

Email address: `franz.luef@ntnu.no`, `johannes.testorf@ntnu.no`, `xu.wang@ntnu.no`